Water Quality Trends Ohio River And Its Tributaries



Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission

Water Quality Trends Ohio River And Its Tributaries

Statistical analyses of data resulting from water quality monitoring conducted by ORSANCO

Water Quality Assessment Program

Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission Cincinnati, Ohio

November 1990



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
DATA AVAILABILITY 2 Commission Monitoring Activities 2 Parameters Investigated 3 Manual Monitoring System Stations 4 Record Length 4 Sample Collection Techniques 6
SEASONAL KENDALL TEST 6 Problems Using Tests of Trend 6 Description of the Seasonal Kendall Test 6 Advantages to Using Seasonal Kendall Test 8 Limitation of the Seasonal Kendall Test 9 Seasonal Kendall Slope Estimator 9
RESULTS9Total Suspended Solids11Total Dissolved Solids16Hardness16Sulfate16Total Phosphorous16Ammonia Nitrogen17Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen17Nitrate/Nitrite Nitrogen17Total Nitrogen17Phenolics18Copper18Iron18Lead18Mercury18Zinc19
DISCUSSION
CONCLUSION
LITERATURE CITED

TABLES

Table 1 Manual Monitoring Stations Used for Trend Assessment	5
Table 2 Sampling Techniques Used for Collecting Manual Monitoring Data	7
Table 3 Seasonal Kendall Test on Flow-Adjusted Concentration	10
Table 4 Seasonal Kendall Slope Estimator Test on Flow-Adjusted Concentration	12
Table 5 Trend Category Counts and Weighted Values	13
Table 6 Number of Comparisons on Flow-Adjusted Concentration	20
Table 7 Dischargers on the Ohio River with Permit Limits for the Study Parameters	21

FIGURES

Figure 1 Difference Between Seasonal Kendall Test and Kendall's Tau	8
Figure 2 Map of Total Suspended Solids	14
Figure 3 Bar Charts of Total Suspended Solids - Trend Assessment Slope Estimator	15

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Quality Assurance Plan for Manual Sampling
Appendix B	Seasonal Kendall Test Methodology and Formulas
Appendix C	Sample Pages of Residuals Analyses for Three Models
Appendix D	Annual Mean of Concentration for Each Station
Appendix E	Z-Statistic Table
Appendix F	Trend Direction Maps and Slope Magnitude Bar Charts

PREFACE

The Seasonal Kendall Test has been used for evaluating trends in water quality data by several government agencies including the United States Geological Survey, Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources and Maryland Department of the Environment. The evaluation of trends of Ohio River water quality was based on the U.S. Geological Survey paper, "A Study of Trends in Total Phosphorus Measurements at NASQAN Stations," written by Richard A. Smith, Robert M. Hirsch and James R. Slack (1982). Information regarding the appropriate use of the Seasonal Kendall Test was derived from this paper. Software used for the evaluation was a LOTUS123[®] macro originally developed by Rod Kime of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources. Modifications to the macro were required to accommodate our study design. Permission to use the LOTUS123[®] macro is greatly appreciated. J. Shermer Garrison from Maryland Department of the Environment generously shared computer programs of his trends assessment study methodology and some of his experiences with the Seasonal Kendall Test.



INTRODUCTION

Trend studies are undertaken to determine the effectiveness of water pollution control efforts. This is an important question for agencies like the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). Large amounts of money have been spent on water pollution control efforts in the Ohio River Basin and ORSANCO is responsible for many standards which dischargers to the Ohio River must meet. Being able to statistically quantify improvements in water quality is a means of measuring the benefit of the money spent on water pollution control.

Applying the Seasonal Kendall Test to monthly monitoring data collected by the Commission establishes if trends in water quality exist in the Ohio River based on statistical tests. The test also determines if those trends are decreasing or increasing trends. A trend has been defined as a steady increase or decrease in data observations over time (Bauer et al., 1984).

Requirements for Tests of Trend

Assessment of long-term trends testing requires a consistent data set over time and a testing method which accounts for water quality parameters that vary seasonally and with stream flow. The Commission's Manual Monitoring System has produced a substantial data set resulting from the analysis of samples. The samples are collected monthly in a consistent manner which provides a database suitable to test for trends.

The Seasonal Kendall Test provides methods which screen out any variations of a parameter concentration due to flow. This makes the test superior to other trend assessment methods.

Objective

The objective of the long term trends program is to identify quantifiable trends in the Ohio River Basin water quality over time. This allows an identification of successes in water quality improvement and of problems yet to be addressed. The Seasonal Kendall Test was selected to establish trends for Ohio River Basin water quality. The results of the Seasonal Kendall Test indicate the statistical probability of a trend at a particular station for a specific parameter and the direction of that trend.

1

DATA AVAILABILITY

Commission Monitoring Activities

The Commission operates several water quality monitoring systems, including a Water Users Network, Electronic Monitors, Organic Detection System (ODS), Manual Monitoring System (MMS), Fish Population survey and Fish Tissue Contaminant monitoring. Unfortunately, because a consistent and sufficient data base is required for doing trends assessments, the MMS data is best suited for analysis of trends using the Seasonal Kendall Test.

The Water Users Network has provided data from as early as 1908, but due to the voluntary nature of the program the data are not consistent in terms of parameters and monitoring frequency. Because of inconsistencies and gaps in the data set, the Water Users data cannot be used for the assessment of trends.

The Electronic Monitors measured temperature, specific conductivity, pH, and dissolved oxygen from 1960 to 1986. The data set is extensive but gaps exist. Several changes in the location of stations also contribute to inconsistencies in the data set. The Electronic Monitors data is not easily accessible and is not considered the best candidate for trend assessment at this time.

The ODS was initiated in 1978 and provides data on selected organic parameters. The size of the data set would be sufficient, but most of the values are below the detection limit. Eventually ODS data could be used for long term trends assessment. A statistically valid means of addressing such data sets must be identified in order to apply trends analysis to the ODS results.

Fish Population data and Fish Tissue Contaminant data are not currently available for long term trends assessment. These are being entered into a data base, and are being subjected to quality assurance checks. At some point in the future the data may be suitable for trend analysis.

The MMS has been in operation since 1975. The system consists of monthly collection of data for many conventional parameters. There are sufficient detections for several of the parameters which give an indication of water quality. The data base is easily accessible and there is confidence in the quality of the MMS data. The Quality Assurance Plan for Manual Sampling is attached as Appendix A. A fixed-sampling schedule, like that of the Commission's MMS, is particularly well suited for seeing variability in the data and for detecting trends (Smith et al., 1982).

Parameters Investigated

The Commission measures several parameters in its monthly MMS using United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) methods and stores the resulting data in the STORET data base. STORET is a storage (STO) and retrieval (RET) system available to ORSANCO through U.S. EPA. Those parameters for which sufficient data was collected for use in the Seasonal Kendall Test are included in this study. They are as follows:

- 1. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
- 2. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS is calculated from Conductivity)
- 3. Hardness
- 4. Sulfate
- 5. Total Phosphorus
- 6. Ammonia-Nitrogen
- 7. Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)
- 8. Nitrate/Nitrite Nitrogen (N/N)
- 9. Total Nitrogen (sum of N/N and TKN)
- 10. Phenolics
- 11. Copper
- 12. Iron
- 13. Lead
- 14. Mercury
- 15. Zinc

Total dissolved solids is calculated from conductivity values using the formula:

TDS (in mg/l) = $0.625 \times \text{measured conductivity}$ (in umhos/cm).

This equation is based on regression analysis of Ohio River water column samples. Total nitrogen is simply the sum of nitrate/ nitrite nitrogen and total Kjeldahl nitrogen. All other values are actual measured concentrations from STORET.

The Seasonal Kendall Test is applied to the calculated flow-adjusted concentrations of monitoring data (transformed data). The flow-adjusted values are derived using an equation model describing the relationship between flow and concentration, then removing the influence of this relationship from actual concentrations. The relationship between flow and concentration is estimated and used to provide a conditional expected value of concentration. Flow adjusted concentration is defined as the actual concentration minus the estimated conditional expected concentration (Smith, et. al., 1982) resulting in a residual.

The rationale for using residuals in trend analysis is to look for a trend in whatever remains once the relationship between flow and concentration has been removed. Theoretically, if there is no other influence on concentration, the residuals that remain should fluctuate randomly about zero. If there is another influence on concentration, it will result in a positive or negative trend according to the Seasonal Kendall Test.

Manual Monitoring System Stations

There are thirty-five stations at which monthly samples were taken for each parameter used in the analysis. The monitoring stations at which data are collected are listed in Table 1. Because sampling of the nutrient parameters was not performed year-round at thirteen sampling stations, the resulting data set is incomplete and cannot be included in the calculations. The five nutrient parameters are total phosphorus, ammonia, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, nitrate/nitrite nitrogen, and total nitrogen. Two other stations, at the Cumberland River and Tennessee River lack flow data.

Record Length

The record length chosen in this study is eleven years of data for all stations except Smithland Lock and Dam, which has only six years of data. In October 1986, four stations were moved. In these cases data sets from the old and new locations were combined in order to provide eleven years of data. The combined stations are indicated on Table 1 with an asterisk. The station at Oakmont Water Works (WW) on the Allegheny River was moved 5.9 miles downstream to Pittsburgh WW on the Allegheny River. The combined data set for the Allegheny River contains January 1977 to September 1986 from the Oakmont WW and October 1986 to December 1987 from the Pittsburgh WW. The station at Pike Island Lock and Dam on the Ohio River was moved 2.6 miles downstream to Wheeling WW. The combined data set for Wheeling contains January 1977 to September 1986 from Pike Island Lock and Dam and October 1986 to December 1987 from Wheeling WW. Two dischargers are located between these two sampling stations. The station at Greenup Lock and Dam on the Ohio River was moved 9.7 miles downstream to Portsmouth. The combined data set for Portsmouth WW contains January 1977 to September 1986 from Greenup Lock and Dam and October 1986 to December 1987 from Portsmouth WW. Four major dischargers are located between these two sampling stations. The station at Meldahl Lock and Dam on the Ohio River was moved 27.7 miles upstream to Maysville WW. The combined data set for Maysville contains January 1977 to September 1986 from Meldahl Lock and Dam and October 1986 to December 1987 from Maysville WW. Five major dischargers are located between these two sampling stations.

TABLE 1

	STATION	OHIO RIVER MP	TRIBUTARY MP #
1.	Monongahela River at South Pittsburgh	0.0	4.5
*2.	Allegheny River at Pittsburgh WW/	0.0	7.4
	Allegheny River at Oakmont WW	0.0	3.3
3.	South Heights	15.2	
4.	Beaver Falls on Beaver River	25.4	5.3
5.	East Liverpool L&D	40.2	
*6.	Pike Island L&D/Wheeling WW	84.2/86.8	
7.	Hannibal L&D	126.4	
8.	Willow Island L&D	161.8	
9.	Muskingum River at Muskingum L&D	172.2	5.8
10.	Belleville L&D .	203.9	
11.	Addison–Kyger Creek	260.0	
12.	Kanawha River at Winfield L&D	265.7	31.1
13.	Gallipolis L&D	279.2	
14.	Huntington WW	306.9	
15.	Big Sandy River at Louisa	317.1	20.3
*16.	Portsmouth WW/Greenup L&D	350.7/341.0	
17.	Scioto River at Lucasville	356.5	15.0
*18.	Maysville WW/Meldahl L&D	408.5/436.2	
19.	Cincinnati WW	462.8	
20.	Little Miami River at Newtown	464.1	7.5
21.	Licking River at Covington	470.2	4.5
22.	North Bend	490.2	
23.	Great Miami River at Elizabethtown	491.1	5.5
24.	Markland L&D	531.5	
25.	Louisville WW	600.6	
26.	West Point	625.9	
27.	Cannelton L&D	720.7	
28.	Green River at Sebree	784.2	41.3
29.	Evansville WW	791.5	
30.	Uniontown L&D	846.0	
31.	Wabash River at New Harmony	848.0	51.5
32.	Smithland L&D	918.5	
33.	Cumberland River at Barkley Dam	920.4	30.6
34.	Tennessee River at Paducah	934.5	6.0
35.	Joppa	952.3	

MANUAL MONITORING STATIONS USED FOR TREND ASSESSMENT

* Refers to stations that were combined
L&D is Lock and Dam
WW is Water Works Plant
MP is mile point
From point of confluence with Ohio River

Sample Collection Techniques

When combining data sets, there is a slight possibility of undetected discrepancies within a data set due to sampling techniques. The Commission employs various sampling techniques in collecting MMS data depending on the location of the station. For instance, most of the samples taken at water works were tapped from an intake water line. At other stations, such as tributaries or dams, a grab sample is taken directly from the river. Table 2 describes sampling techniques used at each station.

SEASONAL KENDALL TEST Problems Using Tests of Trend

Problems associated with using tests of trend are seasonality, skewness, and serial correlation (Smith et al., 1982). Not addressing any one of these problems could render the trend testing invalid. Seasonality refers to a season to season cyclical pattern in the data. The problem emerges when a test of trend tries to compare data collected in one month with data collected in a different month. Skewness refers to the lack of symmetry in underlying frequency distribution of the data. It is often due to season or stream flow dependence. If the test of trend chosen is a parametric test, which usually assumes a normal probability distribution of the data, the test will have the problems associated with skewness. Serial correlation refers to natural successive variation in the data over time. Two data points taken close to each other in time will be more similar than two data points taken farther apart.

Description of the Seasonal Kendall Test

The Seasonal Kendall Test is a revised version of Kendall's Tau testing for randomness against trend. Only certain kinds of comparisons are considered acceptable in the Seasonal Kendall Test. The Seasonal Kendall Test permits comparison of data points only within the same month. Kendall's Tau allows comparisons of data points in different months. As a result, fewer comparisons are made using the Seasonal Kendall Test than with Kendall's Tau. Figure 1 shows the basic difference between the two tests. When comparing two data points, the Seasonal Kendall Test determines if the later value is higher, lower or identical to the earlier value and keeps a running tally. A non-parametric test does not consider magnitudes of difference between two data points, simply that there is a difference (Smith et al., 1982). In this way, changes in water quality are recorded.

TABLE 2

SAMPLING TECHNIQUES USED FOR COLLECTING MANUAL MONITORING DATA

	Station	Technique
1.	Monongahela River at South Pittsburgh	1
*2	Allegheny River at Pittsburgh WW/	1
	Allegheny River at Oakmont WW	1
3.	South Heights	1
4.	Beaver Falls on Beaver River	1
5.	East Liverpool L&D	1
*6.	Pike Island L&D/Wheeling WW	6/1
7.	Hannibal L&D	6
8.	Willow Island L&D	6
9.	Muskingum River at Muskingum L&D	6
10.	Belleville L&D	6
11.	Addison-Kyger Creek	1
12.	Kanawha River at Winfield L&D	2
13.	Gallipolis L&D	3
14.	Huntington WW	1
15.	Big Sandy River at Louisa	1
*16.	Portsmouth WW/Greenup L&D	1/6
17.	Scioto River at Lucasville	5
*18.	Maysville WW/Meldahl L&D	1/6
19.	Cincinnati WW	1
20.	Little Miami River at Newtown	5
21.	Licking River at Covington	1
22.	North Bend	1
23.	Great Miami River at Elizabethtown	5
24.	Markland L&D	2
25.	Louisville WW	1
26.	West Point	1
27.	Cannelton L&D	3
28.	Green River at Sebree	4
29.	Evansville WW	1
30.	Uniontown L&D	6
31.	Wabash River at New Harmony	5
32.	Smithland L&D	6
33.	Cumberland River at Barkley Dam	2
34.	Tennessee River at Paducah	5
35.	Joppa	1

Technique 1: Tap on intake water line from pumping station

Technique 2: Tap in turbine channel in dam

Technique 3: Collect sample from submersible pump

Technique 4: Grab sample from river near intake structure

Technique 5: Grab sample mid-channel (usually from a bridge)

Technique 6: Grab sample from upstream end of a guidewall to locks

The tally results are used to calculate a monthly statistic and a monthly variance. The sum of the monthly statistics and the monthly variances are used to calculate the z-statistic. The significance of the z-statistic then determines the presence or absence of a trend. The method is described in more detail in Appendix B.



Advantages to Using Seasonal Kendall Test

The Seasonal Kendall Test accounts for seasonality, skewness and serial correlation of the data. Seasonality is removed by comparing data within the same month. For each month, the Seasonal Kendall Test compares data in earlier years to data in later years. The sum of higher, lower and tied comparisons is determined. The Seasonal Kendall Test accounts for skewness because it is a non-parametric test. A non-parametric test does not make assumptions about the underlying distribution of the data (Smith et al., 1982). Skewed water quality data will not affect a non-parametric test. Since the Seasonal Kendall Test does not detect the magnitude of difference between two data points under comparison, the fact that water quality data is serially correlated is unimportant.

Limitation of the Seasonal Kendall Test

Determining the correct record length and having sufficient data available for each parameter under investigation is the greatest limitation to the Seasonal Kendall Test. Record length refers to the number of years included in a data set. A record which is too long can mask the presence of a current trend and a record which is too short will not contain enough data points to distinguish a trend from natural variability in the data. While the choice of record length is essentially arbitrary, Smith et al. (1982) recommend a record length of five to ten years. ORSANCO's MMS data begins in 1975 and continues to the present. The usable data base available for trends assessment is the eleven years from 1977 to 1987.

Only parameters with sufficient data can be used in the Seasonal Kendall Test. Missing values may constitute up to 50% of the observations without diminishing the power of the test (Garrison, 1988).

Seasonal Kendall Slope Estimator

When it has been determined that a parameter exhibits a trend, it may be desirable to estimate the magnitude of the trend. The Seasonal Kendall Slope Estimator was chosen to perform this task. This method expresses the magnitude as a slope (change per unit time). However, this does not imply that a linear trend is assumed.

The Seasonal Kendall Slope Estimator is defined to be the median of the differences of the ordered pairs of data values that are compared in the Seasonal Kendall Test (Smith et. al., 1982). The difference divided by the number of years separating the data is recorded. The median of these differences is then converted to a slope (change per unit time). This process is described in more detail in Appendix B.

RESULTS

The results of the Seasonal Kendall Test of Trend are found in Table 3, Seasonal Kendall Test Results of Flow-Adjusted Concentration. This table contains the evaluation of trends based on the z-statistic. A table showing the z-statistic obtained when applying the Seasonal Kendall Test is found in Appendix E.

3	
щ	
B	
Z	

SEASONAL KENDALL TEST ON FLOW-ADJUSTED CONCENTRATION

							TOTAL			NITRATE/	TOTAL						
OHIO MP	STATION	TRIB RM	TSS	TDS	HARDNES	SULFATE	PHOS	AMMONIA	TKN	NITRITE	NITRO	PHENOL	COPPER	IRON	LEAD	MERCURY	ZINC
0.0	MONONGAHELA R	4.5	dec	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	dec	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
0.0	ALLEGHENY R	7.4	0	DEC	0	DEC	dec	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC	0	0	0	DEC
15.2	SOUTH HEIGHTS		dec	DEC	dec	dec	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC
25.4	BEAVER R	5.3	INC	DEC	dec	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
40.2	EAST LIVERPOOL	ww	0	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC
86.8	WHEELING WTP		0	0	0	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	0	0	0	DEC	0	DEC
126.4	HANNIBAL L&D		0	0	0	0	dec	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
161.8	WILLOW ISLAND L	&D	DEC	0	DEC	0	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	dec	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
172.2	MUSKINGUM R	5.8	0	DEC	DEC	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	0
203.9	BELLEVILLE L&D		DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	dec	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
260.0	ADDISON-KYGER	CR	DEC	dec	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC
265.7	KANAMHA R	31.1	DEC	DEC	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	DEC	DEC	0	0	0	DEC
279.2	GALLIPOLIS L&D		DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	dec	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
306.9	HUNTINGTON WA	TER CO	DEC	0	0	0	0	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	0
317.1	BIG SANDY R	20.3	DEC	INC	inc	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	0	DEC
350.7	PORTSMOUTH		0	0	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC	0	0
356.5	SCIOTO R	15.0	0	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
408.5	MAYSVILLE WW		0	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0	0	0	DEC	0	0
462.8	CINCINNATI WW		0	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0	0	0	DEC	0	DEC
464.1	LITTLE MIAMI R	7.5	0	INC	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	dec	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
470.2	LICKING R	4.6	0	INC	0	inc	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
490.0	NORTH BEND		0	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	0	dec
491.1	GREAT MIAMI R	6.5	0	0	DEC	0	:INS:	:SNI:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC
631.6	MARKLAND L&D		0	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	DEC	0	0	DEC	0	DEC
600.6	LOUISVILLE WATE	RCO	0	0	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	0	0	0	0	0	0	DEC	0	DEC
625.9	WEST POINT		DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC	0	DEC	0	0	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	DEC
720.7	CANNELTON L&D		0	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	dec	DEC	0	DEC	DEC	0
784.2	GREEN R	41.3	0	INC	inc	INC	DEC	0	0	inc	inc	0	DEC	0	DEC	dec	DEC
791.5	EVANSVILLE WW		DEC	0	0	DEC	DEC	DEC	DEC	0	0	0	DEC	DEC	0	DEC	DEC
846.0	UNIONTOWN L&D		0	DEC	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0	0	0	DEC	0	0
848.0	WABASH R	51.5	0	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0	dec	0	0	0	0
918.5	SMITHLAND L&D		0	0	DEC	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	DEC	0	0	0	dec	0
920.4	CUMBERLAND R	30.6	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:SNI:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:
834.5	TENNESSEE R	6.0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:SNI:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:
952.3	APPA		DEC	0	dec	0	DEC	DEC	dec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

INC Strong Significant Increasing Trend; Probability < 5% Inc. Significant Increasing Trend; 5% < Probability < 10%
O No Significant Trend; Probability > 10%
dec Significant Decreasing Trend; 5% < Probability < 10%
DEC Strong Significant Decreasing Trend; Probability < 5%
:INS: Insufficient data available

The flow-adjusted concentration results (Table 3) are plotted on Ohio River maps. The maps give a feeling for spatial arrangement of the results found in Table 3. They also allow for the identification of localized effects. The Ohio River is often divided into three sections: upper, middle and lower. The upper Ohio River is defined as being between river mile 0.0 and 265.7. The middle Ohio River is between river mile 265.7 and 545.8. The lower Ohio River is between river mile 545.8 and 981.0.

Table 4 shows the results of the Seasonal Kendall Slope Estimator Test. The outcome of the test displays the slope of the trend for each parameter at all stations. The slope is shown as change in units of concentration per year. The results of the test were utilized to plot bar charts of the slope magnitude for a single parameter at each station.

Table 5 gives the number of stations for each parameter in each trend category: "DEC" for strong decreasing trend, "dec" for slight decreasing trend, "O" for no trend, "inc" for slight increasing trend and "INC" for strong increasing trend. An evaluation of trends for each parameter basinwide is found by using these trend category counts. Table 5 assigns weighted values for each station and calculates an average weighted value to indicate the strength of an overall trend for each parameter. Each result category is given a value, -2 for "DEC", -1 for "dec", 0 for "O", +1 for "inc" and +2 for "INC". An average weighted value is calculated for each parameter according to the number of stations it has in each result category. An analysis of "strong decreasing trend overall" requires an average weighted value of less than "-1.50". An analysis of "trend in a decreasing direction" requires an average weighted value between -1.00 and -1.50. An analysis of "no trend" requires an average weighted value of -1.00 to +1.00.

Total Suspended Solids

The flow-adjusted concentration values at twelve stations indicate strong decreasing trends. Refer to the map in Figure 2. The strongest decreasing trend occurs at mile point 306.9 with a slope of -12.7 mg/L/year. Refer to the bar chart in Figure 3. There is one strong increasing trend that occurs on the Beaver River with a slope of +2.22 mg/L/year (see Tables 3 and 4). The earlier data at this station may be the cause for the increasing trend.

There is essentially no overall trend, noting an average weighted value of -0.61 from Table 5. Therefore, an analysis of "no trend" is assigned to total suspended solids since the average weighted value is between -1.00 and +1.00.

The maps and bar charts for the remaining parameter analyses can be found in Appendix F.

TABLE 4

SEASONAL KENDALL SLOPE ESTIMATOR TEST ON FLOW-ADJUSTED CONCENTRATION

-			TSS	TDS	HARDNES	SHEATE	PHOS	AIMONIA	TKN	NITRITE/	TO I OT	PHENOI	COPPER	NORI	I FAD	MERCURY	ZINC
OHIO MP	STATION	TRIB RM	(mg/l/yr)	(mg/l/yr)	(mg/l/yr)	(mg/l/yr)	(mg/ll/yr)	(mg/l/yr)	(mg/l/yr)	(mg/l/yr)	(mg/l/yr)	(ng/l/yr)	(ug/Ilyr)	(ug/Ilyr)	(ug/I/yr)	(ug/l/yr)	(ug/I/yr)
0.0	MONONGAHELA	R 4.6	-1.67	-3.30	-1.22	-2.68	-0.008	-0.027	-0.059	-0.009	-0.067	-0.136	-1.28	0	-0.710	0	-6.30
0.0	ALLEGHENY R	7.4	0	-1.80	0	-2.09	-0.002	-0.010	-0.032	0	-0.033	0	-0.62	0	0	0	-1.70
16.2	SOUTH HEIGHTS		-1.42	-1.90	-1.31	-1.81	-0.011	-0.031	-0.073	-0.016	-0.089	-0.419	-1.33	-68.6	-0.437	0	-0.60
25.4	BEAVERR	6.3	2.22	-6.60	-1.13	-1.11	0	-0.055	-0.069	0	6/.0.0-	-0.322	-1.71	0	-0.862	0	-2.80
40.2	EAST LIVERPOOL	L www	-2.58	-1.90	0	-1.76	-0.012	-0.034	-0.074	-0.010	-0.088	-0.220	-2.43	-113.4	-0.966	0	-5.80
86.8	WHEELING WIP		0	-1.40	0	0	-0.004	-0.024	-0.047	-0.010	-0.060	-0.114	0	0	-0.634	0	-1.80
126.4	HANNIBAL L&D		0	0	-1.02	0	-0.004	-0.024	-0.028	-0.035	-0.074	-0.233	-1.32	0	-0.348	0	-1.80
161.8	WILLOW ISLAND	L&D	-1.11	0	-1.25	-1.53	-0.005	-0.027	-0.049	-0.023	-0.082	-0.160	-1.74	-23.2	-1.009	-0.006	-2.30
172.2	MUSKINGUM R	5.8	0	-5.60	-2.09	-9.24	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0	-1.39	0	-1.252	-0.004	0
203.9	BELLEVILLE L&D		-2.80	-2.10	-2.24	0	-0.008	-0.016	-0.055	-0.055	-0.073	0	-1.58	0	-0.412	0	-1.90
260.0	ADDISON-KYGEF	A CR	4.82	-2.40	-2.23	0	-0.015	-0.023	-0.056	-0.008	-0.066	-0.367	4.08	-131.0	-1.082	0	-0.60
266.7	KANAMHA R	31.1	4.23	-2.70	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.365	-2.41	-62.1	-0.442	0	-3.60
279.2	GALLIPOLIS L&D		-3.31	-3.90	-1.68	-0.84	-0.012	-0.022	-0.050	-0.010	-0.064	0	-2.41	0	-0.630	0	-3.30
306.9	HUNTINGTON W	ATER CO	-12.71	0	-0.56	0	0	-0.020	-0.090	0	-0.072	0.170	-14.16	-580.7	-1.102	0	0
317.1	BIG SANDY R	20.3	-12.07	2.40	1.14	0	-0.025	-0.014	-0.074	0	-0.066	-0.238	-4.04	-213.0	-1.251	0	-2.90
350.7	PORTSMOUTH		-2.06	-1.90	-0.95	1.02	-0.012	-0.008	-0.045	0	-0.032	0	-1.24	0	-0.551	0	0
356.5	SCIOTOR	15.0	0	0	-2.04	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0	-1.65	0	-1.057	0	-2.60
408.5	MAYSVILLE WW		0	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.111	0	-52.0	-1.041	0	-1.80
462.8	CINCINNATI WW		0	-1.40	-1.02	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.154	0	0	-0.522	0	-3.10
464.1	LITTLE MIAMI R	7.5	0	4.90	-2.03	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.227	-1.24	0	-1.032	0	-3.60
470.2	LICKING R	4.6	0	6.70	0	0.82	-0.018	-0.008	-0.056	0	-0.054	-0.195	-1.03	0	-0.390	0	-12.20
490.0	NORTH BEND		-1.82	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.378	-0.88	0	-0.884	0.020	-1.20
491.1	GREAT MIAMI R	6.5	-2.47	0	-3.26	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.363	-0.57	0	-1.646	0.002	4.40
531.6	MARKLAND L&D		0	0	0	0	:SNI:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.490	0	0	-1.448	0	-1.60
600.6	LOUISVILLE WAT	ERCO	0	0	-1.52	-0.67	-0.012	-0.009	-0.012	0.013	0	0	0	0	-0.865	0	-1.90
625.9	WEST POINT		-6.38	0	-1.67	0	-0.019	0	-0.022	0.013	0	0	-0.74	-166.9	-1.116	0	4.40
720.7	CANNELTON L&D		0	0	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:SNI:	:INS:	-0.052	-1.11	0	-0.269	-0.021	-0.90
784.2	GREEN R	41.3	0	2.80	1.65	1.73	-0.012	0	0	0.022	0.027	-0.125	-0.38	0	-1.386	-0.011	-1.00
791.5	EVANSVILLE WW		-10.43	0	0	-1.00	-0.020	-0.009	-0.018	0	0	0	-2.78	-182.3	0	-0.003	-5.00
846.0	UNIONTOWN L&C	0	0	-2.10	0	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.014	0	0	-0.615	-0.011	0
848.0	WABASHR	51.5	0	-2.00	1.85	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0	-0.44	0	0	0	0
918.5	SMITHLAND L&D		-6.55	0	-2.98	0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.664	-0.32	0	0	-0.013	-3.20
820.4	CUMBERLAND R	30.6	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:
834.5	TENNESSEE R	6.0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:
952.3	JOPPA	1	4.64	0	-1.56	0	-0.017	-0.008	-0.014	0	0	0	0	-57.9	0	0	0

O No Significant Correlation; Probability < 90% :INS: Insufficient data available

TABLE 5

TREND CATEGORY COUNTS AND WEIGHTED VALUES

						lotal	1						Avg.
	DEC	dec	0	inc	INC	Avail.	DEC	dec	0	inc	INC	Sum	Value
TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS	10	2	20	0	F	33	-20	-2	0	0	8	-20	-0.61
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	6	٦	19	0	4	33	-18	-1	0	0	8	-11	-0.33
HARDNESS	11	3	17	C4	0	33	-22	۳	0	8	0	-23	-0.70
SULFATE	5.	-	25	-	-	33	-10	7	0	1	2	-8	-0.24
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	15	8	e	0	0	20	-30	-2	0	0	0	-32	-1.60
AMMONIA-N	18	0	2	0	0	20	- 36	0	0	0	0	-36	-1.80
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN	17	1	8	0	0	20	-34	Ţ	0	0	0	+35	-1.75
NITRATE/NITRITE	3	3	13	-	0	20	9-	-3	0	1	0	-8	-0.40
TOTAL NITROGEN	15	0	4	-	0	20	-30	0	0	1	0	-29	-1.45
PHENOLICS	13	з	17	0	0	33	-26	-3	0	0	0	-29	-0.88
COPPER	23	-	6	0	0	33	-46	7	0	0	0	-47	-1.42
IRON	7	0	26	0	0	33	-14	0	0	0	0	-14	-0.42
LEAD	27	0	9	0	0	33	-54	0	0	0	0	-54	-1.64
MERCURY	3	2	28	0	0	33	9-	-2	0	0	0	-8	-0.24
ZINC	23	-	6	0	0	33	-46	T	0	0	0	-47	-1.42

DEC = -2 eachdec = -1 eachO = 0 eachinc = 1 eachINC = 2 each

- AVERAGE VALUE
 >+1.50 Strong Increasing Trend
 +1.50 to +1.00 Increasing Trend
 +1.00 to -1.00 No Trend
 -1.00 to -1.50 Decreasing Trend
 <-1.50 Strong Decreasing Trend







FIGURE 3 - BAR CHARTS OF TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS

15

Total Dissolved Solids

The analysis of the flow-adjusted concentration displays strong decreasing trends at nine locations and strong increasing trends at four locations. The two strongest decreasing trends occur at the Beaver and Muskingum Rivers with slopes of -5.50 and -5.60 mg/L/year respectively (see Tables 3 and 4). The strong increasing trends take place on the Big Sandy, Little Miami, Licking and Green Rivers. Three of these are Kentucky tributaries and the analysis shows declining water quality with respect to total dissolved solids in these areas. A majority of the stations demonstrate no trend at all which is reflected in the average weighted value of -0.33 (see Table 5). Based on this value, total dissolved solids displays "no trend".

Hardness

Eleven stations display strong decreasing trends and seventeen stations no trend. The strongest decreasing trend occurs on the Great Miami River with a slope value of -2.98 mg/L/year (see Tables 3 and 4). All increasing trends occur at tributary stations located on the Wabash, Big Sandy and Green Rivers. Hardness is evaluated as having "no trend" because of the average weighted value of -0.70 from Table 5.

Sulfate

Trends are shown both increasing and decreasing along the Ohio River for sulfate (see Tables 3 and 4). There are 25 stations that indicate no trend for sulfate which lead to the average weighted value of -0.24 from Table 5. Based on the average weighted value, sulfate is concluded as displaying "no trend". The strongest increasing trends occurred on the Green River and at Portsmouth with slopes of +1.73 and +1.02 respectively.

Total Phosphorous

The flow-adjusted concentration results indicate an overall decreasing trend for total phosphorus. Only three stations show no trend and the remaining stations all display decreasing trends (see Tables 3 and 4). The decreasing trend with the steepest slope of -0.025 mg/L/year occurs at the Big Sandy River monitoring station (see Tables 4 and 5). These decreasing trends lead to an average weighted value of -1.60 from Table 5. Total phosphorus is classified as showing a "strong decreasing trend."

Ammonia Nitrogen

An overall decreasing trend is observed for ammonia. Eighteen stations indicate a strong decreasing trend while only two stations show no trend (see Tables 3 and 4). The steepest slope occurs on the Beaver River with a value of -0.055 mg/L/year. The two stations exhibiting no trend are West Point (625.9) and Green River. The average weighted value for ammonia is -1.80 from Table 5. From this value, ammonia is classified as displaying a "strong decreasing trend." The values may be escalated due to the fact that ammonia does not show a significant correlation with flow. When the influence of flow is removed the resulting residual is magnified.

Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen

Seventeen stations display a decreasing trend while only two show none. The greatest slope magnitude occurs at mile point 306.9 with a value of -0.09 mg/L/year (see Tables 3 and 4). The average weighted value from Table 5 is -1.75 which indicates a "strong decreasing trend." The station showing no trend for total Kjeldahl nitrogen is Green River.

Nitrate/Nitrite Nitrogen

Three stations indicate a decreasing trend and 13 stations show no trend. The station with the greatest magnitude in the decreasing direction is mile point 203.9 (Belleville L&D) with a value of -0.055 mg/L/year (see Tables 3 and 4). From these evaluations the average weighted value was computed to be -0.40. This value (see Table 5) represents "no trend" for nitrate/nitrite nitrogen.

Total Nitrogen

Most stations show a strong decreasing trend for upper and middle Ohio River stations (see Table 3). The decreasing trend with the greatest slope magnitude occurs at South Heights (M.P. 15.2) with a value of -0.089 mg/L/year. Only four stations show no trend for total nitrogen. Since total nitrogen is the sum of total Kjeldahl nitrogen and nitrate/nitrite nitrogen, it is not surprising that Green River station is the only station indicating an increasing trend. The Green River station does not show a negative trend for nitrogen parameters, where most other stations do. This may mark the presence of a problem area. Total nitrogen has an average weighted value of -1.45 (from Table 5) which is classified as a "slight decreasing trend."

Phenolics

Thirteen stations show strong decreasing trends while 17 show none. The strongest decreasing trend takes place at Smithland L&D (M.P. 918.5) with a slope value of -0.664 ug/L/year (see Tables 3 and 4). The average weighted value for phenolics is -0.88 (see Table 5). This value leads to a condition of "no trend."

Copper

The flow-adjusted concentration results indicate 23 stations show decreasing trends while nine show no trend. The decreasing trend with the steepest slope occurs at mile point 306.9 with a value of -14.16 ug/L/year (see Tables 3 and 4). The average weighted value from Table 5 is -1.42. This value indicates a "decreasing trend" for copper.

Iron

Twenty-six stations show no trend for iron, seven displaying decreasing trends and none showing increasing trends. The strongest decreasing trend takes place at mile point 306.9 with a slope magnitude of -580.7 ug/L/year (See Tables 3 and 4 for these values) From Table 5 the average weighted value is -0.42 which indicates "no trend."

Lead

Twenty-seven stations showed decreasing trends and six showed no trend. The Green River was the site of the strongest decreasing trend with a slope magnitude of -1.65 ug/L/year (see Tables 3 and 4). The average weighted value from Table 5 is -1.64 which indicates a "strong decreasing trend" for lead.

Mercury

The majority of the stations show no trend for mercury, five have decreasing trends and none have increasing trends. The largest decreasing trend occurs at mile point 720.7 and has a slope of -0.021 ug/L/year (refer to Tables 3 and 4). The average weighted value from Table 5 is -0.24 which indicates "no trend" for mercury.

Zinc

Twenty-three stations indicate a decreasing trend while nine show no trend for zinc. The Licking River is the site of the largest decreasing trend with a slope of -12.20 ug/L/year (see Tables 3 and 4). The average weighted value is -1.42 which indicates a "decreasing trend" (see Table 5).

DISCUSSION

Overall Observations

The flow-adjusted concentration accounts for the influence of stream flow on concentration and removes this influence from consideration. Reductions in sources of these parameters should result in decreasing trends at many stations for flow-adjusted concentration results. In trying to identify changes in the potential sources of the fifteen parameters, it is only necessary to consider the results of flow-adjusted concentration. Explanations in changes of potential sources are offered as speculations.

Number of Comparisons

The number of comparisons made by the Seasonal Kendall Test is one means of determining the strength of the results. Table 6 shows the number of comparisons made out of a possible 660 at each station of all investigated parameters. By this standard, the results of hardness, phenolics, lead and mercury are relatively weak. The least confidence is held in the results for these parameters. The lower number of comparisons for hardness is due to inconsistent or no monitoring of hardness in 1977 and 1978. The number of comparisons for the other parameters may be higher if concentrations below detection levels were included in the methodology.

NPDES Permit Limits

The Clean Water Act requires dischargers to obtain National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits. As shown in Table 7, parameters that are heavily regulated within NPDES permits are total suspended solids, ammonia, copper, iron, lead and zinc. For those parameters that were regulated prior to the study period, the effects of point source controls might not be reflected in the trends unless major control technologies became available. Detailed analysis of permit histories are needed to fully define the relationship between point source controls and in-stream trends.

TABLE 6

NUMBER OF COMPARISONS ON FLOW-ADJUSTED CONCENTRATION

		TOTAL PC	DSSIBLE IS	660		TOTAL			NITRATEI	TOT						
		TSS	TDS	HARDNES	SULFATE	PHOS	AMMONIA	TKN	NITRITE	NITRO	PHENOL	COPPER	IRON	LEAD	MERCURY	ZINC
HIO MP STATION	TRIB RM	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)	(mus)	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)	(uns)
0.0 MONONGAHELA	AR 4.5	574	591	392	611	620	620 -	591	610	581	292	546	611	195	78	601
0.0 ALLEGHENY R	7.4	554	556	369	482	545	533	545	572	545	248	506	582	125	85	553
15.2 SOUTH HEIGHTS	s	611	601	385	620	630	630	610	630	610	320	561	630	244	66	621
25.4 BEAVER R	6.3	201	582	376	601	610	610	582	601	573	427	541	600	315	11	610
40.2 EAST LIVERPOO	NL WW	610	592	384	610	620	620	601	620	601	335	551	620	358	8	611
86.8 WHEELING WIP		640	631	400	640	611	630	611	630	611	358	600	640	261	104	631
126.4 HANNIBAL L&D		515	643	387	543	515	524	517	534	517	224	498	533	171	18	616
161.8 WILLOW ISLAND	1L&D	691	620	393	610	591	581	592	610	592	300	600	620	262	108	581
172.2 MUSKINGUM R	5.8	620	620	474	650	:::INS:::	:::INS::::	:::INS:::	SNI	:::INS:::	350	610	630	342	117	581
203.9 BELLEVILLE L&D		620	640	408	630	620	610	612	620	602	286	611	640	260	119	610
260.0 ADDISON-KYGE	RCR	620	650	408	630	620	562	601	620	601	291	. 630	630	259	110	601
266.7 KANAMHAR	31.1	581	630	432	592	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	247	582	611	150	51	508
279.2 GALLIPOLIS L&D		630	660	416	630	660	630	611	650	621	313	601	630	250	88	169
306.9 HUNTINGTON W	ATER CO	562	650	416	610	620	454	591	620	591	217	630	641	226	159	199
317.1 BIG SANDY R	20.3	581	591	426	581	580	398	554	574	537	161	580	600	222	82	483
350.7 PORTSMOUTH		505	543	378	505	508	502	516	526	516	237	506	515	175	70	464
356.5 SCIOTOR	15.0	572	573	426	553	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::NS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	378	572	582	344	138	512
408.5 MAYSVILLE WW		592	602	385	592	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	257	581	581	258	84	553
462.8 CINCINNATI WW		620	660	409	610	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	274	631	640	283	72	573
464.1 LITTLE MIAMI R	7.5	583	571	433	555	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::NS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	350	574	693	349	98	478
470.2 LICKING R	4.5	601	640	435	543	600	529	601	581	562	282	602	631	171	62	439
490.0 NORTH BEND		591	610	379	591	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	191	572	620	294	86	562
491.1 GREAT MIAMI R	5.5	564	620	420	582	:::INS:::	::::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	259	533	594	303	61	563
531.5 MARKLAND L&D		572	601	350	565	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	181	484	538	248	160	436
600.6 LOUISVILLE WAT	TER CO	533	621	409	621	621	525	610	630	610	62	481	620	243	83	439
625.9 WEST POINT		610	620	410	630	620	621	630	630	630	66	409	630	367	98	626
720.7 CANNELTON L&	D	583	610	418	630	:::INS:::	::::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	85	365	610	267	101	544
784.2 GREEN R	41.3	612	574	425	621	554	481	631	631	631	81	356	610	267	101	544
791.6 EVANSVILLE WM	~	620	630	418	650	631	544	650	660	650	114	473	640	319	89	653
846.0 UNIONTOWN L&	a	582	585	416	621	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::NS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	126	365	641	266	128	464
848.0 WABASH R	51.5	630	620	434	650	:::INS:::	::::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	187	374	640	318	81	519
918.5 SMITHLAND L&D		180	175	175	175	:::INS:::	::::INS::::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	19	141	180	86	8	136
920.4 CUMBERLAND R	30.6	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	INS	:::INS:::	::::INS:::	:::NSNI::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS.::	:::INS:::
934.5 TENNESSEE R	6.0	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::	:::INS:::
952.3 JOPPA		640	660	450	620	562	571	660	660	660	66	453	660	241	49	460
Average Comparisons per	Station:	578	596	401	586	597	560	596	610	592	232	519	599	257	92	615

::INS:: Insufficient data available

TABLE 7

DISCHARGERS ON THE OHIO RIVER WITH PERMIT LIMITS FOR THE STUDY PARAMETERS (Data from ORSANCO'S NPDES permit files)

Parameter	
Total Suspended Solids	485 of 574 permits = 84%
Total Dissolved Solids	10 of 574 permits = 2%
Hardness (or Conductivity)	3 of 574 permits = <1%
Sulfate	10 of 574 permits = 2%
Phosphorus	10 of 574 permits = 2%
Ammonia	105 of 574 permits = 18%
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	44 of 574 permits = 8%
Nitrate/Nitrite	7 of 574 permits = 1%
Nitrogen	6 of 574 permits = 1%
Phenolics	47 of 574 permits = 8%
Copper	74 of 574 permits = 13%
Iron	100 of 574 permits = 17%
Lead	62 of 574 permits = 11%
Mercury	26 of 574 permits = 5%
Zinc	100 of 574 permits = 17%

Explanation of Trend Assessments

Total Suspended Solids

Total suspended solids have decreasing trends at all stations between Marietta near river mile 175 and Portsmouth near river mile 350. This is especially evident in Figure 2. Localized decreasing trends could be a result of the activities of coal mining in that area. West Virginia and southern Ohio are active coal mining areas. Tighter controls on these operations may be a possible explanation for this localized occurrence. Basinwide, flow-adjusted concentrations for total suspended solids indicate no change in sources during the study period (see summary on Table 5).

Total Dissolved Solids and Hardness

Total dissolved solids and hardness do not display any overall trend. Total dissolved solids and hardness are influenced primarily by natural factors. Localized strong decreasing trends at stations may be due to a short term influence. Groundwater can influence the Ohio River at any point in the basin during low flow conditions. Low flows give higher concentrations of total dissolved solids and hardness, of which would only be seen seasonally.

Sulfate

Overall, sulfate does not display a trend in either direction. However, sulfate does show strong decreasing trends in the upper Ohio River region. This area had a strong steel industry which is now diminishing, and the closing of steel mills may explain the localized effect. Basinwide, flow-adjusted concentrations for sulfate are unchanged (see Table 5), indicating little change in sources.

Total Phosphorus

Flow-adjusted concentrations of total phosphorus are decreasing basinwide (see Table 5). This trend can be attributed to the decrease of phosphates in detergents. Years ago, phosphates from detergents were considered a major factor of in-stream total phosphorus concentrations. Phosphates were banned in laundry detergents by a few Great Lakes States. In recent years, manufacturers changed their products by offering reduced phosphate and phosphate-free detergents. The Ohio River is benefitting from this manufacturing change.

Nitrogen Parameters

Flow-adjusted concentrations of ammonia-nitrogen, total Kjeldahl nitrogen and total nitrogen concentrations are decreasing basin-wide (see Table 5). This is attributed to the improved status of waste water treatment plants since 1977. Based on the Commission's 1978 Annual Report, 47% of waste water treatment plants in the Ohio River basin provided an acceptable level of control. The 1988 Annual Report boasts that 90% of Ohio River Valley communities have secondary treatment in place. During secondary treatment, Kjeldahl nitrogen is converted into ammonia. In the stream, bacteria convert ammonia to nitrate/ nitrite nitrogen, which are the appropriate forms for uptake by plants. As might be expected, nitrate/nitrite nitrogen is the only nutrient parameter that remains unchanged.

Phenolics

Phenolics shows a substantial decreasing trend at many locations along the Ohio River, particularly in the upper 260 miles (see the phenolics map in Appendix F). The results of a study conducted by Roy F. Weston, Inc. (1986), links in-stream phenolics concentrations with permitted dischargers along the river. Weston, Inc. (1986) also reports a decrease in phenolics concentrations from the late 1970s to the late 1980s, which corresponds with a decrease in phenolics loadings from permitted dischargers. The decreasing trends of flow-adjusted concentrations for phenolics in the upper Ohio River could be due to the closing of steel mills and better treatment of effluent from those mills that remain open.

Copper

Copper shows a decreasing trend basinwide for flow-adjusted concentrations (see Table 5). It is possible that copper loadings are being reduced in waste water treatment plants as a side effect of treating for other parameters. Since pretreatment requirements for effluent discharged to waste water treatment plants have become more stringent during the study period, increased pretreatment requirements is also a possible explanation.

Iron

The flow adjusted concentrations indicate no trend for iron. Iron is considered a naturally occurring element, therefore control of point source discharges should not change in-stream iron concentrations.

Lead shows an overall decrease in flow adjusted concentrations. Lead has caused much concern in the past. Many industrial processes no longer use lead, such as in automotive fuels. Limitations for lead in discharge permits have existed even before the Commission's sampling program (see Table 7). Overall decrease is considered principally due to the switch to unleaded fuels.

Mercury

Overall, mercury shows no trend, however one area on the Ohio River indicates a strong decreasing trend for mercury (see mercury map in Appendix F). The area is from Cannelton Lock and Dam to the Wabash River station. Nonpoint source pollution is a major influence in this area. Many years ago, mercury was used as a fungicide on seeds. This practice is now outlawed. Possible explanation is that eliminating the usage of mercury as a fungicide on seeds has removed this nonpoint source influence on the Ohio River. This possibility needs to be confirmed by pesticide manufacturers. Most Ohio River stations indicate no trend in mercury during the study period (see Table 3). The mercury data base contains many missing values and concentrations below detection levels. The number of comparisons made by the Seasonal Kendall Test is one means of determining the strength of a trend assessment. Mercury results rate very weak.

Zinc

Zinc displays an overall decreasing trend for flow adjusted concentrations. Zinc is used industrially in galvanized steel. The steel industry and metals industry can easily remove zinc from their effluent through precipitation processes. Besides a failing steel industry, another explanation for decreasing trends in zinc is the stringent pretreatment requirements of publicly-owned treatment works on dischargers. Pretreatment is now the responsibility of the discharger as opposed to the receiving facility. Ohio River water quality is benefitting from this practice.

CONCLUSION

The intent of determining these trends is to identify changes in water quality and identify possible areas of concern in the Ohio River Valley. A non-parametric test, such as the Seasonal Kendall Test, indicates if there is a trend in water quality. For the Ohio River Basin, improvements (decreasing trends) are seen for several parameters. Overall decreasing trends are indicated for total phosphorus, ammonia,

24

Kjeldahl nitrogen, total nitrogen, copper, lead and zinc during the study period. Several factors contribute to these water quality trends, including improvements in the status of waste water treatment plants, stringent permit requirements on dischargers, and reduced use of certain products contributing to nonpoint source pollution. Parameters that remain unchanged are total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, hardness, sulfate, nitrate/nitrite, iron, phenolics, and mercury.

The trend results generally appear to be favorable and document the effectiveness of past efforts of improving water quality on the Ohio River.

By incorporating the Seasonal Kendall Slope Estimator, the magnitude of the trends is estimated. The magnitude gives an idea of the change in concentration per year. The reader may be more interested in the magnitude of trends as opposed to simply the existence of a trend. Knowing trend magnitudes allows a better visual perspective on the rate of trend development.

LITERATURE CITED

Bauer, K.M., W.D. Glauz, and J.D. Flora at Midwest Research Institute. 1984. "Methodologies for Determining Trends in Water Quality Data". Prepared for Industrial Environmental Research Laboratories, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Contract No. 68-02-3938, Assignment No. 29.

Garrison, J.S. 1988. "1985-1987 Maryland Water Quality Inventory", Volume I and II. Maryland Department of the Environment.

Joint Committee of the Water Pollution Control Federation and the American Society of Civil Engineers. 1977. <u>Wastewater Treatment Plant Design</u>. Lancaster Press, Inc.

Smith, R.A., R.M. Hirsch, and J.R. Slack. 1982. "A Study of Trends in Total Phosphorus Measurements at NASQAN Stations". U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2190.

Weston Inc., Roy F. 1986. "Water Quality Management of Phenolics and Cyanide in the Upper Ohio River". prepared for Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission.

APPENDIX A: QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN FOR MANUAL SAMPLING



QUALITY ASSURANCE WORK PLAN FOR MANUAL SAMPLING NETWORK

Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission 49 E. Fourth Street, Suite 300 Cincinnati, OH 45202

December 1988


QA Work Plan Manual Sampling December 1988 Page 1 of 9

PROGRAM NAME: Manual Sampling Network

PROGRAM INITIATION: 1975 (last revised August 1986)

PROGRAM OBJECTIVE: To provide data on certain chemical and physical parameters for water quality assessments.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

The Manual Sampling Network consists of monthly collection and analysis of river water samples at 36 locations on the Ohio River and the lower reaches of the major tributaries. The analytical parameters selected and the frequency of sampling are designed to provide information needed to appraise water quality conditions at each location and for general assessment of the river as a whole. Most parameters are analyzed monthly except for the nutrient parameters which are omitted at fifteen stations from November through April. Certain metals are analyzed quarterly. Laboratory analyses are obtained on a contract basis with state agency and commercial laboratories certified by US EPA.

MONITORING NETWORK DESIGN:

Manual sampling locations, parameters and sampling frequency are reviewed annually by the Monitoring Strategy Subcommittee to assure the program is responsive to the water quality data needs of the participating agencies. Sampling locations are selected to provide coverage:

- Upstream and downstream of major population and/or industrial centers on the Ohio River.
- In high water use areas such as public water supply intakes and recreational areas.
- At or near the point of confluence of major tributaries and interstate boundaries.

Since flow measurements are essential to accurately assess water quality impacts, stations are also sited where flow data is available. Descriptions of the current Manual Sampling stations are provided in Attachment A, Table 1.

QA Work Plan Manual Sampling December 1988 Page 2 of 9

MONITORING PARAMETERS AND FREQUENCY:

Water samples are collected each month as grab samples at the designated site. If access to the site is not available, the sample is taken at the nearest convenient point and duly noted on the sample report form. Samples are collected so as to arrive at the laboratory on a weekday (Monday through Friday) and within 24 hours. Parameter analysis schedules are listed for each station in Attachment A, Table 2.

PROGRAM ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY

The following is a list of key program personnel and their corresponding responsibilities:

Part-time F:	ield	Investigators	-	sampling
--------------	------	---------------	---	----------

Coordinator of Field Operations

sampling QC

operations

- performance and system audits
- overall program coordination

West Virginia DNR Laboratory

Computer Operator

data processing activities/QC

laboratory analysis/QC

Monitoring Programs Manager data quality review -

-

overall QA

QA Work Plan Manual Sampling December 1988 Page 3 of 9

PARAMETER TABLE:

	NUMBER OF	SAMPLE	ANALYTICAL	SAMPLE	HOLDING
PARAMETER	SAMPLES	MATRIX	METHOD	PRESERV.	TIME
Temp	432	Water	STD Method 212	none	Field Test
рH	432		EPA 150.1	none	Field Test
DO	432	н	EPA 360.1	none	Field Test
Sp. Cond.	432		EPA 120.1	Cool 4°C	28 days
Susp. Solids	432		SID Method 209	Cool 4°C	7 days
Alkalinity	432	н	SID Method 403	Cool 4°C	14 days
BCD	342		STD Method 507	Cool 4°C	48 hours
Sulfate	432	u	STD Method 426	Cool 4°C	28 days
Chloride	168	п	STD Method 407	Cool 4°C	28 days
Hardness	432	н,	STD Method 314	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Cyanide	432		EPA 335.2	Fix pH>12 NaOH	14 days
Phenolics	432	ų.	STD Method 510	Fix pH<2 H2SO4	28 days
P (TOT)	342		EPA 365.2	Fix pH<2 H2SO4	28 days
ortho-P	342	0	EPA 365.1	Cool 4°C	48 hours
ŢĸŊ	342		STD Method 420	Fix pH<2 H2SO4	28 days
Ammonia	342		STD Method 417	Fix pH<2 H2SO4	28 days
N03-N02	342	"	STD Method 418	Cool 4°C	28 days
Aluminum	432	u	EPA 202.1	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Arsenic	432	"	EPA 206.3	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Barium	144	"	EPA 208.1	Fix pH<2	6 months

QA Work Plan Manual Sampling December 1988 Page 4 of 9

•	NUMBER OF	SAMPLE	ANALYTICAL	SAMPLE	HOLDING
PARAMETER	SAMPLES	MATRIX	METHOD	PRESERV.	TIME
Cadmium	432	и	EPA 213.2	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Chromium	144	u	EPA 218.2	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Copper	432	u	EPA 220.2	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Iron	432		EPA 236.2	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Lead	432 .		EPA 239.2	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Magnesium	432		EPA 242.1	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Manganese	432	n	EPA 243.2	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Mercury	432		EPA 245.1	Fix pH<2 HNO3	28 days
Nickel	144	н.	EPA 249.2	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Selenium	144	u.	EPA 270.3	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Silver	144	н	EPA 272.2	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months
Zinc	432	н	EPA 289.2	Fix pH<2 HNO3	6 months

QA Work Plan Manual Sampling December 1988 Page 5 of 9

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND ASSESSMENT

Data quality requirements are parameter specific and shall conform to those stated in US EPA approved analytical methods. The quality assurance protocols at West Virginia DNR laboratories includes the following:

- duplicates run at 10% of sample load to determine precision
- spiked samples run at 5% of sample load to determine accuracy
- quarterly analysis of EPA prepared "knowns"
- annual participation in EPA Performance Evaluation Studies

The laboratory employs the Shewhart technique (EPA 600-4/79-019) for preparing precision and accuracy control charts.

	TARGET			
	DETECTION	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	QA
PARAMETER	LIMIT	ACCURACY	PRECISION	PROTOCOL
Temperature	1°C	1%		NBS Thermometer
pH	0.1	±0.2 units		pH 4 and 7 buffer checks daily
DO	0.05-0.1	1%	±0.05	Air calibration daily
Sp. Cond.	10µS	2%	±10µS	NaCl calibration check
Susp. Solids	1 mg/L		*	as stated in paragraph above
Alkalinity	1 mg/L	*	*	п
BOD	2 mg/L	*	*	н
Sulfate	2 mg/L	*	*	п
Chloride	2 mg/L	*	*	п
Hardness	2 mg/L	*	*	п
Cvanide	1 ug/L	*	*	и.
Phenolics	2 ug/L	*	*	
Phosphorus	0.02 mg/L	*	*	н
ortho-phosphate	0.08 mg/L	*	*	н
TKN	0.02 mg/L	*	*	н
Ammonia	0.02 mg/L	*	*	н
Nitrate-Nitrite	0.02 mg/L	*	*	
Aluminum	200 ug/L	*	*	
Arsenic	0.5 ug/L	*	*	
Barium	100 ug/L	*	*	
Cadmium	5 ug/L	*	*	н
Chromium	10 ug/L	*	*	

QA Work Plan Manual Sampling December 1988 Page 6 of 9

	TARGET			
	DETECTION	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	AP
PARAMETER	LIMIT	ACCURACY	PRECISION	PROTOCOL
Copper	10 ug/L	*	• •	н
Iron	50 ug/L	*	*	н
Lead	10 ug/L	*	*	
Magnesium	10 ug/L	*	*	
Manganese	20 ug/L	*	*	н
Mercury	0.1 ug/L	*	*	
Nickel .	10 ug/L	*	*	н
Selenium	1 ug/L	*	* '	
Silver	5 ug/L	*	*	
Zinc	5 ug/L	*	*	н

* See West Virginia DNR Laboratory QA Plan

DATA REPRESENTATIVENESS, COMPARABILITY, AND COMPLETENESS

As an ambient "fixed station" network, the manual sampling program is designed to provide a overview of water quality conditions and trends at a specific location and across the entire Ohio River. The majority of sampling locations have been sampled repeatedly over the last 13 years, initially at a frequency of three times per month. Sampling frequencies of one to three times per month were found to provide an adequate number of data observations to establish long-term trends on the Ohio River. The period of record for the manual sampling network is shown by station in Attachment A, Table 3. During the water years 1986-87, data completeness was 95% - 100%.

Measures taken to assure data comparability and completeness include: standardized written field/analytical procedures (SOPs); standardized data recordkeeping; uniformity in sampling equipment; periodic field blanks, spikes, and duplicates. River cross-section surveys are also performed each year to insure representative sampling at each station in the network.

INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL CHECKS

Sample blanks, duplicates, matrix spikes and comparison samples are submitted to the contract laboratory on a quarterly basis. Field blanks serve as a check on the sampling method, and any contamination from sample bottles and preservatives. Field spikes and duplicate samples serve as a check on laboratory accuracy and precision. Spikes are prepared using EPA Quality Control Samples available from the Environmental Monitoring and

QA Work Plan Manual Sampling December 1988 Page 7 of 9

Support Laboratory in Cincinnati. Comparison samples are collected from an alternate sample point at the same location to screen for potential problems in samples obtained from intake lines.

All manual sampling stations are checked on a periodic schedule to determine if the water sample collected from a particular site is representative of the river at that point. This is done by cross-sectional sampling of the river at various depths at the mid-point and quarter points and comparing the results to that obtained at the routine sampling point. First priority is given to those sites where potential problems may exist as well as new monitoring sites which have not been studied previously. Measurements of pH, temperature, conductivity and dissolved oxygen are taken in the field during cross-sectioning. Vertical composite samples may also be collected for other parameters such as metals, nutrients, and inorganics based on site-specific conditions.

SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Detailed sampling procedures for the Manual Sampling Network are contained in the Standard Operating Procedures, Part III. A general summary of these procedures is as follows:

River water samples are collected from raw water intake lines, off lockchamber guide walls, or bridges. To sample from outside structures, a weighted aluminum bucket is used with sufficient nylon rope attached to traverse the distance to the river surface. Grab samples are collected at a depth of one and onehalf meters. For in-river depth integrated sampling such as for cross-section surveys, the Kemmerer water sampler is used. This device permits representative sampling of the water column at specified depths. To sample from intake lines, the sample tap valve is opened, flushed for 2-3 minutes and the sample collected in a 2-liter plastic carboy.

The appropriate aliquot of sample is distributed to the sample bottles, preservative agent added if required and the solution mixed thoroughly. Samples are placed in crushed ice within an insulated cooler for shipment to the laboratory. Field tests for temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and conductivity are performed on suitable aliquots of the sample. All field data and observations are recorded on the Water Quality Report form. Three copies of the report accompany the samples to the laboratory while a fourth copy is submitted to ORSANCO.

QA Work Plan Manual Sampling December 1988 Page 8 of 9

SAMPLE CUSTODY

Water samples collected in the field are identified by date, time, location, station ID code and are accompanied by a Water Quality Report form. The sample collector attests to the validity of the sample by signature on the bottles and the report form. The samples are delivered by the sample collector to the authorized carrier for shipment to the laboratory.

CALIBRATION PROCEDURES AND PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE

See the Standard Operating Procedures for Ambient Water Quality Monitoring, Part III of the Quality Assurance Manual.

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

See Standard Operating Procedures, for Ambient Water Quality Monitoring, Part III of the Quality Assurance Manual.

DATA REDUCTION, VALIDATION, REPORTING

Field data and laboratory data are recorded on the Water Quality Report form. The data is received 4-5 weeks after sample collection, reviewed for completeness according to the monthly parameter schedule, and checked for outlying values. Any questionable data is verified with the laboratory manager and/or the field investigator. The data is then entered in ORSANCO's computer database, retrieved, verified against the source document and edited before transmittal to US EPA STORET system. A copy of the Water Quality Reports are bound by year and kept on file for ten years. Summary reports are produced for distribution to member states and for publication in the Quality Monitor.

DATA USAGE

The physical chemical data generated by the manual sampling program is used to:

- assess general water quality conditions and identify problem areas
- evaluate point, non-point and tributary impacts to the Ohio River

QA Work Plan Manual Sampling December 1988 Page 9 of 9

- identify long-term trends in improvement or degradation in the Ohio River
- support water quality management decisions

Basic statistical tests are performed on the data to characterize water quality by station. These values are compared to the Commission's stream criteria and combined with other monitoring data for 305b assessments.

The seasonal Kendall test is applied to historical data to assess long-term trends in water quality.

PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS

The Coordinator of Field Operations checks for adherence to sampling protocols, resolves schedule conflicts and provides onsite training for the field investigators. The analytical services contract is updated and renewed each year with the laboratory. Since the laboratory is required to be US EPA certified, documentation and submittal of QC data is a prerequisite. The laboratory's quality control procedures are reviewed as necessary to assure the generation of valid data.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

Corrective action in field procedures consists of immediate follow-up with the field investigators to review the sampling protocol and provide additional training if necessary. Corrective action in the laboratory is the responsibility of the laboratory manager who informs ORSANCO when a problem occurs and the steps taken to resolve it.

REPORTS

Quality assurance reports for the Manual Sampling Network will be prepared periodically to present results of EPA performance tests and river cross-section surveys. Water quality data for all stations is reported quarterly in the Quality Monitor. Trend assessment results are published biennially in 305b report for the Ohio River.



ATTACHMENT A

MANUAL SAMPLING NETWORK



TABLE 1. MANUAL SAMPLING LOCATIONS/DESCRIPTION

	FLOW		MILE	
STATION #	STATION	STATION NAME	POINT	STATION DESCRIPTION (Sample Location)
1234	Acmetonia	Allegheny R. at Pittsburgh	7.4	Pittsburgh Waterworks intake (Submersible pump in intake structure building)
1237	Braddock	Monongahela R. at South Pittsburgh	4.5	Western Pennsylvania Water Co. intake Beck's Run (raw water tap in pumping station)
1201	Dashields	Ohio R. at South Heights	15.2	Duquesne Light Power Plant (intake structure at river bank)
1242	Beaver Falls	Beaver R. at Beaver Falls	5.3	Beaver Falls Waterworks intake (inlet chamber in the treatment building)
1500	E. Liverpool	Ohio R. at East Liverpool	40.2	East Liverpool Waterworks intake (tap in raw water line from pumping station to treatment plant)
1406	Wheeling	Ohio R. at Wheeling	86.8	Wheeling Water Department intake (raw water tap at plant)
1423	Moundsville	Ohio R. at Hannibal	126.4	Hannibal Lock and Dam near Hannibal, OH (outside up-stream guide wall)
1408	Willow Island	Ohio R. at Willow Island, OH	161.8	Willow Island Lock and Dam near Newell Run, OH (outside up-stream guide wall)
1531	Marietta	Muskingum R. at L&D #2	5.8	Lock and Dam #2 near Devola, OH (outside up-stream guide wall)
1421	Parkersburg	Ohio R. at Belleville	203.9	Belleville Lock and Dam near Reedsville, OH (outside up-stream guide wall)
1510	Pomeroy	Ohio R. at Addison	260.0	Kyger Creek Power Plant near Addison, OH (raw water tap near intake structure)
1450	Winfield	Kanawha R. at Winfield	31.1	Hydroelectric plant on west side of Winfield L&D (concrete structure above dam and hydroelectric facility)
1422	Gallipolis	Ohio R. at Gallipolis	279.2	Gallipolis Lock and Dam near Gallipolis Ferry, WV (outside up-stream guide wall)
1412	Greenup	Ohio R. at Huntington	306.0	Huntington Water Corporation intake on 24th Street in Huntington, WV (raw water tap in basement of building)
1610	Ashland	Ohio R. at Ashland	319.7	Ashland Waterworks in Ashland, KY (raw water line in basement)
1630	Louisa	Big Sandy R. at Louisa	20.3	Kentucky Power Co. plant on US 23 near Louisa, KY, (raw water tap in intake

TABLE 1. MANUAL SAMPLING LOCATIONS/DESCRIPTION

STATION #	FLOW STATION	STATION NAME	MILE	STATION DESCRIPTION (Sample Location)
1526	Greenup	Ohio R. at Portsmouth	350.7	Portsmouth Water Treatment Plant intake New Boston, OH (raw water line in basement of plant)
1538	Lucasville	Scioto R. at Lucasville	15.0	State Highway Bridge Rt. 348 crossing Scioto R. near Lucasville, OH (from center of bridge)
1611	Meldahl	Ohio R. At Maysville	408.5	Maysville Waterworks intake (raw water line in plant)
1504	California	Ohio R. at Cincinnati	462.8	Cincinnati Waterworks intake near California (raw water line in the basement of the round building)
1571	Little Miami	Little Miami R. at Newtown	7.5	Newtown Road bridge crossing L. Miami (as close to mid-channel as possible from bridge or below bridge according to safety practices)
1634	Covington	Licking R. at Covington	4.5	Kenton County Waterworks intake, (raw Water tap in basement of plant)
1508	Covington	Ohio R. at North Bend	490.0	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co., Miami Fort intake (raw water tap in condenser pit of plant)
1551	Cincinnati	Great Miami R. at Elizabethtown	5.5	Lost Bridge crossing G. Miami R. near Elizabethtown, OH (mid-stream from bridge)
1600	Markland	Ohio R. at Markland	531.5	Public Service of Indiana hydroelectric plant intake (raw water line near electronic monitor)
1601	McAlpine-Up	Ohio R. at Louisville	600.6	Louisville Water Co. pumping station on Zorn Avenue (raw water line at station near electronic monitor)
1622	McAlpine-Low	Ohio R. at West Point	625.9	Louisville Gas and Electric Co. Mill Creek Plant (raw water line in the basement of the plant near electronic monitor)
1721	Cannelton-Up	Ohio R. at Cannelton	720.7	Cannelton Lock and Dam near Cannelton, IN (outside up-stream guide wall)
1656	Spottsville	Green R. at Sebree	41.3	Big Rivers Electric Plant near Sebree, KY (intake structure outside walkway)

TABLE 1. MANUAL SAMPLING LOCATIONS/DESCRIPTION

	FLOW		MILE	
STATION #	STATION	STATION NAME	POINT	STATION DESCRIPTION (Sample Location)
1703	Evansville -	Ohio R. at Evansville	791.5	Evansville Waterworks Filtration Plant (raw water tap in basement)
1722	Uniontown	Ohio R. at Uniontown	846.0	Uniontown Lock and Dam near Hovey, IN (outside up-stream guide wall)
1741	New Harmony	Wabash R. at New Harmony	51.5	White Co. Bridge Commission Toll Bridge at New Harmony (middle bridge-pier beneath roadway)
1640	None	Cumberland R. at Pinkneyville, KY	16.0	Critteden-Livingston Water Plan (raw water line in lower level of building)
1650	None	Tennessee R. at Paducah	6.0	Ashland Oil Terminal on Highway 62 east of Paducah, KY (barge unloading dock at terminal)
1820	Shawneetown	Ohio R. at Smithland	918.5	Smithland Lock and Dam near Hamletsburg, IL (outside up-stream guide wall)
1821	Јорра	Ohio R. at Joppa	952.3	Electric Energy Inc. power plant near Joppa, IL (raw water tap in intake structure near electronic monitor)



TABLE 2. MANUAL SAMPLING SCHEDULE

MONTHLY PARAMETER SCHEDULE

				(FEB., MAR., MA	AY, JUNE,
STATION	ID CODE	CJAN., APR., JUL.	. 0CT.)	AUG., SEPT., NO	OV., DEC.)
		21014		11CTA	
PITTSBURGH	1234	SUSP. SOLIDS	CADMIUM	SUSP. SOLIDS	CADMIUM
SO. PITTSBURGH	1237	ALKALINITY	COPPER	ALKALINITY	COPPER
BEAVER FALLS	1242	SULFATE	IRON	SULFATE	IRON
		CHLORIDE	MANGANESE	CHLORIDE	MANGANESE
		TOTAL P	MERCURY	TOTAL P	MERCURY
		TKN	ARSENIC	TKN	ZINC
		AMMONIA	CHROMIUM	AMMONIA	ARSENIC
		NITRATE/NITRITE	NICKEL	NITRATE/NITRITE	ALUMINUM
		ORTHO P	SELENIUM	ORTHO P	
		800	SILVER	800	
		MAGNESIUM	ZINC	MAGNESIUM	
		PHENOL ICS	ALUMINUM	PHENOL I CS	
		CYANIDE		CYANIDE	
		21CA		1164	
SO. HEIGHTS	1201	SUSP. SOLIDS	CADMIUM	SUSP. SOLIDS	CADMIUM
EAST LIVERPOOL	1500	ALKALINITY	COPPER	ALKALINITY	COPPER
		SULFATE	IRON	SULFATE	IRON
		HARDNESS	LEAD	HARDNESS	LEAD
		TOTAL P	MANGANESE	TOTAL P	MANGANESE
		TKN	MERCURY	TKN	MERCURY
		AMMONIA	ARSENIC	AMMONIA	ARSENIC
		NITRATE/NITRITE	BARIUM	NITRATE/NITRITE	ALUMINUM
		ORTHO P	NICKEL	ORTHO P	ZINC
		BOD	SELENIUM	BOD	
		MAGNESIUM	SILVER	MAGNESIUM	
		PHENOL I CS	ZINC	PHENOLICS	
		CYANIDE	ALUMINUM	CYANIDE	
			CHROMIUM		

TABLE 2. MANUAL SAMPLING SCHEDULE

MONTHLY PARAMETER SCHEDULE

				(FEB., MAR., MA	AY, JUNE,
STATION	ID CODE	(JAN., APR., JUL.	001.)	AUG., SEPT., NO	DV., DEC.)
		210		110	
WHEEL ING	1406	SUSP. SOLIDS	CADMIUM	SUSP. SOLIDS	CADMIUM
HANNIBAL	1423	SULFATE	COPPER	SULFATE	COPPER
WILLOW ISLAND	1408	HARDNESS	IRON	HARDNESS	IRON
BELLEVILLE	1421	TOTAL P	LEAD	TOTAL P	LEAD
ADD I SON	1510	TKN	MANGANESE	TKN	MANGANESE
GALLIPOLIS	1422	AMMONIA	MERCURY	AMMONIA	MERCURY
HUNTINGTON	1412	NITRATE/NITRITE	ARSENIC	NITRATE/NITRITE	ZINC
PORTSMOUTH	1526	ORTHO P	BARIUM	ORTHO P	ALUMINUM
LOUI SVILLE	1601	BOD	NICKEL	800	ARSENIC
WEST POINT	1622	MAGNESIUM	SELENIUM	MAGNESIUM	
EVANSVILLE	1703	PHENOL I CS	SILVER	PHENOL I CS	
JOPPA	1821	CYANIDE	ZINC	CYANIDE	
		CHROMIUM	ALUMINUM		
		2101		1101	
LOUISA	1630	SUSP. SOLIDS	CADMIUM	SUSP. SOLIDS	CADMIUM
COVINGTON	1634	SULFATE	COPPER	SULFATE	COPPER
SEEBREE	1556	HARDNESS	IRON	HARDNESS	IRON
PADUCAH	1650	CHLORIDE	LEAD	CHLORIDE	LEAD
		TOTAL P	MANGANESE	TOTAL P	MANGANESE
		TKN	MERCURY	TKN	MERCURY
		AMMONIA	ARSENIC	AMMONIA	ARSENIC
		NITRATE/NITRITE	NICKEL	NI TRATE/NI TRI TE	ZINC
		ORTHO P	SELENIUM	ORTHO P	ALUMINUM
		BOD	SILVER	BOD	
		MAGNESIUM	ZINC	MAGNESIUM	
		PHENOL I CS	ALUMINUM	CYANIDE	
		CYANIDE		PHENOLICS	
		CHROMIUM			

TABLE 2. MANUAL SAMPLING SCHEDULE

MONTHLY PARAMETER SCHEDULE

STATION	ID CODE	(JAN., APR.)	(FEB. MAR. NOV. DEC.)	(JULY. OCT.)	CMAY. JUNE. AUG.
		211	111	21CT	11CT
MUSKINGUM	1531	SUSP. SOLIDS	SUSP. SOLIDS	SUSP. SOLIDS	SUSP. SOLIDS
WINFIELD	1450	SULFATE	SULFATE	SULFATE	SULFATE
LUCASVILLE	1538	HARDNESS	HARDNESS	HARDNESS	HARDNESS
NEWTON	1571	CHLORIDE	CHLORIDE	CHLORIDE	CHLORIDE
ELIZABETHTOWN	1551	MAGNESIUM	MAGNESIUM	TOTAL P	TOTAL P
NEW HARMONY	1741	PHENOL I CS	PHENOL I CS	TKN	TKN
BARKLEY DAM	1645	CYANIDE	CYANIDE	AMMONIA	AMMONIA
		CADMIUM	CADMIUM	NITRATE/NITRITE	NITRATE/NITRITE
		COPPER	COPPER	ORTHO P	ORTHO P
		IRON	IRON	BOD	BOD
		LEAD	LEAD	MAGNESIUM	MAGNESIUM
		MANGANESE	MANGANESE	PHENOLICS	PHENOL I CS
		MERCURY	MERCURY	CYANIDE	CYANIDE
		ZINC	ARSENIC	CADMIUM	CADMIUM
		ARSENIC	ZINC	COPPER	COPPER
		CHROMIUM	ALUMINUM	IRON	IRON
		NICKEL		LEAD	LEAD
		SELENIUM		MANGANESE	MANGANESE
		SILVER		MERCURY	MERCURY
		ALUMINUM		ZINC	ARSENIC
				CHROMIUM	ALUMINUM
				ARSENIC	ZINC
				CHROMIUM	
				NICKEL	
				SELENIUM	
				SILVER	
				ALUMINUM	

. AUG., SEPT.) 1CT

SCHEDULE	
SAMPL ING	
MANUAL	
2.	
TABLE	

MONTHLY PARAMETER SCHEDULE

STATION	ID CODE	(JAN., APR.)	(FEB., MAR., NOV., DEC.)	(JULY, OCT.)	(MAY, JUNE, AUG., SEPT.)
		21	11	21C	110
ASHLAND	1610	SUSP. SOLIDS	SUSP. SOLIDS	SUSP. SOLIDS	SUSP. SOLIDS
MAYSVILLE	1611	SULFATE	SULFATE	SULFATE	SULFATE
CINCINNATI	1504	HARDNESS	HARDNESS	HARDNESS	HARDNESS
NORTH BEND	1508	MAGNESIUM	MAGNESIUM	TOTAL P	TOTAL P
MARKLAND	1600	PHENOL I CS	PHENOLICS.	TKN	TKN
CANNELTON	1721	CYANIDE	CYANIDE	AMMONIA	AMMONIA
UNIONTOWN	1722	CADMIUM	CADMIUM	NITRATE/NITRITE	NITRATE/NITRITE
SMI THLAND	1820	COPPER	COPPER	ORTHO P	ORTHO P
		IRON	IRON	BOD	BOD
		LEAD	LEAD	MAGNESIUM	MAGNESIUM
		MANGANESE	MANGANESE	PHENOLICS	PHENOL I CS
		MERCURY	MERCURY	CYANIDE	CYANIDE
		ZINC	ARSENIC	CADMIUM	CADMIUM
		ARSENIC	ZINC	COPPER	COPPER
		BARIUM	ALUMINUM	IRON	IRON
		CHROMIUM		LEAD	LEAD
		NICKEL		MANGANESE	MANGANESE
		SELENIUM		MERCURY	MERCURY
		SILVER		ZINC	ARSENIC
		ALUMINUM		ARSENIC	ZINC
				BARIUM	ALUMINUM
				CHROMIUM	
				NICKEL	
				SELENIUM	
				SILVER	
				ALUMINUM	

STATION DATA BASE: MANUAL MONITORING SYSTEM

Table 3

OHIO	POINT	STATION NAME	RIVER	STORET#	WATERBODY ID	WA TERBODY NAME	aD	ED
	0.0 0.0 0.0	PITTSBURGH WATER WORKS OAKMONT WATER WORKS SOUTH PITTSBURGH	ALLEGHENY ALLEGHENY MONONGAHELA	AR7.4M AR13.3M MR-4.5M		ALLEGHENY ALLEGHENY MONONGAHELA	7/15/86 7/15/75 11/3/75	CURRENT 9/3/85 CURRENT
	15.2	SOUTH HEIGHTS	OHIO	0R9658M	ORWBØ3	DASHIELDS - BEAVER	11/3/75	CURRENT
	25.4	BEAVER FALLS	BEAVER	BR-5.3.		BEAVER	11/12/75	CURREN
	40.2	EAST LIVERPOOL WATER WORKS	OHIC	0R94Ø8M	ORWBØ6	PA STATE LINE - NEW CUMBERLAND	12/11/75	CURRENT
	84.2	PIKE ISLAND L&D	OHIO	088968M	ORWBØ7	NEW CUMBERLAND - PIKE ISLAND	4/11/75	9/22/Et
	86.8	WHEELING WATER TREATMENT PLANT	0110	0R894.2M	ORWBØ8	PIKE ISLAND - HANNIBAL	12/15/75	CURRENT
	192.4	SHADYSIDE	OHIC	0R8786M	ORWBØ8	PIKE ISLAND - HANNIBAL	11/18/75	9/22/8:
	126.4	HANNIBAL L&D	OHIO	0R8546M	ORWBØ8	PIKE ISLAND - HANNIBAL	9/25/77	CURRENT
	161.8	WILLOW ISLAND LAD	OHIO	0R8192M	ORWBØ9	HANNIBAL - WILLOW ISLAND	11/19/75	CURRENT
	172.2	MUSKINGUM L&D #2	MUSK INGUM	MU-5.5M		MUSK INGUM	11/19/75	CURRENT
	203.9	BELLEVILLE L&D	OHIO	0R7771M	ORWB11	MUSKINGUM - BELLEVILLE	11/11/75	CURRENT
	260.0	ADDISON - KYGER CREEK	OHIO	0R7219M	ORWB13	RACINE - KANAWHA	11/11/75	CURRENT
	265.7	WINFIELD L&D	KANAMHA	KR31.1M		KANAMHA	11/11/75	CURRENT
	279.2	GALLIPOLIS L&D	OHIO	0R7918M	ORWB14	KANAMHA - GALLIPOLIS	11/11/75	CURRENT
÷	306.9	HUNTINGTON WATER CORPORATION	OHIO	0R6741M	ORWB15	GALLIPOLIS - BIG SANDY	11/11/75	CURRENT
	315.8	KENOVA	OHIO	0R6652M	ORWB15	GALLIPOLIS - BIG SANDY	11/11/75	9/9/86
	317.1	LOUISA	BIG SANDY	SR29.3M		BIG SANDY	11/10/75	CURRENT
	319.7	ASHLAND WATER WORKS	OHIO	0R661.3M	ORWB16	BIG SANDY - GREENUP	7/9/86	CURRENT
	341.0	GREENUP L&D	OHIO	0R6466M	ORWB16	BIG SANDY - GREENLP	11/12/75	9/9/85
	350.7	PORTSMOUTH WATER TREATMENT PLANT	OHIO	0R630.3M	ORWB17	GREENUP - SCIOTO	10/23/86	CURRENT
	356.5	LUCASVILLE	SCIOTO	SC15.0M		SCIOTO	11/12/75	CURRENT
	408.5	MAYSVILLE WATER WORKS	OHIO	0R572.5M	ORWB18	SCIOTO - MELDAHL	7/8/86	CURRENT
	436.2	MELDAHL L&D	OHIO	0R5448M	ORWB18	SCIOTO - MELDAHL	11/12/75	9/23/85
	462.8	CINCINNATI WATER WORKS	OHIO	0R5182M	ORWB20	LITTLE MIAMI - LICKING	11/7/75	CURRENT
	464.1	NEWTOWN	LITTLE MIAMI	LH-7.5H		LITTLE MIAMI	7/6/75	CURRENT
	479.2	COVINETON	LICKING	LR-4.5M		LICKING	11/18/75	CURRENT

STATION DATA BASE: MANUAL MONITORING SYSTEM

OHIC	POINT	STATION NAME	RIVER	STORETS	WATERBODY ID	WATERBODY NAME	ЗD	
	490.0	NORTH BEND	OHIO	0R49121	CRWB21	LICKING - GREAT MIAMI	11/17/75	C
	491.1	ELIZABETHTOWN	GREAT MIAMI	6M-5.5M		GREAT MIAMI	11/10/75	С
	531.5	MARKLAND L&D	OHIO	0R4495M	OF#B22	GREAT MIAMI - MARKLAND	11/17/75	C
	600.6	LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY	OHIO	0R3804M	ORWB24	KENTUCKY - MCALPINE	11/10/75	С
	625.9	WEST POINT	OHIO	0R3551M	ORWB25	MCALPINE - SALT	11/10/75	С
	720.7	CANNELTON L&D	CHIO	0R26Ø3M	ORWB26	SALT - CANNELTON	:1/13/75	C
	784.2	SEEBREE	GREEEN RIVER	GR41.3M		GREEN	11/14/75	3
	791.5	EVANSVILLE WATER WORKS	OHIO	0R1895M	ORWB29	GREEN - UNIONTOWN	11/3/75	C
	846.0	UNIONTOWN L&D	OHIO	0R1350M	ORWB29	GREEN - UNIONTOWN	11/11/75	C
	848.0	NEW HARMONY	WABASH	WA9295M		WABASH	11/11/75	C
	918.5	SHITHLAND LLD	OHIO	0R62.5M	ORWB31	WABASH - SMITHLAND	1/19/82	C
	920.4	BARKLEY DAM	CUMBERLAND	CR39.6M		CUMBERLAND	11/17/75	Ci
	934.5	PADUCAH	TENNESSEE	TR-6.91		TENNESSEE	11/17/75	C
	935.5	PADUCAH WATER WORKS	OHIO	OR45.5M	ORWB34	TENNESSEE - CAIRO	7/10/84	CL
	952.3	JOPPA	OHIO	0R28.7M	ORWB34	TENNESSEE - CAIRO	11/17/75	CL

Table 3

APPENDIX B: SEASONAL KENDALL TEST METHODOLOGY AND FORMULAS



METHODOLOGY

In order to perform the trend assessments, several steps had to be taken. These included:

- Selection of stations and parameters for analysis.
- 2) Selection of an appropriate model for determining the flow adjusted concentration values.
- 3) Retrieval and preparation of the data sets.
- 4) Statistical analysis of the data sets using the Seasonal Kendall Test.

Selection of Stations and Parameters for Analysis

Many missing values in a data set, like that of mercury or phenolics, decrease the reliability of the results. The Seasonal Kendall Test requires at least five data points in each month over the eleven year interval. The thirteen stations that do not monitor for nutrients year-round were excluded for this reason.

Values below the detection levels were censored and converted to missing values. "Because the Seasonal Kendall Test is nonparametric, outliers, missing values, or values defined as "less than" the laboratory detection limit present no computational or technical problem in its application." (Smith, et.al., Water Quality Trends in the Nation's Rivers, 1987).

Choosing a Model for Flow-Adjusted Concentration Values

Flow-adjusted values are derived from: (1) describing the relationship between flow and concentration using a statistical model and (2) determining the difference between the value predicted by the model and the actual value. This difference is the residual. The experience of J. Shermer Garrison of the Maryland Department of the Environment is that after testing several models at several locations, a LOG-LOG model (as defined in the table below) was often chosen as the best-fit relationship. A best-fit relationship is the model which best meets various requirements in four areas:

- 1) Analysis of Variance Table (ANOVA Table)
- 2) Plots of Predicted and Observed values versus Flow
- 3) Residual plots
- 4) Univariate table consisting of Normal Probability plot, Boxplot, and Stem-and-Leaf diagram.

The ANOVA table should have a low Mean Squared Error (MSE), a high F-value, a low P-value (probability of being wrong) and a high R-squared. If these numbers are similar for two different models, the model with

the highest F-value is favorable. The plot of predicted and observed values versus flow should show a close association of observed values to the predicted line. A good model would simulate closely actual observations. Residuals are used to indicate a bias in the model. The residuals plot should indicate randomness. The residuals when plotted, should tend to fall in a horizontal band centered around zero. If a pattern emerges in the residuals plot, a departure of actual conditions from those predicted in the model exist. The univariate table is used to analyze the randomness of the residuals using a normal probability plot, a boxplot and a stem and leaf diagram. Randomness is indicated by a straight line probability plot, a standard boxplot and a bell shaped stem and leaf diagram. A uniform distribution indicates the model appropriately simulates actual conditions. The models tested in this study are found in the table below.

, FL	MODELS EVALUATED FOR USE IN OW-ADJUSTED CONCENTRATION RELATIONSHIP (Using TSS as an example parameter)
LINEAR	$TSS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * FLOW$
LOG-LOG	$ln(TSS) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * ln(FLOW)$
LINEAR-HYPERBOLIC	TSS = β_0 + β_1 *[1 / (1 + 10 ^(-2.5 - BETA) *FLOW)] where beta = interger of log10(meanFLOW)
LINEAR-INVERSE	TSS = $\beta_0 + \beta_1(1 / FLOW)$
LINEAR-QUADRATIC	$TSS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * FLOW + \beta_2 * FLOW^2$
LOG-QUADRATIC	$\log(TSS) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * \log(FLOW) + \beta_2 * [\log(FLOW)]^2$

For the evaluation, total suspended solids and total dissolved solids were considered to be representative parameters in the Ohio River Basin. These parameters had the least missing values in their data sets and were tested consistently over the years. Residuals analysis for all models in Table 3 are run on both parameters at all stations to establish the appropriate relationship between flow and concentration.

The LOG-LOG model was determined to provide the best-fit relationship for both total suspended solids and total dissolved solids. Appendix C contains sample pages of the ANOVA table, the Predicted and Observed plots and the Univariate table for three models at the Cincinnati station on the Ohio River. It includes both total suspended solids and total dissolved solids for the LOG-LOG model, the LOG-QUADRATIC model and the LINEAR model.

Since the LOG-LOG and LOG-QUADRATIC models gave similar results, the Univariate tables of each model at the same station are similar for total suspended solids. The Predicted and Observed plots at most stations follow the same pattern for both models. The Residuals plot for the two models are similar at most stations and are either both randomly scattered or both display a similar pattern. In the ANOVA table, the MSE and the R-Square are often close in value between models. The deciding factor at all stations is the F-value in the ANOVA table. The model with the highest F-value and the lowest P-value is chosen as the best-fit model. Also, convention requires that if two models are very close, the simpler version is to be used. In this case, the simpler model is the LOG-LOG model.

In the case of total suspended solids, those stations that do have a better fit with the LOG-QUADRATIC model are the stations with fewer years of data. The fact that these stations have a better-fit relationship with the LOG-QUADRATIC model may have something to do with the size of their data sets. Total dissolved solids followed much the same pattern as total suspended solids did. Both relationships, LOG-LOG and LOG-QUADRATIC models, had overlapping patterns for Predicted and Observed plots and randomly scattered Residual plots. The biggest difference between models is usually in the F-value of the ANOVA table. Many times, all other numbers being similar, the F-value of the LOG-LOG model is twice as large as the LOG-QUADRATIC model.

Retrieving and Preparing Data Sets

The entire untransformed data set is in STORET including flow values, which are required for several calculations. Because the entire data set is too large to print here, Appendix D is a printout of the annual mean for each parameter in STORET. Stations with incomplete data sets for that parameter are marked with an asterisk. The parameters under investigation are retrieved from STORET and calculations are done for total dissolved solids, total nitrogen and loadings. For the flow-adjusted values, the LOG-LOG model is applied to the flow and concentration of each parameter at each station. The chosen model generates a predicted concentration for every flow value. The predicted values are then subtracted from the actual observed concentrations, resulting in residuals. These residuals comprise the flow-adjusted concentration data set. Each data set, one at each station for all parameters, is manipulated into a usable format.

Seasonal Kendall Test of Trend

The Seasonal Kendall Test is applied using a macro written in LOTUS123[®]. The test is designed to (1) compare each data point within a month with all earlier data points, (2) keep a running tally of the

comparisons, (3) make adjustments in variability if two data points are identical, (4) calculate a monthly statistic and monthly variance and (5) calculate a z-statistic. For each form of the monitoring data, the Seasonal Kendall Test is applied to the data set of each station for each parameter. The z-statistic is used to test the null hypothesis. The null hypothesis is rejected if z indicates a p < .10. The resulting z-statistic determines the probability of a trend, and whether that trend is increasing or decreasing. An increasing trend indicates that parameter concentrations are increasing in the Ohio River water column over time, suggesting deteriorating water quality. A decreasing trend indicates that parameter concentrations are decreasing over time, suggesting an improvement in water quality. Between 10% and 5% probability is considered a slight trend, either increasing or decreasing. Less than 5% probability is considered a strong trend, either increasing. Greater than 10% probability is considered no significant trend in the data.

Seasonal Kendall Test Formulas

For the Seasonal Kendall Test, only data within the same month is compared. In each comparison, an earlier data point is compared to a later data point. If the later value in time is higher, then a plus is scored (K+). If the later value is lower, then a minus is scored (K-). If the values are identical, then a tie is scored (t). The Seasonal Kendall Test obtains a monthly statistic of the data set by subtracting the minuses from the pluses (Bauer et al., 1984): $K_i = (K+) - (K-)$. The ties are used in the calculation of the variance for each monthly statistic. The actual formula for monthly variance (var) is given by Smith et al. (1982) as:

$$var = \frac{n(n-1)(2n+5) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(t_i-1)(2t_i+5)}{18}$$

where n = number of years in the data set

The sum of the monthly statistics $[K = \Sigma K_i]$ and the sum of the monthly variances $\{VAR = \Sigma var(K_i)\}$ are used to calculate a z-statistic (Bauer et al., 1984). The z-statistic formulas are shown below:

$$if K > 0 then Z = \frac{K-1}{\sqrt{var}}$$

if
$$K < 0$$
 then $Z = \frac{K+1}{\sqrt{var}}$

if K = 0 then Z = 0

The significance of z-statistic then determines the presence of a trend.

If there is no trend in the data, then there is an equal chance that a given value is higher or lower than any other value in another year that same month (Smith et al., 1982). It will possess an almost equal number of pluses as minuses and will have a z-statistic of nearly zero. A positive or increasing trend will have more pluses than minuses and will have a large positive z-statistic (Smith et al., 1982). A negative or decreasing trend will have more minuses and a large negative z-statistic (Smith et al., 1982).

Seasonal Kendall Slope Estimator

The Seasonal Kendall Slope Estimator is employed using a macro written in LOTUS123[®]. The test compares each data point within a month with all earlier data points and stores the percent change for each comparison. Once all possible comparisons are made and stored they are sorted. After sorting, the median is determined and this value is recorded as the percent change at that station. The slope in units/year is then calculated as follows: X = percent change (from LOTUS123[®] macro)

M = mean of actual concentration

$$Slope(units/yr.) = (e^{x}-1)*M$$

The slope magnitude can then be compared with the trend direction at that station. This allows for determining how fast a trend is increasing or decreasing. A positive slope correlates with an increasing trend, implying a deterioration in water quality. Conversely, a negative slope indicates a decreasing trend, suggesting an improvement in water quality.



APPENDIX C: SAMPLE PAGES OF RESIDUALS ANALYSIS FOR THREE MODELS



ANOVA TABLE

.

LOG-LOG MODEL OF TSS AT CINCINNATI WW

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

PROB>F	0.0001	
F VALUE	129.281	0.5005
MEAN SQUARE	95.25685942 0.73682244	R-SQUARE ADJ R-SQ
SUM OF SQUARES	95.25685942 93.57644993 188.83331	0.8583836 3.882651 22.10818
DF	1 127 128	MSE
SOURCE	10DEL ERROR C TOTAL	ROOT DEP 1 C.V.

PARAMETER ESTIMATES

> T	0.0001	0.0001
PROB		
T FOR HOU PARAMETER=0	-7.356	11.370
STANDARD ERROR	0.97554125	0.08741697
PARAMETER Estimate	-7.17607602	0.99394527
DF	-	-
VARIABLE	INTERCEP	FLOH

			-						
		PREDICT	STD ERR		STD ERR	STUDENT			COOK'S
	ACTUAL	VALUE	PREDICT	RESIDUAL	RESIDUAL	RESIDUAL	-2-	1-0 1 2	D
-	2.1595	1.6747	0.2084	0.4848	0.8327	0.5821	-	*	0.011
~	1.8971	3.1591	0.0988	-1.2620	0.8527	-1.4801	-	**	0.015
9	4.6052	4.5786	0.0973	0.0266	0.8529	0.0312	-	-	0.000
7	5.0876	5,0036	0.1242	0,0840	0.8493	0.0988	-	-	0.000
S	4.9675	4.8596	0.1144	0.1079	0.8507	0.1268	-	-	0.000
9	2.8526	4.0086	0.0764	-1.1560	0.8550	-1.3521	-	**	0.007
2	2.6391	3,3966	0.0868	-0.7575	0.8540	-0.8870	-	*	0.004
8	5.6870	3.1757	0.0979	2.5113	0.8528	2.9448	-	*****	0.057
6	4.3175	3.4910	0.0831	0.8265	0.8544	0.9674	-	*	0.004
10	3.0204	3.0160	0.1073	.0044426	0.8516	.0052164	-		0.000
11	4.0662	4.1036	0.0780	-0.0374	0.8548	-0.0437	-	-	0.000
12	3.8850	4.1015	0.0780	-0.2165	0.8548	-0.2533	-	-	0.000
13	5.7961	4.3858	0.0876	1.4102	0.8539	1.6515	_	***	0.014
14	4.6250	4.5102	0.0936	0.1148	0.8533	0.1345	-	-	0.000
15	3.8067	4.6496	0.1013	-0.8430	0.8524	-0.9889	-	*	0.007
16	5.8319	5.6399	0.1720	0.1919	0.8410	0.2282	-		0.001
17	4.8598	4.6368	0.1006	0.2230	0.8525	0.2616	-		0.000
18	5.4220	4.7965	0.1103	0.6255	0.8513	0.7348	-	*	0.005
19	4.7449	3.9701	0.0760	0.7748	0.8550	0.9062	-	*	0.003
20	3.2055	3.5755	0.0803	-0.3701	0.8546	-0.4330	-	-	0.001
21	3.6889	3.8026	0.0759	-0.1138	0.8550	-0.1330	-		0.000
22	2.8904	3.0803	0.1034	-0.1900	0.8521	-0.2229	-	-	0.000

OBS

ANOVA TABLE

LOG-QUADRATIC MODEL OF TSS AT CINCINNATI WW

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

PROB>F	0.0001			PROB > T	
F VALUE	69.593	0.5249		T FOR HO : PARAMETER=0	
MEAN SQUARE	49.55564847 0.71207946	R-SQUARE ADJ R-SQ	1ETER ESTIMATES	STANDARD ERROR	
SUM OF SQUARES	99.11129693 89.72201242 188.83331	0.843848 3.882651 21.73381	PARAN	ARAMETER Estimate	
DF	2 126 128	MSE		4	
RCE	EL OR DTAL	ROOT DEP 1 C.V.		DF	
SOU	HOD ERR C T			VARIABLE	and and a start

4	-	0.02779729	1.11329669	0.025	0.9801
	-	.00000420653	. 00000242306	1.736	0.0850
		0.02766239	0.01066102	2.595	0.0106

			PREDICT	STD ERR		STD ERR	STUDENT				COOK'S
OBS		ACTUAL	VALUE	PREDICT	RESIDUAL	RESIDUAL	RESIDUAL	-2-	-1-0 1	2	Q
	-	2.1595	2.2522	0.2991	-0.0928	0.7891	-0.1176	-	-	-	0.001
	~	1.8971	3.1567	0.0978	-1.2596	0.8382	-1.5028	-	***	-	0.010
	3	4.6052	4.4721	0.1106	0.1330	0.8366	0.1590	-	-	-	0.000
	4	5.0876	5.0641	0.1247	0.0235	0.8346	0.0282	-	-	-	0.000
	ŝ	4.9675	4.8474	0.1140	0.1201	0.8361	0.1437	-	-	-	0.000
	9	2.8526	3.8549	0.1024	-1.0023	0.8376	-1.1966	-	**	-	0.007
	2	2.6391	3.3329	0.0890	-0.6939	0.8391	-0.8269	-	*	-	0.003
	8	5.6870	3.1686	0.0968	2.5184	0.8383	3.0042	-	*	*****	0,040
	6	4.3175	3.4065	0.0886	0.9110	0.8392	1.0855	-	*	. *:	0.004
	10	3.0204	3.0559	0.1084	-0.0355	0.8369	-0.0424	-	-	-	0.000
	11	4.0662	3.9471	0.1053	0.1191	0.8373	0.1422	-	-	-	0.000
	12	3,8850	3.9451	0.1052	-0.0601	0.8373	-0.0718	-	-	-	0.000
	13	5.7961	4,2448	0.1103	1.5512	0.8366	1.8542	-	*	1 ***	0.020
	14	4.6250	4.3889	0.1107	0.2360	0.8366	0.2821	-	-	-	0.000
	15	3.8067	4.5617	0.1106	-0.7550	0.8366	-0.9025	-	*	-	0.005
	16	5.8319	6.3009	0.3599	-0.4690	0.7632	-0.6145	-	*	-	0.028
	17	4.8598	4.5452	0.1106	0.3146	0.8366	0.3760	-	-	-	0.001
	18	5.4220	4.7580	0.1120	0.6640	0.8364	0162.0	-	*	-	0.004
	19	4.7449	3.8185	0.1012	0.9265	0.8378	1.1059	-	*	*:	0.006
	20	3.2055	3.4743	0.0894	-0.2689	0.8391	-0.3204	-	-	-	0.000
	21	3.6889	3.6664	0.0954	0.0225	0.8384	0.0269	-	-	-	0.000

ANOVA TABLE

.

LINEAR MODEL OF TSS AT CINCINNATI WW

AMALYSIS OF VARIANCE

							COOK'S	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.058	0000	0.000	0.001	0.027	0.000	0.006	0.006	0.000	0.004	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
							2	-	_	-	-	-	-					-	****	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
PROB>F	0.0001			PROB > T	0.6337		-2-1-0	-	-	-	-		*				-	-	*	-	**			* 1	1 1	-		-
VALUE	15.812	. 4545 1.4502		OR H0 : METER=0	0.478		RESIDUAL	-0.0412	-0.3376	-0.3506	-0.4039	-0.3154	-0.6856	-0.3458	3.0626	-0.1270	-0.2850	-0.3970	2.6074	-0.2294	-1.1086	-0.3220	-0.0970	0.7819	0.4972	-0.3078	-0.2639	-0.1770
MEAN VARE F	1.84 10 6842	UARE R-SQ 0	TIMATES	ARD T F Ror Para	366		RESIDUAL	84.4676	84.6490	84.7700	84.2589	84.5158	84.8222	84.6981	84.7186	84.6219	84.8339	84.8337	84.8305	84.7991	84.7285	80.5837	84.7370	84.5966	84.8163	84.7372	84.7857	84.6338
1	84 76759 19 7254.26	9 R-SQ1	RAMETER EST	STANDI	0.0000883		RESIDUAL	-3.4774	-28.5811	-29.7215	-34.0349	-26.6605	-58.1566	-29.2871	27.9430	-10.7508	-24.1740	-33.6817	221.2	-19.4551	-93.9267	-25.9508	-8.2159	66.1500	42.1747	-26.0845	-22.3787	-14.9767
F SQUARE	1 767591.E 7 921292.0 8 1688883.9	E 85.1719 N 93.9599	PA	PARAMETER ESTIMATE	5.45213297 .000908402		PREDICT	10.9314	9.4240	8.2657	12.4380	10.5523	7.7109	8.9723	8.7764	9.6643	7.5812	7.5835	7.6190	7.9611	8.6801	27.5778	8.5975	9.8834	7.7753	8.5953	8.1031	9.5594
SOURCE	MODEL ERROR 12 C TOTAL 12	ROOT MS DEP MEAL C.V.		LE DF	EP 1 0	*******	VALUE	12.1440	35.2477	129.7	196.0	170.3	75.4899	13.2871	47.0570	31.2508	82.5073	82.3484	107.8	121.5	138.9	367.0	137.2	160.2	72.8253	50.7511	62.3787	32.9767
				VARIAB	INTERC FLOW		ACTUAL	8.6667	6.6667	100.0	162.0	143.7	17.3333	14.0000	75.0000	20.5000	58.3333	48.6667	329.0	102.0	115.0000	341.0	129.0	226.3	115.0	24.6667	10.0000	18.0000
								-	2	3	=	5	9 0	-	0 0	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	11	18	19	20	12	22

OBS
LOG-LOG MODEL OF TSS AT CINCINNATI WW

PREDICTED AND OBSERVED PLOT





· 72 OBS HIDDEN

LINEAR MODEL OF TSS AT CINCINNATI WW

PREDICTED AND OBSERVED PLOT



NOTE: 4 OBS HAD MISSING VALUES 79 OBS HIDDEN

LOG-LOG MODEL OF TSS AT CINCINNATI WW

UNIVARIATE TABLE

.

UNIVARIATE

RESIDUALS VARIABLE=R

MOMENTS

N	129	SUM MGTS	129
MEAN	-6.723E-15	SUM	-8.673E-13
STD DEV	0.855024	VARIANCE	0.731066
SKENNESS	0.400081	KURTOSIS	2.06816
USS	93.5764	CSS	93.5764
CV	66666-	STD MEAN	0.0752807
T . HEAN=0	-8.931E-14	PROB> T	1
SGN RANK	-223.5	PROB> S	0.600142
NUM -= 0	129		
DINORMAL	0.103908	PROB>D	<.01

LEM	I LEAF
~	58
2	123
-	
-	112344
0	0 5566678888888999
•	111111111122222222333333333344444
-	0 444444433333333333333333322222221111000000000
-	0 9988777766665555
1	1 444332221110
1	
12	
- 2	8

--

-
=
11
in.
141
D
-
2
1.
H
H
E
×
-
2
8

REMES	T HIGHEST	4 2.09873	2.19532	19 2.34864	2.5113	16 2.8379			
EXT	LOWES	-2.8235	-2.3572	-1.4444	-1.3763	-1.3673			
	2.73992	1.58597	0.892883	-1.09425	-1.26692	-2.68365			
(h=130)	266	95%	206	10%	5%	1%			
QUANTILES	2.8379	0.350159	00879609	-0.417294	-2.82354		5.66145	0.767453	-2.82354
	100% MAX	75% 23	50% MED	25% 21	NIM %0		RANGE	23-21	HODE

	* + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+3
	TULY PLOT +++**********************************	÷
	LL PROBABIL 	0
	NORHJ ************************************	7 *
10.8	2.75+ 2.75+ *****	-2
MISSING VALUE COUNT X COUNT/NOBS	BOXPLOT BOX	

LOG-QUADRATIC MODEL OF TSS AT CINCINNATI WW

UNIVARIATE

	TUUL	CIN			QUANTILES(I	(h=J]		TX	TREALS	
	120	STOR MUS		111 11001				allo I		TOUFER
ITAU	1275-15	CTON NILS	671 17 0	1004 1144	100000	****	06610.7	TONCH C	100	TOTOT
TTD DEU	0000000	avit a to to	0.0700050	104 401		****	10100 0	1000 0-		100000
SPANDAGE STATE	0 1122000	VIIDTOTOTO	10230 0	200 100	2100200.0-	*06				000000
224444	0000000	CTCOTVOV	49/00 · 9	1X 407		***	110000		4	2 5 1 0 U
2 0.	00000	STD MFAN	0 0737130	VTU VO	-4.13319	***	-2 62061	101011	10	66351
T: MEAN=0	0 1395-14	PRORVITI	1	BANGE	E 20721	*	10.90.9			
CH PANK	- 320 5	1 SI CADA	0 1161036	03-01						
0 == WIII	001	I C L CONT	C76104.0	A LON	00000000					
HORMAL .	0.0982982	PROB>D	<.01	700	61001.9				-	
				MISSING	VALUE .					
				THIOP 4	COUNT 4					
				" COUNT	10.5 5000					
TEM LEAF				ROXPLOT			NORMAL PRO	BABILITY PLOT		
26 6				0	2 7	+				*
24 2			-		2	-				*
22 8				0						*
20 04			2	0	2.1	+			**	++
18 1			-	0		_			*	++
16						-				++
14 5			-	-	1.5	+1			+++*	
12 25			2	-		-	: 10		**	
10 34			2	-		-		++	*	
8 239123	38		8	-	0.9	+		****	×	
6 01562			5			-		**+		
1 68890			5	_		-		**++		
2 0446912	2246788		13	++	0.3	+		****+		
0 222368	90223567		14	+ +		_		++***		
-0 977754	3219976554	443322	22	*!!!!*		-	**	****		
122/66 2-	3887176322	-	11	-	-0.3	+	****			
-4 9776555	2197430		13	++			****			
C6000 0-			n .			_	****			
08 8-			2		-0.5	+ 0	+.+*			
0 6 9 0 6 4 0 6 4 0			9	_		-	****			
-12 536420			9	-		*	****			
- 14					-1.5	+ 5	+++			
-16						++				
- 18						++				
-20					-2.1	+++				
-22 9			-	0		*				
h2-						_				
-26 3			-	0	-2.1	*++				

LINEAR MODEL OF TSS AT CINCINNATI WW

UNIVARIATE

VARIABLE=R

RESIDUALS

* * * * * *	-125+% * *** ++++++++++++	0 0	ti
******	*****	0	15
*******	-	**	.*********** 76
******	-	+++	20
x +++++	-	0	2
+****	-	0	4
+++++ *		*	2
++ **	-	×	2
**	-	×	2
×	_	*	1
NORMAL PROBABILITY PLOT *	525+	BOXPLOT *	
	.01	HISSING VALUE Count % Count/Nobs	
			<.01
		- MODE -132.322	
		RANGE 673.88 23-21 39.4735	1000
37 -93.9267 541.558	1% -126.1		6963
-110.53 317.98	5% -82.99	0% MIN -132.322	1292
75 -110.999 259.253	3 10% -55.82	25% 21 -34.0868	7535
15 -111.706 250.489	90% 66.	50% MED -17.7072	17.59
-132.322 221.186	2 95% 184.2	75% 23 5,38672	9E-13
84 LOWEST HIGHES	n'hLh 266 8	100% MAX 541.558	129
EXTREMES			

ANOVA TABLE

LOG-LOG MODEL OF TDS AT CINCINNATI WW

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

PARANETER Estinate	
	PARAMETER FETTMATE

0.0001

35.823 -8.151

0.19112373 0.01715223

6.84670420 -0.13980243

--

INTERCEP LFLOW

International and the state of th									
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05	ACTUAL	PREDICT VALUE	STD ERR PREDICT	RESIDUAL	STD BRR RESIDUAL	STUDENT RESIDUAL	-2-1-0 1 2	COOK'S
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	5.3138	5.6019	0.0406	-0.2880	0.1672	-1.7222	1 1 2 4 4 1	0.088
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	5.3658	5.3930	0.0193	-0.0272	0.1710	-0.1592		0.000
1 5.0210 5.1336 0.0227 0.01126 0.1703 -0.6610 $*$ 0.000 5 5.31648 5.1538 0.0227 0.01121 0.0642 $*$ 0.0012 7 5.5503 5.3736 0.0171 0.0171 0.0323 0.0171 0.0207 0.0171 0.0012 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.0014 0.00209 0.01711 0.01220 0.00209 0.01711 0.01220 0.00200 0.00209 0.01711 0.01201 0.00201 0.00201 0.00201 0.00201 0.00201 0.0001 0.00201 0.00201 0.0001 0.00201 0.00201 0.0001 0.0001 0.0021 0.0001 0.0021 0.0021 0.0001 0.0021 0.00201 0.01017 0.00201 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001	E	5.3079	5.1934	0.0193	0.1946	0.1710	1.1379	00	0.008
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ħ	5.0210	5.1336	0.0247	-0.1126	0.1703	-0.6610	#	0.005
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	5.1648	5.1538	0.0227	0.0110	0.1706	0.0642	-	0.000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	5.3391	5.2735	0.0151	0.0656	0.1714	0.3828	-	0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L	5.6503	5.3596	0.0170	0.2907	0.1712	1.6975	\$\$\$	0.014
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	5.7141	5.3907	0.0191	0.3235	0.1710	1.8913	444	0.022
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	6	5.4702	5.3463	0.0163	0.1238	0.1713	0.7228	\$	0.002
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	10	5.3291	5.4131	0.0209	-0.0841	0.1708	-0.4921	-	0.002
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	11	5.3391	5.2602	0.0155	0.0790	0.1714	0.4607	-	0.001
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	12	5.1520	5.2605	0.0155	-0.1077	0.1714	-0.6282	42	0.002
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	5.1160	5.2205	0.0174	-0.1045	0.1712	-0.6103	4	0.002
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14	5.0830	5.2030	0.0186	-0.1200	0.1711	-0.7016	4	0.003
$ \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 4.9896 & 5.0441 & 0.0341 & -0.0545 & 0.1687 & -0.3231 & & & & & 0.002 \\ 17 & 5.2503 & 5.1852 & 0.0200 & 0.0651 & 0.1709 & 0.3811 & & & & 0.001 \\ 18 & 5.0380 & 5.1627 & 0.0159 & -0.1247 & 0.1707 & -0.7305 & & & & 0.004 \\ 19 & 5.0310 & 5.1629 & 0.0150 & -0.0178 & 0.1714 & -0.1037 & & & 0.004 \\ 10 & 5.4919 & 5.3344 & 0.0157 & 0.1574 & 0.1714 & 0.9186 & & & 0.004 \\ 21 & 5.4569 & 5.4041 & 0.0202 & 0.0528 & 0.1709 & 0.3091 & & & 0.001 \\ \end{bmatrix} $. 15	5.2079	5.1834	0.0201	0.0245	0.1709	0.1435	-	0.000
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	16	4.9896	5.0441	0.0341	-0.0545	0.1687	-0.3231	-	0.002
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	17	5.2503	5.1052	0.0200	0.0651	0.1709	0.3811	-	0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	5.0380	5.1627	0.0219	-0.1247	0.1707	-0.7305	4	0.004
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19	5.2612	5.2789	0.0150	-0.0178	0.1714	-0.1037	-	0.000
21 5.3697 5.3025 0.0150 0.0662 0.1714 0.3861 1 1 0.001 22 5.44569 5.4041 0.0202 0.0528 0.1709 0.3091 1 1 0.001	20	5.4919	5.3344	0.0157	0.1574	0.1714	0.9186	*	100.0
22 5-4569 5-4041 0.0202 0.0528 0.1709 0.3091 0.001	21	5.3697	5.3025	0.0150	0.0662	0.1714	0.3861		0.001
	22	5.4569	5.4041	0.0202	0.0528	0.1709	0.3091	-	0.001

LOG-QUADRATIC MODEL OF TDS AT CINCINNATI WW

ANOVA TABLE

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

.....

						COOK • S	0.096	0.000	0.007	6000-0	0.000	0.010	200-0	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.003	E00.0	000.0	0,001	0.003	0.000	0.003	0.000
							-	-			_				_	-					_	_	-	_
PROB>F	0.0001			PROB > T	0.0010 0.5815 0.0143	-2-1-0 1 2	44		00		-	400	*		-	*	**	11-			\$	-	*	-
ALUE	34.010	0.3334 0.3334		FOR HO: AMETER=0	27.184 -0.553 -2.483	STUDENT	-1.4776	-0.1691	1.0997	0.0684	0.3123	1.6637	0.6784	-0.4829	0.3908	-0.7036	-0.6740	PHC1 - 0 -	-0.0176	0.3477	+0+1404	-0.1761	0.8680	0.3207
NEAN QUARE F	11704 52459	QUARE R-SQ	STINATES	DARD T PARJ	0494 E-07 9102	STO ERR RESIDUAL	0.1615	0.1707	10/1-0	0.1703	0.1706	0.1709	0.1709	0.1705	0.1705	0.1705	0.1704	1011.0	0.1556	0.1704	0.1703	0.1706	0.1709	0.1708
0F ES S	08 1.004 42 0.029 50	72 R-5 67 ADJ 99	ARAMETER E	STAN	0.2196 4.84976 0.00210	RESEDUAL	-0.2306	-0.0289	1901 0-	0.0117	0.0533	0.2843	0.1159	-0.0823	0.0666	-0.1200	-0.1148	0.0180	002738	0.0592	-0.1261	-0.0300	0.1483	0.0548
SQUAR	2.008234 3.838196 5.846430	0.17182 5.293 3.2458	d	PARANETER Estinate	.96975884 679558-07 005237701	STD ERR PREDICT	0.0587	0.0194	0.0552	0.0230	0.0206	0.0178	0.0178	0.0213	0.0211	0.0211	0.0221	CCC0.0	0.0730	0.0222	0.0225	0.0203	0.0180	0.0192
IRCE DF	2011 130 130 132 132 132	ROOT MSE DEP MEAN C.V.		DF	1 -2. 1 -2.	LEDICT VALUE	.5525	19947	1771	.1531	. 2859	. 3927	. 3542	.4114		8212B	9115	1890	.9923	11911	.1642	. 2912	. 3435	
SOL	ERF C 1			VARIABLE	INTERCEP FLOW LQ2	P.R. ACTUAL	5.3138 5	5.3658	5.0010	5.1648 5	5.3391	5.1111 5	5.4702	5.3291 5	5.3391	9.1528	01160	6202.5	11 9896 ti	5.2503 5	5.0300 5	5.2612	6161.5	2. Judi C
							1	~ ~	n =	5	9	. 0	6	10	11	21	11	15	16	17	10	19	20	17

0.3207

085

LINEAR MODEL OF TDS AT CINCINNATI WW

ANOVA TABLE

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

	1			> T
PROB>	0*000			PROB
F VALUE	19.087	0.2758 0.2703		T FOR 110: PARAMETER=0
SQUARE	70202.75151 1407.24946	R-SQUARE ADJ R-SQ	NETER ESTIMATES	STANDARD ERROR
SQUARES	70202.75151 184349.68 254552.43	37.51332 203.5542 18.42916	PARAI	A HAMETER Estinate
DF	1 131 132	MEAN		4
IRCE	JEL ROR FOTAL	ROOT DEP C.V.		DF
201	R B			VARIABLE

0.0001

46.570

0*000030574

229.75320-0.000272450

- -

INTERCEP FLOW

STD ERR STUDENT -2-1-0 1 2 0.0004 -24.6212 37.2147 -0.6616 * 0.0004 -6.8505 37.2147 -0.6616 * 0.0004 -6.8505 37.2147 -0.6616 * 0.0004 -26.8505 37.3191 0.7035 * 0.0004 -21.0307 37.1141 -0.5667 * 0.0004 -5.9593 37.3128 0.70355 * 0.0002 -5.9693 37.3128 0.70351 * * 0.0002 65.9693 37.3128 0.7011 * * 0.0022 65.9693 37.3128 0.71135 * * 0.0023 65.9653 37.3128 0.7129 * * 0.0023 715.7656 37.2805 0.4129 * * 0.0023 -15.7656 37.3693 0.7193 * * 0.0023 -33.77113 37.3805 0.41293 * 0.0033								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PREDICT STD ERR ACTUAL VALUE PREDICT	PREDICT STD ERR VALUE PREDICT	STD ERR	RESTDUAL	STD ERR	STUDENT	- 1 - 1 - 2	COOK'S
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	203.1 T.755 1.502	3862-11 1-766	91.07.0	C1C3.11C-	LUIC LE	-0 6616	7 1 0-1-7-	A 400 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	214.0 220.8 4.0687	220.8 4.0687	4.0687	-6.8585	37.2920	-0-1819	}	
-21.0307 37.1141 -0.5667 \Rightarrow \Rightarrow 0.003 -5.3035 37.2564 -0.1425 0.0111 $\phi \Rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow$ 0.003 65.9633 37.31284 -0.1425 0.0111 $\phi \Rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow$ 0.002 65.9633 37.31284 0.20111 $\phi \Rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow$ 0.002 65.9633 37.31284 2.2111 $\phi \Rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow$ 0.0217 20.2250 37.3294 2.2111 $\phi \Rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow$ 0.002 -15.7656 37.3295 0.5419 $\phi \Rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow$ 0.002 -15.7656 37.3295 0.5419 $\phi \Rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow$ 0.002 -15.7656 37.3295 0.5419 $\phi \Rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow$ 0.002 -15.77656 37.3295 0.0452 $\phi \Rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow$ 0.002 -33.7113 37.3262 -0.9036 $\phi = 0.002$ 0.003 -32.3860 37.3207 -0.1879 $\phi = 0.003$ 0.004 -32.3113 37.3207 -0.1879 $\phi = 0.003$ 0.003 -32.5413 35.32127 -0.1879 $\phi = 0.003$ -29.1792 37.3224 -0.7831 $\phi = 0.003$ -29.1792 37.3293 0.3107 -0.1937 $\phi = 0.003$ -16.03182 37.3293 0.0105 $\phi = 0.003$ -16.0317 37.3293 0.01193 $\phi = 0.003$ -12.0179 0.7110 2.5413 37.3293 0.00167 -12.0179 0.31656 0.31454 0.0012 -12.0170 0.31454 0.0012	210.8 192.5 3.6109	192.5 3.6109	3.6109	26.2680	191.3391	0.7035	*	0.002
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	151.6 172.6 5.4586	172.6 5.4586	5.4586	-21.0307	37.1141	-0.5667	0	0.003
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	175.0 180.3 4.6279	180.3 4.6279	4.6279	-5.3035	37.2268	-0.1425	-	0.000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	208.3 208.7 3.3349	208.7 3.3349	3.3349	-0.4140	37.3648	-0.0111		000-000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	284.4 218.4 3.8733	218.4 3.8733	3.8733	65.9693	37.3128	1.7680	444	0.017
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	303.1 220.7 4.0560	220.7 4.0560	4.0560	82.4580	37.2934	2.2111	4444	0.029
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	237.5 217.3 3.7087	217.3 3.7087	3.7087	20.2250	37.3215	0.5419	\$	0.002
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	206.3 222.0 4.1729	222.0 4.1729	4.1729	-15.7656	37.2805	-0.4229		0.001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	208.3 206.6 3.2821	206.6 3.2821	3.2821	1.6907	37.3695	0.0452	-	0.000
-32.3860 37.3666 -0.8667 * 0.003 -33.7113 37.3522 -0.8025 * 0.004 -31.113 37.3207 -0.1879 * 0.004 -7.0129 37.3207 -0.1879 * 0.004 -7.0129 37.3207 -0.1879 * 0.006 -7.0129 37.3244 0.01103 * 0.006 -29.1792 37.3244 0.01103 * 0.006 -29.1792 37.3244 0.01103 * 0.006 -29.1792 37.3624 -0.7831 * 0.006 -26.54413 37.31293 0.7110 * 0.0010 26.54413 37.3197 0.7110 * 0.0010 1.9037 37.3197 0.3454 0.0010 0.0010	172.9 205.7 3.2830	205.7 3.2830	3.2830	-33.7736	37.3694	-0.9038	4	00.00
-33.7113 37.3522 -0.9025 * * 0.000 -7.0129 37.3207 -0.1879 * 0.000 25.5433 35.3244 0.07193 * 0.000 0.3907 37.3244 0.0105 * 0.000 -29.1792 37.32623 -0.7831 * 0.000 -16.8032 37.3624 -0.4507 * 0.000 26.5413 37.393 0.7110 * 0.000 1.9017 37.393 0.3454 0.03454 0.000	166.7 199.1 3.3147	199.1 3.3147	3.3147	-32.3860	37.3666	-0.8667	*	0.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	161.3 195.0 3.4729	195.0 3.4729	3.4729	-33.7113	37.3522	-0.9025	\$	0.004
25.5(4)3 35.5127 0.7193 1 1 0.030 -2907 37.3244 0.0105 1 0.000 -29.1792 37.3244 0.0105 1 0.000 -29.1792 37.3624 -0.7831 * 0.000 -16.8382 37.3624 -0.4507 1 0.001 26.5413 37.37393 0.7110 1 1 0.002 26.5413 37.3497 0.0110 1 0.002 0.002 12.9037 37.3497 0.3454 0.001 0.001	182.7 189.7 3.7969	199.7 3.7969	3.7969	-7.0129	37.3207	-0.1879		0.000
0.3907 37.3244 0.0105 1 0.000 -29.1792 37.2623 -0.7831 3 37.2623 -0.0901 -16.9382 37.3624 -0.4507 1 3 0.001 26.5413 37.3923 0.7110 1 37.397 0.0001 1.9037 37.3197 0.031454 0 0.000	146.9 121.3 12.0872	121.3 12.0072	12.0872	25.5433	35.5127	0.7193	4	0.030
-29.1792 37.2623 -0.7831 34 0.004 -16.5932 37.3624 -0.4507 1 1 0.004 26.5413 37.3293 0.7110 1 4 0.002 1.9037 37.3797 0.03454 1 0.000	190.6 190.2 3.7500	190.2 3.7500	3.7500	106E.0	37.3244	0.0105		0.000
-16.0302 37.3624 -0.4507 1 0.001 26.5413 37.3293 0.7110 1 7 0.002 1.9037 37.3497 0.0510 1 7 0.000 12.0770 37.2856 0.3454 1 0 0.001	154.2 183.3 4.3321	183.3 4.3321	4.3321	-29.1792	37.2623	-0.7831	•	0.004
26.5413 37.3293 0.7110 1 0.002 1.9037 37.3497 0.0510 1 0.000 12.0770 37.2856 0.3454 1 0.001	192.7 209.5 3.3616	209.5 3.3616	3.3616	-16.0302	37.3624	-0.4507	-	0.001
1.9037 37.3497 0.0510 1 1 0.000 12.8770 37.2856 0.3454 1 1 0.001	242.7 216.2 3.7108	216.2 3.7108	3.7108	26.5413	37.3293	0.7110	4	0.002
12.0770 37.2056 0.3454 1 1 1 0.001	214.6 212.7 3.5000	212.7 3.5000	3.5000	1.9037	37.3497	0.0510		0.000
	234.4 221.5 4.1274	221.5 4.1274	4.1274	12.0770	37.2856	0.3454	-	0.001

085

LOG-LOG MODEL OF TDS AT CINCINNATI WW

PREDICTED AND OBSERVED PLOT



NOTE: 64 OBS HIDDEN

LFLOW

LOG-QUADRATIC MODEL OF TDS AT CINCINNATI WW

PREDICTED AND OBSERVED PLOT



NOTE: 63 OBS HIDDEN



.



64 OBS HIDDEN NOTES

LYLOW

HIGHEST 0.323457 0.342243

LOWEST -0.455951 -0.371194 -0.356855 -0.32707

0.223555 -0.19879 -0.276419 -0.427134

100% MAX 0.588752 75% Q3 0.114129 50% MED -0.0283795 25% OL -0.105544 0% MIM -0.455544

1.0447 0.219673 -0.455951

RANGE Q3-Q1 NODE

BOXPLOT •

0.515734 0.310734

(h=dad) salitunu0

EXTREMES

0.373992

đ

UNIVARIATE

LOG-LOG MODEL OF TDS AT CINCINNATI WW

RESIDUALS VARIABLE=R

NOMENTS .

133	3.0112-12	0.0293878	0.528328	3.07919	0.0148648	1	0.75033		0.029		1				2		3	3	6	14	12	6	10	25	15	6					~
 SUN NGTS	SUN	VARIANCE	KURTOSIS	CSS	STD HEAN	PROB>IT	PROB> IS		PROB>D															5666555555							10
 133	2.2648-14	0.171429	0.320304	3.87919	66666	1.5238-12	-137.5	133	0.0815316										6680	112233444	7778868	2224	21110	998888777766	2111100000	5555	0				
	HEAN	STD DEV	SKEVNESS	155	N	C & MEAN=0	SGN RANK	0 =- WOM	JANORNAL	STEN LEAF	5 9	5	1	1	3 67	3 01224	2 5699	2 0013	1 66778	1 00001	0 55567	0 11122	EE ### 0-	666666 0-	-1 44422	-1 87766	-2 11000	-2 977	Eh E-	36 6-	01 71

+----+-... 7 + 0 0 0000 NORMAL PROBABILITY PLOT : 0000 400 · -----C 00000 + * * * * 10000 7 -~ 0+0 + -0.475+0 0.575+ 0.225 -0.125

> 1 0

> > HULTIPLY STEM.LEAF BY 1044-01



LOG-QUADRATIC MODEL OF TDS AT CINCINNATI WW

UNIVARIATE

VARIABLE=R

RESIDUALS

BATRENES	LOWEST HIGHEST -0.460102 0.31447 -0.355969 0.331051 -0.355455 0.359321 -0.335168 0.369061 -0.326758 0.610061	ØABILITT PLOT	•••• ••••• ••••• ••••• ••••• •••• ••••• ••••
	0.520649 0.317698 0.312124 -0.193443 -0.277763 -0.429977	NORNAL PRO	00000 00000 000++ 00+++ 00+++ 00+++ 00+++ 00+++ 000000
QUANTILES (DEF=4	0.610861 99% 0.109619 95% -0.0249193 90% -0.105795 10% -0.468102 13% 1.07896 13%	0.625+	0.075
	100% MAX 75% Q3 50% MED 25% Q1 25% Q1 0% MIN 80% C1 00 C100 C1	D 0	
	133 2.8558-12 0.0290772 0.0290772 0.029371 0.014786 1 0.709295 0.008		
NTS	SUN NGTS SUN VANIANCE KURTOSIS CSS STD NEAN PROD> 5 PROD> 5 PROD> 5		5 3 112 116 116 5 5 116 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
NON	2.147E-14 0.1705531 0.1705531 3.6999 1.452E-12 -166.5 0.0731924		3 678899999 556677889999 2 33322110000 0333221110000 76665 76655 1111000
	N NEAN STD DEV STD DEV USS USS TTNEAN=0 SGN RANK NUM -= 0 D:NOONAL	STEN LEAF 6 1 5 4	4 67 3 67 2 582 2 582 2 592 1 5555 1 55555 1 555555 1 55555 1 555555 1 5555555 1 555555 1 5555555 1 5555555 1 55555555

-

82.458 93.2361 97.1703 139.874

HIGHEST 17.5294

UNIVARIATE

LINEAR MODEL OF TDS AT CINCINNATI WW

- -.

> RESIDUALS VARIABLE=R

ELTRENES 1.00 KST - 85.3003 - 65.4932 -60.4964 1636.963-NORMAL PHOBABILITY PLOT -0000 000. ***** 0000+ 0 **** 00000 0000000 125.355 68.8623 53.9674 -35.9342 -55.9105 -78.5558 1 44 444 ++0000 + +++ ~ • -85++++ QUANTILES (DEF=4) # 506 # 206 # 206 -----145+ 139.0874 24.3026 -6.12635 -27.7602 -05.3003 225.174 52.1428 -85.3003 MAX Q3 MED Q1 MTN RANGE 03-01 MODE 100% BOXPLOT 0 13 2.3668-12 1396.59 0.957855 104350 <.01 7.24047 128676.0 NULTIPLY STEN.LEAF BY 1044+01 VAHLANCE KURTOSIS CSS PROB2 2 SUN NGTS PROB>D Sun 07776555333220000 9987777653322100 99999886655555433211 88666665554444432100 +----+---+----+----MOMENTS 133 37.371 0.096226 1.7798-14 5.490E-15 184350 66666 - 396.-5 133 04556666778 012333456779 1223469 257778 133667 01225 99866 STEN LEAF STD DEV SKENNESS USS SGN RANK NUM -= 0 D: NORMAL T: MEAN=0 921 20 27 38 14 0 5 8---2 5 . NEAN -= 2 11 2 5 D

+---- + -----

APPENDIX D: ANNUAL MEAN OF CONCENTRATION FOR EACH STATION



ANNUAL MEAN OF TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (MG/L)

		77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATI	ON											
JIAN												
0.0	ALLEGY R	43.2	29.5	60.9	52.6	22.3	32.3	22.1	14.0	42.1	15.3	15.0
0.0	MONOGA R	31.6	40.9	37.8	63.9	48.5	26.5	30.4	20.0	42.2	19.1	26.1
15.2	S HEIGHTS	33.9	44.8	42.2	46.5	45.8	32.3	27.8	26.0	46.2	26.2	34.6
25.4	BEAVER R	21.5	34.5	35.8	103.8	33.2	22.7	29.8	27.8	32.7	19.9	54.6
40.2	E LIVERPL	33.2	32.6	74.5	97.3	93.1	75.3	160.0	44.3	39.6	18.3	20.4
86.8	WHEELING	39.2	38.6	68.6	62.2	28.3	66.9	35.0	32.8	37.7	19.3	21.4
126.4	HANNIBAL	23.4	16.1	21.4	34.1	30.5	29.2	22.7	24.1	23.8	18.6	13.3
161.8	WILLOW IS	27.9	29.6	42.8	22.8	21.5	38.8	37.3	24.2	17.4	16.4	15.8
172.2	MUSKGM R	58.5	64.5	68.4	76.5	125.1	70.9	87.3	54.0	68.1	40.7	36.4
203.9	BELLEVL	33.5	45.4	49.8	90.2	120.0	57.6	51.8	25.2	29.0	33.2	14.9
260.0	ADDISON	58.7	102.9	64.7	59.8	88.4	64.3	44.4	38.1	83.0	64.1	20.7
265.7	KANAWA R	37.4	49.4	115.1	53.2	36.4	99.3	42.7	38.9	17.9	29.9	13.1
279.2	GALLIPOL	49.9	62.6	92.0	65.8	66.3	56.2	50.3	27.1	51.1	50.0	18.3
306.9	HUNTING	85.5	110.8	136.1	48.3	98.8	10.1	23.0	7.0	29.5	30.7	9.3
317.1	BIG SANDY	136.8	273.4	163.5	64.4	164.9	123.1	33.8	130.1	62.3	55.1	17.4
350.7	PORTSM	70.3	92.4	47.4	38.4	66.8	80.3	41.7	80.5	88.8	64.3	22.6
356.5	SCIOTO R	98.6	126.1	59.3	88.8	106.7	97.8	83.0	72.7	86.6	71.3	33.8
408.5	MAYSVILLE	54.2	46.0	54.0	63.4	55.8	58.7	87.5	63.6	115.6	49.6	26.2

ANNUAL MEAN OF TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (MG/L)

CONTIN	UED)						YY					
		77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATIC	м											
462.8	CINCINN	96.6	106.9	91.7	105.3	82.9	73.3	111.2	59.9	200.9	86.2	34.6
464.1	LIL MIAMI	132.7	94.8	30.3	110.0	87.9	49.3	94.7	100.6	58.0	130.0	35.0
470.2	LICKING R	107.4	130.0	72.3	55.0	61.5	100.2	56.6	84.9	51.4	85.7	65.6
490.0	N BEND	62.3	101.0	69.1	38.7	82.7	95.0	500.5	41.2	55.1	53.1	31.8
491.1	GR MIAMI	96.5	100.0	71.4	305.3	72.5	120.3	158.1	59.3	61.1	109.1	87.0
531.5	MARKLAND	45.3	50.9	47.9	40.9	72.9	100.1	30.4	33.7	226.1	56.5	31.5
600.6	LOUISVL	111.5	81.3	88.2	33.3	70.9	104.0	55.2	73.9	56.9	40.1	34.7
625.9	W POINT	103.9	210.6	298.3	52.7	127.3	135.0	79.6	50.3	74.8	54.8	52.5
720.7	CANNELTN	76.7	113.5	84.6	54.9	52.1	163.5	76.1	68.7	95.3	56.8	22.2
784.2	GREEN R	65.8	96.8	86.2	38.2	76.1	153.8	60.2	59.9	54.5	66.5	54.4
791.5	EVANSVL	122.0	356.0	405.3	606.3	85.5	159.7	85.1	99.8	162.9	102.1	78.4
846.0	UNIONTOWN	61.3	73.3	142.8	58.9	44.5	79.6	64.0	62.8	120.2	94.4	58.3
848.0	WABASH R	119.4	174.5	229.7	170.2	125.7	321.6	115.5	111.9	117.8	150.8	92.3
918.5	SMITHLAND						86.3	44.3	52.6	71.7	62.5	23.3
920.4	CUMBRLD R	25.6	28.7	37.2	18.0	26.6	28.5	17.8	12.4	10.7	12.2	13.8
934.5	TENNESS R	22.0	18.3	28.8	21.0	30.3	32.2	17.9	14.8	10.0	11.8	14.4
952.3	JOPPA	82.2	84.5	139.4	86.6	95.3	108-2	46.4	57.3	66.0	80.2	39.1

ANNUAL MEAN OF TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (MG/L)

YY

	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
:											
STATION											

0.0 ALLEGY R 157.0 182.5 142.9 150.1 134.8 149.2 148.3 163.4 169.8 148.6 153.9 0.0 MONOGA R 226.2 225.0 220.3 230.0 214.2 214.1 236.9 202.9 182.4 209.4 223.0 15.2 S HEIGHTS 192.8 203.7 207.6 195.2 216.5 229.7 195.5 193.8 200.1 188.0 183.6 25.4 BEAVER R 271.7 253.1 270.3 239.6 243.6 253.4 231.3 224.8 248.3 227.7 231.8 40.2 E LIVERPL 202.1 207.3 208.2 196.6 179.0 187.2 189.9 182.0 198.6 185.9 207.3 86.8 WHEELING 212.1 235.1 216.5 216.3 213.1 220.6 226.0 206.0 222.7 211.9 208.0 126.4 HANNIBAL 182.2 238.2 234.0 230.0 222.7 231.5 258.1 212.2 227.9 218.5 214.7 161.8 WILLOW IS 216.3 228.5 224.7 237.0 211.5 220.3 251.6 216.1 231.5 207.6 216.4 172.2 MUSKGM R 420.5 360.9 305.3 359.4 360.4 387.8 377.8 355.2 375.0 359.6 390.6 203.9 BELLEVL 244.6 247.5 233.6 237.1 224.7 257.8 255.5 224.5 246.1 222.4 239.8 260.0 ADDISON 224.9 244.3 269.6 249.1 223.5 231.8 241.1 237.3 228.5 204.2 242.1 265.7 KANAWA R 154.2 134.5 118.8 126.6 121.3 108.6 119.1 107.0 111.4 110.7 131.3 279.2 GALLIPOL 202.6 205.8 228.7 232.1 221.7 213.8 214.3 192.4 192.3 176.3 210.9 306.9 HUNTING 189.7 200.4 209.1 198.7 206.6 214.1 220.1 199.2 191.8 177.9 232.8 317.1 BIG SANDY 216.1 218.1 228.8 259.1 259.9 233.1 270.6 251.7 253.0 277.8 321.0 350.7 PORTSM 211.5 207.8 197.7 243.6 231.5 208.8 242.8 188.3 220.8 187.5 220.5 356.5 SCIOTO R 387.6 321.9 347.7 332.4 378.4 354.9 417.9 397.2 390.1 358.3 422.7 408.5 MAYSVILLE 225.5 216.8 188.9 217.9 223.2 211.4 224.1 193.0 245.8 227.1 199.6

ANNUAL MEAN OF TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (MG/L)

(CONTINUED)

	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											

YY

462.8 CINCINN 209.5 199.2 194.4 210.2 208.7 201.8 198.5 193.0 208.4 219.5 188.1 464.1 LIL MIAMI 381.9 341.9 296.9 325.3 352.8 340.1 398.0 442.2 444.0 388.8 365.7 470.2 LICKING R 171.0 161.1 159.5 187.9 168.6 172.4 228.0 212.5 229.8 226.6 169.3 490.0 N BEND 229.2 216.6 209.6 247.4 237.5 210.9 235.5 215.6 241.2 226.8 206.0 491.1 GR MIAMI 468.3 415.6 368.0 411.5 461.2 395.3 430.7 441.4 444.3 402.9 389.7 531.5 MARKLAND 234.9 232.9 209.2 232.3 246.6 219.3 230.2 206.8 233.4 240.1 209.8 600.6 LOUISVL 211.9 223.5 200.0 240.3 242.5 220.1 229.2 216.4 233.3 235.2 204.4 625.9 W POINT 222.3 230.8 205.2 250.4 247.3 225.3 232.3 219.5 234.6 246.3 219.2 720.7 CANNELTN 234.0 233.0 198.4 228.7 227.2 211.5 233.2 218.8 216.1 224.8 216.3 784.2 GREEN R 182.9 195.9 165.1 217.4 192.6 175.9 195.3 221.1 230.4 253.4 217.9 791.5 EVANSVL 217.4 227.4 202.1 235.3 254.6 208.1 224.5 209.9 222.1 226.3 210.6 846.0 UNIONTOWN 227.9 232.3 203.1 247.7 249.2 208.5 219.3 218.2 218.2 217.9 229.7 848.0 WABASH R 323.6 292.0 295.1 324.4 359.0 299.2 315.4 289.1 287.0 287.6 287.6 918.5 SMITHLAND . 225.6 246.9 227.9 237.2 250.3 146.0 . 920.4 CUMBRLD R 120.7 129.6 119.8 132.6 145.2 128.9 127.6 134.6 134.1 139.3 109.0 934.5 TENNESS R 101.8 109.9 97.9 113.3 132.4 105.2 97.4 113.3 115.6 127.1 97.9 952.3 JOPPA 212.1 214.8 199.3 238.1 257.1 233.3 215.6 213.2 221.9 242.4 137.0

ANNUAL MEAN OF HARDNESS (MG/L)

			70	70								
		11	78	19	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATI	ON							*) (
0.0	ALLEGY R		•	92.5	101.0	88.7	97.1	92.1	87.7	103.7	89.6	94.5
0.0	MONOGA R			130.9	129.3	134.3	117.3	125.0	111.7	106.7	119.0	130.3
15.2	S HEIGHTS		·	120.7	121.8	115.3	110.2	112.3	93.8	115.5	105.3	111.8
25.4	BEAVER R	•		147.9	150.6	152.3	146.7	137.3	125.3	153.0	142.5	142.5
40.2	E LIVERPL			125.8	115.1	117.9	115.3	109.3	103.9	123.0	118.0	131.5
86.8	WHEELING	•		127.1	131.0	146.0	122.1	128.4	124.8	130.0	126.3	111.8
126.4	HANNIBAL	•		124.4	134.3	130.7	125.2	140.6	130.3	131.0	123.3	115.3
161.8	WILLOW IS			129.4	137.2	125.3	123.8	136.1	124.8	132.0	117.5	111.3
172.2	MUSKGM R	243.0	265.3	226.7	238.6	222.5	242.4	231.9	230.5	211.5	242.4	248.7
203.9	BELLEVL			145.5	155.7	135.6	145.0	153.7	137.7	138.2	133.3	123.3
260.0	ADDISON			141.2	150.1	145.8	134.7	146.8	135.2	131.1	132.5	146.0
265.7	KANAWA R	82.5	83.0	61.5	87.4	63.0	70.6	66.1	65.5	73.1	71.1	69.5
279.2	GALLIPOL			110.6	123.9	123.5	123.3	117.3	109.2	112.4	107.8	106.5
306.9	HUNTING		96.0	112.7	135.3	126.6	133.9	122.1	118.5	122.4	114.3	124.8
317.1	BIG SANDY	142.0	147.0	117.1	142.9	128.1	130.5	146.8	143.2	139.2	148.9	154.2
350.7	PORTSM	192.0		124.5	121.0	126.7	118.6	127.9	113.8	132.7	123.0	111.3
356.5	SCIOTO R	267.3	293.8	263.8	254.3	251.9	223.5	241.5	241.6	258.2	245.8	286.2
408.5	MAYSVILLE		111.0	124.1	135.8	137.1	124.3	134.9	163.5	154.8	122.4	128.2

ANNUAL MEAN OF HARDNESS (MG/L)

(CONTINUED)						YY					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION				4							
462.8 CINCINN		155.0	119.7	141.7	140.0	125.0	132.1	128.8	133.0	118.9	117.2

464.1 LIL MIAMI 298.7 253.5 253.2 245.5 256.4 251.1 238.2 198.5 226.8 254.2 270.2 470.2 LICKING R 124.0 118.6 122.0 129.7 148.8 133.5 152.3 159.7 120.8 132.2 124.0 . 208.0 129.3 147.4 144.0 126.3 140.8 135.3 154.6 128.5 129.2 490.0 N BEND 491.1 GR MIAMI 301.0 279.9 323.4 312.0 315.2 283.6 318.6 321.7 292.4 272.2 291.5 . 207.0 136.1 158.2 141.4 141.3 152.7 140.3 164.3 145.6 147.4 531.5 MARKLAND 600.6 LOUISVL . 182.0 118.4 158.9 146.2 143.3 137.8 136.9 141.9 140.3 131.8 625.9 W POINT . 183.5 124.2 157.3 150.4 140.8 144.2 142.2 135.4 145.5 131.2 720.7 CANNELIN . 151.0 137.5 134.3 139.0 131.8 122.0 137.3 134.1 139.0 133.8 784.2 GREEN R . 125.0 118.2 166.7 122.5 122.8 148.4 160.3 164.9 187.2 157.5 . 96.5 128.6 159.0 156.2 137.3 140.6 147.3 139.4 160.3 139.7 791.5 EVANSVL 846.0 UNIONTOWN . 216.5 124.9 161.2 161.1 129.4 137.5 135.5 146.5 146.4 138.2 848.0 WABASH R . 285.0 212.1 210.7 193.4 208.5 222.7 255.6 220.6 237.3 253.3 . . 153.2 164.0 158.8 151.6 151.3 114.3 918.5 SMITHLAND 920.4 CUMBRLD R . 147.0 82.7 103.0 98.2 98.8 100.5 91.7 106.2 107.0 89.7 934.5 TENNESS R . 78.0 64.2 73.5 72.1 74.9 67.3 71.3 75.4 79.0 72.7 952.3 JOPPA . 180.5 161.1 159.5 154.9 157.0 143.8 149.4 152.2 159.3 111.8

ANNUAL MEAN OF SULFATE (MG/L)

		77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	
STATI	ON												
0.0	ALLEGY R	71.3	85.5	72.8	66.3	64.2	67.5	69.0	68.7	69.3	61.6	57.7	
0.0	MONOGA R	116.3	110.0	111.8	109.2	124.6	104.5	121.3	99.9	90.0	98.2	110.5	
15.2	S HEIGHTS	89.7	95.8	93.5	102.8	87.6	88.7	91.9	85.8	87.5	77.7	77.7	
25.4	BEAVER R	87.7	74.1	65.1	72.7	77.4	68.3	70 .9	64.7	69.8	62.8	57.0	
40.2	E LIVERPL	86.1	89.4	78.4	89.9	83.5	76.0	81.9	76.2	82.8	74.3	81.7	
86.8	WHEELING	94.5	101.6	93.3	95.3	94.2	86.5	77.3	86.3	95.1	82.5	59.4	
126.4	HANNIBAL	69.3	95.9	89.2	93.0	87.6	87.3	85.5	90.1	93.8	79.8	68.4	
161.8	WILLOW IS	98.3	89.5	85.6	105.2	93.4	90.0	115.8	87.3	97.2	91.9	60.1	
172.2	MUSKGM R	126.1	104.4	97.8	112.8	122.2	122.0	131.7	120.6	115.4	120.3	103.0	
203.9	BELLEVL	90.3	91.4	88.0	100.0	91.3	86.2	101.5	87.3	86.8	85.8	68.3	
260.0	ADDISON	95.9	86.3	85.1	95.2	89.3	86.5	104.2	84.8	82.8	75.7	77.8	
265.7	KANAWA R	43.1	31.3	26.8	30.3	32.6	24.3	35.8	23.5	32.6	28.3	24.7	
27 9.2	GALLIPOL	77.6	69.3	74.2	71.5	88.1	63.8	82.5	60.3	70.7	60.1	54.6	
306.9	HUNTING	74.0	74.6	68.0	85.5	85.9	81.6	101.1	72.8	80.9	69.9	64.3	
317.1	BIG SANDY	89.7	92.0	85.7	95.0	113.4	92.5	119.3	101.9	100.4	107.4	110.7	
350.7	PORTSM	76.8	73.5	60.1	79.1	79.2	63.3	87.0	58.6	80.0	68.5	63.3	
356.5	SCIOTO R	84.5	63.5	45.0	58.2	79.7	67.7	81.6	68.5	79.0	79.0	79.3	
408.5	MAYSVILLE	80.8	73.1	55.4	73.1	85.8	64.9	87.9	57.3	69.3	69.0	59.0	

ANNUAL MEAN OF SULFATE (MG/L)

CONTINU	ED)						YY					
		77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION												
462.8 C	INCINN	72.5	73.0	56.9	76.4	81.8	59.3	86.2	55.0	63.8	60.8	57.8
464.1 L	IL MIAMI	50.6	34.8	29.9	34.9	45.4	40.4	50.8	44.4	42.0	37.5	34.8
470.2 L	ICKING R	36.8	23.8	19.3	25.3	30.3	. 23.8	33.5	37.4	27.0	45.8	38.8
490.0 N	BEND	78.5	69.9	62.2	76.3	77.5	60.8	77.6	73.2	78.0	64.0	59.3
491.1 G	R MIAMI	75.4	59.9	52.3	48.2	66.4	54.8	70.4	65.5	59.9	52.3	52.3
531.5 M	ARKLAND	78.1	68.8	54.3	68.3	82.4	54.8	76.3	71.8	76.6	62.1	55.8
600.6 L	OUISVL	77.4	103.7	70.9	71.6	72.8	58.2	65.3	66.4	64.8	69.9	53.8
625.9 W	POINT	80.4	78.4	70.5	73.7	74.9	60.3	68.4	66.7	70.7	66.3	52.2
720.7 C	ANNELTN	80.3	71.5	63.5	92.1	80.2	53.0	72.3	68.8	64.7	56.9	57.8
784.2 G	REEN R	57.2	59.8	53.3	73.1	74.3	54.5	57.6	76.5	79.8	85.0	48.7
791.5 E	VANSVL	77.1	107.5	63.8	73.6	81.5	53.3	66.0	67.8	67.4	64.7	53.6
846.0 U	NIONTOWN	75.9	76.6	70.8	69.2	71.8	55.0	67.6	65.2	68.3	60.0	53.3
848.0 W	ABASH R	76.3	59.6	61.9	61.9	64.5	50.3	65.3	70.7	54.9	41.8	53.9
918.5 S	MITHLAND					•	60.2	69.4	63.2	64.1	58.7	27.4
920.4 C	UMBRLD R	18.1	19.0	17.8	18.4	27.6	28.5	25.8	18.1	19.5	23.2	18.2
934.5 T	ENNESS R	15.0	12.9	23.4	13.0	18.1	10.0	14.5	13.6	14.2	17.9	14.6
952.3 J	OPPA	70.1	65.7	60.9	67.3	63.0	43.8	57.6	60.3	57.3	44.3	29.1
952.3 J	OPPA	70.1	65.7	60.9	67.3	63.0	43.8	57.6	60.3	57.3	44.3	

ANNUAL MEAN OF TOTAL PHOSPHORUS (MG/L)

	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
0.0 ALLEGY R	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
0.0 MONOGA R	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
15.2 S HEIGHTS	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
25.4 BEAVER R	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
40.2 E LIVERPL	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
86.8 WHEELING	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
126.4 HANNIBAL	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
161.8 WILLOW IS	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
* 172.2 MUSKGM R	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1
203.9 BELLEVL	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
260.0 ADDISON	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
* 265.7 KANAWA R	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
279.2 GALLIPOL	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
306.9 HUNTING	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.6
317.1 BIG SANDY	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
350.7 PORTSM	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
* 356.5 SCIOTO R	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4
* 408.5 MAYSVILLE	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
								*	INCOMPLI	ETE DAT	A SETS

ANNUAL MEAN OF IUIAL PHUSPHURUS (MG/L)

(CONTINUED)						YY					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
* 462.8 CINCINN	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1
* 464.1 LIL MIAMI	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.7	1.8
470.2 LICKING R	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.5
* 490.0 N BEND	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
* 491.1 GR MIAMI	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.5
* 531.5 MARKLAND	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1
600.6 LOUISVL	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1
625.9 W POINT	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
* 720.7 CANNELIN	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.1
784.2 GREEN R	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
791.5 EVANSVL	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
* 846.0 UNIONTOWN	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
* 848.0 WABASH R	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.1
* 918.5 SMITHLAND						0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
920.4 CUMBRLD R	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
934.5 TENNESS R	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
952.3 JOPPA	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1

* INCOMPLETE DATA SETS

ANNUAL MEAN OF AMMONIA (MG/L)

	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
0.0 ALLEGY R	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
0.0 MONOGA R	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
15.2 S HEIGHT	s 0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
25.4 BEAVER R	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
40.2 E LIVERP	L 0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
86.8 WHEELING	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
126.4 HANNIBAL	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
161.8 WILLOW I	s 0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
* 172.2 MUSKGM R	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
203.9 BELLEVL	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
260.0 ADDISON	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
* 265.7 KANAWA R	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
279.2 GALLIPOL	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
306.9 HUNTING	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
317.1 BIG SAND	Y 0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
350.7 PORTSM	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
* 356.5 SCIOTO R	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0
* 408.5 MAYSVILL	E 0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
								*	INCOMPLE	TE DAT	SETS

ANNUAL MEAN OF AMMONIA (MG/L)

(CONTINUED)						YY					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION				•							
* 462.8 CINCINN	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
* 464.1 LIL MIAMI	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
470.2 LICKING R	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
* 490.0 N BEND	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
* 491.1 GR MIAMI	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
* 531.5 MARKLAND	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0
600.6 LOUISVL	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
625.9 W POINT	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3
* 720.7 CANNELIN	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
784.2 GREEN R	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
791.5 EVANSVL	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
* 846.0 UNIONTOWN	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
* 848.0 WABASH R	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
* 918.5 SMITHLAND						0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
920.4 CUMBRLD R	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
934.5 TENNESS R	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
952.3 JOPPA	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
									INCOMPL	ETE DAT	A SETS

.

ANNUAL MEAN OF TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN (MG/L)

						YY					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
0.0 ALLEGY R	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
0.0 MONOGA R	1.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	, 0.8	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5
15.2 S HEIGHTS	1.5	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4
25.4 BEAVER R	2.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.1	0.9	1.1	0.8	1.1
40.2 E LIVERPL	1.5	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.6
86.8 WHEELING	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.2	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
126.4 HANNIBAL	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5
161.8 WILLOW IS	1.5	0.7	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5
172.2 MUSKGM R	1.6	0.8	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.1	0.5	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.8
203.9 BELLEVL	1.5	0.7	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.5
260.0 ADDISON	1.6	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.5
265.7 KANAWA R	1.5	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.7	1.0	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.5
279.2 GALLIPOL	1.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5
306.9 HUNTING	1.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2
317.1 BIG SANDY	1.6	0.9	0.9	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2
350.7 PORTSM	3.9	0_9	0.8	0.8	0.7	1.0	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4
356.5 SCIOTO R	3.6	1.1	1.2	1.1	6.8	1.9	0.7	1.4	1.6	1.1	1.2
408.5 MAYSVILLE	3.6	0.9	0.5	1.3	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4
								*	INCOMPLE	TE DAT	A SETS

ANNUAL MEAN OF TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN (MG/L)

(CONTINUED)						YY					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
* 462.8 CINCINN	1.3	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.3
* 464.1 LIL MIAMI	2.1	1.2	0.8	1.1	1.0	1.5	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0
470.2 LICKING R	1.8	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.5
* 490.0 N BEND	1.5	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5
* 491.1 GR MIAMI	2.3	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.5
* 531.5 MARKLAND	1.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	1.2	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.5
600.6 LOUISVL	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4
625.9 W POINT	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.9	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.8
* 720.7 CANNELTN	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4
784.2 GREEN R	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6
791.5 EVANSVL	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.5	1.2	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6
* 846.0 UNIONTOWN	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4
* 848.0 WABASH R	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.7	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.4
* 918.5 SMITHLAND					•	1.0	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.4
920.4 CUMBRLD R	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
934.5 TENNESS R	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4
952.3 JOPPA	0.6	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5

* INCOMPLETE DATA SETS

ANNUAL MEAN OF NITRATE/NITRITES (MG/L)

						TT					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
0.0 ALLEGY R	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.6
0.0 MONOGA R	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.9	6.9	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8
15.2 S HEIGHTS	0.7	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9 -	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.7
25.4 BEAVER R	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.1	1.1
40.2 E LIVERPL	0.9	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
86.8 WHEELING	0.8	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.1	0.8
126.4 HANNIBAL	0.8	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.7
161.8 WILLOW IS	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.7
* 172.2 MUSKGM R	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.5	1.4	0.7	1.1	1.4	0.9	1.0
203.9 BELLEVL	0.9	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.8
260.0 ADDISON	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.2	1.2	0.9
* 265.7 KANAWA R	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.6
279.2 GALLIPOL	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.8
306.9 HUNTING	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.8
317.1 BIG SANDY	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	4.1	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3
350.7 PORTSM	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	1.2	1.1	0.8
* 356.5 SCIOTO R	2.1	2.1	3.5	2.6	2.9	2.3	2.7	1.9	1.8	2.4	2.6
* 408.5 MAYSVILLE	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.5	1.2	0.7	0.9
									INCOMPLE	TE DAT	A SETS

ANNUAL MEAN OF NITRATE/NITRITES (MG/L)

(CONTINUED)						YY					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											•
* 462.8 CINCINN	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.2	0.9	1.0	0.5	1.2	0.8	0.9
* 464.1 LIL MIAMI	1.7	2.2	3.0	2.8	- 2.4	2.5	2.3	1.6	2.9	2.1	2.8
470.2 LICKING R	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.9	1.0	- 1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
* 490.0 N &END	1.0	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.3	0.7	1.1
= 491.1 GR MIAMI	2.6	3.3	3.8	4.8	4.5	3.8	4.2	3.7	2.1	3.4	2.7
* 531.5 MARKLAND	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.5	0.9	1.2
600.6 LOUISVL	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.2
625.9 W POINT	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2
* 720.7 CANNELIN	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.4
784.2 GREEN R	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.9	5.6	1.0	1.2	0.7
791.5 EVANSVL	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.1
* 846.0 UNIONTOWN	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.8	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1
* 848.0 WABASH R	2.0	2.2	2.0	1.4	3.3	2.6	2.2	2.4	2.0	2.7	1.1
* 918.5 SMITHLAND						1.6	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6	0.4
920.4 CUMBRLD R	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1
934.5 TENNESS R	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
952.3 JOPPA	1.0	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.9	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	0.7
									INCOMPL	ETE DAT	A SETS

-

ANNUAL MEAN OF TOTAL NITROGEN (MG/L)

		77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
	STATION											
	0.0 ALLEGY R	1.9	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.1	0.9	0.9
	0.0 MONOGA R	2.6	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.2
	15.2 S HEIGHTS	2.2	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.1
	25.4 BEAVER R	3.2	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.2	2.0	2.6	2.0	2.2
	40.2 E LIVERPL	2.4	2.0	1.9	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.6	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.5
	86.8 WHEELING	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.1	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.3
	126.4 HANNIBAL	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.3	1.9	1.9	1.5	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.2
	161.8 WILLOW IS	2.4	1.8	2.2	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.2
*	172.2 MUSKGM R	2.7	2.1	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.5	1.2	1.9	2.4	1.7	1.8
	203.9 BELLEVL	2.3	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.1	1.6	1.5	1.8	1.6	1.3
	260.0 ADDISON	2.6	1.8	2.1	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.9	1.4
*	265.7 KANAWA R	2.0	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.1
	279.2 GALLIPOL	2.5	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.3
	306.9 HUNTING	2.2	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.0
	317.1 BIG SANDY	2.0	1.4	1.3	1.0	1.2	5.0	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.5
	350.7 PORTSM	4.7	1.9	1.8	2.3	1.7	1.9	1.3	1.3	1.8	1.7	1.3
*	356.5 SCIOTO R	5.8	3.2	4.7	3.7	9.7	4.1	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.9
*	408.5 MAYSVILLE	4.6	2.1	1.7	2.4	1.7	1.9	1.6	0.9	1.7	1.1	1.3
									*	INCOMPLI	TE DAT	A SETS

ANNUAL MEAN OF TOTAL NITROGEN (MG/L)

(CONTINUED)						YY					
STATION	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
* 462.8 CINCINN	2.3	1.8	1.6	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.6	0.9	1.8	1.4	1.2
* 464.1 LIL MIAMI	3.8	3.4	3.8	3.9	3.5	3.9	3.0	2.6	3.9	3.0	3.8
470.2 LICKING R	2.5	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.9	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.0
* 490.0 N BEND	2.6	2.0	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.2	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.4	1.6
* 491.1 GR MIAMI	5.0	4.8	4.9	5.9	5.8	5.5	5.2	4.8	3.3	4.7	4.2
* 531.5 MARKLAND	2.8	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.7	2.2	1.6	1.6	2.2	1.6	1.7
600.6 LOUISVL	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.6
625.9 W POINT	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.7	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0
* 720.7 CANNELTN	1.6	2.0	1.9	1.6	2.1	2.3	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.8
784.2 GREEN R	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.3	6.1	1.4	1.6	1.3
791.5 EVANSVL	1.8	2.3	2.0	1.7	2.7	2.3	1.9	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.7
* 846.0 UNIONTOWN	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.7	2.2	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.5
* 848.0 WABASH R	3.2	3.4	3.1	2.5	4.3	4.3	3.2	3.4	3.1	3.9	2.5
* 918.5 SMITHLAND						2.6	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.2	0.8
920.4 CUMBRLD R	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4
934.5 TENNESS R	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6
952.3 JOPPA	1.7	2.5	2.1	1.8	2.5	2.7	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.3	1.1
								*	INCOMPL	ETE DAT.	A SETS

ANNUAL MEAN OF PHENOLICS (UG/L)

ч.	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
0.0 ALLEGY R	8.5	4.6	3.3	6.5	7.5	5.0		4.1	2.6	8.4	2.0
0.0 MONOGA R	7.2	5.5	6.9	6.7	5.6	4.0	10.0	6.9	3.1	8.8	3.7
15.2 S HEIGHTS	11.0	8.7	5.7	9.3	4.2	3.5	8.5-	11.6	3.5	8.2	2.3
25.4 BEAVER R	9.4	13.4	6.5	7.9	5.2	17.0	2.6	13.3	6.0	8.8	3.1
40.2 E LIVERPL	13.7	7.2	5.5	5.8	4.5	6.5	2.5	4.3	4.5	7.3	2.8
86.8 WHEELING	14.1	8.0	4.4	8.1	5.6	11.0	1.5	3.9	4.4	9.7	2.9
126.4 HANNIBAL	79.3	6.2	4.6	6.6	8.9	7.0	1.5	2.4	3.4	5.8	3.0
161.8 WILLOW IS	7.2	5.2	6.1	5.2	7.2	4.0	1.3	2.6	3.4	7.0	2.4
172.2 MUSKGM R	6.9	4.7	4.7	5.2	5.3	5.0	1.7	3.6	5.3	8.4	3.1
203.9 BELLEVL	6.3	5.0	3.9	3.1	3.5	4.0	2.5	2.2	5.4	7.1	2.4
260.0 ADDISON	11.4	5.7	15.8	3.4	11.1	2.5	2.0	2.4	3.9	6.8	3.0
265.7 KANAWA R	11.9	6.8	11.1	3.7	4.9	2.0	1.3	1.8	2.5	7.3	2.5
279.2 GALLIPOL	5.5	6.3	8.0	5.8	4.1	6.0	1.8	2.3	3.0	6.0	4.7
306.9 HUNTING	8.1	13.6	4.0	4.5	34.6	4.0	2.0	2.2	2.8	3.6	2.6
317.1 BIG SANDY	10.4	8.5	3.1	4.6	12.0		1.0	1.4	2.3	7.5	2.0
350.7 PORTSM	8.4	4.5	4.8	11.0	18.5	3.5	1.5	3.5	3.8	5.3	2.3
356.5 SCIOTO R	19.5	5.4	6.3	4.4	3.9	7.0	2.2	5.5	8.3	8.7	7.3
408.5 MAYSVILLE	10.2	9.0	6.1	4.6	4.0	3.0	2.3	3.1	3.9	4.1	3.0
ANNUAL MEAN OF PHENOLICS (UG/L)

(CONTINUED)						YY					
	77	78	7 9	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
462.8 CINCINN	10.4	11.1	3.8	3.1	11.4	2.0	1.8	2.8	3.5	3.7	2.8
464.1 LIL MIAMI	8.4	7.1	16.2	3.1	9.2	7.0	2.1	4.2	5.0	6.1	3.9
470.2 LICKING R	7.0	15.1	8.9	7.3	10.2	7.0	1.7	4.0	3.6	4.0	3.6
490.0 N BEND	10.8	14.3	3.4	9.5	11.1	15.0	19.0		4.7	4.0	2.8
491.1 GR MIAMI	25.0	9.6	4.2	5.2	11.8	11.5	16.0	10.0	9.7	5.9	5.0
531.5 MARKLAND	11.2	19.7	2.4	4.3	20.6	6.5	10.0		4.7	3.3	3.0
600.6 LOUISVL	6.2	4.8	3.3	14.0	12.0	5.0	16.0	18.0	4.0	4.4	2.3
625.9 W POINT	5.5	5.6	3.0	2.9	17.7	5.0	6.5	14.0	3.7	4.4	2.3
720.7 CANNELTN	6.1	4.7	2.5	11.0	18.9	3.5		11.0	4.0	3.1	2.0
784.2 GREEN R	7.3	4.0	2.0	6.2	8.9	3.5	10.0		4.2	3.0	2.8
791.5 EVANSVL	6.4	5.3	2.7	5.8	5.1	5.0	18.0	10.5	3.8	3.6	2.4
846.0 UNIONTOWN	10.9	4.6	2.5	4.1	3.0	2.5	17.0		3.2	2.9	2.4
848.0 WABASH R	11.4	5.1	4.1	4.6	7.5	3.5	6.3	10.5	4.8	3.0	3.4
918.5 SMITHLAND						8.0	9.5	10.0	4.2	3.9	2.4
920.4 CUMBRLD R	13.9	3.3	2.3	3.5	3.3	4.0	2.0		2.5	3.3	2.2
934.5 TENNESS R	5.6	3.0	2.0	5.3	2.7	9.0	12.0	15.0	2.5	3.5	2.2
952.3 JOPPA	6.4	4.8	2.0	5.6	7.4	3.0	13.5	12.0	4.0	3.3	2.7

ANNUAL MEAN OF COPPER (UG/L)

	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	
STATION												
0.0 ALLEGY R	11.3	26.2	16.2	30.2	26.6	14.2	18.0	6.1	5.1	8.5	6.7	
0.0 MONOGA R	13.1	16.2	19.7	23.3	20.8	14.2	14.7	8.0	54.0	7.0	5.5	
15.2 SHEIGHT	s 15.1	22.5	14.8	31.1	26.2	8.0	13.5	7.3	6.4	5.1	23.3	
25.4 BEAVER R	20.8	18.3	16.7	76.8	23.4	9.8	17.8	9.9	6.4	8.9	6.9	
40.2 E LIVERP	L 40.0	15.5	17.0	28.6	23.0	15.2	23.8	16.9	82.8	9.9	3.5	
86.8 WHEELING	10.8	20.7	16.2	84.7	33.0	12.7	36.9	27.5	8.4	12.8	20.3	
126.4 HANNIBAL	11.3	13.3	25.3	23.7	39.0	10.5	7.7	5.9	6.5	13.9	4.5	
161.8 WILLOW I	s 9.8	14.3	22.0	48.4	60.7	14.0	8.3	5.8	6.2	12.8	3.8	
172.2 MUSKGM R	16.2	17.3	21.4	48.5	34.8	12.8	14.2	7.9	8.8	13.6	6.8	
203.9 BELLEVL	11.2	24.2	25.7	42.5	34.8	13.8	8.3	8.1	6.6	11.4	3.8	
260.0 ADDISON	24.0	45.7	138.3	127.8	45.1	20.0	11.8	11.6	17.8	15.0	37.0	
265.7 KANAWA R	24.9	20.8	54.4	14.8	23.3	11.6	9.7	5.6	4.1	28.2	3.5	
279.2 GALLIPOL	15.2	35.2	32.9	69.0	21.8	9.8	9.5	7.0	11.1	7.7	6.3	
306.9 HUNTING	290.0	136.8	84.9	78.8	69.3	13.4	8.5	8.7	14.2	54.0	18.5	
317.1 BIG SAND	¥ 36.7	95.7	27.4	120.0	19.3	11.1	8.8	11.7	8.9	15.7	6.4	
350.7 PORTSM	20.5	40.9	31.8	67.2	10.2	12.5	17.0	8.7	8.9	12.8	7.3	
356.5 SCIOTO R	20.0	34.7	21.7	150.5	39.8	17.5	10.6	7.3	7.4	12.8	5.7	
408.5 MAYSVILL	E 53.1	19.3	8.8	81.8	33.3	20.3	17.5	83.9	210.6	23.1	26.6	

ANNUAL MEAN OF COPPER (UG/L)

(CONTINUED)						YY					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
462.8 CINCINN	35.7	21.4	158.5	118.1	244.2	11.8	17.2	69.8	318.3	419.5	61.5
464.1 LIL MIAMI	34.6	20.1	7.0	21.5	10.9	9.1	11.6	48.8	8.7	11.3	4.7
470.2 LICKING R	24.4	13.8	5.5	118.3	13.3	9.8	8.8	117.9	4.8	35.5	12.8
490.0 N BEND	25.5	35.8	15.5	15.3	13.2	11.3	18.4	26.7	17.0	8.9	39.5
491.1 GR MIAMI	21.5	16.4	17.0	15.0	15.0	11.0	11.3	13.6	21.1	14.2	9.5
531.5 MARKLAND	39.6	14.7	10.7	22.5	24.8	16.0	14.8	55.8	17.7	19.8	12.8
600.6 LOUISVL	33.3	59.3	64.7	16.3	44.3	19.5	23.9	26.3	26.4	32.7	26.8
625.9 W POINT	30.0	41.0	14.3	14.5	15.2	17.5	22.1	13.5	11.4	7.5	6.9
720.7 CANNELTN		20.0	27.0	14.9	13.5	67.0	16.2	57.1	12.3	7.6	13.6
784.2 GREEN R		29.6	8.7	16.5	12.2	8.0	10.0	12.5	10.0	5.6	6.5
791.5 EVANSVL	68.9	94.6	26.0	17.8	27.1	12.2	13.7	16.1	16.1	8.5	10.3
846.0 UNIONTOWN		22.8	11.8	22.3	14.7	9.2	13.1	13.6	12.1	7.6	9.2
848.0 WABASH R		17.3	24.3	12.0	13.3	26.7	15.4	24.3	12.2	12.3	19.9
918.5 SMITHLAND						9.7	17.4	14.4	8.8	6.8	16.8
920.4 CUMBRLD R		38.3	21.8	24.0	9.6	10.4	15.3	15.6	17.4	13.6	65.6
934.5 TENNESS R	190.0	17.5	7.5	6.0	6.5	5.9	6.4	12.5	4.3	3.6	8.9
952.3 JOPPA		33.0	18.7	14.4	19.3	13.7	25.1	15.5	13.0	30.2	17.6

ANNUAL MEAN OF IRON (UG/L)

						YY						
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	
STATION												
0.0 ALLEGY R	1566.7	1250.0	2465.8	1950.8	1525.6	1439.2	1398.3	1060.9	1701.7	1314.2	950.5	
0.0 MONOGA R	1291.7	2531.8	2093.3	2346.7	2455.8	1311.7	1384.2	1475.0	2491.7	1280.8	1358.3	
15.2 S HEIGHTS	-2011.7	2916.7	1673.3	2266.9	2725.8	1703.3	1215.5	1644.2	2305.8	1355.8	1588.3	
25.4 BEAVER R	1526.7	2600.0	1852.5	2492.7	1903.1	1342.5	1388.2	1764.2	1633.3	1305.8	2431.7	
40.2 E LIVERPL	2474.2	1766.7	3233.3	4325.8	5521.7	2894.2	5249.1	2370.8	2230.0	1500.8	710.8	
86.8 WHEELING	1700.0	4166.7	2852.5	2726.7	1514.2	2535.8	1633.3	1357.5	2275.0	1281.7	1306.7	
126.4 HANNIBAL	993.3	1031.3	1111.7	1352.5	1000.0	1360.8	866.5	868.7	1325.0	1050.8	801.2	
161.8 WILLOW IS	1040.8	1341.7	1942.5	1183.8	1129.2	1768.3	1964.7	940.8	1072.5	922.5	898.2	
172.2 MUSKGM R	1676.7	1858.3	2921.7	2417.5	3931.7	2370.8	3240.8	1341.7	2296.7	1579.8	1345.5	
203.9 BELLEVL	1262.9	1452.1	2317.5	1540.8	4180.8	2277.5	2167.5	1349.3	1550.0	1818.3	908.8	
260.0 ADDISON	1672.7	3300.0	2833.3	2009.2	4198.2	2085.8	1663.3	2087.2	3870.0	2480.7	1074.2	
265.7 KANAWA R	756.8	2283.3	3359.1	1458.0	1301.7	2453.3	1148.7	1572.8	851.7	1491.0	587.5	
279.2 GALLIPOL	850.0	2361.5	2635.8	2316.7	3089.1	1971.7	2045.5	1879.2	2483.3	3013.3	940.0	
306.9 HUNTING	3663.3	4670.8	3725.9	1950.8	3193.3	330.0	702.5	253.8	934.8	1031.0	86.5	
317.1 BIG SANDY	5963.6	7591.7	6697.0	2712.9	5841.8	4076.7	1558.0	5412.2	2482.5	3098.0	1115.0	
350.7 PORTSM	2280.0	3719.2	1858.3	1338.0	2546.9	2783.3	2614.0	3429.2	3281.7	2692.5	1331.7	
356.5 SCIOTO R	2450.0	3077.3	1922.5	2478.3	2910.8	3116.7	2900.0	1413.6	2737.5	2631.8	1544.2	
408.5 MAYSVILLE	2322.7	1545.5	1915.8	2190.8	2240.0	1981.7	3570.2	2548.3	4389.2	2136.7	1585.5	

ANNUAL MEAN OF IRON (UG/L)

(CCNTINUED)

YY 77 78 79 80 81 83 84 85 86 87 82 STATICN 462.8 CINCINN 3453.8 3388.5 3137.5 2706.7 3188.3 2612.5 3425.0 2669.2 6700.0 3311.7 1482.7 464.1 LIL MIAMI 3744.2 1163.6 1370.8 2498.3 2943.6 1332.5 2322.5 2828.3 1925.8 3582.5 1267.3 470.2 LICKING R- 3365.4 3316.7 2326.7 1955.0 2200.0 2905.8 2410.9 3230.8 2187.5 3369.2 2649.1 490.0 N BEND 2391.4 5370.8 2938.3 1523.8 3074.2 3806.7 2878.2 2165.8 1989.0 2002.5 1440.8 491.1 GR MIAMI 3286.2 2854.5 2212.5 3180.8 1729.2 3915.5 1969.0 1841.8 2553.0 3140.0 2428.3 531.5 MARKLAND 931.1 2604.2 2342.5 1420.8 2401.2 3759.2 1322.0 2019.1 5786.0 2370.8 1250.8 600.6 LOUISVL 4411.7 2695.3 2750.0 1330.8 2624.2 3692.5 2180.4 2408.3 2697.5 1824.2 1340.8 625.9 W POINT 4882.5 6733.3 5208.3 1626.3 3305.0 4755.8 4125.1 2170.0 3239.2 2427.3 2004.2 720.7 CANNELTN 2230.0 2927.3 3235.8 1926.7 1716.2 5101.8 3014.4 2525.8 3877.9 2626.7 928.3 784.2 GREEN R 2171.7 4941.8 3162.5 1360.8 2054.5 3070.8 2192.5 2716.7 2558.3 2254.2 2108.3 791.5 EVANSVL 4701.7 5642.7 9716.7 7743.3 4323.1 4305.0 3933.3 3650.8 6331.8 3701.5 3023.3 846.0 UNIONTOWN 2681.7 3069.2 4207.5 2426.7 2707.5 2761.7 2118.3 2491.7 4619.2 3915.8 2174.2 848.0 WABASH R 4091.7 3883.6 6477.5 3233.6 4018.6 7942.5 4122.5 3675.8 4405.0 5960.0 2933.3

920.4 CUMBRLD R 942.5 858.8 1145.0 379.2 329.1 410.8 769.0 492.5 470.0 516.0 453.3

934.5 TENNESS R 971.7 756.0 859.2 629.2 466.4 650.8 725.5 626.7 524.2 682.8 367.5

952.3 JOPPA 2873.3 3870.8 3863.3 2586.2 3058.3 3575.8 2458.3 2667.5 3046.7 3325.0 1441.7

ANNUAL MEAN OF LEAD (UG/L)

	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
0.0 ALLEGY R	17.5	19.4	13.8	10.0	22.0	14.0	12.3	24.7	13.1	13.0	10.0
0.0 MONOGA R	25.4	27.7	15.8	14.4	- 18.0	10.0	14.1	25.4	14.7	12.9	12.5
15.2 S HEIGHTS	18.1	28.5	15.1	12.7	83.8	12.0	11.4	24.9	13.5	10.0	12.3
25.4 BEAVER R	28.1	38.3	19.2	18.4	14.8	12.0	16.7	25.6	13.1	13.5	17.4
40.2 E LIVERPL	28.5	25.4	18.2	20.0	21.1	16.5	28.4	16.6	16.0	14.0	12.0
86.8 WHEELING	30.4	31.9	17.8	16.4	14.0	16.0	15.9	20.8	15.2	11.5	11.5
126.4 HANNIBAL	16.0	20.2	14.2	15.3	10.0	20.0	15.4	29.0	12.0	10.0	10.2
161.8 WILLOW IS	22.3	22.5	17.6	20.4	100.7	82.0	14.5	23.4	12.4	10.3	10.5
172.2 MUSKGM R	31.4	27.3	55.2	40.7	12.0	14.0	16.2	26.9	18.7	16.3	16.0
203.9 BELLEVL	19.8	19.6	15.5	20.8	13.5	17.0	16.8	17.3	12.5	14.4	10.3
260.0 ADDISON	28.6	45.2	18.2	14.8	16.0	14.0	12.3	20.2	15.9	14.0	11.8
265.7 KANAWA R	31.9	37.3	17.1	10.7	22.0	10.0	22.5	23.7	10.0	15.3	24.2
279.2 GALLIPOL	31.5	41.5	18.4	14.4	15.0		12.0	20.7	14.3	12.9	27.0
306.9 HUNTING	40.5	26.9	20.5	17.0	11.3	24.0	16.7	26.3	12.7	14.7	10.7
317.1 BIG SANDY	35.0	33.3	17.8	15.0	28.0	17.0	38.4	23.8	12.0	14.0	11.0
350.7 PORTSM	41.0	36.1	15.5	22.0	14.5	18.0	14.3	19.6	14.6	13.7	11.6
356.5 SCIOTO R	45.5	36.4	31.5	23.3	17.6	12.0	21.9	23.1	16.2	17.1	33.0
408.5 MAYSVILLE	41.8	36.5	30.2	19.5	12.0	12.0	17.9	17.6	19.1	12.0	12.0

ANNUAL MEAN OF LEAD (UC (1)

ANNUAL MEAN OF LE.	AD (UG/I	-)									
(CONTINUED)						YY					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
462.8 CINCINN	32.5	24.8	14.0	12.3	33.0	18.0	14.7	16.4	31.8	13.3	12.4
464.1 LIL MIAMI	44.6	41.9	17.3	15.3	16.3		19.6	22.2	16.2	17.0	16.4
470.2 LICKING R	25.5	20.9	15.8	6.0	10.0		14.6	16.8	14.7	11.4	11.1
490.0 N BEND	23.0	26.8	21.1	21.0	19.5	16.0	16.4	4.3	8.0	12.0	11.0
491.1 GR MIAMI	51.7	37.5	28.8	42.3	25.0	12.0	66.0	3.7	17.4	18.8	20.8
531.5 MARKLAND	23.3	31.1	52.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	53.3	4.3	19.0	12.0	10.8
600.6 LOUISVL	15.1	20.6	44.8	30.2	12.7	11.0	15.0	4.9	8.9	11.0	11.5
625.9 W POINT	22.3	28.7	32.5	23.0	14.3	14.7	13.5	5.8	9.8	12.4	11.8
720.7 CANNELIN	16.2	20.6	64.4	20.3	18.0	18.0	268.1	7.6	10.9	14.0	15.0
784.2 GREEN R	23.4	19.4	47.3	35.6	24.7	13.0	51.7	3.3	9.2	12.8	12.2
791.5 EVANSVL	14.9	21.2	48.7	33.0	24.0	13.3	38.1	5.6	14.1	14.8	13.2
846.0 UNIONTOWN	22.0	16.6	37.6	48.8	10.7		7.4	6.4	12.9	14.9	11.6
848.0 WABASH R	18.0	16.0	74.7	45.4	21.3	19.0	67.9	4.1	9.5	18.5	19.5
918.5 SMITHLAND						67.6	55.7	4.5	7.6	12.5	12.3

7.5 11.0 11.3 920.4 CUMBRLD R 11.2 20.9 38.0 36.0 100.0 10.0 100.0 2.0 934.5 TENNESS R 20.3 17.4 47.3 24.9 20.0 20.2 42.9 5.0 7.6 12.0 10.4

952.3 JOPPA 11.1 21.9 43.3 81.0 10.0 16.0 9.7 4.5 6.4 14.6 11.3

ANNUAL MEAN OF MERCURY (UG/L)

.

	그는 그는 것이 잘 다 안에 다 가 같이 많이 있는 것이 없는 것이 없다.												
·	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87		
STATION													
0.0 ALLEGY R	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1		
0.0 MONOGA R		1.1	0.1	0.2	, 0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.2		
15.2 S HEIGHTS	• •	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.2		
25.4 BEAVER R		0.2	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.2	0.2		
40.2 E LIVERPL		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2		0.4		0.3	0.2	0.1		
86.8 WHEELING	1.0	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1		
126.4 HANNIBAL		0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1		
161.8 WILLOW IS	0.8	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2		
172.2 MUSKGM R	0.6	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		
203.9 BELLEVL	0.8	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.2		0.3	0.1	0.2		
260.0 ADDISON		0.2	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2		
265.7 KANAWA R		0.1	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	1.0	0.1	0.1		
279.2 GALLIPOL		0.1	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.3	0.2		
306.9 HUNTING		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.2		
317.1 BIG SANDY		0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
350.7 PORTSM		0.2	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	2.3	0.2		
356.5 SCIOTO R		0.2	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1		
408.5 MAYSVILLE		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1		

ANNUAL MEAN OF MERCURY (UG/L)

(CONTINUED)						YY						
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	
STATION												
462.8 CINCINN	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.3	16.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	
464.1 LIL MIAMI		0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	1.8	1.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	
470.2 LICKING R		0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	
490.0 N BEND		0.1	0.2	0.4	1.3	0.3		•	0.1	0.1	0.2	
491.1 GR MIAMI		0.1	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	•	0.2	0.2	0.2	
531.5 MARKLAND		0.2	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	
600.6 LOUISVL		0.1	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4		0.2	0.1	0.2	
625.9 W POINT		0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2		0.3	0.1	0.2	
720.7 CANNELTN		1.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.2	0.1	
784.2 GREEN R		1.0	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3			0.2	0.1	0.1	
791.5 EVANSVL		0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	
846.0 UNIONTOWN		2.8	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2		0.2	0.1	0.2	
848.0 WABASH R		0.3	1.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	
918.5 SMITHLAND						2.8	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	
920.4 CUMBRLD R	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2		0.1	0.1	0.1	
934.5 TENNESS R	1.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.6		0.1	0.2	0.2	
952.3 JOPPA	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.4			0.1	0.1	0.2	

ANNUAL MEAN OF ZINC (UG/L)

		77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATIO	N											
0.0	ALLEGY R	68.3	53.8	41.8	35.8	32.0	24.2	39.2	39.3	33.6	31.3	29.3
0.0	MONOGA R	123.3	76.4	57.5	55.8	54.8	29.3	35.0	42.6	45.9	27.1	24.8
15.2	S HEIGHTS	86.7	81.7	55.5	49.2	55.8	27.3	34.2	41.2	35.6	29.3	25.1
25.4	BEAVER R	88.3	118.3	75.8	83.3	70.6	50.8	74.2	67.0	57.1	56.8	69.1
40.2	E LIVERPL	91.7	65.0	74.5	93.8	101.8	53.5	115.0	88.4	48.3	37.2	36.6
86.8	WHEELING	68.3	91.7	57.5	70.0	39.2	45.0	38.3	40.3	45.2	38.7	32.3
126.4	HANNIBAL	33.3	50.6	40.8	48.3	40.3	34.0	20.9	43.8	26.3	29.7	57.4
161.8	WILLOW IS	64.2	40.8	45.8	40.9	37.3	38.8	27.1	24.5	24.4	32.8	25.4
172.2	MUSKGM R	39.2	24.2	38.3	35.8	33.6	25.0	29.3	22.2	26.2	31.5	19.4
203.9	BELLEVL	69.2	47.5	47.5	38.3	50.7	41.1	28.0	25.6	38.5	32.4	29.1
260.0	ADDISON	59.1	70.8	115.0	47.3	52.7	32.7	95.7	32.3	45.9	43.7	22.8
265.7	KANAWA R	70.9	64.5	46.0	23.3	27.0	28.2	15.5	15.6	10.9	35.6	10.7
279.2	GALLIPOL	54.0	65.8	189.2	50.0	46.7	23.6	29.1	33.5	29.7	29.7	22.4
306.9	HUNTING	105.0	57.5	44.5	28.2	41.2	27.2		•	•	30.9	275.8
317.1	BIG SANDY	74.5	60.0	54.4	50.0	43.1	28.4	15.7	29.8	17.8	21.3	24.8
350.7	PORTSM	74.0	59.0	35.8	45.0	41.3	37.6	41.0	35.8	38.3	37.6	14.0
356.5	SCIOTO R	64.5	56.7	33.3	101.8	49.5	56.5	28.0	20.8	28.3	29.3	21.6
408.5	MAYSVILLE	80.0	46.0	28.3	79.1	38.0	34.7	54.6	64.7	45.2	42.9	20.6

ANNUAL MEAN OF ZINC (UG/L)

(CONTINUED)

	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION										•	
462.8 CINCINN	70.8	55.0	40.8	130.0	67.0	56.8	56.1	49.5	83.4	41.3	18.5
464.1 LIL MIAMI	68.8	42.2	18.2	115.0	36.3	19.8	31.0	63.4	15.7	22.8	12.8
470.2 LICKING R	44.6	28.6	16.7	30.0	20.0	21.4	17.9	98.7	14.0	118.8	14.2
490.0 N BEND	55.4	66.8	34.2	17.5	34.5	39.5	35 .5	29.7	19.6	25.3	44.7
491.1 GR MIAMI	130.0	60.9	41.7	40.8	37.1	55.4	29.0	35.9	19.1	29.8	34.8
531.5 MARKLAND	46.7	47.8	34.2	19.2	30.0	55.7	22.5	33.2	54.4	30.1	16.1
600.6 LOUISVL	116.0	65.0	27.5	19.1	29.0	30.8	33.4	32.0	34.5	19.4	14.3
625.9 W POINT	85.0	213.6	92.5	28.2	37.5	44.7	57.9	30.0	38.8	28.3	24.6
720.7 CANNELIN	50.0	55.5	59.2	28.3	30.8	73.3	55.5	58.8	42.4	27.7	35.4
784.2 GREEN R	40.0	52.5	50.0	16.4	28.2	29.6	23.8	25.0	22.3	17.8	16.3
791.5 EVANSVL	81.0	112.5	153.6	94.5	56.4	33.3	41.8	42.9	55.5	32.8	32.1
846.0 UNIONTOWN	52.9	54.3	53.6	22.5	29.0	32.2	32.5	33.6	41.2	31.1	32.8
848.0 WABASH R	48.3	37.5	46.7	22.8	35.5	47.7	27.5	37.5	36.8	38.3	39.3
918.5 SMITHLAND				,		37.0	35.6	39.5	29.2	22.2	31.2
920.4 CUMBRLD R		35.0	20.9	17.1	12.5	14.0	13.9	21.7	23.7	23.2	49.6
934.5 TENNESS R	36.0	20.0	18.2	11.7	12.0	18.0	14.2	21.9	15.4	14.3	27.9
952.3 JOPPA	50.0	157.1	32.7	23.5	28.7	25.8	29.5	25.5	30.7	30.2	54.8

ANNUAL MEAN OF FLOW (CFS)

						11					
	77	78	79	- 80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
0.0 ALLEGY R	44450	19641	30375	22400	21833	21333	16609	19145	22573	20758	15433
0.0 MONOGA R	11678	16223	23425	15100	13575	97 92	9427	11692	15191	12117	11425
15.2 S HEIGHTS	34986	36474	44350	30200	39492	32258	36473	33575	37625	34375	27717
25.4 BEAVER R	3878	4254	5908	3808	4560	3292	4330	4500	4642	3100	2892
40.2 E LIVERPL	40108	41336	51400	34792	45133	34725	37980	41658	42483	38558	31583
86.8 WHEELING	41770	41670	58 550	47533	43267	43592	44908	36333	48092	43433	40427
126.4 HANNIBAL	53980	41238	50333	38417	47036	35 375	44058	44567	46391	44683	35900
161.8 WILLOW IS	44531	43 263	57925	40236	46583	36550	42075	49233	48091	43117	37745
172.2 MUSKGM R	7389	10082	12117	9458	10808	7692	17042	10025	9183	7583	6070
203.9 BELLEVL	46865	56 939	76375	54508	65050	44692	62675	54725	70408	66583	43609
260.0 ADDISON	62828	68004	78625	44379	70 050	52517	61533	58 675	71117	66618	42683
265.7 KANAWA R	19987	19400	28155	19591	12464	17983	18292	20200	17450	14883	17158
279.2 GALLIPOL	83991	148933	99625	72283	84308	69617	79383	79650	86633	71417	62583
306.9 HUNTING	88381	72122	113809	66383	84667	72867	81942	84342	70950	92525	66208
317.1 BIG SANDY	6471	6800	90 50	3425	60 67	5100	2858	9033	4083	5400	6400
350.7 PORTSM	116709	95705	123542	72233	86067	87067	82190	103400	86100	80233	59982
356.5 SCIOTO R	5611	8235	9858	4608	5608	6650	5720	5427	4533	5633	3 558
408.5 MAYSVILLE	100963	82910	129408	96608	83283	96650	75066	98158	92083	98 018	61758

ANNUAL MEAN OF FLOW (CFS)

(CONTINUED)						YY					
	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
STATION											
462.8 CINCINN	85018	122271	129267	100125	, 95 900	83400	87366	98 692	112283	91808	61917
464.1 LIL MIAMI	1082	1250	19 92	2467	1573	910	2392	2200	1550	1842	1125
470.2 LICKING R	2463	6659	59 50	2917	2225	2617	2355	4942	3308	26 92	2582
490.0 N BEND	85467	100439	137575	83783	142707	114350	116383	922 92	72573	98475	135217
491.1 GR MIAMI	2483	19635	7258	4258	3683	6 208	6133	4092	6545	8167	4142
531.5 MARKLAND	95443	94617	162891	86642	118660	122125	125217	113583	105092	104533	95 992
600.6 LOUISVL	118780	135104	193800	79875	115992	127592	105591	110400	130417	95142	85045
625.9 W POINT	156109	136330	198817	82308	89592	117675	105845	115000	130417	93755	85045
720.7 CANNELTN	100633	148130	181117	116742	107909	147575	109245	127175	153292	119117	101158
784.2 GREEN R	12359	11457	24558	9108	16669	14875	18209	17950	11108	10692	9408
791.5 EVANSVL	132989	159732	228208	135483	137533	142900	149033	131483	174950	107350	113858
846.0 UNIONTOWN	123428	143135	248425	138808	128117	111375	120591	159625	167842	128400	113292
848.0 WABASH R	26929	36468	53608	27167	24750	37500	43600	37125	51483	32558	16692
918.5 SMITHLAND						192367	173308	201150	238592	150317	113700
920.4 CUMBRLD R		•			56900						
934.5 TENNESS R					55250						
952.3 JOPPA	280853	275527	430500	265333	227958	308517	281525	303725	319550	198942	168192
							•		* INCOM	PLETE DA	TA SETS

APPENDIX E: Z-STATISTIC TABLE



FLOW-ADJUSTED CONCENTRATION RESULTS TABLE OF Z-STATISTIC VALUES FOR

							TOTAL			AITDATCI	TOT						
OHIO MP	STATION	TRIB RM	TSS	TDS	HARDNES S	ULFATE	PHOS	AMMONIA	TKN	NITRITE	NITRO	PHENOL	COPPER	IRON	LEAD	MERCURY	ZINC
00	MONONGAHELA B	4.6	-1 76	AR C	90.6-	81.0	2 63	81.8	R 67	1 BG	6 07	69 1	141	9	010	00 0	0 01
0.0									0.0	00.1	10.0-	20.1		01.1-	21.01	0.0	5.0
0.0	ALLEGHENY H	4.1	0.43	R1.7-	-0.37	18.7-	10.1-	00.4		-0.10	60.2-	0.30	-3.07	0.42	0.21	0.00	-2.56
15.2	SOUTH HEIGHTS		0.00	18.1-	-1.66	CR.1-	4.00	19.7-	-0.54	-2.79	-6.88	-3.04	-4.62	-2.04	-2.97	0.69	-6.53
25.4	BEAVER R	5.3	3.05	-5.08	-1.82	-2.66	-0.86	-6.71	-6.21	00.0	-5.05	-2.27	-5.00	1.27	-3.33	-0.85	-2.90
40.2	EAST LIVERPOOL \	ww	-1.38	-2.06	0.55	-2.32	-3.28	-7.60	-5.17	-1.55	-6.04	-2.69	-4.35	-2.02	-3.82	0.00	-3.83
86.8	WHEELING WTP		-0.16	-1.35	0.16	-0.07	-2.37	4.80	-4.43	-1.60	-4.45	-1.43	-0.48	-0.32	-3.17	-0.97	-2.97
126.4	HANNIBAL L&D		-0.82	-1.03	-1.62	1.28	-1.78	-6.13	4.85	-4.40	-5.64	-2.35	-5.08	0.73	-3.65	-0.54	-3.75
161.8	WILLOW ISLAND L&	2D	-1.96	-1.06	-2.06	1.30	-1.55	-6.59	-6.07	-3.40	-6.97	-1.75	-5.01	-1.45	4.95	-1.53	4.83
172.2	MUSKINGUM R	5.8	-0.47	-4.07	-2.33	-1.30	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.72	-5.88	0.49	4.09	-2.50	-0.83
203.9	BELLEVILLE L&D		-2.63	-1.63	-2.44	0.16	-3.87	-5.17	-5.15	-1.72	-5.45	-1.27	-5.78	0.28	-2.76	-0.82	-3.61
260.0	ADDISON-KYGER (R	-3.64	-1.93	-2.35	0.00	-4.76	-6.61	-5.36	-1.30	-5.72	-2.88	-3.32	-2.67	4.67	0.00	-6.63
265.7	KANAWHA R	31.1	-3.33	-3.93	0.36	0.19	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-3.79	-6.82	-1.37	-1.57	-0.94	-6.11
279.2	GALLIPOLIS L&D		-3.29	-3.49	-2.48	-1.47	4.39	-6.99	-5.07	-1.66	-5.25	-1.04	-6.34	0.02	-2.03	0.08	-4.97
306.9	HUNTINGTON WAT	ERCO	-6.69	-0.64	-0.71	-0.74	1.22	-7.17	-7.22	0.75	-6.37	-2.69	-7.28	-7.44	-4.83	1.26	0.40
317.1	BIG SANDY R	20.3	-5.22	2.89	1.88	0.62	-6.73	-6.27	-6.39	-0.52	-5.73	-2.36	-0.55	-3.29	-3.73	0.00	4.64
350.7	PORTSMOUTH		-1.40	-1.42	-1.98	1.30	-3.89	-2.37	-3.19	0.08	-2.26	-0.76	-2.53	0.00	-2.45	0.69	-0.14
356.5	SCIOTO R	15.0	-1.24	-0.20	-1.62	0.48	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	0.43	-6.88	0.61	-3.31	-0.75	-3.45
408.5	MAYSVILLE WW		0.70	-0.24	0.42	0.12	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-1.36	0.47	1.30	-4.08	0.96	-1.29
462.8	CINCINNATI WW		-0.40	-1.49	-1.57	-0.76	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-1.53	-0.23	1.24	-2.63	0.67	-3.04
464.1	LITTLE MIAMI R	7.5	-1.10	2.34	-1.40	0.93	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-1.69	-4.53	0.46	-3.75	0:30	4.20
470.2	LICKING R	4.6	-0.53	3.91	-0.48	1.90	-3.00	-4.17	-4.53	0.00	-2.66	-2.18	-4.02	0.86	-3.08	0.00	-2.60
490.0	NORTH BEND		-1.36	-0.45	-0.92	0.02	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-2.94	-3.07	-0.38	4.43	1.45	-1.90
491.1	GREAT MIAMI R	6.5	-1.47	-0.85	-2.85	-0.66	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-2.65	-3.04	-0.99	4.60	1.32	-5.48
531.5	MARKLAND L&D		-0.64	-0.34	-0.31	1.50	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-2.64	0.75	0.67	-4.18	-0.74	-3.04
600.6	LOUISVILLE WATE	RCO	-0.29	-0.66	-2.44	-1.29	-3.00	4.78	-1.49	1.58	0.24	-0.30	-0.06	0.19	-3.20	-0.72	-2.54
625.9	WEST POINT		3.89	-0.42	-1.96	-1.12	4.53	-0.73	-2.30	1.60	-1.16	-1.01	4.34	-2.86	-4.57	0.41	-5.02
720.7	CANNELTON L&D		-1.20	-0.67	-0.19	-1.32	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-1.93	-2.65	0.02	-2.23	-2.29	-1.41
784.2	GREEN R	41.3	-0.90	2.74	1.89	2.60	-3.82	-0.72	0.95	1.81	1.88	-1.62	-2.42	-0.12	-3.68	-1.76	-2.84
791.5	EVANSVILLE WW		-3.21	-1.09	0.31	-2.18	-5.05	-3.35	-2.02	0.70	-0.68	-0.50	-5.14	-1.97	-1.14	-2.33	-3.62
846.0	UNIONTOWN L&D		0.81	-2.02	-0.87	-1.01	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-1.56	-1.17	1.12	-2.02	-1.53	-0.73
848.0	WABASH R	51.5	-0.88	-1.53	1.62	-1.23	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-0.88	-1.71	0.80	-0.69	-0.49	-0.45
918.5	SMITHLAND L&D		-1.38	-1.17	-2.32	0.72	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	-2.77	-1.40	-0.49	-0.84	-1.70	-1.43
920.4	CUMBERLAND R	30.6	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:
834.5	TENNESSEE R	6.0	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:	:INS:
952.3	JOPPA		-3.91	0.32	-1.73	-1.20	-4.49	-3.84	-1.71	0.61	0.18	-0.63	-0.73	-1.37	-1.04	0.00	-0.31

:INS: Insufficient data available STRONG SIGNIFICANT INCREASING TREND has a z-statistic of 1:96 OR ABOVE SIGNIFICANT INCREASING TREND has a z-statistic BETWEEN 1.65 AND 1.96 NO TREND has a z-statistic BETWEEN 1.65 AND -1.65

SIGNIFICANT DECREASING TREND has a z-statistic BETWEEN -1.65 AND -1.96 STRONG SIGNIFICANT DECREASING TREND has a z-statistic ol -1.96 OR BELOW



APPENDIX F: TREND DIRECTION MAPS AND SLOPE MAGNITUDE BAR CHARTS









NUMBER IN () IS MILES FROM CONFLUENCE WITH OHIO RIVER







RIVER MILE POINT







TREND ASSESSMENT SLOPE ESTIMATOR













TREND ASSESSMENT SLOPE ESTIMATOR








































ESTIMATOR

TREND ASSESSMENT SLOPE







TREND ASSESSMENT SLOPE ESTIMATOR







TREND ASSESSMENT SLOPE ESTIMATOR (Main Stem)

Zinc

Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission 49 E. Fourth St., Suite 300 Cincinnati, OH 45202 (513) 421-1151

Printed on Recycled Paper