

July 10, 2018

ORSANCO
Attn: PCS Comments
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230



To the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO):


We strongly urge you to retain your authority to set pollution-control standards (PCS) for Ohio River. **Please maintain the October 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges to the Ohio River.** We ask you to reject the proposed changes which effectively strip the ORSANCO commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water for the over 900 miles this river travels within and along eight states.


A review of the marked up proposed PCS shows the elimination of virtually all pollution-control standards. We are members of the public and are not at all confused by the effort to roll back important safeguards. Though it is claimed that other oversight entities such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) can provide the guidance for pollution-control, these agencies may not necessarily have the clean-water interest at heart. Recently even the EPA has delayed new Clean Water Act rules on toxic wastewater discharges from power plants. Moreover, leaving clean water to the vagaries of state regulatory bodies and to the undue influence of energy, utility and industry will result in more dumping by industries who do not care for the environment.


The Ohio River has come a very long way in pollution control. Why lose years of dedicated work and continuous improvements in clean, safe drinking water? Industries are called to be corporate citizens, to acknowledge that the air, the water and the earth are not free resources to be ruined and depleted leaving nothing for future generations.


Please hear us in our concern for the environment. It is God's good gift, to be sustained and respected. *"Then God said, 'Let the water under the sky be gathered into a single basin, so that the dry land may appear.' And so it happened: the water under the sky was gathered into its basin, and the dry land appeared. God called the dry land 'the earth' and the basin of water he called 'the sea.' God saw how good it was." (Genesis 1:9-10)*


The Ursuline Social Concerns Committee



Sr. Agnes Coveney, OSU
Co-Chair


Sr. Rita Ann Wigginton, OSU
Co-Chair









URSULINE SISTERS OF LOUISVILLE

3105 Lexington Road Louisville, KY 40206 • 502.897.1811 • 502.896.3913(fax) • www.ursulinesisterslouisville.org

Mission - Teaching Christian living by assisting others in living more fully and developing a personal relationship with God.

Sr. Janet Peterworth
Rosetta Fackler

Sr. Isabel Lehmenkuler
Sr. Mary Martha Starman

Patricia Turner
Theresa Butler

Sr. Janet M. Peterworth
Rosetta Fackler

Sr. Isabel Lehmenkuler
Sr. Mary Martha Starman

Sabrina Turner
Theresa Butler



WEST VIRGINIA MUNICIPAL WATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

515 W. Main St.
P.O. Box 1310
Bridgeport, West Virginia 26330
304-842-8231

July 27, 2018

BOARD MEMBERS

David C. Sago
President

Eric Bennett
Vice President

Jared Cummons
Secretary/Treasurer

Dale Bailey
Shannon Bailey
Tim Ball
Brock Castilow
Stephen Knipe
Larry Roller

By Email (PCS@orsanco.org)

ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Re: Comments on Second Public Review of Pollution Control Standards

To Whom It May Concern:

MEMBER AGENCIES

Barboursville, Village of
Beckley Sanitary Board
Bluefield Sanitary Board
Bluewell PSD
Boone County PSD
Bridgeport, City of
Buckhannon Sanitary Board
Charleston Sanitary Board
Clarksburg Sanitary Board
Elkins, City of
Fairmont, City of
Fayetteville, Town of
Follansbee, City of
Greater Harrison County PSD
Hurricane, City of
Martinsburg, City of
Morgantown Utility Board
Moundsville Sanitary Board
New Martinsville, City of
Parkersburg Utility Board
Philippi, City of
Princeton Sanitary Board
Vienna, City of
Weston, City of
Wheeling WPCD
Williamstown Public Works
Worthington SB, Town of

I am writing on behalf of the West Virginia Municipal Water Quality Association (WVMWQA) related to the Commission's second public review of its current Pollution Control Standards (PCS). The WVMWQA strongly advocates for the Commission to adopt its latest proposed amendments to the PCS, which eliminate Chapters 3 and 4, and portions of Chapter 5.

The WVMWQA is an association of local governments and wastewater authorities that support clean water, vibrant communities, and a strong state economy by seeking to align clean water goals, smart management practices, affordable technology and public infrastructure. Several of our Members discharge into the Ohio River and are therefore directly impacted by ORSANCO's efforts in the Ohio River Basin.

ORSANCO has done very good work over the years to elevate public understanding of Ohio River issues and to facilitate improvements to Ohio River water quality. That said, we agree that ORSANCO's limited resources are better off focused on river-related study, education, and water quality improvement projects than resource and time-consuming duplicative water quality standards and related rulemakings. Accordingly, the WVMWQA encourages ORSANCO to eliminate from the PCS both the water quality standards/criteria and mixing zone/wastewater discharge requirements, as set forth in the latest PCS revision, published on June 26, 2018.

CONSULTANT MEMBERS

Burgess & Niple
Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc.
CT Consultants, Inc.
Potesta & Associates
Strand Associates, Inc.
The Thrasher Group

We support the proposed revision for several important reasons:

GENERAL COUNSEL

Paul Calamita, AQUALAW

First, the PCS are redundant and unnecessary to protect the River given the maturity of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Program along with delegated state programs. The CWA requirement that States implement appropriate programs to satisfy CWA requirements (or that EPA step in to do so) ensures more than adequate protection

of the Ohio River and rivers nationwide. State delegated programs are comprehensive in both scope and detail. These programs are developed and implemented through notice-and-comment rulemaking as well as notice-and-comment NPDES permitting. Accordingly, water quality is adequately protected without ORSANCO's parallel PCSs.

Second, the existing framework ensures transparency to the public, from water quality standards development and implementation to NPDES permitting.

Third, because federal law requires that upstream discharges comply with downstream state requirements and EPA stands ready to address any interstate disputes, ORSANCO oversight on the basis of interstate coordination is unnecessary.

Fourth, section 510 of the CWA allows states to be more stringent than federal requirements so the withdrawal of these ORSANCO PCS will not interfere with any compact State's ability to retain any more stringent requirements in the PCS.

Fifth, we note that following and participating in ORSANCO rulemakings to update the PCS is a significant burden on citizens' and affected dischargers' time and resources, as these rulemakings are in addition to the State rulemakings to implement CWA requirements. This is compounded for those in distant states like West Virginia.

Sixth, ORSANCO's PCS can cause confusion because they are not always consistent with other State and federal requirements. This results in more work for compact states in keeping track of and implementing these differences.

Finally, many ORSANCO decisions have been between equally valid/lawful options and ORSANCO's preferred policy should not trump the delegated states' primacy in making those decisions for their states.

For these reasons, the WVMWQA strongly supports ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate from the PCS both the water quality criteria and mixing zone/wastewater discharge requirements.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our thoughts on the best use of ORSANCO's expertise and resources.

Sincerely,



F. Paul Calamita,
General Counsel

C: WVMWQA Members
Hon. Austin Caperton
Mr. Scott Mandirola

Robin Blakeman



www.ohvec.org

Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition

Supporting Organized Voices and Empowered Communities Since 1987

P.O. Box 6753 Huntington, WV 25773-6753

Ph. 304-522-0246 Fax 304-522-4079

info@ohvec.org

Please
post recorded
comment on
ORSANCO website

Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition ORSANCO comments

As a nearly life-long resident of the Ohio River Valley, I appreciate these facts: Since the late 1940s, the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has set baseline pollution control standards (PCS) for discharges into the Ohio River, assisted our local source water providers in monitoring the River, especially during times of known spills, and published a wealth of information about the river and its 160 species of inhabitants.

and
schedule
additional
hearings
in the
Upper &
Lower
parts of
the
Basin

Some of these standards go beyond what U.S. EPA and state regulatory agencies require. Some of the information published is essential to the operation of other public agencies, such as our state Department of Natural Resources, which regularly shares ORSANCO's fish consumption advisories on their website.

Here's a critical question for all of the ORSANCO Commissioners: Can we afford to lose these important standards and this great source of coordinated information about the entire Ohio River watershed? I am concerned that we possibly might, due to the recent decision made by the ORSANCO commissioners, to adopt "option 2" re: future pollution control standards setting and review processes.

Please reject this option (#2) and
reconsider options 3 & 4.

I strongly disagree that state-level pollution control standards are adequate, and many questions arise from this proposed change, as follows:

1. Our WV Department of Environmental Protection enforcement office is chronically understaffed. This fact was acknowledged by DEP leaders at a recent meeting with WV citizens who voluntarily monitor streams in the path of the three major pipelines currently in construction phases: the Mountaineer XPress, the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, and the Mountain Valley Pipeline. If DEP inspectors are finding themselves stretched to maximum capacity with the current pipeline monitoring, how are they going to add monitoring of potential pollution violations along the course of the Ohio River?
2. Several states rely on ORSANCO's uniform standards—set by representatives from all member states in the Ohio River watershed—and adopt them as their own. If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards, those states will have to expend the financial resources to develop standards. Will any of the states coordinate these same standards with their close neighbors, and try to ensure they are uniform along the course of the entire watershed? Why give up a system we know works for the good of all?
3. If all Ohio River states have to develop their own standards, then the situation can lead to interstate conflicts when an upriver state has weaker standards that lead to increased pollution treatment costs for a downriver state. This will likely lead to **litigation** among the states, and problems with communication and enforcement of pollution standards. How will these potential litigations stress already very tight state budgets?



www.ohvec.org

Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition

Supporting Organized Voices and Empowered Communities Since 1987

P.O. Box 6753 Huntington, WV 25773-6753

Ph. 304-522-0246 Fax 304-522-4079

info@ohvec.org

4. ORSANCO has yet to place a high priority on evaluating the effects of waste products and leaks from the unconventional oil and gas drilling industry; yet, these are causing more harmful pollutants to enter the watershed, and this will get worse if the proposed Appalachian Storage Hub gets built. Who will be responsible for monitoring and dealing with any leakage from this proposed mega-infrastructure project, which will span at least three different Ohio River bordering states?
5. It seems that this kind of cross-state monitoring role is part of the mission of ORSANCO, as stated on your website: "Since 1948, ORSANCO and its member states have cooperated to improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, ensuring the river can be used for drinking, industrial supplies, and recreational purposes; and can support a healthy and diverse aquatic community. ORSANCO operates monitoring programs to check for pollutants and toxins that may interfere with specific uses of the river."

Indeed, ORSANCO may be violating their original organizing compact agreements through this action, and opening up the distinct possibility of a much greater concentration of pollutants in the Ohio River than ever before.

In this we agree with statements made by Federal Commissioner, Tom Fitzgerald, and also with this statement: "It is a fairly accurate to say that the current management at the Environmental Protection Agency, at the national level, has signaled that there will be delays and possibly repeals of the number of provisions that directly affect discharges into this great River. Given the uncertainty that is occurring with respect to the national rules, I don't know that this is the time for us to signal a retreat from the historic function of the Commission of setting standards and encouraging the states to work cooperatively to assure that those standards are implemented." – [Tom Fitzgerald – ORSANCO Federal Commissioner]

On a local and personal level: I - as a WV resident who has dealt with our WV DEP on many issues - do not trust WVDEP to maintain the current level of water quality standards on the Ohio River, and I know they do not have adequate staffing to send enforcement officials to monitor the River, Industrial outflows, etc., for current standards. They aren't really able to keep up with the current amount of pipeline construction going on in WV, and - per DEP Sr. management level officials - they only plan to add 1-2 staff positions over the course of the next year. If ORSANCO leaves pollution control criteria setting and monitoring up to the states, I would bet there's a very low chance of WV officials maintaining standards that are adequate for the River to remain at its current level of health. There could be a catastrophic storm brewing...



www.ohvec.org

Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition

Supporting Organized Voices and Empowered Communities Since 1987

P.O. Box 6753 Huntington, WV 25773-6753

Ph. 304-522-0246 Fax 304-522-4079

info@ohvec.org

In short, all Huntington residents – including many of OVEC’s members and staff, and my entire family - rely upon water from the Ohio River for our tap water. OVEC is based in Huntington, WV; both of WV American Water’s Huntington intakes are on the Ohio River. We are therefore, particularly vulnerable to a major pollution incident.

When the MCHM “plume” arrived in the Ohio River on its journey downstream in 2014, ORSANCO worked closely with local WVAW and other officials to monitor that plume – near Huntington. Without ORSANCO’s leadership on this type of monitoring, and their overall pollution control standards, I shudder to think what will happen during the next major pollution event. From our lessons learned in WV, it is not if, but when – in terms of the next major event of this magnitude.

Please vote against Option 2 in October, and find other ways to preserve and enhance pollution controls on the Ohio River. We need ORSANCO’s active oversight of these standards!

Thanks for your time!

Robin Blakeman

Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition Project Coordinator

ORSANCO Watershed Organizations Advisory Committee member

PO Box 6753

Huntington, WV 25773

304-522-0246

robin@ohvec.org

From: [Amanda Kerns](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Dan Villani](#); [paul \(paul@aquawalaw.com\)](mailto:paul@aquawalaw.com); [Aaron B. Castilow](#)
Subject: Comments on Review of Pollution Control Standards
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 8:25:27 AM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing on behalf of the City of Wheeling's Water Pollution Control Division regarding the Commission's review of its current Pollution Control Standards (PCS). The City of Wheeling WPCD strongly supports the Commission's adoption of Expanded Alternative 2, eliminating from the PCS both water quality standards/criteria and mixing zone/wastewater discharge requirements.

The City of Wheeling WPCD's wastewater treatment plant discharges into the Ohio River Basin and, therefore, is directly impacted by ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards. For the reasons summarized in the January 18, 2018 comments submitted by the WV Municipal Water Quality Association, we urge the Commission to adopt Expanded Alternative 2 in order to avoid duplication of water quality standards regulation and to allow ORSANCO to focus its resources to deliver the greatest benefits to ORSANCO member states and the public at large.

Thank you for considering our input.

Sincerely,

Daniel P. Villani
Superintendent
City of Wheeling WPCD

C: Paul Calamita

From: [Alicia Meredith](#)
To: "PCS@orsanco.org"
Subject: Ohio River- Chemical Dumping and Pollution
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 1:14:49 PM

Hello...

On behalf of the City of New Albany and New Albany Parks and Recreation, a city that was established in the 1800s on the Ohio River, an increase in any chemicals, dumping, and other forms of pollution would be a huge detriment to our newly revitalized and thriving town.

Over the past 8 years, New Albany has begun rehabbing its city and riverfront, adding stores, restaurants, hotels, repaving/adding stop lights/and turning its streets into two-way streets, along with beginning plans to develop the riverfront with which it built on as its main attraction. Plans for the riverfront include a new boat ramp, walking and biking trails, camping, workout and meditation spaces, restrooms, water recreational activities, various gathering spaces, rehabbed basketball courts, playgrounds, skate park, and amphitheater, among many other things. The Ohio River is a huge part of our establishment and survival, utilizing it as a main attraction for health and wellbeing for boating, fishing, swimming, rest and relaxation. Polluting the river more than it already is, deters people from everything we are working hard to build and attract them to.

Also as someone who's home growing up literally backs up to the Ohio River and who has spent every summer wakeboarding, tubing, knee-boarding, and swimming in the Ohio River, it would be devastating to see this opportunity ripped away from people.

Preserving our natural resources should be the number one priority.

Thank you,

Alicia

Alicia Meredith
Parks Director - City of New Albany
2043 Silver Street
New Albany, IN 47150
Work: [812-949-5448](tel:812-949-5448)
Fax: [812-949-5449](tel:812-949-5449)
Email: ameredith@cityofnewalbany.com
Website: <http://cityofnewalbany.com/residents/parks-a-recreation/>
Facebook: www.facebook.com/CityOfNewAlbanyParksAndRecreation
Twitter: <https://twitter.com/NewAlbanyParks>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information or may otherwise be protected by law. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachment thereto.

From: lorrie.bond@vienna-wv.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: paul@aqualaw.com
Subject: Comments on Review of Pollution Control Standards
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 9:54:56 AM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing on behalf of the City of Vienna regarding the Commission's review of its current Pollution Control Standards (PSC). The City of Vienna strongly supports the Commission's adoption of Expanded Alternative 2, eliminating from the PCS both water quality standards/criteria and mixing zone/wastewater discharge requirements.

The City of Vienna's wastewater treatment facility discharges into the Ohio River Basin and, therefore, is directly impacted by ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards. For the reasons summarized in the January 18, 2018 comments submitted by the WV Municipal Water Quality Association, we urge the Commission to adopt Expanded Alternative 2 in order to avoid duplication of water quality standards regulation and to allow ORSANCO to focus its resources to deliver the greatest benefits to ORSANCO member states and the public at large.

Thank you for considering our input.

Sincerely,

City of Vienna
Vienna, WV

C: Paul Calamita

Lorrie Bond
Program Administrator / Stormwater Coordinator
City of Vienna
210 60th Street Vienna, WV 26105
304-295-4543 (p)
304-295-0245 (f)
lorrie.bond@vienna-wv.com

LEGAL DISCLAIMER: The City of Vienna considers this email and any files transmitted with it to be confidential, proprietary or privileged information intended solely for the use of the named recipient(s). The City of Vienna accepts no liability for the content of this email or for the consequences of any actions taken on the basis of the information contained wherein. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or action taken or omitted in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited by the City of Vienna; please notify the sender immediately by return email, delete this communication and destroy all copies.

Loretto Motherhouse
515 Nerinx Road
Nerinx, KY 40049-9999

August 3, 2018

ORANSCO
ATTN: PSC Comments
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati OH45230

To the ORANSCO Commission:

We have been reading in the paper that the Ohio River may soon lose nearly all pollution-control and quality standards that have been set by the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission. We believe that it is important that you maintain the October 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River.

We ask you to reject the proposed changes that will result in less safe drinking water in several states including our own.

Sincerely,

Mary Sewain
Angela Brian
Margaret L Jacob
Barbara L. Hazan
Kay Carlus, SL
Elizabeth Croom SL
Susan Charmley SL
Bea Klebb, SL
Dolores Kellehy, SL
Katherine Ann Heinz & S
Nancy Wittwer, SL
Alvina Ramirez, SL
Pat Kenoyer SL
Eaine Powell
Jeanne Rueber SL

Eleanor Craft, SL
Johanna Brian SL
Eileen Custy, SL
Angie Murphy, S. L.
Cecilia Akes
Pauline Albin, S. L.
Earma Voell
Judy Popp, SL
Eva Marie Dolan, S. L.
Imelda T. Marquez, S. L.
Dolores P. Bruce, SL
Antoinette Doyle, SL
Joyce E. Yunker
Marie & Ego
Maureen McCormack, SL

From: [Lisa Williams-Nelson](mailto:Lisa.Williams-Nelson@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Alternatives to Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 1:26:28 PM

LWVCA COMMENTS ON ORSANCO'S ALTERNATIVES TO Pollution Control Standards

August 1, 2018

The Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission met in June in Louisville, and reached the decision that follows:

"In recognition of the successes of the federal Clean Water Act and the related state water pollution control programs of member states, the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) should be revised by adding a provision that recognizes that water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone requirements, and other provisions of the PCS are being adequately addressed by member states in accordance with programs appropriate for implementation of the federal Clean Water Act. To the extent that all member states are operating under such programs, the Commission may conclude that it need not continue the triennial review process related to the water quality criteria (and related provisions) of the PCS."

We strongly disagree that state-level pollution control standards are adequate.

From the beginning, the League of Women Voters has a strongly held position protecting clean water and safe drinking water. ORSANCO has proposed new alternatives to avoid redundancy between state standards and the USEPA, alternatives that have the potential to negatively impact the health of the Ohio River.

It is no secret that the Ohio River is far from clean. This drinking water source for more than 5 million people has been named the most polluted river in the USA for the past seven years. In 2014, more than 24 million pounds of industrial wastes and chemical were dumped into the Ohio River. As of 2015, there were 188 instances in which ORSANCO had set a standard for a pollutant that neither a member state nor EPA had set a standard for. And there were 252 instances in which ORSANCO standards were at least 10 percent more stringent than those of member states or the EPA. The money required to maintain the Pollution Control Standards is a small fraction of ORSANCO'S total budget.

If all Ohio River states have to develop their own standards, then the situation can lead to interstate conflicts when an upriver state has weaker standards that lead to increased pollution treatment costs for a downriver state. This would, inevitably, lead to litigation among the states. Therefore, we support a consistent of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Brown / Marie Kocoshis
Co-Presidents

League of Women Voters
of the Cincinnati Area
103 William Howard Taft Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45219
o -513.281.8683
www.lwvcincinnati.org

From: Millermc
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2018 10:37 AM
To: PCS
Subject: Reject ORSANCO Commission Proposal #2

Objection to Proposal #2 to relinquish the power of ORSANCO to regulate and enforce water quality standards on the Ohio River and its watershed.

By Michael C. Miller, Emeritus Professor of Biological Sciences and Environmental Studies, University of Cincinnati 1970-2010. Vice President of Rivers Unlimited and of Friends of the Great Miami, Board member of Mill Creek Alliance, Oxbow, Inc and coordinator for Green Umbrella's Watershed Program database STEAMBANK

As one who has studied the chemistry and biology of the Ohio River since 1970 after the implementation of NEPA (1968) and after the Clean Water Act (1972), I have seen the recovery of the Ohio River with US EPA standards adopted and enforced by our interstate compact ORSANCO. Their systematic collection of data from fish to water chemistry has allowed us to connect data with results in better biological diversity in a man-manipulated watershed. When this movement started protecting fish, benthos and macroinvertebrates with limits that safeguard LD50s were good enough to get started. But today we are looking at interactions between several potential chemical, biological, and physical stressors on our rivers system that may interact with climate change to challenge the now old fashion method of single chemical limits. The proposal to give up the power to set and promogulate water quality standards for the Ohio River mainstem and by default many of the tributaries, the ORSANCO board proposal 2, and remove ORSANCO from evaluating the effectiveness of those standards every three years will potentially weaken our ability in government to control the destiny of the Ohio River.

Ohio River-specific problems include: response to climate change (elevated temperatures, reduced oxygen saturation, low flows, pulsed flows), control of invasive species (Silver carp, Black carp, and , already in the system) and potential invaders (Great Lake gobies, European ruffe), inability to respond to unique problems with the Ohio River (spills, barge ports, transport of hazardous fracking waste, etc.), unique discharge problems from one state that may have degradative impacts on the biota of another state, inability to respond to more dredging as sand becomes limiting for development nationwide, endangered species enhancement at water quality standards different than the USEPA national standard.

In addition we have found out recently how vulnerable our environmental laws are to attacks from high federal office for political and non factual reasons, that may not be advantageous to the biotic health of the Ohio River, when those deviations from current standards are designed to favor one industry. We have both state EPAs and our federal water quality standardds; however these are generalized for human health and recreation and for health of the native organisms usually over the whole state or national ecoregion, but these controls cannot respond to unique problems in the Ohio River, or standards that may vary along the river required by the differences in chemistry from runoff from metamorphic rock to sedimentary rock from upriver to down river. We need the third layer of support for the states in the Ohio River drainage, just like the Upper Mississippi River drainage.

The review of standards every three years could be changed in the charter by the Commission to once every 5 or 7 years to reduce costs, more consistent with the Ohio and federal EPAs. This reduction in frequency of review should reduce the annualized costs over the once in three year reviews, saving ORSANCO money. They could adopt federal EPA water quality standards for the most part where deemed appropriate, but would reserve them the ability of enforce a unique standard where necessary to support the health of the Ohio River.

ORSANCO does its own studies revealing the uniqueness of the regions along its 981-mile course. Recently a study of total dissolved solids (conductivity), one on impact of Bromides on drinking water treatment for the drinking water utilities, and now one on mercury sources, fate and effects as coal-fired powerplants have replaced areal dispersal with water dispersal of coal-bound mercury. As we put

hydroelectric plants on 6 of our lock and dams, what will be the effect of climate induced low flows, that may set of toxic cyanobacterial blooms.

ORSANCO does not regulate water flows (USACE), nor monitor climate change directly (NOAA and USACE), but it can sound the alarm and work with other agencies to amend current procedures as these affect water quality standards and set standards unique to the Ohio River. I have not agreed with all ORSANCOs decisions on the standard they have set; however they have a public and open, transparent process for us to have some impact on their decision. The Ohio River needs the special care that the power to regulate water quality on the river gives them now. Giving up that power to save a few dollars when other options exist should be explored first: more time between reviews (5-7 years), alternative funding (special fishing permit for Ohio River, tax on boat gasoline and diesel, tax on barge industry for their monitoring, integration of water quality monitoring by all agencies operating on the river, its influents and discharges may reduce costs if they approve the laboratory.

This reduction in reviews and setting water quality standards will require the states to increase or renew their monitoring of the Ohio River. Ohio, a leader in biocriteria for water, under the direction of Randall Sanders began nighttime electrofishing in the 1970s, a practice adopted then by ORSANCO. In 2000s we began monitoring the length of the Ohio River in our River Runs 2001-2007 for chemistry, chlorophyll and algal IDs, practices that ORSANCO has partially adopted. The USEPA began monitoring rivers on a random pattern, not just at convenient bridges and facilities, now adopted by ORSANCO.

Research on the river has changed monitoring to understand the longitudinal processes of the river. Presumably this will continue, but the implementation into standards will require each state to use their data and come to independent conclusions about their standard.

Standards for water quality, for mixing zones or not, for appreciation of exposure routes (e.g. Hg) would require new state expenditures.

Ohio has standards for Lake Erie drainages, and Ohio River drainages.

Now it will need an Ohio River specific set of standards that in currently does not have. Large rivers like the Missouri, Ohio and Mississippi need unique care to maintain big river fish (paddlefish, mooneye, goldeneye, river shiner). Large rivers are light-limited systems 95% of the time and have high particulate loads that affect chemistry differently than mid-sized rivers. Let's leave that to ORSANCO for determination through their Commission and for enforcement should it be required. Do not accept proposal #2.

--

Michael C. Miller, Professor Emeritus
Department of Biological Sciences
1408 Rieveschl Hall
University of Cincinnati ML 210006
Cincinnati, OH 45221-0006
513 675 0293
mike.miller@uc.edu
mmmillermc@gmail.com

From: [Amy Van Strien](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [jane](#); [Theresa Cummins](#); [Kristin Andrews](#)
Subject: Comments regarding Pollution Control Standards
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 1:28:59 PM
Attachments: [LSC ORANSCO Response.docx](#)

8/11/18

I am writing on behalf of Loveland Sustainability Council (LSC) related to the Commission's review its Pollution Control Standards (PCS). LSC does not want this commission to abdicate its authority and responsibility for setting regional unified pollution control standards, in fact LSC would advocate for the Commission to make existing regulations stronger, since the Ohio River is one of the most polluted rivers in the country. This aligns with over 97% of the responses from the 900 pages of first round public comments that call on the Commission to reject Alternative 2. There are several reasons why LSC strongly opposes Alternative 2 and is in favor of Alternatives 3 or 4 as acceptable options.

Alternative 2 fails to protect human health through its abdication of the PCS to the states along the Ohio River. As noted at the July public hearing, ORSANCO's has more than 100 additional regulations than the state of Indiana. This is true of the other states along the Ohio River. These inconsistencies will result in additional pollutants in water, especially downstream from states with less stringent, scientifically based standards. The current ORSANCO standards are based on 70 years of scientifically based research to protect life. There is no reason to withdraw these standards. It is fine for states to exceed these standards; it is NOT okay for states to have weaker standards. ■

Alternative 2 fails to protect the environment through its abdication of the PCS to the states along the Ohio River. As noted above, state regulations differ causing harmful effects on the environment (for example, water polluted in Flint Michigan or GenX polluting NC water). In a system of checks and balances, where redundancy serves the important purpose of ensuring high quality standards are regulated and enforced, ORSANCO's regulations are critical as a back up to the EPA and state regulations. Human life, aquatic life and a healthy environment are basic necessities for communities and businesses to grow and prosper.

The Ohio River needs a unified voice for PCS due to the complicated transboundary water quality problems that are inherent along the Ohio River. ORSANCO was established 70 years ago to provide consistent regulations between the states that the Ohio River flows through, regardless of individual states laws or federal regulations. Alternative 2 abdicates this critical role that ORSANCO was meant to provide. ORSANCO's voice and regulations are needed to address complicated pollutant questions that arise, especially where the federal government has chosen not to act.

Options 3 and 4 continue to foster cooperation and collaboration between member states and ensure that states comply with unified pollution standards. It is essential that we protect human life, aquatic life, the environment with scientifically based standards that are unified and consistent along the Ohio River and the many boundaries it flows through.

Sincerely,

Amy Van Strien
President, Loveland Sustainability Council

--

Amy Van Strien

Loveland Sustainability Council
<http://gogreenloveland.wix.com/sustainability>

From: [Angie Rosser](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on Pollution Control Standards
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 6:36:23 PM

To: ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards Committee & Commissioners

From: West Virginia Rivers Coalition
3501 MacCorkle Ave. SE #129
Charleston, WV 25304

Date: August 11, 2018

RE: Comments on the ORSANCO Proposed Revision to the Pollution Control Standards

West Virginia Rivers Coalition, on behalf of our members – many of which rely on the Ohio River as their source for drinking water and river recreation – urge to you oppose the adoption of Alternative #2.

We strongly support the detailed rationale for why Alternative #2 must be rejected provided in comments submitted by National Wildlife Federation and Environmental Law & Policy Center.

Our primary concerns shared by our members in considering Alternative #2 can be summarized as follows:

There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. Across the eight states in the Ohio River basin, there are a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states. WVDEP is currently proposing revisions to its human health criteria in its water quality standards which rely on WV-specific fish consumption data. This is an example of how criteria calculations will continue to vary from state-to-state providing for an unlevel playing field for permittees.

States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for toxins that do not already have a state standard.

Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that could lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.

It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Additionally, Alternative #2 cannot be adopted because it would conflict with the by-laws of the Commission, and with ORSANCO's Strategic Plan and the interstate compact itself.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. We hope you will keep progress on improving the water quality of the Ohio River by maintaining ORSANCO's pollution control standards and rejecting Alternative #2.

Angie Rosser, Executive Director
West Virginia Rivers Coalition

From: [Judy Petersen](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on PCS Triennial Review
Date: Sunday, August 12, 2018 5:35:46 PM

To: ORSANCO PCS Committee & Commissioners

From: Judith Petersen, 2995 Hammonsville Rd., Munfordville, KY 42765

Date: August 12, 2018

Re: Comments on PCS

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. I have lived most of my life in the Ohio River Basin and have spent considerable effort over the decades working to protect and improve the Ohio River. I have participated in the PCS triennial review consistently since 2005. While I have sometimes objected to proposed changes never before I have I felt that ORSANCO was completely abdicating its mission “to ensure protection of these uses and to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes” if it proceeds with the adoption of the changes proposed to the PCS. It is difficult to properly and completely express my disappointment in the Commission for abandoning its mission and forsaking its authority. Except to state that I will resign from the PIACO as I see no further reason to volunteer to serve an organization that has abandoned its mission.

I’ve had the opportunity to work with other multi-state commissions on large rivers. These commissions had difficulty cleaning up large interstate waterways precisely because they lacked the authority granted to ORSANCO in the Compact to ensure that “pollution by sewage or industrial wastes originating within a signatory State shall not injuriously affect the various uses of the interstate waters.” ORSANCO purports that the Standards are not needed because the individual states now have NPDES programs and water quality standards that must be approved by EPA under the CWA when a number of ORSANCO standards are more stringent than state standards.

But several states in the Compact, including Kentucky, have prohibitions against standards that are more stringent than the EPA and so for a number of states, permits will be less stringent if the Commission votes to approve these changes and abdicates its responsibility to protect the river. This will certainly not result in improved water quality in the Ohio River. It will likely not even result in the status quo for water quality.

US EPA addressed the very issue at the crux of ORSANCO’s assumption in a study, titled *A Study of Prospective Water Pollution Control Activities For The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission*, was released in March 1975, and with respect to “stream standards,”

recommended that:

Since the Ohio is a boundary river, and because there are many other relationships as among the several state segments of the river system, the interstate agency should provide the overall view and the comparative analyses needed for coordination, consistency and equity.

Whereas the current PCS state: “Sections 301(b)(1)(C) and 510 of the Federal Act require that permits issued under that system incorporate applicable standards promulgated by an interstate agency wherever they are more stringent than comparable state or federal standards. And that these standards set forth the uses to be protected in the Ohio River (Chapter 2) as established in the Compact, establish water quality criteria to assure that those uses will be achieved (Chapter 3), and set wastewater discharge requirements (Chapter 5) needed to attain the water quality criteria. The standards also recognize the rights of individual states to adopt and apply more stringent regulations.

Specific wastewater discharge requirements are established in these regulations and must be incorporated into discharge permits issued under the authority of the NPDES or state discharge permitting programs when they are more stringent than:

- 1) applicable U.S. EPA technology-based effluent guidelines required under Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Federal Clean Water Act, or
- 2) any state treatment requirements, effluent standards, or water quality-based effluent limits.

In the absence of promulgated Federal effluent guidelines pursuant to Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Clean Water Act, the Compact signatory states have the responsibility to establish effluent limitations to be included in any discharge permit, consistent with the standards contained herein using best professional judgment on a case-by-case basis.”

This is in no way comparable to the Commission simply stating that because state standards are approved by EPA that the river as a whole will be protected. Standards vary state by state. Currently, the PCS regulations require that states use ORSANCO standards or a state standard if it is more stringent. Therefore, the protections will not be consistent or as stringent if these changes are approved and the PCS regulations are gutted.

I continue to assert that some combination of alternative 3 and/or 4 as presented in the preliminary comment period will provide better protection for the Ohio while adhering to the Compact and the mission of the Commission. In addition, a combination of 3 and 4 would also implement the recommendations in the 1974/5 EPA study and continue ORSANCOs legacy of

providing valuable leadership to the Ohio River states. What the Ohio River needs is coordination, consistency and equity. Proposing a cost effective way to selectively modify the PCS after review as warranted and increase focus on harmonization of standards and their implementation among Compact states and EPA would preserve ORSANCOs leadership role in the basin.

I object to the proposed changes. I am not alone. I read the entire transcript of the public hearing and not a single person who spoke, spoke in favor of the proposed changes. If approved ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin, but even worse, the water quality and quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will continue to suffer.

Judith Petersen

2995 Hammons ville Rd.

Munfordville, KY 42765



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Rashida Manuel](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Green Umbrella's Pollution Control Standards Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:34:34 PM

To Whom it May Concern:

Green Umbrella would like to submit the following comment regarding the Pollution Control Standards review:

"The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) recently re-evaluated its Pollution Control Standards (PCS), and has decided to pursue a set of revisions known as Alternative 2 that would, among other things, eliminate the Water Quality Criteria developed specifically for the Ohio River. Green Umbrella and the Green Umbrella Watershed Action Team (WAT) believe that Alternative 2 is not in the best interest of the Ohio River as a whole and that it does not support the chemical, physical or biological integrity of the river. The WAT recommends that the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River remain intact and in place and that the three-year review period for the PCS be extended to five years, thereby alleviating some of the financial burden associated with the review.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments."

Sincerely,

Rashida Manuel
Director of Public Engagement
Green Umbrella

5030 Oaklawn Dr. | Cincinnati, OH 45227
Rashida@greenumbrella.org | 513-541-1538
www.greenumbrella.org



From: [Emily Wood](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please Keep Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:13:52 AM
Attachments: [image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)

Dear ORSANCO,

On behalf of the hundreds of conservationists that make up the Indiana Wildlife Federation, we urge ORSANCO to keep the Pollution Control Standards in place for the all Ohio River compact states. We believe that these standards are critically important to Indiana because we located near the end of the Ohio River's 981 mile stretch, therefore downstream of a lot of potential hazards. Please protect the habitat and wildlife that rely on clean water to thrive in their environments, and protect the water that thousands of citizens rely on for clean drinking water and safe access to aquatic outdoor recreation areas.

Here are some other compelling facts about the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards that indicate they are working and need to remain in place.

- In EPA records, there are 190 permits for releasing waste into the Ohio River before it reaches the eastern edge of Indiana, including from oil and chemical industries.
- 30 towns and cities use the Ohio River for drinking water
- 188 ORSANCO standards cover chemicals that are not covered by state standards in any of the Ohio river states
- 252 ORSANCO standards are significantly more protective than the corresponding state standards, by 10% or more.
- In an ORSANCO staff report comparing water quality standards, there are 54 chemicals with ORSANCO standards but no Indiana standard and 63 chemicals with weaker Indiana standards than ORSANCO standards.
- ORSANCO standards are cited in Indiana's Administrative Code for water discharge permits at 327 IAC 5-2-10. Their loss will leave a gap that will require Indiana to spend time and taxpayer money to fix.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Emily Wood
Executive Director
Indiana Wildlife Federation
708 East Michigan Street
Indianapolis, IN 46202
(317)875-9453
www.indianawildlife.org



Celebrating 80 years of common-sense conservation.

From: [Hollander, Bill H.](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Ethridge, Kyle](#)
Subject: ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am the Metro Councilman for Louisville's District 9, which borders the Ohio River and includes Louisville Water's Zorn Pump Station. I am writing to express my opposition to the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements, from the rules, and by making changes to other sections of the PCS.

My reading of the minority report indicates that there are many parameters among the six signatory states and EPA, for which ORSANCO has a criteria but the state or EPA does not. If that is true, how can anyone conclude that the ORSANCO standards are redundant? We should celebrate and enforce – not eliminate – pollution standards that exceed what states or the federal government would otherwise follow.

I also agree with the minority report that we need an independent body that 'is able to research, develop, propose, and adopt standards tailored to the specific needs of the river in an atmosphere that stresses sound science and data-driven policy.'

I am deeply concerned that this proposal has been advanced by companies which are already polluting the Ohio River and saddened that more people who represent the public haven't spoken up to oppose the elimination of the standards. The very idea that an interstate commission tasked with overseeing the health of the Ohio River is considering eliminating its pollution control standards – which are not duplicative of other protections - is offensive and a significant step backwards.

In Louisville, we rely on water from the Ohio River and we count on ORSANCO and its PCS to help keep it clean. Please keep them in place.

Sincerely,

Councilman Bill Hollander | Ninth District Councilman
Louisville Metro Council
601 W. Jefferson Street | Louisville, KY 40202
d: (502) 574-1109
c: (502) 548-3433

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

From: jackawilson39@windstream.net
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Jack Wilson](#)
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:38:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards Committee & Commissioners:

I comment as a former ORSANCO Commissioner, retired Director of the Kentucky Kentucky Division of Water and one time Director of the Division of Authorization and Compliance for Ohio EPA>

I object to the currently proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards. I urge you to retain the standards and language adopted in 2015. I realize that in some cases those standards are more stringent than an individual state standards. I recognize that is sometimes awkward for an individual state but current language assures consistency and equity in state issued NPDES permits for the Ohio River and allows ORSANCO to provide the coordination among state agencies and EPA that is the role of ORSANCO.

ORSANCO has worked for decades to improve and protect the Ohio River. This is not the the time to reduce those efforts

Jack A. Wilson
3424 Keithshire Way
Lexington, Ky. 40503

AK Steel Corporation

BUTLER WORKS
P.O. BOX 832
BUTLER, PENNSYLVANIA 16003-0832

TELEPHONE 724.284.2000

August 16, 2018

ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230
Attn: PCS Comments

Submitted via email to: PCS@orsanco.org and jheath@orsanco.org

RE: Comments on ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards (PCS)
Triennial Review

To Whom It May Concern:

AK Steel Corporation (AK Steel) is pleased to submit these comments on the Commission's proposed revisions to the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards – 2015 Revision (the PCS). These comments reiterate and supplement the comments submitted by AK Steel on February 23, 2018 in response to the Commission's previous request for comments on this subject. AK Steel appreciates the historical role of ORSANCO in helping to protect and preserve water quality in the Ohio River and in collecting and disseminating water quality data and information to the States and the public. The Commission's recent proposal is an important and timely response to the significant regulatory developments and improvements in water quality in the Ohio River that have occurred in the 70 years since ORSANCO was formed.

Summary of Comments

AK Steel believes that the Commission's proposed revisions to the PCS reflect a sensible approach to satisfying the goals of the ORSANCO Compact, ensuring the continued protection of water quality and aquatic life in the Ohio River, while avoiding the imposition of regulatory burdens that have become both unnecessary and duplicative under the current legal framework. As noted by the Commission, ORSANCO was created in 1948, at a time when the need for water quality improvements in the Ohio River was clear. There was an absence of an effective regulatory framework to address critical needs. Since that time, and as a result of the enactment of the federal Clean Water Act and related laws, a comprehensive system of federal and state water quality programs and standards has been developed and implemented in all of the ORSANCO Compact States. The result has been a dramatic improvement in the quality of the Ohio River, as well as its tributaries and other streams throughout the Compact States.

Today, each of the Compact States is implementing a federally-enforceable water quality program approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) pursuant to the Clean Water Act. Each of those programs includes designated uses, "free from" mandates, water quality standards, wastewater discharge requirements, mixing zone provisions, and enforcement authorities. Each of these requirements is reviewed and approved by USEPA to ensure that it meets the requirements of the Clean Water Act, thereby providing consistency and predictability



across the States' programs. Those programs have been effective in addressing each State's streams as aquatic habitats, as well as supporting their uses for recreation and drinking water.

Whereas the ORSANCO PCS may have played a meaningful role in protecting water quality when the Compact was first signed, they have become duplicative of the subsequently enacted federal and state water quality programs established under the Clean Water Act. Today, the water quality goals of the Compact are being effectively addressed by the Clean Water Act, and the PCS provide little, if any, additional environmental benefit to the Ohio River. In fact, the differences between the PCS and Clean Water Act standards can lead to confusion for the States and the regulated community, and can create complications in the permitting process, where there is often no effective way to question or challenge the appropriateness or applicability of the underlying PCS in specific permitting situations.

AK Steel believes that the most valuable role ORSANCO can play in meeting the goals of the ORSANCO Compact in the current regulatory environment is to concentrate on its longstanding scientific and technical information gathering and research. This role allows ORSANCO to continue to provide important information to the States in carrying out their obligations to preserve and protect water quality under the Clean Water Act, and in helping to coordinate and promote consistency among the various States in the Ohio River basin. This is a unique role that only ORSANCO can perform in the current framework and is certainly its highest and best use.

About AK Steel

AK Steel Corporation is one of the few remaining independent steel manufacturers based in the United States, with nine (9) steel manufacturing facilities located in Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Kentucky, West Virginia, and Pennsylvania and with total employment of approximately 10,000 people. AK Steel has three facilities located on the Ohio River, including Mountain State Carbon in Follansbee, West Virginia; the AK Steel Ashland Works in Ashland, Kentucky; and the AK Steel Rockport Works in Rockport, Indiana. Collectively, these three facilities currently employ between 750 and 1,500 people, depending on business conditions, and provide critical manufacturing, finishing and support services to the other AK Steel facilities. Environmental programs at these facilities require substantial investments in pollution control facilities and substantial ongoing operating and maintenance costs to ensure clean air and water.

The Ohio River is an important resource to AK Steel, both as a contributor to the quality of life of its employees and the communities in which it operates and as a source of plentiful, high quality water to support AK Steel's operations. Thus, maintenance of the quality of the Ohio River's water is important to AK Steel. AK Steel has a long-standing tradition of working cooperatively with environmental regulators in West Virginia, Kentucky, and Indiana to ensure that its operations achieve and maintain compliance with all applicable standards, including those applicable to the Ohio River, and that any instances of non-compliance are remedied expeditiously. Process wastewater, non-contact cooling water and storm water discharges from each of AK Steel's facilities on the Ohio River have been regulated effectively for many years by State-issued NPDES permits. These permits contain both technology-based effluent limits

derived from 40 CFR Part 420 and water quality-based effluent limits derived by the States under the CWA.

Detailed Discussion of Proposed PCS Revisions

AK Steel believes that the Commission's proposed PCS revisions are both appropriate and consistent with the mandates of the ORSANCO Compact. The ORSANCO Compact establishes certain minimum requirements for the Commission, its staff, and the signatory States, including:

- Article I requires the States to “enact any necessary legislation” to reach certain general goals identified in Article I, but does not mandate any specific standards or requirements.
- Article VI sets certain limited, baseline treatment requirements for discharges of sewage and industrial wastes (primarily settleable solids and TSS limits for sewage), but grants *discretion* to the Commission to determine *if* additional treatment standards are needed to protect public health or to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes.
- Article VII preserves the rights of signatory States to adopt more stringent standards than those required by Article VI.
- Article VIII requires ORSANCO to survey and study water pollution in the Ohio River drainage basin and to publish a comprehensive report on its findings.
- Article IX gives ORSANCO powers to enforce its standards and requirements.

Thus, ORSANCO must continue to exist and function to meet these basic requirements. But beyond these baseline requirements, the Commission is given wide latitude to determine whether additional pollution control standards are necessary, or whether ORSANCO must even adopt or maintain its own regulations or pollution control standards to meet the minimal goals of the Compact, given the existence of mandatory federal and state regulatory programs that address those same goals.

AK Steel believes that the Commission is well within its rights to determine – after investigation, due notice, and hearing – that the bulk of the Pollution Control Standards are no longer necessary in light of the subsequent implementation of the Clean Water Act and its comprehensive water quality and permitting regime. Nothing in the Compact, ORSANCO's By-Laws, or the Pollution Control Standards undercuts this conclusion or requires the adoption of more extensive standards. The Commission simply needs to determine that the Compact's goals of protecting public health and preserving other legitimate purposes, including those listed in Article I, are satisfied by the States' implementation of the Clean Water Act permitting programs. With this proposal, the Commission has correctly concluded that is the case.

In support of this conclusion, AK Steel notes the following:

- The PCS are largely duplicative of the States' water quality standards adopted pursuant to the Clean Water Act. Beneficial use designations are essentially the same. Most water quality criteria are either the same or provide the same degree of human health and aquatic life protection. Mixing zone designations are similar. Given federal and State technology-based discharge requirements and water quality-based limits, the PCS

wastewater discharge requirements are superfluous for most categorical industrial dischargers and municipal dischargers.

- Where there are differences between the ORSANCO PCS and state water quality criteria and implementation procedures, the PCS, which must be implemented by the States, sometimes result in NPDES permit conditions that are more stringent than otherwise required by the States standards – with no demonstrable environmental benefit.
- The implementation of the ORSANCO PCS creates confusion for the States and regulated entities. Unlike state water quality standards development and implementation, the process for adoption of the PCS provides no opportunity to challenge the appropriateness or applicability of the PCS. The result is that if a regulated entity believes that a particular PCS requirement is inappropriate, its only option is to challenge that requirement as applied in the entity's NPDES permit – a process that does not allow the permittee to challenge the underlying soundness of the PCS and deprives permittees of regulatory and legal due process. Moreover, implementation of the PCS creates a separate set of standards that apply only to the Ohio River, and that differ from the standards that apply throughout the remainder of each of the Compact States. Eliminating this confusion will improve regulatory consistency and promote clarity for the regulated community.
- Today the regulated community faces a continual increase in the amount and complexity of environmental laws and regulations, with finite company resources available to stay abreast of the latest developments and ensure compliance. The ORSANCO PCS add another layer of complexity on top of the already expansive federal and state regulatory scheme governing water quality. Companies should not be faced with the choice of either dedicating significant additional resources to monitoring the ORSANCO PCS development process, or simply hoping that the implementation of the ORSANCO standards does not result in the inclusion of unworkable requirements in their NPDES permits – particularly if the PCS are no longer necessary to meet the water quality goals for the Ohio River.
- The proposed PCS revisions would relieve ORSANCO of the significant administrative and scientific/technical burden of periodically reviewing and updating water quality criteria for the many conventional, non-conventional, toxic metal, and toxic organic pollutants currently regulated under the PCS. Under the Clean Water Act, USEPA does the “heavy lifting” in this regard by developing and updating recommended water quality criteria based on advances in aquatic toxicology, the latest information on the impacts of pollutants on human health, and other highly technical factors. The ORSANCO Compact States use the USEPA-recommended criteria to fashion their respective State water quality standards, subject to potential modifications to reflect State-specific circumstances. Because the State water quality standards must protect designated human health, aquatic life, recreational, and other designated uses of the

Ohio River, and because they must be approved by USEPA, the public can be assured that the fundamental goals of the ORSANCO Compact and the Clean Water Act, as applied to the Ohio River, are being addressed in a comprehensive manner.

- The resources ORSANCO currently devotes to the PCS program can be more effectively used to support other ORSANCO programs that do not overlap with state and federal water quality programs, and that provide real added value to the States. ORSANCO has particular skill and expertise in assessing water quality and the biological integrity of the Ohio River, disseminating that information to the States and public to ensure coordination and consistency among the States' regulatory programs and other water quality initiatives, engaging in public outreach, and assisting in spill detection and response through information-gathering and coordination. These functions can provide meaningful and long-lasting benefits to water quality throughout the Ohio River basin by providing support to the States in satisfying their Clean Water Act obligations without unnecessarily wasting regulatory resources and creating confusion for the regulated community.
- Most importantly, the beneficial uses of the Ohio River associated with nearly all PCS water quality criteria are being achieved throughout the River with a small number of exceptions. And even those few exceptions are being addressed through the States' Clean Water Act-mandated programs, under the oversight of USEPA, which works to ensure consistent Clean Water Act compliance across all States.

AK Steel recognizes the concerns identified by some Commissioners and members of the public regarding the proposed PCS revisions, but believes that those concerns, while sincere, are unfounded. First, while it may be true that the ORSANCO PCS contain water quality criteria for some parameters that are not covered by comparable state or federal criteria, it is not necessarily true that the maintenance of those additional ORSANCO criteria is necessary to protect the aquatic life and uses of the Ohio River. Under the Clean Water Act, States are required to develop and enforce water quality criteria to protect aquatic life and designated uses of all streams, including the Ohio River. And USEPA is charged with ensuring that each State's water quality program satisfies the requirements of the Clean Water Act. Thus, the concern that reliance on the States' standards "may not be adequate to protect the aquatic life and uses of the Ohio River" ignores the basic reality and requirements of the Clean Water Act, the States' EPA-approved programs, and their application to the Ohio River. In fact, the States' programs include criteria for over 100 pollutants that are not covered by the ORSANCO PCS, belying the notion that they there are somehow lax. A copy of the approximately 138 pollutants regulated by the States, but not by ORSANCO, are attached for the Commission's reference.

It appears that ORSANCO may be unnecessarily regulating numerous pollutants – the 188 pollutants cited by opponents of the proposed PCS revisions – as proxies or indicators for the pollutants that "really matter," i.e., those that are regulated by USEPA and the States under the Clean Water Act. Thus, ORSANCO's current regulation of numerous additional pollutant parameters beyond those required by the Clean Water Act may be neither legally nor

scientifically necessary, nor necessary to ensure the achievement and maintenance of high quality water and aquatic values in the Ohio River. Regulation should be focused on achieving the States' water quality goals for the Ohio River, not simply regulating as many pollutant parameters as possible without regard for necessity or effectiveness.

Second, some have expressed a concern about a possible lack of coherent implementation across the various State programs with respect to the water quality standards and policies applicable to the Ohio River, and thus the purported need for ORSANCO to enforce such coherence and, presumably, uniformity across all States. This concern overlooks the explicit decision by Congress to embrace the concept of cooperative federalism in the regulation of the Nation's waters, as seen in the basic design of the Clean Water Act and its implementing programs. Under that approach, each State is given leeway to develop its own water quality standards and policies in light of unique local conditions, needs, and priorities. But that leeway is subject to the oversight and approval of USEPA, whose broad perspective and mandate ensure that the States are individually and collectively meeting the fundamental water quality goals of the Act. ORSANCO need not duplicate the role of USEPA. There is no need to impose a "one size fits all" solution throughout the Ohio River basin, as long as each State is implementing a water quality program that meets the underlying goals of the Clean Water Act. This approach serves to protect the Ohio River as a whole while recognizing the unique needs, particular circumstances, and local knowledge within each State.

Yet some public commenters appear to implicitly question the wisdom of Congress in establishing the basic structure of the Clean Water Act and to doubt the capabilities and, indeed, the trustworthiness of the States and USEPA to fulfill their prescribed roles under the Clean Water Act. Some also have questioned whether the proposed PCS revisions will result in overburdening the States. However, each of the ORSANCO member States currently administers a Clean Water Act program that meets the stringent requirements of the Act, including setting and regularly updating water quality standards for all waters in the State, issuing NPDES permits, performing water quality certifications, requiring regular monitoring and evaluation of waters, enforcing strict sampling and testing requirements, and satisfying a host of other Clean Water Act requirements. And each of those programs is subject to the regular oversight and approval of USEPA. The States have ample technical expertise and experience to handle their responsibilities, and USEPA has the most extensive resources in the Nation to oversee, approve, and assist in the States' respective programs -- certainly far greater than those of ORSANCO. Of course, ORSANCO can provide valuable assistance to the States in the form of collecting and evaluating water quality data from the Ohio River, disseminating that information to encourage consistency, and making other technical recommendations. But the States, with the oversight of USEPA, are clearly capable of fully and effectively regulating their waters, including the Ohio River and its tributaries, to meet the Clean Water Act's strict requirements.

Third, those who have expressed concerns about "the vagaries of the political process" overstate the role of "politics" in the federal-state Clean Water Act regulatory program and also belie a troubling lack of confidence in the citizens' elected representatives. In fact, the ongoing development, review and implementation of the States' water quality programs, including the

strenuous triennial review process required under the Act, ensure that those water quality standards are data-driven and reflect sound science. Moreover, it is entirely appropriate for federal and state regulatory programs to ultimately reflect the Nation's current policy preferences as articulated by Congress and the States' legislatures, as those bodies reflect the will of the citizenry regarding how to balance environmental protection, economic growth, and other important social factors. AK Steel is confident that the current federal-state partnership under the Clean Water Act has resulted in dramatic water quality improvements over the past several decades and will continue to protect and preserve the quality of the Nation's rivers and streams, including the Ohio River.

Finally, AK Steel disagrees with the comments of those who would maintain the PCS in their present form, or even expand the scope of the PCS and the regulatory role of ORSANCO along the Ohio River. Given the complexity of the PCS and state water quality standards and implementation procedures, such an expansion would likely consume an inordinate amount of ORSANCO and State resources and time, would create more duplication and inconsistency, would not likely yield much in the way of real environmental benefit, and is not necessary to meet the goals of the ORSANCO Compact. In a time of limited resources and a need for greater cooperation and efficiencies, creating a super-regulatory regime for ORSANCO, on top of the already stringent requirements of the Clean Water Act, would be wasteful and inadvisable.

In sum, AK Steel believes that the proposed revisions to the ORSANCO PCS are both appropriate and advisable because they will help maintain the beneficial uses of the Ohio River consistent with the mandates of the ORSANCO Compact, while removing the duplicative and resource intensive aspects of the PCS. And they will allow ORSANCO to concentrate its resources on those tasks that it can best perform to help promote and preserve water quality in the Ohio River.

AK Steel commends the ORSANCO Commission for engaging in an extended public review process for the proposed PCS revisions, beginning with the creation of the Ad Hoc Committee on Water Quality Standards Implementation nearly four years ago. Beginning in late 2014, the Ad Hoc Committee engaged in a careful review of ORSANCO's historical and current role in water quality standards implementation and published a white paper in April 2017 that evaluated five alternative approaches to ORSANCO's continued implementation of the PCS. The ORSANCO Technical Committee and each of its standing advisory committees, representing various river users, watershed organizations, and regulated entities, submitted detailed comments on those alternatives. A subsequent round of preliminary public review and comment in early 2018 resulted in the receipt of hundreds of pages of written comments from individual citizens, environmental organizations, regulated businesses, and other interested parties. After reviewing those comments, the Commission voted in early June 2018 to subject the current proposal to an additional round of formal public review, scheduled to conclude on August 20, 2018. As evidence of the success of these efforts, a public hearing on the proposal, held on July 26, 2018, yielded a standing room only turnout. The ultimate product of this multi-year effort is an exhaustive, thorough, and fully transparent public review process that has put the Commission in

the best possible position to make a fully-informed decision on this proposal, and AK Steel applauds the Commission and its staff for their outstanding efforts.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Commission's proposed revisions to the ORSANCO PCS. If you have any questions, I can be reached at 724-284-2267 or by email at russ.dudek@aksteel.com.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Russell J. Dudek in black ink, written in a cursive style.

Russell J. Dudek
Senior Environmental Manager
AK Steel Corporation

cc: Patrick Smith
Dave Miracle

ATTACHMENT A

138 Pollutants Regulated by at least one of the ORSANCO Signatory States or US EPA
that are Not Regulated by ORSANCO PCS
(Standards Application to the Ohio River Main Stem Only)

Acenaphthylene	Hexahydro-1,3,5-t-1,3,5-t	tert-butylbenzene
Acetone	Hydrogen Sulfide	Tetrahydrofuran
Acetonitrile	I-Propanol	Threshold Odor
Acetophenone	Iron	Tribromomethane
Acrylamide	Isopropylbenzene	Tributyltin
Alachlor	Lithium	Trichloroacetic acid ²
Aldicarb	Magnesium	Turbidity
Alkalinity	Malathion	Urea
Aluminum	Manganese	Vanadium
Aniline	MBAS / Surfactants	Xylenes
Atrazine	Methoxychlor	Zirconium
Benzene	Methyl ethyl ketone	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane
Benzyl Chloride	Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	1,1,1-Trichloroethane
Beryllium	Methyliso-butyl ketone	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene
Biphenyl	metolachlor	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
Bismuth	Mirex	1,2-Dibromoethane
Boron	Molybdenum	1,2-Dichloroethylene
Bromate	Monochlorobenzene	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Bromochloromethane	Naphthalene	1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene
Carbaryl	n-butylbenzene	1,3-Butadiene
Carbofuran	Nitroglycerine	1,4-Dioxane
Carbon disulfide	Nitroguanidine	2,3-Dinitrotoluene
Chloramine	Nitrosoamines	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol
Chlorine dioxide	N-Nitrosodibutylamine	2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxy]
Chlorine, TR	N-Nitrosodiethylamine	2,4,6-Tribromophenol
Chlorite	N-Nitrosodipyrrolidine	2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene
Chloroacetic acid	nonylphenol	2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid
Chloroalkyl Ethers	n-Propyl benzene	2,5-Dinitrotoluene
Chlorodibromopropane	Oil & Grease	2,6-Dinitrotoluene
Chloropyrifos	Osmotic Pressure	2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene
Cobalt	Oxamyl (Vydate)	2-Butanone
Color	Parathion	2-chloronaphthalene
Cyclohexylamine	Pentachlorobenzene	2-Methylphenol
Dalapon	Perchlorate	2-Nitrophenol
Demeton	Phenanthrene	2-Nitrotoluene
Di(2-thylhexyl)adipate	Phosphate	3,5-Dinitroaniline
Diazinon	Phosphorus	3-Bromofluorobenzene
Dibenzofuran	Phthalate esters	3-Methylphenol
Dibromochloromethane	Picloram	3-Nitrotoluene
Dichloroacetic acid	p-phenol sulfonic acid	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol
Di-n-octyl phthalate	Propylene glycol	4-amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene
Dinoseb	resorcinol	4-Bromofluorobenzene
Diquat	SAS-310	4-Isopropyltoluene
Endothall	Silvex	4-Methyl-2-pentanone
Glyphosate	Simazine	4-Methylphenol
Guthion	Styrene	4-Nitrotoluene

From: [Kate Shanks](mailto:Kate.Shanks@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Iris Wilbur](#); [Kristin Baldwin](#)
Subject: Comments on ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards (PCS)
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:20:46 AM

August 17, 2018

Via email: PCS@orsanco.org
ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45230

Re: Comments on ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards (PCS) – Triennial Review

To Whom It May Concern:

The Kentucky Chamber of Commerce (the Kentucky Chamber), Greater Louisville, Inc. (GLI), and the Northern Kentucky Chamber (NKY Chamber) appreciate the opportunity to submit comments for the second round of public review on the Commission's proposed revisions to the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards – 2015 Revision (the PCS). The Kentucky Chamber and GLI originally submitted comment on Feb. 23 regarding the potential PCS revisions.

The Kentucky Chamber, GLI, and the NKY Chamber appreciate the role played by ORSANCO since 1948 in protecting and preserving water quality in the Ohio River and in collecting and disseminating water quality data and information on the river. We welcome the opportunity to provide input as the Commission assesses the role of ORSANCO in light of the significant regulatory developments that have occurred since 1948, including the enactment of the federal Clean Water Act and related state requirements, and the consequent improvements in water quality in the Ohio River. This is particularly important in a time of limited governmental budgets, which reinforce the need to eliminate unnecessary duplication. The Commission should ensure that the goals of the ORSANCO Compact are being met while not imposing unnecessary costs or complexities on business and local communities that hinder the economic health of the ORSANCO Compact States.

About the Kentucky Chamber, GLI, and NKY Chamber

-
The Kentucky Chamber of Commerce is the major catalyst, consensus builder, and advocate for a thriving economic climate in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The Kentucky Chamber of Commerce supports a prosperous business climate in the state and works to advance Kentucky through advocacy, information, program management and customer service in order to promote business retention and recruitment. Representing the interests more than 68,000 employers across the Commonwealth, the Kentucky Chamber advocates for growth-oriented tax reform, infrastructure investment, workforce solutions, a sensible regulatory approach that satisfies the following criteria: scientific validity, technical feasibility and economic rationality, and a sustainable state government to ensure Kentucky is positioned for growth and opportunity.

-
As the Greater Louisville region's largest convener of business leadership, GLI leads economic and global outreach strategies focused on business attraction; nurtures the

entrepreneurial eco-system; and champions the development of the community's talent base. As the voice of Greater Louisville's business community, GLI advocates for a pro-business environment and facilitates businesses engagement on issues that impact regional competitiveness, such as sensible regulatory standards and compliance.

The NKY Chamber represents over 1,500 employers in northern Kentucky and the surrounding region. We enjoy a diverse economy, with broad representation of employment in all sectors of the economy, including manufacturing, distribution, agriculture, finance, and services. Our region is situated centrally to the majority of the population in the eastern United States, and is traversed by major interstates, rail lines and navigable rivers. Our region has enjoyed and is positioned for economic growth. We are committed to protecting human health and the environment and at the same time preserving and empowering a robust economic climate in our community.

The business and industry members of the NKY Chamber have operations throughout the state that are subject to water quality regulations and requirements. Accordingly, the NKY Chamber has a substantial interest in ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards to ensure that any amendments to the standards do not unnecessarily restrict or inhibit business and industry in the Commonwealth.

Comments

The Kentucky Chamber, GLI, and NKY Chamber support the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) revisions proposed by the Commission as the most sensible and cost-effective approach to satisfying the goals of the ORSANCO Compact while recognizing the realities of the current regulatory environment. ORSANCO was created in 1948, at a time when the need for water quality improvements in the Ohio River was clear and the absence of an effective regulatory framework to address that need was critical. Since then, the federal Clean Water Act has been enacted and a comprehensive system of federal and state water quality programs and standards has been developed and implemented in all the ORSANCO Compact States. Today, those programs and standards are addressing the goals of the Compact, making the continued maintenance of a duplicative, and sometimes contradictory, set of ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards questionable at best.

Currently, each of the Compact States is implementing a federally-enforceable water quality program approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to the Clean Water Act. Each of those programs includes designated uses, "free from" mandates, water quality standards, wastewater discharge requirements, mixing zone provisions, and enforcement authorities. Those standards and requirements are designed to support each State's streams as aquatic habitats, as well as their uses for recreation and drinking water. Beneficial use designations are essentially the same. Most water quality criteria are either the same or provide the same degree of human health and aquatic life protection. Mixing zone designations are similar. Given State technology-based discharge requirements and water quality-based limits, the PCS wastewater discharge requirements are superfluous for most categorical industrial dischargers and municipal dischargers.

Whereas the ORSANCO PCS may have been necessary in 1948, before the enactment of the Clean Water Act, they are now duplicative of the comprehensive federal and state water quality programs required under the Clean Water Act. Today, the goals of the Compact are

being effectively addressed by the Clean Water Act, and the PCS provide little or no added environmental benefit. In fact, the differences between the PCS and Clean Water Act standards can lead to confusion for the States and the regulated community and can create unnecessary complexity in the permitting process. Moreover, there is often no effective way to question or challenge the appropriateness or applicability of the PCS in specific permitting situations. This can lead to the imposition of unnecessary, and unnecessarily stringent, permit requirements that serve no real purpose in protecting the water quality of the Ohio River, and that create an economic disadvantage for the communities and businesses that are located along the Ohio River.

Implementation of the PCS also creates a separate set of standards that apply only to the Ohio River, and that differ from the standards that apply throughout the remainder of each of the Compact States. Eliminating this confusion will improve regulatory consistency and promote clarity for the regulated community.

At a time when our States are looking for ways to promote community sustainability and economic growth, and to encourage investment in domestic industry, the ORSANCO Compact States can ill afford to impose an environmentally unnecessary and costly regulatory regime on the communities located along the Ohio River. Rather, the most valuable role ORSANCO can play in meeting the goals of the ORSANCO Compact is to concentrate on its scientific and technical information gathering and research. Resources currently devoted to the PCS program can be more effectively used to support other ORSANCO programs that do not overlap with state and federal water quality programs, and that provide real added value to the States. ORSANCO has particular skill and expertise in assessing water quality and the biological integrity of the Ohio River, disseminating that information to the States and public to ensure coordination and consistency among the States' regulatory programs and other water quality initiatives, engaging in public outreach, and assisting in spill detection and response through information-gathering and coordination.

The Kentucky Chamber, GLI, and NKY Chamber believe that the Commission's proposed revisions to the PCS are entirely consistent with the requirements of the ORSANCO Compact. The ORSANCO Compact establishes certain limited, baseline treatment requirements for discharges of sewage and industrial wastes but grants discretion to the Commission to determine if additional treatment standards are needed to protect public health or to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes. Beyond these baseline requirements, the Commission is given wide latitude to determine whether additional pollution control standards are necessary, or whether ORSANCO must even adopt its own regulations or pollution control standards to meet the minimal goals of the Compact. The Commission is well within its rights to determine – after investigation, due notice, and hearing – that the Pollution Control Standards may no longer be necessary in light of the subsequent implementation of the Clean Water Act. The Commission is correct to take the steps to determine that the Compact's goals of protecting public health and preserving other legitimate purposes are being satisfied by the States' implementation of the Clean Water Act permitting programs, and given this determination the PCS are simply duplicative and an unnecessary burden on the States and regulated businesses.

In sum, the Kentucky Chamber, GLI, and NKY Chamber believe that the proposed PCS revisions maintain the beneficial uses of the Ohio River consistent with the mandates of the ORSANCO Compact, while removing the duplicative and resource intensive aspects of the PCS. And these sensible revisions will allow ORSANCO to concentrate its resources on those

tasks that it can best perform to help promote and preserve water quality in the Ohio River.

*

*

*

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on these important revisions. The Kentucky Chamber, GLI, and NKY Chamber encourage the Commission to formally adopt the proposed PCS revision so that ORSANCO can play a more effective and efficient role in protecting and preserving the water quality of the Ohio River.

From: [Bender, Jack](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments of Utility Information Exchange of Kentucky on ORSANCO's Proposed Amendments to its Pollution Control Standards
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:07:14 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to ORSANCO's public notice regarding proposed amendments to its pollution control standards (PCS) for the Ohio River, the Utility Information Exchange of Kentucky (UIEK) is providing comments on ORSANCO's proposed decision to rescind its water quality standards leaving that responsibility to the states under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). The proposal was identified as Alternative 2 in the original public notice of options for revisions to ORSANCO's PCS. As set forth below, UIEK fully supports the decision and proposed revisions to the PCS, which it believes are warranted and compelled by the Compact in light of the existence of the CWA Section 303 programs and requirements.

UIEK is a voluntary organization consisting of representatives from the electric generating utilities in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. For more than 20 years, UIEK has provided input to regulatory authorities on key environmental regulatory issues affecting its member companies. This law firm currently serves as legal counsel to UIEK and is submitting the following comments on ORSANCO's proposed amendments to the PCS on UIEK's behalf.

As noted in the initial public notice soliciting comments on potential revisions to the PCS in 2017, a majority of ORSANCO's Ad Hoc Committee members, and a majority of ORSANCO Commission members, favored an expanded version of Alternative 2, which would eliminate Chapters 3 and 4 of the PCS on water criteria and mixing zones, and eliminate portions of Chapter 5 regarding discharge limitations. The proposed revisions that are currently subject to public notice and comment advance those recommendations. Essentially, the PCS revisions will eliminate dual regulation of water quality standards by ORSANCO under the Compact and by the states under the CWA. UIEK believes the proposed revisions to the PCS are warranted and necessary, for the reasons stated below.

A. The Water Quality Standards in the PCS Are No Longer Justified Under the Compact

The 1948 ORSANCO Compact establishes certain limited, baseline treatment requirements for discharges of sewage and industrial wastes and also grants discretion to the Commission to determine if additional treatment standards are needed to protect public health or to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes. Specifically, the Compact provides in relevant part:

It is recognized by the signatory States that no single standard for the treatment of sewage or industrial wastes is applicable in all parts of the District due to such variable factors as size, flow, location, character, self-purification, and usage of waters within the District. The guiding principle of this Compact shall be that pollution by sewage or industrial wastes originating within a signatory State shall not injuriously affect the various uses of the interstate waters as hereinbefore defined.

Article VI also generally provides for ORSANCO to establish standards that go beyond this basic level of protection of the Ohio River where deemed necessary. For example, Article VI provides:

All industrial wastes discharged or permitted to flow into the aforesaid waters shall be modified or treated, within a time reasonable for the construction of the necessary works, in order to in order to protect the public health or to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes, including those specified in Article 1, to such degree as may be determined to be necessary by the Commission after investigation, due notice and hearing.

Accordingly, the discretion of ORSANCO to establish additional water quality standards is confined by the requirement to find them to be necessary to protect legitimate uses or public health after notice and hearing.

The PCS related to water quality criteria are no longer necessary in light of the subsequent implementation of the CWA by the states. The states that are members of the Compact have all promulgated water quality standards to fully protect all uses of the Ohio River. For point source dischargers, those standards are implemented through the state-approved NPDES permitting program. The state water quality standards are subject to EPA review and approval, including requirements to demonstrate that any the criteria are sufficiently stringent and include all elements of EPA water quality program requirements, including antidegradation policies.

In light of the CWA Section 303 water quality programs adopted by states, it cannot be shown that additional standards should be maintained by ORSANCO on the grounds they are necessary *further* standards to protect the Ohio River for legitimate uses. In this regard the CWA requirements, including those for triennial reviews of state standards under 40 CFR Part 131, have eliminated the need and any legal justification for the water quality standard components of the PCS. Indeed, the EPA oversight of the state standards was substantially increased though the revisions to 40 CFR Part 131 adopted by EPA in August 2015. Those revisions increased the obligations of states to update water quality criteria during triennial reviews based upon the best available science:

Meaningful and transparent involvement of the public is an important component of triennial review when making decisions about whether and when criteria will be adopted or revised to protect designated uses. The rule provides more clearly defined and transparent requirements, so that states and authorized tribes consider the latest science as reflected in the CWA section 304(a) criteria recommendations, and the public understands the decisions made.

80 Fed. Reg. 51020, 51022 (August 21, 2015). Accordingly, additional ORSANCO water quality standards are no longer justified under the language of the Compact.

B. Maintaining ORSANCO's Water Quality Standards Creates Undue Burdens on the States and Regulated Entities

Apart from a lack of demonstrated regulatory need under the Compact, it would be unreasonable to maintain PCS that are simply duplicative and an unnecessary burden on the states and regulated businesses. Any differences between the PCS and state Clean Water Act standards can also lead to confusion for the states and the regulated community and can create unnecessary complexity in the NPDES permitting processes implemented by the states. A further complication of the duplication between the PCS and state-specific WQS is the fact that there is no consistency among the member states in how they implement the PCS. Some states adopt the PCS by reference, other states adopt the PCS via a formal rulemaking, while others "pick and choose" what elements of the PCS to adopt or simply implement in NPDES permits. This causes confusion for regulated entities that discharge to the Ohio River in terms of what specific WQS will be used to develop permit requirements. The variance process employed by ORSANCO until recently is a prime example of such confusion and unnecessary complications inserted into the NPDES permitting process.

In closing UIEK notes that ORSANCO has a valuable role in meeting the goals of the Compact by continuing its efforts on scientific studies of the Ohio River and research. UIEK appreciates ORSANCO's efforts to revise its PCS to eliminate unnecessary and potentially conflicting standards.

Sincerely,



Jack C. Bender
Partner

Dinsmore & Shohl LLP • Legal Counsel
Lexington Financial Center
250 West Main Street
Suite 1400
Lexington, KY 40507
T (859) 425-1093 • F (859) 425-1099
C (859) 619-9289

[E jack.bender@dinsmore.com](mailto:jack.bender@dinsmore.com) • dinsmore.com

Cc: UIEK Representatives

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission from the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl may constitute an attorney-client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail, so that our address record can be corrected.

From: [Lapcevic, Joseph P](mailto:Lapcevic.Joseph.P)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: jheath@ORSANCO.org
Subject: Comments on ORSANCO's PCS Alternatives
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:36:43 PM

Below please find the text of comments sent to your attention via Certified Mail today. Please contact me at the number below with any questions you may have.

Joe Lapcevic
Supervisor, Water Permitting
(724) 838 - 6099 work
(234) 678 - 2385 fax
FirstEnergy Corp.
800 Cabin Hill Drive
Greensburg, PA 15601-1689

SENT VIA EMAIL &
CERTIFIED MAIL (7017 3380 0000 1667 4205)

August 17, 2018

ATTN: PCS Comments
ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45230
PSC@orsanco.org

Re: Alternative Recommendations – Pollution Control Standards

To Whom It May Concern:

The following comments are submitted on behalf of FirstEnergy Corp. (FirstEnergy), a diversified energy holding company with both electric distribution and generation companies forming one of the nation's largest investor-owned electric systems, serving customers in Ohio, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, West Virginia, Maryland, and New York. FirstEnergy's generation subsidiaries control over 15,000 megawatts of generating capacity from a diversified mix of scrubbed coal, non-emitting nuclear, natural gas, pumped-storage hydro, and other renewables. As such, FirstEnergy has a vested interest in Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission's (ORSANCO) Pollution Control Standards (PCS) Program.

FirstEnergy participated in the development of and concurs with comments filed by the Ohio Utility Group (OUG¹) in support of the Commission's adoption of Alternative #2, as well as initial comments filed on August 29, 2017 by the Power Industry Advisory Committee (PIAC). In addition, FirstEnergy is pleased to offer the following comments to further emphasize points raised by the OUG.

On June 26, 2018, ORSANCO made available for comment its recommendation to adopt "Alternative 2" of the five alternatives originally proposed for review in 2017. The ORSANCO recommendation to adopt "Alternative 2" would "[m]odify ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards ... by removal of the Water Quality Criteria (Chapter 3), Mixing Zone Designation (Chapter 4) and portions of wastewater discharge requirements (Chapter 5) from the [Pollution

Control Standards] and maintain the Chapters on Designated Uses.” At the June 7, 2018 Commissioner’s meeting, the Commissioners voted to proceed with the adoption of Alternative 2. FirstEnergy agrees with adopting proposed Alternative 2 and supports ORSANCO’s recommendation.

[1] The member companies include: AEP Generation Resources Inc., Buckeye Power, Inc., The Dayton Power and Light Company, Duke Energy Ohio, Dynegy Commercial Asset Management, LLC, FirstEnergy Solutions, and Ohio Valley Electric Corporation.

² <http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Preferred-Expanded-Alternative-2-and-Minority-Report.pdf>

PCS Comments

Page 2

August 17, 2018

Of the five alternatives originally proposed by the Commission, FirstEnergy supports the adoption of Alternative #2 as the most sensible, cost-effective approach to satisfying the goals of the ORSANCO Compact. As noted in the Commission’s materials and in the comments of several Advisory Committees, ORSANCO was created in 1948, at a time when the need for water quality improvements in the Ohio River was clear and the absence of an effective regulatory framework to address that need was critical.

Since that time, the federal Clean Water Act and related laws have been enacted, as well as the development and implementation of a comprehensive system of federal and state water quality programs and standards, which administer the CWA for all ORSANCO Compact States. The result has been a dramatic improvement in the quality of the Ohio River, as well as its tributaries and other streams throughout the Compact States.

Today, each of the Compact States is implementing a federally-enforceable water quality program approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US-EPA) pursuant to the Clean Water Act. Those programs have been effective in addressing each State’s streams as aquatic habitats, as well as supporting their uses for recreation and drinking water.

Under Alternative 2, ORSANCO would no longer conduct a triennial review of their Pollution Control Standards or assess variances for the Ohio River. However, under the Clean Water Act, each Compact state is required to develop and enforce water quality standards; requirements which must be approved by the U.S. EPA. In addition, most of the standards promulgated by the states come directly from U.S. EPA recommendations. Thus, should ORSANCO adopt Alternative 2, contrary to the concerns expressed by some commenters, water quality of the Ohio River will continue to be protected by the Compact states, with input and oversight from the U.S. EPA. Further, as noted above, ORSANCO would maintain the chapters on Designated Uses and presumably continue to submit an Integrated Report on these uses for the entire Ohio River.

Rather than trying to be a regulatory body that duplicates the work of the states, FirstEnergy contends that, by adopting Alternative 2, ORSANCO can focus on one of its greatest assets to the states – collecting data on the health of the Ohio River. This is a very important aspect of developing water quality standards and is especially critical given the limited resources both states and ORSANCO have faced in the most recent years.

Whereas the ORSANCO PCS may have been necessary when the Compact was first signed 70-years ago, they have since become duplicative of the subsequently enacted federal and state water quality

programs established under the Clean Water Act. Today, the water quality goals of the Compact states are being effectively addressed by the Clean Water Act, while ORSANCO's PCS provide little or

PCS Comments
Page 3
August 17, 2018

no added value. In fact, the differences between the PCS and Clean Water Act standards can lead to confusion for the States and the regulated community, and can create complications in the permitting process, where there is often no effective way to question or challenge the appropriateness or applicability of the underlying PCS in specific permitting situations.

FirstEnergy appreciates the historical role ORSANCO has provided in protecting and preserving water quality in the Ohio River and in collecting and disseminating river water quality data and information. Furthermore, we appreciate the opportunity to provide these comments on the adoption of proposed Alternative 2 for the PCS Program and request the Commission carefully consider these comments, as well as those from OUG. Please do not hesitate to call me at (724) 838 - 6099 to discuss these comments in more detail.

Sincerely,

A stylized, handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a large letter 'J' with a tilde (~) on either side.

Joseph P. Lapcevic
Supervisor, Water
Permitting

The information contained in this message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately, and delete the original message.

From: [Judy Petersen](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on PCS
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:36:10 PM

To: ORSANCO PCS Committee & Commissioners

From: Judith Petersen, 2995 Hammons ville Rd., Munfordville, KY 42765

Date: August 19, 2018

Re: Supplemental Comments on PCS

These comments supplement my comments sent on August 12, 2018. As stated, I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. I have little hope however, that in this instance ORSANCO will listen to the will of the people, drinking water utilities and conservation organizations. It is because I believe the Commission has already made up its mind to proceed with emasculating the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) that I submit the following to supplement my earlier comments.

If ORSANCO does proceed and strips the Ohio River of the existing standards, it must do so in an orderly manner in order to allow the states time to conduct the rulemaking that will be necessary in several of the states to compensate for the loss of the current standards. Additional language to the effect that the current PCS remain in effect until a state conducts rulemaking, adopts standards and receives USEPA approval for the changes should be added to be certain the changes do not impact the river our communities or the states in unintended ways.

Judith Petersen
2995 Hammons ville Rd.
Munfordville, KY 42765



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Benjamin Pedigo](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Proposed Changes to Pollution Control Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:14:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners

I am writing this email to object to the proposed changes to Pollution Control Standards that ORSANCO currently sets as part of the Compact. By voting to stop development of these standards, ORSANCO is significantly limiting the goals and objectives this group is charged with meeting through the Compact.

ORSANCO has served as a mediator to bring the 8 Compact states together to implement critical water pollution control programs in the Ohio Valley. Stripping away the function of developing pollution control standards reduces this groups authority, raising the question of why ORSANCO should continue to exist.

Since its inception in 1948, ORSANCO has played a key role in cleaning the Ohio River and has raised pollution control standards. In its present configuration, ORSANCO will continue to bring water quality issues to the forefront. Voting to reduce ORSANCOs role would be a mistake.

As a member of ORSANCOs Public Information Advisory Committee, I want to bring to the attention of the Commissioners that PIACO voted unanimously in our opposition to changing ORSANCOs critical role in the development of Pollution Control Standards. Our message was clear and I ask the Commissioners not to change their duty to bring the Compact states together in the area of improving water quality on the Ohio River.

Ben Pedigo
12 Front Street
Ripley, Ohio 45167

From: [Mayor Bellemeade](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: opposition to change
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:39:29 PM

I have been reading about the Ohio River state planning to abandon ORSANCO that keeps the river clean and safe.

As Mayor of the City of Bellemeade, Kentucky I am deeply concerned that the source of our water might once again become polluted. We need to continue the good work that has been done and especially at a time when the EPA is being dismantled.

Please do not change what has been working so very well.

Dr. Larry Lea Odom-Groh
Mayor City of Bellemeade, Kentucky

--

Larry Odom-Groh
Mayor City of Bellemeade Kentucky
www.bellemeade-ky.gov

August 20, 2018

Mr. Richard Harrison, Chief Engineer
Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO)
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45230

Dear Mr. Harrison,

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania welcomes the opportunity to provide comments on the proposed changes to ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards (PCS) Program. Since 1948, the Commonwealth has partnered under the ORSANCO compact with the other basin states and the federal government to cooperatively manage the water resources of the Ohio River Watershed. Through the authority of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA), the states have the responsibility to set and implement water quality standards. However, in addition to this authority, Pennsylvania recognizes the critical importance and authority of interstate commissions such as ORSANCO in the cooperative management of interstate river systems. While much has changed since the signing of the Compact and the subsequent passage of the CWA, significant water quality issues remain. While the mainstem of the Ohio River is attaining uses related to aquatic life throughout its length, it is impaired for both uses of contact recreation and fish consumption along much of its length. These issues highlight the continued need for cooperative management related to water quality between the signatories.

Management of interstate waters is complex. Interstate waters management decisions, such as those related to impairment/attainment of designated uses, limits for National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits, and setting of wasteload allocations in TMDLs, all benefit from standardized criteria and approaches. This provides certainty and equity for the regulated community and the public throughout the basin. However, Pennsylvania recognizes that states have different regulatory and legislative processes that, in some cases, have resulted in the lack of direct incorporation of ORSANCO's PCSs into state water quality standards. As a result, some PCSs are duplicative of state standards. Pennsylvania recognizes that this creates an undue regulatory burden for the dischargers to the Ohio River and that efforts to address this duplication should be of top priority to the Commission and its member states. Pennsylvania is concerned, however, that the proposed changes to the program, as shown in the redline version of the proposed PCS program rules, are far-reaching in scope. At a minimum, certain useful pieces of the 2015 version of the PCS program should be retained. To that end, Pennsylvania submits the following comments regarding specific pieces of the draft PCS proposal:

- 1) Pennsylvania requests that the PCS program be modified to retain the signage/marker requirements for discharge outfalls contained in the current Chapter 5.1(B). In Pennsylvania, signage is limited to combined sewer overflows; this ORSANCO requirement is helpful in that it expands those requirements to other types of discharges. The markers make it possible for the public to report any unusual occurrences and prevent public contact with the outfalls for public safety purposes.
- 2) Pennsylvania requests that the PCS program be modified to retain the 110-degree maximum temperature requirement for direct discharges that are accessible to the public as is contained in the current Chapter 3.3F related to human health protection and in the water quality criteria table in Chapter 3.1. In Pennsylvania, this is not a regulatory requirement but rather contained in guidance. Retention of this requirement will provide additional protection to the public to prevent scalding when humans come in contact with the surface water.

Other states and/or commissioners/proxies may feel similarly that portions of the proposed redline version of the PCS program should be retained. For that reason, Pennsylvania supports an approach similar, but not identical to, Alternative 4 which would allow for a deeper investigation of the PCS program with recommendations for changes utilizing a more methodical approach while addressing concerns and needs of all signatory states.

While the number of permits which discharge to the Ohio River directly in Pennsylvania is not large, the workload associated with the modification of the PCS program as it relates to the water quality standards and permitting cannot be comprehensively determined. Because Pennsylvania incorporates ORSANCO PCSs into its regulatory code at 25 Pa. Code §§ 93.9(b) and 93.9w, a rulemaking to modify the regulations will be necessary if ORSANCO eliminates PCSs. Based on a comparison between ORSANCO PCSs and Pennsylvania's statewide water quality standards (WQSs), 16 PCSs were both found to be not duplicated and more stringent than Pennsylvania's WQSs. For at least five of those parameters, ORSANCO appears to have adopted the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's national recommendations for human health criteria: barium, copper, methylmercury and mercury (based on methyl), selenium and zinc. In addition to rulemaking to address the removal of PCSs, permits may be subject to antibacksliding protections. These permits will need to be evaluated on a permit-by-permit basis to determine if changes and demonstrations of comparable water quality protection must be made based on the elimination of the PCSs.

Before final action by the ORSANCO commissioners and proxies related to the modification of the PCS program, Pennsylvania requests that ORSANCO provide a demonstration of how designated uses of the Ohio River will be protected should the PCSs be removed and provide an analysis of permits that may be subject to modification and/or impacted by antibacksliding provisions along the length of the Ohio River. If Alternative 2 is adopted, it is likely that the removal of the PCSs by ORSANCO may precede any rulemaking by Pennsylvania. As a result, Pennsylvania will continue to apply, as appropriate, the PCSs that are incorporated into the state water quality standards. Rather than making any changes to the PCSs that are effective immediately, Pennsylvania requests that ORSANCO provide an appropriate timeline to allow states to make any necessary regulatory changes should Alternative 2 be adopted.

Regardless of the outcome of ORSANCO's decision regarding the future of the PCS program, Pennsylvania will continue to work with the other signatory parties in the cooperative management of the water resources of the Ohio River Watershed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Patrick McDonnell', written in a cursive style.

Patrick McDonnell
Secretary

cc: Mr. Timothy Schaeffer, DEP
Mr. Ramez Ziadeh, DEP
Ms. Jennifer Orr, DEP
Ms. Michelle Moses, DEP
Ms. Alexandra Chiaruttini, DEP
Ms. Jessica Shirley, DEP
Mr. Lee McDonald, DEP
Mr. Ronald Schwartz, DEP
Mr. Christopher Kriley, DEP
Ms. Dana Drake, DEP
Mr. Bruce Herschlag, DEP
Mr. Charles Duritsa



CITY OF EVANSVILLE

ONE N.W. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. BLVD. • ROOM 302
EVANSVILLE, INDIANA 47708-1833
(812) 436-4962 • FAX (812) 436-4966 • TDD/TTY (812) 436-4928
www.evansville.in.gov

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
LLOYD WINNECKE

August 20, 2018
ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230

ATTN: PCS Comments

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing on behalf of the City of Evansville expressing opposition to the proposal of eliminating pollution control standards for the Ohio River. Frankly, ORSANCO has provided invaluable support to the Evansville Water and Sewer Utility relating to water quality for several decades. The citizens of Evansville are grateful for that support.

ORSANCO has the unique role of ensuring that all states (and polluters) in the Ohio River watershed are held to the same standards. It seems that the elimination of water quality criteria developed for the Ohio River by ORSANCO could well be detrimental to the river, and the watershed as a whole.

I understand that one of the reasons cited for the consideration of discontinuing the triennial review process of updating the PCS rules is to eliminate redundancies that overlap with federal and state regulations. I find it interesting, however, that there are more than 180 instances where ORSANCO's rules are not redundant to state and federal regulations.

As mayor, there is nothing more frustrating than dealing with conflicting or duplicative federal regulation. However, we believe that the standards in place do not constitute an unnecessary regulatory burden, and rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River, while also providing protection of designated uses.

In addition to providing drinking water to 5 million people, the Ohio River is known as a "working river" because of the hundreds of industrial and wastewater treatment plants along its 981-mile route. Evansville is located at Ohio River mile marker 791, well downstream from the river's origin. Therefore, upstream water quality is very important to our city. The Ohio River is an amazing asset for Evansville. It serves as our water source, but also as a vital recreational venue and picturesque front door. Eliminating ORSANCO regulations could be a negative game changer for our community.



The City of Evansville recommends and encourages ORSANCO to continue to oversee Ohio River Pollution Control Standards to ensure the highest water quality possible.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lloyd Winnecke". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "L" and "W".

Lloyd Winnecke, Mayor
City of Evansville

SIERRA CLUB
Miami Group

August 20, 2018

ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230
PCS@orsanco.org

RE: Pollution Control Standards

Dear Commissioners,

The Sierra Club Miami Group joins countless other organizations in commenting on the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission's (ORSANCO) 2018 review of your Pollution Control Standards. As water quality is under attack nationwide, some Commissioners apparently support withdrawing from your role in maintaining, administering and periodically updating the current Pollution Control Standards. We strongly oppose that proposal.

The Miami Group serves thousands of members in southwest Ohio, and we use the Ohio River and its tributaries for drinking water, scientific study and recreation. We note that ORSANCO is a party to the Metropolitan Sewer District consent decree and has a responsibility to ensure that water quality standards are not weakened and do not adversely impact the stormwater and sanitary sewer systems.

ORSANCO has operated programs to protect and improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin for nearly 70 years, and is considered necessary because: "The control of future pollution and the abatement of existing pollution in the waters of said basin are of prime importance to the people thereof, and can best be accomplished through the cooperation of the States situated therein, by and through a joint or common agency."

Your own staff have crunched the numbers and identified nearly 200 individual pollution control standards, including the critically important ban on chemical discharge "mixing zones," that would not exist without ORSANCO. Your Commission plays a key role in protecting our fresh waters.

Please do not abdicate that role and bow to industry pressure. Protect drinking water for millions of people, and let's continue to improve the Ohio River for the enjoyment of all.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Wall
Miami Group Chair

CHERI A. BUDZYNSKI
419.321.1332
cbudzynski@slk-law.com

SENT VIA EMAIL AND U.S. POSTAL MAIL

August 20, 2018

ATTN: PCS Comments
ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45230
PSC@orsanco.org

Re: Alternative Recommendations – Pollution Control Standards
Our File No. 108630

To Whom It May Concern:

On June 26, 2018, ORSANCO made available for comment its recommendation to adopt “Alternative 2” of the five proposed alternatives originally proposed in 2017. The following comments regarding this action are submitted on behalf of the Ohio Utility Group and its member companies (“OUG” or “the Utilities”),¹ which is an association of individual electric utilities in the State of Ohio. The Utilities own and operate power plants and other facilities that generate, transmit, and distribute electricity for residential, commercial, industrial, and institutional customers. These power plants and other facilities are subject to the Clean Water Act and Ohio water regulations. OUG’s purpose, in part, is to participate collectively on behalf of its members in administrative proceedings under various environmental laws and in litigation arising from those proceedings that affect the Utilities.

In 2017, ORSANCO solicited comments from its Advisory Committees regarding five alternatives to address its role in developing the Pollution Control Standards (“PCS”) for the Ohio River. These alternatives ranged from continuing with the status quo to completely eliminating ORSANCO’s role in developing the standards. On August 29, 2017, the Power Industry Advisory Committee submitted comments to ORSANCO. *See*, attached Comments. The Utilities endorse these comments, having provided input on the initial comments. The Utilities further incorporate these comments by reference.

In early 2018, ORSANCO ultimately expressed its intent to adopt Alternative 2, which was the Power Industry Advisory Committee’s preference. This would “[m]odify ORSANCO’s

¹ The member companies include: AEP Generation Resources Inc., Buckeye Power, Inc., The Dayton Power and Light Company, Duke Energy Ohio, Dynege Commercial Asset Management, LLC, FirstEnergy Solutions, and Ohio Valley Electric Corporation.

Pollution Control Standards ... by removal of the Water Quality Criteria (Chapter 3), Mixing Zone Designation (Chapter 4) and portions of wastewater discharge requirements (Chapter 5) from the [Pollution Control Standards] and maintain the Chapters on Designated Uses.”² At the June 7, 2018 Commissioner’s meeting, the Commissioners voted to proceed with the adoption of Alternative 2. The Utilities are comfortable with this proposed alternative and support ORSANCO’s recommendation.

At the Technical Committee meeting on February 7-8, 2018, some members of the public and some States expressed concerns that this action would be to the detriment of the Ohio River. This sentiment was reiterated in hundreds of non-substantive comments submitted by the public. As stated previously, this could not be further from the truth. Most of these comments appear to be due to a lack of understanding of how Ohio River water quality is protected. The Compact was signed on June 30, 1948. At that time, the U.S. EPA and state agencies to regulate water pollution did not exist and there was a need to address the increasing raw sewage and unregulated industrial pollution discharged to the Ohio River. Since that time, the Clean Water Act was enacted (and revised multiple times) and has been implemented at both the State and Federal level.

Under Alternative 2, ORSANCO will no longer conduct a triennial review of the PCS or assess variances for the Ohio River. However, under the Clean Water Act, each state is required to develop and enforce water quality standards; these requirements must be approved by U.S. EPA. In addition, most of the numeric criteria promulgated by the states come directly from U.S. EPA recommendations. Thus, should ORSANCO adopt Alternative 2, contrary to the concerns expressed by some, the Ohio River will continue to be protected by the states, with oversight and input from U.S. EPA. Further, as noted above, ORSANCO would maintain the chapters on Designated Uses and presumably continue to submit an Integrated Report on these uses for the entire Ohio River.

It should be noted that Ohio EPA cannot incorporate ORSANCO’s PCS by reference nor can it include any of ORSANCO’s PCS in a permit without first promulgating, through notice and comment rulemaking, the standards under Ohio Law.³ Therefore, any changes to ORSANCO’s PCS must then be adopted by Ohio EPA through a rulemaking, which requires Ohio EPA to provide its own legal and technical justification for the revisions before the revised standards can be implemented on the Ohio River. This step, however, allows interested parties to challenge any proposed standards when there is no defensible legal or technical basis for the standards. By adopting Alternative 2, ORSANCO is simply removing one component of an already long process in promulgating and implementing regulations to protect the Ohio River.

The Utilities would also like to point out that, currently, there is no consistency among member states in implementing the PCS. Some states adopt the PCS by reference, some states “pick and choose” what PCS elements are adopted, while others (such as Ohio EPA) are required to conduct a formal state rulemaking to adopt any elements of the PCS. This confusion is detrimental

² <http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Preferred-Expanded-Alternative-2-and-Minority-Report.pdf>

³ See, *The Dayton Power and Light Company v. Nally*, ERAC Case Nos. 13-296712-13-666718 (June 18, 2014); R.C. §119.


to the regulated community as the advantage of having regulatory certainty (in terms of permit requirements) is often unavailable.

The Utilities have long questioned the jurisdiction for challenging ORSANCO's ability to promulgate the Pollution Control Standards. Article VI of the Compact gives the Commission authority "to adopt, prescribe and promulgate rules, regulations and standards for administering and enforcing the provisions of this article." The Compact also gives the Commission authority to issue orders requiring a person to eliminate, modify, or treat a discharge into the Ohio River. Article IX also indicates that the Commission can enforce these orders in any Court of General jurisdiction or any United States district court in the signatory states "by mandamus, injunction, specific performance or other form of remedy." Ohio R.C. §6113.03 provides that the courts of common pleas are granted the jurisdiction specified in Article IX. In contrast, the Compact is silent regarding whether a party has a right to appeal ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards, and ORSANCO's legal staff contends that parties are not afforded judicial review. Thus, the Utilities have often found it frustrating when ORSANCO proposed revisions where there was no sound legal or technical basis for the revision. Even when the Utilities had the opportunity to comment on ORSANCO's revisions, there was no legal recourse if ORSANCO disregarded the Utilities' comments. In contrast, as noted above, when states promulgate standards, there is a legal avenue to challenge those standards.

Rather than trying to be a regulatory body that duplicates the work of the states, the Utilities think that, by adopting Alternative 2, ORSANCO can focus on one of its greatest assets to the states – collecting data on the health of the Ohio River. This is a very important aspect of developing water quality standards. This is especially critical given the limited resources both states and ORSANCO have faced in the most recent years. Many OUG companies rely on ORSANCO monitoring data to assess water quality near their generating facilities.

The Utilities thank ORSANCO for the opportunity to comment and would be happy to meet with Ohio EPA to discuss the above recommendations.

Very truly yours,



Cheri A. Budzynski

CAB\rde
Enclosure

Comments of the Power Industry Advisory Committee on Proposed Alternatives to how ORSANCO Implements the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) Program

August 2017

These comments are provided by the ORSANCO Power Industry Advisory Committee (PIAC) concerning proposed alternatives as to how ORSANCO implements the existing Pollution Control Standards (PCS), as delineated in the July 18, 2017 white paper. We appreciate the time and resources that the Ad Hoc Committee has taken to develop the five proposed alternatives. Good water quality in the Ohio River benefits everyone, and the significant chemical and biological monitoring programs conducted by ORSANCO staff have clearly shown improved water quality. In addition, several companies of the PIAC have contributed meaningful funding to ecological assessments of the Ohio River near coal-fired generating facilities for over 30 years via the Ohio River Ecological Research Program. These studies, like the ORSANCO data, have demonstrated improved water quality since the early 1970s.

With the advent of potential lowered funding to ORSANCO (and also to states) from the federal government, the decision to re-think the function of the PCS is timely. Notwithstanding the fact that the ORSANCO Compact does not directly address the derivation and implementation of water quality standards (WQS), ORSANCO's greatest contribution for all stakeholders is the collection and issuance of high-quality monitoring results. Many PIAC companies have accessed these data to support environmental permitting/relicensing activities.

As to the alternatives outlined in the white paper, the PIAC can support alternatives 1, 2, or 5. Alternative 2 would be our preference. One of the reasons we believe that ORSANCO should not assume the review of WQS during its triennial reviews is that the Commission has no mechanism for due process (i.e., affected parties cannot file legal challenges to updated or new standards). This is a critical aspect when states propose new environmental regulations or standards. Moreover, the effort that ORSANCO staff put toward the triennial review process is not cost-effective as some member states, themselves, do not adopt the updated PCS in their own standards. The PIAC thinks ORSANCO would be better served reallocating the limited resources to programs supporting data collection on the Ohio River. As each member state has the authority to implement the Clean Water Act, the added value of the states working with ORSANCO during the triennial review process is highly speculative. ORSANCO could still serve in an advisory role should the state need assistance in the development of their WQS. This is not to say that the PCS are outdated or irrelevant; as an example, ORSANCO is one of only a few water resource regulatory bodies that has adopted US EPA's methylmercury fish tissue

criterion. The PIAC supports ORSANCO's usage of a weight-of-evidence approach to assess the fish consumption use, and we support the continued use of this for 305(b) reporting purposes.

The electric utility industry is a highly regulated sector. Significant investments have been made by PIAC member companies to reduce air emissions, minimize solid waste releases, and improve the quality of process waste streams. The footprint of coal-based power production on the Ohio River is considerably less than 10 years ago. For those facilities that have installed state-of-the-art pollution control technologies to comply with applicable federal or state regulations (allowing them to continue to use coal as a fuel), the development of reasonable, scientifically-based environmental standards is essential.

Lastly, the Utilities would like to bring attention to some statements made concerning Alternative 3. Under the "Key Considerations", item #6 states that one of the advantages of this alternative would be to "Reduce the influence of campaign donors and special interest groups on the standards development and permitting processes." One of the disadvantages (cons) listed, as item #1, is that the alternative may be "Opposed by some industries and the chamber of commerce who object to any regulations, even the ones that may help them." We consider these statements irrelevant, without basis, and inflammatory. Over the years the PIAC and other industry advisory committees have worked constructively with ORSANCO and member states to develop standards and policies that are reasonable and technically sound.

The PIAC appreciates the opportunity to submit these comments.



Ohio Chapter

August 20, 2018

ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230
PCS@orsanco.org

RE: Pollution Control Standards

Dear Commissioners,

The Sierra Club Ohio Chapter submits these comments regarding the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission's (ORSANCO's) Pollution Control Standards on behalf of our more than 22,000 members and in addition to the coalition letters that we have signed onto. Our members use the Ohio River and its tributaries for recreation, scientific research and, along with millions of others, as a source of drinking water.

The Ohio Chapter stands with our Hoosier Chapter in Indiana, our Cumberland Chapter in Kentucky, our Chapters in the five other ORSANCO member states, and with countless other organizations and individuals who urge you to maintain the pollution control standards that have, in various capacities, benefited water users and aquatic ecosystems in the Ohio River Valley for more than 70 years.

We are adamantly opposed to any attempts to weaken or eliminate those standards. Accordingly, we are asking you to reject proposed Alternative 2, which represents an arbitrary abdication of your appointed duties, and instead support some iteration of Alternatives 3 and 4, which call on ORSANCO to maintain and improve upon your pollution control standards program.

ORSANCO was created in 1948, because: "The control of future pollution and the abatement of existing pollution in the waters of said basin are of prime importance to the people thereof, and can best be accomplished through the cooperation of the States situated therein, by and through a joint or common agency."

In the same year that ORSANCO was created, Congress also established the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, which is of course the precursor to our modern Clean Water Act. Section 101(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act states that “it is the national goal that the discharge of pollutants into the navigable waters be eliminated by 1985.”

It is 2018; the Ohio River is “navigable” to every physical, legal and practicable extent; and yet the River’s chemical, physical and biological integrity remain threatened on a daily basis by the intentional discharge of harmful pollutants into our public waterways.

Over the decades, ORSANCO has worked with the states and the federal government to protect the Ohio River for millions of people, and while pollution has not been eliminated in the Ohio River, it is cleaner now than it would be without the protection of ORSANCO’s pollution control standards.

Congress understood that no one entity, even the federal Environmental Protection Agency, can adequately protect and preserve our nation’s water quality by rowing alone. The Clean Water Act is implemented by the states but requires a network of partners to function. For example, that is why the Act includes a “citizen suit” provision, and why cooperation between jurisdictions is an essential component of the law.

It is telling that a vast majority - if not all - water users, such as public utilities and responsible farmers, support maintaining ORSANCO’s existing regulatory program, and they agree that your work is not redundant. It is necessary, and it is cost effective.

The Ohio River is a vital resource to the people of Ohio, Kentucky, Indiana and our fellow member states. Please do all that you can to protect it.

Sincerely,

Nathan G. Alley
Ohio Chapter Conservation Program Coordinator



August 18, 2018

ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH. 45230

Re: PCS Comments

To whom it may concern:

Please accept these comments on behalf of Valley Watch, Inc., an Evansville, IN based not for profit whose purpose is “to protect the public health and environment of the lower Ohio River Valley.” We are also signing on to more technical and legal comments by at least one other party.

First, as an organization that has its very roots tied to the Ohio River, we feel betrayed by this attempt to virtually eliminate ORSANCO’s pollution control standards for the River from which most of our members drink and recreate. At no time during the seventy year history of ORSANCO have the Commissioners been so brazen as to seek to undo the very reason ORSANCO exists. Your reason for being is addressed on the “home page of your website, *“Since 1948, ORSANCO and its member states have cooperated to improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, ensuring the River can be used for drinking, industrial supplies and recreational purposes; and can support a healthy and diverse aquatic community. ORSANCO operates monitoring programs to check for pollutants and toxins that may interfere with specific uses of the River.”*

Since the passage of the Clean Water and Safe Drinking Water Acts, the River, our River has made steady improvement in overall water quality as witnessed by greater variety of native and unfortunately non native species of aquatic life and increase recreational use by both boaters and fishermen. During that same period monitoring improvements have been able to catch both accidental and intentional spills of dangerous chemicals that has served to protect humans and wildlife on the River. We certainly applaud those advances and admonish ORSANCO to keep up the improvements.

Redundancy with state rules.

It seems that many Commissioners, especially from some of the most polluting states want to make the case that ORSANCO’s PCS are unnecessary because every state has its own standards already and that USEPA oversees all those. If all the State standards were equal in their protection levels and covered all the chemicals covered by ORSANCO, that might be a decent argument. However, not all states have equally protective standards and some states seem inclined to allow far more pollution into the River than others. For us, toward the end of the River, at Mile 600 on, dropping the common ORSANCO standards would make us wholly dependent on the whims of upriver enforcement and sometimes political decisions that are neither protective nor adequate.

In Evansville, for instance, we are forced to drink water that may have originated from rainfall in West Virginia that picked up planned and unplanned pollutants from not only West Virginia but also from Pennsylvania, Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana. Are we simply supposed to "hope" that the environmental protection agencies in those states take their job seriously and are dedicated to protecting our water and not following the whims of political forces in those states? Experience tells us otherwise, as way too often, states upriver may allow increased levels of various pollutants that would not be allowed by the ORSANCO PCS.

A simple look at Indiana alone, for instance, shows there are sixty-three chemicals where the numeric standard for Indiana is weaker than the numeric standard set by ORSANCO. Additionally, fifty-seven Chemicals in the ORSANCO PCS do not even exist in Indiana PCS. That is a total of 120 different chemicals that Indiana has weaker or non-existent standards.

The same thing happens in Kentucky which has fifty-two chemicals with standards weaker than those of ORSANCO and seventeen that ORSANCO PCS has that are not covered by Kentucky's PCS.

These two states alone show sufficient proof that the ORSANCO PCS are NOT "redundant." To use that argument is specious and dangerous for people who live in the lower reaches of the entire watershed.

Common Bonds

The Ohio River is both a boundary and a common bond that cannot be escaped. What happens up River impact the quality of the River down River. What happens on one side of the River impacts the quality of the other side of the River. How on earth can one state divided from the other with this common bond decide that a higher level of pollution is allowed that will impact the health of citizens on the other side. A common set of PCS is the only thing that prevents such a scenario from occurring.

Anti-Backsliding in Clean Water Act Amendments of 1987

It was clearly Congress' intent when it added Sections 402(o) and 303(b)(4) to the Clean Water Act that "backsliding" of standards is prohibited. Although, compacts like ORSANCO were not specifically referenced in those amendments, the intent was to make sure that water quality kept improving and NOT deteriorating.

The current PCS proposal is a morbid example of backsliding since many chemicals listed in the ORSANCO PCS are not even considered in many state programs for the slightest regulation or restriction.

Those sections of the Clean Water Act, promulgated as 40 CFR 122.44[1] and 122.62[a], alone should negate any further effort to eliminate ORSANCO's own PCS and, in fact, should be used to always strive to improve those common waters that ORSANCO is chartered to protect.

Recreational Use of the River

Valley Watch formed in 1981 due, in part, to an acquired love of the River by its current president, John Blair. At that time, the River was too often treated as little more than a sewer for the disposal of all kinds of chemical and organic waste from both industries and municipalities. But as private organizations like Valley Watch and public agencies like EPA and ORSANCO became increasingly more protective and vigilant of this wonderful natural resource, additional laws and regulations were designed to improve water quality.

As a result of this private/public partnership, water quality steadily improved to the point that increasing numbers of people are now using the River as a primary source of recreation. The current proposal to eliminate ORSANCO's PCS will not only degrade water quality but will also reduce the Ohio River's use as a recreational venue, including boating, swimming and fishing.

Traveling the length of the River by boat and ground transport, it is evident all along its nearly thousand mile length that people use the River not only for subsistence fishing but also as a place of solace, and party.

Just last week, for instance, more than one hundred extended boating families gathered just north of Dutch Island for a get together called Aquapaloosa. There, about 500 people had fun wading, relaxing on floats, catching up with friends and listening to provided music on their boats and in the shallows of Dutch Island.

The previous week, regional boaters conducted what was called a "Poker Run" which was a fund raising event that collected over \$5,000 for a local charity. Those events will be jeopardized by the elimination of the current PCS from ORSANCO since the absence of those standards will make people fearful of entering the water in order to not get sick.

After the 1937 Great Flood, many River communities not only walled themselves off from the River to protect them from future flooding, they also abandoned the River recreationally for reasons unknown. But the late 20th and early 21st Century has changed all that as Riverside communities has now embraced the River as a destination where people can exercise on its numerous trails or just sit and think or watch a sunrise or sunset unlike any others.

Eliminating water quality standards will have a negative impact on those riverside activities as well due to possible odors or fear of getting contaminated water on their person or especially on their children.

Of course, when that happens, as it surely will, any commerce on the River or at its side will also be reduced, perhaps even to the point that businesses that cater to recreational prospects may be forced out of business. Marinas, Riverside restaurants, museum boats, like Evansville LST 325 are likely to be impacted at the margin if not in total.

Our River is not owned by industry, it is commonwealth

From time to time, Valley Watch has observed a tendency for the River to be considered as nothing more than a conduit to serve the needs of industrial commerce and disposal. Ignored are the beautiful beaches, and sandbars that attract boaters for at least six months of every year. It is more than a staging place for huge barges and towboats to conduct their business.

Yes, numerous ports along the River do encourage commerce on an industrial scale, but for most who love the River, Commerce is a distant consideration that means little or nothing to watching the River flow by whether on it or at its side. Throughout the ages, large populations have been drawn to water and the Ohio River is one of the most important water bodies on Earth.

It acts as a vein in the organ that is our planet. It brings us sustenance, and takes away our waste. Sadly, it has been abused for centuries in a manner that failed to respect its beauty and its magnitude, But just recently, it has begun to gain that respect back. The passage of ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards has played a significant role in altering that paradigm. Individual states are incapable of recognizing its more esoteric values since it is only a "border" to all but Pennsylvania.

Even, EPA treats it as a border separating Regions 3, 4 and 5 to the point that there are no common regulations on one of the most important ecosystems in our country, indeed the world. ORSANCO has filled that void and that was the real purpose ORSANCO was set up to begin with. Congress in 1948 understood the value of treating the entire River as a single ecosystem and authorized ORSANCO to treat the entire Ohio River watershed accordingly.

Expedient, politically motivated efforts to change that should not be allowed at this time or at any time in the future. Unfortunately, we at Valley Watch are convinced that this is a not so veiled effort to allow the River, our River to once again be reduced to being little more than a sewer for the disposal of industry's waste. If that happens, lots of negative policies will certainly follow and real people will be negatively impacted, not only on the Ohio River and its watershed but also the Mississippi River and the Gulf of Mexico, where already an area the size of Rhode Island is considered a "dead zone" due to activities that began on farms and industrial cities in Indiana, and Ohio, etc.

Please do not allow this degradation to happen.

Sincerely,


John Blair
President

Attachment A: Pictures to illustrate points made in our written comments- all photos © BlairPhotoEVV



**Our River
is
Our Recreation**

▼ DO NOT DEGRADE IT ! ▼



A spectacular sunset on an Easter Sunday



The Tiki Time Bar and Grill at Mile 791 in Evansville



Sunset over Henderson and Deadman's Island



The Mississippi Queen heading down River near West Franklin, IN




The Evansville skyline at dusk from a recreational beach



Some municipal sewage at Evansville



A housing development at Mile 791



The confluence of the Green (L) and Ohio Rivers



The confluence of the Ohio River (R) and the Mississippi River (L)

From: griecken77@aol.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:15:09 AM

Dear Sir: Any downgrading or elimination of pollution standards is unnecessary and unpopular. As a former PIACO member, City Councilmember in Evansville and State Representative, I find this direction dangerous. People will suffer as a result.

If ORSANCO is thinking the State will pick up the challenge, it won't happen - it can't. The very reason for the compact was the necessity of states to work together since the river travelled across borders.

Also, you will find Indiana the worst in environmental protection policy.

As an ORSANCO member, I hold you all morally responsible for your decisions.

Gail Riecken
5935 Knight Dr
Evansville, IN. 47715
812-550-2328

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail
Get the new AOL app: mail.mobile.aol.com

From: [Watershed Coordinator](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on ORSANCO's proposed changes to PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:47:18 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

The Banklick Watershed Council would like to provide comments on the proposed changes to ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards (PCS) program. The Banklick Watershed is the largest watershed in Kenton County, KY and a subwatershed of the Licking and Ohio Rivers.

We understand and appreciate ORSANCO's responsibility in determining how to best utilize limited resources, especially when staffing levels are down to 20 from 30 in 1976. At the same time, we understand and appreciate that resources at the state level and EPA are also having to be prioritized, which is why ORSANCO's PCS are as vital as ever.

The Banklick Watershed Council agrees with the concerns expressed in the *Minority Report of Commissioners* regarding the majority's preference for Alternative #2, which would rollback ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards.

We believe there is value in ORSANCO's PCS as they are specific to the main stem of the Ohio River and provide for consistent policies and implementation among the states in the basin. Furthermore, the PCS are not redundant, as there are at least 188 parameters among the states and EPA for which ORSANCO has criteria but the states or EPA does not.

We also believe there is value in ORSANCO being a federally-sanctioned compact that is somewhat insulated from political dynamics of the individual states. As the sole entity overseeing the entire Ohio River Basin watershed, ORSANCO's PCS provide consistency, uniformity, and are uniquely positioned to address needs specific to the Ohio River using sound science and data-driven policy.

The importance of ORSANCO's PCS is highlighted in the summary document (*Review of ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards*) when it cites the *1975 Study of Prospective Water Pollution Control Activities For The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission* with respect to stream standards that recommends:

- *ORSANCO should work with the states in developing consistent stream standards for the main stem and tributaries having significant impacts on the main stem; and in periodic review of the standards. Its interest should be primarily in achieving interstate compatibility and equity.*
- *Each state formulates stream standards for its own purposes and also for submission to EPA. However, the emphasis of each state is necessarily on its particular*

waters both in and out of the Ohio Basin. Since the Ohio is a boundary river, and because there are many other relationships as among the several state segments of the river system, the interstate agency should provide the overall view and the comparative analyses needed for coordination, consistency and equity. ORSANCO's stream models will be found useful.

Where the Banklick Watershed Council may differ with the Minority Report is around keeping with the triennial review process as there may be more efficient and effective use of resources to keep the PCS up to date and at the appropriate level.

Please reconsider Alternative #2 as your preferred direction to changing ORSANCO's PCS. We believe it is too drastic, compromising the health and safety of the communities along the Ohio River.

Respectfully,
Banklick Watershed Council Board

From: [Bowden Quinn](#)
To: [PCS Comments](#)
Cc: [John Blair 1](#); [Richard Hill](#)
Subject: Valley Watch comment letter
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:13:02 PM

In addition to signing on to the comment letter from the Environmental Law and Policy Center, the Sierra Club Hoosier Chapter supports the comments expressed in the letter from John Blair, president of Valley Watch.

--

Bowden Quinn
Director
Sierra Club Hoosier Chapter
1100 W. 42nd Street, Suite 215
Indianapolis, IN 46208
317-822-3750 (office)
317-695-3046 (cell)



Hunter & Hunter_{LLC}

640 Ardleigh Drive
Akron, OH 44303
T: 234.281.2528
F: 330.805.4518
hunterfirm.org

August 20, 2018

Via electronic mail (PCS@orsanco.org)

ORSANCO

Attn: PCS Comments

5735 Kellogg Avenue

Cincinnati, OH 45230

Re: 2018 Review of ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards

Dear Commissioners:

FreshWater Accountability Project ("FreshWater") is alarmed that the Commission has moved forward with its extreme proposal to essentially eliminate ORSANCO's critical role in setting, maintaining, reviewing, and revising Pollution Control Standards ("PCS") for the Ohio River. **This decision is in direct opposition to ORSANCO's duties under the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact (the "Compact"), is not supported by factual analysis, and is nearly certain to result in increased levels of pollution and accumulation of toxins in the Ohio River.**

FreshWater submitted comments during the first round of public review of proposed changes to the PCS on February 24, 2018. These are attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein. FreshWater restates and affirms the claims made therein for purposes of this round of review.

I. ORSANCO HAS NO FACTUAL BASIS TO SUPPORT ITS PROPOSED REVISION TO THE PCS— WHICH RESULTS IN AN ABROGATION OF THE COMMISSION'S DUTIES UNDER THE COMPACT

To support its proposal to essentially eliminate the PCS, ORSANCO has argued the demonstrably false notion that the PCS are redundant to standards adopted under the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), through Federal regulations and Federally-approved State Implementation Plans ("SIPs"). **ORSANCO's own analysis clearly refutes this premise.** Analysis by ORSANCO staff of 661 standards, attached as Exhibit B and incorporated herein, demonstrates that there are 193 parameters for which ORSANCO has criteria that a state or federal agency

does not, and that there are 251 parameters for which federal or state agency criteria is significantly less stringent than that criteria set by ORSANCO. **This analysis shows that for 38% of the standards evaluated, ORSANCO has a significantly more stringent standard than applicable agency standards, and for 30% of the standards evaluated, ORSANCO has a standard where the agencies have none.**

This analysis alone demonstrates that ORSANCO PCS are not redundant to CWA standards as established by Federal and state agencies. Despite signatory states' responsibility to take a cooperative approach through ORSANCO to control and abate pollution in the Ohio River,¹ the majority of Commissioners did not even find it necessary to evaluate the cooperative value ORSANCO was created to uniquely provide. Instead, ORSANCO provided cursory statements concluding that ORSANCO standards are met or exceeded under CWA standards, providing no evidence to support these conclusions, and in fact providing evidence that demonstrates the PCS are not duplicative. Without an analysis regarding ORSANCO's role in the comprehensive addressing of pollution problems impacting the Ohio River, ORSANCO has not completed the factual analysis required to justify the proposed rule change.

One example of the unique protections provided by ORSANCO's current PCS is ORSANCO's ban on mixing zones for bioaccumulative chemicals of concern ("BCC"), which includes any chemical that accumulates in aquatic organisms and has the potential to cause adverse effects as the result of that accumulation.² Chemicals explicitly named in the mixing zone ban include: Lindane, Hexachlorocyclohexane, alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane, beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane, delta-Hexachlorocyclohexane, Hexachlorobutadiene, Photomirex, 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene, Toxaphene, Pentachlorobenzene, 1,2,3,4-Tetrachlorobenzene, Mirex, Hexachlorobenzene, Chlordane, DDD, DDT, DDE, Octachlorostyrene, PCBs, 2,3,7,8-TCDD, Mercury, and Dieldrin.³

ORSANCO's BCC mixing zone ban is critical to preventing the accumulation of BCCs in the Ohio River because it decreases the amount of bioaccumulative toxins that can be permitted to enter the river. No equivalent ban exists through U.S. EPA agency regulation, and ORSANCO's review of state agency regulation demonstrates that multiple signatory states have no such ban absent the ORSANCO PCS.⁴

Thus, ORSANCO's primary justification for its proposed revision is not supported by factual analysis, and what factual analysis ORSANCO has conducted on the matter suggests the PCS provide hundreds of numeric standards and policies that are not replicated anywhere by CWA standards and policies implemented by state and Federal law.

¹ See Preamble, Article I, VI, VIII, OHIO RIVER VALLEY WATER SANITATION COMPACT (June 30, 1948).

² Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission, Pollution Control Standards (2015), Chapter 4: Mixing Zone Designation, F.4.

³ Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission, Pollution Control Standards (2015), Chapter 4: Mixing Zone Designation, F.6.

⁴ See Implementation of ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards, "Alternative 2" Expanded: "Clean Water Act Alternative to ORSANCO PCS Rule."

II. THE MAGNITUDE OF THE PROPOSED CHANGES AND THE OVERWHELMING PUBLIC OUTCRY AGAINST THE PROPOSAL WARRANT ADDITIONAL HEARINGS IF THE PROPOSAL IS GOING TO BE PURSUED

FreshWater wishes to remind the Commissioners that the Ohio River serves as the drinking water source for approximately 5 million people and that the River continues to face threats by known and emerging contaminants that threaten public health. See e.g. Robert L. Herrick, et al. *Polyfluoroalkyl substance exposure in the Mid-Ohio River Valley, 1991-2012*, 228 ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION 50 (2017) (concluding “Mid-Ohio River Valley residents appear to have PFOA, but not other PFAS, serum concentrations above US population levels. **Drinking water from the Ohio River and Ohio River Aquifer, primarily contaminated by industrial discharges 209–666 km upstream, is likely the primary exposure source.** GAC treatment of drinking water mitigates, but does not eliminate, PFOA exposure.”) (attached and incorporated herein as Exhibit C). ORSANCO’s proposed revisions to the PCS would result in the Commission abandoning its duty to control and abate pollution in this important drinking water source using the comprehensive cooperative approach that can only be achieved by this unique interstate agency.

ORSANCO has held *one* public hearing regarding the proposed revision to the PCS, which occurred July 26, 2018 at the Cincinnati Airport in Erlanger, Kentucky. *One* public hearing on a matter that will impact the drinking water source for 5 million people in six different states. **Comments provided at this well-attended hearing were uniformly in support of maintaining or expanding the PCS.**⁵ Likewise, 97% of comments received during the proposal’s first round of review, and *all* comments received from municipal water suppliers, the general public, and environmental advocacy groups, supported maintaining or expanding the PCS.⁷

ORSANCO’s proposal would remove hundreds of standards for which no equivalent standard exists in state or Federal law, and it would significantly reduce hundreds of standards. A change in drinking water source protection of this magnitude should include public hearings throughout the affected area. **If ORSANCO does not abandon this proposal, as it should, ORSANCO should hold public hearings along the Ohio River in order to provide impacted users the opportunity to voice their concerns. These hearings should, at minimum, cover both the upper and lower basins of the Ohio River.** Likewise, the public comment period should be re-noticed and extended to conclude after ORSANCO has held these public hearings.

⁵ (Emphasis added).

⁶ Transcript, Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission Public Hearing, Holiday Inn, Cincinnati Airport, 1717 Airport Exchange Boulevard, Erlanger, Kentucky July 26, 2018, available at <http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Public-Hearing-Transcript-July-26-2018.pdf>

⁷ During the first round of public comment, only comments submitted by or on behalf of polluting industries supported the current proposal (which made up only 3% of comments received during the first round of review). **There was no testimony presented at the public hearing in support of the current proposal.**

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, FreshWater urges ORSANCO to abandon its proposed changes to its Pollution Control Standards. ORSANCO should maintain the PCS—which are essential to maintaining and advancing the goals of the Compact and to protecting the Ohio River.

Respectfully submitted,



Megan M. Hunter, Esq.
Hunter & Hunter LLC
640 Ardleigh Dr.
Akron, OH 44303
Phone (234)281-2528
Email: megan@hunterfirm.org

Exhibit A



Hunter & Hunter^{LLC}

640 Ardleigh Drive
Akron, OH 44303
T: 234.281.2528
F: 330.805.4518
hunterfirm.org

February 24, 2018

Via electronic mail (PCS@orsanco.org)

ORSANCO

Attn: PCS Comments

5735 Kellogg Avenue

Cincinnati, OH 45230

Re: 2018 Review of ORANSCO Pollution Control Standards

Dear Commissioners:

On behalf of FreshWater Accountability Project, we are submitting comments on the radical changes Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission ("ORSANCO") is considering regarding its Pollution Control Standards ("PCS"). FreshWater Accountability Project ("FreshWater") is a nonprofit organization with a mission to preserve and protect freshwater supplies through education and community action, and is dedicated to promoting health and wellbeing by protecting the environment. FreshWater Accountability Project has members located throughout the state of Ohio, including members along the Ohio River and throughout the Ohio River Basin.

Introduction

During this year's review of its Pollution Control Standards, ORSANCO is debating the extreme measure of essentially eliminating the Pollution Control Standards. ORSANCO has presented the public with five proposed alternatives to its current approach to its Pollution Control Standards. Two of these (Alternatives #1 and #2) eliminate the Pollution Control Standards, either directly or in effect. In its request for public comment, ORSANCO states that Alternative #2 is preferred by the majority of the Commissioners. In addition to brief descriptions of each of the five alternatives, the

request for public comments included supplemental documents providing more detail on Alternative #2 and a mock-up of revised standards based on Alternative #2. ORANSCO provided no comparable detailed documentation or mock-up for the other alternatives.

For reasons explained more fully in the following sections of this comment, FreshWater is deeply concerned that ORSANCO is considering abrogating its responsibilities under the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact (the “Compact”) in this way.

FreshWater commends the Minority Report of Commissioners and wishes to echo and affirm the statements made therein. FreshWater also supports the comments submitted by the Water Users Advisory Committee, the Watershed Organizations Advisory Committee, the Public Information Advisory Committee, and the majority of comments offered by the Technical Committee. Lastly, FreshWater cautions against letting comments submitted by the Chemical Industry Advisory Committee and the Power Industry Advisory Committee dictate the future of standards critical for the protection and restoration of the Ohio River.

I. Ceasing to Maintain Pollution Control Standards is an Abrogation of ORSANCO’s Responsibilities Under the Compact

The expanded Analysis of Alternative #2 provided in the request for public comment relies heavily on the idea that ORSANCO’s pollution control standards are not necessary to carry out ORSANCO’s responsibilities under the Compact because states and the U.S. EPA are satisfying the requirements of this Compact. However, the premise behind Alternative #2 is more accurately articulated as ORSANCO abrogating its responsibilities under the Compact at a time when the Ohio River continues to need the clarity and support ORSANCO’s enforceable Pollution Control Standards provide.

A. The Existence of Federal and State Regulations is not Sufficient to Meet the Duties of ORSANCO under the Compact

While the Ohio River has improved in water quality since the making of the Compact, it remains the most contaminated river in the United States, and it provides drinking water for 5 million people. The preamble of the Compact states as follows:

The control of future pollution and the abatement of existing pollution in the waters of [the Ohio River] basin are of prime importance to the people thereof, and can best

be accomplished through the cooperation of the States situated therein, by and through a joint or common agency

This statement focuses on the need for Ohio River states to form an entity in order to best govern the Ohio River as a whole. It does not state that the federal government is the best entity to address the needs of the Ohio River. It also does not propose that states, independently, in implementing federally devised criteria, are in the best position to address the pollution facing the Ohio River. The fact that the Compact predates the existence of the Clean Water Act does not somehow make the needs and purposes it identifies less real.

The current leader of the United States' executive branch is an individual who vowed to eliminate the U.S. EPA once he took office, and since that time his administration has systematically worked to eliminate or reduce environmental protections. It is reprehensible that, in a time marked by dogged environmental deregulation by the federal government, a majority of ORSANCO Commissioners would argue that federal environmental regulations are adequate to meet ORSANCO's responsibilities. This is even more troublesome given the current political commitment to natural gas and petrochemical production expansion in the Ohio River basin at both the state and federal level.

The signatory states in and of themselves lack the regulatory authority necessary to carry out the stated goals of the compact. These states also differ dramatically in their approach to environmental regulation, including their respective implementations of the Clean Water Act through their approved state implementation plans. These states also differ dramatically in how well they regulate current and emerging threats to the Ohio River.

By way of example, a majority of FreshWater members reside in Ohio, where they live under a weak regulatory framework that daily fails to protect them from the pollution stemming from natural gas development and its associated waste disposal operations. Under Ohio law, the Ohio Department of Natural Resources ("ODNR") has sole and exclusive jurisdiction over most aspects of natural gas development and oil and gas waste disposal, a power it wields with little regard or opportunity for public oversight. The agency also lacks the environmental expertise and resources to monitor, assess, and address environmental problems rampant in this industry. Notably, ODNR is also not the agency in Ohio responsible for implementing the Clean Water Act.

Ohio's regulation of oil and gas waste disposal is one pervasive example of the problems associated with the state's weak regulatory framework for the natural gas industry that poses a threat to the health of the Ohio River. Wastes associated with hydraulic fracturing are known to be radioactive to varying degrees. Ohio accepts large amounts of these wastes, originating in Ohio and in other ORSANCO signatory states, for processing and disposal. These wastes typically consist of a mix of solids, liquids, and slurries that are transported to surface facilities where the waste is further processed, disposed of, or transferred to landfills for ultimate disposal. The processing at such facilities often includes the practice of "downblending," where radioactive waste is blended with other substances for disposal in landfills. Leachate from these landfills then goes to POTWs or is directly discharged into waterways under NPDES permits that lack standards for Ra-226 and Ra-228 or other radionuclides. Studies have found radioactivity to be a problem in leachate from landfills accepting oil and gas waste. Disposal of waste associated with oil and gas extraction into streams has also led to significant radioactivity accumulation near discharge sites.

Despite the immense associated environmental concerns, including known risks regarding radioactivity, oil and gas waste facilities in Ohio are regulated ad hoc through Chief's Orders issued by ODNR without guiding regulations in place. Environmental violations by the facilities are also addressed ad hoc through Chief's Orders issued by ODNR. A review of Chief's Orders for all such facilities in the state of Ohio demonstrates that these facilities are regularly violating the environmental provisions of their orders with little repercussion, and certainly without Ohio implementing any prescriptive regulations to protect the state's water resources from this type of waste. Notably, the majority of Ohio's oil and gas waste facilities are located within the Ohio River basin, with an increasing amount of them in close proximity to the River itself.

Ohio also permits the spreading of liquid waste ("brine") from oil and gas operations on roads within the Ohio River basin as a de-icer and for dust control with little processing prior to disposal in this manner. This is done without adequate testing for radioactivity prior to the substance being released on roadways. What minimal testing has been done on the brine being spread on Ohio roads shows the substance is laden with chemicals known to be harmful to human health and the environment, including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, and other Volatile Organic Compounds, as well as numerous metals. Disposing of oil and gas waste in this way is an example of yet another under-

regulated pathway through which the Ohio River is experiencing an onslaught of additional contaminants from the natural gas industry.

The issue of Ohio's oil and gas waste disposal regulations are but one example of the way states are failing the public and the Ohio River by not issuing adequate regulations. Such examples also exist for other signatory states including, at least, Kentucky, West Virginia, and Pennsylvania, as well as for industries other than oil and gas waste disposal. This inadequate regulation in no way satisfies the goals of the Compact or ORSANCO's duties under the Compact. As such, Alternatives #1 and #2, or any alternative eliminating or reducing ORSANCO's role in setting, maintaining, implementing, enforcing, and revising the Pollution Control Standards is an abrogation of ORSANCO's responsibilities under the Compact.

B. ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards Include Criteria for Parameters Not Addressed in Federal and State Standards and Act to Implement State and Federal Standards in a coherent manner to protect the Ohio River as a Whole

As stated in the Commission's Minority Report, ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards include criteria for 188 parameters for which the states or U.S. EPA have no such criteria. This alone should defeat any notion that ORSANCO standards are redundant to standards established by the U.S. EPA and signatory states' through their approved implementation programs under the federal Clean Water Act. Furthermore, and as also stated in the Commission's Minority Report, "the presence of an individual parameter in an approved state program under the Clean Water Act, or the presence of a mixing zone or antidegradation policy in an approved state program, does not assure that *implementation* of that standard or policy is being undertaken in a manner that is coherent among the states in the basin, or is sufficiently protective of the Ohio River downstream of the state in question."

These points are particularly salient when considering the impact of ORSANCO's criteria on the drinking water of 5 million people. In its comments on the PCS Review, the Water Users Advisory Committee, gives several examples of how ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards protect drinking water in ways that state and federal regulations have not. One example is ORSANCO's ammonia criterion that was specifically designed to protect the public's drinking water from ammonia levels in source water that would compromise utilities' disinfection processes. ORSANCO's implementation of this criterion gave the public adequate protection for its sourcewater

to ensure drinking water was not compromised. Other ORSANCO criteria essential for protecting public drinking water, that are not otherwise adequately addressed in state or federal criteria, include E. coli; Fecal Coliform; temperature; combined radium-226 and radium-228; gross total alpha; total gross beta; total gross strontium-90; and silver.

These ORSANCO criteria are directly relevant to the risks posed by the continued and proposed growth of industries associated with natural gas extraction, production, transport, and waste disposal, including petrochemical production in the Ohio River basin. Much of this development exists or is proposed along, on, and even under the Ohio River itself. Radium-226 and radium-228 are known constituents of concern in flowback and wastes from the Marcellus and Utica shale. Despite this fact, there is simply no set of regulations at the state or federal level providing comprehensive protection from radioactive contaminants entering public drinking source water.

Headlines across the U.S. have made the public more aware that public drinking water systems are not miracle workers, that source water matters. Drinking water utilities can only do so much to address the contamination of their source water, and what they can do has real costs, costs that are often borne by the individuals, families, businesses, and institutions paying the water bills. ORSANCO has a critical role to play in drinking source water protection, a role that is currently not being filled by state or federal regulations. Because of its basin-wide jurisdiction, ORSANCO is uniquely positioned to address these grave concerns facing the Ohio River and the people who rely on it. ORSANCO should be using this unique position to carry out its duties under the Compact instead of proposing to neglect its responsibility in the face of immediate threats to the river's health.

C. The three-year review requirement provides the agility necessary to address current and developing threats to the Ohio River based on the most up-to-date science

The three-year review requirement for the Pollution Control Standards allows ORSANCO to adopt and implement criteria needed to protect the Ohio River and address immediate concerns more rapidly than may be possible for federal and state agencies. This agility is critical to respond to the actual needs of the River. The three-year review requirement is not superfluous, but rather one of the qualities that gives ORSANCO the potential to provide for the effective regulation of real threats when federal and state agencies lack the agility to respond in a timely fashion.

D. The make-up of ORSANCO works to ensure the Pollution Control Standards are set based on the needs of the Ohio River instead of the interests of Polluters

ORSANCO's unique jurisdiction and specific commitment to a water body should work to insulate ORSANCO from both local and national politics in a way that allows the Commission to set the standards that the Ohio River needs, not the standards purchased by moneyed interests.

Unfortunately, that the majority of Commissioner's desire to strip ORSANCO of its role in maintaining, administering, and periodically updating its Pollution Control Standards suggests that a large part of the Commission is not immune from such lobbying efforts by polluters. Indeed, the undue weight given to comments made by the Chemical Industry Advisory Committee and the Power Industry Advisory Committee indicate that the Commission is at risk of prioritizing industrial bottom lines over the drinking water source of over 5 million people and a valuable ecological system.

Comments submitted by the Chemical Industry Advisory Committee suggest ORSANCO is better suited to assessing water quality and engaging in spill detection and response. In other words, they suggest ORSANCO should continue the hard, resource-intensive work of understanding the river's problems, while rendering itself impotent to address those problems. This advisory group also states ORSANCO should be ready to clean up industries' messes, like the MCHM spill, when they occur and directly harm people's drinking water and ecological systems. This reading of ORSANCO's duties is in no way in alignment with the purpose and stated goals of the Compact.

Comments submitted by the Water Users Advisory Committee, the Watershed Organizations Advisory Committee, the Public Information Advisory Committee, the majority of comments offered by the Technical Committee, and multiple comments from the POTW Advisory Committee, all recognize the importance of ORSANCO's leadership role in setting, maintaining, and implementing its Pollution Control Standards. These groups represent the public in a way that the specificity of the industry groups do not. The majority of comments submitted by advisory committees state that it is undoubtedly in the public's interest for ORSANCO to continue its Pollution Control Standards, and that Alternative #2 is not acceptable.

ORSANCO should not relinquish its responsibilities under the Compact by reducing the Pollution Control Standards because industry has unpersuasively recommended they do so. Instead, ORSANCO should assume its role as a lead regulator for the Ohio River, just as it is asked to do by its originating document.

II. **Of the Alternatives Presented for Consideration, Alternative #4 Best Fulfills ORSANCO's Responsibilities under the Compact**

Alternative #4 addresses various concerns raised regarding effort duplication and regulatory inconsistencies by giving ORSANCO a leadership role in ensuring state regulations and implementation of standards are part of a coherent regulatory effort for the wellbeing of the Ohio River. This alternative reasonably addresses real concerns about the interaction of the Pollution Control Standards with state regulations and implementation, without abandoning ORSANCO's responsibilities as an entity uniquely charged with controlling and abating pollution of the Ohio River at large.

In addition, as stated by the Public Information Advisory Committee, Alternative #4 also increases ORSANCO's fundraising abilities. If a primary driver in eliminating the Pollution Control Standards is to better direct ORSANCO's limited resources, the elimination of the Pollution Control Standards will only work to further limit resources, giving ORSANCO even less ability to carry out its responsibilities under the Compact. ORSANCO (and, in turn, the Ohio River) is best served by ORSANCO assuming the leadership role presented in Alternative #4, which would make ORSANCO an entity worth funding.

It is also worth noting that the maintenance of existing expenditures of \$200,000 per year to support Technical and Management Staff in order to implement Alternative #4 is also the cost of having these good jobs within the Ohio River Basin and not simply some burdensome expenditure. Likewise, reductions in these budgets also result in reductions in quality employment.

III. **ORSANCO's Call for Public Comment Did Not Provide Information Sufficient for Interested Parties to Evaluate the Alternatives Presented and Unreasonably Dissuaded Public Input**

In its call for public comment, the information presented to the public on the proposed alternatives is skewed in favor of Alternative #2. Despite asking the public for input on

all aspects of the standards and on the five alternatives proposed for the future of the Pollution Control Standards, ORSANCO provides no expanded analysis for the other alternatives nor any mockup of the rules for these alternatives. Thus, the public is left with limited information on the other alternatives to use in making comments. Further, ORSANCO's statement regarding a majority of commissioners' preference for Alternative #2 serves to dissuade the public from meaningfully participating in the public comment process and demonstrates ORSANCO's willingness to serve industrial interests over carrying out its responsibilities under the Compact.

The notice contains little to no information on the cost of each alternative and the impact of each alternative on various grants available to ORSANCO. Likewise, the information available to the public provides no side by side analyses of the vast network of laws the public is being asked to compare. ORSANCO should make this information easily accessible to the public in order for the public to be able to more specifically comment on the alternatives presented.

Conclusion

The preamble of the Compact states:

[T]he growth in industrial activity within [the Ohio River basin], [has] resulted in recent years in an increasingly serious pollution of the waters and streams within the said drainage basin, constituting a grave menace to the health, welfare and recreational facilities of the people living in such basin, and occasioning great economic loss

While the Ohio River has improved in many ways since 1948, this statement remains very true. The Ohio River is still in need of a coordinated effort to protect its many uses, and ORANSCO is charged with leading that effort. FreshWater urges the Commission to embrace its unique position in governing the Ohio River and to work with states in a leadership role in protecting this invaluable fresh water resource. FreshWater urges ORSANCO to continue to maintain, implement, and revise its Pollution Control Standards. If one of the alternatives presented is to be selected, FreshWater strongly advocates for Alternative 4.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Megan M. Hunter', written in a cursive style.

Megan M. Hunter (0096035)
Hunter & Hunter LLC
640 Ardleigh Dr.
Akron, OH 44303
Telephone: 234-281-2528
Facsimile: 330-805-4518
megan@hunterfirm.org

Attorney for Fresh Water Accountability Project

Exhibit B

Comparison of Minimum Water Quality Criteria vs ORSANCO's 122 Minimum Criteria (12/3/2015)

State/USEPA	Total Number of Parameters Regulated by the State Agency or Recommended by the USEPA	No. of Parameters for Which ORSANCO has criteria but the Agency does not	No. of Parameters for which the Agency has Criteria but ORSANCO does not	No. of Parameters for Which the Agencies' Criteria are Less Stringent Than ORSANCO (more than 10% difference)	No. of Parameters for Which the Agencies' and ORSANCO's Criteria are Equivalent (less than 10% Difference)	No. of Parameters for Which the Agencies' Criteria are More Stringent than ORSANCO (more than 10% difference)	Number of Standards Compared
Illinois	238	44	160	38	5	35	78
Indiana	90	50	18	53	10	9	72
Kentucky	137	13	28	10	94	5	109
Ohio	149	17	44	87	13	5	105
Pennsylvania	140	20	38	7	73	22	102
West Virginia	95	38	11	33	38	11	84
USEPA	154	11	43	23	17	67	111
Totals	1003	193	342	251	250	154	661

Key Assumptions/Factors Utilized in Preparation of Comparison

- 1) The most stringent criterion for any parameter is utilized for this comparison regardless of differences in the intended protected use.
- 2) The comparison removes the separation of standards by criteria type: eg. "Human Health" and "Aquatic Life".
- 3) Comparison shows where ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards require discharge permits on the Ohio River to be more stringent than the state would allow on other bodies of water.
- 4) Some parameters are not included in this comparison. These pollutants include Aesthetics, Ammonia, Bacteria, Boron, Color, Dioclyphtalate, Total Dissolved Gases, Hardness, Nitrosamines, Nutrients.
- 5) Parameters included in this summary are taken from the individual State Agency's Website and do not reflect individual State's inclusion of ORSANCO Standards through other means such as adoption of ORSANCO standards by reference, through individual permit issuance or other means.
- 6) There may be other assumptions/combinations/arrangements that staff is not aware of at this time.

Tiers of ORSANCO PCS vs USEPA and Mainstem States Water Quality Standards

Human Health (Waste and Organism)

Test	Pollutants between	Shared Pollutants	Merical Criteria	Diffrent Criteria
ORSANCO vs USEPA	125	52	7	45
ORSANCO vs Illinois	65	40	3	37
ORSANCO vs Indiana	88	24	0	24
ORSANCO vs Kentucky	110	52	7	45
ORSANCO vs Ohio	189	52	0	52
ORSANCO vs Pennsylvania	115	31	47	4
ORSANCO vs West Virginia	104	39	13	26

Human Health (Waste Only)

Test	Pollutants between	Shared Pollutants	Merical Criteria	Diffrent Criteria
ORSANCO vs USEPA**	122	42	2	40
ORSANCO vs Illinois	72	10	0	10
ORSANCO vs Indiana	110	26	3	23
ORSANCO vs Kentucky	130	47	44	3
ORSANCO vs Ohio	155	47	7	40
ORSANCO vs Pennsylvania**	54	0	0	0
ORSANCO vs West Virginia**	38	29	1	28

** USEPA and West Virginia are "Organism Only"
** Pennsylvania does not have two types of human health criteria

Aquatic Life Chronic

Test	Pollutants between	Shared Pollutants	Merical Criteria	Diffrent Criteria
ORSANCO vs USEPA	98	11	3	8
ORSANCO vs Illinois	221	0	0	0
ORSANCO vs Indiana	31	2	1	4
ORSANCO vs Kentucky	99	11	4	7
ORSANCO vs Ohio	14	0	0	0
ORSANCO vs Pennsylvania	105	11	4	7
ORSANCO vs West Virginia	32	11	3	6

Aquatic Life Acute

Test	Pollutants between	Shared Pollutants	Merical Criteria	Diffrent Criteria
ORSANCO vs USEPA	98	11	3	8
ORSANCO vs Illinois	222	0	0	0
ORSANCO vs Indiana	32	2	1	4
ORSANCO vs Kentucky	99	11	4	7
ORSANCO vs Ohio	13	0	0	0
ORSANCO vs Pennsylvania	109	11	3	8
ORSANCO vs West Virginia	36	12	4	8

Notes:
1. Ohio Aquatic Life Criteria not available
2. Narrative Criteria (3 of 2314 total standard) not yet analyzed

Number of Criteria of Each Type

State	Agency	AL Acute	AL Chronic	HH Water and Organism	HH Water only	Organism only	Other Organoleptic	Total
ORSANCO	ORSANCO	14	14	57	54		4	143
USEPA	USEPA	43	46	121		111	12	333
Illinois	USEPA	215	213	50				438
Indiana	IDEM	28	26	65	82			201
Kentucky	KYDEP	32	36	105	123			296
Ohio	OH EPA			104	148			252
Pennsylvania	PADEP	306	305	309				920
West Virginia	WVDEP	40	32	92		78	20	172
								2314

Number of Pollutants Regulated

Agency	CAR#
ORSANCO	122
USEPA	324
IL EPA	238
IDEM	90
KYDEP	137
OH EPA	149
PADEP	340
WVDEP	92

Unassessd Pollutants

Pollutant	# of Agencies	Reason
Asbestos Crystals	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Arsenic	3	Narrative or seasonally variable
Bacteria	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Boron	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Calcium	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Electrolytes	2	"N/A"
Lead, Total Dissolved	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Mercury	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Nitrate	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Nitrite	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Phosphate	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Oil and Grease	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Organic Chlorides	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Phosphorus and Inorganic	2	Narrative or seasonally variable
pH	2	Narrative or seasonally variable
Salinity Dissolved Chloride	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Sulfide Dissolved/Total Sulfide	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Temperature	1	Narrative or seasonally variable
Turbidity	6	Narrative or seasonally variable

Exhibit C



Polyfluoroalkyl substance exposure in the Mid-Ohio River Valley, 1991–2012[☆]



Robert L. Herrick^a, Jeanette Buckholz^a, Frank M. Biro^b, Antonia M. Calafat^c, Xiaoyun Ye^c, Changchun Xie^a, Susan M. Pinney^{a,*}

^a University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Department of Environmental Health, Cincinnati, OH, United States

^b Division of Adolescent Medicine, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, United States

^c Division of Laboratory Sciences, National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 January 2017

Received in revised form

25 April 2017

Accepted 29 April 2017

Keywords:

Biomonitoring

Drinking water contaminants

Granular activated carbon (GAC)

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)

Pharmacokinetic modeling

ABSTRACT

Background: Industrial discharges of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) to the Ohio River, contaminating water systems near Parkersburg, WV, were previously associated with nearby residents' serum PFOA concentrations above US general population medians. Ohio River PFOA concentrations downstream are elevated, suggesting Mid-Ohio River Valley residents are exposed through drinking water.

Objectives: Quantify PFOA and 10 other per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in Mid-Ohio River Valley resident sera collected between 1991 and 2013 and determine whether the Ohio River and Ohio River Aquifer are exposure sources.

Methods: We measured eleven PFAS in 1608 sera from 931 participants. Serum PFOA concentration and water source associations were assessed using linear mixed-effects models. We estimated between-sample serum PFOA using one-compartment pharmacokinetics for participants with multiple samples. **Results:** In serum samples collected as early as 1991, PFOA (median = 7.6 ng/mL) was detected in 99.9% of sera; 47% had concentrations greater than US population 95th percentiles. Five other PFAS were detected in greater than 82% of samples; median other PFAS concentrations were similar to the US general population. Serum PFOA was significantly associated with water source, sampling year, age at sampling, tap water consumption, pregnancy, gravidity and breastfeeding. Serum PFOA was 40–60% lower with granular activated carbon (GAC) use. Repeated measurements and pharmacokinetics suggest serum PFOA peaked 2000–2006 for participants using water without GAC treatment; where GAC was used, serum PFOA concentrations decreased from 1991 to 2012.

Conclusions: Mid-Ohio River Valley residents appear to have PFOA, but not other PFAS, serum concentrations above US population levels. Drinking water from the Ohio River and Ohio River Aquifer, primarily contaminated by industrial discharges 209–666 km upstream, is likely the primary exposure source. GAC treatment of drinking water mitigates, but does not eliminate, PFOA exposure.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Polyfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are industrial chemicals used for stain-, stick- and water-resistant coatings, food contact papers, firefighting foams, metal plating, semiconductors and photolithographics/photolithographics (Buck et al., 2011; Lau et al., 2007). Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and

perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) are the most widely studied PFAS. 2610–21400 tons of carboxyl PFAS were emitted to the environment 1951–2015; up to 6420 more will be emitted by 2030 (Wang et al., 2014). US PFAS manufacturers reduced PFOA emissions 91–100% by 2014 (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2015a), but production continues internationally.

Since 1999–2000, US population serum PFAS concentrations, measured by the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), have steadily decreased; median 2011–2012 concentrations were 2.08 ng/mL (PFOA) and 6.53 ng/mL (PFOS) (CDC, 2015). Food, drinking water and house dust are major exposure sources (D'Hollander et al., 2010). Children are also exposed during

[☆] This paper has been recommended for acceptance by Prof. von Hippel Frank A.

* Corresponding author. 208 Kettering Laboratory, 160 Panzeca Way, Cincinnati, OH 45269-0056, United States.

E-mail address: pinneysn@ucmail.uc.edu (S.M. Pinney).

pregnancy and through breastfeeding (Liu et al., 2011). Industrial PFAS discharges can contaminate municipal source waters (Holzer et al., 2008), and contaminated water may be the most important environmental PFAS exposure source (Ericson et al., 2008).

Mid-Ohio River Valley (Huntington, WV to Louisville, KY, Fig. 1) residents may be exposed to PFAS, especially PFOA, through contaminated drinking water from the Ohio River or Ohio River Aquifer. Serum PFOA concentrations above NHANES medians were reported in the C-8 Health Project cohort in Parkersburg, WV (Emmett et al., 2006), and two Cincinnati cohorts, the Breast Cancer and the Environment Research Project (BCERP) Puberty Study (Pinney et al., 2014), and the Health Outcomes and Measures of the Environment (HOME) study (Kato et al., 2014; Braun et al., 2016). Serum PFOA concentrations were significantly associated with water utility (Pinney et al., 2014) or Ohio River Aquifer use (Emmett et al., 2006). The Ohio River Aquifer in the C-8 study area was contaminated by industrial PFOA discharges to the Ohio River (Paustenbach et al., 2007). September 2009 downstream Ohio River PFOA concentrations were 9.2–19.1 ng/L; historical PFOA concentrations were higher (Paustenbach et al., 2007). PFAS exposure may be reduced by granular activated carbon filtration (GAC). Some Ohio River Valley utilities use GAC, and GAC has reduced PFOA concentrations in other municipal water systems (Rahman et al., 2014). GAC use was associated with lower resident serum PFOA concentrations, although some were still above US general population medians (Pinney et al., 2014; Bartell et al., 2010).

To assess exposure to PFAS in Mid-Ohio River Valley residents, we measured the concentrations of 11 different PFAS in sera collected between 1991 and 2013. PFOA concentrations between

serum measurements were estimated for participants with multiple serum samples using pharmacokinetic models. We evaluated PFOA and water source associations using mixed-effects statistical models to determine whether the Ohio River and Ohio River Aquifer are significant PFOA exposure sources for residents of the Mid-Ohio River Valley.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study population

This analysis included participants from the Fernald Community Cohort (FCC), Ohio River Valley (ORV) Study and BCERP Puberty Study Cincinnati site cohort. FCC adult participants were recruited from residents living less than 8 km from a uranium plant 32 km northwest of Cincinnati, primarily between 1990 and 1994; follow-up continued through 2008. Eligibility, design and participant characteristics were described previously (Wones et al., 2009; FCC website). Cohort members were eligible for inclusion in this study if they lived in zip codes bordering the Ohio River from Gallipolis, OH to southeast Indiana sometime between 1980 and 2008 (Fig. 1). An additional 20 members of the remaining FCC cohort were included because they had nuclear family members living between Parkersburg, WV and Cincinnati, OH during those years. A total of 450 FCC cohort members were contacted in 2011–2014 for additional residential history and beverage consumption data collection.

We recruited ORV participants between 2009 and 2012 from medical practices in Huntington, Portsmouth, OH, and Cincinnati suburbs; some family members of BCERP participants were




Fig. 1. The Ohio River Valley.

included. Participants were eligible if they had lived in the area for 2 or more years and were aged 2–85 years. ORV participants were not followed-up. BCERP participants were recruited as girls aged 6–8 years from Greater Cincinnati schools and Breast Cancer Registry of Greater Cincinnati participant families between 2004 and 2007. Follow-up continued until 2015. Study design and participant characteristics were previously described (Hiro et al., 2010). Institutional review boards (IRB) at the University of Cincinnati and Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center approved study protocols. Participant/parent consent and child assent were obtained. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) IRB approved the analysis of serum specimens with no personal identifiers for BCERP. For FCC and ORV, CDC laboratory involvement did not constitute engagement in human subjects research because samples were completely de-identified.

2.2. Serum samples and analysis

We obtained serum at baseline, second and/or final medical exam from FCC participants (1991–2008), at recruitment for ORV participants (2009–2012), and annually for BCERP participants (2005–2013) using protocols and materials provided by CDC. Sera were stored at -80°C until analysis. All available samples for FCC and ORV participants were analyzed. All BCERP participants with blood samples had baseline samples measured. Subsequent samples from BCERP participants were analyzed if available.

CDC staff measured serum PFAS concentrations at various times between 2005 and 2014 using published methods (Kato et al., 2011; Kulkarni et al., 2005). CDC measured 2-(*N*-ethyl-perfluorooctane sulfonamido) acetic acid (Et-PFOSA-AcOH or EtFOSAA), 2-(*N*-methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamido) acetic acid (Me-PFOSA-AcOH or MeFOSAA), perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS) and perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) in all samples. CDC measured total PFOA and total PFOS in ORV, FCC and baseline BCERP samples. Linear (*n*-PFOA) and non-linear (branched) PFOA and linear (*n*-PFOS), methyl (Σ_2 -PFOS) and dimethyl (Σ_2 -PFOS) PFOS isomer concentrations were reported separately for non-baseline BCERP samples (collected 2006–2013); we calculated total PFOA and PFOS concentrations as the sums of their respective linear and branched isomers. Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDeA) was not measured in the first 82 BCERP samples. Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS), perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA), perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUA) and perfluorooctane sulfonamide (PFOA or FOSAA) were not measured in all samples because more than 80% of results were below limits of detection (LOD) in initial analyses.

2.3. Covariates

Questionnaires, including demographics, beverage consumption, residential, medical and reproductive histories, were administered annually to adult participants and parents of minors (BCERP and FCC), or at recruitment (ORV). FCC participants answered additional residential history and beverage consumption questions when recontacted. Participants used glassware or life-size illustrations to estimate beverage consumption. FCC participants received medical exams every two (1997–2008) or three years (1990–1996). We collected anthropometrics at recruitment for ORV participants. Physical exams were administered semi-annually to BCERP participants until 2010, then annually. Calibrated stadiometers and scales were used for anthropometrics. We obtained participants' residential histories from 1980 (for those born before 1980) or birth (for those born after) by interview (BCERP, ORV) or mailed questionnaire (FCC). We identified water utilities, sources, service areas, service dates and GAC use from 1980 to 2012 through utility and public record searches, resulting in 221 water source-

time zones, served by 114 utilities, utilizing 23 water sources (Pinney et al., 2014). Water sources, durations and GAC treatment were identified for each residential address of each participant.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted with SAS v9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary NC). PFAS concentrations were non-normal (skewness: 2.29–9.44) and log-transformed for analyses; LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$ was imputed for values below LOD (Hornung and Reed, 1990). We calculated sample-weighted Pearson correlations and descriptive statistics for PFAS and water sources, and then estimated serum PFOA-covariate associations using two linear mixed-effects models with random subject effects (PROC MIXED).

Model 1 categorized participants' water source at sample collection in eight groups: Ohio River in Huntington, Ashland/Ironton/Portsmouth, Northern Kentucky and Cincinnati, Ohio River Aquifer between Huntington-Cincinnati and Cincinnati-Louisville, the Great Miami Aquifer and all other water sources. Model 2 used participants' cumulative years the Ohio River, with and without GAC, and Ohio River Aquifer were municipal water sources. Sample collection year, sex, age at sample collection, daily tap water consumption, bottled water use, water filter use, pregnancy status, reproductive history and breastfeeding were covariates. Race/ethnicity was not included in analyses because 93% of non-Caucasians used the same water utility. Water source was always included in regression models; other covariates were retained if significant or caused a 15% or greater change in water source effect estimates (backwards/stepwise elimination). Linear regression analyses for other PFAS with 80% or more results above the LOD were conducted using significant terms from PFOA models as covariates, similar to Pinney et al. (2014). We approximated model r^2 as variance change between full/final and intercept-only models; intercept model random variance was constrained to that in full/final models.

2.5. Pharmacokinetics

Serum PFOA concentrations between samples were estimated using a one-compartment (serum) pharmacokinetic model for 508 participants from the FCC and BCERP cohorts with multiple samples (Thompson et al., 2010). Using this model, we examined two exposure scenarios. In Scenario 1, we assumed Ohio River PFOA concentrations from 1991 to 2013 were the same as those measured in September 2009 (Emery et al., 2010). In Scenario 2, we estimated annual PFOA Ohio River concentrations for 1991 to 2013 by linearly-interpolating between reported concentrations, assuming constant ratios between regions (Table S1). For both scenarios, we assumed aquifer-exchange or GAC treatment reduced PFOA concentrations by 50% and other source waters were uncontaminated. We used participant-reported tap water consumption for daily intake, and we assumed body weight changed linearly between measurements, to estimate PFOA dose from water utility PFOA concentration estimates. For both scenarios, non-water PFOA dose was estimated from NHANES median PFOA serum concentrations (CDC, 2015), assuming steady state. Because reported half-life estimates for PFOA vary widely, we conducted a sensitivity analysis; we calculated PFOA elimination constants using half-life estimates from 1.7 to 3.8 years, which cover estimates from the literature (Fu et al., 2016; Bartell et al., 2010; Brede et al., 2010; Olsen et al., 2007). We estimated model fit using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests on median difference, weighted kappa (κ) for PFOA quartile and interclass-correlations (ICCs), comparing predicted to subsequent measured serum PFOA concentration. Model fit statistics were calculated for all estimates, and separately by starting

From: [Rebecca McPhail](mailto:Rebecca.McPhail@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [JB Turley \(james.turley@icl-group.com\)](mailto:JB.Turley@icl-group.com); [David L. Yaussy](mailto:David.L.Yaussy@orsanco.org); [Patty Barnhart](mailto:Patty.Barnhart@orsanco.org)
Subject: WVMA Comments regarding ORSANCO proposed revisions to its Pollution Control Standards [STB-WORKSITE.FID588863]
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:26:23 PM

August 20, 2018

VIA E-MAIL ONLY

PCS@orsanco.org

ORSANCO

5735 Kellogg Avenue

Cincinnati, Ohio 45230

Attn: PCS Comments

Re: Comments on ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards (PCS) Revisions

To Whom It May Concern:

The following comments are submitted by the West Virginia Manufacturers Association ("WVMA") in support of ORSANCO's proposed Pollution Control Standards ("PCS") revisions. They are intended to be a supplement to the comments that we provided to ORSANCO on February 23, 2018. As we noted at that time, the WVMA has members with operations along the Ohio River. Most of those members operate in highly-regulated industries that are subject to the federal Clean Water Act ("CWA"), the West Virginia Water Pollution Control Act, and a myriad of other federal and state environmental programs, in addition to the PCS. Our members are very interested in eliminating redundancy where possible, and appreciate the opportunity to offer these comments.

When ORSANCO was created in 1948, there was a critical need for water quality improvement in the Ohio River, and no effective means of achieving it. ORSANCO played an important role in enhancing water quality in the Ohio River and in providing water quality data and information to the States and the public. Since the adoption of the 1972 CWA amendments, though, a comprehensive system of federal and state water quality and permitting programs has been developed and implemented in all the ORSANCO Compact States. The result has been a dramatic improvement in the quality of the Ohio River and its tributaries. In light of the changes in state and federal water quality regulation that have occurred since the 1970s, it is appropriate to step back and evaluate how ORSANCO can best fulfill its mission to protect water quality in the Ohio River in the years to come.

WVMA believes that the proposed PCS revisions satisfy the goals of the ORSANCO Compact and will ensure the continued protection of water quality and aquatic life in the Ohio River, in

light of the complementary programs in the Ohio River basin. Today, each of the Compact States is implementing a water quality program approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to the Clean Water Act. Each state program includes designated uses, narrative and numeric water quality criteria, mixing zone provisions and antidegradation requirements. EPA reviews and approves all such requirements to ensure they meet the requirements of the CWA. Moreover, the CWA provides powerful enforcement mechanisms far exceeding anything available to ORSANCO.

Accordingly, a comprehensive regime protecting each State's streams as aquatic habitats and supporting their uses for recreation and drinking water has been in place for over 40 years. The PCS provide no demonstrable additional environmental benefit to the Ohio River. We believe that

ORSANCO can provide the most value in the current regulatory environment, and best meet the goals of the ORSANCO Compact, by concentrating on its scientific and technical information gathering and research. This role allows ORSANCO to continue assisting the States in carrying out their obligations under the CWA, as well as coordinate and promote consistency in water quality regulation in the Ohio River basin.

In addition to the foregoing general comments, the WVMA makes the following particular observations:

- The PCS are largely duplicative of the States' CWA-driven water quality standards. Beneficial use designations are essentially identical. Most water quality criteria are either the same or provide the same degree of human health and aquatic life protection. Mixing zone designations are similar. In light of federal and State technology-based discharge requirements and water quality-based limits, the PCS wastewater discharge requirements are superfluous for most categorical industrial dischargers and municipal dischargers.
- Where there are differences between the ORSANCO PCS and state water quality criteria and implementation procedures, the PCS – which must be implemented by the States – sometimes result in NPDES permit conditions that are more stringent than otherwise required by the States' standards. The state standards are developed with particular local conditions in mind, and criteria that are developed with those conditions in mind should be given priority over ORSANCO standards. For example, several years ago West Virginia surveyed state residents to determine how much fresh water fish they were eating. That figure should be used to calculate water quality criteria in West Virginia, and it should control over ORSANCO criteria that are generated using EPA default, national-average fish ingestion figures.
- Unlike state water quality standards development and implementation, the process for

adoption of the PCS provides no opportunity to challenge the appropriateness or legality of the PCS. The result is that if a regulated entity believes that a particular PCS requirement is inappropriate, it has no clear avenue for appeal, which deprives permittees of regulatory and legal due process. A permittee might challenge a PCS condition in U.S. District Court, but that option is not uncertain and cumbersome.

- Implementation of the PCS creates a separate set of standards that apply only to the Ohio River, and that differ from the standards that apply throughout the remainder of each of the Compact States. Eliminating this confusion will improve regulatory consistency and promote clarity for the regulated community.
- The ORSANCO PCS add another layer of complexity in the already expansive federal and state environmental regulatory scheme. Thus, the regulated community must allocate finite available company resources to stay abreast of and ensure compliance with these duplicative environmental laws and regulations.
- Some ORSANCO Compact States treat the ORSANCO PCS as mandatory, the equivalent of state regulation, and apply them in permits as such. Other states consider the ORSANCO PCS, but do not follow them slavishly. This sort of disparity works to disadvantage those dischargers who are located in a state that does not flexibly apply ORSANCO standards when issuing NPDES permits for the Ohio River.
- ORSANCO Compact States already evaluate EPA-recommended criteria when setting their respective water quality standards. Eliminating the numeric criteria-setting process would relieve ORSANCO of the significant burden of periodically reviewing and updating water quality criteria for the many pollutants currently regulated under the PCS.
- The resources ORSANCO currently devotes to the PCS program can be more effectively used to support other ORSANCO programs that do not overlap with state and federal water quality programs, and that provide value to the States. As noted above, ORSANCO has particular skill and expertise in assessing water quality and the biological integrity of the Ohio River, and disseminating that information to the States and the public to ensure coordination and consistency among the States' regulatory programs through information gathering and coordination. These functions complement the existing state/federal CWA regime without unnecessarily wasting regulatory resources and creating confusion for the regulated community.
- Most importantly, data from ORSANCO's monitoring shows that the beneficial uses of the Ohio River are being protected. Those few small exceptions already are being addressed through the States' CWA programs, under EPA oversight.

In sum, WVMA believes that the proposed revisions to the ORSANCO PCS will help maintain the beneficial uses of the Ohio River consistent with the mandates of the ORSANCO Compact, while removing duplicative and unevenly-applied regulations. The PCS revisions

will also allow ORSANCO to concentrate resources on those tasks that it can best perform in promoting and preserving water quality in the Ohio River.

The WVMA appreciates the opportunity to make its views known, and hopes you will carefully consider these comments. We look forward to the Commission's formal approval of the PCS revisions at its October 2018 meeting.

Sincerely,

/s/Rebecca McPhail

President

West Virginia Manufacturers
Association

2001 Quarrier Street

Charleston, WV 25311

(304) 342-2123

From: [Chris Tavenor](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Trent Dougherty](#); [Kristy Meyer](#)
Subject: Comments on the proposed revisions to the Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:37:51 PM

To the Commissioners of the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission:

Please see the comments below, submitted on behalf of the Ohio Environmental Council. We look forward to your decision in October.

Best,

Chris Tavenor | Law Fellow
Ohio Environmental Council
1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite I, Columbus, 43212
ctavenor@theoec.org
(614) 487-7506 main office
(614) 487-5832 direct

OEC_Logo_border_no_tag_HiRes.jpg



**Technical Comments of the Ohio Environmental Council regarding ORSANCO's
Revisions to its Pollution Control Standards**

Introduction

The Ohio Environmental Council (the "OEC") is a statewide non-partisan, non-profit environmental and conservation advocacy organization. We are committed to ensuring clean water, air, and energy for all of Ohio's residents. For well over half a century, The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission ("ORSANCO" or "the Commission") has provided robust protections, especially as it developed its Pollution Control Standards following the passage of the Clean Water Act in the 1970s.

ORSANCO's present efforts to repeal the substantive portions of the Pollution Control Standards flies in the face of the Commission's historical efforts to ensure consistent and reliable pollution control throughout the Ohio River and its tributaries. As illustrated by ORSANCO's minority report and the comments of numerous organizations, the

Pollution Control Standards provide numerous numeric criteria not included in the water quality standards of some of the states along the River.

In its request for comment, ORSANCO states that it “is particularly interested in receiving technical and scientific information or data that supports comments on the proposed revisions.” Accordingly, the OEC submits the following comments to satisfy ORSANCO’s request.

The Intent of the Proposed Changes to ORSANCO’s Pollution Control Standards

ORSANCO justifies rescinding the substantive portions of its Pollution Control Standards based on the following conclusions, as stated in the “Marked Version” of the revised Pollution Control Standards:

“Because all states are mandated by the federal Clean Water Act to adopt and submit for USEPA approval a program that addresses designated uses, free from mandates, wastewater discharge requirements, water quality standards, mixing zones, and more, the Commission has concluded that the requirements of the Compact are being satisfied by member state programs implementing the federal Clean Water Act. The Commission has also concluded that all of its member states are implementing programs approved under the federal Clean Water Act for the safe and satisfactory uses of the Ohio River as public and industrial water supplies after reasonable treatment, suitable for recreational usage, capable of maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and that therefore the requirement of the Compact are being satisfied by member states through these programs.

Given the fact that all member states are implementing approved programs under the federal Clean Water Act, the Commission will discontinue the triennial review process of updating the PCS rules. By proceeding under this approach the Commission is confident that public will have the full and complete protection of the federal Clean Water Act and the oversight of USEPA and the states without the redundancy of the current PCS program.

The standards set forth in this document are intended to be the mandatory requirements to be applied to the Ohio River as established in the Compact.”

ORSANCO’s argument boils down to a simple claim: because state’s implement programs that satisfy the Clean Water Act, states satisfy the requirements of the aforementioned Compact. However, ORSANCO ignores the spirit of the Clean Water Act and the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact. By rescinding the substantive numeric water quality criteria contained within the Pollution Control Standards, ORSANCO sets in motion a chain of events that will inevitably harm the water quality of the Ohio River.

Conflicts with the Clean Water Act and ORSANCO’s Compact

When Congress originally passed the Clean Water Act, it established policy goals to eliminate the discharge of pollutants into navigable waters and to prohibit the discharge of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts. Clean Water Act, Sec. 101 (a). While the United States has not achieved that laudable goal, waterways all across the country

have much better water quality now than in the early 1970s. .

Similarly, the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact established particular water pollution obligations for the signatory states to the compact, including all of the following:

- Faithful cooperation in the control of future pollution in and abatement of existing pollution from the rivers. See Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact, Article I.
- Enactment of necessary legislation to enable each State to place and maintain in the waters a satisfactory sanitary condition, available for sale and satisfactory use as public and industrial water supplies after reasonable treatment, suitable for recreational usage, capable of maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and free from unsightly or malodorous nuisances due to floating solids or sludge deposits. See Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact, Article I.
- Pollution by sewage or industrial wastes originating within a signatory State shall not injuriously affect the various uses of the interstate waters. See Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact, Article VI.

Article VI of the Compact further establishes the methods through which ORSANCO achieves the goal of avoiding interstate water pollution. Specifically, the Compact allows ORSANCO to promulgate rules to protect the public health or to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes, and those rules are developed and determined as necessary by the Commission. The Commission may promulgate rules governing the following affirmative obligations:

- The removal of not less than forty-five per cent of the total suspended solids, and in specific instances a higher degree of treatment to achieve the goals in Article I of the Compact
- The modification or treatment of industrial wastes discharged or permitted to flow into the Ohio River, to such a degree necessary to achieve the goals stated in Article I of the Compact

- An insurance that any pollution into tributary waters situated wholly within one State are treated or modified so that the waters of the State are of equal water quality to the waters of the Ohio River

Both the Clean Water Act and the Compact enshrine the policy goal to eliminate water pollution from the waterways of the United States. However, the Clean Water Act was promulgated by Congress in the 1970s, while the states within the watershed of the Ohio River signed the Compact in 1948. The states tailored the compact specifically for the needs of the Ohio River, and for forty years after the passage of the Clean Water Act, the states of the Compact used ORSANCO to pass more stringent rules tailored specifically for that purpose: The Pollution Control Standards.

However, the Commission argues in its revised Pollution Control Standards that the requirements placed by the Clean Water Act upon the individual signatory states to the Compact satisfy the requirements of the Compact itself. The Commission has trapped itself into erroneous logic. If the goal of the Clean Water Act is to eliminate all pollution into the waters of the United States, eliminating Pollution Control Standards that are more stringent than the rules promulgated under the Clean Water Act cannot achieve such a goal.

Similarly, the Compact authorizes the Commission to promulgate rules to achieve the Article I and Article VI objectives of the Compact, and for forty years the Commission has believed that the additional protections provided by the Pollution Control Standards are necessary to satisfy the Compact beyond the federally required standards from the Clean Water Act. ORSANCO has a duty to promulgate rules that enforce the provisions of the article; if it makes changes to already promulgated rules, it must provide evidence that those changes further the goals of the Compact. ORSANCO has not provided evidence that shows that a lessening of the Pollution Control Standards will improve water quality, or keep the water quality of the Ohio River at its current level.

In fact, all the evidence points in the opposite direction. By removing the Pollution Control Standards, ORSANCO will weaken the strength of water quality standards used by state environmental agencies in the development of NPDES Permits. In turn, this will impact the water quality of the Ohio River and adjoining tributaries. With the varied standards between the signatory states as evidenced in the Minority Report, ORSANCO risks increased instances of transboundary water pollution between states with water quality standards.

Significant Differences between Ohio EPA Regulations and ORSANCO PCS

To emphasize the shift in applicable water quality criteria that communities along the Ohio River will face if ORSANCO amends these Pollution Control Standards, the OEC has catalogued the differences between the Standards and the Ohio EPA's water quality criteria for the protection of human health. In **Appendix I**, we have provided a complete chart that notes these differences. In addition to the actual data showing the specific discrepancies, we have provided narrative discussing a nonexhaustive subset of particular substances that pose both a significant danger to public health and have significantly different water quality criteria under the Ohio EPA when compared to ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards.

Finally the OEC attaches as **Appendix II** a 2011 proposed water quality standard for the Ohio River. These 2011 proposed water quality standards were never adopted by the agency, but the agency readily admits the following in its fact sheet explaining the 2011 proposed rulemaking:

Existing rule 32 includes tables of water quality criteria that are combinations of Ohio and ORSANCO (Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission) water quality criteria. The existing rule is being rescinded and replaced with new rule 32 that identifies applicable use designations and incorporates the criteria from the ORSANCO "Pollution Control Standards for discharges to the Ohio River" that are more stringent than the criteria for those use designations. *Draft Rules – Surface Water Quality Water Quality Standards (OAC Chapter 3745-1)*, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, (December 2010), http://www.epa.state.oh.us/portals/35/rules/fs_ipr_wqs_dec10.pdf.

OAC 3745-1-32(A) and Table 32-1

Ohio EPA implements water quality standards for the Ohio River at OAC 3745-1-32. Generally speaking, these water quality standards implement the numeric criteria promulgated in OAC 3745-1-33 and 3745-1-34 for the Ohio River. However, OAC 3745-1-32 does include certain criteria not mentioned in other sections, including Table 32-1, which implements water quality criteria similar to parameters found in ORSANCO's current Pollution Control Standards. Specifically, Table 32-1 implements water quality criteria covering bacteria (fecal coliform), cyanide, dissolved oxygen, radionuclides, and temperature.

In its more generalized water quality criteria for the entire state for the protection of human health, the Ohio EPA lacks water quality criteria covering radionuclides and fecal coliform. If the grounding for Table 32-1 comes from ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards, the OEC hopes the Ohio EPA does not choose to rescind that water quality criteria if ORSANCO rescinds the substantive portions of the Pollution Control Standards.

Ammonia

Ammonia is an inorganic gas produced naturally and is used in a variety of processes, such as fertilizers and cleaners; it can affect the dermal, ocular, and respiratory

systems. See “Ammonia”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry, <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=2>. Ohio EPA lacks water quality criteria for Ammonia but ORSANCO proposes a limitation of 0.1 mg/L. As noted in the technical comments produced by the Environmental Law & Policy Center, a number of NPDES permits along the Ohio River have implemented ammonia limitations based on the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards, even when their state has not promulgated water quality criteria for ammonia.

Benzidine

Benzidine, a confirmed human carcinogen, affects the liver, immune system, and nervous system. See “Benzidine”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry, <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=105>. Ohio’s water quality standard for the Ohio River limits Benzidine to 0.0012 micrograms per liter, while ORSANCO’s current standards limit Benzidine to 0.000086 micrograms per liter.

Bromoform

Bromoform is a non flammable liquid with a sweet odor that can sometimes form naturally in plants or in the ocean. See “Bromoform & Dibromochloromethane”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry, <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=128>. The substance is still used as a laboratory reagent in the U.S., though was used as a flame retardant or solvent in the past. It affects the liver, nervous system, and kidneys. For the Ohio River, the Ohio EPA has limited Bromoform to 43 micrograms per liter, but ORSANCO’s standards limit the substance to 4.3 micrograms per liter.

Chloroform

Chloroform, a colorless liquid formerly used as an anesthetic, is still used to create other substances and can form naturally when chlorine mixes with water. See “Chloroform”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry, <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=16>. Chloroform can affect the cardiovascular system, liver, nervous system, and urinary system, and can also disrupt reproductive systems and the development of children. In the Ohio River water quality standard, the Ohio EPA limits chloroform to 57 micrograms per liter, while ORSANCO limits it to 5.7 micrograms per liter.

Cyanide

The dangers of cyanide need know introduction; while infamous as a “poison,” its used throughout industry in the production of organic chemicals, electroplating, metallurgy, photography, and more. See “Cyanide”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry, <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=19>. Cyanide affects the skin, the nervous system, and the reproductive systems. The Ohio EPA listed “free” Cyanide in its Ohio River water quality standard at 200 micrograms per

liter at intakes, and 700 micrograms per liter everywhere else. Additionally, Table 32-1 at OAC 3745-1-32 provides further limitations for “free” Cyanide, at 44 micrograms per liter inside mixing zones and 22 micrograms per liter for an outside mixing zone maximum.

While ORSANCO does not provide a “free” cyanide pollution control standard for the protection of human health (it does list a standard for the protection of aquatic life), ORSANCO does limit total cyanide at 140 micrograms per liter. The Ohio EPA does not provide water quality criteria for total cyanide.

DDD, DDE, and DDT

DDT is a pesticide banned in 1972; DDE and DDD are related chemicals that occur when commercially preparing DDT. See “DDT, DDE, DDD”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry, <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=20>. A probable human carcinogen, these related chemicals have developmental effects, and impact the endocrine, hepatic, neurological, and reproductive systems. The Ohio EPA limits DDD in the Ohio River’s water quality standard to 0.0083 micrograms per liter, and DDE and DDT to 0.0059 micrograms per liter. Conversely, ORSANCO limits DDD to 0.00031 micrograms per liter, and DDE and DDT to 0.00022 micrograms per liter.

E Coli and Fecal Coliform

The Ohio EPA regulates E. coli and fecal coliform hand-in-hand in its Ohio River water quality standard. In Table 32-1, Ohio EPA notes that “for the months of May to October, measurements of Escherichia coli bacteria may be substituted for fecal coliform.” When substituting, the content of E. coli cannot exceed 130 per 100 mL. Ohio EPA requires that “the maximum allowable level of fecal coliform bacteria shall not exceed two hundred ml as a monthly geometric mean based on not less than five samples per month.” However, between November and April. Fecal coliform bacteria may not exceed 2100 ml.

On the other hand, ORSANCO limits E. Coli to 130 CFU/100 mL and fecal coliform to 2000 CFU/ 100 mL. In the Ohio EPA’s Ohio River water quality standard, the agency has essentially adopted ORSANCO’s limitation, with slight variation in the maximum allowable fecal coliform limit. However, the Ohio EPA does not have a fecal coliform or E. coli standard under its own water quality criteria; if Ohio EPA has based these values on the work of ORSANCO, then rescinding the Pollution Control Standards may incentivize the Ohio EPA to rescind its own standards for these dangerous bacteria.

Isophorone

Isophorone is an industrial solvent that occurs naturally in cranberries; it affects the hepatic system. See “Isophorone”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry,

<https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=148>. In the Ohio River water quality standard, the Ohio EPA limits Isophorone to 360 micrograms per liter, while ORSANCO limits it to 35 micrograms per liter.

Methylmercury

Methylmercury is a compound formed when microorganisms methylate inorganic mercury, and presents a danger to humans separate from inorganic mercury due to “its variable toxicity dependent on its form, inflow path, exposure amount, and individual susceptibility.” “Methylmercury Exposure and Health Effects”, J Prev Med Public Health. 2012 Nov; 45(6): 353–363. Published online 2012 Nov 29. doi:

10.3961/jpmph.2012.45.6.353. The Ohio EPA does not provide any numeric criteria for methylmercury in its water quality standard for the Ohio River, though it does provide standards for mercury at 40 micrograms per liter at outtakes and 100 micrograms per liter everywhere else.

However, ORSANCO provides a specific limitation for methylmercury for the Ohio River, at 0.3 mg/kg for the protection of human health.

Combined radium 226 and 228; Total gross strontium 90

For the Ohio River, the Ohio EPA has implemented limitations for “radionuclides,” which includes radium-226 (but does not include radium 228), and gross strontium-90. The limitations provided by the Ohio EPA match the values promulgated by ORSANCO in its standards. However, the Ohio EPA has not promulgated radionuclide water quality criteria elsewhere, so if ORSANCO rescinds its PCS which include these numeric values, Ohio EPA may decide to rescind its own values, too.

Silver

Similarly, the Ohio EPA has included in its Ohio River water quality standard a limitation for Silver at 50 micrograms per liter, matching ORSANCO’s limitation in its Pollution Control Standards. Likewise, the Ohio EPA has not promulgated water quality criteria for Silver anywhere outside the Ohio River water quality standard, so if ORSANCO rescinds its standards, Ohio EPA may choose to rescind its limitation upon Silver for the Ohio River.

Tetrachloroethylene

Tetrachloroethylene is a substance used in dry cleaning and metal-degreasing and other industrial processes; it is a probable carcinogen, and affects developmental, neurological, and respiratory systems. See “Tetrachloroethylene”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry,

<https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=48>. The Ohio EPA has established in the Ohio River water quality standard a limitation of 5 micrograms per liter for this substance at intakes, and 8 micrograms per liter everywhere else. ORSANCO proposes a more stringent limitation at 0.69 micrograms per liter.

Thallium

Thallium, while not produced in the United States, is still imported for use in manufacturing of electronic devices; it can affect the gastrointestinal, hepatic, neurological, and renal systems. See “Thallium”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry, <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=49>. The Ohio EPA’s water quality standard for the Ohio River limits Thallium to 1.7 micrograms per liter, while ORSANCO limits Thallium to 0.24 micrograms per liter.

TCDD (Dioxin)

TCDD is the most widely studied of a family of substances known as CDDs, and it one of the most toxic; these substances are “formed during chlorination by waste and drinking water treatment plants.” “Chlorinated Dibenzo-p-dioxins (CDDs)”, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry, <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=63>. Dioxin can affect the dermal, developmental, immunological, and reproductive systems and is a known carcinogen. The Ohio EPA limits Dioxin in the Ohio River to 0.13 picograms per liter; ORSANCO limits Dioxin to 0.005 picograms per liter.

Conclusion

Our comments provide insight into the inconsistent logic proposed by ORSANCO in considering an enormous substantive modification to its Pollution Control Standards. ORSANCO claims that it need not develop the Pollution Control Standards further because all states develop programs approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. However, ORSANCO misinterprets its fundamental purpose as a regional regulatory body. It is not designed as a body that ensures that individual state agencies satisfy federal law; for the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact creates obligations that go beyond the law put forth by the Clean Water Act. The states should cooperate together to create standards that adequately protect the Ohio River.

Perhaps the individual programs of the states along the Ohio River provide some protection, but the OEC’s analysis (and the analysis of numerous other public interest organizations) proves an irrefutable truth. Rescinding the numeric criteria within the Standards ***will eliminate water quality criteria more stringent than what currently exists within the water quality standards of the individual states.***

Removing protections already scientifically developed for the protection of human health goes against the purpose of both the Compact and the Clean Water Act. ORSANCO has provided no scientific justification for removing these numeric criteria. Therefore, the Commissioners must vote against the proposal to modify the Pollution Control Standards; any other choice is a clear dereliction of their duty to collectively protect the waters of the Ohio River.

Respectfully submitted,

Chris Tavenor | Law Fellow

Ohio Environmental Council

1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite I, Columbus, 43212

ctavenor@theoec.org

(614) 487-7506 main office

(614) 487-5832 direct

Appendix I

NOTE: The numerical values for the Ohio Water Quality Criteria are drawn from OAC 3745-1-33 and 3745-1-34. In limited instances, the actual Water Quality Standard for the Ohio River found at OAC 3745-1-32 contains slightly different criteria than the water quality criteria found in OAC 3745-1-33 and 3745-1-34. Those differences are noted above in discussion of individual criteria where applicable.

In this chart, we compared the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards to the Ohio Water Quality Criteria rather than the promulgated water quality standard for the Ohio River. If ORSANCO rescinds its pollution control standards, the criteria in the Ohio River water quality standard will only have the Ohio water quality criteria as a basis, and Ohio EPA could potentially remove those criteria based on ORSANCO’s Pollution Control Standards.

Ohio Water Quality Criteria			ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards	
Human Health (Fish, ug/L)	Human Health (Public Water Supply, ug/L)	Chemical	Human Health (Carcinogenic, ug/L)	Human Health (non-carcinogenic, ug/L)
2700	1200	Acenaphthene		670
780	320	Acrolein		190
6.6	0.59	Arcylonitrile	0.051	
0.0014	0.0013	Aldrin	0.000049	
		Ammonia		1 mg/L
110,000	9600	Anthracene		8300
4,300	6	Antimony		5.6
	10	Arsenic		0.010 mg/L
	7 Mf/l	Asbestos		7,000,000 fibers/L
	2000	Barium		1 mg/L
710	5	Benzene	2.2	

0.0054	0.0012	Benzidine	0.000086	
0.49	0.044	Benzo(a)anthracene	0.0038	
0,49	0.044	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0038	
0.49	0.044	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.0038	
0.49	0.044	benzo(k)fluroanthene	0.0038	
3600	43	Bromoform	4.3	
5200	3000	Butylbenzyl phthalate		1500
	5	Cadmium	-	-
44	2.5	Carbon tetrachloride	0.23	
0.022	0.021	Chlordane	0.0008	
	250 (mg/l)	Chlorides	-	-
21000	100	Chlorobenzene		130
340	4.1	Chlorodibromomethane	0.4	
14	0.31	Bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	0.03	
4700	57	Chloroform	5.7	
170000	1400	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether		1400
	100	Chromium III	-	-
	100	Chromium VI	-	-
0.49	0.044	Chrysene		0.0038
1300		Copper		1300
		Cyanide		140
220000	200	Cyanide (free)	-	-
0.0084	0.0083	4,4'-DDD	0.00031	
0.0059	0.0059	4,4'-DDE	0.00022	
0.0059	0.0059	4,4'-DDT	0.00022	
0.49	0.044	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.0038	
0.0014	0.0014	Dieldrin	0.000052	
120000	23000	Diethyl phtalate		17000
2,900,000	310000	Dimethyl phtalate		270000
12000	2700	Di n Butyl Phthalate		2000
Not in water quality criteria but in Ohio EPA water quality standard for Ohio River		E Coli		130 CFU/100 mL (GM), 240 CFU/100mL
240	110	alpha-Endosulfan	62	
240	110	beta-Endosulfan	62	
240	110	Endosulfan sulfate		62
0.81	0.76	Endrin		0.059
0.81	0.76	Endrin aldehyde		0.29
29,000	700	Ethylbenzene		530
Not in water quality criteria but in Ohio EPA water quality standard for Ohio River		fecal Coliform		2000 CFU/100mL
59	6	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.2	

370	300	Fluroanthene		130
14,000	1300	Fluorene		1100
	4000	Fluoride		1.0 mg/L
0.0021	0.0021	Heptachlor	0.000079	
0.0011	0.001	Heptachlor epoxide	0.000039	
0.0077	0.0075	Hexachlorobenzene	0.00028	
500	4.4	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.44	
0.13	0.039	alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane	0.0026	
0.46	0.14	beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane	0.0091	
		gamma-Hexachlorocyclohexane (Lindane)		0.98
0.63	0.19	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene		40
17,000	50	Hexachloroethane	1.4	
89	19	Ideno (1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	0.0038	
0.49	0.044	Isophorone	35	
26000	360	Mercury		0.000012 mg/L
0.012	0.012	Methyl bromide		47
4000	48	Methylene chloride	4.6	
16000	5	methylmercury		0.3 mg/kg
4600	610	Nickel		610
	10000	Nitrate-N + Nitrite-N		10 mg/L
	1000	Nitrite-N		1 mg/L
1900	17	Nitrobenzene		17
81	0.008	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	0.00069	
14	0.05	N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	0.005	
160	50	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	3.3	
82	1	Pentachlorophenol	0.27	
4600000	21000	Phenol	21000	
0.0017	0.0017	Polychlorinated biphenyls	0.000064	
11000	960	Pyrene		830
		combined radium 226 and radium 228	4pCi/L	
		gross total alpha	15 pCi/L	
		total gross beta	50 pCi/L	
		total gross strontium 90	8 pCi/L	
11000	50	Selenium	170	
Not in water quality criteria but in Ohio EPA water quality standard		Silver	0.05 mg/L	
		Temperature		110 Deg F
89	5	Tetrachloroethylene	0.69	
	1.7	Thallium		0.24
200000	1000	Toluene		1300

0.0075	0.0073	Toxaphene	0.00028	
810	5	Trichloroethylene	2.5	
65	21	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	1.4	
5300	2	Vinyl chloride	0.025	
69000	9100	Zinc		7400
110	1.7	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.17	
420	5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.59	
32	0.57	1,1-Dichloroethylene		330
940	70	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		35
17000	600	1,2-Dichlorobenzene		420
990	3.8	1,2-Dichloroethane	0.38	
390	5	1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	
5.4	0.4	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	0.036	
140000	100	1,2-Trans-Dichloroethylene		140
2600	400	1,3-Dichlorobenzene		320
1700	10	1,3-Dichloropropene	0.34	
2600	75	1,4-Dichlorobenzene		63
0.14	0.13	2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	0.000000005	
790	93	2,4-Dichlorophenol		77
2300	540	2,4-Dimethylphenol		380
--	70	2,4-Dinitrophenol		69
91	1.1	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.11	
4300	1700	2-Chloronaphthalene		1000
400	120	2-Chlorophenol		81
14000	13	2-Methyl-4,6-Dinitrophenol		13
0.77	0.4	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	0.021	

Appendix II

Below, we have provided the text of a proposed Ohio river water quality standard that was never finalized into the Ohio Administrative Code. Ohio EPA proposed this version of the Ohio River water quality standard specifically to implement the water quality criteria developed by ORSANCO that was more stringent than the previous water quality criteria of the Ohio EPA.

For instance, note that the Dioxin numeric criteria proposed in the text below is 0.005 picograms per liter, matching the ORSANCO limit but not the current Ohio water quality standard.

OH ADC 3745-1-32

OH ADC 3745-1-32 *Ohio river standards**.*

[Comment: For dates of non-regulatory government publications, publications of recognized organizations and associations, federal rules and federal statutory provisions referenced in this rule, see rule 3745-1-03 of the Administrative Code.]

The Ohio river is designated warmwater habitat, public water supply, agricultural water supply, industrial water supply and bathing waters, and will meet the criteria set forth in, or derived in accordance with, rules 3745-1-01 to 3745-1-07 of the Administrative Code and rules 3745-1-35 to 3745-1-43 of the Administrative Code. However, criteria set forth in this rule supercede the criteria for those designations where applicable.

(A) Water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life.

Table 32-1. Ohio river water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life.

Chemical Form¹ Units² IMZM³ OMZM³ OMZA³

Ammonia T mg/l -- Table 42-2c Table 42-2a,c

Cadmium TR ug/l Table 32-2 Table 32-2 Table 32-2

Cyanide free ug/l 44 22 5.2

Dissolved oxygen⁴ T mg/l -- 4.0b 5.0

Lead TR ug/l Table 32-2 Table 32-2 Table 32-2

Selenium TR ug/l -- 20 5.0

Temperature -- -- -- Table 32-3 Table 32-3

¹ T = total; TR = total recoverable.

² mg/l = milligrams per liter (parts per million); ug/l = micrograms per liter (parts per billion).

³ IMZM = inside mixing zone maximum; OMZM = outside mixing zone maximum; OMZA = outside mixing zone average.

⁴ For dissolved oxygen, OMZM means outside mixing zone minimum at any time and OMZA means outside mixing zone minimum daily average.

a In addition, the four-day average within the thirty-day period shall not exceed 2.5 times the OMZA.

b During the April fifteen to June fifteen spawning season, a minimum concentration of 5.0 mg/l shall be maintained at all times.

c Table 42-2 can be found in rule 3745-1-42 of the Administrative Code.

Table 32-2. Water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life for water hardness dependent criteria.

A. The equations in this table can be used to calculate numeric criteria at any water hardness up to 400 mg/l CaCO₃. The criteria at a water hardness of 400 mg/l CaCO₃ are used for water hardnesses above 400 mg/l CaCO₃. "e" = the base e exponential function. "ln H" = the natural logarithm of the water hardness. Criteria at example values of water hardness are in table 32-2B of this rule.

Table 32-2A.

Chemical Total Recoverable Criteria (ug/l²) Dissolved Criteria (ug/l²) Conservation Factor (CF)

Cadmium

--IMZM1 $e^{(0.8368[\ln H] - 2.867)} / CF$ $e^{(0.8368[\ln H] - 2.867)}$ 1.136672 - $[(\ln H) \times 0.041838]$

--OMZM1 $e^{(0.8368[\ln H] - 3.560)} / CF$ $e^{(0.8368[\ln H] - 3.560)}$ 1.136672 - $[(\ln H) \times 0.041838]$

--OMZA1 $e^{(0.7409[\ln H] - 4.719)}$ $e^{(0.7409[\ln H] - 4.719)} \times CF$ 1.101672 - $[(\ln H) \times 0.041838]$

Lead

--IMZM1 $e^{(1.273[\ln H] - 0.7669)}$ $e^{(1.273[\ln H] - 0.7669)} \times CF$ 1.46203 - $[(\ln H) \times 0.145712]$

--OMZM1 $e^{(1.273[\ln H] - 1.460)}$ $e^{(1.273[\ln H] - 1.460)} \times CF$ 1.46203 - $[(\ln H) \times 0.145712]$

--OMZA1 $e^{(1.273[\ln H] - 4.705)}$ $e^{(1.273[\ln H] - 4.705)} \times CF$ 1.46203 - $[(\ln H) \times 0.145712]$

1 IMZM = inside mixing zone maximum; OMZM = outside mixing zone maximum; OMZA = outside mixing zone average.

2 ug/l = micrograms per liter (parts per billion).

B. Water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life for water hardness dependent

criteria.

Table 32-2B.

Chemical Form¹ Units² Criteria

100 200 300 400

Cadmium

--IMZM3 D4 ug/l 2.7 4.8 6.7 8.6

--OMZM3 D4 ug/l 1.3 2.4 3.4 4.3

--OMZA3 D4 ug/l 0.25 0.40 0.53 0.64

Cadmium

--IMZM3 TR5 ug/l 2.8 5.2 7.5 9.7

--OMZM3 TR5 ug/l 1.4 2.6 3.7 4.8

--OMZA3 TR5 ug/l 0.27 0.45 0.61 0.76

Lead

--IMZM3 D4 ug/l 130 270 420 560

--OMZM3 D4 ug/l 65 140 210 280

--OMZA3 D4 ug/l 2.5 5.3 8.1 11

Lead

--IMZM3 TR5 ug/l 160 390 660 950

--OMZM3 TR5 ug/l 82 200 330 480

--OMZA3 TR5 ug/l 3.2 7.7 13 19

¹ D = dissolved; TR = total recoverable.

² ug/l = micrograms per liter (parts per billion).

³ IMZM = inside mixing zone maximum; OMZM = outside mixing zone maximum;
OMZA = outside mixing zone average.

⁴ These criteria are implemented by multiplying them by a translator approved by the director pursuant to rule 3745-2-04 of the Administrative Code.

5 These criteria apply in the absence of a translator approved by the director pursuant to rule 3745-2-04 of the Administrative Code.

Table 32-3. Ohio river temperature criteria.

Month/date Period average Instantaneous maximum

°F °C °F °C

January 1-31 45 7.2 50 10.0

February 1-29 45 7.2 50 10.0

March 1-15 51 10.6 56 13.3

March 16-31 54 12.2 59 15.0

April 1-15 58 14.4 64 17.8

April 16-30 64 17.8 69 20.6

May 1-15 68 20.0 73 22.8

May 16-31 75 23.9 80 26.7

June 1-15 80 26.7 85 29.4

June 16-30 83 28.3 87 30.6

July 1-31 84 28.9 89 31.7

August 1-31 84 28.9 89 31.7

September 1-15 84 28.9 87 30.6

September 16-30 82 27.8 86 30.0

October 1-15 77 25.0 82 27.8

October 16-31 72 22.2 77 25.0

November 1-30 67 19.4 72 22.2

December 1-31 52 11.1 57 13.9

(B) Water quality criteria for the protection of human health. These criteria apply everywhere in the Ohio river outside mixing zones, unless specified otherwise.

(1) Bacteria. For the protection of the public water supply use, at no time shall fecal coliform bacteria content exceed two thousand per one hundred milliliters as a monthly

geometric mean based on not less than five samples per month year round.

(2) Total ammonia-nitrogen. Total ammonia-nitrogen shall not exceed one milligram per liter at any surface water intake for a public water system.

(3) Radionuclides. Gross total alpha activity (including radium-226, but excluding radon and uranium) shall not exceed fifteen picocuries per liter (pCi/l) and combined radium-226 and radium-228 shall not exceed four pCi/l. Concentration of total gross beta particle activity shall not exceed fifty pCi/l. Concentration of total gross beta particle activity shall not exceed fifty pCi/l; the concentration of total strontium-90 shall not exceed eight pCi/l.

(4) Total dissolved solids. Total dissolved solids shall not exceed five hundred milligrams per liter at river flows equal to or greater than the minimum seven day, ten year flow at drinking water intakes.

(5) In addition to the criteria in table 32-4 of this rule, the water quality criteria identified in table 40-2 of rule 3745-1-40 of the Administrative Code apply everywhere in the Ohio river outside mixing zones, except that the ambient water quality criteria based on maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) identified in table 40-1 of rule 3745-1-40 of the Administrative Code apply outside mixing zones only within five hundred yards of surface water intakes for public water systems.

Table 32-4. Ohio river water quality criteria for the protection of human health.

Chemical Form¹ Units² OMZA³

Acenaphthene T ug/l 670

Acrolein T ug/l 190

Acrylonitrile⁵ T ug/l 0.51

Aldrin⁵ T ug/l 0.00049

Anthracene T ug/l 8,300

Antimony TR ug/l 5.6

Arsenic T ug/l 10

Asbestos T MF/l 7.0

Barium T ug/l 1,000

Benzene⁵ T ug/l 12

Benzidine⁵ T ug/l 0.00086

Benzo(a)anthracene⁵ T ug/l 0.038
Benzo(a)pyrene⁵ T ug/l 0.038
Benzo(b)fluoranthene⁵ T ug/l 0.038
Benzo(k)fluoranthene⁵ T ug/l 0.038
Beryllium TR ug/l 16
Bromoform⁵ T ug/l 43
Butylbenzyl phthalate T ug/l 1,500
Carbon tetrachloride⁵ T ug/l 2.3
Chlordane⁵ T ug/l 0.008
Chlorides T ug/l 250,000
Chlorobenzene T ug/l 130
Chlorodibromomethane⁵ T ug/l 4.0
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether⁵ T ug/l 0.30
2-Chloronaphthalene T ug/l 1,000
2-Chlorophenol T ug/l 81
Chrysene⁵ T ug/l 0.038
Copper TR ug/l 1,300
Cyanide Free ug/l 140
2,4-D (Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) T ug/l 100
4,4'-DDD⁵ T ug/l 0.0031
4,4'-DDE⁵ T ug/l 0.0022
4,4'-DDT⁵ T ug/l 0.0022
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene⁵ T ug/l 0.038
Di-n-butyl phthalate T ug/l 2,000
1,2-Dichlorobenzene T ug/l 420

1,3-Dichlorobenzene T ug/l 320
1,4-Dichlorobenzene T ug/l 63
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine⁵ T ug/l 0.21
Dichlorobromomethane⁵ T ug/l 5.5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene T ug/l 140
2,4-Dichlorophenol T ug/l 77
1,2-Dichloropropane⁵ T ug/l 5.0
1,3-Dichloropropene T ug/l 3.4
Dieldrin⁵ T ug/l 0.00052
Diethyl phthalate T ug/l 17,000
2,4-Dimethylphenol T ug/l 380
Dimethyl phthalate T ug/l 270,000
Dinitrophenols⁴ T ug/l 69
2,4-Dinitrotoluene T ug/l 1.1
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine T ug/l 0.36
alpha-Endosulfan⁶ T ug/l 62
beta-Endosulfan⁶ T ug/l 62
Endosulfan sulfate⁶ T ug/l 62
Endrin⁷ T ug/l 0.059
Endrin aldehyde⁷ T ug/l 0.29
Ethylbenzene T ug/l 530
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate⁵ T ug/l 12
Fluoranthene T ug/l 130
Fluorene T ug/l 1,100
Fluoride T ug/l 1,000
Heptachlor⁵ T ug/l 0.00079

Heptachlor epoxide⁵ T ug/l 0.00039
Hexachlorobenzene⁵ T ug/l 0.0028
alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane⁵ T ug/l 0.026
beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane⁵ T ug/l 0.091
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene T ug/l 40
Hexachloroethane⁵ T ug/l 14
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene⁵ T ug/l 0.038
Isophorone⁵ T ug/l 350
Methoxychlor T ug/l 100
Methyl bromide T ug/l 47
Methylene chloride⁵ T ug/l 46
Nitrate-N + Nitrite-N T ug/l 10,000
Nitrite-N T ug/l 1,000
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine⁵ T ug/l 33
Pentachlorophenol⁵ T ug/l 2.7
Phenol T ug/l 21,000
Phenolics T ug/l 5.0
Polychlorinated biphenyls⁵ T ug/l 0.00064
Pyrene T ug/l 830
Selenium TR ug/l 170
Sulfates T ug/l 250,000
2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin⁵ T ug/l 0.00000005
Tetrachloroethylene⁵ T ug/l 6.9
Thallium TR ug/l 0.24
Toluene T ug/l 1,300

Toxaphene⁵ T ug/l 0.0028

1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene T ug/l 35

1,1,2-Trichloroethane⁵ T ug/l 5.9

Trichloroethylene⁵ T ug/l 25

2,4,6-Trichlorophenol⁵ T ug/l 14

Vinyl chloride⁵ T ug/l 0.25

Zinc T ug/l 7,400

1 T = total; TR = total recoverable.

2 ug/l = micrograms per liter; Mf/l = million fibers per liter.

3 OMZA = outside mixing zone average.

4 The criteria for this chemical apply to the sum of all dinitrophenols.

5 Criteria for this chemical are based on a carcinogenic endpoint.

6 The criteria for this chemical apply to the sum of alpha-endosulfan, beta-endosulfan and endosulfan sulfate.

7 The criteria for this chemical apply to the sum of endrin and endrin aldehyde.

Replaces: 3745-1-32

Effective:

R.C. 119.032 review dates:

Certification

Date

Promulgated Under: 119.03

Statutory Authority: 6111.041

Rule Amplifies: 6111.041

Prior Effective Dates: 4/4/1985, 8/19/1985, 5/1/1990, 10/31/1997, 12/30/2002

From: [Indra Frank](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: comment on proposed revision of teh ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:39:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am writing on behalf of the Hoosier Environmental Council (HEC) to comment on the proposed revision of ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards. HEC appreciates the opportunity to comment on this important decision.

Founded thirty-five years ago, the Hoosier Environmental Council is the largest statewide environmental policy organization in Indiana. HEC aims to advance solutions that are good for the environment and good for the economy.

The Hoosier Environmental Council is adamantly opposed to the ORSANCO proposal referred to as 'Alternative 2' since it would eliminate the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and significantly weaken protection of the Ohio River.

State Programs and ORSANCO standards are not redundant

The proposal before you as ORSANCO commissioners would have ORSANCO eliminate its Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River and allow state standards to protect the river. In their April 1, 2017, document *Alternative #2 Expanded: Clean Water Act Alternative to ORSANCO PCS Rules*, ORSANCO's Ad Hoc Committee on Water Quality Standards Implementation stated:

"By proceeding under this approach the Commission is confident that public will have the full and complete protection of the federal Clean Water Act and the oversight of USEPA and the states without the redundancy of the current PCS program."

I respectfully disagree with the argument that the PCS are redundant. The document *ORSANCO Staff Water Quality Criteria Compilation* of February 14, 2018, lists 188 instances in which there are ORSANCO standards that the member states don't have. Indiana is the worst of the states, with 50 parameters in ORSANCO standards that are missing in Indiana standards and 53 Indiana standards that are significantly weaker than ORSANCO's. Clearly, the ORSANCO PCS are not redundant.

Each of those missing or weaker standards represents a chemical that will be allowed in greater concentration in the Ohio River if the ORSANCO PCS are eliminated. Indeed, some of the proponents of the PCS elimination proposal appear to be arguing for greater leniency for chemical discharges to the river. The February 2018 comments submitted by Jupiter Aluminum Corp, Ohio Coating's Company, Wheeling Nisshin, Ohio Manufacturers Association, Arcelor Mittal, and Koppers Inc, all of which submitted identically worded comments to the initial public review of the PCS, state:

"where there are differences between the ORSANCO PCS and state water quality criteria and implementation procedures, the PCS, which must be implemented by the States, sometimes result in NPDES permit conditions that are more stringent than otherwise required by the States standards - and with no demonstrable environmental benefit."

They all concur that ORSANCO standards result in more stringent NPDES permits, which I would argue is greater protection of the river. Their statement that this has "no demonstrable environmental benefit" is refuted by the PCS writing process itself. Each of the ORSANCO standards was carefully written by ORSANCO scientists based on toxicology data over the last 70 years. Each standard was calculated to give the maximum amount of a chemical the Ohio River can tolerate and still be safe for aquatic life and for human health.

Lack of federal environmental protection

The Ad Hoc Committee's statement that in the absence of ORSANCO PCS, the public "will have the full and complete protection of the federal Clean Water Act and the oversight of USEPA" is inaccurate on several counts. First, it does not take into account the fact that the current administration in Washington is working aggressively to dismantle significant portions of the Clean Water Act and shrink the size of the USEPA.

Second, regardless of which party has been in control, historically the Clean Water Act has only provided partial protection for US streams and rivers. A report based on 2010 data from the USEPA's Toxics Release Inventory showed that there were more than 220 million pounds of toxic material discharged into American waterways that year, and the Ohio River received the largest portion (Environment America Research and Policy Center (2012). *Wasting our Waterways 2012*. <https://environmentamerica.org/reports/ame/wasting-our-waterways-2012>). Given this report on toxic releases, the ORSANCO standards need to be stronger and better implemented, not eliminated.

The Clean Water Act is also less than fully protective because the states write their standards in the setting of political pressure from their own businesses not to be too stringent. This is where ORSANCO plays a pivotal role. Only uniform standards by this multi-state compact can ensure protection the Ohio River. The processes under the Clean Water Act and USEPA are not serving the river well enough.

Impact on Indiana

Indiana is almost at the end of the 981-mile Ohio River, so with weaker standards, other states will be sending their pollution downstream to us. In EPA records, there are at least 490 permits for releasing waste into the Ohio River before it reaches the eastern edge of Indiana, including from oil and chemical industries. Reducing the standards for those discharges will hurt Indiana's drinking water and our ability to fish, boat, swim, and get clean water for businesses.

Plans for a new Appalachian Storage Hub with petroleum refining, pipelines and storage facilities along the Ohio River in Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Ohio have received big financial backing from China. If built, the Hub will be impacting the Ohio River before it gets to Indiana, so this is another reason this is not the time to weaken pollution standards. (<https://www.appastorage.com/>)

Loss of the ORSANCO standards will also create a regulatory burden for Indiana. ORSANCO standards are cited in Indiana's Administrative Code for water discharge permits at 327 IAC 5-2-10. Their loss will leave a gap that will require Indiana to spend time and tax payer money to fix. There will be similar impacts in other states that rely on the ORSANCO PCS.

The decision to eliminate the ORSANCO PCS will have extensive and lasting impacts on the Ohio River states, both in water quality and in regulatory impacts. To date the ORSANCO commissioners have not adequately studied how loss of the standards will impact permitting, water quality, and state regulations, issues that require serious in-depth consideration before such a drastic move is made.

ORSANCO should coordinate implementation of the Pollution Control Standards rather than eliminating them

One argument for eliminating ORSANCO's standards is that the Ohio River states vary in how they use them. That should lead to efforts to coordinate use of the standards, not wipe them out. Instead of eliminating the PCS, I urge you to work with the member states to create more uniform implementation of the ORSANCO PCS.

If ORSANCO fulfills its potential to not only write standards, but coordinate implementation of the standards, then it is positioned to provide consistency and predictability for NPDES permit holders on the river, rather than the patchwork of varying standards they get from the individual states.

I urge you to reject Alternative 2, and preserve the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards. Thank you for your consideration of the points I have provided above.

Sincerely,

*Indra Frank, MD, MPH
Environmental Health & Water Policy Director
Hoosier Environmental Council
317-981-3207*

www.hecweb.org

From: [Swertfeger, Jeff](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Whitteberry, Bruce](#)
Subject: Comments on PCS proposed changes
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:17:11 PM

August 20, 2018

Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Re: Proposed Revisions to Pollution Control Standards – Second Public Review

Thank you for the opportunity to comment as part of the second public review of the proposed 2018 changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS)

As outlined in our initial set of comments, Greater Cincinnati Water Works is opposed to the proposal to remove the water quality criteria and other language from the ORSANCO PCS. While it can be said that the current proposal does not eliminate the PCS, the retained language does nothing to protect the Ohio River quality.

We also believe the PCS are central to the overall mission of ORSANCO. The PCS should be the yardstick by which states may be measured when implementing their individual standards applicable to the Ohio River. This ensures minimum, appropriate, and consistent standards are set to protect the entire river.

We understand the PCS have some redundancy with USEPA water quality standards, but we do not view this as a reason to eliminate the standards. Rather, ORSANCO should use the USEPA standards as a starting point to ensure that standards are appropriate for the Ohio River, and then modify those standards as necessary. ORSANCO's PCS serve to uniformly address issues which may slip through the cracks of EPA Water Quality Standards or the States' standards.

ORSANCO is uniquely positioned to have a positive impact on basin-wide river quality by virtue of an existing regulatory framework extending beyond the state boundaries. Reducing or eliminating this regulatory framework removes one of the key benefits ORSANCO can provide by protecting river quality on a basin-wide basis.

ORSANCO standards should serve as guidance to the states as they address facilities impacting the Ohio River. The standards should reflect the unique needs of the river as a whole. The standards can also serve to address contaminants of emerging concern where individual states may not have the ability to do so directly.

We understand implementation and enforcement of the pollution control standards are imperfect. However, we recommend maintaining the standards and working toward consistency across all compact states. We view this as not just the responsibility of ORSANCO, but also of the individual states as part of their responsibility as members of the compact. Instead of eliminating the standards, the Commission should work toward

eliminating the weaknesses which have hampered the effectiveness of the PCS.

GCWW believes in the value of ORSANCO and will continue to work with you to ensure our drinking water source is protected. However, we are strongly opposed to the proposed changes and believe they will ultimately weaken ORSANCO as an organization and likely result in degradation of our water supply.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on this issue.

Sincerely,
Jeff Swertfeger
Superintendent
Water Quality and Treatment Division
Greater Cincinnati Water Works

From: [Gail Hesse](mailto:Gail.Hesse@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments from the National Wildlife Federation and Affiliate Organizations
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:26:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.emz](#)
[image002.png](#)

Comments on the ORSANCO Proposed Revision to the Pollution Control Standards

To: ORSANCO Commissioners

From: National Wildlife Federation, Citizens for Pennsylvania's Future, West Virginia Rivers Coalition, Kentucky Waterways Alliance, Prairie Rivers Network, Ohio Conservation Federation, Indiana Wildlife Federation, Virginia Conservation Network, and Environmental Advocates of New York

Date: August 20, 2018

RE: Comments on the ORSANCO Proposed Revision to the Pollution Control Standards

-----Submitted via email-----

The National Wildlife Federation (NWF) and the undersigned affiliate organizations appreciate the opportunity to provide these comments in response to ORSANCO's request for comment on its review of the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) for Discharges to the Ohio River - 2015 Revision. NWF is the largest conservation organization in the U.S. with more than 6 million members, and supporters across the country.

The Ohio River is an important resource as a working river for cargo transport, a source of drinking water for five million people, a place for recreation along its 981 mile length and a home for diverse habitat for wildlife and fish. The Commission is a regional body with the mandate to manage the Ohio River as a basin system, a unique role that was forward thinking in 1948 and just as necessary today. We strongly oppose any retraction in ORSANCO's role in the development and administration of the PCS.

ORSANCO provides valuable assistance to member states in stream assessment, monitoring and spill response, and PCS administration. The rationale provided for the proposed retraction of ORSANCO's role with the PCS rules is vague with no firm analysis.

We believe that the proposed alternatives are an abdication of ORSANCO's responsibilities for managing the Ohio River as a basin system rather than individual stream segments. The purpose of ORSANCO is to provide the forum for the collaboration needed to ensure the safeguards are in place to protect the river both upstream and downstream.

1. The ORSANCO website says that the review process would include consideration of the comments received in the initial public comment period that closed in February and formulation of specific revisions to the Standards. Yet no response summary has been prepared of the many detailed comments received, nor any proposed revisions based upon those comments. No information has been provided on how the comments were considered beyond the summation of the numbers of comments received. The lack of any response to these comments by ORSANCO is a disregard of the public input. The lack of any authentic engagement with stakeholders and the public creates serious questions about this process. It appears that ORSANCO and its commissioners are moving towards a final decision based upon an insular review with a forgone conclusion without any thoughtful consideration to a lawful public review.

A closing date of August 20 for the current public comment period leaves less than six weeks to the October Commission meeting when a final vote on the proposed action is expected. Presumably, materials will need to be prepared prior to that time. There appears to be little to no opportunity for any potential revisions to be prepared and subsequent Commission review and consideration. The PCS is a highly technical, detailed program that could have many permutations worthy of consideration that could come from public input but there is very little opportunity for any thoughtful analysis much less any revisions, in this schedule.

2. The comparison tables released by ORSANCO in February depict wide variability in the number and stringency of standards by the states. We are very concerned that the response to this variability is to eliminate ORSANCO's role in the development of the standards and defer to the states. Resorting to administration by the states may seem to be an expedient approach to the Commission, but it represents a failure to invest in the collaboration needed to think beyond jurisdictional boundaries and manage the river as a whole system. The issue that needs to be solved is not the role of ORSANCO, but rather the lack of adoption/implementation by the states of the PCS into state standards.

The tables make it apparent that there are many gaps and inconsistencies among the states, ORSANCO and USEPA criteria. Yet in the narrative materials presented by ORSANCO, there is no information or analysis as to why these discrepancies have been allowed to persist. We reiterate our contention that a fundamental purpose of ORSANCO's role with the PCS is to facilitate collaboration for the establishment, adoption and implementation of the PCS.

3. We acknowledge that states have challenges in the development, promulgation and implementation of the PCS. The missed opportunity is that ORSANCO and the member states should be using their collective leverage towards getting the PCS adopted among the states so that the Ohio River is managed as one river basin, not individual stream segments within state boundaries. A regional body speaking with one voice can wield significant influence at the state level by providing a broader, more strategic view of what is best for the Ohio River. Rather than weakening the role of the body created for the purpose of facilitating a unified approach to managing the river, the Commission should be evaluating different options to bring consistency among the states in PCS adoption as part of its intended mission.

The variability among the states' adoption and implementation of the PCS should be a call to action. The only allowable variation to the PCS should be where the states have a more stringent standard. Leadership and cooperation are needed by the Commission *and* its member states to honor the pledges made by each state for the oversight of pollution abatement and health of the entire Ohio River. The Commission Compact compels the member states to act on behalf of a water body beyond its jurisdictional waters, a unique role that demands actions beyond parochial interests.

4. Elimination of the ORSANCO PCS means significant investments will need to be made by the states for the technical development of standards, the procedures for adoption, implementation of those standards and future triennial reviews as required by the Clean Water Act. While some states can adopt the PCS by reference in their state procedures, others cannot. Those states that have adopted the PCS standards by reference must now take on the work currently done by ORSANCO. There would be six public agencies conducting the work currently done by one entity. The costs of expanding this work from one entity to six should be factored into any analysis of the overall impact. The most cost-effective solution should be a primary driver for any proposed change.
5. The argument that there is redundancy between the states' water quality standards programs and ORSANCO is not valid. Yes, both the states and ORSANCO have congruent functions in the development and review of water quality standards, but that does not mean there is a duplication of effort. The focus of ORSANCO on the mainstem of the Ohio River allows the states to utilize their resources on the other water bodies within their state jurisdictions. The 981 mile length of the Ohio River requires specialized expertise for the development of standards that do not impact not only waters within a given segment of the river, but also does not jeopardize downstream water resource integrity.

We maintain that the elimination of the PCS **will result** in significant duplication of effort and increased costs. The materials provided by ORSANCO do not provide any cost/benefit analyses of the proposed alternatives.

6. The analysis conducted by the Environmental Law and Policy Center (described in the comments submitted by the Environmental Law and Policy Center) identifies more than twenty NPDES permits in six states that utilized more stringent ORSANCO criteria than the state standard in the interest of a more protective standard for the Ohio River. No comparative analysis has been undertaken to identify any permit limits whereby the state standard was more stringent than the ORSANCO PCS. However, this is precisely the analysis that ORSANCO should have undertaken to fully realize the potential consequences and impacts that could result should this proposal go forward. The ramifications of the elimination of the PCS need to be fully understood for Commission members to make an informed decision. The impacts to NPDES permit limits, a cost-benefit analysis and defined criteria for a selected course of action should be part of this process. Without these steps, Commission members are faced with a decision that lacks a full accounting of the impact to the Ohio River.
7. It defies logic that two sides of the same river segment require two separate sets of water quality standards. Unless water quality standards are consistent for the same segment of river, one set of state standards by comparison will be less restrictive and in conflict with the opposite side of the river. The guiding principle of the Compact is that no pollution from an individual State shall "injuriously affect" interstate waters. It is the Commission's responsibility to ensure protection for the river and should not sanction a framework that would allow for such inconsistencies and violation of the founding mission of the Commission.
8. The proposed alternatives will unnecessarily complicate the biennial Section 305(b) reports and the 303(d) list for the Ohio River main stem. How will ORSANCO determine which of the state standards will apply for the analyses required by the Clean Water Act? Attempting to address multiple state standards will require more time and analysis to complete these reports for USEPA.
9. A framework for inconsistent standards for the same water body will create confusion and economic harm for the regulated community as they seek to comply with different standards. Additionally, such a framework would also establish a lack of equity among the states in its attempts to regulate discharges to the river. Economic development efforts will be compromised if differing standards are in place for different states.
10. The proposed framework will also generate great confusion for the greater public with the issuance of advisories, both fish advisories and recreational contact advisories. How is the public to interpret an advisory issued on one side of the river but not the other? What is in the best interest of public health? We acknowledge that there are current inconsistencies for fish consumption advisories. There is no discussion in the materials on how this situation has persisted nor how it would be addressed in a future framework with independent state standards.
11. We remain very concerned that the alternative was selected without any criteria used as part of the decision process. If criteria were in fact used, they should be made available to the public. As a public institution, ORSANCO is beholden to an open, transparent review process

congruent with its delegated authorities under federal law.

12. Looking at the totality of the comments provided in January along with the minority report for Alternative #2, clearly there is not overwhelming support for the "preferred" Alternative #2 from those voices within the ORSANCO structure and most familiar with the workings of the PCS program. We question the value of advancing a proposal that has so little support and would result in a fundamental diminishment of water quality protections for the Ohio River.

In summary, forty six years of water program administration under the Clean Water Act has taught us that we need to manage our water bodies as connected systems within drainage boundaries, not disjointed administration separated by jurisdictional boundaries. Any proposal to resort to pollution control oversight within state borders is a step backward. The Compact compels the member states to act on behalf of a water body beyond its jurisdictional waters, a unique role that demands action beyond parochial interests.

-

-
Respectfully submitted,

W.H. GRADDY & ASSOCIATES

Attorneys at Law
137 North Main Street
Versailles, Kentucky 40383

Mailing Address
P.O. Box 4307
Midway, Kentucky 40347

Telephone: (859) 879-0020
Facsimile: (855) 398-4562
E-mail: hgraddy@graddylaw.com
E-mail: dtrush@graddylaw.com

W. Henry Graddy, IV
Dorothy T. Rush

August 20, 2018

ORSANCO, 5735 Kellogg Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45230, Attn: PCS Comments.

Emailed to: PCS@orsanco.org.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I am Hank Graddy, Chair of the Sierra Club, Cumberland Chapter (Ky) Water Committee. I have served on the ORSANCO Watershed Organization Advisory Committee (WOAC) in that capacity since that advisory committee was established.

We submitted an earlier version of these comments for the Kentucky Chapter in February, and at the public hearing on July 26, 2018 when we also submitted oral comments. We are now submitting these additional comments in writing within the deadline ORSANCO has provided.

In summary, we believe that setting Ohio River Pollution Control Standards (PCS) is the most important work that ORSANCO performs. If the ORSANCO Board adopts the Alternative 2, to stop setting Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River, such action calls into question the very purpose of ORSANCO. We have included KRS 224.18-700 Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact at the end of these comments. We read this Kentucky statute as requiring ORSANCO set Pollution Control Standards. Therefore, we read Alternative 2 as a breach of the ORSANCO Compact and a breach of Kentucky law.

The Sierra Club, Cumberland Chapter (Ky) has supported many efforts to improve the water quality of the Ohio River with ORSANCO. Among other actions, the Sierra Club in Kentucky was a founding partner with the Kentucky Waterways Alliance and the Kentucky Division of Water (DOW) Water Watch program to organize and support the Watershed Watch in Kentucky (WWKY) citizen science program, and we have been collecting water quality data across Kentucky since 1997. For several years, WWKY directed a portion of its water testing resources to monitor sampling sites within Kentucky that are tributaries of the Ohio River as part of our Ohio River Action. On occasions, and when resources were available, ORSANCO supported the WWKY data collection. WWKY data can be found at: <http://kgs.uky.edu/wwky/main.htm>

Kentucky Sierra Club members have attended meetings of the US EPA Hypoxia Task Force, learning at one such meeting that the Commonwealth of Kentucky was not a participating state on that task force. The Kentucky Sierra Club complained about this lack of representation and today, the Commonwealth of Kentucky does participate in these meetings.

We submit these additional comments to ORSANCO regarding the 2018 review of ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards ("PCS"). In its solicitation of comments, ORSANCO indicated that a majority of Commissioners support withdrawing from its role in maintaining, administering, and periodically updating the current Pollution Control Standards. We strongly oppose that proposal and urge ORSANCO to continue in its role as a source of consistent and expert input on appropriate pollution control standards for the Ohio River. We therefore ask the Commission to reject proposed Alternative 2 in favor of an approach that, consistent with Alternatives 3 and 4, allows ORSANCO to continue to apply its expertise and unique interstate perspective to protect and improve water quality for the entire Ohio River.

As noted in summary above and in more detail below, we believe that the action set forth in Alternative 2 is in violation of the ORSANCO Compact and KRS 224.18-760 Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact.

Alternative 2 is also contrary to the 2008 ORSANCO Strategic Plan as follows:

1. In furtherance of the Article I Vision that "all interstate waters of the Ohio River Watershed meet the Compact objectives[,] the second Strategic Objective states that:

"The Commission will develop physical, chemical and biological water quality criteria to protect the desired uses."

2. In furtherance of Article VI of the Compact, the strategic plan notes that: "Article VI of the Compact establishes the Commission's authority to adopt rules, regulations and standards for the treatment of wastes discharged to the interstate waters of the District under the principal (sic) that wastes discharged in one state shall not harm the waters of another state."

The strategic plan offers a vision under Article VI that "all wastewater discharges to interstate waters of the District are provided adequate treatment." The Strategic Objectives for Article VI provide that:

"The Commission will establish and maintain, through periodic review, comprehensive standards for wastewater discharges to the Ohio River."

And

"The Commission will assess the need for additional standards for discharges to interstate tributaries."

3. Finally, the strategic plan notes with respect to Article VII that "the Compact recognizes the states' authorities to adopt more stringent requirements than those of ORSANCO." Were it contemplated in the Strategic Plan that the ORSANCO PCS would be eliminated, this portion of the Strategic Plan would have no meaning due to the lack of ORSANCO standards with which the state's requirements could be compared.

Alternative 2 is contrary to ORSANCO Bylaws, which establish standing committees including the Pollution Control Standards Committee as follows:

“The Pollution Control Standards Committee shall be comprised of six or more members with at least one Commissioner from each of the six states bordering the Ohio River, with the Chairman of the Technical Committee, the Executive Director and Legal Counsel as ex officio non-voting members. *It shall review the Commission’s Pollution Control Standards on a triennial basis and may review specific standards as needed. It shall report its findings to the Commission and recommend actions pursuant to Article VI of the Compact.* Further, the committee shall meet as necessary to review instances of significant non-compliance with the Compact and the Commission’s Water Pollution Control Standards and provide reports and recommendations to the Commission as appropriate. At minimum, prior to the regular October Commission meeting each year, the Pollution Control Standards Committee will review an annual Summary report of the previous (fiscal) years’ activities of non-compliance (sic) wastewater dischargers and provide recommendations to the Commission for appropriate action.”

We have signed on to the letter submitted on Monday, August 20, 2018 by the Environmental Law & Policy Center, (Madeline Fleisher) and the “Environmental Organizations.” We are writing separately to add several concerns and specifics that are unique to Kentucky.

We previously expressed our disappointment in the Kentucky Commissioners, based upon our belief that they had played a leadership role in the advocacy of Alternative 2. We stated that, as such, Deputy Secretary Bruce Scott and Director Peter Goodmann had done a disservice to the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

We are pleased to report that we have now had an opportunity to sit down and discuss these issues with Mr. Scott and Mr. Goodmann and they have corrected our understanding of their role. Mr. Scott and Mr. Goodmann have informed us that they were the authors of the Alternative 5, which would change one sentence in the current Pollution Control Standards, changing Chapter 1: General Provisions, 1.1 Authority and Purpose, paragraph 6 from. *“Specific wastewater discharge requirements are established in these regulations and **must be incorporated** into discharge permits....”* to read, *“Specific pollution control standards are established in these regulations and **shall be considered** when developing discharge permits.....”*

We understand that the Alternative 5 was offered as a compromise and that it would retain the ORSANCO role of setting Pollution Control Standards. We are concerned that this proposed compromise would weaken the effectiveness of the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards in certain states such as Indiana. However, this Alternative 5 is still far better than Alternative 2.

We are also pleased to report that at our meeting, we all shared a genuine agreement that ORSANCO was very important – vital - to the Commonwealth of Kentucky and to the future of the Ohio River. We shared a commitment to help ORSANCO regain and maintain public support.

One of the ways that ORSANCO can regain and maintain public support is by taking the lead in addressing persistent and newly emerging water pollution problems. Instead of considering Alternative 2, ORSANCO should take the lead in setting numeric nutrient standards for the Ohio River. This need is longstanding and ORSANCO is in the best position to lead. In fact, this exactly what ORSANCO reported that it was working on in the most recent 2017 ORSANCO Annual Report, at pages 14,15:

Nutrient Criteria Development

Excessive nutrients have long been an issue in our nation's waterways, and the Ohio River is no exception. To resolve this issue, ORSANCO staff have been working towards defensible nutrient criteria for the Ohio River for over a decade using nutrient, planktonic algae, and chlorophyll-a....

In 2014, ORSANCO purchased 60 continuous dissolved oxygen loggers to obtain this information that was previously unavailable. Since then, these loggers have been placed alongside the macroinvertebrate samplers in the Belleville, Markland, McAlpine, Olmstead/Open Water, Montgomery, Racine, JT Myers, Willow Island, Greenup, and Cannelton pools in the Ohio River. Additionally, water samples were obtained for nutrient and chlorophyll-a analysis at each of these sites.

ORSANCO staff are currently analyzing the data from this paired study, and early indications are promising that defensible nutrient criteria can be developed from this approach. This study is scheduled to continue during the 2017 field season.

The need is compelling. The 2017 Annual Report discusses current eutrophication problems in the Ohio River at page 15, 16:

Harmful Algal Blooms

Algae are present in the Ohio River throughout the year.....

Cyanobacteria can produce toxins which can be harmful if ingested. For this reason, an algal bloom which consists primarily of cyanobacteria is considered a Harmful Algal Bloom (HAB).....

*On August 19, 2015, ORSANCO received an NRC report of a paint-like green material on the Ohio River at Pike Island Locks and Dam (ORM 84.2), which covered 100 X 200 feet. This was quickly identified as the blue-green algae *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Over the next month, this bloom expanded to cover the Ohio River from Pike Island L&D to Cannelton L&D (ORM 84.2 to 720.7).....*

At the July 26, 2018 public hearing, I submitted a hard copy of the following article concerning the need to set more protective water quality standards from Nitrates:

https://www.circleofblue.org/2018/world/more-evidence-of-nitrate-cancer-risk-in-drinking-water/?mc_cid=28a90de745&mc_eid=19bc36ebb9

This is what ORSANCO should be focused on. This is what the public needs and wants from ORSANCO.

The Sierra Club in Kentucky – and throughout the Ohio River watershed - stands ready to work with ORSANCO to improve and protect the water quality in the Ohio River. We stand ready to help the public better understand what ORSANCO does and does not do. We reaffirm our earlier stated opinion that ORSANCO's role of setting Ohio River Pollution Control Standards is the most important role for ORSANCO. We ask ORSANCO to work with the Sierra Club and the other public voices that spoke on July 26, 2018 – all in strong opposition to Alternative 2. ORSANCO's continued consideration of the very unpopular Alternative 2 does great damage to ORSANCO.

We recognize that the Commonwealth of Kentucky special responsibility for the Ohio River. The Commonwealth of Kentucky has always claimed jurisdiction over the waters of the Ohio River to the water line on the northern boundary. These claims have been upheld by the United States Supreme Court.

See: **KRS 1.200 Boundary with Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois.**

(1) The boundary with Indiana begins at the point on the Ohio River common to the boundary lines for the States of Ohio, Indiana, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky, thence southwesterly, along the boundary line common to the States of Indiana and Kentucky, the boundary line being a series of straight lines between sequentially numbered geodetic points, 1927 North American Datum, as fixed by the Supreme Court of the United States in Kentucky v. Indiana, No. 81, Original, decided November 4, 1985, thence to the point on the Ohio River common to the boundary lines for the States of Indiana, Illinois, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in the case cited above.

(2) The boundary with Ohio begins at the point on the Ohio River common to the boundary lines for the States of Ohio, West Virginia, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky, thence generally northwesterly, northerly, and westerly along the boundary line common to the States of Ohio and Kentucky, the boundary line being a series of straight lines between sequentially numbered geodetic points, 1927 North American Datum, as fixed by the Supreme Court of the United States in Ohio v. Kentucky, No. 27, Original, decided April 15, 1985, thence to the point on the Ohio River common to the boundary lines for the States of Ohio, Indiana, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in the case cited above.

(3) The boundary with Illinois is the low water mark on the northern side of the Ohio River, beginning with the point on the Ohio River common to the boundary lines for the States of Indiana, Illinois, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky, thence due west and downstream with the low water mark on the northern side of the Ohio River, and into the Mississippi River, intersecting a line drawn through the center of the Mississippi.

Where the boundary of the Commonwealth of Kentucky includes the Ohio River, there is great potential for conflict with Kentucky over permits to discharge from Ohio, Indiana and Illinois into the river. ORSANCO prevents that conflict.

From the DOW 2014 Integrated Report to Congress, Volume 1, Page 76:

Of note, the Ohio River mainstem drains all but the Jackson Purchase region of westernmost Kentucky. That over two-thirds of the Ohio River mainstem fully supports the two aquatic life DUs (WAH and OSRW) of that river is an important result. This river ultimately drains the watersheds for every major Kentucky river tributary except the Tennessee River and Mississippi River minor tributaries. Of the 90,961 stream miles in the Commonwealth, the Ohio River tributaries account for 84,462 stream and river miles, or 93 percent of miles that drain the Kentucky landscape.

As the state with the greatest responsibility for the condition of the Ohio, it is Kentucky that needs all the help it can get, from ORSANCO and from the other states that also impact the Ohio and that need and depend on the Ohio River.

While the Commonwealth of Kentucky has a greater responsibility, the Kentucky Division of Water has suffered many years of inadequate funding and staffing, and political will. The Kentucky total maximum daily load backload (CWA TMDL) is huge, and - unless funding and staffing and political will change – unmanageable.

From the 2014 Integrated Report to Congress, Volume I, page 19:

States are now faced with the situation where they are asked to maintain a robust ambient monitoring program to characterize and track conditions of the state's waters (305[b] reporting) and at the same time collect data for TMDL development in hundreds of impaired water bodies and segments, eventually tracking the success of implementation. Like most states, Kentucky's schedule requires hundreds (over 2,500 as of the 2014 IR cycle) of TMDLs be developed. The 2002 303(d) list had 946 pollutant/water body combinations and those TMDLs are scheduled to be developed by 2015. Additional staff, lab resources, and especially contractual monies, must be obtained to accomplish this workload. KDOW is working to establish arrangements to fund TMDL planning, data collection, lab analysis, and development with internal, contractual, and interested third-party resources, including volunteer organizations.

From the 2014 Integrated Report to Congress, Volume II, page 2:

As of May 2012, KDOW has submitted and EPA has approved TMDLs for 313 pollutant/waterbody combinations.

Kentucky currently relies on ORSANCO for the Kentucky 305(b) and 303(e) integrated reports to congress concerning water quality in the Ohio River Main Stem.

From the 2014 Integrated Report to Congress, Volume I, page 2:

The KDOW operates its primary monitoring programs under a five-year rotating watershed management approach implemented in 1998. This IR represents monitoring efforts from the third cycle of the BMU (basin management unit) monitoring strategy; the Green River – Tradewater River BMU is the primary focus in this IR; these BMUs were monitored beginning in April 2011 – March

2012. This report also incorporates assessment data and results from monitoring that occurred during this reporting cycle outside of the BMU of focus; thus providing a statewide update of monitoring results.

Monitoring of the Ohio River mainstem is primarily accomplished by the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO).

From the 2014 Integrated Report to Congress, Volume I, page 57:

Historically, the agency deferred to ORSANCO's 305(b) report for the Ohio River, but now takes the associated data and populates the 305(b) assessment results in its ADB submission to EPA.

Kentucky DOW also relies upon ORSANCO methods and standards in other areas. From 2014 Integrated Report to Congress, Volume I, page 89:

The fish community multimetric index (modified Ohio River Fish Index or mORFI_n) derived by ORSANCO and member states is utilized to measure the health of that community and, by extension, the overall health of the biological community as a whole. This index is similar to other multimetric fish indices that have been developed and considers the ecological characteristics of the community, relative 90 condition of the physical habitat, species diversity and composition. Multiple (13) indices are combined to calculate a single score used to determine the condition of that biological community relative to overall water quality. Additional information on the mORFI_n can be obtained from the ORSANCO website at: <http://www.orsanco.org/>.

Kentucky currently sets standards that are specific to the Ohio River Main Stem, in recognition of the standards set by ORSANCO.

401 KAR 10:031. Surface water standards.

Section 9. Water Quality Criteria for the Main Stem of the Ohio River. (1) The following criteria apply to the main stem of the Ohio River from its juncture with the Big Sandy River at River Mile 317.1 to its confluence with the Mississippi River, and shall not be exceeded.

(2) These waters shall be subject to all applicable provisions of 401 KAR 10:001, 10:026, 10:029, 10:030, and this administrative regulation, except for those criteria in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this subsection.

(a) Dissolved oxygen. Instream concentrations shall average at least five and zero-tenths (5.0) mg/L per calendar day and shall not be less than four and zero-tenths (4.0) mg/L except during the April 15 - June 15 spawning season when a minimum of five and one-tenth (5.1) mg/L shall be maintained.

(b) Maximum allowable instream concentrations for nitrite-nitrogen for the protection of human health shall be one and zero-tenths (1.0) mg/L and shall be met at the edge of the assigned mixing zone.

ORSANCO is as needed today as it was in 1948 and in 1972, and that need includes setting, updating and helping to implement pollution control standards for the entire Ohio River.

We read the sections highlighted in yellow to require ORSANCO retain the responsibility to set Ohio River Pollution Control Standards:

KRS 224.18-760 Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact.

The following Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact, which has been negotiated by representatives of the states of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee and West Virginia, is approved, ratified, adopted, enacted into law, and entered into by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as a party thereto and signatory state, namely:

OHIO RIVER VALLEY WATER SANITATION COMPACT

Between the States of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee and West Virginia

*Pursuant to authority granted by an Act of the 74th Congress of the United States, Public Resolution 104, approved June 8, 1936, conferences of **delegates** appointed to draft the compact were held at Cincinnati, Ohio, on November 20, 1936; January 17, 1938; May 24, 1938; June 13, 1938; October 11, 1938.*

Whereas, A substantial part of the territory of each of the signatory states is situated within the drainage basin of the Ohio River; and

Whereas, The rapid increase in the population of the various metropolitan areas situated within the Ohio drainage basin, and the growth in industrial activity within that area, have resulted in recent years in an increasingly serious pollution of the waters and streams within the said drainage basin, constituting a grave menace to the health, welfare, and recreational facilities of the people living in such basin, and occasioning great economic loss; and

Whereas, The control of future pollution and the abatement of existing pollution in the waters of said basin are of prime importance to the people thereof, and can best be accomplished through the cooperation of the States situated therein, by and through a joint or common agency;

Now, Therefore, The States of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee and West Virginia do hereby covenant and agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

Each of the signatory States pledges to each of the other signatory States faithful cooperation in the control of future pollution in and abatement of existing pollution from the rivers, streams and waters in the Ohio River basin which flow through, into or border upon any of such signatory States, and in order to effect such object, agrees to enact any necessary legislation to enable each such State to place and maintain the waters of said basin in a satisfactory sanitary condition, available for safe and satisfactory use as public and industrial water supplies after reasonable treatment, suitable for recreational usage, capable of maintaining fish and other aquatic life, free from unsightly or malodorous nuisances due to floating solids or sludge deposits, and adaptable to such other uses as may be legitimate.

ARTICLE II

The signatory States hereby create a district to be known as the "Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation District," hereinafter called the District, which shall embrace all territory within the signatory States, the water in which flows ultimately into the Ohio River, or its tributaries.

ARTICLE III

The signatory States hereby create the "Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission," hereinafter called the Commission, which shall be a body corporate, with the powers and duties set forth herein, and such additional powers as may be conferred upon it by subsequent action of the respective legislatures of the signatory States or by act or acts of the Congress of the United States.

ARTICLE IV

The Commission shall consist of three commissioners from each State, each of whom shall be a citizen of the State from which he is appointed, and three commissioners representing the United States Government. The commissioners from each State shall be chosen in the manner and for the terms provided by the laws of the States from which they shall be appointed, and any commissioner may be removed or suspended from office as provided by the law of the State from which he shall be appointed. The commissioners representing the United States shall be appointed by the President of the United States, or in such other manner as may be provided by Congress. The commissioners shall serve without compensation, but shall be paid their actual expenses incurred in and incident to the performance of their duties; but nothing herein shall prevent the appointment of an officer or employee of any State or of the United States Government.

ARTICLE V

The Commission shall elect from its number a chairman and vice chairman, and shall appoint, and at its pleasure remove or discharge, such officers and legal, clerical, expert and other assistants as may be required to carry the provisions of this compact into effect, and shall fix and determine their duties, qualifications and compensation. It shall adopt a seal and suitable bylaws, and shall adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for its management and control. It may establish and maintain one or more offices within the District for the transaction of its business, and may meet at any time or place. One or more commissioners from a majority of the member States shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business.

The Commission shall submit to the Governor of each State, at such time as he may request, a budget of its estimated expenditures for such period as may be required by the laws of such State for presentation to the legislature thereof.

The Commission shall keep accurate books of account, showing in full its receipts and disbursements, and said books of account shall be open at any reasonable time to the inspection of such representatives of the respective signatory States as may be duly constituted for that purpose.

On or before the first day of December of each year, the Commission shall submit to the respective governors of the signatory States a full and complete report of its activities for the preceding year.

The Commission shall not incur any obligations of any kind prior to the making of appropriations adequate to meet the same; nor shall the Commission pledge the credit of any of the signatory States, except by and with the authority of the legislature thereof.

ARTICLE VI

It is recognized by the signatory States that no single standard for the treatment of

sewage or industrial wastes is applicable in all parts of the District due to such variable factors as size, flow, location, character, self-purification, and usage of waters within the District. The guiding principle of this compact shall be that pollution by sewage or industrial wastes originating within a signatory State shall not injuriously affect the various uses of the interstate waters as hereinbefore defined.

All sewage from municipalities or other political subdivisions, public or private institutions, or corporations, discharged or permitted to flow into these portions of the Ohio River and its tributary waters which form boundaries between, or are contiguous to, two or more signatory States, or which flow from one signatory State into another signatory State, shall be so treated, within a time reasonable for the construction of the necessary works, as to provide for substantially complete removal of settleable solids, and the removal of not less than forty-five percent (45%) of the total suspended solids; provided that in order to protect the public health or to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes, including those specified in Article I, in specific instances such higher degree of treatment shall be used as may be determined to be necessary by the Commission after investigation, due notice and hearing.

All industrial wastes discharged or permitted to flow into the aforesaid waters shall be modified or treated, within a time reasonable for the construction of the necessary works, in order to protect the public health or to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes, including those specified in Article I, to such degree as may be determined to be necessary by the Commission after investigation, due notice and hearing.

All sewage or industrial wastes discharged or permitted to flow into tributaries of the aforesaid waters situated wholly within one State shall be treated to that extent, if any, which may be necessary to maintain such waters in a sanitary and satisfactory condition at least equal to the condition of the waters of the interstate stream immediately above the confluence.

The Commission is hereby authorized to adopt, prescribe and promulgate rules, regulations and standards for administering and enforcing the provisions of this article.

ARTICLE VII

Nothing in this compact shall be construed to limit the powers of any signatory State, or to repeal or prevent the enactment of any legislation or the enforcement of any requirement by any signatory State, imposing additional conditions and restrictions to further lessen or prevent the pollution of waters within its jurisdiction.

ARTICLE VIII

The Commission shall conduct a survey of the territory included within the District, shall study the pollution problems of the District, and shall make a comprehensive report for the prevention or reduction of stream pollution therein. In preparing such report, the Commission shall confer with any national or regional planning body which may be established, and any department of the Federal Government authorized to deal with matters relating to the pollution problems of the District. The Commission shall draft and recommend to the governors of the various signatory States uniform legislation dealing with the pollution of rivers, streams and waters and other pollution problems within the District. The Commission shall consult with and advise the various States, communities, municipalities, corporations, persons or other entities with regard to particular problems

connected with the pollution of waters, particularly with regard to the construction of plants for the disposal of sewage, industrial and other waste. The Commission shall, more than one month prior to any regular meeting of the legislature of any State which is a party thereto, present to the governor of the State its recommendations relating to enactments to be made by any legislature in furthering the intents and purposes of this compact.

ARTICLE IX

The Commission may from time to time, after investigation and after a hearing, issue an order or orders upon any municipality, corporation, person, or other entity discharging sewage or industrial waste into the Ohio River or any other river, stream or water, any part of which constitutes any part of the boundary line between any two or more of the signatory States or into any stream any part of which flows from any portion of one signatory State through any portion of another signatory State. Any such order or orders may prescribe the date on or before which such discharge shall be wholly or partially discontinued, modified or treated or otherwise disposed of. The Commission shall give reasonable notice of the time and place of the hearing to the municipality, corporation or other entity against which such order is proposed. No such order shall go into effect unless and until it receives the assent of at least a majority of the commissioners from each of not less than a majority of the signatory states; and no such order upon a municipality, corporation, person or entity in any State shall go into effect unless and until it receives the assent of not less than a majority of the commissioners from such State. It shall be the duty of the municipality, corporation, person or other entity to comply with any such order issued against it or him by the Commission, and any court of general jurisdiction or any United States district court in any of the signatory States shall have the jurisdiction, by mandamus, injunction, specific performance or other form of remedy, to enforce any such order against any municipality, corporation or other entity domiciled or located within such State or whose discharge of the waste takes place within or adjoining such State, or against any employee, department or subdivision of such municipality, corporation, person or other entity; provided, however, such court may review the order and affirm, reverse or modify the same upon any of the grounds customarily applicable in proceedings for court review of administrative decisions. The Commission or, at its request, the Attorney-General or other law enforcing official, shall have power to institute in such court any action for the enforcement of such order.

ARTICLE X

The signatory States agree to appropriate for the salaries, office and other administrative expenses, their proper proportion of the annual budget as determined by the Commission and approved by the Governors of the signatory States, one-half of such amount to be prorated among the several States in proportion to their population within the District at the last preceding federal census, the other half to be prorated in proportion to their land area within the District.

ARTICLE XI

This compact shall become effective upon ratification by the legislatures of a majority of the States located within the District and upon approval by the Congress of

the United States; and shall become effective as to any additional States signing thereafter at the time of such signing.

The Commonwealth of Kentucky consents that the State of Virginia may become a party to and a signatory state of the aforesaid compact as fully as if it had been expressly named therein.


Effective: October 1, 1942

History: Recodified 1942 Ky. Acts ch. 208, sec. 1, effective October 1, 1942, from Ky. Stat. sec. 4286b-1.

Formerly codified as KRS 224.190, and also previously codified as KRS 220.550.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Hank Graddy
Chair, Water Committee
Cumberland Chapter (Kentucky), Sierra Club



From: [Madeline Fleisher](#)
To: [PCS Comments](#)
Cc: [Rich Cogen](#)
Subject: PCS comments - amended Environmental Organization comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:08:55 PM

See below resubmitted comments, with Ohio River Foundation included as a signatory:

To Whom It May Concern:

The Environmental Law & Policy Center, Food & Water Watch, Izaak Walton League of America - Indiana Division, Izaak Walton League of America - Ohio Division, Kentucky Waterways Alliance, Lower Ohio River Waterkeeper, Ohio River Foundation, Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition, Prairie Rivers Network, River Fields, Cumberland Chapter (Ky.) Sierra Club, Sierra Club Hoosier Chapter, Sierra Club Illinois Chapter, Sierra Club Ohio Chapter, Valley Watch, and West Virginia Rivers Coalition (collectively, "Environmental Organizations"), submit these supplemental comments to the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission ("ORSANCO") regarding the 2018 review of ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards ("PCS"). As set forth in initial comments submitted in February 2018, the Environmental Organizations strongly oppose ORSANCO's proposed revisions to the PCS, which would eliminate consistent, river-wide water quality standards for the Ohio River and instead leave protection of its water quality to individual states along its 981 mile length. We include the initial Environmental Organization comments submitted on February 23, 2018, as an appendix for reference, rather than reiterating the same points here.

These supplemental comments focus on providing new information we have developed since the initial review period. A central assumption of ORSANCO's initial discussion of this proposal was that the ORSANCO PCS are redundant and unnecessary in light of state regulation under the Clean Water Act – despite information showing that the ORSANCO standards in many cases are more protective than state standards alone. **That assumption is not correct.** Our own investigation has shown that ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards **do** result in Clean Water Act permits that provide more protection for water quality in the Ohio River than state standards alone, particularly for states like Indiana or Pennsylvania that expressly apply the ORSANCO PCS where they are more stringent than state water quality criteria.

Eliminating the PCS could therefore result in lower water quality for the river going forward, such as by lowering safeguards against ammonia and bacterial discharges that can compromise drinking water and recreational uses of the Ohio River. We therefore urge ORSANCO to reject the pending proposal to eliminate the PCS outright, and instead consider a tailored approach that would continue ORSANCO's role of providing uniform protection for the Ohio River and a mechanism to address the unique potential for interstate water quality problems with a river that flows through six states and receives discharges from hundreds of facilities.

A. The 2018 Pollution Control Standards Proposal

The proposed language for the 2018 revision of ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards provides:

Because all states are mandated by the federal Clean Water Act to adopt and submit for USEPA approval a program that addresses designated uses, free from mandates, wastewater discharge requirements, water quality standards, mixing zones, and more, the Commission has concluded that the requirements of the Compact are being satisfied by member state programs implementing the federal Clean Water Act. The Commission has also concluded that all of its member states are implementing programs approved under the federal Clean Water Act for the safe and satisfactory uses of the Ohio River as public and industrial water supplies after reasonable treatment, suitable for recreational usage, capable of maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and that therefore the requirement of the Compact are being satisfied by member states through these programs. Given the fact that all member states are implementing approved programs under the federal Clean Water Act, the Commission will discontinue the triennial review process of updating the PCS rules. By proceeding under this approach the Commission is confident that public will have the full and complete protection of the federal Clean Water Act and the oversight of USEPA and the states without the redundancy of the current PCS program.

This proposal rests on two key assumptions: first, that the ORSANCO PCS are "redundan[t]" in light of existing state water quality protections under the Clean Water Act; and second, that the federal Clean Water Act will continue to be sufficient to protect water quality in the Ohio River.

B. ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards Do Provide Unique Protections for Ohio River Quality That Are Not Duplicated by State Clean Water Act Standards.

As shown in ORSANCO's own analysis prior to the initial comment period for the 2018 PCS process, there are a number of pollutants for which the ORSANCO standard is filling in a gap in state standards or providing a more protective standard. See Detailed Compilation of ORSANCO PCS vs USEPA and Mainstem States Water Quality Standards, *available at* <http://www.orsanco.org/2018-pollution-control-standards>. This analysis raised the key question of whether ORSANCO's additional or more stringent standards in fact provide more protection for water quality in the Ohio River. We know that some states, such as Indiana and Pennsylvania, explicitly rely on ORSANCO standards where they provide necessary protection for Ohio River water quality above and beyond the state's water quality criteria. See, e.g., 327 Indiana Admin. Code 5-2-10(a)(4)(c); 25 Pennsylvania Admin. Code § 93.9(b) (also incorporating criteria from the Delaware River Basin Commission and the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement). We have therefore attempted to survey at least some of the individual Clean Water Act permits for facilities that discharge to the Ohio River, and have found that there are some pollutants, such as ammonia and fecal coliform/E. coli, where the ORSANCO standards are – as a practical matter – providing important protections for the Ohio River.

For purposes of this analysis, we examined publicly available Clean Water Act permits and permitting documents for facilities with the Ohio River as their receiving waters. There are approximately 900 such facilities based on a search of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's PCS-ICIS system (<https://www.epa.gov/enviro/pcs-icis-search>). We were not able to review all of these permits, in large part because the state agencies responsible for issuing the permits do not make the documents readily available to the public. Thus, we have been able to review only a handful of permits for facilities in West Virginia and Kentucky, while more comprehensively searching permits for facilities in states like Indiana and Ohio that provide access through their state environmental agency websites.

Despite the limitations on our ability to conduct a comprehensive review, we found more than 20 examples where states are in fact applying ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards to reduce harmful pollution discharges to the Ohio River. These include permits or other permitting documents showing that regulators have looked to the PCS to set permit limits:

Pennsylvania

- PA0002208 - Shell Chemical Appalachia Petrochemicals Complex: ORSANCO PCS for temperature

West Virginia

- WV0004499 - Mountain State Carbon: ORSANCO PCS for Ammonia-N, temperature

Ohio

- OH0025453 - Little Miami W Wastewater Treatment Plant WTP: ORSANCO PCS for E. coli
- OH0025470 - Muddy Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for E. coli
- OH0024970 - City of East Liverpool Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for E. coli
- OH0028762 - General James M. Gavin Plant: ORSANCO PCS for E. coli

Kentucky

- KY0004049 - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant: ORSANCO PCS for acute ammonia criterion
- KY0107000 - Cash Creek Generation Station: ORSANCO PCS for acute ammonia criterion

Indiana

- IN0002101 – Sabic Innovative Plastics: ORSANCO PCS standard for copper and others
- IN0002259 - SIGECO F.B. Culley Generating Station: ORSANCO PCS for mercury (water column)
- IN0002470 - CountryMark Refining & Logistics, LLC: ORSANCO PCS for Ammonia-N and fluoride
- IN0020231 - Town of Vevay Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for fecal coliform, summer Ammonia-N
- IN0020508 - City of Charlestown Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for fecal coliform, summer Ammonia-N
- IN0021067 - City of Rockport South Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for fecal coliform, summer Ammonia-N
- IN0021121 - Town of Leavenworth Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for fecal coliform, summer Ammonia-N
- IN0024431 - City of Rising Sun Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for E. coli. Ammonia-N
- IN0032956 - City of Evansville West Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for fecal coliform
- IN0052191 - SIGECO A.B. Brown Generating Station: ORSANCO PCS for mercury (water column)
- IN0056391 - Town of Patriot Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for fecal coliform, summer Ammonia-N
- IN0059781 - Caesars Riverboat Casino Wastewater Treatment Plant: ORSANCO PCS for Ammonia-N

Illinois

- IL0004081 – LaFarge Midwest Joppa Plant: ORSANCO PCS for Total Suspended Solids, BOD5, E. Coli
- IL0004421 – Honeywell – Metropolis Works Facility: ORSANCO PCS for temperature, Total Suspended Solids, E. coli

These permits offer direct evidence that ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards are vital to protect the Ohio River and its designated uses. The fecal coliform and E. coli limits in the above permits are important given the Ohio River's a long history of bacterial pollution impairing its use for contact recreation. The continued existence and application of ORSANCO's bacterial standards is vital to resolving this serious problem.

A number of the above permits also contain PCS-based limits on ammonia discharges that, as noted in the Water Users Advisory Committee comments, can otherwise disrupt drinking water treatment processes. *See also* American Water Works Association, Ammonia Fact Sheet, <https://www.awwa.org/Portals/0/files/resources/water%20knowledge/rc%20small%20systems/AWWA's%20Ammonia%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf>. Although the Clean Water Act contains some "anti-backsliding" protections against loosening existing permit limits, it is unclear how they would apply if ORSANCO were to eliminate the PCS going forward. That issue is certainly likely to arise in a number of permit renewal proceedings, consuming time and resources regardless of the outcome. Accordingly, ORSANCO's proposed withdrawal of the PCS could well cause immediate harm to people's ability to use and rely on clean, safe water in the Ohio River.

It is also clear that ORSANCO's PCS have played an important role in protecting the river's water quality in the past, in ways that illustrate the importance of keeping the standards in place going forward. For example, the Indiana Culley and Brown coal plants apparently previously installed additional treatment technology to lower their mercury discharges to meet the ORSANCO water column limit. *See* Vectren Energy Deliver of Indiana, 2016 Integrated Resource Plan at 257, *available at* https://iurc.portal.in.gov/_entity/sharepointdocumentlocation/a1198d07-b81e-e711-8121-1458d04ecfb0/bb9c6bba-fd52-45ad-8e64-a444aef13c39?file=44927_Vectren%20South_No%201%20Direct%20Testimony%20and%20Attachments_Harris_041017.pdf. While the 2015 PCS revisions allow for waivers from application of ORSANCO's mercury standard, there continue to be fish tissue samples from the river showing methylmercury above levels safe for human consumption, especially in the lower reaches downstream of multiple mercury dischargers. Should that contamination worsen, ORSANCO might revisit these waivers and resume its role in driving reduction of mercury pollution in the Ohio River.

Could such emerging and evolving pollution problems be addressed through state-by-state and permit-by-permit efforts? Perhaps, but not without significant efforts by regulators, citizens, and environmental organizations such as ourselves that may be much less likely to occur

consistently and comprehensively outside the PCS review process. As noted in several sets of comments on the initial version of this proposal, there are contaminants besides mercury – such as TDS and selenium limits, numeric nutrient criteria, Clean Water Act section 305(b) assessments, and others where the PCS provide the most streamlined and consistent way to address water quality issues along the full length of the Ohio River.

C. Consistent Standards for a Single Water Body Have Been Important to Protect Water Quality in Other Watersheds.

A handful of comments from industry imply that ORSANCO's role in setting pollution standards for the entire Ohio River is a national outlier that serves no real function and merely duplicates state regulation under the Clean Water Act. However, a regional approach to addressing water quality problems has been both necessary and successful in other watersheds even in the present day. One useful example is the Delaware River Basin Commission ("DRBC"), a regional state-federal commission that, like ORSANCO, has the authority to set uniform water quality standards for its entire watershed. *See* Delaware River Basin Commission, About DRBC, <https://www.state.nj.us/drbc/about>.

The DRBC has been able to use this authority to set regional water quality standards spurring effective reductions in levels of contaminants such as PCBs and bacteria. *See* DRBC, Delaware River Water Quality: A Brief Recap, <https://www.state.nj.us/drbc/quality>; Gerald J. Kauffman Jr., The Delaware River Revival: Four Centuries of Historic Water Quality Change From Henry Hudson to Benjamin Franklin to JFK, *Pennsylvania History: A Journal of Mid-Atlantic Studies*, Volume 77, Number 4, Autumn 2010, pp. 432-465, available at <http://www.wrc.udel.edu/wp-content/Research/The%20Delaware%20River%20Revival%20G%20J%20Kauffman%202010.pdf>. Now the DRBC is considering how to best address nutrient pollution issues. DRBC, Nutrients, <https://www.state.nj.us/drbc/quality/conventional/nutrients-monitoring.html>. Like ORSANCO, one approach the DRBC is considering is to set appropriate phosphorus and nitrogen levels for the Delaware River Basin.

Regional frameworks such as these are especially important because, as noted in our initial comments submitted on February 23, 2018, the Clean Water Act lacks a strong mechanism for addressing pollution problems across state boundaries. The DRBC has provided a successful supplement to the Clean Water Act in this respect. ORSANCO can similarly rely on the PCS to deal with the Ohio River's own pollution problems as they emerge, but only if the Commission rejects the proposal to eliminate the PCS altogether.

D. Conclusion

As summarized in our initial comments and these supplemental comments, the proposal to eliminate ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards is not benign. The PCS are not redundant; they do play an important role in protecting the Ohio River by ensuring uniform protection of water quality and providing a level playing field for dischargers up and down the river. Any attempt to replicate those protections through state-by-state and permit-by-permit efforts will inevitably consume significant time and resources and in the end likely prove less effective. Therefore, ORSANCO should reject the proposed elimination of the PCS and maintain its role in providing consistent, coordinated water quality standards for the full length of the Ohio River.

Sincerely,

/s/ Madeline Fleisher

Madeline Fleisher
Senior Attorney
Environmental Law & Policy Center
21 W. Broad St., 8th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
(614) 569-3827
mfleisher@elpc.org

Andrea Chu
Midwest Regional Organizer
Food & Water Watch
670 W Hubbard St, #300
Chicago, IL 60654

Keith Halper
President
Izaak Walton League of America, Indiana Division
19 166th St.
Calumet City, IL 60409

Merlin Peterson
President
Izaak Walton League of America, Ohio Division
13217 Patten Track Road
Monroeville, OH 44847-9630

Ward G. Wilson, P.E.
Executive Director
Kentucky Waterways Alliance
120 Webster St, Suite 217
Louisville, KY 40206

Jason Flickner

Director & Waterkeeper
Lower Ohio River Waterkeeper
223 East Spring Street
New Albany, IN 47150

Richard M. Cogen
Executive Director
Ohio River Foundation
P.O. Box 42460
Cincinnati, OH 45242

Robin Blakeman
Project Coordinator
Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition
P.O. Box 6753
Huntington, WV 25773-6753

Carol Hays
Executive Director
Prairie Rivers Network
1605 South State Street
Suite 1
Champaign, IL 61820

Meme Sweets Runyon
Executive Director
River Fields
1201 Story Ave. Ste. 215
Louisville, KY 40206

Hank Graddy
Chair, Water Committee
Cumberland Chapter (Ky.) Sierra Club
137 North Main Street
Versailles, KY 40383

Richard Hill
Chair
Sierra Club Hoosier Chapter
1100 W 42nd St, Suite 140
Indianapolis, IN 46208

Cindy Skrukud
Clean Water Program Director
Sierra Club Illinois Chapter
70 E Lake St, Ste 1500
Chicago, IL 60601

Guy Marentette
Chairman
Sierra Club Ohio Chapter
131 N. High Street, Suite 605
Columbus, Ohio 43215

John Blair
President
Valley Watch
800 Adams Avenue
Evansville, IN 47713

Angie Rosser
Executive Director
West Virginia Rivers Coalition
3501 MacCorkle Ave SE #129
Charleston, WV 25304

Appendix: February 23, 2018 Initial Environmental Organization Comments

To Whom It May Concern:

The Environmental Law & Policy Center, Hoosier Environmental Council, Kentucky Waterways Alliance, Lower Ohio River Waterkeeper, Ohio Environmental Council, Ohio River Foundation, Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition, Sierra Club Cumberland Chapter (Kentucky), Sierra Club Hoosier Chapter, Sierra Club Ohio Chapter, Three Rivers Waterkeeper, Valley Watch, and West Virginia Rivers Coalition

(collectively, “Environmental Organizations”), submit these comments to the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (“ORSANCO”) regarding the 2018 review of ORSANCO’s Pollution Control Standards (“PCS”). In its solicitation of comments, ORSANCO indicated that a majority of Commissioners support withdrawing from its role in maintaining, administering, and periodically updating the current Pollution Control Standards. The Environmental Organizations strongly oppose that proposal and urge ORSANCO to continue in its role as a source of consistent and expert input on appropriate pollution control standards for the Ohio River. We therefore ask the Commission to reject proposed Alternative 2 in favor of an approach that, consistent with Alternatives 3 and 4, allows ORSANCO to continue to apply its expertise and unique interstate perspective to protect water quality for the entire Ohio River.

I. BACKGROUND

A. ORSANCO’s History and Authority

The Ohio River flows almost a thousand miles through six states, with more states included in its drainage basin. In 1948, eight of those states – Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia – signed the interstate compact that created ORSANCO and established the commitment of those states to “enact any necessary legislation to enable each such State to place and maintain the waters of said basin in a satisfactory sanitary condition, available for safe and satisfactory use as public and industrial water supplies after reasonable treatment, suitable for recreational usage, capable of maintaining fish and other aquatic life, free from unsightly or malodorous nuisances due to floating solids or sludge deposits, and adaptable to such other uses as may be legitimate.” The Compact provides ORSANCO with authority to promulgate rules and issue orders to ensure that “[a]ll industrial wastes discharged or permitted to flow into the aforesaid waters shall be modified or treated, within a time reasonable for the construction of the necessary works, in order to protect the public health or to preserve the waters for [these uses] . . . to such degree as may be determined to be necessary by the Commission after investigation, due notice and hearing.”

As early as 1949, ORSANCO began putting in place water treatment standards to carry out this obligation. In 1972, Congress enacted the “Clean Water Act” (“CWA”) as we know it today, and in 1984 ORSANCO revised its standards to mirror the Clean Water Act structure of designated uses, water quality criteria to protect those uses, and effluent limitations (including mixing zone requirements and a variance procedure). ORSANCO has since reviewed and updated these Pollution Control Standards every three years.

B. The 2018 Pollution Control Standards Proposal

In 2014, ORSANCO established the Ad Hoc Committee on Water Quality Standards Implementation to review how the Pollution Control Standards were being implemented. In 2015 ORSANCO directed the committee to evaluate options relating to ORSANCO’s future role in setting water quality standards. The committee subsequently formulated five alternative proposals for ORSANCO’s role going forward. These alternatives can be grouped into two categories, with Alternatives 1, 2 and 5 calling for a markedly reduced role and Alternatives 3 and 4 calling for a more focused application of ORSANCO’s current standard-setting approach. These five alternatives, and the relevant considerations for each, are described in ORSANCO’s Water Quality Standards Alternative Summary.

Alternative 1 would “[e]liminate the Pollution Control Standards Program and defer to the requirements of the Clean Water Act and member state water quality regulations.” The considerations listed in favor of this alternative are that it would potentially “free up resources” to be reprogrammed to other ORSANCO activities, and would also avert the problem that at least one Ohio River state cannot adopt ORSANCO’s Pollution Control Standards by reference.

Alternative 2 would suspend the triennial review process for the Pollution Control Standards and deem all states in compliance with the Standards to the extent they are implementing approved programs under the federal Clean Water Act. The description of this alternative presumes that the Pollution Control Standards are redundant and that resources currently dedicated to the PCS could be “redirected to other Commission activities” such as “assessments of the water quality and biological integrity of the water, public out-reach, spill detection and response.”

Alternative 3 would “[u]tilize a cost effective approach to finalize uniform WQS rules for the Ohio River by tasking ORSANCO to take the lead in order to eliminate duplication of efforts among six states and save resources. Also, as a starting point, utilize work done by USEPA to develop WQS to avoid conducting basic research.” This proposal would be aimed at protecting water quality and promoting consistency among states, while reducing the overall costs of developing water quality standards and allowing states flexibility to adopt alternative standards when appropriate. It would also enable ORSANCO to continue carrying out the work plan requirements for its section 106 grant from U.S. EPA, which currently includes development of numeric pollution control standards for the Ohio River and constitutes 50% of ORSANCO’s budget.

Alternative 4, similar to Alternative 3, would focus on harmonizing water quality standards across the Ohio River. ORSANCO would look at “standards on an individual basis as warranted,” to ensure coordinated implementation to “restore and maintain the quality of and protect uses of the Ohio River.” This approach would be carried out by the NPDES Committee, working with staff and member states on permitting and certification processes “with a goal of assuring that protection and achievement of pollution controls needed to maintain those uses occurs throughout the Ohio River system.” Even where a state has not formally adopted an ORSANCO standard, the Commission will work with the state so that any permit “achieves a comparable level of protection of the designated uses.”

Finally, Alternative 5 would alter the nature of the PCS, from binding standards to simple recommendations that member states should consider in developing and issuing permits. This alternative rests on the proposition that “the process of promulgating the PCS is redundant of member states’ triennial review of water quality standards.”

ORSANCO has identified Alternative 2 as its “preferred alternative” for consideration in the 2018 PCS review.

II. DISCUSSION

The Commission’s preference for Alternative 2 appears to rest on two primary grounds: first, that it will save resources for ORSANCO to eliminate the Pollution Control Standards from its workload; and second, that the Pollution Control Standards do not serve a significant function in protecting the Ohio River. Neither of these rationales has been adequately explored or supported with detailed facts.

It is entirely reasonable for ORSANCO to be concerned about making the most of its limited financial resources. However, in considering the best use of those resources, it is important to keep in mind that the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards play an important role in preserving state resources by allowing standards for at least some pollutants, as well as the overall assessment of whether the Ohio River is suffering any serious water quality problems, to be dealt with across the entire river rather than on a state-by-state basis. If ORSANCO were to eliminate the PCS, Ohio River states might well be worse off in the long run without an efficient forum for establishing and updating standards for all pollutants, and an irreplaceable venue for determining a river-wide approach to particularly problematic pollutants.

A. Individual Pollutants

ORSANCO has provided summary-level data from a 2015 staff survey of state water quality standards versus ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards, which shows that there are significant discrepancies among state standards. While Alternative 2 presumes that these discrepancies are meaningless and that the PCS and state water quality standards are redundant, that presumption is not backed up by any factual analysis. Moreover, Alternative 2 also assumes that if the PCS were eliminated, ORSANCO could redirect the resources used to maintain the PCS with no adverse effects on ORSANCO or its member states. That reasoning likewise lacks any detailed foundation.

1. The Problem of State-ORSANCO Disparities

The ORSANCO analysis indicates that, for the pollutants compared as of 2015:

- There are 188 instances in which ORSANCO has criteria but a member state environmental agency does not;
- There are 252 instances in which member state agencies have parameters that are more than 10% less stringent than ORSANCO's criteria;
- There are 405 instances in which the member state agencies are within 10% of, or more stringent than, the ORSANCO criteria.
- There are 342 instances in which the member state has criteria but ORSANCO does not.

In other words, based on this survey there are 440 pollutants for which the ORSANCO standard is either more stringent than a member state standard or there simply is no state standard. By comparison, there are 747 instances where the state standard is more stringent than or equivalent to the ORSANCO standard, or ORSANCO lacks a standard for the relevant pollutant. Overall, this represents 1187 points of comparison, with 440 – or almost 40% -- where the ORSANCO standard is filling in a gap in state standards or providing a more protective standard.

ORSANCO provided tables containing the information underlying this comparison on February 20, 2018, four days before the deadline for comment, and therefore we have not undertaken a thorough analysis of individual water quality criteria. However, even an initial survey of the data shows that there are concerning inconsistencies and gaps among the state standards. For example, the human health criteria table shows that as of 2015, Ohio and Kentucky both had limits of 10 micrograms per liter for arsenic – significantly higher than ORSANCO's 0.1 microgram per liter criterion. Similarly, Indiana and Ohio both apparently have criteria for polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs") that are less stringent than ORSANCO's, which is particularly notable given that the Ohio River's fish consumption use is partially impaired by PCB contamination. While the explanation for these discrepancies is unknown, it shows that some states' standards are not as protective as those in other Ohio River states. Without an ORSANCO standard providing uniformity, there is potential for polluting industries to migrate to states with less restrictive criteria, leaving those states downstream or across the river to deal with their pollution without gaining any of the economic benefits.

The Clean Water Act does not provide an efficient tool for states to address such discrepancies on their own. The Supreme Court confirmed in *Arkansas v. Oklahoma*, 503 U.S. 91 (1992), that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA") has the authority to consider effects on other states water quality standards when reviewing draft permits under Clean Water Act section 402, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. However, that authority must be applied on a permit-by-permit basis, and is discretionary with U.S. EPA, meaning that policing of interstate discharges through this mechanism would be an onerous and potentially sporadic process without any certain outcome. ORSANCO's authority to set prospective, uniform standards for the Ohio River is a unique tool not available under the Clean Water Act. Even to the extent states do not directly incorporate the ORSANCO PCS into their water quality criteria, but rather apply them to individual sources through the state permitting process, that is still an improvement over the cumbersome and likely ineffective process available under the CWA.

In addition to inconsistent standards, there are some standards where – at least according to the ORSANCO survey – the states have simply left it to ORSANCO to establish criteria. These include gross total alpha, copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, nitrites, and others. If ORSANCO were to eliminate its Pollution Control Standards, states without standards for those pollutants would presumably have to expend time and resources on filling in those gaps to ensure protection of their portions of the Ohio River.

Overall, this comparison suggests that the ORSANCO standards play a central role in ensuring consistent and up-to-date pollution standards for the Ohio River. Meanwhile, the current proposal provides no estimate, or even description, of what state work would be necessary to make up for the absence of the PCS if they were eliminated. Comments from the POTW Advisory Committee underline this concern, with one commenter pointing out that: "During this time of 'stressed' budgets and limited resources, duplication of efforts is definitely a major concern of many organizations at all levels of government (federal, state and local), as well as the public (tax/rate payers) that we serve. Under any future scenario that minimizes PCS activities, it will be important for ORSANCO to continue to provide a forum to discuss water quality standards and to promote consistency among the states to ensure the protection of the Ohio River as a shared resource." A significant problem with Alternative 2, or any approach that eliminates periodic consideration of river-wide water quality criteria, is the danger that in the absence of ORSANCO standards, inconsistencies will arise without such an adequate forum.

2. The Value of River-Wide Water Quality Criteria

As discussed above, there are clearly significant inconsistencies among state standards for the Ohio River that bear further investigation before ORSANCO can draw any conclusions about the potential costs for states to make up for the loss of the PCS. Meanwhile, the affirmative value of river-wide criteria is clear. There have already been a number of instances where ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards have and will continue to play an important role in protecting water quality in the Ohio River.

For example, over the last decade ORSANCO has acted to address a growing concern with levels of Total Dissolved Solids ("TDS") in the

Ohio River, by using an expedited PCS process in 2012 to reinstate a (previously eliminated) limit of 500 mg/L at drinking water intakes in order to protect drinking water quality. ORSANCO staff have subsequently conducted valuable research regarding background levels of TDS in order to support translation of that standard into appropriate permit limits. See ORSANCO, Characterization of Dissolved Solids in the Ohio River and Selected Tributaries (Feb. 2014), available at <http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Characterization-of-Dissolved-Solids-in-the-Ohio-River-and-Selected-Tributaries.pdf>. This is an instance where ORSANCO, because of its triennial PCS review process, was well-positioned to react swiftly to a unique Ohio River problem and provide drinking water protection along the entire river, backed up by sound science and expertise. Without the PCS process, it is not clear when affected states – themselves facing budget constraints – might have dealt with this problem and what resources they might have to use to do so. The Water Users Advisory Committee comments similarly identify pollutants such as ammonia, E. coli, temperature, and others as ones where ORSANCO's standards provide important, uniform water quality protection across the entire Ohio River to the benefit of states as well as their citizens.

Even where addressing a given pollutant is simply a matter of adopting water quality criteria issued by U.S. EPA, ORSANCO can do so efficiently in order to bring the PCS up-to-date for the entire Ohio River at one time. As one example, ORSANCO has repeatedly indicated its readiness to adopt an updated U.S. EPA aquatic life criterion for selenium. Now that the federal criteria have been issued (in summer 2016), ORSANCO can do so. Notably, some states will not be conducting triennial reviews in which they would address this development for some time – for instance, West Virginia adopted fish tissue limits based on the draft criteria in 2016, but apparently will not address the water column limits in the final criterion until its next triennial review in 2020. By addressing this issue in its 2018 PCS review, ORSANCO will ensure those additional protections are in place significantly earlier. Moreover, in addressing this and other federal criteria, ORSANCO can determine on a river-wide basis whether those criteria are appropriate for the Ohio River rather than leaving individual member states to do so, with potentially disparate results.

Looking ahead, there are emerging pollution issues where ORSANCO's PCS may similarly provide an important avenue to bring the expertise of Commission staff to bear on preserving water quality across the entire Ohio River. In recent years, ORSANCO has been engaged in trying to determine a numeric criterion for nutrient pollution in the Ohio River. This work is timely given that a massive algal bloom occurred on the river in the summer of 2015, and studies show climate change is driving temperature and precipitation changes that may increase the frequency and severity of such algae outbreaks. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, OHIO RIVER BASIN– Formulating Climate Change Mitigation/Adaptation Strategies through Regional Collaboration with the ORB Alliance 47 (May 2017), available at http://www.corpsclimate.us/docs/USACE%20Ohio%20River%20Basin%20CC%20Report_MAY%202017.pdf. Although ORSANCO's analysis has not yet identified an appropriate numeric criterion, this is a looming threat to the drinking water, recreation, and aquatic life uses of the Ohio River that ORSANCO cannot ignore. Moreover, as shown in ORSANCO's efforts to date, the Commission's staff has the relevant expertise to adequately and efficiently address this complex issue on a river-wide basis. The alternative might well be for states to waste resources as the question of numeric nutrient limits comes up on a state-by-state or even permit-by-permit basis.

Finally, ORSANCO is currently considering a study of the bioaccumulative pollutants Perfluorooctanoic Acid ("PFOA") and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate ("PFOS"), initially identified as of potential concern in a 2010 report on emerging contaminants (<http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/A-Screening-Study-Investigating-the-Presence-of-Emerging-Contaminants-within-the-Ohio-River-Basin.pdf>). Recently, as noted in ORSANCO's October 2017 TEC meeting minutes, PFOA contamination of drinking water in the Ohio River watershed has been the subject of significant litigation, and U.S. EPA has released health advisory levels for both contaminants. Although PFOA and PFOS are being largely phased out, replacement chemicals with similar properties may continue to pose a threat to Ohio River water quality. Once ORSANCO determines the scope and seriousness of this issue, it may well be appropriate to address this type of pollution through the PCS.

We could list many more instances of ORSANCO's valuable work in connection with the Pollution Control Standards. However, these examples are sufficient to highlight the key questions that Alternative 2 fails to address:

- Will eliminating the Pollution Control Standards actually significantly reduce ORSANCO's workload? A number of the efforts above, although occurring in conjunction with ORSANCO's PCS reviews, fall within its larger mission of providing expert scientific monitoring and analysis of water quality issues in the Ohio River. If the PCS were not in place, it seems likely that ORSANCO would still have a role to play in addressing issues such as identification of numeric nutrient criteria or analysis of total dissolved solid levels. Absent a detailed consideration of what work ORSANCO could in fact abandon if the PCS were eliminated, the answer to this question is unclear.
- If eliminating the PCS does truly reduce ORSANCO's activities, will it simply shift work to ORSANCO member states? For pollutants like Total Dissolved Solids, there is a clear need for a limit and analysis of how to apply that limit to sources. ORSANCO is currently providing that information in the context of the PCS. Eliminating that standard, or precluding ORSANCO from adopting such standards in the future, may simply force each state to individually duplicate the Commission's work while introducing the possibility of more disparities among water quality criteria the Ohio River states. As several other commenters have noted, this shift in workload may also result in a commensurate reduction in federal or other funding.
- Will adopting Alternative 2 prevent ORSANCO from addressing emerging pollution issues in a timely manner? Although the Commission could technically resume its role in setting standards, the regular triennial review process encourages all stakeholders to actively consider and address whether existing standards are adequately protecting the Ohio River's water quality. Without that forum for consideration of both urgent problems like TDS and developing issues like nutrient pollution, inadequate or disparate state-level regulation could easily go unaddressed.
- Are there opportunities to increase the efficiency of the PCS process without eliminating ORSANCO's standards altogether? As noted above, there are a number of pollutants for which the PCS and state standards are similar, as well as a number where there are significant differences. We are sensitive to ORSANCO's concerns regarding whether its PCS work is redundant to ongoing state activities under the Clean Water Act. One sensible way to potentially streamline the PCS reviews would be to focus on promoting uniform standards across the Ohio River, especially for pollutants where U.S. EPA has not provided any federal criteria. This would essentially be a combination of Alternatives 3 and 4.

These significant unanswered questions show that the preference for Alternative 2 is not based on a sufficient exploration of the important issues involved in potentially eliminating a role that ORSANCO has played for decades. Fundamentally, we believe that the current PCS

process is in fact efficient and focused on the areas where ORSANCO is needed most. Nevertheless, if ORSANCO does decide to refine its approach to the PCS, we urge the Commission to ensure it avoids throwing the baby out with the bathwater and preserves the PCS as a valuable tool for providing efficient and effective water quality standards across the whole Ohio River.

B. Water Quality Assessment for the Ohio River

Sections 305(b) and 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act require each state to conduct an assessment of the status of its waters every two years and make a determination as to whether those waters are “impaired” by any pollutant. 33 U.S.C. § 1315(b); 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d); 40 C.F.R. §§ 130.7, 130.8. ORSANCO has prepared a biennial section 305(b) assessment for the Ohio River ever since 2002, offering an expert view “of the degree to which the river supports each of its four designated uses: warm water aquatic life; public water supply; contact recreation; and fish consumption.” Although ORSANCO’s section 305(b) report does not substitute for each state’s duty to assess and determine the impairment status of the portions of the Ohio River within its jurisdiction, the Ohio River states have traditionally relied heavily on ORSANCO’s work in carrying out these Clean Water Act obligations. Often, states simply adopt ORSANCO’s evaluation and conclusions wholesale in determining the impairment status of the Ohio River.

If ORSANCO adopts Alternative 2, this approach will no longer be viable. Currently, ORSANCO’s water quality assessment in its section 305(b) reports is based in large part on application of the numeric criteria included in the Pollution Control Standards. If these criteria are eliminated, ORSANCO will either have to confront the problem of applying potentially hundreds of inconsistent state criteria in assessing the Ohio River’s water quality, and may end up reaching inconsistent conclusions as to impairment status of the river based on those criteria. Alternatively, it may no longer make sense for ORSANCO to conduct the 305(b) assessment for the Ohio River, merely shifting that work to individual states and probably losing efficiencies along the way. That scenario could also result in a reduction in ORSANCO’s funding.

Finally, we note that while these concerns represent our view of the existing information, we requested that ORSANCO share the “Report of the ORSANCO Ad Hoc Water Quality Standards Implementation Committee”, dated May 11, 2015, referenced in some of the materials provided for comment. ORSANCO provided a copy of that report on February 23, the day before the comment deadline. Although we have not had adequate time to review the report for purposes of these comments, we look forward to providing ORSANCO with supplemental comments as appropriate.

III. CONCLUSION

A proposal for ORSANCO to step back from standards it has set in their current form for more than two decades is obviously a significant one. Before the Commission determines the wisest course of action, it must conduct further analysis of the key assumptions here: that the Pollution Control Standards provide no additional water quality protection beyond state standards under the Clean Water Act, and that reducing or eliminating the Pollution Control Standards review process would free up resources without any burden-shifting to states or impacts to funding. While those assumptions may prove true to some extent, we believe the appropriate response is to narrow the focus of ORSANCO’s Pollution Control Standards process along the lines of Alternatives 3 and 4. That approach would keep ORSANCO in the role of doing what it does best: providing significant scientific expertise and a basin-wide perspective on protecting the Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Madeline Fleisher
Senior Attorney
Environmental Law & Policy Center
21 W. Broad St., 8th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
(614) 569-3827
mfleisher@elpc.org

Indra Frank
Environmental Health & Water Policy Director
Hoosier Environmental Council
3951 N. Meridian Suite 100
Indianapolis, IN 46208

Bijaya Shrestha
Water Policy Director
Kentucky Waterways Alliance
120 Webster St. Suite 217
Louisville, KY 40206

Jason Flickner
Lower Ohio River Waterkeeper
1002 Oakland Drive
New Albany, IN 47150

Kristy Meyer
Vice President of Policy, Natural Resources
Ohio Environmental Council
1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite I
Columbus, 43212

Richard M. Cogen
Executive Director
Ohio River Foundation
P.O. Box 42460

Cincinnati, OH 45242

Robin Blakeman
Project Coordinator
Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition
PO Box 6753
Huntington, WV 25773-6753

Hank Graddy
Chair, Water Committee
Cumberland Chapter (Ky.) Sierra Club
137 North Main Street
Versailles, KY 40383

Bowden Quinn
Director
Sierra Club Hoosier Chapter
1100 W. 42nd Street, Suite 215
Indianapolis, IN 46208

Jen Miller
Director
Sierra Club Ohio Chapter
131 N. High Street, Suite 605
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Rob Walters
Executive Director
Three Rivers Waterkeeper
425 N. Craig Street, Suite 202
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

John Blair
President
Valley Watch
800 Adams Avenue
Evansville, IN 47713

Angie Rosser
Executive Director
West Virginia Rivers Coalition
3501 MacCorkle Ave SE #129
Charleston, WV 25304

From: PCS Comments [mailto:pcs@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:13 PM
To: Madeline Fleisher
Subject: Thank you for your comment Re: PCS Comments

ORSANCO will consider your comment with all other comments submitted.

From: [Mary Hess](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River water quality standards comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:20:21 PM

August 20, 2018

ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230

RE: PCS Comments

To Whom it may concern:

As I have read articles concerning the possibility of removing the ORSANCO pollution control standards from the OHIO river, I am appalled that such a motion has been made. Having grown up in Tell City, IN on the river I have very fond memories of a river that existed before any industry built across the river from us. I watched as a young adult how pollution began to take over the river and then as I got up in years, I was glad there were steps being taken to clean up the river. Now I find out, as what is happening throughout the country, we are moving backwards instead of forward.

Eliminating the PCS would be detrimental to the population who use the OHIO for recreation, drinking water, and a food source. We need these standards in place to keep an eye out for any accidental or intentional spills that effect not only the human equation, but the wildlife that depends on this river.

The OHIO River is not owned by industry. Please put the health of the citizen's ahead of the greed of industry. Turning the OHIO over to industry by removing these standards will surely have an adverse effect on health and the environment. Letting each state use their own standards on a body of water that stretches past several states is ludicrous. Not all states have the best standards for their citizenry. Some care more about their population than others. It is the ones who cater to industry that will surely ruin it for all of us, especially those of us down river that will have to deal with the total affects of each state's standards.

You can do the right thing. Please do! People first.

Sincerely,

Mary V Hess, President
Southwestern Indiana Citizen's for Quality of Life
Dale, IN 47523

From: David Wicks
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Attn: PCS Comments. - Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:57:25 PM
Attachments: [orsanco - letter - standards.doc](#)

River City Paddle Sports
The Community Boat House
1325 River Road
Louisville, KY 40202
www.rivercitypaddlesports.org

To: The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO)
From: Dr. David Wicks
President of the Board – River City Paddle Sports
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River
Date: August 20, 2018

I am writing to encourage ORSANCO to maintain it's standards for discharges into the Ohio River. With as many states that border the Ohio River, it is essential that there are systemic approaches to monitor and provide standards for all business and governments. If each state sets their own standards it will be a race to the bottom. The river needs your leadership.

River City Paddle Sports is a not for profit organization in Louisville's Waterfront Park at our environmental education center – 1325 River Road. We promote paddle sports on the Ohio. Currently, we are starting to work with the National Park Service and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers on developing a national Watertrail from Cincinnati to Louisville. Annually we bring over 4,000 people on recreational programs on the Ohio River. Clean water is essential for our programs.

I hope that ORSANCO will continue to be a proponent of strict and enforceable standards.
Help clean up our Ohio River.

Dr. David Wicks
River City Paddle Sports
Louisville, KY 40202
502-671-3595
Dwicks1@gmail.com

--

Dr. David Wicks
dwicks1@gmail.com
502-671-3595 (cell)
<http://www.beargrassdocumentary.org/>
www.rivercitypaddlesports.org

From: [Teena Halbig](mailto:TeenaHalbig@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:26:50 PM

FLOYDS FORK ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATION

8-19-18

TO: Orsanco
PSC@orsanco.org

FROM: FFEA
Teena Halbig, Planning Chair
6505 Echo Trail
Louisville, KY 40299
502 267-6883
TeenaHal@aol.com

Re: Comments

Hello Orsanco Board,

Although, in the past, we have asked Orsanco to meet its mission, Floyds Fork Environmental Association (FFEFA) continues to come forward to once again request that Orsanco meets its mission. Here, we ask that you:

1. NOT abandon your responsibilities and
2. NOT give authority back to states – especially, Kentucky.

Many millions of people depend on the Ohio River for many purposes, including drinking water.

It is better to have Orsanco coordination and overview as well as be that body that can foster a comparative look at all states bordering the Ohio River.

We are all contributing to the DEAD ZONE further downstream.

As more attention is being paid to algal blooms and toxins that can be produced, human health is at risk.

Changes and leadership in Kentucky, we fear, are not in the best interests of all Kentuckians but rather the few. In these respects, we have concerns for the Ohio and inland waterways as well as those streams whose water flows on to the Ohio.

Floyds Fork Environmental Association is opposed to water pollution trading. This does not protect aquatic life forms that are in the vicinity or swimming along in the area of the more concentrated discharges. Please abandon “water pollution trading”. We know Kentucky Division of Water Director Peter Goodmann pushed for this back in 2007. Again, FFEFA opposes “water pollution trading”. We never want to see this occur in Floyds Fork Creek which flows thru 5 counties (6 counties if including tributaries) on to the Salt River and into the Ohio River.

Please do not allow Kentucky to make decisions on pollutant limits. We clearly

believe this would result in reducing the health of the mighty Ohio since more pollutant amounts would surely be discharged – to worsen water quality throughout the Commonwealth of KY and also contribute more to the DEAD ZONE.

FFEA looks to Orsanco to set consistent pollutant limits for all states bordering the Ohio River.

It is wrong to abandon your mission to protect the Ohio River. Consistent limits for all states would definitely have an impact and if problems, it would be easier to rectify pollution problems.

An example: once there was no limit or regulation of Phosphorus. In 2018, we are finding phosphorus in cereals, etc. This loading of Phosphorus might be found as a problem where the source could be changed with companies removing the pollutant from the food.

However, this needs to have the oversight of one body like Orsanco to have all the states bordering the Ohio recognize the problems and look for answers which all states could follow instead of being will-nilly.

No, FFEA does not always agree with Orsanco. Nevertheless, we ask Orsanco to not abandon responsibility along the Ohio River. Please do not relinquish control to KY Division of Water which needs oversight. Please do not do away with testing for numerous pollutants that can affect the public's health and food for the public.

Sincerely,

Teena Halbig
Planning Chair
Floyds Fork Environmental Association – since 1991

From: [MARK ALAN](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: cairnsbj@koppers.com; irvinejk@koppers.com
Subject: Comments on ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards (PCS) Revisions
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:25:12 PM

Via email: PCS@orsanco.org
ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45230

Attn: PCS Comments

Re: Comments on ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards (PCS) Revisions

To Whom It May Concern:

Koppers Inc. appreciates this opportunity to comment on the revisions to the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards – 2015 Revision (the PCS) proposed by the ORSANCO Commission. ORSANCO has played an important historical role in helping to protect and preserve water quality in the Ohio River and in providing water quality data and information to the States and the public. However, in light of the dramatic changes in water quality regulation that have occurred over the past 50-plus years, it is appropriate to step back and evaluate how ORSANCO can best fulfill its mission to protect water quality in the Ohio River in the years to come.

Koppers Inc. believes that the proposed PCS revisions satisfy the goals of the ORSANCO Compact and will ensure the continued protection of water quality and aquatic life in the Ohio River, while avoiding the imposition of unnecessary and duplicative regulatory burdens. ORSANCO was created in 1948, at a time when the absence of an effective regulatory framework resulted in a critical need for water quality improvements in the Ohio River. Since that time, a comprehensive system of federal and state water quality programs and standards under the aegis of the Clean Water Act has been developed and implemented in all the ORSANCO Compact States. The result has been a dramatic improvement in the quality of the Ohio River, its tributaries, and other streams throughout the Compact States.

Today, each of the Compact States is implementing a federally-enforceable water quality program approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)

pursuant to the Clean Water Act. Each of those programs includes designated uses, “free from” mandates, water quality standards, wastewater discharge requirements, mixing zone provisions, and enforcement authorities. Those requirements are reviewed and approved by USEPA to ensure that they meet the requirements of the Clean Water Act and to provide consistency and predictability across the States’ programs. The result is a comprehensive regime that addresses each State’s streams as aquatic habitats and supports their uses for recreation and drinking water.

The ORSANCO PCS played a meaningful role in protecting water quality when the Compact was first signed, but they have become duplicative with the subsequent enactment of the federal Clean Water Act and related state water quality programs. Today, the water quality goals of the Compact are being effectively addressed by the Clean Water Act, and the PCS provide little, if any, additional environmental benefit to the Ohio River. In fact, the differences between the PCS and Clean Water Act standards can lead to confusion for the States and the regulated community, and can create complications in the permitting process, where there is often no effective way to question or challenge the appropriateness or applicability of the underlying PCS in specific permitting situations.

ORSANCO can provide the most value in the current regulatory environment and best meet the goals of the ORSANCO Compact by concentrating on its scientific and technical information gathering and research. This role allow will ORSANCO to continue to assist the States in carrying out their obligations under the Clean Water Act and to coordinate and promote consistency in water quality regulation throughout the Ohio River basin. This is an important role that only ORSANCO can perform under the current framework.

Specific Comments

In further support of this conclusion, Koppers Inc. notes the following:

- The PCS are largely duplicative of the States’ water quality standards adopted pursuant to the Clean Water Act. Beneficial use designations are essentially the same. Most water quality criteria are either the same or provide the same degree of human health and aquatic life protection. Mixing zone designations are similar. Given federal and State technology-based discharge requirements and water quality-based limits, the PCS wastewater discharge requirements are superfluous for most categorical industrial dischargers and municipal dischargers.

- Where there are differences between the ORSANCO PCS and state water quality criteria and implementation procedures, the PCS – which must be implemented by the States – sometimes result in NPDES permit conditions that are more stringent than otherwise required by the States’ standards – with no demonstrable environmental benefit.

- The implementation of the ORSANCO PCS creates confusion for the States and regulated entities. Unlike state water quality standards development and implementation, the process for adoption of the PCS provides no opportunity to challenge the appropriateness or applicability of the PCS. The result is that if a regulated entity believes that a particular PCS requirement is inappropriate, its only option is to challenge that requirement as applied in the entity’s NPDES permit – a process that does not allow the permittee to challenge the underlying soundness of the PCS and deprives permittees of regulatory and legal due process. Moreover, implementation of the PCS creates a separate set of standards that apply only to the Ohio River, and that differ from the standards that apply throughout the remainder of each of the Compact States. Eliminating this confusion will improve regulatory consistency and promote clarity for the regulated community.

- Today the regulated community faces a continual increase in the amount and complexity of environmental laws and regulations, with finite company resources available to stay abreast of the latest developments and ensure compliance. The ORSANCO PCS add another layer of complexity and cost on top of the already expansive federal and state regulatory scheme governing water quality – without demonstrable environmental benefit.

- The proposed PCS revisions would relieve ORSANCO of the significant burden of periodically reviewing and updating water quality criteria for the many pollutants currently regulated under the PCS. Under the Clean Water Act, USEPA carries this burden by periodically developing and updating recommended water quality criteria based on the latest science. The ORSANCO Compact States use the USEPA-recommended criteria to fashion their respective State water quality standards. Because the State water quality standards must protect designated uses of the Ohio River and must be approved by USEPA, the public can be assured that the goals of the ORSANCO Compact and the Clean Water Act are being addressed in a comprehensive manner.

- The resources ORSANCO currently devotes to the PCS program can be more effectively used to support other ORSANCO programs that do not overlap with state and federal water quality programs, and that provide real added value to the States.

ORSANCO has particular skill and expertise in assessing water quality and the biological integrity of the Ohio River, disseminating that information to the States and public to ensure coordination and consistency among the States' regulatory programs and other water quality initiatives, engaging in public outreach, and assisting in spill detection and response through information-gathering and coordination. These functions can provide meaningful and long-lasting benefits to water quality throughout the Ohio River basin by providing support to the States in satisfying their Clean Water Act obligations without unnecessarily wasting regulatory resources and creating confusion for the regulated community.

- Most importantly, the beneficial uses of the Ohio River associated with nearly all PCS water quality criteria are being achieved throughout the River, with a small number of exceptions that are being addressed through the States' Clean Water Act programs, under the oversight of USEPA.

In sum, Koppers Inc. believes that the proposed revisions to the ORSANCO PCS will help maintain the beneficial uses of the Ohio River consistent with the mandates of the ORSANCO Compact, while removing the duplicative and resource intensive aspects of the PCS. And they will allow ORSANCO to concentrate its resources on those tasks that it can best perform to help promote and preserve water quality in the Ohio River. We look forward to the Commission's formal approval of this proposal at its October 2018 meeting.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the proposed revisions to the ORSANCO PCS and for your careful attention to these comments.

Sincerely,
Mark C. Alan
SH&E Coordinator
North American Operations
Carbon Materials and Chemicals
Koppers Inc. | PO Box 665 | Follansbee, WV 26037 | United States
T: +1 304 527 5548 |
M: +1 304 215 4872 |
F:+1 304 527 1813
AlanMC@koppers.com



CITY OF EVANSVILLE

ONE N.W. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. BLVD. • ROOM 302
EVANSVILLE, INDIANA 47708-1833
(812) 436-4962 • FAX (812) 436-4966 • TDD/TTY (812) 436-4928
www.evansville.in.gov

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
LLOYD WINNECKE

August 20, 2018
ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230

ATTN: PCS Comments

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing on behalf of the City of Evansville expressing opposition to the proposal of eliminating pollution control standards for the Ohio River. Frankly, ORSANCO has provided invaluable support to the Evansville Water and Sewer Utility relating to water quality for several decades. The citizens of Evansville are grateful for that support.

ORSANCO has the unique role of ensuring that all states (and polluters) in the Ohio River watershed are held to the same standards. It seems that the elimination of water quality criteria developed for the Ohio River by ORSANCO could well be detrimental to the river, and the watershed as a whole.

I understand that one of the reasons cited for the consideration of discontinuing the triennial review process of updating the PCS rules is to eliminate redundancies that overlap with federal and state regulations. I find it interesting, however, that there are more than 180 instances where ORSANCO's rules are not redundant to state and federal regulations.

As mayor, there is nothing more frustrating than dealing with conflicting or duplicative federal regulation. However, we believe that the standards in place do not constitute an unnecessary regulatory burden, and rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River, while also providing protection of designated uses.

In addition to providing drinking water to 5 million people, the Ohio River is known as a "working river" because of the hundreds of industrial and wastewater treatment plants along its 981-mile route. Evansville is located at Ohio River mile marker 791, well downstream from the river's origin. Therefore, upstream water quality is very important to our city. The Ohio River is an amazing asset for Evansville. It serves as our water source, but also as a vital recreational venue and picturesque front door. Eliminating ORSANCO regulations could be a negative game changer for our community.

The City of Evansville recommends and encourages ORSANCO to continue to oversee Ohio River Pollution Control Standards to ensure the highest water quality possible.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lloyd Winnecke". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "L" and "W".

Lloyd Winnecke, Mayor
City of Evansville

From: [Alison Auciello](mailto:Alison.Auciello@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Communities United For Action](http://www.orsanco.org/communities-united-for-action)
Subject: Attn: PCS Comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:31:19 PM

ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Attn: PCS Comments

Dear Commissioners,

Communities United For Action implores you to keep your pollution control standards.

The Ohio River has repeatedly been ranked as one of the most polluted rivers in our country. Five million people depend on it for drinking water and millions more for recreation.

For too long, we have allowed polluters to help determine policies that are supposed to protect this most precious freshwater resource. With the current pollution control standards, we know that the river is unsafe for swimming and that Cincinnati has spent an untold amount of ratepayer money closing its drinking water intakes during pollution events and on additional technology to make Ohio River water safe to drink.

Caving to this industry wish list will make our river worse, and it's absurd that a body charged with protecting our river would even consider such a proposal. When they pollute, we pay.

ORSANCO, in its role as the long-time regulatory authority over Ohio River pollution, must instead bolster regulation with a singular focus on improving the health and safety of the Ohio River, not serving the interests of polluters along it. We know that if we don't use our regulatory authority over polluters, they will pollute more. We also know that we cannot live without safe, clean water.

We implore you to:

- Reject the proposal to gut your pollution control standards;
- Immediately increase pollution control standards for discharges of untreated sewage and mercury; and
- Use the next triennial review period to consider what more ORSANCO can do to improve Ohio River water quality.

Below, you will find individuals whom have signed onto the above comments and provided additional comments opposing the proposal to end ORSANCO's role as a regulatory authority.

Sincerely,
Communities United For Action

Thirty-three additional comments opposed:

Alison Taylor
2400 Reading Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45202
sgfoctrs@gmail.com

Do not eliminate important, existing Ohio River pollution standards.

Cheryl Crooker
2502 Airy Court
Cincinnati, OH 45239
ccrooker@icloud.com

Please keep our drinking water safe.

Ami Becker

3010 Paul St.
Cincinnati, OH 45208
a.becker0702@gmail.com

ORSANCO needs to maintain and strengthen their pollution control standards for industrial and sewage pollution for the Ohio River. To do anything else is criminal. Stop trying to poison people just so some fat cats can make more money.

George Jackson
1869 Greenbriar Pl.
Cincinnati, OH 45237
jacksong8669@gmail.com

Commissioners, please do not lower any water pollution standards that would allow corporations to pollute our water more.

James O'Reilly
24 Jewett Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45215
joreilly@fuse.net

Emma Lewis
6070 Lantana Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45224
carmelbreeze@yahoo.com

Rebecca Surendorff
6614 Hayes Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45248
surendorff@fuse.net
Protect my family's drinking water

Jeffrey Corbett
7698 Briargreen Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45248
corbettjeffrey09@yahoo.com

Sarah Schoborg
4440 Schinkal Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45248
sarah.schoborg@gmail.com

I support keeping the pollution control standards. The Ohio river is already over-polluted, getting rid of these standards will only make it worse.

Jacquelin DiMenna
3481 Alta Vista Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45251
jacquelin.dimenna@gmail.com

Two of my friends have sold their boats and not renewed their boat slips this year because the pollution levels in the river. The pollution standards are far below satisfactory as it is and it is slowly killing the riverfront. Without increasing quality standards, we will see our marinas, and then our riverfront businesses fail and then riverfront real estate suffer.

Jess Enzor
11691 Hamlet Rd.
Forest Park, OH 45240
jenzor@fuse.net

Elizabeth Keer
3625 Darwin Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45211
lizardbu@aol.com

Barbara Mader
7253 Bridge Point Pass

Cincinnati, OH 45248

bmitchelltampa@yahoo.com

KEEP THE POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS!!! We do not want to be the next Flint, Michigan!!!

Abby Meyer

2128 Sinton Avenue

Cincinnati, OH 45206

abby5035@gmail.com

Mary Hummel

5145 Deerview Park Dr

Cleves, OH 45002-9642

marydingo@aol.com

Nicole Yarger

5900 Monassas Run Road

Milford, OH 45150

nicoleyarger@yahoo.com

The Ohio River is the most polluted body of water in the country. To repeal pollution restrictions, particularly those issues not addressed at the federal level, is irresponsible, dangerous, and puts the lives of citizens at risk. My only question is this: Which deep-pocketed industry donor donated to whom in order to make this happen?

Paulette Corbett

6535 Hayes Road

Cincinnati, OH 45248

corbepsj@gmail.com

As a mother of six and grandmother of 12, who all depend on the Ohio River for their drinking water, I implore you NOT to gut the control standards for the Ohio River. This river valley is known for its higher cancer rates. The air and water must be protected for current and future generations. The interests of big business shouldn't be put above the health and safety of our children and future generations !

Grant Surendorff

6614 Hayes Rd

Cincinnati, OH 45248

gsurendorff@huntbuilders.com

Keep the pollution control standards!!!Polluting one of the most valuable natural resources to millions of people in Ohio and surrounding areas is irresponsible and should not be allowed. Rivers has given humans life , growth and prosperity.We should protect rivers the same as we would protect our own families. Rivers are pivotal to keeping healthy ecosystems and health generations to come.

Rose Watkins

1618 Rose Place

Cincinnati, OH 45237

rwatkins006@cinci.rr.com

Deanna Spatz

11721 Bedivere Ct.

Sharonville, OH 45241

deannaspatz@gmail.com

Karla Gansmuller

1233 Neale Lane

Loveland, OH 45140

karlagoblue@gmail.com

I am finding it impossible to locate ANY body of water near me that is safe enough for recreational purposes - they are all disgustingly polluted! Please don't weaken the already-lax water standards!

Mary Darner

5300 Hamilton Ave. #210

Cincinnati, Ohio 45224

mmdarner@gmail.com

Clean water is necessary for life. Set the highest standards for clean water and don't give in to industries trying to lower standards.

Erich Gansmüller
1233 Neale Ln
Loveland, Ohio 45140
egansmuller@gmail.com

The Ohio River is already among the most polluted water ways, Standards need to be tougher not more lax.

Lindsey Cencula
1610 Spring Lawn Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45223
lindsey.cencula@gmail.com

Margaret Mosher
6549 Baywood Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45224
moshers@fuse.net

I want to know what is in my drinking water and I want it to be safe!

Janet Amuchastegui
1109 Heritage Green Dr.
Monroe, OH 45050
jlmoochie@gmail.com

Pat Day
727 Sedam St
Cincinnati, OH 45204
Sedamsvillecivic@gmail.com

Let's not have Cincinnati on 60 Minutes for deaths and illness from bad water quality!

Amy Hyde
1212 Herlin place
Cincinnati, Ohio 45208
searepamy@gmail.com

The Ohio river is already so polluted and your letting them poison all of us further?!!! Please stop killing people.

Wanda Ball
1053 Loiska Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Florence Miller
3967 Vine St.
Cincinnati, OH 45217

Deborah Madaris
1909 Dana Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45207

From: [Richard Durtsche](mailto:Richard.Durtsche@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Eliminating Pollution Standards for the Ohio River by ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:18:36 PM

I just learned of the proposal to lower pollution standards for the Ohio River by ORSANCO to the pollution level of some of the states connected to the river. I think that this is a bad idea, and I urge you to vote no on this decision. Individual states may have lower standards because they are upstream, where pollutants are not as large a problem. Other factors, like industrial influence should not be a reason to lower standards. As the director of a biological field station with a natural area wetlands on the Ohio River, I am deeply concerned about additional pollution that will impact this natural resource. This ecosystem is the largest wetland habitat in Northern Kentucky that borders the Ohio River, and its ecosystem services could be irreparably damaged with increase river pollutants. I would recommend that ORSANCO continue to educate the public on the need for clean water and work to persuade high polluting states to elevate their standards to maintain a healthy river way.

Regards,

Richard D. Durtsche, Ph. D.
Director, NKU Research and Education Field Station
Professor and Curator of Zoological Collections
Department of Biological Sciences
Northern Kentucky University, 148 Science Center
Nunn Drive, Highland Heights, KY 41099 USA
Email: durtsche@nku.edu ; tel. (859) 572-6637

"Treat the earth well: it was not given to you by your parents, it was loaned to you by your children."
Ancient Native American Proverb

From: [Rich Cogen](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Madeline Fleisher](#)
Subject: PCS public comment submission from Ohio River Fdn
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:26:07 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

The Ohio River Foundation (“ORF”) submits these comments to the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (“ORSANCO”) regarding the 2018 review of ORSANCO’s Pollution Control Standards (“PCS”). In its solicitation of comments, ORSANCO indicated that a majority of Commissioners support withdrawing from its role in maintaining, administering, and periodically updating the current Pollution Control Standards. ORF strongly opposes that proposal and urges ORSANCO to continue in its role as a source of consistent and expert input on appropriate pollution control standards for the Ohio River. Therefore, we ask the Commission to reject proposed Alternative 2.

We attach below the initial ORF comments submitted on February 20, 2018, for reference, rather than reiterating the same points here.

These supplemental comments focus on providing new information we have developed since the initial review period. A central assumption of ORSANCO’s initial discussion of this proposal was that the ORSANCO PCS are redundant and unnecessary in light of state regulation under the Clean Water Act

Comment

Stretching nearly 1,000 miles as it winds its way through six states, the Ohio River provides drinking water to 5 million people.

For decades, the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has issued water quality standards for the river, but now ORSANCO wants to abandon that practice. The ramifications of such a monumental policy decision cannot be understated. Our river is cleaner overall than it was 70 years ago. However, the last 15-20 years have seen minimal improvement; the river still receives millions of pounds of toxic pollutants every year.

ORSANCO’s stated purpose is to control pollution of the Ohio River. That’s a vital role: the Clean Water Act and other federal water laws don’t do a good job of regulating multi-state waters, like the Ohio River. The states along the river (Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky, West Virginia, Illinois and Pennsylvania) either develop their own water quality standards or adopt ORSANCO standards. There is disparity as to how different states arrive at their standards, and the permits they issue businesses to pollute the river reflect that difference. Furthermore, for more than 100 pollutants, ORSANCO issues standards where no state guidelines exist. In keeping with its bylaws and mission, ORSANCO should be the driving force for uniformity in pollution control for this multi-state river. If different conditions exist along the 981-mile river, then ORSCANCO should work with the states to develop standards that are appropriate and fair and do not abridge downriver states’ rights or conditions.

At recent meetings, ORSANCO revealed that standards work reflects only about 10 percent of its budget. So, any claim that this work is a financial drain on the organization is a red herring. While the agency’s monitoring and sampling work is important, those activities are reactive to river pollution. The standards work is proactive, preventative and necessary to help keep pollution out of the river, making it safer and more reliable as a water source for 5 million people and thousands of businesses.

In some cases, ORSANCO’s standards may be different than state standards. This should motivate ORSANCO and the states to reconcile differences. Instead, some states are exerting enormous pressure on

ORSANCO to relinquish its standards setting role with the expectation that all the states will agree to appropriate standards protective of each state's water quality. This is a risky bet where interstate cooperation is susceptible to state political interests. Setting standards is hard work on behalf of the public interest that should be embraced and vigorously pursued. ORSANCO should not take the easy way out and just walk away.

Abdicating its role and responsibility is an affront to millions of people who depend on the river as their sole source of drinking water. Citizens at a public hearing voiced their concerns and news outlets in Ohio, Kentucky, Indiana, West Virginia and Pennsylvania have published articles questioning the merit of this proposal. The public and some states have valid concerns, and ORSANCO should not turn a deaf ear or blind eye.

Rich Cogen, Executive Director
Ohio River Foundation

COMMENTS SUBMITTED ON FEBRUARY 20, 2018 by Ohio River Foundation

I. BACKGROUND

A. ORSANCO's History and Authority

The Ohio River flows almost a thousand miles through six states, with more states included in its drainage basin. In 1948, eight of those states – Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia – signed the interstate compact that created ORSANCO and established the commitment of those states to “enact any necessary legislation to enable each such State to place and maintain the waters of said basin in a satisfactory sanitary condition, available for safe and satisfactory use as public and industrial water supplies after reasonable treatment, suitable for recreational usage, capable of maintaining fish and other aquatic life, free from unsightly or malodorous nuisances due to floating solids or sludge deposits, and adaptable to such other uses as may be legitimate.” The Compact provides ORSANCO with authority to promulgate rules and issue orders to ensure that “[a]ll industrial wastes discharged or permitted to flow into the aforesaid waters shall be modified or treated, within a time reasonable for the construction of the necessary works, in order to protect the public health or to preserve the waters for [these uses] . . . to such degree as may be determined to be necessary by the Commission after investigation, due notice and hearing.”

As early as 1949, ORSANCO began putting in place water treatment standards to carry out this obligation. In 1972, Congress enacted the “Clean Water Act” (“CWA”) as we know it today, and in 1984 ORSANCO revised its standards to mirror the Clean Water Act structure of designated uses, water quality criteria to protect those uses, and effluent limitations (including mixing zone requirements and a variance procedure). ORSANCO has since reviewed and updated these Pollution Control Standards every three years.

B. The 2018 Pollution Control Standards Proposal

In 2014, ORSANCO established the Ad Hoc Committee on Water Quality Standards Implementation to review how the Pollution Control Standards were being implemented. In 2015 ORSANCO directed the committee to evaluate options relating to ORSANCO's future role in setting water quality standards. The committee subsequently formulated five alternative proposals for ORSANCO's role going forward. These alternatives can be grouped into two categories, with Alternatives 1, 2 and 5 calling for a markedly

reduced role and Alternatives 3 and 4 calling for a more focused application of ORSANCO's current standard-setting approach. These five alternatives, and the relevant considerations for each, are described in ORSANCO's Water Quality Standards Alternative Summary.

Alternative 1 would "[e]liminate the Pollution Control Standards Program and defer to the requirements of the Clean Water Act and member state water quality regulations." The considerations listed in favor of this alternative are that it would potentially "free up resources" to be reprogrammed to other ORSANCO activities, and would also avert the problem that at least one Ohio River state cannot adopt ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards by reference.

Alternative 2 would suspend the triennial review process for the Pollution Control Standards and deem all states in compliance with the Standards to the extent they are implementing approved programs under the federal Clean Water Act. The description of this alternative presumes that the Pollution Control Standards are redundant and that resources currently dedicated to the PCS could be "redirected to other Commission activities" such as "assessments of the water quality and biological integrity of the water, public out-reach, spill detection and response."

Alternative 3 would "[u]tilize a cost effective approach to finalize uniform WQS rules for the Ohio River by tasking ORSANCO to take the lead in order to eliminate duplication of efforts among six states and save resources. Also, as a starting point, utilize work done by USEPA to develop WQS to avoid conducting basic research." This proposal would be aimed at protecting water quality and promoting consistency among states, while reducing the overall costs of developing water quality standards and allowing states flexibility to adopt alternative standards when appropriate. It would also enable ORSANCO to continue carrying out the work plan requirements for its section 106 grant from U.S. EPA, which currently includes development of numeric pollution control standards for the Ohio River and constitutes 50% of ORSANCO's budget.

Alternative 4, similar to Alternative 3, would focus on harmonizing water quality standards across the Ohio River. ORSANCO would look at "standards on an individual basis as warranted," to ensure coordinated implementation to "restore and maintain the quality of and protect uses of the Ohio River." This approach would be carried out by the NPDES Committee, working with staff and member states on permitting and certification processes "with a goal of assuring that protection and achievement of pollution controls needed to maintain those uses occurs throughout the Ohio River system." Even where a state has not formally adopted an ORSANCO standard, the Commission will work with the state so that any permit "achieves a comparable level of protection of the designated uses."

Finally, Alternative 5 would alter the nature of the PCS, from binding standards to simple recommendations that member states should consider in developing and issuing permits. This alternative rests on the proposition that "the process of promulgating the PCS is redundant of member states' triennial review of water quality standards."

ORSANCO has identified Alternative 2 as its "preferred alternative" for consideration in the 2018 PCS review.

II. DISCUSSION

The Commission's preference for Alternative 2 appears to rest primarily on two grounds: first, that it will save resources for ORSANCO to eliminate the Pollution Control Standards from its workload; and second, that the Pollution Control Standards do not serve a significant function in protecting the Ohio River.

Neither of these rationales has been adequately explored or supported with detailed facts.

It is entirely reasonable for ORSANCO to be concerned about making the most of its limited financial resources. However, in considering the best use of those resources, it is important to keep in mind that the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards play an important role in preserving state resources by allowing standards for at least some pollutants, as well as the overall assessment of whether the Ohio River is suffering any serious water quality problems, to be dealt with across the entire river rather than on a state-by-state basis. If ORSANCO were to eliminate the PCS, Ohio River states might well be worse off in the long run without an efficient forum for establishing and updating standards for all pollutants, and an irreplaceable venue for determining a river-wide approach to particularly problematic pollutants.

A. Individual Pollutants

ORSANCO has provided summary-level data from a 2015 staff survey (“SURVEY”) of state water quality standards versus ORSANCO’s Pollution Control Standards, which shows that there are significant discrepancies among state standards. While Alternative 2 presumes that these discrepancies are meaningless and that the PCS and state water quality standards are redundant, that presumption is not backed up by any factual analysis nor clear from a review of the SURVEY. Moreover, Alternative 2 also assumes that if the PCS were eliminated, ORSANCO could redirect the resources used to maintain the PCS with no adverse effects on ORSANCO or its member states. That reasoning likewise lacks any detailed foundation.

1. The Problem of State-ORSANCO Disparities

The ORSANCO SURVEY indicates that, for the pollutants compared as of 2015:

- There are 188 instances in which ORSANCO has criteria but a member state environmental agency does not;
- There are 252 instances in which member state agencies have parameters that are more than 10% less stringent than ORSANCO’s criteria;
- There are 405 instances in which the member state agencies are within 10% of, or more stringent than, the ORSANCO criteria.
- There are 342 instances in which the member state has criteria but ORSANCO does not.

In other words, based on this SURVEY there are 440 pollutants for which the ORSANCO standard is either more stringent than a member state standard or there simply is no state standard. By comparison, there are 747 instances where the state standard is more stringent than or equivalent to the ORSANCO standard, or ORSANCO lacks a standard for the relevant pollutant. Overall, this represents 1187 points of comparison, with 440 – or almost 40% -- where the ORSANCO standard is filling in a gap in state standards or providing a more protective standard.

ORSANCO provided tables containing the information underlying this comparison on February 20, 2018, four days before the deadline for comment, and therefore we have not undertaken a thorough analysis of individual water quality criteria. However, even an initial survey of the data shows that there are concerning inconsistencies and gaps among the state standards. For example, the human health criteria table shows that as of 2015, Ohio and Kentucky both had limits of 10 micrograms per liter for arsenic – significantly higher than ORSANCO’s 0.1 microgram per liter criterion. Similarly, Indiana and Ohio both apparently have criteria for polychlorinated biphenyls (“PCBs”) that are less stringent than ORSANCO’s,

which is particularly notable given that the Ohio River's fish consumption use is partially impaired by PCB contamination. While the explanation for these discrepancies is unknown, it shows that some states' standards are not as protective as those in other Ohio River states. Without an ORSANCO standard providing uniformity, there is potential for polluting industries to migrate to states with less restrictive criteria, leaving those states downstream or across the river to deal with their pollution without gaining any of the economic benefits.

The Clean Water Act does not provide an efficient tool for states to address such discrepancies on their own. The Supreme Court confirmed in *Arkansas v. Oklahoma*, 503 U.S. 91 (1992), that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA") has the authority to consider effects on other states water quality standards when reviewing draft permits under Clean Water Act section 402, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. However, that authority must be applied on a permit-by-permit basis, and is discretionary with U.S. EPA, meaning that policing of interstate discharges through this mechanism would be an onerous and potentially sporadic process without any certain outcome. ORSANCO's authority to set prospective, uniform standards for the Ohio River is a unique tool not available under the Clean Water Act. Even to the extent states do not directly incorporate the ORSANCO PCS into their water quality criteria, but rather apply them to individual sources through the state permitting process, that is still an improvement over the cumbersome and likely ineffective process available under the CWA

In addition to inconsistent standards, there are some standards where – at least according to the ORSANCO SURVEY – the states have simply left it to ORSANCO to establish criteria. These include gross total alpha, copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, nitrites, and others. If ORSANCO were to eliminate its Pollution Control Standards, states without standards for those pollutants would presumably have to expend time and resources on filling in those gaps to ensure protection of their portions of the Ohio River.

Overall, this cursory review suggests that the ORSANCO standards play a central role in ensuring consistent and up-to-date pollution standards for the Ohio River. Meanwhile, the current proposal provides no estimate, or even description, of what state work would be necessary to make up for the absence of the PCS if they were eliminated. Comments from the POTW Advisory Committee (provided by ORSANCO) underline this concern, with one commenter pointing out that: "During this time of 'stressed' budgets and limited resources, duplication of efforts is definitely a major concern of many organizations at all levels of government (federal, state and local), as well as the public (tax/rate payers) that we serve. Under any future scenario that minimizes PCS activities, it will be important for ORSANCO to continue to provide a forum to discuss water quality standards and to promote consistency among the states to ensure the protection of the Ohio River as a shared resource." A significant problem with Alternative 2, or any approach that eliminates periodic consideration of river-wide water quality criteria, is the danger that in the absence of ORSANCO standards, inconsistencies will arise between states.

2. The Value of River-Wide Water Quality Criteria

As discussed above, there are clearly significant inconsistencies among state standards for the Ohio River that bear further investigation before ORSANCO can draw any conclusions about the potential costs for states to make up for the loss of the PCS. Meanwhile, the affirmative value of river-wide criteria is clear. There have already been a number of instances where ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards have and will continue to play an important role in protecting water quality in the Ohio River.

For example, over the last decade ORSANCO has acted to address a growing concern with levels of Total Dissolved Solids ("TDS") in the Ohio River, by using an expedited PCS process in 2012 to reinstate a

(previously eliminated) limit of 500 mg/L at drinking water intakes in order to protect drinking water quality. ORSANCO staff have subsequently conducted valuable research regarding background levels of TDS in order to support translation of that standard into appropriate permit limits. See ORSANCO, *Characterization of Dissolved Solids in the Ohio River and Selected Tributaries* (Feb. 2014), available at <http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Characterization-of-Dissolved-Solids-in-the-Ohio-River-and-Selected-Tribuatries.pdf>. This is an instance where ORSANCO, because of its triennial PCS review process, was well-positioned to react swiftly to a unique Ohio River problem and provide drinking water protection along the entire river, backed up by sound science and expertise. Without the PCS process, it is not clear when affected states – themselves facing budget constraints – might have dealt with this problem and what resources they might have to use to do so. The Water Users Advisory Committee comments similarly identify pollutants such as ammonia, E. coli, temperature, and others as ones where ORSANCO’s standards provide important, uniform water quality protection across the entire Ohio River to the benefit of states as well as their citizens.

Even where addressing a given pollutant is simply a matter of adopting water quality criteria issued by U.S. EPA, ORSANCO can do so efficiently in order to bring the PCS up-to-date for the entire Ohio River at one time. As one example, ORSANCO has repeatedly indicated its readiness to adopt an updated U.S. EPA aquatic life criterion for selenium. Now that the federal criteria have been issued (in summer 2016), ORSANCO can do so. Notably, some states will not be conducting triennial reviews in which they would address this development for some time – for instance, West Virginia adopted fish tissue limits based on the draft criteria in 2016, but apparently will not address the water column limits in the final criterion until its next triennial review in 2020. By addressing this issue in its 2018 PCS review, ORSANCO will ensure those additional protections are in place significantly earlier. Moreover, in addressing this and other federal criteria, ORSANCO can determine on a river-wide basis whether those criteria are appropriate for the Ohio River rather than leaving individual member states to do so, with potentially disparate results.

Looking ahead, there are emerging pollution issues where ORSANCO’s PCS may similarly provide an important avenue to bring the expertise of Commission staff to bear on preserving water quality across the entire Ohio River. In recent years, ORSANCO has been engaged in trying to determine a numeric criterion for nutrient pollution in the Ohio River. This work is timely given that a massive algal bloom occurred on the river in the summer of 2015, and studies show climate change is driving temperature and precipitation changes that may increase the frequency and severity of such algae outbreaks. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, *OHIO RIVER BASIN– Formulating Climate Change Mitigation/Adaptation Strategies through Regional Collaboration with the ORB Alliance 47* (May 2017), available at http://www.corpsclimate.us/docs/USACE%20Ohio%20River%20Basin%20CC%20Report_MAY%202017.pdf. Although ORSANCO’s analysis has not yet identified an appropriate numeric criterion, this is a looming threat to the drinking water, recreation, and aquatic life uses of the Ohio River that ORSANCO cannot ignore. Moreover, as shown in ORSANCO’s efforts to date, the Commission’s staff has the relevant expertise to adequately and efficiently address this complex issue on a river-wide basis. The alternative might well be for states to waste resources as the question of numeric nutrient limits comes up on a state-by-state or even permit-by-permit basis.

Finally, ORSANCO is currently considering a study of the bioaccumulative pollutants Perfluorooctanoic Acid (“PFOA”) and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (“PFOS”), initially identified as of potential concern in a 2010 report on emerging contaminants (<http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/A-Screening-Study-Investigating-the-Presence-of-Emerging-Contaminants-within-the-Ohio-River-Basin.pdf>). Recently, as noted in ORSANCO’s October 2017 TEC meeting minutes, PFOA contamination of drinking water in the Ohio River watershed has been the subject of significant litigation, and U.S. EPA has released health

advisory levels for both contaminants. Although PFOA and PFOS are being largely phased out, replacement chemicals with similar properties may continue to pose a threat to Ohio River water quality. Once ORSANCO determines the scope and seriousness of this issue, it may well be appropriate to address this type of pollution through the PCS.

We could list many more instances of ORSANCO's valuable work in connection with the Pollution Control Standards. However, these examples are sufficient to highlight the key questions that Alternative 2 fails to address:

- Will eliminating the Pollution Control Standards actually significantly reduce ORSANCO's workload? A number of the efforts above, although occurring in conjunction with ORSANCO's PCS reviews, fall within its larger mission of providing expert scientific monitoring and analysis of water quality issues in the Ohio River. If the PCS were not in place, it seems likely that ORSANCO would still have a role to play in addressing issues such as identification of numeric nutrient criteria or analysis of total dissolved solid levels. Absent a detailed consideration of what work ORSANCO could in fact abandon if the PCS were eliminated, the answer to this question is unclear.
- If eliminating the PCS does truly reduce ORSANCO's activities, will it simply shift work to ORSANCO member states? For pollutants like Total Dissolved Solids, there is a clear need for a limit and analysis of how to apply that limit to sources. ORSANCO is currently providing that information in the context of the PCS. Eliminating that standard, or precluding ORSANCO from adopting such standards in the future, may simply force each state to individually duplicate the Commission's work while introducing the possibility of more disparities among water quality criteria the Ohio River states. As several other commenters have noted, this shift in workload may also result in a commensurate reduction in federal or other funding.
- Will adopting Alternative 2 prevent ORSANCO from addressing emerging pollution issues in a timely manner? Although the Commission could technically resume its role in setting standards, the regular triennial review process encourages all stakeholders to actively consider and address whether existing standards are adequately protecting the Ohio River's water quality. Without that forum for consideration of both urgent problems like TDS and developing issues like nutrient pollution, inadequate or disparate state-level regulation could easily go unaddressed.
- Are there opportunities to increase the efficiency of the PCS process without eliminating ORSANCO's standards altogether? As noted above, there are a number of pollutants for which the PCS and state standards are similar, as well as a number where there are significant differences. We are sensitive to ORSANCO's concerns regarding whether its PCS work is redundant to ongoing state activities under the Clean Water Act. One sensible way to potentially streamline the PCS reviews would be to focus on promoting uniform standards across the Ohio River, especially for pollutants where U.S. EPA has not provided any federal criteria. This would essentially be a combination of Alternatives 3 and 4.

These significant unanswered questions show that the preference for Alternative 2 is not based on a sufficient exploration of the important issues involved in potentially eliminating a role that ORSANCO has played for decades. Fundamentally, we believe that the current PCS process is in fact efficient and focused on the areas where ORSANCO is needed most. Nevertheless, if ORSANCO does decide to refine its approach to the PCS, we urge the Commission to ensure it avoids throwing the baby out with the

bathwater and preserves the PCS as a valuable tool for providing efficient and effective water quality standards across the whole Ohio River.

B. Water Quality Assessment for the Ohio River

Sections 305(b) and 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act require each state to conduct an assessment of the status of its waters every two years and make a determination as to whether those waters are “impaired” by any pollutant. 33 U.S.C. § 1315(b); 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d); 40 C.F.R. §§ 130.7, 130.8. ORSANCO has prepared a biennial section 305(b) assessment for the Ohio River ever since 2002, offering an expert view “of the degree to which the river supports each of its four designated uses: warm water aquatic life; public water supply; contact recreation; and fish consumption.” Although ORSANCO’s section 305(b) report does not substitute for each state’s duty to assess and determine the impairment status of the portions of the Ohio River within its jurisdiction, the Ohio River states have traditionally relied heavily on ORSANCO’s work in carrying out these Clean Water Act obligations. Often, states simply adopt ORSANCO’s evaluation and conclusions wholesale in determining the impairment status of the Ohio River.

If ORSANCO adopts Alternative 2, this approach will no longer be viable. Currently, ORSANCO’s water quality assessment in its section 305(b) reports is based in large part on application of the numeric criteria included in the Pollution Control Standards. If these criteria are eliminated, ORSANCO will either have to confront the problem of applying potentially hundreds of inconsistent state criteria in assessing the Ohio River’s water quality, and may end up reaching inconsistent conclusions as to impairment status of the river based on those criteria. Alternatively, it may no longer make sense for ORSANCO to conduct the 305(b) assessment for the Ohio River, merely shifting that work to individual states and probably losing efficiencies along the way. That scenario could also result in a reduction in ORSANCO’s funding.

Finally, we note that while these concerns represent our view of the existing information, we requested that ORSANCO share the “Report of the ORSANCO Ad Hoc Water Quality Standards Implementation Committee”, dated May 11, 2015, referenced in some of the materials provided for comment. ORSANCO provided a copy of that report on February 23, the day before the comment deadline. Although we have not had adequate time to review the report for purposes of these comments, we look forward to providing ORSANCO with supplemental comments as appropriate.

III. CONCLUSION

A proposal for ORSANCO to step back from standards it has set in their current form for more than two decades is obviously a significant one. Before the Commission determines the wisest course of action, it must conduct further analysis of the key assumptions here: that the Pollution Control Standards provide no additional water quality protection or function beyond state standards under the Clean Water Act, and that reducing or eliminating the Pollution Control Standards review process would free up resources without any burden-shifting to states or impacts to funding. While those assumptions may prove true to some extent, we believe the appropriate response is to narrow the focus of ORSANCO’s Pollution Control Standards process along the lines of Alternatives 3 and 4. That approach would keep ORSANCO in the role of doing what it does best: providing significant scientific expertise and a basin-wide perspective on protecting the Ohio River.

In closing, as ORSANCO looks for ways to reallocate its budget we fear that it has developed a solution in search of a problem.

Sincerely,

Rich Cogen
Executive Director
Ohio River Foundation
P.O. Box 42460
Cincinnati, OH 45242

Rich Cogen
Executive Director
Ohio River Foundation
4480 Classic Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45241
www.ohioriverfdn.org
513-460-3365



To: ORSANCO PCS Committee & Commissioners
Lane Boldman, Executive Director, Kentucky Conservation Committee

Re: Comments on PCS

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. ORSANCO's mission is "to ensure protection of these uses and to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes" if it proceeds with the adoption of the changes proposed to the PCS it will be abdicating this responsibility.

For a number of states, permits will be less stringent if the Commission votes to approve these changes and abdicates its responsibility to protect the river. This will certainly not result in improved water quality in the Ohio River. It will likely not even result in the status quo for water quality.

US EPA addressed the very issue at the crux of ORSANCO's assumption in a study, titled *A Study of Prospective Water Pollution Control Activities For The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission*, was released in March 1975, and with respect to "stream standards," recommended that:

Since the Ohio is a boundary river, and because there are many other relationships as among the several state segments of the river system, the interstate agency should provide the overall view and the comparative analyses needed for coordination, consistency and equity.

Standards vary state by state. Currently, the PCS regulations require that state use ORSANCO standards or a state standard if it is more stringent. Therefore, the protections will not be consistent or as stringent if these changes are approved and the PCS regulations are gutted.

I object to the proposed changes. If approved ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin, but even worse, the water quality and quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will continue to suffer.

Lane Boldman
Ky. Conservation Committee

From: [Sally Gagne](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for Discharges to the Ohio River, 2015 revision
Date: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 3:56:48 PM

I want to comment on discharge standards even if I have no time to study the proposed amendments. It is simply essential to adopt the highest standards possible when determining allowable degree of chemical pollution to waterways. There should be no pollutants. The cost should be born by companies creating the chemicals, who in turn may have to pass on some cost to consumers. We need clean water.

Sincerely,
Sally Gagné

276 Firefly Lane
Hedgesville, WV 25427

From: [conrad blackburn](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river quality standards.
Date: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 10:48:56 PM

The ohio river water is effecting people in Pa, Oh, Wv, Ky, and Illinois. We need higher standards for our water. Nothing is more important than the quality of our water.

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy Tab®4

From: [James Wood](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: AMERICANS DEPEND ON ORSANCO SETTING POLLUTION STANDARDS
Date: Wednesday, June 27, 2018 8:01:24 AM

Please keep setting pollution standards for the Ohio Rivers.

Millions of Americans drink from this Ohio.

Millions of Americans recreate on the Ohio.

ORSANCO has a responsibility to the people who live along the Ohio to protect us from pollution and the current plans to stop setting pollution regulations betrays millions of American citizens.

ORSANCO do your job, set pollution standards based on science that protect the public's health, the environment, and helps improve water quality in the Ohio River.

Sincerely
~James Wood
Wheeling, WV

From: [Patrick](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Clean water standards
Date: Tuesday, July 03, 2018 11:45:45 PM

To whom it may concern:

In recognition of the successes of the federal Clean Water Act and the related state water pollution control programs of member states, the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) should be revised by adding a provision that recognizes that water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone requirements, and other provisions of the PCS are being adequately addressed by member states in accordance with programs appropriate for implementation of the federal Clean Water Act. To the extent that all member states are operating under such programs, the Commission may conclude that it need not continue the triennial review process related to the water quality criteria (and related provisions) of the PCS.

I strongly disagree that state-level pollution control standards are adequate.

Sincerely,

Patrick Pagano

**1408 Mystic Valley Dr.
Sewickley, PA 15143**

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Chris Gladden](#)
To: [ORSANCO ORSANCO](#)
Subject: Re: Proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 05, 2018 3:15:58 PM

ORSANCO ORSANCO,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. Eliminating these standards puts approximately 5 million Americans' drinking water and approximately 25 million people's quality of life and local economies at risk.

It is imperative for the Commission to uphold its responsibilities to ensure the protection and restoration of the Ohio River and the millions of Americans who depend on the river for drinking water, the numerous businesses, and approximately 25 million Americans quality of life. Everyday we wait to protect and restore the Ohio River the problems become more complex and the solutions more costly. I strongly encourage ORSANCO to uphold its responsibility and protect the Pollution Control Standards, rather than rolling back protections for one of the nation's most important resources.

Chris Gladden
440 Stanton Drive
Springboro, OH 45066

From: abby.mohaupt
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Attn: PCS Comments
Date: Wednesday, July 11, 2018 7:27:49 PM

Dear friends,

Several states rely on ORSANCO's standards and adopt them as their own to protect their own watersheds. If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards, those states will have to expend the financial resources to develop the requisite standards, or they may assume that standards are no longer needed at all.

Please continue trans-state protection.

in faith,
rev. abby mohaupt
Fossil Free PCUSA
PO Box 1576
El Granada, CA 94018

--
--

the beauty of grace is it makes life not fair.--r.k.

moderator, [Fossil Free PCUSA](#) || [Support our work](#)
co-director, Green Seminary Initiative || [Join Us](#)
creator, featheology || [Imagine with me](#)

From: [John Schwartzlose](mailto:John.Schwartzlose@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on ORSANCO deliberation
Date: Thursday, July 12, 2018 3:34:34 PM

I strongly disagree with any effort to reduce the standards that ORSANCO has as part of its commission and work. I agree with thOSE commissioners of ORSANCO who have expressed “grave concern” with the move and argue that eliminating the body’s standards when it comes to ambient levels of various pollutants can only hinder efforts to maintain and improve the river’s health.

“ORSANCO, as a federally-sanctioned compact among several signatory states, possesses a degree of insulation from the vagaries of the political process, and is able to research, develop, propose and adopt standards tailored to the specific needs of the river in an atmosphere that stresses sound science and data-driven policy,” dissenting commissioners said in their minority report opposing the elimination of the rules.

[The minority cited](#) recent [moves by Congress and the Trump administration](#) that they say raise concerns about commitment to environmental protection. [The elimination](#) of the federal Stream Protection Rule and [proposals by the EPA](#) to revise guidelines for what counts as protected bodies of water, they say, “reflect that the standards and scope of the Clean Water Act and regulations adopted pursuant to that Act are neither static, nor necessarily as broad or protective, as might be needed to address the specific needs of the Ohio River Basin.” I agree with these commissioners.

There are roughly 600 permitted companies and other groups discharging into the Ohio River. The federal Clean Water Act, administered by the EPA, recommends maximum levels of pollutants these entities are allowed to discharge. States, however, make their own standards, which the EPA then approves.

ORSANCO’s standards exist alongside those state standards. While the state guidelines generally regulate what is coming specifically out of dischargers’ pipes, the commission creates guidelines for ambient pollution levels in the water as a whole.

While some commissioners supporting a rollback of ORSANCO’s pollution standards say that the state guidelines largely achieve goals for pollution reduction on their own, dissenting commissioners point out that there are 188 instances in which ORSANCO has set pollution criteria that six of the member states and the federal EPA don’t have. Simply relying on the state and federal EPA standards “may not be adequate to protect the aquatic life and uses of the Ohio River,” the dissenting commissioners wrote. I agree with them.

Please commit to keeping the standards in place.

Rev. John Schwartzlose

Louisville, KY

sent from the iCloud



Saint Gabriel
Facebook



Saint Gabriel
Twitter



Saint Gabriel
Website



Saint Gabriel
Catholic Directory

From: info@orsanco.org
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS comment
Date: Friday, July 13, 2018 9:31:53 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2018 10:07 AM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Laura Noel
Email
laura.noel10@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Hello, I heard your committee is considering relinquishing pollution control standards. As a citizen of Cincinnati I would like to strongly oppose the possibility, because not all states have equal ability to set their own standards alone as stipulated by the Clean Water Act. Getting rid of the protective layers would lead to further damage to the Ohio river. Thank you, Laura

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 1:27:59 PM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org.

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 1:18 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Patsy Deerhake
Email
lifelonglearner53@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Please do not lessen the pollution regulations for the Ohio River. Corporations need to be held accountable to producing a product without harmful health effects.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

10239 Route 152

Wayne, WV 25570

July 18, 2018

ORSANCO

5735 Kellogg Avenue

Cincinnati, OH 45230

To the members of the Commission:

Thank you for your work in the past to prevent industrial pollution in our waters. So many people work to make our world a safer place, there will one day be rewards for those jobs. Often now, the work goes unnoticed by us.


I don't know how or why the commission voted to not continue the speciality work they were doing. It could have been funding, more Trumpites came into the group, pressure from lobbying groups, many things.

If ORSANCO standards are more stringent than state or federal standards, I would pray they be continued. Here in WV, we have seen how the turmoil over clean water supplies have been in the coal fields, especially around mountaintop mining. Many people had to buy cisterns and get water from sources away from their area. Our ^{state} EPA has not been able to prevent great contamination. Under present national EPA administration, I cannot imagine federal standards will be strong.

With fracking, one chemical in the water used is benzene, a dangerous cancer-causing chemical. Many chemicals added to the water pumped underground have not been cleared as being safe. When this water is deposited somewhere as wastewater, there must be precautions for contamination of the water supply for our citizens.

Please continue your work monitoring, working with emergency response agencies, and protecting us.

Sincerely,



From: [Nicole Forrester](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:31:14 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Nicole Forrester
345 S. Atlantic Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA, PA 15224
7039663171

From: [Amalie Duvall](mailto:Amalie.Duvall@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:32:21 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Amalie Duvall
107 E Walnut St
Boonville, MO 65233
6604831138

From: [Madison Ball](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:32:26 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Madison Ball
127 N. Price St.
Kingwood, WV 26537
6168087486

From: [Bill Funk](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:33:07 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Bill Funk
514 Marquis Street
Staunton, VA 24401
5402928581

From: [Carol Workman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:33:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Carol Workman
P.O. Box 5065
Beckley, WV 25801
304-207-8820

From: [Bryan Wagoner](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:33:54 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Bryan Wagoner
100 Campus Dr.
Elkins, WV 26241
8047639998

From: [Penny Manion](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:37:07 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Penny Manion
PO Box 1307
Shepherdstown, WV 25443
3049041983

From: [Diana Bohn](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:38:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Diana Bohn
618 San Luis
Berkeley, CA 94707
5105255497

From: [Judy Hamilton](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:39:12 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Judy Hamilton
907 Mathews Ave
Charleston, WV 25302
3043469210

From: [Suzanne Feldman](mailto:Suzanne.Feldman@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:39:36 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Suzanne Feldman
404 Lee Place
Frederick, MD 21702
3016942808

From: [Paul Kahne](mailto:Paul.Kahne@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:42:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Paul Kahne
59 Dogwood Ridge Lane
Wiley Ford, WV 26767
2407241578

From: [Lisa Barrett](mailto:Lisa.Barrett@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:43:01 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Lisa Barrett
923 short st
loves park, IL 61111
8155169296

From: [Elaine Eudy](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:46:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Elaine Eudy
2501 Romain Way
Atlanta, GA 30344
4047618004

From: doug.krause
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:49:24 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

doug krause
3501 Maccorkle Ave SE
charleston, WV 25304
5555555555

From: [Tom France](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:50:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Tom France
1799 Fort Spring Pike
Fort Spring, WV 24970
8455582872

From: [Bob Bousquet](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:51:47 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Bob Bousquet
PO Box 101
Bryantville, MA 2327
5555555555

From: [Michael Klausing](mailto:Michael.Klausing@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:53:21 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Michael Klausing
624 Cross Lanes Dr Apt 11
Nitro, WV 25143
25143

From: [Sue Wilcox](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:55:09 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sue Wilcox
64 West Main Street
Richwood, WV 26261
3048466548

From: [Stephan Donovan](mailto:Stephan.Donovan@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:57:33 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Stephan Donovan
4851 North Bernard Street
Chicago, IL 60625
13122132926

From: [Susan Hathaway](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:59:23 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Susan Hathaway
5107 Passons Boulevard #313
Pico Rivera, CA 90660
5620000000

From: [Marc Harshman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:01:36 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Please note that I live in a community along the Ohio River.

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Marc Harshman
43 Romney Road
Wheeling, WV 26003
3042439711

From: [Jane Butler](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:04:08 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Jane Butler
314wildrose dr
Hedgesville, WV 25427
3042585594

From: [Richard Han](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:04:34 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Richard Han
1795 W. Stadium Blvd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48103
7346623377

From: [Jerry Rivers](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:05:35 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Jerry Rivers
8-Gombert Place
Roosevelt, NY 11575
516-670-4461

From: [Charles Conrad](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:06:29 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Charles Conrad
4024 Tweedsmuir Rd
Moseley, VA 23120
8046396551

From: Larry & Evelyn Dadisman
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:08:01 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Larry & Evelyn Dadisman
912 Greendale Dr
Charleston, WV 25302
304-343-1156

From: [David Billups](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:08:56 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

David Billups
1050 Valley View Ave lot 9
Morgantown, WV 26505
(804) 767.0690

From: [jerry carson](mailto:jerry.carson)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:17:29 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

jerry carson
5215 beechcrest dr
cross lanes, WV 25313
3047761422

From: [Rebecca Berlant](mailto:Rebecca.Berlant@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:17:44 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Rebecca Berlant
8A First Place
Brooklyn, NY 11231
7185551212

From: [Phyllis Chavez](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:20:39 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Phyllis Chavez
2112 Ocean Park Blvd
Santa Monica, CA 90405
3103968108

From: [Eric Engle](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:21:11 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Eric Engle
324 Point Drive
Parkersburg, WV 26101
(304) 488-4384

From: [Myra Bonhag-Hale](mailto:Myra.Bonhag-Hale@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:22:36 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Myra Bonhag-Hale
1326 Bernoudy Rd.
White Hall, MD 21161
1-410-357-3113

From: [Sarah Hinnant](mailto:Sarah.Hinnant@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:24:14 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sarah Hinnant
317 Pat Cale road
Masontown, Wv 2654
3048644009

From: [Natalie DeBoer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:29:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Natalie DeBoer
8823 Michaux Lane
Richmond, VA 23229
8045036512

From: [Barb Howe](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:32:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Barb Howe
432 Riley St.
Morgantown, WV 26505
304-599-6743

From: [Marsala Marsala](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:35:47 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Marsala Marsala
158 SE 1181
Knob Noster, MO 65336
6605635106

From: [Steven Vogel](mailto:Steven.Vogel@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:38:59 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Steven Vogel
449 Hampton Ct
Falls Church, VA 22046
9999999999

From: [Felice Cohen](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:45:20 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

From your website: The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO), was established on June 30, 1948 to control and abate pollution in the Ohio River Basin. ORSANCO is an interstate commission representing eight states and the federal government. Member states include: Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia.

ORSANCO operates programs to improve water quality in the Ohio River and its tributaries, including: setting waste water discharge standards; performing biological assessments; monitoring for the chemical and physical properties of the waterways; and conducting special surveys and studies. ORSANCO also coordinates emergency response activities for spills or accidental discharges to the river, and promotes public participation in programs, such as the Ohio River Sweep.

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Felice Cohen
1933 47th St NW
Nahcotta, WA 98637
360-665-5433

From: [Hedda Haning](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:49:47 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Hedda Haning
268 Baker Ln
Charleston, WV 25302
3043440469

From: [Susan Hamann](mailto:PCS@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:51:29 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Susan Hamann
1 Ming Court
Chester, NJ 7930
7324812472

From: D'Onofrio D'Onofrio
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:52:12 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

D'Onofrio D'Onofrio
25118 Smith Grove Rd
North Dinwiddie, VA 23803
8048612390

From: [Bond Bond](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:53:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Bond Bond
1779 Jesse Run Rd
Jane Lew, WV 26378
3048847352

From: [Wayne Straight](mailto:Wayne.Straight@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:56:27 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Wayne Straight
961 Day Rd.
Sykesville, MD 21784
410-555-5555

From: [Cynthia Ellis](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:59:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

My family and I are survivors of the 2014 water crisis, centered in Charleston, WV. I remember seeing ORSANCO trucks with teams checking on the Kanawha River, which flows into the Ohio. I deeply appreciate clean water and all who help keep it so.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Cynthia Ellis
3114 Steel Ridge Rd
Red House, WV 25168
304 586-4135

From: [Elizabeth Cruikshank](mailto:Elizabeth.Cruikshank@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:03:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Elizabeth Cruikshank
843-764-7730
850 LEE Street, WV 25504
843-764-7730

From: [Susan VanMeter](mailto:PCS@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:06:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Susan VanMeter
28 Critton Owl Hollow Rd
Paw Paw, WV 25434
3040000000

From: [Jay Smith](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:10:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Jay Smith
1248 Proctor Creek Road
Proctor, WV 0
3044553217

From: [Beth Brown](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:19:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Beth Brown
Ridgedale Rd
Morgantown, WV 26508
304-291-0176

From: [Terry Whisman](mailto:Terry.Whisman)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:19:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Terry Whisman
155 Kelly Dr
Barboursville, WV 25504
681-215-4394

From: [Angela Hughes](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:22:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Angela Hughes
2101 Rays Branch Rd
Charleston, WV 25314
3034370381

From: [Frederick Ripley](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:24:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Frederick Ripley
PO Box 21491
Columbus, WV 43221
2707533104

From: [Giulia Mannarino](mailto:Giulia.Mannarino@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:28:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Giulia Mannarino
2292 Middle Fork Lee Creek RD
Belleville, WV 26133
304 863-8484

From: [Rebecca Ellis](mailto:Rebecca.Ellis@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:28:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Rebecca Ellis
372 Whitten ridge
Fraziers Bottom, WV 25082
3045508998

From: [Lorne Stockman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 1:08:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Lorne Stockman
216 N Madison St.
Staunton, VA 24401
5406791097

From: [Mary L.](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 1:14:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Mary L.
Lee St.
Charleston, WV 25323
3043463336

From: [William Turner](mailto:William.Turner@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 1:14:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

William Turner
531 Church St.
Lewisburg, WV 24901
304-647-3978

From: [Mark Leonard](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 1:22:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Mark Leonard
19499 Coshocton Rd.
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
740-397-6725

From: [Doug Evans](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 1:26:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Doug Evans
214 10th Street
Parkersburg, WV 26101
130-471-0793

From: [Tom Hoffman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 1:36:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Tom Hoffman
135 Davis Lane
Pearisburg, VA 24134
5409211184

From: [John Brady](mailto:John.Brady@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 1:54:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

John Brady
77 Singletree Dr.
Shepherdstown, WV 25443
304-876-2516

From: [Ben Badger](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:00:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Ben Badger
216 Eastland Ave
Morgantown, WV 26505
3045985954

From: [Ben Badger](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:02:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Ben Badger
216 Eastland Ave
Morgantown, WV 26505
3045985954

From: [Carole Williams](mailto:Carole.Williams@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:04:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Carole Williams
2223 Heritage Pt
Morgantown, WV 26505
3045540199

From: [Cindy Lewellen](mailto:Cindy.Lewellen@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:05:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Cindy Lewellen
546 Westwood Ave
Morgantown, WV 26505
3045991764

From: [Paula Napier](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:10:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Paula Napier
823 Springdale Drive
Charleston, WV 25302
304-553-5697

From: [Ellis Woodward](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:12:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Ellis Woodward
3422 Seneca St
Baltimore, MD 21211
4102434174

From: [James Wood](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:15:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners DO YOUR JOB - PROTECT THE PUBLIC AND OUR ENVIRONMENT,

People in power should not abandon the powerless. You have the power to protect us - Keep setting standards for water pollution.

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states with in the basin.

Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

James Wood
120 Maple ave
Wheeling, WV 26003
828-292-6800

From: [Dan Hubbard](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:16:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Dan Hubbard
49 Ten Rod Road
Rochester, NH 03867
6033329876

From: [Mary Hawkins](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:17:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Mary Hawkins
315 E 12th St, Apt A
New York, NY 10003
2125335312

From: [Robert Gall](mailto:Robert.Gall@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:20:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Robert Gall
17 Damian Road
Wheeling, WV 26003
3042421873

From: [Darryl Ting](mailto:Darryl.Ting@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:26:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am a retired chemical engineer and worked for over 25 years in a chemical plant that drew water from and discharged to the Ohio River. I witnessed firsthand the improvement to the water quality of the river as a result of the implementation of the Clean Water Act, much of which happened through ORSANCO.

As an engineer, I found that the concrete guidelines and requirements set by governing bodies like ORSANCO were invaluable in designing pollution control processes and specifying pollution control equipment. We could build facilities with confidence that we were meeting our obligations ... that we could provide goods and services and jobs without sacrificing the environment we lived in ... that we could look our neighbors and children in the eye and tell them we were not sacrificing their well-being.

Over the years, I observed how pollution control technology improved in response to the projected schedule of tougher standards and how river and water quality improved as well. As a technical person, I am proud that technology can and does respond to higher demands. I believe that's what progress is all about ... responding to higher expectations.

As an older person (in my 70s), I have enough life experience to know that when it comes to common resources, like air and water, a uniform approach, with standards that apply to all users, and a governing body able to have a strategic view of future requirements is definitely the way to go. The nature of water is such that if standards are set by user sub-groups, the overall water quality will be determined by the lowest standards. But everyone needs water ... so its quality should be set with everyone in mind. I do have some sympathy for the smaller government philosophy, but I always apply it on a case by case basis. And in this case, the very nature of the subject ... water ... argues for a uniform approach.

Finally, as a citizen and a person, I believe that we should pass on to the future a world that is better than the one we were handed. I want my grandchildren to have a world better than I had. And I think that kind of future is within the grasp of technology improvement, but we do need to make the right decisions today.

One of those right decisions would be to maintain ORSANCO as a strong governing body with uniform standards and a strategic view of future requirements that will make for a world with better water quality.

Thank you for listening.

Darryl Ting
108 Sylvan Way
Marietta, OH 45750
7403748729

From: [Wiley McIntyre](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:26:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Wiley McIntyre
197 Spur Road
Martinsburg, WV 25404
3042670000

From: [Dianne Douglas](mailto:Dianne.Douglas@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:27:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Dianne Douglas
2723 E Valencia Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85042
6022687065

From: [Liz D.](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:35:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Liz D.
72 Edgefield Dr
Morris Plains, NJ 7950
9735381092

From: [Peter Schumacher](mailto:Peter.Schumacher@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:38:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Peter Schumacher
2335 Burton Run Rd
Pennsboro, WV 26415
3043772329

From: [Judith Peascoe](mailto:Judith.Peascoe@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:57:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Clean drinking water is obviously important to me. I want clean water in rivers and streams so that I can safely enjoy kayaking. I don't want polluted water contaminating agricultural land when streams and rivers overflow their banks as I want uncontaminated food.

I want industries, including agriculture, to be regulated so that they don't contaminate the waters of the US. ORSANCO needs to continue regulating the water sources in the region. The Ohio River still needs to be cleaned up.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Judith Peascoe
5607 Greenmont Place
Vienna, WV 26105
3042954233

From: [David Ruediger](mailto:David.Ruediger@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 2:58:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

David Ruediger
64 weeping willow run
Parsons, WV 26287
304-747-8412

From: [Thomas Johnson](mailto:Thomas.Johnson@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:01:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Thomas Johnson
191 Valley View Farm Road
Pence Speings, WV 24962
3044457005

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:06:36 PM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:58 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Dr. John Pullen
Email
jmpullen8@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
West Virginians know two rivers and their tributaries are essential to the health of our state: Ohio and Potomac. The Ohio River can't afford to loose any protection. ORSANCO must not to walk away from their responsibility of improving the Ohio's water quality!

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Christopher Ecker](mailto:Christopher.Ecker@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:06:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Christopher Ecker
9737 Lake Shore Drive
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850
3014903833

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa_Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:07:06 PM

Email sent to pcs@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 12:24 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mrs. Cheryl Pullen
Email
ccpullen@verizon.net
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Please continue to set pollution limits. The Ohio is so important for drinking water, for aquatic life, for recreation. It is in greater danger now due to the lessening of restrictions on how extraction industries handle waste. Clean water is our most valuable asset and necessary for life. At some point, it will likely be worth more than gold.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Sara Thomsen](mailto:Sara.Thomsen@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:10:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sara Thomsen
107 4th Street
Charles Town, WV 25414
2403675185

From: [April Keating](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: comments on water quality changes
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:16:08 PM
Attachments: [ORSANCO comments.docx](#)

Please read the attached for my comments, due August 10. Thank you for accepting them.

Best,

April Pierson-Keating
Mountain Lakes Preservation Alliance
www.mountainlakespreservation.org
Clean Water Through Clean Energy

From: [Jackie Green](#)
To: [ORSANCO](#)
Subject: Please do not reduce pollution control standards for the Ohio River.
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:44:14 PM

Please do not reduce pollution control standards for the Ohio River.
Clean water is a must.

Grateful.
Jackie Green
Bike Courier Bike Shop
Downtown - 107 W Market St - 583 2232
Bicycle Sales, Repair & Rental
[www . bikecourier . org](http://www.bikecourier.org)

From: [Brian O'Donnell](mailto:Brian.O'Donnell@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:45:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Brian O'Donnell
316 Washington Ave
Wheeling, WV 26003
3043800155

From: [David Brisell](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:46:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

David Brisell
3491 Clifton Mills Road
Bruceeton Mills, WV 26525
(304) 379-3503

From: [William Anderson](mailto:William.Anderson@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:53:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

William Anderson
655 Clearview Drive
Charleston, SC 29412
8437953422

From: [david childers](mailto:david.childers@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 3:55:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

david childers
4049 Blue Sulphur Rd
ona, WV 25545
304 7335302

From: [Martha Spencer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:12:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Martha Spencer
988 Henry Mountain Rd
Brevard, NC 28712
8288852680

From: [Lois Ludwig](mailto:Lois.Ludwig@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:17:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Lois Ludwig
5373 Konrz Dr
Cross Lanes, WV 25313
3047761390

From: [Carlene Meeker](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:17:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Carlene Meeker
186 W 80th St
New York, NY 10024
646-544-9403

From: [Steven Runfola](mailto:Steven.Runfola@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:19:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Steven Runfola
45 Park Ridge Drive
Morgantown, WV 26508
3046801340

From: [Andrew Wadsworth](mailto:Andrew.Wadsworth@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:29:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Andrew Wadsworth
125 W 33rd Street
Reading, PA 19606
6104069082

From: [Lorenz Steininger](mailto:Lorenz.Steininger@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:32:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Lorenz Steininger
waldst
hohenwart, GA 86558
1111111111

From: [Warren Peascoe](mailto:Warren.Peascoe@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:33:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

I used to drive along the Naugatuck River in C T. When I first started, it ran red, yellow, brown, or green depending on what was dumped upstream. Ten years later when I moved, the colors were no longer present and plants grew on the river bank. Keep regulations on the Ohio.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Warren Peascoe
5607 Greenmont Place
Vienna, WV 26105
304 2954233

From: [Darren Page](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:36:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Darren Page
151 Southwind Circle
Morgantown, WV 26508
304-241-1277

From: [JB Witten](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:37:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

JB Witten
578 Faulkner Rd. RR 1 BOX 113
Elkins, WV 26241
304-362-5330

From: [Sue E. Dean](mailto:Sue.E.Dean@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 4:56:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sue E. Dean
236 Pratt Street
Longmont, CO 80501
3035551212

From: [Linda Jones](mailto:Linda.Jones@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 5:02:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Linda Jones
1004 Tanner Hill Road
Tanner, WV 26137
3044628549

From: [Tonya Stiffler](mailto:Tonya.Stiffler@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 5:22:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Tonya Stiffler
18051 Sunnyside Avenue North
Shoreline, WA 98133
2066019688

From: [Mike Seyfried](mailto:Mike.Seyfried@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 5:38:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Mike Seyfried
701 California Avenue
Boulder City, NV 89005
7024143914

From: [Pamela Ruediger](mailto:Pamela.Ruediger@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 5:53:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states with in the basin. Please DO NOT walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River!!

THE ONE SUBSTANCE THAT MAKES THE EARTH A LIVING PLANET IS WATER! Water is VITAL to every living thing on this planet!! We need MORE, not LESS clean potable water!!

Therefore, please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Pamela Ruediger
64 Weeping Willow Run
Parsons, WV 26287
304-532-3580

From: [Susan Kelley](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 6:01:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Susan Kelley
612 Katy Road
Fairmont, WV 26554
3048256467

From: [Tracy Leinbaugh](mailto:Tracy.Leinbaugh@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 6:21:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Tracy Leinbaugh
10855 Peach Ridge Road
Athens, OH 45701
7405935463

From: [Robert Sanders](mailto:Robert.Sanders@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 7:19:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Robert Sanders
103 Villa Rosa Rdg
Temple, GA 30179
7705620031

From: [Lenore Reeves](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 7:20:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Lenore Reeves
19934 Hickory Stick Ln
Mokena, IL 60448
7087557010

From: [Lonnie Ward](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 7:46:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Lonnie Ward
3736 Bailes Road
Nettie, WV 26681
304 846 4990

From: [garvey.garvey](mailto:garvey.garvey@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 7:47:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

garvey.garvey
429 s 24th
clinton, OK 73601
5803232327

From: [Carli Mareneck](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 7:58:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Carli Mareneck
1394 Sweet Springs Valley
Sweet Springs, WV 24941
(304) 536-3694

From: [Diana Greenhalgh](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 8:12:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Diana Greenhalgh
2051 Red Lick Rd
New Milton, WV 26411
304-873-1376

From: [Ned Savage](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 8:15:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Ned Savage
8094 Upper Craig Creek Rd.
Catawba, VA 24070
5405204154

From: [Cynthia Basinet](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 8:26:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Cynthia Basinet
xox
los angeles, NY 10012
6264935035

From: [Rhonda Marrone](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 8:35:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Rhonda Marrone
939 Somerset Dr.
Charleston, WV 25302
3044152775

From: [Krista Swager](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 8:40:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Krista Swager
429 Elysian Avenue
Morgantown, WV 26501
3042960856

From: [John Pasqua](mailto:John.Pasqua@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 8:49:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

John Pasqua
843 S ESCONDIDO BLVD
Valley Center, CA 92025
7604843741

From: [Debbie Naeter](mailto:Debbie.Naeter@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 9:10:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Debbie Naeter
375 Hillside Pass
Frankford, WV 24938
5734501401

From: [Charles Marsh](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 9:21:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Charles Marsh
138 Old Manor Trail
Berkeley Springs, WV 25411
304-258-2605

From: [Rhonda Marrone](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:04:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Rhonda Marrone
939 Somerset Dr.
Charleston, WV 25302
3044152775

From: [Elizabeth Watts White](mailto:Elizabeth.Watts.White@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:46:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Elizabeth Watts White
16 Starks Place
Lynbrook, NY 11563
5165555555

From: [manuela wolter](mailto:manuela.wolter@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:57:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

manuela wolter
villareal-<tamarindo, Tamarindo
Des Moines, IA 50309
8973786622

From: [LIA RODRIGUEZ](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:57:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

LIA RODRIGUEZ
V. LORETO 2650
BUENOS AIRES, ot 14260
1147828244

From: [joe stanley](mailto:joe.stanley@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:01:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

joe stanley
37 stanley dr.
PRICHARD, WV 25555
304-486-5826

From: [mauricio.carvajal](mailto:mauricio.carvajal@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:06:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

mauricio carvajal
viento norte 4018
santiago, Los Lagos 9291583
7420081

From: [Kat Cooper](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:25:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Kat Cooper
2928 mtn lake
hedgesville, WV 25427
5555555555

From: [Greg Sells](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:46:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Greg Sells
3300 Parker Ln. Apt. 258
Austin, TX 78741
512-443-6461

From: [Michelle Sewald](mailto:Michelle.Sewald@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:49:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Michelle Sewald
1401 Wewatta st
Denver, CO 80202
555555656

From: [Ginny Pendas](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 11:50:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Ginny Pendas
311 Balsam St
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
5613150809

From: [Joshua Kucharski](mailto:Joshua.Kucharski@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 12:09:33 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Joshua Kucharski
374 Walnut Ave SW
Roanoke, VA 24016
4127261651

From: [Justin Lotak](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 1:14:24 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Justin Lotak
421 4Th St. Ne.
Charlottesville, VA 22902
312-823-9917

From: [Gallaher Gallaher](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 1:25:35 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Gallaher Gallaher
157 Gallaher Street
Huntington, WV 25705
3047107956

From: [Linda Carroll](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 3:12:15 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

As a citizen who is informed and concerned about environmental and health issues, I know that since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Linda Carroll
215 West Waverly Place
Spokane, WA 99205
504 865-1244

From: [Judith Clister](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 6:14:26 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Judith Clister
640 Bolyard Road
Bruceeton Mills, WV 26525
304-379-3564

From: [Zachary Humphreys](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 6:19:19 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Zachary Humphreys
PO Box 2591
Elkins, WV 26241
3049403013

From: [Rosemary Graham-Gardner](mailto:Rosemary.Graham-Gardner@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 7:49:37 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Rosemary Graham-Gardner
PO Box 3335
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
3103727955

From: [Copley Smoak](mailto:Copley.Smoak)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 8:18:19 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Copley Smoak
4712 Tahiti Drive
Bonita Springs, FL 34134
8005319762

From: [Debbie Naerer](mailto:Debbie.Naerer@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 8:49:29 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Debbie Naerer
375 Hillside Pass
Frankford, WV 24938
5734501401

From: [Miriam Miller](mailto:Miriam.Miller@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 8:56:56 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Miriam Miller
82 Pine Tree Lane
Morgantown, WV 26508
304-594-2378

From: [James Mulcare](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 9:15:15 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

James Mulcare
1110 Benjamin St
Clarkston, WA 99403
(509) 758-3934

From: [Frances Marshall](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 9:21:19 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Frances Marshall
553 S Church St Apt 13
Shepherdstown, WV 25443
3048766337

From: tom_harris
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 9:24:19 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

tom harris
17 gate ct
burlington, NJ 8016
6097443953

From: [Rachel Newton](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Hearing Comments
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 9:44:06 AM

Good morning,

Though I'm unable to attend the public hearing in Erlanger scheduled for July 26th I strongly oppose any deregulations to our water pollution standards. If anything, we need additional regulations as the Ohio River is one of the most polluted rivers in the country. In what way would deregulation in this realm be beneficial to public health and safety?

Please oppose any further deregulation of water pollution standards for our community. Do not sell us out, again, for corporate interests. The Commonwealth cannot handle much more of this.

Thank you for your time,

Rachel Newton,
Florence

From: [Mont & Elora McKenzie](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 9:53:09 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Mont & Elora McKenzie
3503 Wayside Talcott Rd.
Talcott, WV 24981
304-466-1350

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 11:08:32 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 9:56 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Loa Bennett
Email
bennettbeinit@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
I appreciate your stringent water standards and i expect that your entity will choose to maintain honorable standards of water cleanliness for generations to come. At your upcoming meeting may Dr. Emoto's water crystal study encourage you to increase the healing of our waterways through influencing government, businesses and industry to find greater value in the natural resource of life than the false power and principle of profit.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [david childers](mailto:david.childers@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 1:05:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

david childers
4049 Blue Sulphur Rd
ona, WV 25545
304 7335302

From: [Dixie Mullineaux](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 1:33:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Dixie Mullineaux
568 Preston Unger Ct
BERKELEY SPRINGS, WV 25411
4104582806

From: [Wade Turner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 2:05:45 PM

Hello. My name is Wade Turner and I live in newburgh Indiana. I read about the plan to leave pollution control for the Ohio river in the hands of individual states. While I agree with the concept of states rights normally, it seems obvious that the Ohio river pollution standards are already to lax. This river should be a natural resource protected and highly regulated. It amazes me that we have allowed the river to get so amazingly polluted already and I believe that removing any pollution regulations my just be the most heartless thing I have ever heard. Do the people pushing for such a ridiculous pollution control standard want everyone living along this river to die from cancer? It seems to me they might.

Thank you
Wade Turner

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Elizabeth Scott](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 3:05:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Elizabeth Scott
114 Shady Lane
Winfield, WV 25214
3045623508

From: [carol.sheffield](mailto:carol.sheffield@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 4:20:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

carol sheffield
297 Upper Childers Run
Buckhannon, WV 26201
3044721463

From: [Christa Lemons](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 4:20:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Christa Lemons
642 Bethel Rd
Paw Paw, WV 25434
304-947-5217

From: [SJ BROWN](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 6:30:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

SJ BROWN
weeping willow
fallingwaters, WV 25419
304-270-1101

From: [DEBORAH SMITH](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 7:31:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

DEBORAH SMITH
3044 NW 30th St
Oklahoma City, OK 73112
4059426953

From: [Regina Hendrix](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 8:50:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Regina Hendrix
65 Bradford Court
Charles Town, WV, WV 25414
3047250223

From: [Kerren Hall](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 9:17:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Kerren Hall
167 Hess Rd
Fayetteville, WV 25840
3047318063

From: [Linda Gallaher](mailto:Linda.Gallaher@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 9:23:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Linda Gallaher
157 Gallaher Street
Huntington, WV 25705
3047107956

From: [Francis Slider](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 19, 2018 11:13:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Francis Slider
Rt.1, Box 163-A2
Middlebourne, WV 26149
304-771-2150

From: [Susan Morgan](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 5:07:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Susan Morgan
327 24 th Street
Dunbar, WV 25064
304-766-6137

From: [Margaret Meeker](mailto:Margaret.Meeker@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 7:59:54 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Margaret Meeker
4-115 The Fields
Williamstown, WV 26187
3048504397

From: [Barbara Klinger](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 8:03:24 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Barbara Klinger
4943 Mayfield Rd
Lyndhurst, OH 44124
2163810422

From: [Julian Martin](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 9:07:32 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Julian Martin
1525 Hampton Road
Charleston, WV 25314
304-342-8989

From: [Betty Barrett](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 11:28:28 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River. Your work, and that of many other concerned citizens, has made a big difference. We do not want to lose out now.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Betty Barrett
356 Bradley Foster Drive
Huntington, WV 0
304-522-0799

From: [William Hicks](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 11:29:54 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

William Hicks
101 Roosevelt Ave
Bethany, WV 26032
304-829-4274

From: [John Niland](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Clean water standards
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 11:59:13 AM

Please uphold these standards. The public has few who currently act to protect our mutual interests in seeing that our water resources are protected for us and our children and grand children. I live in Northern Kentucky near the Ohio and Licking rivers. Please do all you can to protect these fine resources from those who choose to pollute.

John Niland
Covington

From: [Melissa McCool](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 2:07:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Melissa McCool
753 Hexon RD
Selah, WA 98942
5096977751

From: [Larry Thomas](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 2:20:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Larry Thomas
P.O. Box 194
Circleville, WV 26804
3045672602

From: [Gale Simplicio](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 3:31:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Gale Simplicio
1275 Tartan Lane
Morgantown, WV 26505
3049066374

From: [S. Thomas Bond](mailto:S.ThomasBond@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 3:56:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

S. Thomas Bond
1779 Jesse Run Rd
Jane Lew, WV 26378
3048847352

From: [Carrie Wild](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Limits
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 5:40:11 PM

As an Indiana resident, I **do not** support ORANSCO's suggestion that pollution standards be relaxed along the Ohio River. With our country's natural resources under constant assault (especially under the new GOP administration) we must keep current standards in place, if not **improve** them.

I urge ORANSCO to keep these protections for the health of both the citizenry and the environment, rather than catering to those who would take advantage of a lack of oversight to further corporate need and greed.

Sincerely,

Carrie Wild
Indianapolis, IN

From: [Susan smith](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 6:45:06 PM

I grew up on the Ohio River and remember how great the fishing and swimming were. This was the 50's. THEN: the water became filthy and smelly. I guess that is what I am going to see again with the new policies. What a shame.

Grew up along the banks of the Ohio River.

From: [Frank Decapio](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 6:48:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Frank Decapio
420 Sunrise Drive
Weirton, WV 26062
3043745113

From: [Suzanne kruger](mailto:Suzanne.kruger@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 7:14:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Suzanne kruger
60 Huckleberry Ln
Harpers Ferry, WV 25425
13047281339

From: [Aull, Noland](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PSC Comments
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 7:22:35 PM

It is vital that ORSANCO continue to set and monitor current pollution levels in tributaries leading to the Ohio River. Ohio River tributaries account for 93% of total pollution found in the river.

To continue to protect the drinking water and health of our citizens, and to provide stewardship to our previous natural resources and environment here in the Commonwealth.

Please continue the vital practice of setting pollutant standards.

Noland Aull
LFUCG Environmental Commissioner
3900 Crosby Drive, Apartment 609, Lexington, KY, 40515

Noland Aull
Director of Promotions for the UK Center for Community Outreach
Student Philanthropy Board
www.linkedin.com/in/nolandau11

From: [John Estes](mailto:John.Estes@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 9:14:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

John Estes
1207 Regal Avenue
Birmingham, AL 35213
000000000

From: [Fran Strege](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 10:01:24 PM

Keep our water clean. As an Oncology nurse I can tell you carcinogenic, neuro toxic chemicals in the drinking water supply causes undo misery and devastating health outcomes. We have a right to clean air, clean water and a clean environment! Keep the regulations tight! Keep us safe! Frances Strege, RN. Oncology

Sent from my iPad

From: [John Doyle](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 20, 2018 10:02:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

John Doyle
1527 Hampton Rd.
Charleston, WV 25314
3044372186

From: [Steven Runfola](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, July 21, 2018 6:21:40 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Steven Runfola
45 Park Ridge Drive
Morgantown, WV 26508
3046801340

From: [Bert Lustig](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, July 21, 2018 7:24:42 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Bert Lustig
3476 Mauzy Rd
Berkeley Springs, WV 25411
3042581195

From: [JERRY](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Saturday, July 21, 2018 9:02:57 AM

We must keep protecting the Ohio River. It is a great and necessary resource not only for people but wildlife as well. We cannot pollute the waters, ignore the degradation it would cause to the river, and erase any progress that has been achieved in cleaning it up.

Laura Hunter

From: [Amy Miller](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, July 21, 2018 9:44:32 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Amy Miller
2205 Nash St
Parkersburg, WV 26101
3046798352

From: [Ann Frutkin](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Saturday, July 21, 2018 10:48:46 AM

Dear sir,

The Ohio River nourishes is all in the Midwest. It needs chemical and waste protection because we do. Please monitor its health with discretion.

Thank you
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Melissa Steever](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please continue to Protect the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, July 21, 2018 11:08:57 AM

Please don't roll back regulation around protecting our rivers, they need protecting for now and our next generations.

Thank you.

From: flagalucky@aol.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Date: Saturday, July 21, 2018 1:29:07 PM

Every time I think Trump and his cult have gone as low as possible, they prove my wrong. If things survive their time, it will take years to repair damages that they do in days.

Linda and Robert Stover

From: [Jose De arteaga](mailto:Jose.De.arteaga@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, July 21, 2018 3:31:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Jose De arteaga
2014 31st Place, SE
Washington, DC 20020
2027898087

From: [B.A. Klapper](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Water Quality Standards
Date: Saturday, July 21, 2018 5:28:19 PM

To the members of ORSANCO and whomever it may concern:

I wish to write to you about the pending decisions to be made regarding the Water Quality Standards and the fate of the Pollution Control Standards Program which are currently under triennial review. I have read the five proposals placed forth by your organization and I believe that options 1 and 2 are highly irresponsible and would be a step backwards for the millions of people living along the Ohio River.

As you are no doubt aware, millions of people rely upon the Ohio River for water, whether it be for drinking, bathing, or growing the food that helps feed our country. As the now four year old crisis in Flint has revealed, we cannot be too stringent in our regulation of our water supply. Whether it be lead, arsenic, sulfur, organic compounds, fertilizer runoff, or any of other countless pollutants we must work to keep our rivers clean and safe to use.

Options 1 and 2, which rely on the EPA and state regulatory agencies to continue the monitoring of the Ohio River is an irresponsible abrogation of duty which I believe ORSANCO should not consider. It is very easy for us to assume, "Well, somebody else will take care of that." To assume that the federal government or the states will pick up the slack and multi-state organizations need not form an additional regulatory capacity. But I think this is a risk we cannot take. It would be all too easy for those other organizations to also conclude, "Well, somebody else will take care of that." and also abrogate their responsibilities.

In a situation of *quis custodiet ipsos custodes* it is vital to have as many custodians watching each other as possible. ORSANCO has served a vital role in regulatory oversight ensuring that state and federal regulations meet or exceed what science has shown to be safe and clean water. I urge you to consider alternatives that continue ORSANCO's regulatory duties and maintain regulation and oversight to protect a resource vital to the lives of us in the heartland of the United States.

Sincerely,

B.A. Klapper

From: [Jennifer Edelen](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, July 22, 2018 8:36:55 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Jennifer Edelen
6304 Hanes Dr
Louisville, KY 40219
5025280037

From: [Lucy Duff](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, July 22, 2018 12:47:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Lucy Duff
9210 Fowler Ln
Lanham, MD 20706
301 577 2350

From: [Don Hahn](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution
Date: Sunday, July 22, 2018 12:50:49 PM

I feel that ORSANCO should keep protecting the quality of our precious resource. Living in southern Indiana all my 62 years I have seen the river come a long way from the 1970's.

Thank you,
Don Hahn

From: [Ronald Burkhart](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution, Ohio River
Date: Sunday, July 22, 2018 2:02:07 PM

Dear ORANSANCO members,

I am strongly against illuminating any pollution control standards for the Ohio River!

The water we drink in our Newburgh home comes from the Ohio River.

Think about your, our, children and grandchildren.

Linda Burkhart

Sent from my iPad

From: [Olivia Tierney](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PSC Comments
Date: Sunday, July 22, 2018 7:38:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

It is vital to the health of Kentucky that you continue to enforce pollution regulations in the Ohio River. My family, along with 3 million other people get their drinking water from this river, and 25 million people live in the Ohio River Basin. Do not put us at risk of the countless health issues related to polluted water. In addition, Ohio River tributaries make up 93% of stream and river miles in Kentucky, so even those who don't live in the basin are affected. By discontinuing pollution regulations, you are also endangering Kentucky's natural landscapes and wildlife. These beauties are not easily restored.

Please continue to set and monitor pollutant levels in our river. Don't let Kentucky down.

Very best,
Oli Tierney
Oli.tierney@gmail.com

From: [Dyck, Reginald](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO
Date: Sunday, July 22, 2018 10:20:42 PM

I strongly oppose the reduction in standards this is proposed. At a time when EPA standards are constantly under attack, this would be a terrible time to reduce ORSANCO oversight. We need coordinated regional regulations that will protect the Ohio River for all the states and people involved.

Reginald Dyck
3327 Bishop Street
Cincinnati OH 45220

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 9:10:57 AM

Email send to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Saturday, July 21, 2018 2:34 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mrs. Catherine Snyder
Email
wil-cat@sbcglobal.net
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
ORSANCO and polluters say the standards for the Ohio River are redundant because the states are doing an adequate job of controlling pollution. But Indiana has failed to adopt more than 100 pollution limits in ORSANCO's standards that are more protective for many dangerous chemicals including arsenic, chromium, and methylmercury. ORSANCO-please keep protecting the Ohio River. Thank you.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [karen kish](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 9:24:26 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Please continue to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

karen kish
2001 light house road
given, WV 25245
3043724086

From: [David Ruediger](mailto:David.Ruediger@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 9:44:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

David Ruediger
64 weeping willow run
Parsons, WV 26287
304-747-8412

From: claire.chougnnet
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments in prevision of the July 26 meeting
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 10:14:45 AM

I want to express my grave concerns about the new ORSANCO draft proposal. This proposal shows whole chapters of pollution control regulations crossed out. For the most part, it guts ORSANCO's role as an agency regulating discharge into the Ohio River. Without ORSANCO's standards all Ohio River states will have to develop their own standards, which may very well lead to interstate litigation as downriver states fight to protect their water quality that might be jeopardized by weaker upriver state standards. They should be working with all the states collaboratively for uniform standards for all water quality standards. In addition, it's critical that ORSANCO fully maintains its role holding the states' and the federal EPA accountable, to maintain high standards of pollution control regulations.

As one of the 5 million people who depend on the Ohio river for their drinking water, I count on ORSANCO to continue to fully work in the defense of our river.

Claire Chougnnet, PhD, PharmD

3476 Morrison Place, Cincinnati, OH45220

From: [Misty McVicker](mailto:Misty.McVicker@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 10:49:10 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Misty McVicker
297 Brands run rd
Morgantown, WV 26501
304 906 4436

From: [James Bullard](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 11:03:56 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

James Bullard
1359 Gordon Dilley Road
Marlinton, WV 24954
304 799 7345

From: [Andrew Clovis](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 3:13:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set necessary pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These invaluable standards have improved the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. I urge you to please not walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Andrew Clovis
368 Jewell Hill Road
St. Marys, WV 26170
304 266-6358

From: [Beth](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep our plants, animals, and communities safe with high control of pollution standards
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 4:13:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Since the publishing of “Silent Spring” by Rachel Carson, every day people understand the interconnectedness of all of life and the importance of clean water and clean air. When one vital resource, water, is corrupted by pollution, all of life is negatively impacted and suffers. Medical problems and disease increase. Life expectancy lowers.

For the last year and a half the EPA has been hard at work deregulating industry and businesses. A move that imperils each of us.

Cincinnati has one of the highest incidence of cancer in the nation.

A couple of years ago there was a six mile long algal bloom floating down the Ohio River. Algal blooms are toxic to animal and plant life. Our own drinking water comes from that same river.

ORSANCO must advocate for our communities and keep the pollution control standards the highest possible.

Sincerely,

Beth Sheehan

From: [Joe G](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PSC Comments
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 7:00:36 PM

It is incredibly important for ORSANCO to continue to set and monitor pollutant levels in the Ohio River. The health and safety of this river greatly impacts not only surrounding communities in the Ohio River Basin but the entire Commonwealth of Kentucky. **Tributaries of the Ohio River account for 93 percent of the stream and river miles in Kentucky.**

Without strong pollution standards and enforcement of those standards we cannot guarantee the drinking water quality and the recreational and environmental value of this important ecosystem.

Please continue the important practice of setting pollution standards.

Sincerely,
-Joseph Gorman
2196 Bishoptown Rd, Duffield, VA 24244

From: [Teresa Parcell](mailto:Teresa.Parcell@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 8:44:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Teresa Parcell
6024 Little Sandy Road
Elkview, WV 25071
304-965-1895

From: [Tamatha Cheke](mailto:Tamatha.Cheke@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 9:22:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Tamatha Cheke
9568 Left Fork Cooper Ridge Road
Milton, WV 25541
3046387208

From: [Sadie Elizabeth Lawrence](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PSC Comments
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 11:24:10 PM

Hello!

Yes, ORSONCO, talking to you. Guys, what're you doing? You want to stop having restrictions and pollution standards that protect the Ohio River and its tributaries? Would you also like some brains in your skulls? Last time I checked, you all were humans too. You guys need clean water to like, survive and not die of disease because companies are dumping whatever the heck they want into your drinking water. And besides you, there are like 3 million people drinking from the Ohio River. Plus, 25 million people that live in the Ohio River Valley, which is like 10% of the US population. Did you realize your decisions are impacting 10% of the US population? Did you notice that your decision could literally be hurting millions of people?

Also, like, have you seen the Ohio River? It's nasty! I don't want to swim in that water, do you? And you're ready to just allow it to be polluted more? Also, what kind of Sanitation Commission are you if you're not helping keep the Ohio River sanitized? Check yourself. Take a step back a think about that for a second, please. Did you do get that? All right, good, thank you.

I hope you have enjoyed your small moment of enlightenment on this issue and continue to keep it in mind throughout your decision-making processes. I really do appreciate it if you made it this far.

With love,

Sadie Lawrence
Louisville, KY
sadie.lawrence@stu.jefferson.kyschools.us

From: [Sadie Lawrence](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PSC Comments
Date: Monday, July 23, 2018 11:27:23 PM

It is incredibly important for ORSANCO to continue to set and monitor pollutant levels in the Ohio River. The health and safety of this river greatly impacts not only surrounding communities in the Ohio River Basin but the entire Commonwealth of Kentucky. Tributaries of the Ohio River account for 93 percent of the stream and river miles in Kentucky.

Without strong pollution standards and enforcement of those standards we cannot guarantee the drinking water quality and the recreational and environmental value of this important ecosystem.

Please continue the important practice of setting pollution standards.

Sincerely,

Daise Lawre
Louisville, KY
salaw713@icloud.com

From: [Brittany McCall](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO
Date: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 7:45:42 AM

To whom it may concern,
I strongly disagree with eliminating the current pollution control standards. The river is the primary drinking water for the southern Indiana and KY region. It would be a disservice to the people of the community.

Thank you,
BM

From: [Cameron Baller](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PSC Comments
Date: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 3:34:06 PM

Hello,

I would like to voice my strong opposition to any relaxing of pollutant standards in the Ohio River. This water flows into the vast majority of Kentucky tributaries and weakened standards or a lack of enforcement could cause serious health problems for myself and my fellow Kentuckians. It could also endanger ecosystems in the area with serious economic and environmental effects. Please continue ensuring the health and safety of our critical water resources by setting and monitoring pollution standards in the Ohio River.

--

Sincerely,
Cameron Baller

424 Woodland Ave
Lexington, KY 40508

From: [Patrick O'Connor](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Water Quality Standards
Date: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 4:10:16 PM

To the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission:

The Ohio River is already known as the most polluted inland waterway in the country, yet it is the tap water source for five million people. Given those facts, it seems a foolish choice to relax pollution control standards in any way. Please do not relax pollution control standards.

Best regards,

Patrick J. O'Connor
19001 East Shoreland Avenue
Rocky River, OH 44116-2820
(440) 895-0880 Home
(216) 983-9654 Mobile
poc44121@yahoo.com

From: k.vaught@twc.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment on Proposal to Drop Ohio River Regulations
Date: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 4:22:20 PM

To: Mr. Richard Harrison, Director and others as appropriate

As a resident of Evansville, IN, I would like to voice my disagreement with the commission's proposal to drop pollution control standards for the Ohio River. The Ohio River provides a source of recreation for residents. It also is a source of drinking water for many. As a resident of the area, I am concerned that the Ohio River is already one of the nation's most-polluted waterways. Dropping or lessening pollution control standards set by ORSANCO would not benefit the goal of keeping a clean, safe and usable waterway.

Leaving the states to solely enforce water standards places our waterways at increased risk of pollution. We need to prevent outcomes like those that have occurred in Flint, Michigan and other cities. There are many industries along the Ohio and the potential for increased pollution is high. Trusting the States and the industries to self-regulate is naive at best. Water contamination impacts the health and welfare of all of us in the region. I urge the commission to not approve this proposal and to be vigorous in creating, monitoring and enforcing standards that ensure our waterways remain safe.

Thank you,

Kay Vaught

From: [Kevin Rolfes](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 7:06:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Kevin Rolfes
14006 N Green Hills Loop
Austin, TX 78737
5123010838

From: [Eric Mannweiler](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Water Quality
Date: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 7:13:22 PM

Hello,

I am unable to come to the public hearing on 7/26, but would like to ask that ORSANCO keeps its water pollution control program strong. The Ohio gets more pollution than any other river in the United States, and ORSANCO has established critical rules over pollutant release for the last 70 years. These rules have successfully improved Ohio River water quality and kept upstream states from degrading Indiana's water resources. The public should and NEEDS to be able to weigh in on this matter, as the health of our waterways affect us all.

Indiana has failed to adopt more than 100 pollution limits in ORSANCO's standards that are more protective for many dangerous chemicals including arsenic, chromium, and methylmercury. I don't think anyone can argue that ORSANCO's rules are redundant, when the state of IN has proven it is not interested in protecting the health of the Ohio over the greed of polluters. Please do not put control of the Ohio River's future in the hands of the state government, and continue to set rules to protect it from polluters.

Thank you very much,

Eric Mannweiler

From: [K Scott](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 7:55:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

K Scott
Arlington Ave.
Charleston, WV 25302
3045907208

From: [Karen](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep Standards in Place
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 8:01:01 AM

Dear Orsanco,

It is imperative for my family's and my community's health that you keep your chemical measuring standards for the Ohio River in place. This is America, and we should be able to depend on our tap water being safe. Please do your part and uphold hear standards, for everyone's sake.

Thank you.

Karen Scalf
Huntington, WV.

~Karen

From: [Kristy Hopfensperger](mailto:Kristy.Hopfensperger@nku.edu)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep ORSANCO
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 8:03:00 AM

PLEASE do not disban ORSANCO!

1. Several states rely on ORSANCO's standards and adopt them as their own. If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards, those states will have to expend the financial resources to develop the requisite standards.
2. ORSANCO should be setting uniform standards with the collective input of all basin states.
3. If all Ohio River states have to develop their own standards, then the situation can lead to interstate conflicts when an upriver state has weaker standards that lead to increased pollution treatment costs for a downriver state. This would, inevitably, lead to litigation among the states.

I teach a class every year at NKU called, Protecting Water Resources, and I have conducted years of aquatic research in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed – I know from first-hand experience the importance of inter-state collaboration! ORSANCO is a great model to students and others on how states can successfully improve the environmental health of a basin, which directly impacts the people that live and recreate there – do not get rid of this important resource for our people!

Not only is ORSANCO integral to the health of our land and people, but it also provides jobs and experiential internships for scientists in the region. And equally important is FORE – one of the educational efforts of ORSANCO. FORE has been teaching students and adults in the region about the importance of clean water and the part that each person plays in their watershed – the work done by FORE would not be replaced by the EPA, etc.

Please reconsider the multi-faceted benefits that ORSANCO provides to the people, environment, and children in the region.

Sincerely,
Kristy Hopfensperger

Kristine N. Hopfensperger, Ph.D.

Director, Environmental Science Program
Associate Professor
Department of Biological Sciences, SC 247
Northern Kentucky University
1 Nunn Drive
Highland Heights, KY 41099
hopfenspek1@nku.edu
(859) 572.5305
<http://kristinehopfensperger.weebly.com>
@khopH2O

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 8:48:21 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 4:48 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mrs. Rosanne F Klarer
Email
rosannefk@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Continue to Protect the Ohio River's water quality. Don't rollback any pollution standards. Millions of people depend on the river. Hold polluting industries accountable don't give them a free pass with our health!

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Olivia Claire Tierney](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Comments
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 1:29:56 PM

Hey ORSANCO,

I'm watching you. If you threaten my water supply, I will come for you. I have an army of environmentalists with me. The Kentucky Student Environmental Coalition will not let you get away with halting your practice of setting pollution standards. We are powerful. If you endanger our waterways, we will endanger your commission.

Very best,

Angry Ohio River Valley Resident

From: [Logan Porter](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Hearing to review Pollution Control Standards
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 1:35:50 PM

To Whom It May Concern,

I am strongly opposed to reducing pollution restrictions in the Ohio River Valley. Removing these restrictions will put us at the mercy of federal regulations which are also in jeopardy with the current administration. We need localized controls to protect our health and the environment that the river-ways support. Please uphold or strengthen the regulations.

Sincerely,

Logan Porter
638 Clemmer Ave.
Cincinnati OH 45219

From: [Sarah K Minneman](mailto:sarah.k.minneman@gmail.com)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Hearing to Review Pollution Control Standards
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 2:10:34 PM

Dear Committee,

I just received notice of the hearing to review pollution control standards. Unfortunately I will not be able to attend on July 26th so I feel obligated to submit my comments via email.

Water is one of the most valuable natural resources available. Water is associated with everything we do and we especially need clean water for showering, cooking, drinking, gardening, etc. I believe the current pollution control program has proven vital for the people of Cincinnati and surrounding areas and it should remain in tact. I fear for the quality of our water if we eliminate ORSANCO regulations and rely solely on Federal regulations. I currently do not trust the Federal regulations as Federal budget cuts are making it increasingly difficult for the regulations to be monitored and ensured. Please let us learn from Flint, Michigan and realize the severity of what is at stake. I will be ashamed if Cincinnati draws similar national attention as Flint, MI due to an elimination of the ORSANCO regulations.

Please keep drinking water an important part of all government discussions and policies. Do not settle for the easy path in terms of policies and standards for healthy drinking water. The more Cincinnati makes drinking water a focus, the more support they will have from the general public.

Thank you for taking the time to read my letter. I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,
Sarah

--

Sarah K. Minneman
D.M.A. Candidate Oboe Performance
University of Cincinnati

M.M. Oboe Performance, 2015
University of Southern California

B.M. Oboe Performance, 2013
B.A. Germanic Studies, 2013
University of Maryland, College Park

s.k.minneman@gmail.com
(484)226-0292

From: [Jeanette Rowsey](mailto:Jeanette.Rowsey@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on proposed changes to pollution control standards on the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 2:24:11 PM

The Ohio River is already known as the most polluted inland waterway in the country, yet it is the tap water source for five million people, including me and my family.

I strongly disagree that state-level pollution control standards are adequate, for the following reasons:

1. Several states rely on ORSANCO's uniform standards—set by representatives from all member states in the Ohio River watershed—and adopt them as their own. If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards, those states will have to expend the financial resources to develop standards.
2. If all Ohio River states have to develop their own standards, then the situation can lead to interstate conflicts when an upriver state has weaker standards that lead to increased pollution treatment costs for a downriver state. This would, inevitably, lead to litigation among the states, and problems with communication and enforcement of pollution standards.
3. Waste products and leaks from the fracking industry are causing more harmful pollutants to enter the watershed by the day, and this will get worse if the proposed Appalachian Storage Hub gets built.

Please do not relax pollution control standards that impact the water we rely on and drink!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

--

Jeanette M. Rowsey
5829 E Pea Ridge Road
Huntington, WV 25705
304-736-4366

From: ccoop001@fiu.edu on behalf of [Cara Cooper](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PSC Comments
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 3:38:38 PM

It is incredibly important for ORSANCO to continue to set and monitor pollutant levels in the Ohio River. The health and safety of this river greatly impacts not only surrounding communities in the Ohio River Basin but the entire Commonwealth of Kentucky. **Tributaries of the Ohio River account for 93 percent of the stream and river miles in Kentucky.**

Without strong pollution standards and enforcement of those standards we cannot guarantee the drinking water quality and the recreational and environmental value of this important ecosystem.

Please continue the important practice of setting pollution standards.

Sincerely,
Cara Cooper
907 Bryan Ave
Lexington, KY 40505



Cara Cooper (she/her)
State Organizer | [Kentucky Student Environmental Coalition](#)
[859-242-6435](tel:859-242-6435) | Lexington, KY

From: [Marian Cooley](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: river
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 4:04:25 PM

Please retain your water standards! If anything, we need more stringent standards so that we can depend on the water we use. I think of Flint, Michigan and parts of northern Indiana and their need for cleaner water. We do not want water that will cause health problems, we want water we can depend on.

Thank you.

Marian Cooley

From: [Paul Cahill](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: clean water meeting
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 4:41:24 PM

I'm appalled at the idea of overturning the current pollution controls on the Ohio River. Clearly the EPA's original laws were not strong enough to begin with, so the ORWSC had to enact something better – keep it that way! Or better yet – make it stronger! With the Ohio river constantly being labeled as one of the dirtiest and most polluted bodies of water year after year, doing away with these regulations would be unconscionable!

While I applaud our water districts for providing some of the top drinking water in the nation, there is no need to make their jobs any harder – or let Big Industry make our river even worse! History shows that Big Industry cannot police itself and with the current administration stripping down the EPA, we as a local governing body, need to watch our for ourselves!

A public hearing on a Thursday night, at dinner time, during the summer, 9 or so miles from downtown, hardly sounds like you are trying hard to work for the people.

Thank you,
Paul Cahill
Florence, KY

From: [Matthew Heyl](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Hearing on Pollution Control Review
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 4:45:46 PM

Hello,

I am a concerned citizen writing to you about the pollution review. I believe strongly that the pollution control is vital to the health of all people in the Ohio River Valley and it would be appalling to have a private interest meddle in this.

Thank you.

From: [Kathy Solecki](mailto:Kathy.Solecki@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: pollution control standards
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 4:46:58 PM

As a resident of Evansville, Indiana, who relies on the safety of water drawn from the Ohio River, I am writing to ask you NOT to eliminate ORSANCO pollution control standards for the Ohio River Valley! It is NOT true that the states along the Ohio River have standards that will adequately protect the river. There are more than 100 ORSANCO standards that are either missing or weaker in Indiana's standards, and the situation is similar in the other Ohio River states. Each ORSANCO standard is a scientifically derived maximum amount of a chemical the river can have and still be healthy for people and aquatic life.

It is of great importance to me and my family that the Ohio River continue to be kept as free of pollutants as possible.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Katherine Solecki
5700 Drakewick Drive
Evansville, IN 47711

From: [Martha W D Bushnell](mailto:Martha.W.D.Bushnell@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 5:29:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Martha W D Bushnell
1331 E. Hecla Dr.
Louisville, CO 80027
3039263100

From: [Robert Sikorski](mailto:Robert.Sikorski@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Against Pollutions Control Standard 2018 Revision
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 5:47:09 PM

Hello ORSANCO,

My name is Robert Sikorski and I am writing today (July 25, 2018) to say that, after viewing the webinars and reading the marked up revision available on the ORSANCO website, I am against the proposed revision.

Additionally, I am disappointed by the webinars level of provided information – there is not enough addressing the effective impacts and why these changes are being sought. It is not enough to show me that a table of contents is changing in size, I want to know what the practical impacts over the next three years (range chosen since this appears to be the standard revision cycle) may be, worst case and best case scenarios.

These aren't outrageous expectations, but, if anything, normal expectations for proposing rules changes for projects in business. I don't see why this kind of rules revisions should be any different just because it is in the public sector.

To reiterate, I am against the proposed revision.

Thank you very much for taking the time to receive my public comment.

Sincerely,
Robert Sikorski

From: [Taylor Dent](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Cincinnati Water Quality Input
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 8:26:22 PM

Good Evening,

As a born and raised Cincinnati, I have bragged many times to others about our quality of drinking water. I am concerned by the suggestion and even the contemplation of lessening the measures in place that ensure that the water my family drinks every day is free of contaminants. I urge you to do all that you can to keep us all safe and to resist those that seek to undermine the common good.

Sincerely,

Taylor Dent
513-236-3223
taylordent@icloud.com

From: [Ashley S](#)
To: Pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution control standards hearing
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 8:27:12 PM

Hello, my name is Ashley. I've recently learned of the deregulation private industry wants to do on the pollution control standards. I truly feel this unnecessary and we need to keep the control standards the way they are now. We have some of the cleanest drinking water in this country. We need regulations above and beyond what the federal government provides.

Thanks,

Ashley Saylor

From: [Seth Panning](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect our water regulations
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 8:46:10 PM

To Whom it may concern

I have been a long time resident of Cincinnati. In the past I have enjoyed Kayaking on the little Miami, but recently it has been so disgusting.

Please do not eliminate the ORSANCO regulations that protect our groundwater. If anything we should be doing more to ensure that our local waterways are being protected.

150 gallons of a weed killer has spilled into Straight Creek, Do not think about relaxing regulations until we have reclaimed this land for use by the people. Please do not discount the opinions of your constituents.

--

[Seth Panning](#)

[614-531-1915](tel:614-531-1915)

[9525 Kenwood Road Suite 24 Cincinnati, Ohio 45242](#)

From: [Scott Boggess](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Review of ORSANCO Standards
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 9:28:52 PM

Hello,

I'd like to make it known my dissatisfaction of any reduction of current ORSANCO standards in terms of dumping into the Ohio river. The river is already rated one of the worst in terms of toxic discharge but thankfully regulations regarding treatment near Cincinnati as well as regulations on discharge keep Cincinnati drinking water clean. Please keep these regulations in place and keep our most famous natural landmark as free as possible of toxic waste.

<https://www.ewg.org/research/dishonorable-discharge/50-most-polluted-rivers-country#.W1kipbhrzIU>

Regards,

Scott Boggess

From: [Sherm Koons](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 9:29:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sherm Koons
114 Masonic Park Road
Marietta, OH 45750
7405164385

From: [Alex Albers](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Comments
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 11:17:30 PM

Good evening,

I recently heard about this year's review of the pollution control standards last renewed in 2015. The Ohio River is my home (Cincinnati resident), and its protection is paramount to the vitality of its cities. From what I have read, the river's condition has improved greatly since the establishment of ORSANCO in 1948. While national legislation like the Clean Water Act are also contributors, having a local organization expanding on those national guidelines is what makes this improvement possible. Reducing or removing pollution restrictions leaves this body of water that needs local attention at risk for a decline in its health. I do not want our river to be at the whim of a federal agency that has different priorities every 4-8 years. Local agencies know their natural resources best and that is certainly the case here.

Thanks for your time,
-Alex

From: [Laura Yokochi](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 12:20:29 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Laura Yokochi
142 Liberty St
Salem, WV 26426
304-695-1523

From: atnajlehmann
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep Ohio River clean!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:30:01 AM

Hello, I'm writing to give my comments on a proposal to end certain water quality regulations that apply to industries that utilize or are part of the Ohio River and watershed. I do NOT want any of the water quality regulations that apply to industries repealed. The industries that generate pollution need to continue to be held responsible for their wastes and do all they can to uphold the current regulations on pollution mitigation. In an era of record corporate profits, there is certainly no justification for rolling back water quality regulations on polluting industries... on the contrary, businesses are economically positioned well to maintain IF NOT VOLUNTARILY IMPROVE their current compliance with pollution/water quality standards.

Respectfully,
Amanda Lehmann
3624 Hoskins Cir
Fairfield Township, OH 45011
513-675-4867
atnajlehmann@fuse.net

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

From: [Kathy Marcal](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:39:39 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Kathy Marcal
1400 hazel st
Parkersburg, WV 26104
3045881362

From: [Margaret Minneman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:04:40 AM

I would like to comment on the revision of the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission's proposed revision to the Pollution Control Standards. After having read the revised document with more than half of the previous standards crossed out. I am deeply concerned about Chapter 3 "Water Quality Criteria" in particular section 3.1 which appears to be striking carcinogenic chemical limits from the list, and section 3.3 Human Health. It appears that the commission is removing any limits to carcinogenic substances from its pollution control policy. This is a very serious act, that will have grave consequences for people depending upon water from the Ohio River. While it may be cheaper to not have to test for such chemicals, the real cost will be in human lives. I have a daughter who is planning on attending the University of Cincinnati in the fall and what carcinogens she may be exposed to is very concerning. Our government should be raising our water quality standards instead of decreasing them. The time to save future generations is now. This is not the time to be short sighted in order to save a few tax dollars.

Yours truly,
Margaret Minneman
Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Kay Miller](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO changes
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:02:50 AM

Dear Sirs,

It is with great fear that I read about eliminating the pollution control standards for the Ohio River. As Indiana pushes for more industry and industry and industrial mining activities, respect and protection for the water resources are diminishing.

I am not totally familiar with the guidelines of your group; a discussion with environmental groups and interested citizens might help you update and tighten regulations.

Is it safe to eat fish from the river?

Have the Asian Carp infiltrated the river?

Is the Wabash watershed being operated with Best Practices?

How are the Flood plains in the watershed being protected?

Will elimination of pollution control standards jeopardize well waters and aquatic life downstream?

What is done 'upstream' will affect more than the Midwest and more than the waterway itself...Flood ways, Flood plains, & Flood fringes will also be affected.

Sincerely,

Kay Miller

8143 Old State Road 25N, Lafayette, IN

On the Banks of the Wabash River, a tributary of the Ohio River

From: [Andrew Clovis](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:24:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Andrew Clovis
368 Jewell Hill Road
St. Marys, WV 26170
304-266-6368

From: [Guilfoyle, Marvin](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Cc: [Kristi Miller \(cell\)](#); [Guilfoyle, Marvin](#)
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:44:00 AM

We have read with alarm the current proposal to drop uniform pollution control standards for this region. It is obvious that pollution created in one area of the region affects much larger areas than the original site of origin.

Therefore, the need for uniform regulation standards for the entire region should be self-evident. Piecemeal regulations would be confusing and ineffective. The current proposal is nonsensical and detrimental to the health and quality of life in this part of the nation.

Kristi Miller
and
Marvin Guilfoyle

936 Nottingham Court
Evansville, Indiana 47715

From: [Debi H.](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:49:57 AM

Please consider improving the Ohio River pollution standards, not weakening them. I have lived in Cincinnati most all of my life. It's very important to me that our river quality be improved. We have the most polluted river in the entire nation. How is this possible? What can we do to improve this situation?

As you know, several states rely on ORSANCO's standards and adopt them as their own. If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards, those states will have to expend the financial resources to develop the requisite standards. ORSANCO should be setting uniform standards with the collective input of all basin states. If all Ohio River states have to develop their own standards, then the situation can lead to interstate conflicts when an upriver state has weaker standards that lead to increased pollution treatment costs for a downriver state. This would, inevitably, lead to litigation among the states.

Debi Wilson Hanrahan
Cincinnati, OH

From: srsLueken@psci.net
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: proposal
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 12:02:35 PM

I want to go on record to urge the ORSANCO members to vote to continue the work they have been doing to protect the Ohio River valley air and water quality. We cannot allow the air and water quality to degrade to levels from the 1970's. Without constant oversight; I am concerned that industries would operate without concern for the environment and would put financial interests first. Please do not allow this to happen.

Thank you for your work and I urge you to continue.

Ralph Lueken
102 E 25th St
Ferdinand IN 47532
srslueken@psci.net

From: [Diania Merriam](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Against Pollution Control Standard 2018 Revision
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 1:25:29 PM

Hello ORSANCO,

My name is Diania Merriam and I am writing today (July 26, 2018) to state that I am against the proposed revision. I am interested in this issue because I live in Ohio.

Thank you very much for taking the time to receive my comment.

Sincerely,
Diania Merriam

From: joanjpw@aol.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Changes to 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:13:31 PM

I adamantly REJECT the proposed changes to the polluton standards set Oct. 8, 2015.

The Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) needs the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water along 900 miles of the Ohio running through Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, NY, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia. All 8 states need to continue the pollution control that we have achieved so far,

Joan Powers
3607 Constantine Drive
Prospect, KY 40059
joanjpw@aol.com

From: [Renee Hocevar \(rhocevar3@gmail.com\)](mailto:rhocevar3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:30:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Renee Hocevar
16380 Egbert Rd
Walton Hills, OH 44266
rhocevar3@gmail.com
(216) 288-0687

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sh D \(sdaskal33@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Sh D (sdaskal33@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:30:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sh D
2258 Orchard Way
Cleveland, OH 44122
sdaskal33@gmail.com
(216) 288-0643

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara VonBenken \(beelzebarb1@gmail.com\)](mailto:beelzebarb1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:30:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara VonBenken
8296 Avery Rd
Broadview Hts, OH 44147
beelzebarb1@gmail.com
(440) 838-0878

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tom Kisor \(tomkisor@aol.com\)](mailto:tomkisor@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:30:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tom Kisor
653 Founders Ridge Dr
Gahanna, OH 43230
tomkisor@aol.com
(614) 475-6263

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Emily Obringer \(emily.obringer@sierraclub.org\)](mailto:emily.obringer@sierraclub.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:30:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Emily Obringer
4120 W 157th St # Up
Cleveland, OH 44135
emily.obringer@sierraclub.org
(440) 935-5134

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Terianna Difrancesco \(tdifrancesco@pdisaneck.com\)](mailto:tdifrancesco@pdisaneck.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:30:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Terianna Difrancesco
12015 Shipley Rd
Johnstown, OH 43031
tdifrancesco@pdisaneck.com
(740) 967-2929

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Chad Nester \(nesterca@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:nesterca@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:30:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Chad Nester
812 Helen St
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
nesterca@yahoo.com
(419) 738-5088

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Troy Tackett \(ttackett131@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ttackett131@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:30:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Troy Tackett
69 Private Drive 442
Proctorville, OH 45669
ttackett131@yahoo.com
(304) 634-0649

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandy Scott \(sandy.scott@fuse.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sandy.scott@fuse.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:30:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandy Scott
5800 Milona Ct
Fairfield, OH 45014
sandy.scott@fuse.net
(513) 383-4895

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Lowe \(kim.klowe1@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kim.klowe1@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Lowe
3516 Lincoln Way E Apt C2
Massillon, OH 44646
kim.klowe1@gmail.com
(330) 833-8337

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Shawnda Drennen-Schwartz \(srdrennen@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:srdrennen@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Shawnda Drennen-Schwartz
6450 Linworth Rd
Worthington, OH 43085
srdrennen@yahoo.com
(614) 964-7701

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gloria Hinty \(gloriahinty@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gloriahinty@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gloria Hinty
491 S Pohlman Rd Apt 5
Chillicothe, OH 45601
gloriahinty@yahoo.com
(740) 637-7050

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mike Mccue \(mccue330@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mccue330@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mike Mccue
17285 Iyami Ct
Strongsville, OH 44136
mccue330@yahoo.com
(330) 357-1199

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Heather Knorr \(heather.knorr@uconn.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:heather.knorr@uconn.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Heather Knorr
4223 Parkmont Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45238
heather.knorr@uconn.edu
(513) 378-4547

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Frank Echelmeyer \(frank.echelmeyer@bex.net\)](mailto:frank.echelmeyer@bex.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Echelmeyer
5839 Black Swan Dr
Sylvania, OH 43560
frank.echelmeyer@bex.net
(419) 824-4451

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dustin Peplin \(ogdp93@gmail.com\)](mailto:ogdp93@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dustin Peplin
22081 river oaks dr
rocky river, OH 44070
ogdp93@gmail.com
(440) 429-4172

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Leslie Chain \(tigress@katrinaskritters.org\)](mailto:tigress@katrinaskritters.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Leslie Chain
PO Box 495
Youngstown, OH 44501
tigress@katrinaskritters.org
(330) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gerald Mahoney \(gfm1944@att.net\)](mailto:gfm1944@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gerald Mahoney
3415 E 75th St
Cleveland, OH 44127
gfm1944@att.net
(216) 271-3109

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Wilma Meek \(wjmeek623@gmail.com\)](mailto:wjmeek623@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Wilma Meek
820 Washington St
New Richmond, OH 45157
wjmeek623@gmail.com
(513) 553-2469

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Larry Bloomfield \(bluesbent1@gmail.com\)](mailto:bluesbent1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Larry Bloomfield
5300 Hamilton Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45224
bluesbent1@gmail.com
(513) 498-2978

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rosemarie Kistler \(fourkist@sbcglobal.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:fourkist@sbcglobal.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Kistler
25260 Chase Dr
North Olmsted, OH 44070
fourkist@sbcglobal.net
(440) 840-6392

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sheilamarie Tobbe \(sheilamarie10@juno.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sheilamarie10@juno.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:31:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sheilamarie Tobbe
5525 Dorset Rd
Lyndhurst, OH 44124
sheilamarie10@juno.com
(440) 605-1595

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Valerie Pitaluga \(vpitaluga1@gmail.com\)](mailto:vpitaluga1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Valerie Pitaluga
801 Polaris Pkwy Apt 110
Columbus, OH 43240
vpitaluga1@gmail.com
(786) 395-3613

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bernadette Callaha \(happynurse@juno.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Bernadette.Callaha(happynurse@juno.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bernadette Callaha
5714 Beckwith Rd
Pierpont, OH 44082
happynurse@juno.com
(216) 870-4725

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeffrey Grieshop \(jeppg1111@gmail.com\)](mailto:jeppg1111@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Grieshop
910 Butler St
Coldwater, OH 45828
jeppg1111@gmail.com
(419) 305-2959

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pat Fair \(jpfair@embarqmail.com\)](mailto:jpfair@embarqmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pat Fair
1779 Old 122 Rd
Lebanon, OH 45036
jpfair@embarqmail.com
(513) 932-0319

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Colleen Harrison-Jorgenson \(jorgensen.c@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jorgensen.c@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Colleen Harrison-Jorgenson
1943 Grafton Rd
Elyria, OH 44035
jorgensen.c@aol.com
(440) 387-3728

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joe and Kathy Grigg \(griggj@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:griggj@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joe and Kathy Grigg
59 Laura Ave
Washington Township, OH 45458
griggj@sbcglobal.net
(937) 312-1894

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Josephine Irvine-Groves \(josie236@frontier.com\)](mailto:josie236@frontier.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Josephine Irvine-Groves
422 Township Road 373
Richmond, OH 43944
josie236@frontier.com
(740) 765-4674

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William Miller \(snowtopbm@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:snowtopbm@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William Miller
608 Castle Blvd
Akron, OH 44313
snowtopbm@sbcglobal.net
(330) 388-3828

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dana Facaros \(dana.facaros@gmail.com\)](mailto:dana.facaros@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dana Facaros
1840 W 28th St
Cleveland, OH 44113
dana.facaros@gmail.com
(335) 653-1947

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeff F. \(frechtling@gmail.com\)](mailto:Jeff.F.(frechtling@gmail.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeff F
1451 Helma Ave
Hamilton, OH 45013
frechtling@gmail.com
(513) 456-7890

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Evelyn Flowers \(eflowers@srsfcharity.org\)](mailto:eflowers@srsfcharity.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Flowers
5232 Broadview Rd
Richfield, OH 44286
eflowers@srsfcharity.org
(330) 659-4161

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carolyn Ahern \(capella@mindspring.com\)](mailto:capella@mindspring.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:32:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Ahern
1224 Cranford Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
capella@mindspring.com
(216) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Miller \(jenngrassley@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jenngrassley@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:33:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Miller
6286 Olentangy River Rd
Delaware, OH 43015
jenngrassley@hotmail.com
(614) 406-1474

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Sickles \(daveohiodave@gmail.com\)](mailto:daveohiodave@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:33:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Sickles
4965 Highland Dr
Willoughby, OH 44094
daveohiodave@gmail.com
(440) 221-5383

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cindy Voss \(vossck@vosllc.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Cindy.Voss@vosllc.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:33:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cindy Voss
128 Asbury Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45255
vossck@vosllc.com
(513) 624-8365

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kelly Carson \(kmdcarson@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kmdcarson@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:33:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kelly Carson
7088 Lake O Springs Ave NW
North Canton, OH 44720
kmdcarson@gmail.com
(234) 209-9338

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Debbie Sanders \(dsanders@neo.rr.com\)](mailto:dsanders@neo.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:33:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Debbie Sanders
1213 Elberon Ave
Salem, OH 44460
dsanders@neo.rr.com
(330) 337-6019

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nadine Parish \(nparish23@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:nparish23@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:33:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nadine Parish
5814 Moorhouse Dr
Wadsworth, OH 44281
nparish23@aol.com
(330) 338-1394

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Amanda Brown \(amanda.brown016@gmail.com\)](mailto:amanda.brown016@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:33:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Amanda Brown
15333 Pine Hill Trl
Cleveland, OH 44130
amanda.brown016@gmail.com
(440) 826-1710

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Shelley Young \(srobison7372@yahoo.com\)](mailto:srobison7372@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:33:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Shelley Young
1212 Cicada St
Blacklick, OH 43004
srobison7372@yahoo.com
(740) 808-1104

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William and Katrina Dresbach \(katiescleaning10@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:katiescleaning10@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:33:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William and Katrina Dresbach
PO Box 2066
Sheffield Lake, OH 44054
katiescleaning10@gmail.com
(440) 864-7248

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Randall Sheets \(rlsheets50@aol.com\)](mailto:rlsheets50@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:34:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Randall Sheets
6431 Damson Dr
Clayton, OH 45315
rlsheets50@aol.com
(937) 837-4442

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Taylor \(geologyteach@hotmail.com\)](mailto:geologyteach@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:34:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

As a CURRENTLY REGISTERED REPUBLICAN VOTER, I am DEEPLY concerned about both air and water quality. Polluted air and water make taxpayers sick, unable to work, unable to pay taxes. It really is simple math. Industry needs to stop pushing the costs of pollution off to health insurance/drug companies.

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Taylor
20050 Canada Rd # RR02
Gambier, OH 43022
geologyteach@hotmail.com
(740) 397-5090

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lynn Gedeon \(lynn@seeathensohio.org\)](mailto:lynn@seeathensohio.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:34:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lynn Gedeon
16922 S Canaan Rd
Athens, OH 45701
lynn@seeathensohio.org
(740) 592-6074

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nageswari Shanmugalingam \(shanmun@uc.edu\)](mailto:shanmun@uc.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:35:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nageswari Shanmugalingam
236 Hosea Ave Unit 2
Cincinnati, OH 45220
shanmun@uc.edu
(513) 861-5025

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Mason \(frogkitty@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:frogkitty@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:35:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Mason
2035 Harcourt Estates Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45244
frogkitty@cinci.rr.com
(513) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rita Robinett \(ritarobinett@hotmail.com\)](mailto:ritarobinett@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:35:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rita Robinett
3515 Cross Dr
Greenville, OH 45331
ritarobinett@hotmail.com
(937) 548-3878

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marty Mason \(martymoose05@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:martymoose05@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:36:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone in asking for the current pollution control standards to be maintained -- drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical, leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marty Mason
371 E Glengary Cir
Highland Hts, OH 44143
martymoose05@hotmail.com
(216) 647-3293

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gerrilynne Blattner \(dynamouse@aim.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dynamouse@aim.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:36:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gerrilynne Blattner
2202 Aberdeen Ave
Toledo, OH 43614
dynamouse@aim.com
(419) 382-7337

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Linthicum \(whitefox702000@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:James.Linthicum@whitefox702000@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:36:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Linthicum
215 E North St
Piqua, OH 45356
whitefox702000@yahoo.com
(937) 570-5936

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Wissinger \(johnwissinger104@gmail.com\)](mailto:johnwissinger104@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:36:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Wissinger
440 N Main St Apt 148
Frankfort, OH 45628
johnwissinger104@gmail.com
(740) 998-6708

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brett Beil \(tubular8s@gmail.com\)](mailto:tubular8s@gmail.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:36:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brett Beil
110 Campers Dr
Eastlake, OH 44095
tubular8s@gmail.com
(440) 555-7779

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kim Hoffman \(kimhoffman@hotmail.com\)](mailto:kimhoffman@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:36:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kim Hoffman
1750 Hampshire Ln
Cleveland, OH 44106
kimhoffman@hotmail.com
(503) 222-2222

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eileen Hunter \(eileenhunter@netscape.net\)](mailto:eileenhunter@netscape.net) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:37:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eileen Hunter
1630 S Main St
Dayton, OH 45409
eileenhunter@netscape.net
(937) 223-2219

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Suzi Jackson \(skjwing@gmail.com\)](mailto:skjwing@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:37:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Suzi Jackson
632 Wilson St
Middletown, OH 45044
skjwing@gmail.com
(513) 393-0000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Judy \(uajudys@yahoo.com\)](mailto:uajudys@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:37:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Judy
3112 Avalon Rd
Columbus, OH 43221
uajudys@yahoo.com
(614) 507-1819

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robin Craft \(pcqueen@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Robin.Craft@pcqueen@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:37:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robin Craft
8678 US Highway 42 N
Plain City, OH 43064
pcqueen@gmail.com
(614) 873-2119

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Sheets \(betsyblossom@sssnet.com\)](mailto:betsyblossom@sssnet.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:37:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Sheets
826 Arbor St
Wooster, OH 44691
betsyblossom@sssnet.com
(330) 749-5112

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Renate And Jack Pealer \(renate3421@earthlink.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:renate3421@earthlink.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:37:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Renate And Jack Pealer
3421 Dawn Dr
Fairfield Township, OH 45011
renate3421@earthlink.net
(513) 887-7515

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Scott Neuhaus \(ssneuhaus@hotmail.com\)](mailto:ssneuhaus@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:38:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Scott Neuhaus
107 Glenmary Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45220
ssneuhaus@hotmail.com
(513) 289-9366

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brett Rov \(bianco_angelo04@msn.com\)](mailto:bianco_angelo04@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:38:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brett Rov
416 E Wood St
Lowellville, OH 44436
bianco_angelo04@msn.com
(330) 536-6587

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alina Grinshpun \(amgrinshpun@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:amgrinshpun@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:38:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alina Grinshpun
5347 Meadow Wood Blvd
Lyndhurst, OH 44124
amgrinshpun@yahoo.com
(440) 460-0501

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Kavanaugh \(kavanaughkimberly@gmail.com\)](mailto:kavanaughkimberly@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:38:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Kavanaugh
3121 W Erie Ave
Lorain, OH 44053
kavanaughkimberly@gmail.com
(440) 281-1685

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sheila Baldwin \(sheila.baldwin@norwalk.lib.oh.us\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sheila.baldwin@norwalk.lib.oh.us)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:38:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sheila Baldwin
130 N. Hester Street
Norwalk, OH 44857
sheila.baldwin@norwalk.lib.oh.us
(419) 668-3144

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Theresa Yee \(yee.theresa@gmail.com\)](mailto:yee.theresa@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:38:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Theresa Yee
3548 Lobelia Dr
Blue Ash, OH 45241
yee.theresa@gmail.com
(513) 293-9810

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Andrea Schmidt \(andreaschmi@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:andreaschmi@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:39:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Andrea Schmidt
11330 Hessler Rd
Cleveland, OH 44106
andreaschmi@gmail.com
(216) 231-8185

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sara Covert \(sarajo11@aol.com\)](mailto:sarajo11@aol.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:39:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sara Covert
3733 1/2 Lockwood Ave
Toledo, OH 43612
sarajo11@aol.com
(419) 476-1901

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jasmine Scott \(jasminescott2012@gmail.com\)](mailto:jasminescott2012@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:39:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jasmine Scott
1147 Franklin Ave
Columbus, OH 43205
jasminescott2012@gmail.com
(614) 364-0690

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laura Benge \(lbenge66@gmail.com\)](mailto:lbenge66@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:39:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laura Benge
1254 Timber Ridge Ct
Batavia, OH 45103
lbenge66@gmail.com
(513) 405-0067

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kent McClelland \(jukema2@aol.com\)](mailto:jukema2@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:40:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kent McClelland
5224 Mariner Dr
Huber Heights, OH 45424
jukema2@aol.com
(937) 275-5437

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Daniel Roth \(daniel.roth@cwfc.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:daniel.roth@cwfc.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:40:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Daniel Roth
5057 Burrell Dr
Sheffield Village, OH 44054
daniel.roth@cwfc.org
(440) 934-6698

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Monitta Lowe \(mializ01@yahoo.com\)](mailto:mializ01@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:40:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Monitta Lowe
12009 Sellers Ave
Cleveland, OH 44108
mializ01@yahoo.com
(216) 851-2988

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Meredith Petzke \(mepetzke@gmail.com\)](mailto:mepetzke@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:40:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Meredith Petzke
927 mae christine, Apt 303
Columbus, OH 43085
mepetzke@gmail.com
(614) 582-6015

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ashley Gingery \(ashleygingery@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ashleygingery@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:40:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ashley Gingery
6004 Jaycox Rd
North Ridgeville, OH 44039
ashleygingery@gmail.com
(440) 781-2175

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Heather Norris \(heathernae428@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:heathernae428@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:40:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Heather Norris
9494 Lisbon St E
East Canton, OH 44730
heathernae428@yahoo.com
(330) 495-7301

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Chris Mendel \(camc987@yahoo.com\)](mailto:camc987@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:40:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Chris Mendel
489 Piedmont Rd
Columbus, OH 43214
camc987@yahoo.com
(614) 976-0914

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Philip McPherson \(cindyandphil@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:cindyandphil@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:40:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Philip McPherson
4291 Sir John Ave
North Royalton, OH 44133
cindyandphil@sbcglobal.net
(440) 237-7145

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nicholas Flanagan \(flanagan.nick@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:flanagan.nick@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:40:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Flanagan
20448 Scotch Pine Way
Strongsville, OH 44149
flanagan.nick@gmail.com
(216) 543-0773

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ellen Briggs \(ellenbducklake@yahoo.com\)](mailto:ellenbducklake@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:41:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ellen Briggs
9622 Pebble View Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45252
ellenbducklake@yahoo.com
(513) 771-0590

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Angeline Strazar-Marino \(luigizmom@yahoo.com\)](mailto:luigizmom@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:41:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Angeline Strazar-Marino
12638 Hovey Dr
Chesterland, OH 44026
luigizmom@yahoo.com
(440) 729-9344

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joan Chryst \(joanmchryst@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:joanmchryst@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:41:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joan Chryst
8084 Farm Crossing Cir
Powell, OH 43065
joanmchryst@yahoo.com
(740) 881-2146

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Raymond Dicarlo \(dicarlosaab@mac.com\)](mailto:dicarlosaab@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:41:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Raymond Dicarlo
6350 Lee Rd S Apt 24
Maple Heights, OH 44137
dicarlosaab@mac.com
(216) 475-6718

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Judith Nethers \(judynethers@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:judynethers@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:42:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Judith Nethers
554 Partridge Rd
Heath, OH 43056
judynethers@hotmail.com
(740) 641-4203

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Gates \(mleftygates@aol.com\)](mailto:mleftygates@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:42:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Gates
214 Merriman Rd
Akron, OH 44303
mleftygates@aol.com
(330) 762-2610

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Dian \(lvargo66@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lvargo66@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:42:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Dian
3609 Warren Rd
Cleveland, OH 44111
lvargo66@yahoo.com
(216) 555-6996

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Don Pew \(nobrainssurgeon022@yahoo.com\)](mailto:nobrainssurgeon022@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:42:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Don Pew
348 Indiana Ave
Girard, OH 44420
nobrainssurgeon022@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Steven Belcher \(s-belcher@att.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:s-belcher@att.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:42:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Steven Belcher
801 Township Road 252
Ironton, OH 45638
s-belcher@att.net
(740) 533-1576

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jerry Siegel \(jsclev@aol.com\)](mailto:jsclev@aol.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:43:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jerry Siegel
13940 Cedar Rd
University Heights, OH 44118
jsclev@aol.com
(216) 534-3681

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julie Burwinkel \(jburwinkel81@gmail.com\)](mailto:jburwinkel81@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:43:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julie Burwinkel
824 Quailwoods Dr
Loveland, OH 45140
jburwinkel81@gmail.com
(513) 683-1185

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Potterton \(carol_potterton@yahoo.com\)](mailto:carol_potterton@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:44:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Potterton
5825 Woodmont Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45213
carol_potterton@yahoo.com
(513) 379-8197

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Grace Tompos \(gracet@oberlin.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gracet@oberlin.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:44:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Grace Tompos
66 Kendal Dr
Oberlin, OH 44074
gracet@oberlin.net
(330) 466-1695

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Holly Knight \(hollybknight@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:hollybknight@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:44:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Holly Knight
137 Parkview Ave
Westerville, OH 43081
hollybknight@yahoo.com
(614) 551-5550

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard Friesenhengst \(friesrc@yahoo.com\)](mailto:friesrc@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:45:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard Friesenhengst
1192 Billie Dr
Columbus, OH 43227
friesrc@yahoo.com
(614) 626-3565

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rebecca Bralek \(beckblaze9@gmail.com\)](mailto:beckblaze9@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:45:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Bralek
6001 Center St
Peninsula, OH 44264
beckblaze9@gmail.com
(330) 603-3642

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dianna Staib \(dstaib@apslearns.org\)](mailto:dstaib@apslearns.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:45:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dianna Staib
970 SKYSIDE DRIVE
Clinton, OH 44216
dstaib@apslearns.org
(330) 563-4608

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Garrett Bunnell \(garrett.bunnell@gmail.com\)](mailto:garrett.bunnell@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:45:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Garrett Bunnell
172 College St
Butler, OH 44822
garrett.bunnell@gmail.com
(419) 571-4324

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Allison Rodgers \(alli_45242@yahoo.com\)](mailto:alli_45242@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:46:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Allison Rodgers
9946 Timbers Dr
Blue Ash, OH 45242
alli_45242@yahoo.com
(513) 791-7747

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alice Dugar \(adugar@ndec.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:adugar@ndec.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:46:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alice Dugar
6800 Chestnut Rd
Independence, OH 44131
adugar@ndec.org
(440) 226-6303

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Deborah Thornton \(debbie2258@live.com\)](mailto:Deborah.Thornton(debbie2258@live.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:46:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Deborah Thornton
484 Glencoe Ct
Mason, OH 45040
debbie2258@live.com
(513) 398-2692

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Greg and Jessie Sletten \(sletten@roadrunner.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sletten@roadrunner.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect Ohio River Water Quality Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:46:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). Current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Greg and Jessie Sletten
17 Wigwam Path
New Richmond, OH 45157
sletten@roadrunner.com
(513) 553-6706

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Schmidt \(muehlbauer@safe-mail.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Carol.Schmidt(muehlbauer@safe-mail.net).Sent.You.a.Personal.Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:46:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Schmidt
226 E Ruth St
Sidney, OH 45365
muehlbauer@safe-mail.net
(937) 492-0606

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tara Kastelic \(tkastelic@calfee.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tkastelic@calfee.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:46:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tara Kastelic
331 Glasgow Dr
Highland Heights, OH 44143
tkastelic@calfee.com
(440) 449-4407

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brian Rehak \(bri7164@hotmail.com\)](mailto:bri7164@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:47:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brian Rehak
874 Dennison Ave Rear
Columbus, OH 43215
bri7164@hotmail.com
(330) 635-2052

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Spencer Conner \(spencerearlconner@gmail.com\)](mailto:spencerearlconner@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:47:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Spencer Conner
2 Maple St
Bridgeport, OH 43912
spencerearlconner@gmail.com
(304) 312-5372

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Courtney Rostorfer \(courtneyjanebope@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:courtneyjanebope@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:47:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Courtney Rostorfer
4435 Logan Thornville Rd NE
Rushville, OH 43150
courtneyjanebope@gmail.com
(740) 739-2565

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kenneth Hetzel \(kenn.hetzel@gmail.com\)](mailto:kenn.hetzel@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:47:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Hetzel
4585 W Prospect St
Mantua, OH 44255
kenn.hetzel@gmail.com
(330) 274-3557

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cynthia Wargo \(c_wargo@msn.com\)](mailto:c_wargo@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:48:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Wargo
4195 Arlington Dr
Brunswick, OH 44212
c_wargo@msn.com
(330) 273-1452

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [George Sakash \(sakash@bright.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sakash@bright.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:48:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

George Sakash
151 Greystone Dr
Hillsboro, OH 45133
sakash@bright.net
(419) 310-0867

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pawiter Parhar \(pawiter.parhar@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pawiter.parhar@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:48:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pawiter Parhar
5885 Bit O Scotch Ct
West Chester, OH 45069
pawiter.parhar@gmail.com
(616) 323-6721

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thomas Warner \(warner.architect@fuse.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:warner.architect@fuse.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:48:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Thomas Warner
4443 Brazee St
Cincinnati, OH 45209
warner.architect@fuse.net
(513) 321-1613

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Graham Mitchell \(grahamitchell@gmail.com\)](mailto:grahamitchell@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:48:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Graham Mitchell
6104 Chappellfield Dr
West Chester, OH 45069
grahamitchell@gmail.com
(513) 348-0213

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Amy Schumacher \(amyschu37@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:amyschu37@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:49:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Amy Schumacher
4127 Middlebrook Dr
Beavercreek, OH 45440
amyschu37@yahoo.com
(937) 470-5253

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Penny Allen \(pallenmeded@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:pallenmeded@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:49:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Penny Allen
3118 Edgehill Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118
pallenmeded@sbcglobal.net
(216) 371-4785

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mike Nestor \(enviromikebc@gmail.com\)](mailto:enviromikebc@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:49:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mike Nestor
4640 288th St
Toledo, OH 43611
enviromikebc@gmail.com
(419) 456-7890

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Rainey \(rainey531@fuse.net\)](mailto:rainey531@fuse.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:49:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Rainey
1497 Beacon St
Cincinnati, OH 45230
rainey531@fuse.net
(513) 232-5053

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Deb Baker \(yokarae@yahoo.com\)](mailto:yokarae@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:49:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Deb Baker
15360 County Road 4
Dresden, OH 43821
yokarae@yahoo.com
(740) 327-1378

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janet Dubas \(dubasflutes1@sbcglobal.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Janet_Dubas_(dubasflutes1@sbcglobal.net)_Sent_You_a_Personal_Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:49:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janet Dubas
6800 Northcliff Ave
Brooklyn, OH 44144
dubasflutes1@sbcglobal.net
(216) 741-3161

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lacey Fleming \(lfleming.stbd@gmail.com\)](mailto:lfleming.stbd@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:49:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lacey Fleming
916 Biltmore Ave
Toronto, OH 43964
lfleming.stbd@gmail.com
(740) 461-5571

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Marshall \(lin7_44118@yahoo.com\)](mailto:lin7_44118@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:50:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Marshall
3798 Grosvenor Rd
South Euclid, OH 44118
lin7_44118@yahoo.com
(216) 780-5097

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Cosmo \(m.cosmo@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:m.cosmo@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:50:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Cosmo
7369 Sharonlee Dr
Mentor, OH 44060
m.cosmo@sbcglobal.net
(440) 488-4566

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nyssa Darter \(sunny148@hotmail.com\)](mailto:sunny148@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:51:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nyssa Darter
7287 Rita Ln
Cincinnati, OH 43235
sunny148@hotmail.com
(513) 257-4980

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lori Weekly \(lweekly@apslearns.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lweekly@apslearns.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:51:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Apparently the health of Ohio's people doesn't matter to ORSANCO. **IT'S TIME TO REPLACE EVERY ORSANCO REPRESENTATIVE NOW!**

Sincerely,

Lori Weekly
3879 Eberly Rd NE
Hartville, OH 44632
lweekly@apslearns.org
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Melissa Rapp \(rapp_mel@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rapp_mel@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:51:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Melissa Rapp
447 Woodside Meadows Pl
Gahanna, OH 43230
rapp_mel@hotmail.com
(614) 428-7277

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bruce Amsel \(pitplayer2002@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Bruce Amsel (pitplayer2002@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:52:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bruce Amsel
1628 Roselawn Rd
Cleveland, OH 44124
pitplayer2002@yahoo.com
(440) 449-5855

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Frances Turner \(fturner@fuse.net\)](mailto:fturner@fuse.net) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:53:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Frances Turner
10 Albert Pl
Cincinnati, OH 45227
fturner@fuse.net
(513) 271-0834

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cathy Wootan \(cathy.wootan@aol.com\)](mailto:Cathy.Wootan@cathy.wootan@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:53:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cathy Wootan
3862 W 20th St
Cleveland, OH 44109
cathy.wootan@aol.com
(216) 351-7748

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sally Hanley \(yrpalsal@copper.net\)](mailto:yrpalsal@copper.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:54:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Our rivers are precious to the citizens of Ohio for health, recreation, and nature.

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sally Hanley
2577 Overlook Rd Apt 3
Cleveland, OH 44106
yrpalsal@copper.net
(216) 371-1871

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marlene Blatnik-Freeze \(mblatnik3@hotmail.com\)](mailto:mblatnik3@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:54:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marlene Blatnik-Freeze
3309 Braemar Rd
Shaker Hts, OH 44120
mblatnik3@hotmail.com
(216) 752-5034

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Overton \(noverton24@gmail.com\)](mailto:noverton24@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:54:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.
Sincerely, Nancy Overton, Cincinnati, OH 45246

Sincerely,

Nancy Overton
11814 Glenfalls Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45246
noverton24@gmail.com
(513) 825-6238

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jessica Pate \(jl pate79@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jl pate79@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:54:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jessica Pate
465 Taylor Ave
Akron, OH 44312
jl pate79@gmail.com
(330) 256-4632

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michelle Kraft \(jameysqueenbee@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jameysqueenbee@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:54:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michelle Kraft
703 Bridge Rd
Akron, OH 44312
jameysqueenbee@gmail.com
(330) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandra Curry \(slcurry411@msn.com\)](mailto:slcurry411@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:55:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandra Curry
19429 Scottsdale Blvd
Shaker Heights, OH 44122
slcurry411@msn.com
(216) 561-1061

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathryn Brown \(kathiebrown50@gmail.com\)](mailto:kathiebrown50@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:55:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Brown
78 Hickory Ln
Hudson, OH 44236
kathiebrown50@gmail.com
(330) 342-0535

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Priscilla Shields \(pmgreszler@roadrunner.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Priscilla.Shields(pmgreszler@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:56:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Shields
4457 Brooks Rd
Cleveland, OH 44105
pmgreszler@roadrunner.com
(216) 233-4028

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Vicki Wheeler \(osufan_59@yahoo.com\)](mailto:osufan_59@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:56:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Vicki Wheeler
7146 County Road H
Deshler, OH 43516
osufan_59@yahoo.com
(419) 279-8157

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Jo Berry \(maryjo305@gmail.com\)](mailto:maryjo305@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:56:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Jo Berry
647 Candleridge Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45233
maryjo305@gmail.com
(513) 941-0938

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Martina Hainke \(tinatae11@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:tinatae11@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:56:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Martina Hainke
2981 E Overlook Rd
Cleveland, OH 44118
tinatae11@sbcglobal.net
(216) 397-9205

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara Kingsbury \(bkingsbury@jcu.edu\)](mailto:bkingsbury@jcu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:57:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kingsbury
1731 Sunview Rd
Lyndhurst, OH 44124
bkingsbury@jcu.edu
(440) 461-6953

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eleanor Reagan \(ereagan1975@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ereagan1975@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:57:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Reagan
5885 Columbia Rd
North Olmsted, OH 44070
ereagan1975@yahoo.com
(440) 665-9741

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alyssa Darlington \(ab011400@yahoo.com\)](mailto:ab011400@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:58:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Darlington
1445 Bruton Parish Way
Fairfield, OH 45014
ab011400@yahoo.com
(513) 860-1671

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jim Hansler \(jrhansler@gmail.com\)](mailto:jrhansler@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:58:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jim Hansler
9224 Windswept Dr
Brecksville, OH 44141
jrhansler@gmail.com
(440) 546-9186

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bruce Romstad \(bruceromstad@gmail.com\)](mailto:bruceromstad@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:58:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bruce Romstad
443 Madison Ct
Bowling Green, OH 43402
bruceromstad@gmail.com
(419) 807-8358

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Strasser \(dstrz13@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dstrz13@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 2:59:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Strasser
27825 Detroit Rd Apt 103
Westlake, OH 44145
dstrz13@yahoo.com
(440) 871-6412

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William Jackson \(bj2614@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:bj2614@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:00:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William Jackson
382 High St
Sunbury, OH 43074
bj2614@hotmail.com
(740) 936-5345

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jessica Weber \(jmweber4love@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jmweber4love@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:00:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jessica Weber
6309 Amanda Ln
Ravenna, OH 44266
jmweber4love@gmail.com
(330) 281-7652

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Judith Reuning \(jreuning@columbus.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jreuning@columbus.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:00:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Judith Reuning
4384 Kenmont Pl
Columbus, OH 43220
jreuning@columbus.rr.com
(614) 451-8710

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lucretia Pollard \(pollard_lucretia@hotmail.com\)](mailto:pollard_lucretia@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:00:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lucretia Pollard
446 Haymore Ave. N.
Columbus, OH 43085
pollard_lucretia@hotmail.com
(614) 785-1843

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Gurarie \(dxg5@case.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dxg5@case.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:02:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Gurarie
10900 Euclid Ave
Cleveland, OH 44106
dxg5@case.edu
(216) 368-2857

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Oconnor \(blueheron@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Mark.Oconnor@blueheron@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:02:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Oconnor
4790 N Union Rd
Trotwood, OH 45426
blueheron@aol.com
(937) 499-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Corinne Eaton \(corinne100@gmail.com\)](mailto:Corinne.Eaton(corinne100@gmail.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:02:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Hello,

I am passionate about clean water for Ohioans, Americans, and the world. I am writing to state that ORSANCO's pollution control standards need to remain in place. I value the water pollution control standards set by ORSANCO. Water authorities agree and government should listen!

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Corinne Eaton
4844 Raeburn Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45223
corinne100@gmail.com
(513) 542-0020

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brandon Okone \(brandon@jsbrowncompany.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:brandon@jsbrowncompany.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:03:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brandon Okone
6022 Woodshire Dr
Westerville, OH 43081
brandon@jsbrowncompany.com
(614) 581-5337

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Kintner \(kintner.michael@gmail.com\)](mailto:kintner.michael@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:03:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Kintner
6504 Miami Bluff Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45227
kintner.michael@gmail.com
(860) 816-2881

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [George Roessler \(sgobucksr@aol.com\)](mailto:sgobucksr@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:03:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

George Roessler
1704 Unity Ct
Toledo, OH 43614
sgobucksr@aol.com
(419) 382-1639

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephanie McFadden \(skpmcfadden@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:skpmcfadden@roadrunner.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:03:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephanie McFadden
26766 Butternut Ridge Road
North Olmsted, OH 44070
skpmcfadden@roadrunner.com
(440) 734-6944

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard Metcalf \(ramusic@msn.com\)](mailto:ramusic@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:03:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard Metcalf
2018 Balkan Pl
Toledo, OH 43613
ramusic@msn.com
(734) 637-0049

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Meredith Needham \(pianohag@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pianohag@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:03:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Meredith Needham
815 Burg St
Granville, OH 43023
pianohag@hotmail.com
(740) 587-3435

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Peggy Burgin \(webbrowzer@aol.com\)](mailto:webbrowzer@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:04:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Peggy Burgin
800 Franklin Rd Apt 17
Lebanon, OH 45036
webbrowzer@aol.com
(513) 228-0754

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Bockway \(ccmbrc@aol.com\)](mailto:ccmbrc@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:04:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Bockway
12 Amherst Rd
Hebron, OH 43025
ccmbrc@aol.com
(740) 928-2026

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard Boyce \(richardboyce@fuse.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:richardboyce@fuse.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:04:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard Boyce
7061 Grantham Way
Cincinnati, OH 45230
richardboyce@fuse.net
(513) 231-9866

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robin Craft \(robincraft@mac.com\)](mailto:robincraft@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:04:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robin Craft
PO Box 126
Plain City, OH 43064
robincraft@mac.com
(614) 537-3784

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alistair Bradley \(albradley@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:albradley@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:05:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alistair Bradley
3243 Prairie Gardens Dr
Hilliard, OH 43026
albradley@sbcglobal.net
(614) 850-0955

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jack And Christy Filak \(jackfilak@sbcglobal.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Jack And Christy Filak (jackfilak@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:05:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jack And Christy Filak
1051 Wilbert Rd
Lakewood, OH 44107
jackfilak@sbcglobal.net
(330) 414-5370

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Len Friedson \(len@skibuff.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Len.Friedson@skibuff.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:05:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Len Friedson
3065 Berkshire Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118
len@skibuff.com
(858) 598-3243

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Slesinger \(nancysles@yahoo.com\)](mailto:nancysles@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:06:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Slesinger
4879 Columbia Rd Apt 18
North Olmsted, OH 44070
nancysles@yahoo.com
(440) 455-1567

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kay Mallett \(kmallett3@gmail.com\)](mailto:kmallett3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:07:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kay Mallett
4040 N High St Apt 36
Columbus, OH 43214
kmallett3@gmail.com
(928) 274-7009

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cynthia Springer \(springerdc@prodigy.net\)](mailto:springerdc@prodigy.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:07:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Springer
11421 Appleton Dr
Cleveland, OH 44130
springerdc@prodigy.net
(440) 821-3153

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Shante Gambrel \(sjaantze42@yahoo.com\)](mailto:sjaantze42@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:08:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Shante Gambrel
5737 Arborwood Ct
Columbus, OH 43229
sjaantze42@yahoo.com
(614) 649-6532

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janice Oakley \(janiceelaineoakley@yahoo.com\)](mailto:janiceelaineoakley@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:08:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janice Oakley
925 Canyon View Rd Apt 203
Sagamore Hills, OH 44067
janiceelaineoakley@yahoo.com
(330) 468-2488

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kaitlyn Herder \(kaitlynnoelleherder@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kaitlynnoelleherder@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:09:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kaitlyn Herder
738 Woodyhill Dr # 2
Cincinnati, OH 45238
kaitlynnoelleherder@yahoo.com
(513) 200-8477

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jim Villars \(jim.villars@gmail.com\)](mailto:jim.villars@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:09:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jim Villars
199 S 5th St Ste 403
Columbus, OH 43215
jim.villars@gmail.com
(703) 801-7747

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Timothy Alten \(timalten28@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:timalten28@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:10:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Timothy Alten
6224 Turney Rd
Garfield Heights, OH 44125
timalten28@gmail.com
(216) 663-1730

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Vanderberg \(susanvanderberg53@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:susanvanderberg53@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio river and clean it up so it is safe for those who live close to it.
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:10:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Vanderberg
2207 N 7th St
Ironton, OH 45638
susanvanderberg53@gmail.com
(740) 534-3063

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert Carpenter \(bobc1493@juno.com\)](mailto:bobc1493@juno.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:10:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robert Carpenter
801 E 250th St
Euclid, OH 44132
bobc1493@juno.com
(216) 904-9217

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jan Hall \(j29hall@gmail.com\)](mailto:j29hall@gmail.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:10:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jan Hall
995 Ravine Ridge Dr
Worthington, OH 43085
j29hall@gmail.com
(614) 436-6629

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Cowan \(one800-0-2lose@mindspring.com\)](mailto:one800-0-2lose@mindspring.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:11:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Cowan
5805 Staghorn Dr
Toledo, OH 43614
one800-0-2lose@mindspring.com
(770) 885-5683

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [S Moeller \(ecoscapes@columbus.rr.com\)](mailto:ecoscapes@columbus.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River and all Ohio Watersheds!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:11:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I urge you to keep the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) unchanged to safeguard us from the detrimental effects such as methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical that leaked into the Elk River for instance or a phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks that caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the Ohio.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening critical environmental and public health safeguards, ORSANCO's standards are vital to public health and the environment. Savings that jeopardize public health are unacceptable!

Sincerely,

S Moeller
5 E Long St
Columbus, OH 43215
ecoscapes@columbus.rr.com
(614) 224-1941

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Hert \(tdhert3654@gmail.com\)](mailto:tdhert3654@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:11:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Hert
6563 Ethel St NW
Canton, OH 44718
tdhert3654@gmail.com
(330) 904-4689

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Deborah Mack \(gritsrt@gmail.com\)](mailto:Deborah.Mack@gritsrt@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:11:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Deborah Mack
111 Deerfield Cir
Bryan, OH 43506
gritsrt@gmail.com
(419) 636-5714

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Habuda \(l.habuda@rrtrucks.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:l.habuda@rrtrucks.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:11:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Habuda
5124 Tippwood Ct
Boardman, OH 44512
l.habuda@rrtrucks.com
(330) 270-7972

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gary Adler \(geagle2@att.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:geagle2@att.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:11:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gary Adler
8977 Maple Run Dr
Dayton, OH 45458
geagle2@att.net
(937) 291-0835

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Zetts \(jzetts@woh.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jzetts@woh.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:12:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Zetts
2363 Roseanne Ct
Fairborn, OH 45324
jzetts@woh.rr.com
(937) 318-9320

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Polly Seum \(s6pol@aol.com\)](mailto:s6pol@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:12:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Polly Seum
148 Park St
Plain City, OH 43064
s6pol@aol.com
(614) 873-6723

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rachel Schramm \(rachelaschramm@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rachelaschramm@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:12:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rachel Schramm
1119 E McMillan St Apt C
Cincinnati, OH 45206
rachelaschramm@gmail.com
(513) 593-2725

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Virginia Douglas \(ginny133@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ginny133@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:13:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Virginia Douglas
133 Brandtson Ave
Elyria, OH 44035
ginny133@aol.com
(440) 366-1333

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Herrmann \(drumlinda@hotmail.com\)](mailto:drumlinda@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:14:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Herrmann
127 Lincoln Ave
Ashland, OH 44805
drumlinda@hotmail.com
(419) 289-1520

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julana Eakin \(julana.eakin@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:julana.eakin@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:14:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julana Eakin
19942 Westway Dr
Rocky River, OH 44116
julana.eakin@gmail.com
(440) 813-0092

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pamela and Alan Levine \(levine.pam@gmail.com\)](mailto:levine.pam@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:14:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Do not lower ORSANCO standards! I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pamela and Alan Levine
3967 W Meadow Ln
Beachwood, OH 44122
levine.pam@gmail.com
(216) 591-9335

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jill Short \(jillshort@insight.rr.com\)](mailto:jillshort@insight.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:14:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jill Short
6525 Dobson Sq E
Columbus, OH 43229
jillshort@insight.rr.com
(614) 885-1422

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alan and Mona Weiner \(asweiner@fuse.net\)](mailto:asweiner@fuse.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:14:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether. The monied interests in this matter (industry, mining, forestry etc.) should not be counted as much as the many citizens who all need clean water to thrive!!

Sincerely,

Alan and Mona Weiner
7102 Gracely Dr Apt 1
Cincinnati, OH 45233
asweiner@fuse.net
(513) 941-6307

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Renee Plescia \(raplescia@yahoo.com\)](mailto:raplescia@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:15:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Renee Plescia
2951 Highland Woods Blvd
New Albany, OH 43054
raplescia@yahoo.com
(614) 397-5149

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Virginia Sikorszky \(vsikorszky@aol.com\)](mailto:vsikorszky@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:15:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Virginia Sikorszky
1876 Ledgewood Blvd NE Apt B9
Massillon, OH 44646
vsikorszky@aol.com
(310) 985-5600

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Eck \(susyeck@rcn.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:susyeck@rcn.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:15:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Eck
11155 Banning Rd
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
susyeck@rcn.com
(301) 305-2243

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [B Wilkinson \(bwilkin10@gmail.com\)](mailto:bwilkin10@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:15:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

B Wilkinson
3425 Fairfield Pike
Springfield, OH 45502
bwilkin10@gmail.com
(937) 323-6022

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William Stiver \(billstiver@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:billstiver@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:16:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William Stiver
9002 Daly Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45231
billstiver@zoomtown.com
(513) 931-8437

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Becky Georgiton \(300binnsblvd@gmail.com\)](mailto:300binnsblvd@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:16:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Becky Georgiton
5845 Pinecone Ct
Columbus, OH 43231
300binnsblvd@gmail.com
(614) 565-6528

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Mcjunkin \(dmcjunkin@papersystems.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dmcjunkin@papersystems.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:16:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Mcjunkin
965 Tam O Shanter Way
Monroe, OH 45050
dmcjunkin@papersystems.com
(513) 755-9847

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandra Cobb \(smcobb@beechmere.com\)](mailto:smcobb@beechmere.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:16:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandra Cobb
3880 Ellendale Rd
Chagrin Falls, OH 44022
smcobb@beechmere.com
(440) 247-4392

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Warren Whitaker \(evoeco@roadrunner.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Warren_Whitaker@roadrunner.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:17:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Warren Whitaker
424 Clearview Rd
Chillicothe, OH 45601
evoeco@roadrunner.com
(740) 775-4752

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Grubb \(mgrubb@neo.rr.com\)](mailto:mgrubb@neo.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:17:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Grubb
36201 Shining Tree Ln
Salem, OH 44460
mgrubb@neo.rr.com
(330) 853-1759

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joshua Hoffman \(weathervein@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:weathervein@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:18:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joshua Hoffman
426 E 17th Ave
Columbus, OH 43201
weathervein@sbcglobal.net
(614) 832-5157

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lenore Horner \(lenorehorner@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:Lenore.Horner@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:18:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lenore Horner
208 Clark Rd
Reading, OH 45215
lenorehorner@sbcglobal.net
(513) 761-7059

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Nelson \(janls@zoominternet.net\)](mailto:janls@zoominternet.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:18:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Nelson
234 N Crown Hill Rd
Orrville, OH 44667
janls@zoominternet.net
(330) 635-7709

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeannette Zeiler \(zeilerjeannette@icloud.com\)](mailto:zeilerjeannette@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:19:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Zeiler
6831 Williamsburg Dr
Sylvania, OH 43560
zeilerjeannette@icloud.com
(419) 283-9156

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patty Sheehan \(pattythepainter@gmail.com\)](mailto:pattythepainter@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:20:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patty Sheehan
1778 Northampton Rd
Akron, OH 44313
pattythepainter@gmail.com
(575) 613-5377

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patrick Gladstone \(patrick.gladstone@gmail.com\)](mailto:patrick.gladstone@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:21:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patrick Gladstone
24 Lyman Cir
Shaker Heights, OH 44122
patrick.gladstone@gmail.com
(216) 831-4362

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J Arch \(jjarch@windstream.net\)](mailto:jjarch@windstream.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:21:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

J Arch
5491 Timbercreek Ln
Stow, OH 44224
jjarch@windstream.net
(330) 342-7366

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julia Radwany \(julieradwany@hotmail.com\)](mailto:julieradwany@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:23:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julia Radwany
4409 Idlebrook Dr
Akron, OH 44333
julieradwany@hotmail.com
(330) 666-8201

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ann McGill \(mcgillannc@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mcgillannc@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:23:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ann McGill
1947 Rocklyn Drive
Brunswick, OH 44212
mcgillannc@gmail.com
(330) 225-2053

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jon Hudson \(jon@hudsonsculpture.com\)](mailto:jon@hudsonsculpture.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:24:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jon Hudson
PO Box 710
Yellow Springs, OH 45387
jon@hudsonsculpture.com
(937) 767-7766

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Chris Armstrong \(cmarmstrong22@gmail.com\)](mailto:cmarmstrong22@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:25:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Chris Armstrong
5606 Scioto Crest Dr
Dublin, OH 43017
cmarmstrong22@gmail.com
(614) 698-8088

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julia Relick-Haughn \(jjulesstudio@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jjulesstudio@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:25:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julia Relick-Haughn
13121 Kaiser Rd
Marysville, OH 43040
jjulesstudio@yahoo.com
(614) 557-5408

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cara Stanley \(clstanley245@yahoo.com\)](mailto:clstanley245@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:25:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cara Stanley
275 E Vermont Ave
Sebring, OH 44672
clstanley245@yahoo.com
(330) 614-3860

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jane Aster \(jaster9355@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jaster9355@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:25:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jane Aster
214 Charleston Ave
Columbus, OH 43214
jaster9355@gmail.com
(614) 885-2825

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Margie Harris \(mjharris@ameritech.net\)](mailto:mjharris@ameritech.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:26:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Margie Harris
93 N Harding Rd
Columbus, OH 43209
mjharris@ameritech.net
(614) 237-7442

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ginnell Schiller \(gschiller1@gmail.com\)](mailto:gschiller1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:26:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ginnell Schiller
2324 Park Ave Unit 6
Cincinnati, OH 45206
gschiller1@gmail.com
(513) 731-5458

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laurence Hiner \(laurencehiner@gmail.com\)](mailto:laurencehiner@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:27:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laurence Hiner
9160 Brakeman Rd
Chardon, OH 44024
laurencehiner@gmail.com
(440) 226-7197

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eric Britton \(ericb_perrysburg@yahoo.com\)](mailto:ericb_perrysburg@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:27:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eric Britton
745 Heathermoor Ln
Perrysburg, OH 43551
ericb_perrysburg@yahoo.com
(419) 321-1348

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marjorie Castanien \(castanienm@yahoo.com\)](mailto:Marjorie.Castanien@castanienm@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:27:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Castanien
191 Meadowbrook Dr
Defiance, OH 43512
castanienm@yahoo.com
(419) 784-0899

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Larry Rosche \(larryrosche@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:larryrosche@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:28:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Larry Rosche
PO Box 11253
Brady Lake, OH 44211
larryrosche@yahoo.com
(330) 842-0104

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pam Leonard \(leo9999@embarqmail.com\)](mailto:leo9999@embarqmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:28:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pam Leonard
19499 Coshocton Rd
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
leo9999@embarqmail.com
(740) 397-6725

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gail Traynor \(gtraynor@earthlink.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gtraynor@earthlink.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:28:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gail Traynor
3330 Dickinson Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45211
gtraynor@earthlink.net
(513) 661-8468

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jake Waller \(jaw279@zips.uakron.edu\)](mailto:jaw279@zips.uakron.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:29:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jake Waller
1010 Kingswood Dr
Akron, OH 44313
jaw279@zips.uakron.edu
(330) 388-2803

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert Greer \(rgreer2@woh.rr.com\)](mailto:rgreer2@woh.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:30:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robert Greer
201 Elm St
Findlay, OH 45840
rgreer2@woh.rr.com
(419) 423-8390

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Upp \(jasperupp1@gmail.com\)](mailto:jasperupp1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:31:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Upp
3886 Oak Hill Rd
Peninsula, OH 44264
jasperupp1@gmail.com
(330) 310-9054

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Genevieve Mary Szuba \(genevieve_szuba@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:genevieve_szuba@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:31:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Genevieve Mary Szuba
6236 McNaughten Place Ln
Columbus, OH 43213
genevieve_szuba@hotmail.com
(614) 501-8232

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Paula M Jackson \(mtr.paula@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mtr.paula@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:32:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Paula M Jackson
1009 Egan Hills Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45229
mtr.paula@gmail.com
(513) 207-1248

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Betty Rukavina \(hibiscusr@aol.com\)](mailto:hibiscusr@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:32:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Betty Rukavina
22 Barker St
Lexington, OH 44904
hibiscusr@aol.com
(419) 884-1459

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Greta Leas \(geleas@gmail.com\)](mailto:geleas@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:33:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Greta Leas
87500 Couch Rd
Jewett, OH 43986
geleas@gmail.com
(504) 481-4508

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christa Hein \(christa@bringthefarmtoyou.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:christa@bringthefarmtoyou.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:34:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christa Hein
8448 Moody Rd
Centerburg, OH 43011
christa@bringthefarmtoyou.com
(740) 625-6122

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Max Langenderfer \(maxlangenderfer@hotmial.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Max.Langenderfer@hotmial.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:34:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Max Langenderfer
1615 Vine St
Cincinnati, OH 45202
maxlangenderfer@hotmail.com
(513) 720-6144

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janet Ungar \(jungar94@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jungar94@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:34:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janet Ungar
1394 Henning Dr
Cleveland, OH 44124
jungar94@yahoo.com
(216) 444-2200

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathy Steffens \(ks3day@wideopenwest.com\)](mailto:ks3day@wideopenwest.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:35:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathy Steffens
164 Overbrook Dr
Columbus, OH 43214
ks3day@wideopenwest.com
(614) 262-7952

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Becky Wood \(becksnpete@gmail.com\)](mailto:becksnpete@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:35:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Becky Wood
216 Swan Ln
Toledo, OH 43682
becksnpete@gmail.com
(778) 712-8505

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Glaze \(johnnyglaze@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:johnnyglaze@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:35:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Glaze
271 Alaska St
Dayton, OH 45404
johnnyglaze@zoomtown.com
(937) 222-7378

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Frank Thacker \(thackerdon1947@yahoo.com\)](mailto:thackerdon1947@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:35:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Frank Thacker
206 McPherson Ave
Ironton, OH 45638
thackerdon1947@yahoo.com
(740) 533-7832

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Cohen \(markd.cohen@frontier.com\)](mailto:markd.cohen@frontier.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:35:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Cohen
12788 New England Rd
Amesville, OH 45711
markd.cohen@frontier.com
(740) 448-2044

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lynn Lavezzi \(pigeonl@aol.com\)](mailto:pigeonl@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:36:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lynn Lavezzi
256 Columbus St
Bedford, OH 44146
pigeonl@aol.com
(440) 439-5757

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeff Evans \(jrevans3@gmail.com\)](mailto:jrevans3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:36:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeff Evans
5a Overlook Dr
Wintersville, OH 43953
jrevans3@gmail.com
(202) 456-1111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Allen Strous \(a.strous@hotmail.com\)](mailto:a.strous@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:38:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Allen Strous
11339 Spangler Rd
Circleville, OH 43113
a.strous@hotmail.com
(740) 474-5103

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Griffith \(mgbear@comcast.net\)](mailto:mgbear@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:38:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Griffith
106 Spring Park Ave
Saint Clairsville, OH 43950
mgbear@comcast.net
(740) 695-4735

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elaine Strassburger \(lanies574@gmail.com\)](mailto:lanies574@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:39:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elaine Strassburger
4472 Habersham Ln S
Richmond Hts, OH 44143
lanies574@gmail.com
(216) 481-2173

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Matthew Drahan \(mdrahan@fastmail.fm\)](mailto:mdrahan@fastmail.fm) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:39:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Matthew Drahan
711 Sackett St
Maumee, OH 43537
mdrahan@fastmail.fm
(419) 897-0321

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Trina Patton \(tnottap@gmail.com\)](mailto:tnottap@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:40:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Trina Patton
8260 S State Route 202
Tipp City, OH 45371
tnottap@gmail.com
(937) 667-5059

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Dupps \(duppsms@yahoo.com\)](mailto:duppsms@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:40:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Dupps
146 Huey Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45233
duppsms@yahoo.com
(513) 265-7251

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christina Hlavaty \(thlavaty1@gmail.com\)](mailto:thlavaty1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:40:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christina Hlavaty
16210 Marquis Ave
Cleveland, OH 44111
thlavaty1@gmail.com
(440) 476-1717

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Dodge \(daved@visn.net\)](mailto:daved@visn.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:41:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Dodge
21230 Wilmore Ave
Euclid, OH 44123
daved@visn.net
(216) 957-2257

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Dawley \(ndawley@msn.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ndawley@msn.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:41:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dawley
7497 Hosbrook Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45243
ndawley@msn.com
(513) 936-8933

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [George Andrews \(george_n_andrews@yahoo.com\)](mailto:george_n_andrews@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:42:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

George Andrews
10017 Lake Ave Apt 201
Cleveland, OH 44102
george_n_andrews@yahoo.com
(216) 631-5729

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cathy Stegman \(cathystegman@me.com\)](mailto:cathystegman@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:43:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cathy Stegman
8748 Old Indian Hill Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45243
cathystegman@me.com
(513) 312-4115

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Paul Bing \(1956shs60@gmail.com\)](mailto:Paul%20Bing%20(1956shs60@gmail.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:44:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Paul Bing
19612 Milan Dr
Maple Heights, OH 44137
1956shs60@gmail.com
(216) 410-4227

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Nippert \(rodnip@hotmail.com\)](mailto:rodnip@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:44:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Nippert
12861 Parmitter Rd
Amesville, OH 45711
rodnip@hotmail.com
(740) 448-3071

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Roxanne Groff \(roxanegroff1227@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:roxanegroff1227@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:45:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Roxanne Groff
14222 Marietta Run Rd
Amesville, OH 45711
roxanegroff1227@gmail.com
(740) 707-3610

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Hesselning \(mahfrisco@gmail.com\)](mailto:mahfrisco@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:46:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Hesselning
2092 Edgewood Dr
Lima, OH 45805
mahfrisco@gmail.com
(419) 296-8724

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Aaron Ferguson \(aaronwferguson@aol.com\)](mailto:aaronwferguson@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:46:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Aaron Ferguson
112 Quarry Ct
Delaware, OH 43015
aaronwferguson@aol.com
(740) 363-6916

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Line Martineau \(linenmartineau@gmail.com\)](mailto:linenmartineau@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:46:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Line Martineau
3590 Pamela Dr
Columbus, OH 43230
linenmartineau@gmail.com
(614) 749-1447

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephanie Green \(stephaniegreen05@att.net\)](mailto:stephaniegreen05@att.net) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:46:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Green
4471 Katherine Dr
Columbus, OH 43232
stephaniegreen05@att.net
(614) 588-5707

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ami Becker \(a.becker0702@gmail.com\)](mailto:a.becker0702@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:47:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

We deserve clean water! Stop corporate polluters from stripping authority from ORSANCO.

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ami Becker
3010 Paul St
Cincinnati, OH 45208
a.becker0702@gmail.com
(513) 237-8878

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William Poyfair \(wtoddpoy@aol.com\)](mailto:wtoddpoy@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:48:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether. Lack of access to clean and safe water is causing social unrest and mass population shifts in many parts of the world. Please do not place a major waterway at risk for profits sake while not considering the true cost of your actions on the citizenry of the watershed.

Sincerely,

William Poyfair
49 Vicki Anda Ct Apt 1
Oxford, OH 45056
wtoddpoy@aol.com
(317) 796-5576

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pamela Ellis \(pam3463@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pam3463@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:48:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pamela Ellis
3463 Needham Rd
Mansfield, OH 44904
pam3463@gmail.com
(419) 631-2116

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Erich Brockmann \(kingcobramaster@gmail.com\)](mailto:kingcobramaster@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:49:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Erich Brockmann
190 Woodridge Dr
Elyria, OH 44035
kingcobramaster@gmail.com
(570) 228-5846

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard Bradley \(spidrs@gmail.com\)](mailto:spidrs@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:49:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard Bradley
1196 Penry Rd
Delaware, OH 43015
spidrs@gmail.com
(740) 363-4239

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jody Bernon-Wainer \(jodwai@horinc.com\)](mailto:jodwai@horinc.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:49:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jody Bernon-Wainer
30 Farmcote Dr
Moreland Hills, OH 44022
jodwai@horinc.com
(216) 702-7082

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ethan Smith \(edognight@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:edognight@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:49:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ethan Smith
3406 W 148th St
Cleveland, OH 44111
edognight@gmail.com
(440) 237-0027

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joe Lipari \(jlipari@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:jlipari@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:50:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joe Lipari
3220 Ashwood Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45213
jlipari@zoomtown.com
(513) 531-1713

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ryoko Greve \(radachi@kent.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:radachi@kent.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:51:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ryoko Greve
515 Cherry St
Kent, OH 44240
radachi@kent.edu
(419) 969-0340

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Daniel Seiple \(danbev22@neo.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:danbev22@neo.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:51:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Daniel Seiple
1933 Wynstone Cir NE
North Canton, OH 44720
danbev22@neo.rr.com
(330) 305-9417

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Martha Mcclaugherty \(m.mcclaugherty@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:m.mcclaugherty@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:51:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Martha Mcclaugherty
1623 S Freedom Ave
Alliance, OH 44601
m.mcclaugherty@yahoo.com
(330) 821-6020

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ann Logan \(logan@math.ohio-state.edu\)](mailto:logan@math.ohio-state.edu) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:51:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ann Logan
3470 Rocky Rd
Columbus, OH 43223
logan@math.ohio-state.edu
(614) 329-4437

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joanne Lamert \(jlamert@oppeace.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jlamert@oppeace.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:51:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joanne Lamert
1230 W Market St
Akron, OH 44313
jlamert@oppeace.org
(330) 836-4908

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pete Cumming \(homecumming@gmail.com\)](mailto:homecumming@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:52:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pete Cumming
1339 Lander Rd
Cleveland, OH 44124
homecumming@gmail.com
(440) 720-0559

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beverly Cinovec \(bcinovec@att.net\)](mailto:bcinovec@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:52:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beverly Cinovec
2349 Falmouth Rd
Fairlawn, OH 44333
bcinovec@att.net
(330) 869-9138

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Loretta Olsen \(theowajda@frontier.com\)](mailto:theowajda@frontier.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:52:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Loretta Olsen
9569 State Route 380
Wilmington, OH 45177
theowajda@frontier.com
(937) 488-3791

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephanie Sepate \(sepates@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sepates@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:52:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Sepate
3959 Glenmore Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45211
sepates@yahoo.com
(513) 662-3230

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Phil Lawrence \(pheel7@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pheel7@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:52:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Phil Lawrence
1725 Warrington Rd NW
Massillon, OH 44646
pheel7@yahoo.com
(330) 832-0769

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dori and Samuel Joseph \(dorijoseph@hotmail.com\)](mailto:dorijoseph@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:53:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dori and Samuel Joseph
4047 Beechwood Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45229
dorijoseph@hotmail.com
(513) 221-1875

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jill Wettersten \(jawettersten@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jawettersten@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:53:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jill Wettersten
88 Kendal Dr
Oberlin, OH 44074
jawettersten@gmail.com
(312) 618-3144

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Katharine O'Connell \(katharine.oconnell@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:katharine.oconnell@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:54:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katharine O'Connell
3846 Mayfield Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44121
katharine.oconnell@gmail.com
(216) 212-6564

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Angela Matteson \(angelamatt@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:angelamatt@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:54:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Angela Matteson
1607 Cityview Ct
Grove City, OH 43123
angelamatt@hotmail.com
(614) 668-5149

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharon Maiman](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:54:46 PM

I cannot attend the meeting tonight but want you to know that I value clean water and want ORSANCO to remain in charge of setting the standards for Ohio River quality.

Thank you.

Sharon Maiman

From: [Larry Carr \(lcarr49@att.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:55:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Larry Carr
2860 Dennis Ln
Grove City, OH 43123
lcarr49@att.net
(614) 783-4448

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara Joseph \(bj633417@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:bj633417@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:55:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara Joseph
2529 State Route 131
Batavia, OH 45103
bj633417@gmail.com
(513) 625-7639

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Matt Washer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Changes to 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Oho River
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:56:33 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

I adamantly REJECT the proposed changes to the pollution standards set Oct. 8, 2015.

The Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) needs the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water along 900 miles of the Ohio running through Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, NY, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia. All 8 states need to continue the pollution control that we have achieved so far.

Matt Washer
7450 W. Hwy 42
Goshen, KY 40026

Matt Washer

Senior Vice President

D: 502-371-3385 | **C:** 502-939-1001 | **O:** 888-685-8442

E: mwasher@leeandmason.com



Providing Innovative Risk Management Solutions for over 60 Years.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Transmission of email over the Internet is not a secure communications medium. If you are requesting or have requested the transmittal of personal data, as defined by applicable privacy laws by means of email or in an attachment to email, please select a more secure alternate means of transmittal (such as the utilization of encryption technology) that supports your obligations to protect such personal data. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you

From: [Leonore Johnson \(leonorej@bex.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:leonorej@bex.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:57:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Leonore Johnson
2520 Aldringham Rd
Toledo, OH 43606
leonorej@bex.net
(419) 536-0939

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dolores Spieles \(js@bright.net\)](mailto:js@bright.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:58:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dolores Spieles
865 E Linfoot St
Wauseon, OH 43567
js@bright.net
(419) 335-4104

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marnelle Curtis \(marnellecurtis@gmail.com\)](mailto:marnellecurtis@gmail.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:59:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marnelle Curtis
3312 Eisenhower Rd
Columbus, OH 43224
marnellecurtis@gmail.com
(304) 582-8412

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Millie Schafer \(mschafer1@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:mschafer1@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:00:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Millie Schafer
3320 Ashwood Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45213
mschafer1@zoomtown.com
(513) 351-9957

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sue Murray \(smurray001@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:smurray001@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:00:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sue Murray
898 Walnut St
Cincinnati, OH 45202
smurray001@cinci.rr.com
(513) 564-0965

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Ann George \(canngeo1@yahoo.com\)](mailto:canngeo1@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:01:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Ann George
4927 Stonehaven Dr
Columbus, OH 43220
canngeo1@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Whitacre \(jworgw@aol.com\)](mailto:jworgw@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:02:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Whitacre
305 Nebraska Ave
Mc Donald, OH 44437
jworgw@aol.com
(330) 530-4511

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marilyn Evenson \(lowrider3111@yahoo.com\)](mailto:lowrider3111@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:04:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Evenson
408 Wine St
Vermilion, OH 44089
lowrider3111@yahoo.com
(330) 461-2000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert And Jean Kloos \(bkloos@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:bkloos@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:04:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Can you say "Flint, Ohio?" I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robert And Jean Kloos
2463 Kingston Rd
Cleveland, OH 44118
bkloos@sbcglobal.net
(216) 932-1733

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [W and Heather Koehl \(wmkoehl@gmail.com\)](mailto:wmkoehl@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:05:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

W and Heather Koehl
9261 Dog Leg Rd
Dayton, OH 45414
wmkoehl@gmail.com
(937) 264-1985

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cathy Cowan Becker \(becker.271@gmail.com\)](mailto:becker.271@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:05:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO).

The Ohio River is already the most polluted body of water in the United States. Rolling back pollution standards is the LAST thing we need to be doing. Instead, we need to be strengthening these standards and bringing in industries such as clean renewable energy that will not pollute further.

This weakening of standards is being pushed by the fossil fuel industry, which wants to build a petrochemical hub on the Ohio River, adding even more poisons and toxins. The Ohio River is the source of drinking water for millions of people. We cannot pollute it any further. Instead we need to be cleaning it up. Nor do we need these industries that will exacerbate the climate crisis.

I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cathy Cowan Becker
4275 White Spruce Lane
Grove City, OH 43123
becker.271@gmail.com
(937) 271-1247

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandy Verduin \(sandyverduin@yahoo.com\)](mailto:sandyverduin@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:05:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandy Verduin
75 Millcreek Ln
Chagrin Falls, OH 44022
sandyverduin@yahoo.com
(440) 773-8882

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diana Stone \(stone908@aol.com\)](mailto:stone908@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:05:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diana Stone
908 Scarff Rd
New Carlisle, OH 45344
stone908@aol.com
(937) 845-1469

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cindy Schneider \(cynsch123@aol.com\)](mailto:cynsch123@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:05:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cindy Schneider
3275 Wheatcroft Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45239
cynsch123@aol.com
(859) 803-8524

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Benedict \(cpatchog@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cpatchog@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:06:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Benedict
730 Notre Dame Ave
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221
cpatchog@aol.com
(330) 945-6366

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Amanda Dutter \(evenjovenods@yahoo.com\)](mailto:evenjovenods@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:06:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Amanda Dutter
1426 Washington Blvd
Louisville, OH 44641
evenjovenods@yahoo.com
(330) 475-4933

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rebecca Pint \(rapint@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rapint@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:07:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Pint
899 Quarry Dr
Akron, OH 44307
rapint@yahoo.com
(330) 762-0272

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Amanda Tomasik \(mandy.tomasik@gmail.com\)](mailto:mandy.tomasik@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:07:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Amanda Tomasik
235 Casterton Ave
Akron, OH 44303
mandy.tomasik@gmail.com
(330) 328-2646

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anne Randolph \(annejrand@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:annejrand@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:08:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anne Randolph
390 Stewart Dr
Yellow Springs, OH 45387
annejrand@aol.com
(937) 926-1988

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pam Scovil \(pjscovil@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pjscovil@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:08:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pam Scovil
2313 Saint Charles Ave
Dayton, OH 45410
pjscovil@aol.com
(937) 258-1893

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara Sue Scholl \(spirit_guide1252@hotmail.com\)](mailto:Barbara_Sue_Scholl_(spirit_guide1252@hotmail.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:08:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara Sue Scholl
727 E 5th Ave
Lancaster, OH 43130
spirit_guide1252@hotmail.com
(740) 654-7491

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alexa Ross \(ross.alex@gmail.com\)](mailto:ross.alex@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:09:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I write you to remind you that a stitch in time saves nine...in other words, preserving OSANCOs water quality standards is much more prudent than bowing to commercial interests and allowing river pollution. We depend on the Ohio River for drinking water and wildlife survival. I heard it is the most polluted river in the country, can this be true? 60 years of Superfunds must convince you that more money is lost restoring a resource than is gained exploiting and degrading it. The current fashion of abandoning caution to the winds and allowing commercial interests free rein won't last if we are to have any economy or live able environment at all. Thank you and please do the right thing for ALL Ohioans!

Sincerely,

Alexa Ross
763 Poplar St
Nelsonville, OH 45764
ross.alex@gmail.com
(740) 591-8535

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Wilkinson \(2wilks5253@att.net\)](mailto:2wilks5253@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:09:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Wilkinson
1645 Fallhaven Dr
Columbus, OH 43235
2wilks5253@att.net
(614) 745-5296

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Catena Galipo \(catena@sbglobal.net\)](mailto:catena@sbglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:10:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Catena Galipo
4240 W 48th St
Cleveland, OH 44144
catena@sbglobal.net
(216) 459-1529

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara Brovarone \(jobar2556@gmail.com\)](mailto:jobar2556@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:10:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara Brovarone
2748 Sandy Lake Rd
Ravenna, OH 44266
jobar2556@gmail.com
(330) 297-1147

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jean Hanson \(jelto119@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Jean.Hanson.(jelto119@aol.com).Sent.You.a.Personal.Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:10:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jean Hanson
38790 Renwood Ave
Avon, OH 44011
jelto119@aol.com
(216) 392-2340

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jacqueline Salley \(salleyjacqueline360@gmail.com\)](mailto:salleyjacqueline360@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:11:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Salley
154 Best St
Berea, OH 44017
salleyjacqueline360@gmail.com
(440) 239-1058

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Hauska \(jthauska@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jthauska@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:11:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Hauska
7873 Kellogg Creek Dr
Mentor, OH 44060
jthauska@gmail.com
(440) 669-4493

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephen Zerefos \(swampboy62@yahoo.com\)](mailto:swampboy62@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:11:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephen Zerefos
1770 Beechwood St NE
Warren, OH 44483
swampboy62@yahoo.com
(330) 646-7235

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Judi Malinish \(heyjude0730@yahoo.com\)](mailto:heyjude0730@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:12:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Judi Malinish
2900 Baughman Rd
New Franklin, OH 44216
heyjude0730@yahoo.com
(330) 825-5089

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jill Brothers \(jillbro1@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jillbro1@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:12:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jill Brothers
47013 Tomahawk Dr
Negley, OH 44441
jillbro1@yahoo.com
(330) 227-2644

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Scull \(jenscull@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jenscull@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:12:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Scull
319 South St
Chardon, OH 44024
jenscull@yahoo.com
(216) 273-8363

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeffrey Dangelo \(jeffrey.dangelo@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jeffrey.dangelo@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:13:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Dangelo
3652 Herschel Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45208
jeffrey.dangelo@gmail.com
(513) 252-4453

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ursula Cohrs \(ursulacohrs@gmail.com\)](mailto:ursulacohrs@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:13:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today because I want you to know that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) and this is why I am asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained. I know that drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

ORSANCO's minority report points out that there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. To me, this is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue which the Ohio River brings to our great state.

As you know, in 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

And in 2015 an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

The federal government seems to be bent on eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, so it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place.

ORSANCO's standards are important to public health and the environment which is why they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process. This would reduce cost, if that's what this is all about.

But please do not eliminate the standards altogether.

Thank you for taking the time to read my letter.

Sincerely,

Ursula Cohrs
PO Box 40065
Bay Village, OH 44140
ursulacohrs@gmail.com
(440) 835-0355

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [A Doran \(amdoran17@gmail.com\)](mailto:amdoran17@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:13:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

A Doran
42 1/2 E Winter St Apt 11
Delaware, OH 43015
amdoran17@gmail.com
(614) 516-1906

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sophie Roome \(sophierooome@gmail.com\)](mailto:sophierooome@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:14:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sophie Roome
3094 Northwest Blvd
Columbus, OH 43221
sophierooome@gmail.com
(740) 505-3519

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kerry Dugan \(kerry13@yahoo.com\)](mailto:kerry13@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:15:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kerry Dugan
2868 Hyannis Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45251
kerry13@yahoo.com
(513) 821-6388

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michele Biggane \(mmbigg@fuse.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mmbigg@fuse.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:16:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michele Biggane
8332 Cannon Knoll Ct
West Chester, OH 45069
mmbigg@fuse.net
(513) 235-9740

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nan Brunskill \(nmpb85@aol.com\)](mailto:nmpb85@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:17:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nan Brunskill
6477 Chablis Dr
Liberty Twp, OH 45011
nmpb85@aol.com
(513) 615-0093

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gail Valenson \(gailsv@yahoo.com\)](mailto:gailsv@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:17:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gail Valenson
2091 Harrowgate Hill Ln
Fairfield, OH 45014
gailsv@yahoo.com
(513) 939-3820

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lindsey Cencula \(lindsey.cencula@gmail.com\)](mailto:lindsey.cencula@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:19:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Cencula
1610 Spring Lawn Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45223
lindsey.cencula@gmail.com
(803) 917-0102

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Vicki Steiner \(vickisteiner@earthlink.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:vickisteiner@earthlink.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:20:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Vicki Steiner
2920 Midway Ave
Louisville, OH 44641
vickisteiner@earthlink.net
(330) 875-4953

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Shannon Wyatt \(sberardi05@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sberardi05@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:20:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Shannon Wyatt
2795 Garfield Blvd
Lorain, OH 44052
sberardi05@gmail.com
(304) 914-2417

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Vietz \(linvietz@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:linvietz@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:21:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Vietz
4917 Lyle Rd
Columbus, OH 43229
linvietz@sbcglobal.net
(614) 431-9568

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beverly Mick \(bevmick@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:bevmick@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:22:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beverly Mick
4917 Lyle Rd
Columbus, OH 43229
bevmick@sbcglobal.net
(614) 431-9568

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eugene Lehman \(elehman5@woh.rr.com\)](mailto:elehman5@woh.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:22:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eugene Lehman
2088 Sheffield Pl
Bellbrook, OH 45305
elehman5@woh.rr.com
(937) 848-4614

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Danielle Johnson \(d.leigh.johnson@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:d.leigh.johnson@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:23:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Danielle Johnson
314 Chase Rd
Columbus, OH 43214
d.leigh.johnson@gmail.com
(614) 787-7603

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Vida Bossinas \(vida@roadrunner.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:vida@roadrunner.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:23:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Vida Bossinas
12338 Hillcrest Dr
Chesterland, OH 44026
vida@roadrunner.com
(440) 729-9571

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marylois Hilton \(maryloishilton@gmail.com\)](mailto:Marylois.Hilton@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:24:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marylois Hilton
204 Condo Ct
Lebanon, OH 45036
maryloishilton@gmail.com
(513) 934-5211

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William Rickey \(fredathens@intelliwave.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:fredathens@intelliwave.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:25:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William Rickey
7227 Radford Rd # 26
Athens, OH 45701
fredathens@intelliwave.com
(740) 707-2504

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janice Farran \(jef75@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:jef75@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:27:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janice Farran
1005 Crosley Dr
Newark, OH 43055
jef75@roadrunner.com
(740) 344-4457

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pedro Flores \(pedrof1949@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pedrof1949@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:29:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pedro Flores
2806 Wilson St
Lorain, OH 44052
pedrof1949@hotmail.com
(440) 346-4960

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thomas Madden \(drtmadden@aol.com\)](mailto:drtmadden@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:29:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Thomas Madden
269 Melbourne Ave
Akron, OH 44313
drtmadden@aol.com
(330) 867-4296

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julie West \(jwest@ameritech.net\)](mailto:jwest@ameritech.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:30:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julie West
2774 Euclid Heights Blvd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44106
jwest@ameritech.net
(216) 371-2407

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [melody brewer \(melodybrewer1938@gmail.com\)](mailto:melodybrewer1938@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:31:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

melody brewer
2553 Glenwood Ave
Toledo, OH 43610
melodybrewer1938@gmail.com
(419) 944-4141

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ruth Miller \(miller.4462@yahoo.com\)](mailto:miller.4462@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:32:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ruth Miller
4462 Moraine Ave
Hilliard, OH 43026
miller.4462@yahoo.com
(614) 876-8810

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gary Courts \(mrcourts@aol.com\)](mailto:mrcourts@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:34:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gary Courts
9668 Springwater Ln
Miamisburg, OH 45342
mrcourts@aol.com
(937) 436-1094

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J Dutka \(dutkajanice@gmail.com\)](mailto:dutkajanice@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:34:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

J Dutka
30808 Windy Hollow Ln
North Olmsted, OH 44070
dutkajanice@gmail.com
(440) 716-6010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jean Bussell \(jeanbussell@gmail.com\)](mailto:jeanbussell@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:34:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jean Bussell
386 Foos Rd
West Manchester, OH 45382
jeanbussell@gmail.com
(937) 678-0208

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eric Noderer \(enbluechip24@gmail.com\)](mailto:enbluechip24@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:36:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eric Noderer
608 E Perry St
Port Clinton, OH 43452
enbluechip24@gmail.com
(419) 734-4870

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nicole Dannery \(jmdnld98@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jmdnld98@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:38:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nicole Dannery
7375 Guilford Rd
Seville, OH 44273
jmdnld98@yahoo.com
(330) 441-1027

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pamela and Carl Lechner \(cblechner@fairpoint.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cblechner@fairpoint.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:39:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

We value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). We stand with many others in asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained. Drinking water authorities and utilities are on our side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pamela and Carl Lechner
5290 State Route 534
Windsor, OH 44099
cblechner@fairpoint.net
(440) 272-5058

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dawn Kosec \(dawnkosec@yahoo.com\)](mailto:dawnkosec@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:39:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dawn Kosec
1895 Innwood Dr
Youngstown, OH 44515
dawnkosec@yahoo.com
(330) 799-6456

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kerry And Beth Ramsey \(kandb82@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:40:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kerry And Beth Ramsey
5941 Upland Ridge Dr
Medina, OH 44256
kandb82@aol.com
(330) 952-0500

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beth Shaver \(bshaverglin@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:bshaverglin@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:40:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beth Shaver
375 Keeler Road
Gallipolis, OH 45631
bshaverglin@aol.com
(740) 589-0623

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ralph Hatcher \(rtstunner@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:rtstunner@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:40:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ralph Hatcher
21851 Libby Rd Apt C12
Bedford Heights, OH 44146
rtstunner@sbcglobal.net
(216) 581-8159

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennilee Cookman \(midnyghtsnow@yahoo.com\)](mailto:midnyghtsnow@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:43:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennilee Cookman
1361 Melrose Ave
Columbus, OH 43224
midnyghtsnow@yahoo.com
(513) 858-5846

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nanci Danburg \(ndanburg@roadrunner.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ndanburg@roadrunner.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:43:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nanci Danburg
2123 Southampton Ln
Avon, OH 44011
ndanburg@roadrunner.com
(440) 937-3375

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mike Bushaw \(mikenstarr@att.net\)](mailto:mikenstarr@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:44:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mike Bushaw
6765 Summerdale Dr
Huber Heights, OH 45424
mikenstarr@att.net
(937) 260-4563

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karen Stout \(ka_stout@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ka_stout@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:44:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karen Stout
4848 Warwick Dr S
Canfield, OH 44406
ka_stout@yahoo.com
(330) 509-1330

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bartley Lawson \(llawson82@hotmail.com\)](mailto:llawson82@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:45:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bartley Lawson
871 N 2nd St
Hamilton, OH 45011
llawson82@hotmail.com
(513) 737-2568

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Czarnecki \(daczarn@sssnet.com\)](mailto:daczarn@sssnet.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:46:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Czarnecki
6745 Towpath Ave NW
Canal Fulton, OH 44614
daczarn@sssnet.com
(330) 854-2178

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cheryl Claypoole \(cclaypoole07@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cclaypoole07@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:47:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Claypoole
2360 Glenmawr Ave., #2
Columbus, OH 43202
cclaypoole07@gmail.com
(614) 361-5023

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Yvonne McLaughlin \(yvonneclaughlin@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:yvonneclaughlin@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:48:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Yvonne McLaughlin
20 Cheyenne Trail
Malvern, OH 44644
yvonneclaughlin@gmail.com
(330) 808-0134

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bruce Saunders \(wsaunder@brynmawr.edu\)](mailto:wsaunder@brynmawr.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:48:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bruce Saunders
4491 Price Rd NE
Newark, OH 43055
wsaunder@brynmawr.edu
(610) 574-3204

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeff Neikirk \(jneikirk@woh.rr.com\)](mailto:jneikirk@woh.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:49:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeff Neikirk
1914 Westwood Rd
Troy, OH 45373
jneikirk@woh.rr.com
(937) 339-0820

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharon Hawkins \(shahawks@hotmail.com\)](mailto:shahawks@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:50:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sharon Hawkins
3276 Oakwood Dr
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221
shahawks@hotmail.com
(330) 780-9474

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael S Barson \(mikebarson7@outlook.com\)](mailto:mikebarson7@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:50:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael S Barson
4648 W Farnhurst Rd
Cleveland, OH 44121
mikebarson7@outlook.com
(216) 382-5498

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeffrey Hale \(jeffreyshale@gmail.com\)](mailto:jeffreyshale@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:50:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Hale
101 North riverside Dr., 8
Batavia, OH 45103
jeffreyshale@gmail.com
(513) 828-7510

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Dipietro \(davidmdipietro3@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:davidmdipietro3@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:50:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Dipietro
153 Kristin Ct
Westerville, OH 43081
davidmdipietro3@gmail.com
(614) 579-1981

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William Montgomery \(billmontgomery1@gmail.com\)](mailto:billmontgomery1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:50:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William Montgomery
374 N Erie Beach Rd
Lakeside Marblehead, OH 43440
billmontgomery1@gmail.com
(740) 815-1014

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ellen Guest \(eguest453@gmail.com\)](mailto:eguest453@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:50:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

THE WATER STANDARDS THAT PROTECT THE DRINKING WATER FOR MILLIONS OF PEOPLE ARE CRUCIAL FOR EACH MAN, WOMAN AND CHILD WHO WILL BE AFFECTED IF THE STANDARDS ARE MODIFIED. Please help keep Ohio's and America's drinking GREAT!

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ellen Guest
513 Ridgecrest Dr
Yellow Springs, OH 45387
eguest453@gmail.com
(937) 767-3811

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Andi Decenzo \(andi.decenzo@gmail.com\)](mailto:andi.decenzo@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:51:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Andi Decenzo
1922 Baldrige Rd
Columbus, OH 43221
andi.decenzo@gmail.com
(614) 306-8991

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tammy Sedam \(marginalsellers@aol.com\)](mailto:marginalsellers@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:51:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tammy Sedam
656 S Prospect St
Marion, OH 43302
marginalsellers@aol.com
(740) 382-3965

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Boes \(jlboes@bright.net\)](mailto:jlboes@bright.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:53:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Boes
2928 W State Route 18
Tiffin, OH 44883
jlboes@bright.net
(419) 937-0195

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nathan Hetrick \(hetricknathan@hotmail.com\)](mailto:hetricknathan@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:53:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nathan Hetrick
1279 Westlake Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
hetricknathan@hotmail.com
(320) 309-8806

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dianne Bloom \(dianne.bloom@icloud.com\)](mailto:dianne.bloom@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:53:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dianne Bloom
2928 W STATE ROUTE 18
Tiffin, OH 44883
dianne.bloom@icloud.com
(419) 937-0195

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Beckman \(lin4869@yahoo.com\)](mailto:lin4869@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:53:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards to be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Beckman
9007 Bindley-Mounts Road
Blanchester, OH 45107
lin4869@yahoo.com
(513) 877-2340

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Murray Stein \(mas2@case.edu\)](mailto:mas2@case.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:54:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Murray Stein
3330 Warrensville Rd Apt 202
Shaker Heights, OH 44122
mas2@case.edu
(216) 752-9509

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lj Harris \(lyoung7g@aol.com\)](mailto:lyoung7g@aol.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:54:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lj Harris
2261 N Star Rd
Columbus, OH 43221
lyoung7g@aol.com
(614) 888-8899

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brian Miller \(brian8136@aol.com\)](mailto:brian8136@aol.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:55:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brian Miller
835 W Martindale Rd
Englewood, OH 45322
brian8136@aol.com
(937) 836-4186

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dolly Robinson \(stormycc@aol.com\)](mailto:stormycc@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:55:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dolly Robinson
8232 Gibson Ave
Fairborn, OH 45324
stormycc@aol.com
(937) 864-2215

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sue Arter \(sarter@wowway.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sarter@wowway.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:56:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sue Arter
117 S Grove St
Westerville, OH 43081
sarter@wowway.com
(614) 915-2013

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Melissa Browning \(mjb@millers.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mjb@millers.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:56:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Melissa Browning
9381 Smith Rd
Litchfield, OH 44253
mjb@millers.com
(330) 810-9109

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Valor Cary \(ramblingred@oberlin.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ramblingred@oberlin.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:59:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Valor Cary
138 S Main St Apt 202
Oberlin, OH 44074
ramblingred@oberlin.net
(440) 774-1985

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Henry \(henry3831@twc.com\)](mailto:henry3831@twc.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:01:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Henry
8144 Crystal Creek Rd
Sagamore Hills, OH 44067
henry3831@twc.com
(330) 468-3831

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Frederica Cohen \(flcvvc@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:flcvvc@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:02:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Frederica Cohen
91 Hawthorne Ave
Akron, OH 44303
flcvvc@yahoo.com
(330) 338-4771

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jean Jackson \(jejack52@neo.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jejack52@neo.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:05:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jean Jackson
452 Playland Pkwy
Chippewa Lake, OH 44215
jejack52@neo.rr.com
(330) 769-3644

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mikaela Murphy \(mikaela275@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mikaela275@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:07:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mikaela Murphy
26906 Wolf Rd
Bay Village, OH 44140
mikaela275@yahoo.com
(777) 777-7777

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joshua Mabis \(josh.mabis@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:josh.mabis@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:07:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joshua Mabis
3712 Eastern Ave Apt 7
Cincinnati, OH 45226
josh.mabis@gmail.com
(513) 264-8184

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michelle Grimm \(grimm-m@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:grimm-m@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:07:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michelle Grimm
630 4th St
Marietta, OH 45750
grimm-m@sbcglobal.net
(740) 373-2059

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Charles and Carol Glaser \(cbglaser1@hotmail.com\)](mailto:cbglaser1@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:08:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Charles and Carol Glaser
173 Green Ave
Groveport, OH 43125
cbglaser1@hotmail.com
(614) 836-2139

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Pekarcik \(bytemarks@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:bytemarks@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:08:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Pekarcik
7350 Martingale Dr
Chesterland, OH 44026
bytemarks@roadrunner.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Bleything \(clbleything@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:clbleything@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:09:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Bleything
912 Desales St
Vandalia, OH 45377
clbleything@yahoo.com
(937) 890-5865

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anthony Mehle \(amehle123@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:amehle123@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:09:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anthony Mehle
6634 Covington Cv
Canfield, OH 44406
amehle123@gmail.com
(330) 533-7532

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [April Eversole \(icecat302000@yahoo.com\)](mailto:icecat302000@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:11:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

April Eversole
33790 State Route 518
Hanoverton, OH 44423
icecat302000@yahoo.com
(330) 223-1036

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Duerksen \(duerksra@miamioh.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:duerksra@miamioh.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:11:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Duerksen
5370 McCoy Rd
Oxford, OH 45056
duerksra@miamioh.edu
(513) 756-0020

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pam Pendleton \(pamela1111@twc.com\)](mailto:pamela1111@twc.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:11:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pam Pendleton
4905 Long Acres Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45245
pamela1111@twc.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Virginia Stone-Meyer \(annelf1@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:annelf1@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:12:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Virginia Stone-Meyer
3086 Hidden Brook Dr
Ravenna, OH 44266
annelf1@hotmail.com
(330) 671-6395

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Suttner \(mark@suttner.us\)](mailto:mark@suttner.us) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:12:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Suttner
116 Keethler Dr S
Westerville, OH 43081
mark@suttner.us
(614) 882-2345

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Derwent \(krmderwent@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:krmderwent@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:13:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Derwent
7110 Fodor Rd
New Albany, OH 43054
krmderwent@gmail.com
(614) 946-1264

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Margaret Lloyd \(mmllloyd@mac.com\)](mailto:mmllloyd@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:14:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Margaret Lloyd
2114 Firestone Trce
Akron, OH 44333
mmllloyd@mac.com
(330) 659-2005

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Erickson \(erickson.kathleen@rocketmail.com\)](mailto:erickson.kathleen@rocketmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:15:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Erickson
1261 Jackson Pike #33
Gallipolis, OH 45631
erickson.kathleen@rocketmail.com
(740) 208-8664

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ian Wolf \(iwolf2@mac.com\)](mailto:iwolf2@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:15:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ian Wolf
1940 Fairfax Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45207
iwolf2@mac.com
(513) 200-6328

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Judith Koch \(kochj75@yahoo.com\)](mailto:kochj75@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:17:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

In the 1950>1960s the Ohio River foamed with patches of scum, oil, etc. WE NEED TO KEEP MOVING FORWARD NOT BACK!!

Sincerely,

Judith Koch
7620 Cheviot Rd Apt 402
Cincinnati, OH 45247
kochj75@yahoo.com
(513) 385-3740

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Stone \(elliottreporting@gmail.com\)](mailto:elliottreporting@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:17:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Stone
915 S Front St
Columbus, OH 43206
elliottreporting@gmail.com
(614) 445-6300

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gail Tanner \(germtan53@gmail.com\)](mailto:germtan53@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:19:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gail Tanner
18153 Clifton Rd
Lakewood, OH 44107
germtan53@gmail.com
(216) 407-2405

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nadine Hassell \(nadine.j.hassell@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:nadine.j.hassell@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:19:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nadine Hassell
11815 Township Road 145
Findlay, OH 45840
nadine.j.hassell@sbcglobal.net
(419) 345-0444

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Kohr \(w.oak145@gmail.com\)](mailto:w.oak145@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:19:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Voting in 2018 and 2020

Sincerely,

Michael Kohr
2377 Edington Rd
Upper Arlington, OH 43221
w.oak145@gmail.com
(614) 206-2109

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Theresa Williams \(mangoswt@earthlink.net\)](mailto:mangoswt@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:20:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Theresa Williams
1031 Hazel Ave
Englewood, OH 45322
mangoswt@earthlink.net
(937) 694-1369

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Gutridge \(bgutridge@aol.com\)](mailto:bgutridge@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:21:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Gutridge
3323 W 25th St Apt 221
Cleveland, OH 44109
bgutridge@aol.com
(216) 334-8446

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cena Buchannon \(cena8130@gmail.com\)](mailto:cena8130@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:22:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cena Buchannon
6876 Bellefontaine Rd
Dayton, OH 45424
cena8130@gmail.com
(937) 233-8130

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Maureen Gwynn \(whataboutbob9@gmail.com\)](mailto:whataboutbob9@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:23:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Maureen Gwynn
49300 US Highway 20
Oberlin, OH 44074
whataboutbob9@gmail.com
(440) 774-9015

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Costello \(jvcostello@gmail.com\)](mailto:jvcostello@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:23:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Costello
96 Dodge Ave
Akron, OH 44302
jvcostello@gmail.com
(330) 867-5049

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Norma Mcfadden \(norma642@bex.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:norma642@bex.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:24:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Norma Mcfadden
2016 Putnam St
Sandusky, OH 44870
norma642@bex.net
(419) 627-1780

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Clara Leigh \(cdleigh48@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cdleigh48@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:25:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Clara Leigh
6133 Eden Valley Dr
Westerville, OH 43081
cdleigh48@yahoo.com
(614) 245-8231

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lynda Ream \(lream11141947@gmail.com\)](mailto:lream11141947@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:27:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lynda Ream
4865 NorthcliffDr.7
Dayton, OH 45431
lream11141947@gmail.com
(937) 254-7668

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eileen Crowe](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: de-regulation
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:28:12 PM

As a resident of Greater Cincinnati, ORSanCo's potential de-regulation greatly concerns me. It's first important to remember that we are talking about 5 million people who rely on the Ohio River for drinking water. The Ohio River itself is already one of the most polluted nationally - do we really want to make it worse?

ORSanCo's standards are above that of the EPA and the Clean Water Act. If ORSanCo de-regulates pollution control standards, that leaves 5 million people's drinking water at the grace of the EPA. I know there's many others who feel this way about the EPA, but I have less than no confidence in *anything* the EPA does these days and I sure don't have any confidence in what they might do with my drinking water. Half of ORSanCo's commissioners have ties to industry. Yet *ninety-seven percent* of Greater Cincinnati residents oppose ORSanCo's de-regulation. This proposal to de-regulate pollution control standards is clearly a result of big money industry with absolutely no regard for the people of Greater Cincinnati and Northern Kentucky as well as the people who live upstream and downstream along the Ohio River.

Please consider the magnitude of the impact this will have on everyone's water and oppose the de-regulation of ORSanCo's pollution control standards.

Thank you.

Eileen Crowe

From: [Will Volck \(kaibab@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:kaibab@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:28:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Will Volck
2204 Vine St
Cincinnati, OH 45219
kaibab@zoomtown.com
(513) 241-3253

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Heather Finke \(heatherfinke@gmail.com\)](mailto:heatherfinke@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:29:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Heather Finke
3989 Oranewood Dr
Beachwood, OH 44122
heatherfinke@gmail.com
(216) 280-1110

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dennis Luna \(dennis.luna82@gmail.com\)](mailto:dennis.luna82@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:30:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dennis Luna
9400 Westenbarger Dr
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
dennis.luna82@gmail.com
(740) 397-2854

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jason Beam \(jpbear@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jpbear@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:31:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jason Beam
2112 Sandown Rd
Toledo, OH 43615
jpbear@yahoo.com
(419) 490-3264

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bruce Furey \(bfurey@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:bfurey@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:35:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bruce Furey
3999 Washington Way
Morrow, OH 45152
bfurey@roadrunner.com
(513) 899-7299

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gary Newton \(gnewt912@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gnewt912@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:35:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gary Newton
302 Miller Lake Rd
Wooster, OH 44691
gnewt912@hotmail.com
(859) 868-8728

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Redman-Furey \(bfurey@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:bfurey@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:36:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Redman-Furey
3999 Washington Way
Morrow, OH 45152
bfurey@roadrunner.com
(513) 899-7299

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Margaret Medford \(margaret.medford@uhhospitals.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:margaret.medford@uhhospitals.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:36:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Margaret Medford
3269 Berkeley Rd
Cleveland, OH 44118
margaret.medford@uhhospitals.org
(216) 932-8707

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sybil Kalail \(s.skalail@gmail.com\)](mailto:s.skalail@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:37:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained. Drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sybil Kalail
3342 S Smith Rd
Fairlawn, OH 44333
s.skalail@gmail.com
(330) 668-9080

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Jennings \(auntybb13@gmail.com\)](mailto:auntybb13@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:37:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Jennings
102 Barbara Ave
Steubenville, OH 43952
auntybb13@gmail.com
(740) 283-3506

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joyce Martin \(jmartin10748@gmail.com\)](mailto:jmartin10748@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:37:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joyce Martin
449 Saint Andrews Ln
Broadview Heights, OH 44147
jmartin10748@gmail.com
(614) 557-0542

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Matthew Ridge \(ridge.matthew@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ridge.matthew@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:39:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Matthew Ridge
651 Chestnut St
Marysville, OH 43040
ridge.matthew@gmail.com
(740) 815-0607

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sylvia Brown \(browns@denison.edu\)](mailto:browns@denison.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:39:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Brown
140 Rose Dr
Granville, OH 43023
browns@denison.edu
(740) 403-9446

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Field \(jimliz@oh.rr.com\)](mailto:jimliz@oh.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:40:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Field
38207 Cross Creek Dr
Willoughby, OH 44094
jimliz@oh.rr.com
(440) 510-8690

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Margaret Herten \(magghert@prodigy.net\)](mailto:magghert@prodigy.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:40:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Margaret Herten
16002 Woodbury Ave
Cleveland, OH 44135
magghert@prodigy.net
(216) 267-0892

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brenda Paulson \(b.paulson@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:b.paulson@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:40:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brenda Paulson
3640 Black Jack Trl
Amelia, OH 45102
b.paulson@zoomtown.com
(513) 673-0506

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joseph Duale \(joe@dualefamily.com\)](mailto:joe@dualefamily.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:41:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joseph Duale
1855 Meadow Dr
Hinckley, OH 44233
joe@dualefamily.com
(330) 273-8338

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tonya Willow \(tonyawillow@gmail.com\)](mailto:tonyawillow@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:42:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tonya Willow
48 E Kanawha Ave
Columbus, OH 43214
tonyawillow@gmail.com
(419) 571-8078

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Erin Huber \(erin@drinklocaldrinktapp.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:erin@drinklocaldrinktapp.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:43:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Erin Huber
1366 W 59th St
Cleveland, OH 44102
erin@drinklocaldrinktapp.org
(440) 463-1862

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Phillip Wochner \(philwoch@aol.com\)](mailto:philwoch@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:43:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Phillip Wochner
23300 Shaker Blvd
Shaker Heights, OH 44122
philwoch@aol.com
(216) 991-2585

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Suzana Megles \(s.megles@att.net\)](mailto:s.megles@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:52:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Suzana Megles
1638 Winchester Ave Apt 1
Lakewood, OH 44107
s.megles@att.net
(216) 221-5559

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Maryann Reeves \(mareeves57@cox.net\)](mailto:mareeves57@cox.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:52:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Maryann Reeves
1081 W Sprague Rd
Broadview Heights, OH 44147
mareeves57@cox.net
(440) 884-3991

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Peggy Fugate \(westernbabe001@yahoo.com\)](mailto:westernbabe001@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:52:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Peggy Fugate
6685 Stillwell Beckett Rd
Oxford, OH 45056
westernbabe001@yahoo.com
(513) 523-3449

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gary Koning \(koninggjk@aol.com\)](mailto:koninggjk@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:53:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gary Koning
76 Jennette Dr
Youngstown, OH 44512
koninggjk@aol.com
(330) 953-1995

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Daniel Stewart \(kcufti@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:kcufti@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:55:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Don't give up the ship! Keep the clean water standards!

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Keep ORSANCO strong!

Sincerely,

Daniel Stewart
776 Glendale Rd
Marietta, OH 45750
kcufti@sbcglobal.net
(740) 374-5361

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Bonar \(dbonar1@me.com\)](mailto:dbonar1@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:56:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Bonar
464 Forest St
Mansfield, OH 44903
dbonar1@me.com
(419) 522-3982

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Drew Bohl](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PSC Comments
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:57:37 PM

It is incredibly important for ORSANCO to continue to set and monitor pollutant levels in the Ohio River. The health and safety of this river greatly impact not only surrounding communities in the Ohio River Basin but the entire Commonwealth of Kentucky. **Tributaries of the Ohio River account for 93 percent of the stream and river miles in Kentucky.**

Without strong pollution standards and enforcement of those standards, we cannot guarantee the drinking water quality and the recreational and environmental value of this important ecosystem.

Please continue the important practice of setting pollution standards.

Sincerely,
Drew Bohl

From: [Wesley Tyler \(wesleytyler18@gmail.com\)](mailto:wesleytyler18@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:58:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Wesley Tyler
52 W High St Apt H
Painesville, OH 44077
wesleytyler18@gmail.com
(440) 251-2725

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dwight Roark \(roarkdk2@gmail.com\)](mailto:roarkdk2@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:58:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dwight Roark
2038 Earlwood Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45238
roarkdk2@gmail.com
(513) 922-6240

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lowell Palm \(evrettbgo@yahoo.com\)](mailto:evrettbgo@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:00:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lowell Palm
419 5th St
Washington Court House, OH 43160
evrettbgo@yahoo.com
(740) 335-5103

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Don Story \(ddstory@earthlink.net\)](mailto:ddstory@earthlink.net) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:00:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Don Story
165 E Dominion Blvd
Columbus, OH 43214
ddstory@earthlink.net
(614) 262-7787

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stuart Lewis \(stuart@salewis.com\)](mailto:stuart@salewis.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:01:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stuart Lewis
7890 Stonehouse Ct
Dayton, OH 45459
stuart@salewis.com
(937) 369-1187

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lori Bird \(lrbird@me.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Lori_Bird_(lrbird@me.com)_Sent_You_a_Personal_Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:01:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lori Bird
7406 Hudson Park Dr
Hudson, OH 44236
lrbird@me.com
(330) 342-4095

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kenneth Winstel \(k.winstel258@gmail.com\)](mailto:k.winstel258@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:02:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Winstel
1765 E Cooke Rd
Columbus, OH 43224
k.winstel258@gmail.com
(614) 795-7109

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kay Childs \(latifakc@netscape.net\)](mailto:latifakc@netscape.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:05:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether. What is more important, money or safety?

Sincerely,

Kay Childs
26921 Johnson Mill Rd
Quaker City, OH 43773
latifakc@netscape.net
(740) 679-2405

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Constance Minerovic \(clminerovic@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:clminerovic@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:05:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Protect all of our waters!

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Constance Minerovic
6168 Cheryl Pl
Painesville, OH 44077
clminerovic@yahoo.com
(440) 357-1272

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Spitale \(spitalja@gmail.com\)](mailto:John.Spitale@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:06:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Spitale
1141 Hooverview Dr
Westerville, OH 43082
spitalja@gmail.com
(614) 259-8699

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Grace Eder \(gmeder324@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gmeder324@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:08:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Grace Eder
5085 Dalmeny Ct
Columbus, OH 43220
gmeder324@gmail.com
(734) 355-6190

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Vanessa Seay \(suzie1149@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:suzie1149@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:08:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Seay
4232 Williamsport Dr
Beavercreek, OH 45430
suzie1149@gmail.com
(937) 254-0486

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Douglas Walton \(dnwalton2002@yahoo.com\)](mailto:dnwalton2002@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:08:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Douglas Walton
554 Milton St
Cincinnati, OH 45202
dnwalton2002@yahoo.com
(513) 307-4957

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Roxie Weaver \(aweav34@aol.com\)](mailto:aweav34@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:10:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Roxie Weaver
3556 Pennyroyal Rd
Franklin, OH 45005
aweav34@aol.com
(937) 867-4166

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Hanas \(beanmanbud2@suite224.net\)](mailto:beanmanbud2@suite224.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:12:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Hanas
234 Reig Ave
Conneaut, OH 44030
beanmanbud2@suite224.net
(440) 228-4328

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dan Cumberledge \(dekace17@gmail.com\)](mailto:dekace17@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:13:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dan Cumberledge
11273 Seacrist Rd
Salem, OH 44460
dekace17@gmail.com
(330) 397-9142

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John and Sue Janssen \(janssens@apk.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:janssens@apk.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:14:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John and Sue Janssen
3352 Bradford Rd
Cleveland, OH 44118
janssens@apk.net
(216) 371-5565

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cathryn Wolf \(cathrynwolf@hotmail.com\)](mailto:cathrynwolf@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:18:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cathryn Wolf
4467 Woodglen St
Kent, OH 44240
cathrynwolf@hotmail.com
(714) 310-1792

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carla Newberry \(carlanews@juno.com\)](mailto:carlanews@juno.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:19:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carla Newberry
5588 Elm Hill Dr
Solon, OH 44139
carlanews@juno.com
(440) 349-2234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Holmquist \(twelveandahalf@msn.com\)](mailto:twelveandahalf@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:21:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Holmquist
11118 Beaver Rd
Johnstown, OH 43031
twelveandahalf@msn.com
(740) 964-9093

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Oehlberg \(bsaxon@ameritech.net\)](mailto:bsaxon@ameritech.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:22:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Oehlberg
35375 Solon Rd
Solon, OH 44139
bsaxon@ameritech.net
(440) 248-9519

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Shelia Monroe \(newwoman25@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:newwoman25@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:23:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Shelia Monroe
8702 Washington Colony Dr
Dayton, OH 45458
newwoman25@roadrunner.com
(937) 435-9298

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ethan Roush \(eroush25@hotmail.com\)](mailto:eroush25@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:24:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ethan Roush
7439 Heigle Rd SW
Amanda, OH 43102
eroush25@hotmail.com
(740) 601-1926

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Debra Staudt \(dkstaudt@hotmail.com\)](mailto:dkstaudt@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:24:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Debra Staudt
2700 Fessler Buxton Rd
Russia, OH 45363
dkstaudt@hotmail.com
(937) 526-4169

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephanie Bissell \(biss7@hotmail.com\)](mailto:biss7@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:24:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Bissell
3330 Erie Ave NW
Massillon, OH 44646
biss7@hotmail.com
(330) 494-5335

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dorothy Moore \(dody0115@aol.com\)](mailto:dody0115@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:24:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Moore
700 McKinley Ave NW Apt 511
Canton, OH 44703
dody0115@aol.com
(330) 458-0628

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Vendl \(mjv825@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mjv825@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:26:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Vendl
10076 Ronnie Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45215
mjv825@aol.com
(513) 703-1644

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Hill \(jennifer_ann_hill@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jennifer_ann_hill@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:26:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Hill
127 Spring Valley Rd
Westerville, OH 43081
jennifer_ann_hill@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lynn Gazik \(lynn.mg9756@gmail.com\)](mailto:lynn.mg9756@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:30:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lynn Gazik
6803 Forest Ave
Cleveland, OH 44129
lynn.mg9756@gmail.com
(440) 845-7764

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ellen Canfil \(ejcanfil@gmail.com\)](mailto:ejcanfil@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:32:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ellen Canfil
18225 N Inlet Dr
Strongsville, OH 44136
ejcanfil@gmail.com
(440) 572-8169

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Hilary White \(hbwhite121@gmail.com\)](mailto:hbwhite121@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:32:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Hilary White
8734 Oak Valley Rd
Holland, OH 43528
hbwhite121@gmail.com
(419) 868-1510

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Savannah Collins \(smc1993@outlook.com\)](mailto:smc1993@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:33:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Savannah Collins
5400 Shepard Rd
Miamisburg, OH 45342
smc1993@outlook.com
(937) 701-2562

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julie Clayman \(claymanjulie@yahoo.com\)](mailto:claymanjulie@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:34:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julie Clayman
17030 Catsden Rd
Chagrin Falls, OH 44023
claymanjulie@yahoo.com
(440) 543-1213

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Hill \(jennifer_ann_hill@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jennifer_ann_hill@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:35:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Hill
127 Spring Valley Rd
Westerville, OH 43081
jennifer_ann_hill@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marge Fear \(margefear@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:margefear@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:36:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marge Fear
3549 E Scarborough Rd
Cleveland Hts, OH 44118
margefear@sbcglobal.net
(216) 321-2880

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Mcconnell \(jenamcconnell@gmail.com\)](mailto:jenamcconnell@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:37:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Mcconnell
960 Taurus Ave
Columbus, OH 43230
jenamcconnell@gmail.com
(614) 783-8034

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marlene Borton \(rborton@woh.rr.com\)](mailto:rborton@woh.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:37:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marlene Borton
10984 Frederick Pike
Vandalia, OH 45377
rborton@woh.rr.com
(937) 604-0717

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thomas Hagins \(peanuttom161@aol.com\)](mailto:peanuttom161@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:39:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Thomas Hagins
920 Yarger Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45230
peanuttom161@aol.com
(513) 231-1812

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rex Heeter \(rex.heeter@att.net\)](mailto:rex.heeter@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:41:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rex Heeter
421 E Pease Ave
West Carrollton, OH 45449
rex.heeter@att.net
(937) 336-1403

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Melody Hoyt \(torchsong720@gmail.com\)](mailto:torchsong720@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:45:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Melody Hoyt
4293 Weymouth Rd
Medina, OH 44256
torchsong720@gmail.com
(216) 337-1367

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carolyn Church \(carolynschurch@gmail.com\)](mailto:carolynschurch@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:46:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Church
520 Carlton St
Toledo, OH 43609
carolynschurch@gmail.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sr Jeanne Moenk \(jmoenk@ndec.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jmoenk@ndec.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:49:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sr Jeanne Moenk
13000 Auburn Rd
Chardon, OH 44024
jmoenk@ndec.org
(440) 279-9383

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christie Wishon \(ttshon@yahoo.com\)](mailto:ttshon@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:52:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christie Wishon
225 Burns Ave
Dayton, OH 45402
ttshon@yahoo.com
(937) 270-7556

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Grace Robbins \(gar96@cornell.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gar96@cornell.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:52:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Grace Robbins
474 Orchard Grv
Northfield, OH 44067
gar96@cornell.edu
(440) 804-6385

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christine Hurst \(cah165050@att.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cah165050@att.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:55:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christine Hurst
4572 Rita St
Youngstown, OH 44515
cah165050@att.net
(330) 503-4462

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thomas Pretlow \(tgp3@case.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tgp3@case.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:55:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Thomas Pretlow
2181 Ambleside Dr Apt 303
Cleveland, OH 44106
tgp3@case.edu
(216) 502-0639

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janice Hunter \(jch8387@hotmail.com\)](mailto:jch8387@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:55:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janice Hunter
2573 Countrylake Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45233
jch8387@hotmail.com
(513) 922-1946

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Yvonne Johnson \(timberwolf_ymj@yahoo.com\)](mailto:timberwolf_ymj@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:56:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Johnson
20409 Arrington Rd
Utica, OH 43080
timberwolf_ymj@yahoo.com
(740) 668-2907

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bill Matthews](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Deregulation of the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:56:48 PM

To whom it may concern,

I am against the deregulation of pollution standards for the Ohio River. The river is where I, along with millions of other people in Southwestern Ohio get our drinking water. Please do not put corporate interests above human needs!

Bill

"Doing the same thing over and over and expecting a different result is the definition of insanity"--Albert Einstein.

Bill Matthews
Cincinnati, Ohio, USA
[513-376-1792](tel:513-376-1792)

From: [Donna Metzler \(metzler5815@gmail.com\)](mailto:Donna.Metzler@metzler5815@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:58:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Donna Metzler
104 Elbern Ave
Harrison, OH 45030
metzler5815@gmail.com
(513) 648-5815

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Carmichael \(ruasculpin@gmail.com\)](mailto:ruasculpin@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:58:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Carmichael
1639 Sycamore St Apt A
Cincinnati, OH 45202
ruasculpin@gmail.com
(513) 723-0522

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Katherine Blevins \(wildwood1002@gmail.com\)](mailto:wildwood1002@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 6:59:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katherine Blevins
10054 Candlestick Ln
Painesville, OH 44077
wildwood1002@gmail.com
(440) 299-7689

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara Teuschler \(dteuschler@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:dteuschler@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:00:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara Teuschler
6232 Bancroft St
Cincinnati, OH 45227
dteuschler@cinci.rr.com
(513) 561-7490

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Catherine Chervenak \(chervenak151@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:chervenak151@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:02:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Catherine Chervenak
2119 Murray Hill Rd Uppr Apt
Cleveland, OH 44106
chervenak151@gmail.com
(216) 307-5413

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Craig Todd \(ctodd013106@hotmail.com\)](mailto:ctodd013106@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:02:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Craig Todd
267 W Funderburg Rd
Fairborn, OH 45324
ctodd013106@hotmail.com
(937) 542-1557

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gail Meese \(gailmeese@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gailmeese@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:04:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gail Meese
15 E Lakeview Ave
Columbus, OH 43202
gailmeese@hotmail.com
(614) 268-4220

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J Burich \(jburichtravel@earthlink.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jburichtravel@earthlink.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:04:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

J Burich
969 Hollow Creek Dr
Milford, OH 45150
jburichtravel@earthlink.net
(513) 382-6375

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dan Goulet \(dirtracker1@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dirtracker1@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:04:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dan Goulet
914 Canterbury Dr
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
dirtracker1@hotmail.com
(419) 905-1681

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Might \(dmightymo2@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dmightymo2@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:05:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Might
435 Happy Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45238
dmightymo2@gmail.com
(513) 488-3502

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Helen King \(hbking55@hotmail.com\)](mailto:hbking55@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:06:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Helen King
6773 Beechwood Dr
Athens, OH 45701
hbking55@hotmail.com
(518) 944-6158

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Greg Zyzanski \(greg4011@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:greg4011@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:07:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Greg Zyzanski
1187 Brainard Rd
Lyndhurst, OH 44124
greg4011@sbcglobal.net
(440) 461-2019

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sean Browning \(s.browning73@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:s.browning73@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:07:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sean Browning
1660 E Lakeview Ave
Columbus, OH 43224
s.browning73@gmail.com
(614) 268-5663

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janet Kennedy \(jegkk@prodigy.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jegkk@prodigy.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:08:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janet Kennedy
11655 Elder Ln
Lithopolis, OH 43136
jegkk@prodigy.net
(614) 316-9866

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Stock \(patti7@cox.net\)](mailto:patti7@cox.net) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:08:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Stock
27001 Oakwood Dr Apt 206
Olmsted Falls, OH 44138
patti7@cox.net
(440) 793-6161

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Victoria Simna \(vmteachmusic@aol.com\)](mailto:vmteachmusic@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:09:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am asking for the current pollution control standards to be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They, too, understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014 methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical, leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015 an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, consider changing the tri-annual review process to a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Victoria Simna
4613 Broadale Rd
Cleveland, OH 44109
vmteachmusic@aol.com
(999) 999-9999

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard Brudzynski \(hardcases@netscape.com\)](mailto:hardcases@netscape.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:09:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard Brudzynski
4129 Cedar Ridge Rd
Dayton, OH 45414
hardcases@netscape.com
(937) 555-1234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Margaret Hale \(makh123@aol.com\)](mailto:makh123@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:09:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether
I grew up in Belpre, Ohio on the Ohio River. Because of river contamination from industry Dupont we were exposed to cancer causing chemicals in making of Teflon in city drinking water from river late 50s and 60s. Protect the Ohio River so it provides safe drinking water for communities along its banks. Thank you

Sincerely,

Margaret Hale
10431 Fairlawn Dr
Cleveland, OH 44130
makh123@aol.com
(440) 623-9361

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brian Erickson \(brian_erickson@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:brian_erickson@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:11:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brian Erickson
335 E Longview Ave
Columbus, OH 43202
brian_erickson@hotmail.com
(614) 226-5115

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brad Johnson \(brad55@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:brad55@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:12:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brad Johnson
11 Gregory Ct
Hamilton, OH 45013
brad55@zoomtown.com
(513) 863-0737

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bradley Leigeber \(bradleyj1972@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:bradleyj1972@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:14:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bradley Leigeber
7448 Wethersfield Dr
Maineville, OH 45039
bradleyj1972@gmail.com
(513) 435-3124

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christine Curtis \(cgcurtis@sbcglobal.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cgcurtis@sbcglobal.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:14:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christine Curtis
5 Hidden Vly
Rocky River, OH 44116
cgcurtis@sbcglobal.net
(440) 333-4917

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara Cartwright \(bacnaturelover@gmail.com\)](mailto:bacnaturelover@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:15:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara Cartwright
19 Fairview Ave
Glouster, OH 45732
bacnaturelover@gmail.com
(740) 767-2697

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marlene Barrett \(marlenebarrett@att.net\)](mailto:marlenebarrett@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:15:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marlene Barrett
4505 Thackeray Rd
Maumee, OH 43537
marlenebarrett@att.net
(419) 740-3018

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Averbeck \(lindera@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lindera@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:17:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River. This chemical is not regulated in drinking water and likely would have been missed if ORSANCO didn't exist.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Averbeck
3762 Mount Vernon Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45209
lindera@yahoo.com
(513) 304-2151

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robin Ruth \(rruth@neo.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rruth@neo.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:21:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robin Ruth
1190 Collinwood Ave
Akron, OH 44310
rruth@neo.rr.com
(330) 283-1764

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Luca Scarmack \(scarmackluca@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:scarmackluca@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:24:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Luca Scarmack
2204 Clarence Ave # Up
Lakewood, OH 44107
scarmackluca@gmail.com
(740) 503-2933

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rachel Hess \(rhess3@rockets.utoledo.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rhess3@rockets.utoledo.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:26:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rachel Hess
PO Box 396
Luckey, OH 43443
rhess3@rockets.utoledo.edu
(419) 514-9031

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ashlee Stabile \(adstabile@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:adstabile@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:31:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ashlee Stabile
4242 NEW RD, 7
Youngstown, OH 44515
adstabile@gmail.com
(330) 397-3747

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeffrey Mccollim \(mdc12c1@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mdc12c1@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:32:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Mccollim
7154 N Downing Pl
Painesville, OH 44077
mdc12c1@yahoo.com
(440) 358-1567

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Vicki Reed \(vrpiqua@hotmail.com\)](mailto:vrpiqua@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:35:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Vicki Reed
6 Coronada Ct
Piqua, OH 45356
vrpiqua@hotmail.com
(937) 773-9576

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Neuman De Vegvar \(cndeveg@owu.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cndeveg@owu.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:44:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Neuman De Vegvar
2360 Panhandle Rd
Delaware, OH 43015
cndeveg@owu.edu
(740) 369-0857

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alice Petersen \(alice.petersen@utoledo.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:alice.petersen@utoledo.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:45:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alice Petersen
4302 Foxglove Rd
Toledo, OH 43623
alice.petersen@utoledo.edu
(419) 475-6177

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bradley Coy \(bcoy.48@gmail.com\)](mailto:bcoy.48@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:45:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bradley Coy
3906 Saddlehorn Dr
Columbus, OH 43221
bcoy.48@gmail.com
(419) 345-0319

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lorna Hurd \(lornaherb@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:lornaherb@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:46:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lorna Hurd
552 Deerwood Dr
Tallmadge, OH 44278
lornaherb@sbcglobal.net
(330) 633-2945

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Melcer \(dmel@sprintmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dmel@sprintmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:46:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Melcer
8596 Swisher Creek Xing
New Albany, OH 43054
dmel@sprintmail.com
(614) 656-7128

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ken Schory \(ken@kenschory.com\)](mailto:ken@kenschory.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:47:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ken Schory
44 Royal Birkdale
Springboro, OH 45066
ken@kenschory.com
(937) 748-4874

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Harold Dodge \(gold2lead@aol.com\)](mailto:gold2lead@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:47:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Harold Dodge
906 Laird Ave NE
Warren, OH 44483
gold2lead@aol.com
(330) 399-4591

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joe Narkin \(joenarkin3@gmail.com\)](mailto:joenarkin3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:47:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joe Narkin
17311 Westdale Ave
Cleveland, OH 44135
joenarkin3@gmail.com
(216) 671-1041

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Conway \(conderc@me.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:conderc@me.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:47:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Conway
1077 Abbieshire Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
conderc@me.com
(216) 228-3539

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dan Struble \(dhstruble.l@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dhstruble.l@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:48:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dan Struble
512 E Weber Rd
Columbus, OH 43202
dhstruble.l@gmail.com
(614) 268-0951

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Denise Brown \(dfbrown@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:dfbrown@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:49:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Denise Brown
13210 Arlington Rd
Norwalk, OH 44857
dfbrown@roadrunner.com
(419) 499-3122

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephen Giampapa \(houseofplants@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:Stephen.Giampapa@houseofplants@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:53:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephen Giampapa
400 Vaughn Trl
Coventry Township, OH 44319
houseofplants@sbcglobal.net
(330) 644-6563

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard travis Lennox \(traverse228@aim.com\)](mailto:traverse228@aim.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:53:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard travis Lennox
1545 Cohasset Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
traverse228@aim.com
(440) 476-7138

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kenneth Easter \(kenneth.easter1208@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kenneth.easter1208@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:55:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Easter
1112 Williams Rd # 22
Piketon, OH 45661
kenneth.easter1208@gmail.com
(740) 785-0253

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Dingler \(dianedingler1@gmail.com\)](mailto:dianedingler1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:56:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Dingler
807 Southridge Dr
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
dianedingler1@gmail.com
(740) 393-1285

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karen Dahn \(dahn@ohio.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dahn@ohio.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 7:58:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karen Dahn
158 N Congress St
Athens, OH 45701
dahn@ohio.edu
(740) 593-1412

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Glenn Greff \(ggg100@hotmail.com\)](mailto:ggg100@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:01:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Glenn Greff
8347 Ash Ridge Ln
Findlay, OH 45840
ggg100@hotmail.com
(419) 421-4517

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carolyn Schwier \(carolynsch6@yahoo.com\)](mailto:Carolyn.Schwier(carolynsch6@yahoo.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:01:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Schwier
448 Ada St
Cincinnati, OH 45219
carolynsch6@yahoo.com
(513) 218-6723

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Cannon \(mecannon343@att.net\)](mailto:mecannon343@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:03:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Cannon
343 Southwood Ave
Columbus, OH 43207
mecannon343@att.net
(614) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Lowe \(lowe15@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lowe15@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:03:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Lowe
612 Sycamore Mill Dr
Columbus, OH 43230
lowe15@yahoo.com
(614) 471-5588

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Denis Vanek \(denis_vanek@msn.com\)](mailto:denis_vanek@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:04:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Denis Vanek
4989 Willow Brook Dr
Cleveland, OH 44125
denis_vanek@msn.com
(216) 341-7748

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dennis Meaney \(dmeaney@ibew38.org\)](mailto:dmeaney@ibew38.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:06:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dennis Meaney
79 E 202nd St
Euclid, OH 44123
dmeaney@ibew38.org
(216) 621-3090

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janice Farmer \(whiskersandpaws05@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:whiskersandpaws05@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:07:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janice Farmer
5749 Yarmouth Ave
Toledo, OH 43623
whiskersandpaws05@sbcglobal.net
(419) 474-6109

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carl Kroskey \(carlkroskey@gmail.com\)](mailto:carlkroskey@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:07:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carl Kroskey
2438 Spring Cress Ave
Grove City, OH 43123
carlkroskey@gmail.com
(614) 370-5211

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara Olson \(bl.olson@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:bl.olson@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:10:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara Olson
159 Xenia Ct
Monroe, OH 45050
bl.olson@sbcglobal.net
(513) 539-2524

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marlene Harmon \(jcharmon1687@gmail.com\)](mailto:jcharmon1687@gmail.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:13:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marlene Harmon
1687 Lyndhurst Rd
Cleveland, OH 44124
jcharmon1687@gmail.com
(440) 449-9045

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ted Kreit \(tedkreit@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:tedkreit@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:13:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ted Kreit
5050 Som Center Rd Apt 211-2
Willoughby, OH 44094
tedkreit@sbcglobal.net
(440) 975-3318

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elisabeth Contadino \(econtadino@gmail.com\)](mailto:econtadino@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:14:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Contadino
5643 Glenview Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45224
econtadino@gmail.com
(513) 470-5210

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandra Bloom \(rollettc@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rollettc@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:19:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandra Bloom
95 Central Ave
Westerville, OH 43081
rollettc@gmail.com
(614) 794-9645

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jan Kaminsky \(kaminsky1@live.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Jan_Kaminsky_(kaminsky1@live.com)_Sent_You_a_Personal_Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:19:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jan Kaminsky
792 Hanover Rd
Gates Mills, OH 44040
kaminsky1@live.com
(440) 565-7074

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Ricketts \(meanmaryj@yahoo.com\)](mailto:meanmaryj@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:21:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Ricketts
1736 N Fountain Blvd
Springfield, OH 45504
meanmaryj@yahoo.com
(999) 999-9999

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ellen Harrington \(ellen.f.harrington@gmail.com\)](mailto:ellen.f.harrington@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:21:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ellen Harrington
96 Dick Ave
Akron, OH 44302
ellen.f.harrington@gmail.com
(857) 719-2271

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara Dailey \(barbara008@twc.com\)](mailto:barbara008@twc.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:23:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara Dailey
15304 Forest Park Dr
Strongsville, OH 44136
barbara008@twc.com
(440) 238-0649

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rosamond Smith \(rosamondj574@gmail.com\)](mailto:rosamondj574@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:27:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rosamond Smith
574 schiller ave
Akron, OH 44310
rosamondj574@gmail.com
(330) 310-8842

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Rieser \(s.rieser@icloud.com\)](mailto:s.rieser@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:29:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Rieser
249 Rocky Fork Dr S
Columbus, OH 43230
s.rieser@icloud.com
(614) 989-5356

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tammi Priggins \(tam-01@att.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tam-01@att.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:30:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tammi Priggins
330 E 308th St
Willowick, OH 44095
tam-01@att.net
(440) 221-5160

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nan Sersig \(sersign2510@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sersign2510@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:31:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nan Sersig
6601 Congress Rd
West Salem, OH 44287
sersign2510@gmail.com
(330) 264-0405

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Aileen Curfman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:31:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Aileen Curfman
1067 Comstock Dr
Shepherdstown, WV 25443
3044335321

From: [Marian Nejedlik \(nejed4@aol.com\)](mailto:nejed4@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:31:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marian Nejedlik
19578 Drake Rd
Strongsville, OH 44149
nejed4@aol.com
(440) 846-9512

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Obringer \(dianeobr@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:dianeobr@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:33:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Obringer
36500 Euclid Ave Apt A653
Willoughby, OH 44094
dianeobr@sbcglobal.net
(440) 942-1882

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Danielle Blazer \(blazerdanielle@yahoo.com\)](mailto:blazerdanielle@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:33:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Danielle Blazer
101 S McKenzie St
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
blazerdanielle@yahoo.com
(740) 507-3397

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Monika Royal-Fischer \(redkatblonde@yahoo.com\)](mailto:Monika.Royal-Fischer(redkatblonde@yahoo.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:34:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Monika Royal-Fischer
2459 Coveyrun South Cincinnati OH 45230 United States
Cincinnati, OH 45230
redkatblonde@yahoo.com
(513) 241-1010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joyce Mcconaghy \(akawitta@copper.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Joyce Mcconaghy (akawitta@copper.net) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:35:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joyce Mcconaghy
1388 E Rich St
Columbus, OH 43205
akawitta@copper.net
(614) 258-3455

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Penny Gregorich \(pendg1968@aol.com\)](mailto:pendg1968@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:41:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Penny Gregorich
5961 Treven Way
Westerville, OH 43081
pendg1968@aol.com
(740) 973-8749

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joseph Mucci \(jhmuc@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jhmuc@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:47:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joseph Mucci
8980 Swinging Gate Dr
Dayton, OH 45424
jhmuc@yahoo.com
(937) 667-5352

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Spafford \(michaelspafford677@gmail.com\)](mailto:michaelspafford677@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:48:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Spafford
1708 W Wayne St
Lima, OH 45805
michaelspafford677@gmail.com
(419) 371-5309

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gemma Marschke \(gemmasue1116@gmail.com\)](mailto:gemmasue1116@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:50:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gemma Marschke
7173 State Route 100
Sycamore, OH 44882
gemmasue1116@gmail.com
(567) 232-0304

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Juyne Triplett \(juyne55@me.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:juyne55@me.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:50:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Juyne Triplett
245 Saddler Rd
Bay Village, OH 44140
juyne55@me.com
(440) 871-4763

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Wiesner \(jameswiesner@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jameswiesner@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:52:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Wiesner
5185 Flatfoot Rd
Cable, OH 43009
jameswiesner@yahoo.com
(937) 653-4474

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sylvia Roth \(mcginnis1b@fuse.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mcginnis1b@fuse.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:52:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Roth
3160 Boudinot Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45211
mcginnis1b@fuse.net
(513) 661-2084

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Merrie Largmann \(newcamera50@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:newcamera50@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:53:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Merrie Largmann
425 Emory St
Gahanna, OH 43230
newcamera50@aol.com
(614) 327-4672

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christine Moore \(ccmoore01@gmail.com\)](mailto:ccmoore01@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:57:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christine Moore
917 Avon Dr
Cambridge, OH 43725
ccmoore01@gmail.com
(740) 421-4663

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Earl Grove \(egrove06@gmail.com\)](mailto:egrove06@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 8:58:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Earl Grove
128 3rd St W
East Canton, OH 44730
egrove06@gmail.com
(330) 312-2311

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski \(clannadrocks@aol.com\)](mailto:clannadrocks@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:03:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski
229 Majestic Dr # I
Toledo, OH 43608
clannadrocks@aol.com
(419) 214-2880

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nannette Wahrer \(nanwahrer@hotmail.com\)](mailto:nanwahrer@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:05:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nannette Wahrer
16920 Freyburg East Rd
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
nanwahrer@hotmail.com
(567) 644-6348

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michelle Yurick \(magsbluechic@aol.com\)](mailto:magsbluechic@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:10:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michelle Yurick
11768 Valley View Rd
Sagamore Hills, OH 44067
magsbluechic@aol.com
(440) 845-4751

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ruth Ackermann \(rmackermann@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rmackermann@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:11:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ruth Ackermann
4901 W Streetsboro Rd
Richfield, OH 44286
rmackermann@hotmail.com
(330) 285-6999

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Ciprian \(jciprian@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:jciprian@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:12:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Ciprian
3915 Timberline Dr
Beavercreek, OH 45432
jciprian@sbcglobal.net
(937) 426-7749

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Catherine Clemmens \(lazycrazydaisy@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:Catherine.Clemmens@lazycrazydaisy@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:16:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Catherine Clemmens
1304 Hathaway Rd
Oakwood, OH 45419
lazycrazydaisy@sbcglobal.net
(937) 298-7725

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Renee Mattson \(mattsonrc@tiffin.edu\)](mailto:mattsonrc@tiffin.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:19:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Renee Mattson
317 Mattson Dr
Ashtabula, OH 44004
mattsonrc@tiffin.edu
(440) 992-8830

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Debra Ticktin \(ticktinlaw@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Debra Ticktin (ticktinlaw@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:20:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Debra Ticktin
2570 Buckhurst Dr
Beachwood, OH 44122
ticktinlaw@yahoo.com
(216) 218-5768

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Andrea Stewart \(mooniestew1979@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mooniestew1979@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:23:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Andrea Stewart
8868 Dunham Rd
Litchfield, OH 44253
mooniestew1979@yahoo.com
(330) 722-4628

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tammy Hawkins \(tammymay1985@gmail.com\)](mailto:tammymay1985@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:24:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tammy Hawkins
11015 5th St
Clarksburg, OH 43115
tammymay1985@gmail.com
(740) 637-8348

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Fernando Robles \(fernando_robles1998@hotmail.com\)](mailto:fernando_robles1998@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:25:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Fernando Robles
216 E Main St
Union City, OH 45390
fernando_robles1998@hotmail.com
(515) 555-4599

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [K.K. R-Shaw \(fkrms@fuse.net\)](mailto:K.K.R-Shaw@fuse.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:31:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

K.K. R-Shaw
8931 Appleknoll Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45236
fkrms@fuse.net
(513) 731-3700

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Chico Converse \(chicoconverse@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:chicoconverse@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:32:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Chico Converse
2816 Observatory Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45208
chicoconverse@gmail.com
(513) 321-7352

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gregory Horton \(gregory.horton@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gregory.horton@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:34:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gregory Horton
17426 Woodford Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
gregory.horton@yahoo.com
(216) 221-4286

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Krista Feairheller \(kfeairheller@woh.rr.com\)](mailto:kfeairheller@woh.rr.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:35:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Krista Feairheller
2000 Hamlet Dr
Kettering, OH 45440
kfeairheller@woh.rr.com
(937) 299-3597

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beth Workman \(baworkman@roadrunner.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:baworkman@roadrunner.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:37:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beth Workman
17 Robin Ct
Chillicothe, OH 45601
baworkman@roadrunner.com
(740) 772-6172

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laurel Gress \(laurelgress@neo.rr.com\)](mailto:laurelgress@neo.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:43:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laurel Gress
3211 Rohrer Rd
Wadsworth, OH 44281
laurelgress@neo.rr.com
(330) 336-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laura Colston \(laura.colston@gmail.com\)](mailto:laura.colston@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:44:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laura Colston
603 Wooster Pike
Terrace Park, OH 45174
laura.colston@gmail.com
(513) 685-0345

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tim Meier \(timeier88@gmail.com\)](mailto:timeier88@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:47:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tim Meier
4033 Cherry St
Cincinnati, OH 45223
timeier88@gmail.com
(513) 315-6752

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sheilah Richter \(shan1@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:shan1@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:47:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sheilah Richter
855 Stahlheber Rd
Hamilton, OH 45013
shan1@zoomtown.com
(513) 856-8107

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kyle Lukas \(dsw31@zips.uakron.edu\)](mailto:dsw31@zips.uakron.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:50:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kyle Lukas
1724 Sackett Ave
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44223
dsw31@zips.uakron.edu
(330) 357-2135

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Maggie Topalian \(mtopalian.7@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mtopalian.7@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:00:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Maggie Topalian
12367 Taylor Wells Rd
Chardon, OH 44024
mtopalian.7@gmail.com
(440) 591-0133

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alyssa Schneider \(alphaaasesq@gmail.com\)](mailto:alphaaasesq@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:01:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Schneider
31757 Walker Rd
Avon Lake, OH 44012
alphaaasesq@gmail.com
(440) 933-2870

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Creighton Freeman \(c_t_freeman@hotmail.com\)](mailto:c_t_freeman@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:01:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Creighton Freeman
70 Woodland Dr
Powell, OH 43065
c_t_freeman@hotmail.com
(614) 307-0157

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Derek West \(westdr31@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:westdr31@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:02:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Everyone is pleased that Lake Erie is getting cleaner. The Ohio River is just, if not more, important which is why I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO).

I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Derek West
7399 Salida Rd
Mentor on the Lake, OH 44060
westdr31@gmail.com
(440) 290-8610

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lauren Wade \(lwade5309@gmail.com\)](mailto:lwade5309@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:02:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lauren Wade
210 McPherson Ave
Ironton, OH 45638
lwade5309@gmail.com
(740) 646-9558

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kirsten Edwards \(riversandland@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:riversandland@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:02:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Edwards
6117 Riverside Dr
Powell, OH 43065
riversandland@gmail.com
(575) 779-1717

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Daniel Kozminski \(dankoz29@gmail.com\)](mailto:dankoz29@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:02:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Daniel Kozminski
32625 Stony Brook Ln
Solon, OH 44139
dankoz29@gmail.com
(440) 498-1111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Trout \(dt trout@woh.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dt trout@woh.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:04:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Trout
10408 Stream Park Ct
Dayton, OH 45458
dt trout@woh.rr.com
(937) 885-7552

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Fails \(jb-dsfails@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:jb-dsfails@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:07:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Fails
1180 Buchman Rd
Fremont, OH 43420
jb-dsfails@sbcglobal.net
(419) 334-3309

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Phyllis Bohannon \(pbohan1063@aol.com\)](mailto:pbohan1063@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:07:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Bohannon
3111 S Limestone St
Springfield, OH 45505
pbohan1063@aol.com
(937) 626-4758

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ann Pelzer \(pelzers1975@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pelzers1975@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:13:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ann Pelzer
18382 Meadow Ln
Strongsville, OH 44136
pelzers1975@gmail.com
(440) 572-9253

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nick and Paloma Grasso \(nick@nickgrasso.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:nick@nickgrasso.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:13:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nick and Paloma Grasso
3776 Red Oak Rd
Rock Creek, OH 44084
nick@nickgrasso.com
(440) 466-4138

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ann Randall \(randlut@aol.com\)](mailto:randlut@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:22:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ann Randall
3779 Merrymound Rd
South Euclid, OH 44121
randlut@aol.com
(216) 571-7924

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Chelsea Kramek \(odibby13@gmail.com\)](mailto:odibby13@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:25:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Kramek
2027 Henry Ave SW
Canton, OH 44706
odibby13@gmail.com
(330) 354-5487

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lenore Fishman-Cubbedge \(lefishman1@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Lenore.Fishman-Cubbedge@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:30:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lenore Fishman-Cubbedge
3933 Dawley Rd
Ravenna, OH 44266
lefishman1@aol.com
(330) 296-6650

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eileen Andric \(ikemeister88@aol.com\)](mailto:ikemeister88@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:31:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eileen Andric
38581 Adams Rd
Lisbon, OH 44432
ikemeister88@aol.com
(330) 356-2995

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nikki Bango \(nikkib5275@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:nikkib5275@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:31:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nikki Bango
2374 Glenmawr Ave Apt A
Columbus, OH 43202
nikkib5275@sbcglobal.net
(614) 222-2222

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karen Kindel \(k.kindel@att.net\)](mailto:k.kindel@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:31:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karen Kindel
5921 Freitag St NW
Canton, OH 44718
k.kindel@att.net
(330) 244-9897

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marjorie Deane \(marjorie.w.deane@prodigy.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:marjorie.w.deane@prodigy.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:39:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Deane
824 W North St
Piqua, OH 45356
marjorie.w.deane@prodigy.net
(937) 778-8691

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laura Lewis \(dddllewis@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dddllewis@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:40:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laura Lewis
6575 Lyceum Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45230
dddllewis@gmail.com
(513) 673-2892

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kitty Gamble \(for.keever2121@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 10:50:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kitty Gamble
2121 Keever Rd
Lebanon, OH 45036
for.keever2121@gmail.com
(513) 934-0386

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Rivers-Payne \(riverspayne@gmail.com\)](mailto:riverspayne@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:02:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Rivers-Payne
5300 Hamilton Ave Unit 300
Cincinnati, OH 45224
riverspayne@gmail.com
(513) 505-9568

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cheril Nice \(cheri6728@att.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cheri6728@att.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:02:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cheril Nice
3283 Klages Blvd
Tallmadge, OH 44278
cheri6728@att.net
(330) 633-1465

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeanne Boeke \(jmboeke@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jmboeke@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:02:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Boeke
2265 Avalon Ave
Kettering, OH 45409
jmboeke@yahoo.com
(937) 299-4102

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nola Miller-Brasure \(nolaelainemiller@gmail.com\)](mailto:nolaelainemiller@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:02:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nola Miller-Brasure
24115 Vincent Dr
North Olmsted, OH 44070
nolaelainemiller@gmail.com
(330) 546-2428

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jan Birdsey \(jebirdsey@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jebirdsey@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:02:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jan Birdsey
5621 Eaglesridge Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45230
jebirdsey@hotmail.com
(513) 386-8833

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Seager \(michael_seager@att.net\)](mailto:michael_seager@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:02:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Seager
8253 Westmoor Rd
Mentor, OH 44060
michael_seager@att.net
(440) 392-7239

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dave Baker \(wdbak@windstream.net\)](mailto:wdbak@windstream.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:02:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dave Baker
2031 Blake Rd
Coolville, OH 45723
wdbak@windstream.net
(740) 590-5997

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Paul Brinkdopke \(pbrink@fuse.net\)](mailto:pbrink@fuse.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:04:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Paul Brinkdopke
8010 Plainfield Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45236
pbrink@fuse.net
(513) 794-0242

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karen Koral \(kmkkmk1815@hotmail.com\)](mailto:kmkkmk1815@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:06:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karen Koral
15997 Lakeview Ter
Middleburg Heights, OH 44130
kmkkmk1815@hotmail.com
(440) 239-0780

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Monique Hahn \(moniquehahn@yahoo.com\)](mailto:moniquehahn@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:10:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Monique Hahn
6759 Wilson Mills Rd
Gates Mills, OH 44040
moniquehahn@yahoo.com
(626) 676-1388

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Scott Brown \(scottswb2@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:scottswb2@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:11:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Scott Brown
4684 Parkwick Dr
Columbus, OH 43228
scottswb2@yahoo.com
(614) 274-5146

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lynette Lowe \(lynmariel@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lynmariel@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:12:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lynette Lowe
2604 Melrose Ave # 1
Cincinnati, OH 45206
lynmariel@gmail.com
(513) 384-8681

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Loretta Bolton \(iwalh1@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:iwalh1@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:13:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Loretta Bolton
2868 Crane Schoolhouse Rd
Bethel, OH 45106
iwalh1@yahoo.com
(513) 734-0000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marjorie Knodle \(msknodle@gmail.com\)](mailto:msknodle@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:40:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Knodle
6060 Yosemite Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45237
msknodle@gmail.com
(513) 873-3306

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lori Frueauf \(lfrueauf@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lfrueauf@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:46:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lori Frueauf
533 Canterbury Dr
Kettering, OH 45429
lfrueauf@gmail.com
(937) 297-0870

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Margot Anthonisen \(mlandm2@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mlandm2@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:52:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am begging you to consider all the ramifications of removing the current pollution control standards. I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Margot Anthonisen
30850 Cannon Rd
Solon, OH 44139
mlandm2@yahoo.com
(440) 773-7895

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Anderson \(turquoisewood@yahoo.com\)](mailto:turquoisewood@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:14:11 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Anderson
8025 Sulphur Spring Rd SE
Corning, OH 43730
turquoisewood@yahoo.com
(740) 394-2095

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Heidi Brooks \(heidib456@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:heidib456@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:17:31 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Heidi Brooks
6744 Refugee Rd SW
Pataskala, OH 43062
heidib456@gmail.com
(614) 264-0026

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William Lingle \(barebutt2@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:barebutt2@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:31:34 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William Lingle
113 Euclid Ave
Greenville, OH 45331
barebutt2@yahoo.com
(937) 467-8175

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Paskert \(kmp5100@aol.com\)](mailto:kmp5100@aol.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:33:44 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Paskert
27380 Cook Rd Apt 51
Olmsted Twp, OH 44138
kmp5100@aol.com
(440) 427-5325

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Erskine \(erskinevp1@aol.com\)](mailto:erskinevp1@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:38:15 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Erskine
11364 Wyndham Dr
Whitehouse, OH 43571
erskinevp1@aol.com
(419) 877-4899

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lori Stoner \(stonerbunny1960@yahoo.com\)](mailto:stonerbunny1960@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:55:08 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lori Stoner
19647 County Road A
Bryan, OH 43506
stonerbunny1960@yahoo.com
(419) 799-0545

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Self \(seasidea@yahoo.com\)](mailto:seasidea@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:02:59 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Self
1025 Stuart St NW
Massillon, OH 44646
seasidea@yahoo.com
(330) 833-9425

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elza Corrill \(correlis@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Elza_Corrill_(correlis@yahoo.com)_Sent_You_a_Personal_Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:05:00 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elza Corrill
4558 Clearview Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45205
correlis@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Hoyt Hoeffler \(robhoeffler@wowway.com\)](mailto:robhoeffler@wowway.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:13:11 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Hoyt Hoeffler
4649 W 227th St
Fairview Park, OH 44126
robhoeffler@wowway.com
(440) 779-4315

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Davis \(ed0304954@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ed0304954@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:14:21 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Davis
10125 Valleyview Dr
Columbia Station, OH 44028
ed0304954@gmail.com
(216) 396-4672

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Charles Calabrese \(calaarts@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:calaarts@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:53:18 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Charles Calabrese
139 Gumps Ln
Wintersville, OH 43953
calaarts@sbcglobal.net
(740) 264-4465

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Chris Monti \(chris_monti@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:chris_monti@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:54:28 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Chris Monti
34970 Northview Cir
North Ridgeville, OH 44039
chris_monti@hotmail.com
(440) 925-5557

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathryn Hess \(kathirose1234@icloud.com\)](mailto:kathirose1234@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:10:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Hess
77 S Westgate Ave
Columbus, OH 43204
kathirose1234@icloud.com
(614) 272-9791

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mickayla Eblin \(kaylaeb16@gmail.com\)](mailto:kaylaeb16@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:24:42 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mickayla Eblin
384 east 2nd st
Pomeroy, OH 45779
kaylaeb16@gmail.com
(740) 416-8855

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mimi Mcdonald \(mimi.girl@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mimi.girl@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:35:23 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mimi Mcdonald
399 Portage Lakes Dr Apt 210
Coventry Township, OH 44319
mimi.girl@aol.com
(330) 234-5678

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brianna Tomlinson \(btomlin@gatech.edu\)](mailto:btomlin@gatech.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:36:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brianna Tomlinson
4911 Boneta Rd
Medina, OH 44256
btomlin@gatech.edu
(330) 591-0558

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharon Tkacz \(stkacz@kent.edu\)](mailto:stkacz@kent.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:49:24 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sharon Tkacz
14829 Hook Hollow Rd
Novelty, OH 44072
stkacz@kent.edu
(440) 834-3729

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janice Wilfing \(jwilfing12@gmail.com\)](mailto:jwilfing12@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:55:26 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janice Wilfing
1919 Perkins Dr
Springfield, OH 45505
jwilfing12@gmail.com
(937) 324-2234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Lungo \(mark@lungo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mark@lungo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:10:17 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Lungo
55 W Bagley Rd Apt 313
Berea, OH 44017
mark@lungo.com
(440) 816-0324

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Peter Bower \(fvanth@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:fvanth@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:00:05 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Peter Bower
260 E Cook Rd Apt 104
Mansfield, OH 44907
fvanth@gmail.com
(419) 632-5749

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rebecca Marek \(rsmarek@gmail.com\)](mailto:rsmarek@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:17:46 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Marek
617 Scott St
Crestline, OH 44827
rsmarek@gmail.com
(419) 295-1827

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Liliana fiorinl \(lilianaclaudia68@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lilianaclaudia68@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:19:16 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Liliana fiorinl
308 W Main St
alliance, OH 44601
lilianaclaudia68@gmail.com
(330) 896-2376

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sanand Dilip \(sanand125@gmail.com\)](mailto:sanand125@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:20:26 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sanand Dilip
308 W Main St
Alliance, OH 44601
sanand125@gmail.com
(239) 734-8355

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Liliana Fiorini \(lilianaclaudia68@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lilianaclaudia68@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:24:47 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Liliana Fiorini
308 W Main St Apt 2
Alliance, OH 44601
lilianaclaudia68@gmail.com
(330) 913-8496

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Melody Huffman \(mandmhuffman@att.net\)](mailto:mandmhuffman@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 5:05:53 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Melody Huffman
7841 Oak Valley Rd
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068
mandmhuffman@att.net
(614) 864-5017

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kenneth Luke \(kakakenny14@gmail.com\)](mailto:kakakenny14@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 5:33:28 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Luke
2450 Billiton Ct
Columbus, OH 43220
kakakenny14@gmail.com
(614) 326-3468

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Peter Lyman \(peter.lyman@momentive.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:peter.lyman@momentive.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:03:15 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Peter Lyman
12168 Alton Dr
Grafton, OH 44044
peter.lyman@momentive.com
(440) 748-0907

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeannine Dreimiller \(jbdrei@hotmail.com\)](mailto:jbdrei@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:03:54 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeannine Dreimiller
6812 Wakefield Rd
Hiram, OH 44234
jbdrei@hotmail.com
(330) 569-7415

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sonja Rice \(sonjarice@msn.com\)](mailto:sonjarice@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:36:59 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sonja Rice
3065 Essex Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118
sonjarice@msn.com
(216) 789-1612

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jacqueline Weinheimer \(jsuzettew@gmail.com\)](mailto:jsuzettew@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:37:49 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Weinheimer
5067 Fitch Dr
Sheffield Village, OH 44054
jsuzettew@gmail.com
(814) 602-4130

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Francis Smith \(francis.smith@frontier.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:francis.smith@frontier.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:38:49 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Francis Smith
54966 Zep Road West
Pleasant City, OH 43772
francis.smith@frontier.com
(740) 685-5221

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patrice Faulhammer \(peadymail@roadrunner.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:peadymail@roadrunner.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:39:59 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patrice Faulhammer
2411 N Revere Rd
Akron, OH 44333
peadymail@roadrunner.com
(216) 226-7289

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Charles Newcomer \(chuck19631967@gmail.com\)](mailto:chuck19631967@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:51:12 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Charles Newcomer
115 Lantern Ln
Plain City, OH 43064
chuck19631967@gmail.com
(614) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beth Clodfelter \(eaclodfelter@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:eaclodfelter@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:52:12 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

As an Ohio resident who lives 45 minutes from the Ohio River, I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beth Clodfelter
35 S Shannon Ave
Athens, OH 45701
eaclodfelter@gmail.com
(740) 707-4400

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Heather Townsend \(htownse2@kent.edu\)](mailto:htownse2@kent.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:59:33 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Heather Townsend
130 Eaton Ridge Dr Apt 311
Northfield, OH 44067
htownse2@kent.edu
(216) 233-5624

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joyce Mccleery \(joycevmc@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Joyce Mccleery (joycevmc@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:01:34 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joyce Mccleery
365 Bar Harbor Dr
Bay Village, OH 44140
joycevmc@yahoo.com
(440) 865-2394

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathy Witzman \(katwit@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:katwit@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:10:05 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathy Witzman
PO Box 22
Kinsman, OH 44428
katwit@aol.com
(330) 469-3066

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William Tipton \(wtipton3@columbus.rr.com\)](mailto:wtipton3@columbus.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:24:57 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William Tipton
508 Tipton Ln
Stout, OH 45684
wtipton3@columbus.rr.com
(740) 858-2197

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alan Warner \(awar259@earthlink.net\)](mailto:awar259@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:25:58 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alan Warner
104 Catalina Ln
Pataskala, OH 43062
awar259@earthlink.net
(614) 203-9596

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nicole Foster \(nrudolf09@yahoo.com\)](mailto:nrudolf09@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:35:09 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nicole Foster
61 Craig Dr
Tallmadge, OH 44278
nrudolf09@yahoo.com
(330) 608-6983

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Angelica Armstrong \(aarmstrong@oppeace.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:aarmstrong@oppeace.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:41:51 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Angelica Armstrong
2320 Airport Dr
Columbus, OH 43219
aarmstrong@oppeace.org
(614) 416-1044

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John And Pam Brim \(john.brim@brimprocess.com\)](mailto:john.brim@brimprocess.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:43:12 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John And Pam Brim
2529 Burnside Rd
Johnstown, OH 43031
john.brim@brimprocess.com
(614) 571-7817

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cynthia Bishop \(jedi_cindy@hotmail.com\)](mailto:jedi_cindy@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:44:41 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Bishop
15429 Township Highway 44
Wharton, OH 43359
jedi_cindy@hotmail.com
(419) 458-3058

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karla Corzatt \(corzatt20@netzero.com\)](mailto:corzatt20@netzero.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:46:42 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karla Corzatt
10782 State Route 73
New Vienna, OH 45159
corzatt20@netzero.com
(937) 725-0134

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pam Abirached \(pammy822@gmail.com\)](mailto:pammy822@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:49:13 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pam Abirached
3649 Hopper Ridge Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45255
pammy822@gmail.com
(513) 752-1898

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Chris Potensky \(cpotensky@aol.com\)](mailto:cpotensky@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:54:37 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Chris Potensky
6237 Fairhurst Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45213
cpotensky@aol.com
(513) 324-3663

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Gallam \(glenebowers@yahoo.com\)](mailto:glenebowers@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:56:27 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Gallam
34980 Lorain Rd
N Ridgeville, OH 44039
glenebowers@yahoo.com
(440) 327-5778

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandie Myers \(slmyers686@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:slmyers686@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:56:37 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Three weeks ago, Pipe Creek, which runs into the Ohio River just below Shadyside, Ohio has become contaminated with sulfur from coal mines. This stream has never had this problem. We used to use that water for the gardens, minnows for fishing bait and plenty of water birds in the spring. Now that water is not good for anything.

Sincerely,

Sandie Myers
60033 N Koci Rd
Bellaire, OH 43906
slmyers686@gmail.com
(740) 686-2001

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Saunders \(mfpsaunders@gmail.com\)](mailto:mfpsaunders@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:56:58 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Saunders
1874 Park Pl
Fairfield, OH 45014
mfpsaunders@gmail.com
(513) 829-6981

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jim Piascik \(jpiasci1@gmail.com\)](mailto:jpiasci1@gmail.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 7:57:48 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jim Piascik
2850 Northland St
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221
jpiasci1@gmail.com
(330) 564-7838

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Constance Renker \(crenker@wowway.com\)](mailto:crenker@wowway.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:01:39 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Constance Renker
6815 Paula Dr
Cleveland, OH 44130
crenker@wowway.com
(214) 267-5289

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Helen Green \(hmgreen@aol.com\)](mailto:hmgreen@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:03:19 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Helen Green
25 Cardinal Dr
Hiram, OH 44234
hmgreen@aol.com
(440) 834-8710

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard Slocum \(richard.slocum@live.com\)](mailto:richard.slocum@live.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:12:21 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard Slocum
1563 Mill St E
Peninsula, OH 44264
richard.slocum@live.com
(330) 657-2530

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Smith \(quiltsmith@columbus.rr.com\)](mailto:quiltsmith@columbus.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:20:02 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Smith
871 Fairway Dr
Howard, OH 43028
quiltsmith@columbus.rr.com
(740) 451-3649

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ramona Stoner \(ramonalstoner@gmail.com\)](mailto:ramonalstoner@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:24:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ramona Stoner
12400 Township Road 504
Big Prairie, OH 44611
ramonalstoner@gmail.com
(330) 378-3344

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dennis Tenison \(dtenis007@embarqmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dtenis007@embarqmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:25:24 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

With all the pressures on the national level to gut environmental regulations, I expect better from our state and governor.

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dennis Tenison
2010 Graham Rd
Lexington, OH 44904
dtenis007@embarqmail.com
(419) 884-0541

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dana Engell \(dana.j.engell@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dana.j.engell@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:28:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dana Engell
13 Upland Ter
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
dana.j.engell@gmail.com
(413) 221-0927

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laura Weglicki \(laura942@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:laura942@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:29:45 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laura Weglicki
4839 Foxwynde Trl
Richmond Heights, OH 44143
laura942@roadrunner.com
(440) 463-0360

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharon Boggs \(medgrad2004@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Sharon.Boggs@medgrad2004@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:29:55 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sharon Boggs
6259 Bedell Rd
Berlin Center, OH 44401
medgrad2004@hotmail.com
(330) 317-2146

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Virginia Horn \(horn04@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:horn04@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:31:05 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Virginia Horn
2840 Farmington Cir SW
Canton, OH 44706
horn04@sbcglobal.net
(330) 806-1424

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Cohill \(knuckledabster@yahoo.com\)](mailto:Michael.Cohill@knuckledabster@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:31:46 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Cohill
577 Megglen Ave
Akron, OH 44303
knuckledabster@yahoo.com
(339) 396-1670

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William. Davis \(70bdavis@gmail.com\)](mailto:70bdavis@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:32:56 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William. Davis
3592 Poole Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45251
70bdavis@gmail.com
(513) 385-5750

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Paul Reedy \(reedyp@masonohioschools.com\)](mailto:reedyp@masonohioschools.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:35:37 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Paul Reedy
6674 San Mateo Dr
West Chester, OH 45069
reedyp@masonohioschools.com
(513) 288-4841

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Christman \(dgxmn@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dgxmn@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:37:27 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) are critical to the future of this region, much less to the lives of citizens relying on clean water in the Ohio river. I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Christman
5273 Morning Sun Rd.
Oxford, OH 45056
dgxmn@yahoo.com
(513) 523-2930

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bethany Shirley \(beth.j.shirley@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:beth.j.shirley@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:41:18 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bethany Shirley
38 E Welch Ave
Columbus, OH 43207
beth.j.shirley@gmail.com
(805) 990-1994

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Rambo \(asbf4u2002@yahoo.com\)](mailto:asbf4u2002@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:42:38 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Rambo
615 Smallwood Rd
Dayton, OH 45417
asbf4u2002@yahoo.com
(937) 270-4855

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Loftin \(tschannlee@msn.com\)](mailto:tschannlee@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:43:49 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Loftin
357 Boston Pl
Toledo, OH 43610
tschannlee@msn.com
(419) 244-7539

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Al Skrabski \(askrabski@aol.com\)](mailto:askrabski@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:49:00 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Al Skrabski
6566 Edward St
Mentor, OH 44060
askrabski@aol.com
(440) 255-3708

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ann Halpin \(jnahalpin@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:jnahalpin@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:49:20 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ann Halpin
70 S River Rd
Munroe Falls, OH 44262
jnahalpin@sbcglobal.net
(330) 686-1844

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julie Viergutz \(drvjsv@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:drvjsv@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:50:20 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Every living species has the right to clean air and water. No one has the right to pollute.

Sincerely,

Julie Viergutz
873 Gino Ln
Cleveland, OH 44109
drvjsv@sbcglobal.net
(440) 371-5940

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Edwin Kellar \(egkllar@juno.com\)](mailto:egkllar@juno.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:55:22 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Edwin Kellar
3689 Elmira Dr
Dayton, OH 45439
egkllar@juno.com
(937) 299-3888

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bev Thompson \(bevdthompson60@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:bevdthompson60@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:10:35 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bev Thompson
5398 Hillcrest Dr
Oxford, OH 45056
bevdthompson60@gmail.com
(513) 255-3140

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Edward Siegel \(flounder003800@gmail.com\)](mailto:flounder003800@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:13:36 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Edward Siegel
9872 Aldridge Dr
Columbia Station, OH 44028
flounder003800@gmail.com
(440) 821-7224

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert Garrett \(bob7336@hotmail.com\)](mailto:Robert.Garrett(bob7336@hotmail.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:16:36 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robert Garrett
8824 Pembroke St
Maineville, OH 45039
bob7336@hotmail.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beverly DeFord \(bjdeford@yahoo.com\)](mailto:bjdeford@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:24:38 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beverly DeFord
10794 Meadow Trl
Strongsville, OH 44149
bjdeford@yahoo.com
(440) 238-5217

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joan Braun \(jmbraun06@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jmbraun06@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:25:38 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joan Braun
12629 Eastwood Blvd
Garfield Heights, OH 44125
jmbraun06@gmail.com
(216) 475-0904

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephanie Lesicko \(stephanie.lesicko@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:stephanie.lesicko@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:26:08 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Lesicko
9969 Struthers Rd
New Middletown, OH 44442
stephanie.lesicko@yahoo.com
(330) 718-1079

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Annemarie Geffert \(acgeffert@ameritech.net\)](mailto:acgeffert@ameritech.net) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:29:39 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Annemarie Geffert
1405 Tampa Ave
Cleveland, OH 44109
acgeffert@ameritech.net
(216) 661-6316

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Daryl Giuliano \(cellogiuliano@gmail.com\)](mailto:cellogiuliano@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:31:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Daryl Giuliano
1 W Lakeside Ave
Cleveland, OH 44113
cellogiuliano@gmail.com
(216) 443-7000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jessica Jackson \(ross.jessicalynn@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ross.jessicalynn@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River and the Citizens Who Drink From It
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:32:20 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

Water quality affects the health not only of the people drinking the water along the river, but the community at large. Areas lacking water quality often have high incidences of health issues than those with high quality water free of chemicals.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jessica Jackson
4129 Club View Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45209
ross.jessicalynn@gmail.com
(513) 886-2770

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Becky Hursh \(oldgirl1974@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Becky_Hursh_(oldgirl1974@gmail.com)_Sent_You_a_Personal_Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:35:31 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Becky Hursh
4243 Middle Urbana Rd
Urbana, OH 43078
oldgirl1974@gmail.com
(937) 484-3054

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Renee Bogue \(boguerrl@gmail.com\)](mailto:boguerrl@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:39:41 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Renee Bogue
204 Willow Ave NE
Massillon, OH 44646
boguerrl@gmail.com
(330) 833-7753

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jerry Gilden \(jrg@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:jrg@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:45:13 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jerry Gilden
6130 Clough Pike
Cincinnati, OH 45244
jrg@zoomtown.com
(513) 624-7211

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thenice Gall \(tgall@neo.rr.com\)](mailto:tgall@neo.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:47:43 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Thenice Gall
1275 Janet Ave NW
North Canton, OH 44720
tgall@neo.rr.com
(330) 966-5256

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Norden \(nordy@bright.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:nordy@bright.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:49:07 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Norden
22290 County Rd S
Defiance, OH 43512
nordy@bright.net
(419) 267-5164

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Heather Cantino \(heather.cantino@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:heather.cantino@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:54:15 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained. Drinking water authorities and utilities are concerned as well. They understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Heather Cantino
33 Cable Ln
Athens, OH 45701
heather.cantino@gmail.com
(740) 594-3338

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kris Dankworth \(kadankworth@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kadankworth@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:54:45 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kris Dankworth
1564 Stockton Ave
Dayton, OH 45409
kadankworth@hotmail.com
(937) 526-9281

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rita Whitley \(rfwhitley@yahoo.com\)](mailto:rfwhitley@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:59:16 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rita Whitley
2660 Santa Rosa Dr
Dayton, OH 45440
rfwhitley@yahoo.com
(937) 299-8447

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carole Gray \(caroleagray@gmail.com\)](mailto:caroleagray@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:59:47 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carole Gray
2590 Swansea Rd
Columbus, OH 43221
caroleagray@gmail.com
(614) 634-8889

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [June Nelson \(jknelson@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:jknelson@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:59:47 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

June Nelson
3735 Kehr Rd
Oxford, OH 45056
jknelson@cinci.rr.com
(513) 255-9036

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Williamson \(jew38@zips.uakron.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jew38@zips.uakron.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:05:07 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Williamson
917 Stanwell Dr
Cleveland, OH 44143
jew38@zips.uakron.edu
(330) 984-2188

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Pace \(lisa39@uakron.edu\)](mailto:lisa39@uakron.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:07:18 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Pace
2067 Higby Dr Apt B
Stow, OH 44224
lisa39@uakron.edu
(330) 688-8945

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cassie Patterson \(patterson.493@osu.edu\)](mailto:patterson.493@osu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:07:28 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cassie Patterson
3075 Sunset Dr Apt C
Columbus, OH 43202
patterson.493@osu.edu
(909) 379-8228

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carole Helmkamp \(roseriah@yahoo.com\)](mailto:roseriah@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:09:39 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carole Helmkamp
5900 Davis Rd
Whitehouse, OH 43571
roseriah@yahoo.com
(419) 205-2273

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeffrey Eads \(jefshirl2@aol.com\)](mailto:jefshirl2@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:17:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Eads
24 Damon Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45218
jefshirl2@aol.com
(513) 742-8963

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Helen Black \(auto81827@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:auto81827@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:18:41 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Helen Black
124 Cornell Ave
Elyria, OH 44035
auto81827@gmail.com
(440) 453-0920

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karen Renaudin \(krenaudin@earthlink.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:krenaudin@earthlink.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:18:42 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karen Renaudin
1351 Brockley Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
krenaudin@earthlink.net
(917) 543-7162

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Aaron Almashy \(adouble55@hotmail.com\)](mailto:adouble55@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:22:02 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Aaron Almashy
3408 Manor Hill Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45220
adouble55@hotmail.com
(513) 861-5587

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ruth Prochaska \(ruthpro@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:ruthpro@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:30:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ruth Prochaska
4731 Sunny Ln
Brooklyn, OH 44144
ruthpro@roadrunner.com
(216) 651-5652

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Kastner \(bkastner@rescuemhs.com\)](mailto:bkastner@rescuemhs.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:30:56 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Kastner
5927 Suzanne Dr
Toledo, OH 43612
bkastner@rescuemhs.com
(419) 255-3125

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Noreen And Jeff Print \(jeffnbeanie@wowway.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jeffnbeanie@wowway.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:36:57 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Noreen And Jeff Print
5872 Lakeview Dr
Hilliard, OH 43026
jeffnbeanie@wowway.com
(614) 219-2158

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Werner Lange \(wlange912@gmail.com\)](mailto:wlange912@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:38:07 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Werner Lange
510 Superior St.
Newton Falls, OH 44444
wlange912@gmail.com
(216) 533-7568

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Melanie Kutnick \(kutnickm@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kutnickm@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:38:17 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Melanie Kutnick
427 Dunbar Ln
Highland Heights, OH 44143
kutnickm@gmail.com
(440) 771-4117

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sabrina Claire \(srenkar@capital.edu\)](mailto:srenkar@capital.edu) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:46:29 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Claire
5960 Graessle Rd
London, OH 43140
srenkar@capital.edu
(614) 406-6615

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gary Williams \(gwilliams135@yahoo.com\)](mailto:gwilliams135@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:49:09 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gary Williams
135 Orchid Dr
Holland, OH 43528
gwilliams135@yahoo.com
(419) 865-5660

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Andrew Kistler \(andrew_kistler@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:andrew_kistler@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:50:50 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Andrew Kistler
25260 Chase Dr
North Olmsted, OH 44070
andrew_kistler@yahoo.com
(440) 979-0893

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gail Schnell \(gail.schnell@yahoo.com\)](mailto:gail.schnell@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:55:42 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gail Schnell
2052 Hillcrest Ave
Cleveland, OH 44109
gail.schnell@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Roger Bau \(rogerbau45@live.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rogerbau45@live.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:01:03 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Roger Bau
9636 Lakeshore Dr E
Huntsville, OH 43324
rogerbau45@live.com
(937) 842-4902

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Steven Beyer \(tra1nnut@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tra1nnut@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:04:53 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Steven Beyer
7214 Bellowind Ct
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068
tra1nnut@aol.com
(614) 864-7464

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lori Runyon \(lbrunyon@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lbrunyon@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:05:44 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lori Runyon
4031 Highland Ave
Shadyside, OH 43947
lbrunyon@aol.com
(740) 676-2944

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Dutko \(dutko409@yahoo.com\)](mailto:dutko409@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:06:54 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Dutko
409 S Edgehill Ave
Youngstown, OH 44515
dutko409@yahoo.com
(330) 797-1629

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anita Kiefer \(bo.kiefer67@gmail.com\)](mailto:bo.kiefer67@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:13:05 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anita Kiefer
836 N Circle Dr
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
bo.kiefer67@gmail.com
(419) 296-3342

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [brian bennett \(plymouth@bright.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:brian.bennett@bright.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:14:36 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

brian bennett
16367 nottingham drive
wapakoneta, OH 45895
plymouth@bright.net
(419) 738-5488

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lawrence Cooper \(mj22lm@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mj22lm@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:15:59 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Cooper
2775 Woodstock Rd
Columbus, OH 43221
mj22lm@gmail.com
(614) 459-8635

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Maury Falkoff \(maury.falkoff@gmail.com\)](mailto:maury.falkoff@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:16:00 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Maury Falkoff
1008 Blue Sail Dr
Westerville, OH 43081
maury.falkoff@gmail.com
(614) 895-7639

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kevin Grady \(kevingrady16@yahoo.com\)](mailto:kevingrady16@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:18:10 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kevin Grady
33460 Cherry St
Avon, OH 44011
kevingrady16@yahoo.com
(440) 822-1933

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert Kalessis \(valordracul@yahoo.com\)](mailto:valordracul@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:18:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robert Kalessis
1565 Terrawenda Drive
Defiance, OH 43512
valordracul@yahoo.com
(419) 438-1456

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thomas Cope \(chimpanzo@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Thomas.Cope_(chimpanzo@aol.com)_Sent_You_a_Personal_Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:19:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Thomas Cope
300 Canterbury Ln
Medina, OH 44256
chimpanzo@aol.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Walling \(walling_m_i@yahoo.com\)](mailto:walling_m_i@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:26:12 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Walling
4357 Devonshire Dr
Youngstown, OH 44512
walling_m_i@yahoo.com
(330) 774-0823

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thomas Hochman \(hochmology@hotmail.com\)](mailto:hochmology@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:28:33 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Thomas Hochman
15615 Norway Ave
Cleveland, OH 44111
hochmology@hotmail.com
(216) 587-2567

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathryn Petonke \(kathrynpetonke@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kathrynpetonke@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:29:13 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Petonke
2601 Rhapsody Dr
Dayton, OH 45449
kathrynpetonke@gmail.com
(937) 438-9169

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pete Sinewe \(psinewe@columbus.rr.com\)](mailto:psinewe@columbus.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:30:46 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pete Sinewe
406 Crestview Dr NE
Lancaster, OH 43130
psinewe@columbus.rr.com
(740) 653-6252

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cal Cole \(galixy67@earthlink.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:galixy67@earthlink.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:38:35 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Save the planet. !!!!

Sincerely,

Cal Cole
7241 Citrus Rd NW
Waynesburg, OH 44688
galixy67@earthlink.net
(330) 866-5570

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert Kyle \(rkyle@wideopenwest.com\)](mailto:rkyle@wideopenwest.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:46:46 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robert Kyle
1161 Riva Ridge Blvd
Columbus, OH 43230
rkyle@wideopenwest.com
(614) 855-1600

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Edwin Paul \(epaulhome@email.com\)](mailto:epaulhome@email.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:50:11 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Edwin Paul
4228 Brandonmore Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45255
epaulhome@email.com
(513) 497-9343

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pamela Tate \(pamelamdt@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pamelamdt@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:53:08 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pamela Tate
1816 Stewart Ave
Cambridge, OH 43725
pamelamdt@hotmail.com
(740) 439-7525

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Andrews \(blrlandrews@gmail.com\)](mailto:blrlandrews@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:55:00 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Andrews
674 Ashbrook Dr
Wadsworth, OH 44281
blrlandrews@gmail.com
(330) 334-4184

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christine Rowan \(mcdesigns44@yahoo.com\)](mailto:mcdesigns44@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:57:31 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christine Rowan
5279 Creekside Blvd
Brunswick, OH 44212
mcdesigns44@yahoo.com
(216) 573-7600

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Costello \(mbcostello@hotmail.com\)](mailto:mbcostello@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:59:32 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Costello
24 Northwood Dr
Athens, OH 45701
mbcostello@hotmail.com
(740) 592-3444

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Fran Mentch \(franmentch@yahoo.com\)](mailto:franmentch@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:00:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Fran Mentch
3060 Chelsea Drive
Cleveland, OH 44118
franmentch@yahoo.com
(216) 381-5356

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lizzy Hallett \(halletem@gmail.com\)](mailto:halletem@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:02:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lizzy Hallett
6633 Roe St
Cincinnati, OH 45227
halletem@gmail.com
(419) 705-0087

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert Kyle \(rkyle@wideopenwest.com\)](mailto:rkyle@wideopenwest.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:03:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robert Kyle
1161 Riva Ridge Blvd.
Gahanna, OH 43230
rkyle@wideopenwest.com
(614) 855-1600

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Randal James \(rj62856@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rj62856@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 12:20:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Randal James
108 Eleanor St
Mingo Junction, OH 43938
rj62856@yahoo.com
(740) 314-5407

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J Howard Harding \(hardihox@gmail.com\)](mailto:J.Howard.Harding@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:01:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

J Howard Harding
100 Brookmont Rd Apt 232
Akron, OH 44333
hardihox@gmail.com
(330) 576-3252

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joel Sacco \(joelsacco@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:joelsacco@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:10:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joel Sacco
35092 Cannon Rd
Bentleyville, OH 44022
joelsacco@sbcglobal.net
(215) 772-7530

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cheryl Douglas \(douglascherylm1@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:douglascherylm1@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:13:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Douglas
10713 Dale Ave
Cleveland, OH 44111
douglascherylm1@gmail.com
(216) 536-9436

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jan Davenport \(jandavenport05@aol.com\)](mailto:jandavenport05@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:27:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jan Davenport
10880 Birmingham Ave
Painesville, OH 44077
jandavenport05@aol.com
(440) 537-7688

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [E. Dean Soltesz \(dsltz@yahoo.com\)](mailto:dsltz@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:36:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

E. Dean Soltesz
2809 Zollinger Rd.
Columbus, OH 43221
dsltz@yahoo.com
(614) 285-0263

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lonny Le Coulter \(lcoulter777@gmail.com\)](mailto:lcoulter777@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:51:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lonny Le Coulter
2269 Sibby Ln
Columbus, OH 43235
lcoulter777@gmail.com
(614) 900-6526

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kristi Parson \(doors377@gmail.com\)](mailto:doors377@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 1:57:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kristi Parson
3123 128th St
Toledo, OH 43611
doors377@gmail.com
(419) 764-8797

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharon Gillig \(sgillig96@neo.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sgillig96@neo.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:02:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sharon Gillig
566 Oxford Ave
Akron, OH 44310
sgillig96@neo.rr.com
(330) 376-0764

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephen Owen \(owen@xavier.edu\)](mailto:owen@xavier.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:09:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephen Owen
7096 Sprucewood Ct
West Chester, OH 45241
owen@xavier.edu
(513) 777-7096

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Laskey \(markdlaskey@yahoo.com\)](mailto:markdlaskey@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:09:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Laskey
21871 Eaton Rd
Fairview Park, OH 44126
markdlaskey@yahoo.com
(440) 779-0633

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rebekah Colours \(rebekahcolours@gmail.com\)](mailto:rebekahcolours@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:12:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebekah Colours
2985 N Park Blvd
Cleveland Hts, OH 44118
rebekahcolours@gmail.com
(216) 215-1517

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Gabele \(jgabele@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jgabele@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:29:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Gabele
1651 Grace Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
jgabele@yahoo.com
(216) 226-2431

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jodi Gradolph \(landart1@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:landart1@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:34:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jodi Gradolph
PO Box 164
Wilberforce, OH 45384
landart1@roadrunner.com
(937) 903-6409

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christine Klinger \(cklinger@earthlink.net\)](mailto:cklinger@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:50:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christine Klinger
1860 Hilt Rd
Yellow Springs, OH 45387
cklinger@earthlink.net
(937) 767-2346

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joan Staufer \(jdstauffer@aol.com\)](mailto:jdstauffer@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 2:56:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joan Staufer
31095 Township Road 11
Fresno, OH 43824
jdstauffer@aol.com
(330) 330-3333

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Niles Rosenquist](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO water quality standards
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:08:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed elimination of ORSANCO's water quality standards.

It is simply not true that these rules are unnecessary due to the rules enacted by the individual states:

Some of ORSANCO's standards are more stringent than the state's

ORSANCO covers 122 pollutants, not all of which are covered by some states

ORSANCO does not allow mixing zones for some specific pollutants that are allowed by some states.

ORSANCO provides an important level of consistency to the standards which is valuable both to the environment and to the regulated community.

Most importantly the Ohio River remains a very polluted river and this is not the time to eliminate this long-standing and very important level of protection for the river.

From: [Bryan Waters \(nbwaters@twc.com\)](mailto:nbwaters@twc.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:09:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bryan Waters
345 Storer Ave
Akron, OH 44302
nbwaters@twc.com
(330) 472-9409

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sally Rose \(srose@fuse.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:srose@fuse.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:10:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sally Rose
6920 Parkview Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45224
srose@fuse.net
(513) 242-5432

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Margaret Wright \(mzwright@att.net\)](mailto:mzwright@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:15:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Margaret Wright
162 Brevoort Rd
Columbus, OH 43214
mzwright@att.net
(614) 263-4045

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karen Terlaak \(kterlaak51@gmail.com\)](mailto:kterlaak51@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:31:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karen Terlaak
130 Demi Dune Dr
Chippewa Lake, OH 44215
kterlaak51@gmail.com
(330) 396-1579

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julie Lakas \(workingburners@gmail.com\)](mailto:workingburners@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:39:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julie Lakas
5302 Kenyon Dr
Cleveland, OH 44129
workingburners@gmail.com
(440) 526-2915

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lee Blackburn \(leeblackburn@live.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:leeblackburn@live.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:43:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lee Blackburn
148 Pincott St SW
Pataskala, OH 43062
leeblackburn@live.com
(614) 216-0010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lara Flaute](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment on ORSANCO Ohio River Pollution Control Standards 2018 revision
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:50:20 PM

I am writing to express my dismay at the ORSANCO pollution control standards revision being proposed. The water in the Ohio River has been polluted for decades, and the standards of the agreement under ORSANCO was one step toward reversing this trend and making this vital water source a clean one. Please consider how these revisions will negatively impact the cleanliness of the Ohio River and affect all of the states it runs through. I support environmental monitoring for the sake of the ecosystems which have at their center the Ohio River.

Lara Flaute
2664 E. Livingston Ave
Bexley, OH 43209

From: [Mary Mccullough \(macmisism@icloud.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Mary Mccullough (macmisism@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 3:54:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Mccullough
2540 Albrecht Ave
Akron, OH 44312
macmisism@icloud.com
(330) 808-4173

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dave Gilmore \(dgilmore@mvctc.com\)](mailto:dgilmore@mvctc.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:00:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dave Gilmore
127 Lexington Farm Rd
Union, OH 45322
dgilmore@mvctc.com
(937) 836-2904

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [DEBRA MASSEY NORTON \(debramn51@aol.com\)](mailto:debramn51@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:30:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

DEBRA MASSEY NORTON
186 KENMORE CT
Westerville, OH 43081
debramn51@aol.com
(614) 218-4099

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandra John \(sjohn1@earthlink.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Sandra.John(sjohn1@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:35:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandra John
PO Box 865
Andover, OH 44003
sjohn1@earthlink.net
(440) 293-6432

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marilyn Welker \(mwelker@ctcn.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mwelker@ctcn.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:40:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Welker
3538 W US HWY 36
Urbana, OH 43078
mwelker@ctcn.net
(937) 484-6988

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rita Lethert \(ralethert@aol.com\)](mailto:ralethert@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:44:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rita Lethert
606 Hillcrest Dr
Fairborn, OH 45324
ralethert@aol.com
(937) 879-4183

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Bencivengo \(writes4aliving@gmail.com\)](mailto:writes4aliving@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:51:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

All our nation's water and the OH river are precious. Without clean water there is no good life.

Sincerely,

Mary Bencivengo
4212 Venice Rd #14
Sandusky, OH 44870
writes4aliving@gmail.com
(419) 433-3728

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dean Banziger \(drbanziger@gmail.com\)](mailto:drbanziger@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 5:14:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dean Banziger
111 Pine Dr
Little Hocking, OH 45742
drbanziger@gmail.com
(304) 488-6636

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marie Plante \(emplante@starpower.net\)](mailto:emplante@starpower.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 5:40:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marie Plante
205 Hamlet Hills Dr Apt 73
Chagrin Falls, OH 44022
emplante@starpower.net
(440) 318-1791

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Sipes \(dsipes@bex.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dsipes@bex.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 5:43:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Sipes
8829 Royal Oak Dr
Holland, OH 43528
dsipes@bex.net
(419) 491-0700

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Dion \(pb Dion06@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pb Dion (pb Dion06@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 5:51:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Dion
22865 S Greystone Dr
Strongsville, OH 44149
pb Dion06@yahoo.com
(513) 313-3488

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Athanas \(nancy@athanas.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:nancy@athanas.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 5:56:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Athanas
2728 Goddard Rd
Toledo, OH 43606
nancy@athanas.org
(419) 472-5061

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Terry O'Sullivan \(biosecurityrus@gmail.com\)](mailto:biosecurityrus@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:08:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Terry O'Sullivan
59 Casterton Ave.
Akron, OH 44303
biosecurityrus@gmail.com
(626) 644-2729

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tracy Bishop \(tracybishopbarney@gmail.com\)](mailto:tracybishopbarney@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 6:41:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tracy Bishop
442 Samoht Ridge Road
Cincinnati, OH 45238
tracybishopbarney@gmail.com
(513) 807-4632

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Judith Neubacher \(judith.neubacher@zoomtown.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:judith.neubacher@zoomtown.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:08:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Judith Neubacher
3915 Woodford Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45213
judith.neubacher@zoomtown.com
(513) 791-3690

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Donna Distel \(distel.1@osu.edu\)](mailto:distel.1@osu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:24:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Donna Distel
2208 Arlington Ave
Columbus, OH 43221
distel.1@osu.edu
(614) 292-2594

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Matt Colahan \(kmlennex@yahoo.com\)](mailto:kmlennex@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:29:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Matt Colahan
4595 Tayport Ave
Grove City, OH 43123
kmlennex@yahoo.com
(614) 477-3198

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Burroughs \(burroughspictures@gmail.com\)](mailto:burroughspictures@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:35:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Get serious!! Stop this violent disregard for human rights and health!

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Burroughs
20 Arcola Dr
Ashtabula, OH 44004
burroughspictures@gmail.com
(440) 813-3240

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Rakowski \(jrakowski@bex.net\)](mailto:jrakowski@bex.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 8:52:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Rakowski
871 Sandalwood Rd W
Perrysburg, OH 43551
jrakowski@bex.net
(419) 874-0642

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jill Lewis \(jillianr3@att.net\)](mailto:jillianr3@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:14:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jill Lewis
4720 Arthur Ct
Columbus, OH 43220
jillianr3@att.net
(614) 717-3739

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Querner \(kdqme@yahoo.com\)](mailto:kdqme@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:49:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Water is THE most valuable resource and we SHOULD NOT COMPROMISE OUR WATER!

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Querner
8508 Crosley Rd
Springboro, OH 45066
kdqme@yahoo.com
(937) 545-4052

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laura Taylor \(lltaylor300@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lltaylor300@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 9:57:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laura Taylor
300 Catawba Path
Doylestown, OH 44230
lltaylor300@gmail.com
(330) 606-6668

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Charles and Ann Banks \(morihei2@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:morihei2@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:13:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Charles and Ann Banks
3964 W 165th St
Cleveland, OH 44111
morihei2@hotmail.com
(216) 246-4865

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [George Hughey \(bongeo97@gmail.com\)](mailto:bongeo97@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:19:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

George Hughey
5360 Harroun Rd
Sylvania, OH 43560
bongeo97@gmail.com
(419) 470-9390

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Viveca Ware \(vivecaware54@gmail.com\)](mailto:vivecaware54@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:34:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Viveca Ware
483 Sheffield Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45240
vivecaware54@gmail.com
(513) 772-2714

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Elliott \(rigamabat@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rigamabat@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:41:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Elliott
308 Sychar Rd
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
rigamabat@yahoo.com
(740) 326-1542

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anfernee Jones \(ceolilaj@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ceolilaj@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 10:56:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anfernee Jones
1626 Striebel Rd
Columbus, OH 43227
ceolilaj@gmail.com
(614) 592-1533

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carolyn Mylant \(cwatercape@gmail.com\)](mailto:cwatercape@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:06:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Mylant
25303 Westwood Rd
Westlake, OH 44145
cwatercape@gmail.com
(216) 338-7255

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Roberta Gier \(gierr@ohiodominican.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gierr@ohiodominican.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:21:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Roberta Gier
7689 Sweetwood Ct
Dublin, OH 43016
gierr@ohiodominican.edu
(614) 284-6728

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Terry Poulson \(tpoulson@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:tpoulson@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:37:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Terry Poulson
22120 Switzer Rd
Defiance, OH 43512
tpoulson@roadrunner.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joshua Morgan \(josh9726@hotmail.com\)](mailto:josh9726@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:38:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joshua Morgan
2057 Fawn Ln
Batavia, OH 45103
josh9726@hotmail.com
(513) 349-9894

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thomas Holubeck \(tholubeck@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:tholubeck@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:43:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Thomas Holubeck
297 Poage Farm Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45215
tholubeck@cinci.rr.com
(513) 521-5545

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tyler Novak \(ten5@zips.uakron.edu\)](mailto:ten5@zips.uakron.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:46:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tyler Novak
20 Firebush Ln
Northfield, OH 44067
ten5@zips.uakron.edu
(330) 467-1666

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathy Naumoff \(doggiemellc@yahoo.com\)](mailto:doggiemellc@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 1:31:06 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathy Naumoff
960 N Hamilton Rd
Gahanna, OH 43230
doggiemellc@yahoo.com
(614) 741-2463

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jon Stone \(evangel_87@yahoo.com\)](mailto:evangel_87@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 1:59:50 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jon Stone
9351 Sheralee Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45231
evangel_87@yahoo.com
(513) 616-1298

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brittany Stone \(brittany.stone@yahoo.com\)](mailto:brittany.stone@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 2:57:39 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brittany Stone
2389 State Route 124
Coolville, OH 45723
brittany.stone@yahoo.com
(740) 447-3376

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathy Thompson \(kittyhouse@sssnet.com\)](mailto:kittyhouse@sssnet.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 3:18:11 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathy Thompson
415 Woodland Ave
Wooster, OH 44691
kittyhouse@sssnet.com
(330) 264-8798

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Warren Stallard \(warrensworld@wildblue.net\)](mailto:warrensworld@wildblue.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 5:20:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Warren Stallard
3595 Kyle Rd
Cedarville, OH 45314
warrensworld@wildblue.net
(937) 767-8004

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Caxixi Holsworth \(luvsallweeds@yahoo.com\)](mailto:luvsallweeds@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 5:37:16 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Caxixi Holsworth
262 W College St
Oberlin, OH 44074
luvsallweeds@yahoo.com
(440) 610-6700

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tom Burkett \(thburkett@me.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:thburkett@me.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 6:17:13 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tom Burkett
1793 River Rd
Granville, OH 43023
thburkett@me.com
(740) 587-2128

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Gill \(jgillx43@gmail.com\)](mailto:jgillx43@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 6:23:54 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Gill
2527 N Taylor Rd Apt 2
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118
jgillx43@gmail.com
(216) 773-9700

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brian Meyers \(bmeyers3@columbus.rr.com\)](mailto:bmeyers3@columbus.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 6:33:05 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brian Meyers
139 Long Street
Ashville, OH 43103
bmeyers3@columbus.rr.com
(740) 412-3426

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Neal Crandall \(nealcran@erinet.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:nealcran@erinet.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 7:29:25 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Neal Crandall
2779 W Jackson Rd
Springfield, OH 45502
nealcran@erinet.com
(937) 964-6970

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [K. Mcdowell \(thepurplemcd@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:K.Mcdowell@purplemcd@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 7:33:05 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

K. Mcdowell
859 State Route 131
Milford, OH 45150
thepurplemcd@gmail.com
(781) 664-8258

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharon Zink \(sharonz92@roadrunner.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sharonz92@roadrunner.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:13:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Future generations and currently families all over Ohio need and depend on clean drinking water. Please, for our children and grand children, do not give into pressure. Stand tall, stand strong against industry and politicians.

Sincerely,

Sharon Zink
26240 Broadway Ave Trlr 67
Oakwood Village, OH 44146
sharonz92@roadrunner.com
(440) 655-0918

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Suzanne Cruickshank \(sue@cruickshank.us\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sue@cruickshank.us)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:20:50 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Cruickshank
2817 Wickliffe Rd
Columbus, OH 43221
sue@cruickshank.us
(614) 273-0069

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Miller \(millerm949@yahoo.com\)](mailto:millerm949@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:27:32 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Miller
8431 Waterleaf Ln
West Chester, OH 45069
millerm949@yahoo.com
(513) 860-0164

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brianna Mathews \(bjcramb04@gmail.com\)](mailto:bjcramb04@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:44:54 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brianna Mathews
8070 Paulin Dr
Youngstown, OH 44514
bjcramb04@gmail.com
(330) 503-3291

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Cooper \(cooperjw13@gmail.com\)](mailto:cooperjw13@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:46:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Cooper
75 Pinetree Dr
Granville, OH 43023
cooperjw13@gmail.com
(740) 587-1307

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Emily Christescu \(emilyxq@aol.com\)](mailto:emilyxq@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:57:06 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Emily Christescu
2017 Waterbury Rd
Lakewood, OH 44107
emilyxq@aol.com
(216) 355-8474

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Becky Montag \(rebeccam1719@gmail.com\)](mailto:rebeccam1719@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 9:23:39 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Becky Montag
900 Adams Xing Ste 7100
Cincinnati, OH 45202
rebeccam1719@gmail.com
(513) 608-9937

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julia Almaguer \(julia.almaguer@gmail.com\)](mailto:julia.almaguer@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 9:47:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julia Almaguer
6608 Paxton Guinea Rd
Loveland, OH 45140
julia.almaguer@gmail.com
(513) 305-5367

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Victoria Copley \(copley.victoria@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:copley.victoria@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 9:59:19 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Victoria Copley
123 Apple Dr
Wadsworth, OH 44281
copley.victoria@yahoo.com
(330) 598-1793

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laura Aubill \(laura.aubill@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:laura.aubill@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 10:16:41 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laura Aubill
93 Twp. Rd. 1185
Ironton, OH 45638
laura.aubill@gmail.com
(740) 464-6886

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Katie Burke \(kathleeneburke@hotmail.com\)](mailto:kathleeneburke@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 10:42:27 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katie Burke
17540 Madison Ave Apt 40
Lakewood, OH 44107
kathleeneburke@hotmail.com
(216) 577-8466

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kristen Grout \(groutkris@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:groutkris@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 11:06:31 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kristen Grout
4894 whispering Creek Ct.
Maineville, OH 45039
groutkris@yahoo.com
(513) 480-1125

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Angela Brekalo \(brekalo.1@osu.edu\)](mailto:brekalo.1@osu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 11:20:55 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Angela Brekalo
90 1/2 King Ave
Columbus, OH 43201
brekalo.1@osu.edu
(440) 665-6874

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David R \(daver17@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:daver17@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 11:31:08 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David R
4673 Southwood Dr
Brooklyn, OH 44144
daver17@aol.com
(216) 741-2410

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kristen Brand \(kristenann.brand@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kristenann.brand@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 12:19:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kristen Brand
7334 Leroy Thompson Rd
Thompson, OH 44086
kristenann.brand@gmail.com
(330) 958-5552

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Brockhaus \(jbrockhaus@msn.com\)](mailto:jbrockhaus@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 12:26:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Brockhaus
2040 Yoast Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45225
jbrockhaus@msn.com
(513) 254-9293

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Krumm Richardson \(nancyk.richardson@gmail.com\)](mailto:nancyk.richardson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 12:54:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Krumm Richardson
5923 Brierly Ridge Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45247
nancyk.richardson@gmail.com
(513) 878-6436

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lillianna Tootle \(blackbuttlertfan3@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:blackbuttlertfan3@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 1:05:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lillianna Tootle
1169 Eastern Ave
Wooster, OH 44691
blackbuttlertfan3@gmail.com
(330) 347-5639

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kerry Louden \(klouden01@yahoo.com\)](mailto:klouden01@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 1:20:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kerry Louden
8522 Greenbriar Dr
Olmsted Twp, OH 44138
klouden01@yahoo.com
(440) 235-1336

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eda Daniel \(edaddaniel@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:edaddaniel@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 1:37:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eda Daniel
15657 Brewster Rd
East Cleveland, OH 44112
edaddaniel@gmail.com
(216) 371-5293

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sherry Becker-Gorby \(beckergorby@frontier.com\)](mailto:beckergorby@frontier.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 3:25:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sherry Becker-Gorby
315 Township Road 15
Rayland, OH 43943
beckergorby@frontier.com
(740) 769-2447

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Lindsay \(jlindsay3156@gmail.com\)](mailto:jlindsay3156@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 3:36:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Lindsay
257 Keith Ave
Akron, OH 44313
jlindsay3156@gmail.com
(330) 836-1940

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alice Norman \(alice.norman@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:alice.norman@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 4:01:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alice Norman
7095 Wick Ln Apt 109
Chagrin Falls, OH 44023
alice.norman@sbcglobal.net
(330) 216-5552

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Nixon \(nixon.nancy@gmail.com\)](mailto:nixon.nancy@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 4:35:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Nixon
PO Box 1
Loudonville, OH 44842
nixon.nancy@gmail.com
(567) 215-7046

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gail Mitchell](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution standard proposal
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 4:43:35 PM

July 28,2018

To whom it may concern,

I do not agree with ANY proposal that will lessen or eliminate current oversight, standards, or restrictions that protect our waterways. Human greed and self interest always need to be controlled by laws and legislation for the welfare of our citizens. Hinkley, California; Flint,Michigan; and most recently State of Texas are proof of this statement. We need to keep our water safe for human use and consumption!

Sincerely,
Gail Mitchell

Sent from my iPad

From: [Gina Burk \(ginareeneeburk@aol.com\)](mailto:ginareeneeburk@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 5:00:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gina Burk
1701 Akron Peninsula Rd
Akron, OH 44313
ginareeneeburk@aol.com
(330) 923-1500

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Chadwick Wright \(wright.491@gmail.com\)](mailto:wright.491@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 5:25:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Chadwick Wright
8952 Marchbank Ln
Lewis Center, OH 43035
wright.491@gmail.com
(614) 270-3188

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharon Budek \(slb0605@yahoo.com\)](mailto:slb0605@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 6:02:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sharon Budek
7341 Pickway Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45233
slb0605@yahoo.com
(513) 919-6268

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ashley Flynn \(ashleyelizabethflynn@gmail.com\)](mailto:ashleyelizabethflynn@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 6:23:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ashley Flynn
4539 Big Walnutview Drive
Columbus, OH 43230
ashleyelizabethflynn@gmail.com
(703) 981-9444

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Herrle \(mlherrle@hotmail.com\)](mailto:mlherrle@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 6:32:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Herrle
5523 Whetsel Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45227
mlherrle@hotmail.com
(513) 271-2198

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandy Nichols \(harpiblu@gmail.com\)](mailto:harpiblu@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 7:01:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandy Nichols
5514 Westlake Ave
Parma, OH 44129
harpiblu@gmail.com
(216) 210-7808

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rebecca Garrett \(rebecca.garrett57@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rebecca.garrett57@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:04:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Garrett
4105 Platte Ave
Groveport, OH 43125
rebecca.garrett57@gmail.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Wilma Niemeyer \(wilmaniemeyer@gmail.com\)](mailto:wilmaniemeyer@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:20:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Wilma Niemeyer
19799 Jamestown Cir
Strongsville, OH 44136
wilmaniemeyer@gmail.com
(440) 289-1111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Terry Grange \(tlg_co@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tlg_co@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:23:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Terry Grange
79 main st
Marengo, OH 43334
tlg_co@hotmail.com
(216) 313-4326

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Borghese \(shborghese@gmail.com\)](mailto:shborghese@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:33:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Borghese
125 Franklin Ave
Athens, OH 45701
shborghese@gmail.com
(860) 798-9587

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julie Keil \(keil8558@gmail.com\)](mailto:keil8558@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:37:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julie Keil
17 S High
Columbus, OH 43206
keil8558@gmail.com
(614) 443-8633

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeremy Brown \(darkminded89@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:darkminded89@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:54:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Brown
125 E Simpson St Apt 316
Alliance, OH 44601
darkminded89@gmail.com
(330) 913-8271

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sally Gilson \(gilson@wideopenwest.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gilson@wideopenwest.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 8:54:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sally Gilson
1242 Bradshire Dr
Columbus, OH 43220
gilson@wideopenwest.com
(614) 352-8972

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anne Berlin \(berlinanne@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:berlinanne@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 9:04:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anne Berlin
9900 Eby Rd
Germantown, OH 45327
berlinanne@hotmail.com
(812) 345-6700

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patrick Mccullough \(pmccullough1@cinci.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pmccullough1@cinci.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 9:46:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patrick Mccullough
9970 Beech Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45231
pmccullough1@cinci.rr.com
(513) 772-3934

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diana Palardy \(dqpalardy@gmail.com\)](mailto:dqpalardy@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 10:27:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diana Palardy
8456 Chesterton Dr.
Youngstown, OH 44514
dqpalardy@gmail.com
(330) 272-2793

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stacy Gounaris \(stacygounaris@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:stacygounaris@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 10:41:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stacy Gounaris
4374 Cambry Lane
Dublin, OH 43016
stacygounaris@hotmail.com
(614) 732-6373

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Matthew Moreland \(mwmoreland@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mwmoreland@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 10:42:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Matthew Moreland
1161 Leaf Tree Ln
Vandalia, OH 45377
mwmoreland@yahoo.com
(937) 750-8853

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patsy Schneider \(patziannes@aol.com\)](mailto:patziannes@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, July 28, 2018 10:49:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patsy Schneider
1317 Spring St
Cincinnati, OH 45202
patziannes@aol.com
(513) 621-0003

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Collins](mailto:Elizabeth.Collins@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: VOTE NO on ORSANCO proposal to drop pollution control standards
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 5:17:43 AM

To Whom it May Concern,

Thank you for your time in reading the many emails and letters community members along the Ohio River Valley are sending in response to the proposal to drop pollution control standards. I hope this public input is the single most influential piece in ORSANCO's decision.

I am a lifelong resident of the Ohio River Valley—first growing up in Parkersburg, WV, then moving and working in Wheeling, WV, and now living across the river in Belmont County, OH. Attending Parkersburg South High School, I valued being called “a river rat” and have so many fond memories on the Ohio River—from boating trips, to doing fish studies with my AP Biology class, weddings along the river, my grandmother's river lot home, and probably most meaningful—the many baptisms my father performed right in the river for our church family. We would all sing “Down to the River to Pray” as we walked down to the riverbank and watched as our friends and family members made the decision to join the Christian faith. I'm in tears as I try and explain what that time meant to my young, adolescent mind. It was simple, beautiful, and made a lasting impression on my faith life.

In sharing all these memories, what I'm trying to do is explain that the Ohio River is more than a water way, it's more than an ecosystem even—it's a definitive piece of each residents' life. Without it being protected, without it being cared for, it's as if we are not protecting and caring for the people along its riverbanks. I want my three children to have those same experiences. I want them to be defined by not just living close to the River, but instead having it be an active part of their life. I can guarantee that if pollution control standards are removed, I will not allow my children to experience the water and I am positive I am not the only parent, resident, or tourist who will make that decision—a decision that pains me.

I realize the argument that individual states are already doing a good job protecting the River is factual but my question is what if that stops being true? What if one company, one town, one county, one state along the river becomes lax? If ORSANCO decides to stop controlling pollution, who is next? We, as the Ohio River community, are relying on you to protect the water, it's inhabitants, and the generations of memories to be made on its water and its banks.

Please vote NO on the proposal to remove pollution control standards. I look forward to seeing ORSANCO doing the right thing. Thank you for your time and consideration. Please feel free to contact me at anytime.

-Beth Collins
304-559-9005

--
Elizabeth Collins, M.Ed.
304.559.9005
304.243.4361

From: [Mary Fauver \(tfauver@frontier.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tfauver@frontier.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 7:36:48 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Fauver
952 chatham lane
Columbus, OH 43221
tfauver@frontier.com
(614) 999-9999

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Catherine Collet \(cathie_98@hotmail.com\)](mailto:cathie_98@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 8:07:41 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Catherine Collet
7830 Poplar Forks Rd
Heath, OH 43056
cathie_98@hotmail.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kurt Miller \(kurtmiller923@gmail.com\)](mailto:kurtmiller923@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 10:32:20 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kurt Miller
882 Troon Trl
Worthington, OH 43085
kurtmiller923@gmail.com
(614) 519-3183

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Angela Grabill \(ruerue593@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ruerue593@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 10:55:51 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Angela Grabill
1855 Mechanicsburg Rd Apt A6
Wooster, OH 44691
ruerue593@gmail.com
(330) 621-3691

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jenny Payne \(nature.payne@gmail.com\)](mailto:nature.payne@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 11:27:25 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jenny Payne
3057 Van Aken Blvd
Shaker Hts, OH 44120
nature.payne@gmail.com
(216) 288-2604

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Isabel Kopp \(ikopp@windstream.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ikopp@windstream.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 12:51:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Isabel Kopp
11626 Garfield Rd
Hiram, OH 44234
ikopp@windstream.net
(330) 569-7926

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Derek Collett \(ddouble07@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ddouble07@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 1:19:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Derek Collett
2685 Chardon Rd
Nashport, OH 43830
ddouble07@yahoo.com
(740) 450-0234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rebecca Slisher \(bslischer@marlattaautomation.com\)](mailto:bslischer@marlattaautomation.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 1:32:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Slisher
481 Main St.
Groveport, OH 43125
bslischer@marlattaautomation.com
(614) 836-2238

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandy Kittrick \(kitt2009@zoominternet.net\)](mailto:kitt2009@zoominternet.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 1:44:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandy Kittrick
9328 Norwalk Rd
Litchfield, OH 44253
kitt2009@zoominternet.net
(330) 723-1748

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marjorie McKelvey Isaacs](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Reverse preliminary decision on Option 2
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 2:11:44 PM

ORSANCO holds an important responsibility in pollution control standards. To limit your pollution control standards is to raise the risk of pollution in the Ohio River, source of linking water for millions of people. There is not redundancy in ORSANCO's role. It is part of a tradition of checks and balances that are at the heart of our democracy.

Please reverse the preliminary decision in Option 2.

Marjorie Isaacs, Psy.D.

From: [Olivia Hoppe \(hoppe.37@osu.edu\)](mailto:hoppe.37@osu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 2:35:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Olivia Hoppe
320 Meadow Lane
Wooster, OH 44691
hoppe.37@osu.edu
(330) 317-3382

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Connie Hammond \(cmhammond11@att.net\)](mailto:cmhammond11@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 3:21:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Connie Hammond
166 Acton Rd
Columbus, OH 43214
cmhammond11@att.net
(614) 531-4146

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cheryl Grillmeier \(rememberwhenant@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:rememberwhenant@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 3:49:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Grillmeier
1109 Wayne Ave
Dayton, OH 45410
rememberwhenant@roadrunner.com
(937) 443-0466

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Adelaide Schaaf \(aschaaf43@yahoo.com\)](mailto:aschaaf43@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 4:57:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Adelaide Schaaf
10803 Lake Ave Apt 205
Cleveland, OH 44102
aschaaf43@yahoo.com
(216) 281-9440

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: djordan@fuse.net
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please reconsider Option 2
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 5:04:41 PM

Hello,

As a former R.N. and current health advocate, I urge you to reject Option 2. Please keep ORSANCO's historic role in pollution control. I care about my drinking water and the health of the Ohio River. If budgetary constraints are a concern, I would suggest eliminating other functions. There is no other organization working across state lines like ORSANCO.

Thank you,

Deborah Jordan, RN, M.Ed.

From: [Kevin Addy \(kdaz.addy@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kdaz.addy@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 5:28:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kevin Addy
4602 Erie Ave NW
Canal Fulton, OH 44614
kdaz.addy@gmail.com
(330) 704-7313

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeffrey Tilton \(tilton@insight.rr.com\)](mailto:tilton@insight.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 5:36:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Tilton
31 Meadow View Ct
Powell, OH 43065
tilton@insight.rr.com
(614) 486-2166

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeffrey St. Clair \(jstclair@columbus.rr.com\)](mailto:jstclair@columbus.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 5:42:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey St. Clair
2715 Abbots Loop Ct
Columbus, OH 43204
jstclair@columbus.rr.com
(615) 284-7511

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Scott Kane \(skane5056@gmail.com\)](mailto:skane5056@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 5:54:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Scott Kane
420 Elruth Ct Apt 157
Girard, OH 44420
skane5056@gmail.com
(330) 978-0342

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Don McKelvey \(donmckelvey38@gmail.com\)](mailto:Don%20McKelvey%20(donmckelvey38@gmail.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 6:13:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

This is a no-brainer !

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Don McKelvey
2005 E 226th St
Euclid, OH 44117
donmckelvey38@gmail.com
(216) 555-1234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa McCall](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution proposal
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 6:20:19 PM

I am against any elimination of control standards. Our water is the most important natural resource we have and needs to be protected and respected.

Lisa McCall

From: [Linda Ayers \(learetired@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:learetired@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 7:54:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Ayers
41 Bayham Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45218
learetired@gmail.com
(513) 851-4652

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anna Kozlenko \(anna.julnes@yahoo.com\)](mailto:anna.julnes@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 8:08:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anna Kozlenko
3760 Eastway Rd
Cleveland, OH 44118
anna.julnes@yahoo.com
(216) 321-4708

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Megan McNally \(21mmcn@mvcds.org\)](mailto:21mmcn@mvcds.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 9:06:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Megan McNally
3941 Hollyhock Ln
Maumee, OH 43537
21mmcn@mvcds.org
(419) 350-1209

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Denise Lytle](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 9:09:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Denise Lytle
11 Wisteria Dr., Apt. 3F
Fords, NJ 8863
7329108543

From: [Jean Tucker \(tuckertalk1@hotmail.com\)](mailto:tuckertalk1@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 10:22:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jean Tucker
3690 Main St #23
Mineral Ridge, OH 44440
tuckertalk1@hotmail.com
(330) 652-0308

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Teresa Reno \(temareno@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:temareno@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 10:36:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Teresa Reno
3081 Wise Rd
North Canton, OH 44720
temareno@hotmail.com
(216) 330-1256

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stacey Yanetta \(sussana21@hotmail.com\)](mailto:sussana21@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 10:36:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stacey Yanetta
8873 Harvest Ridge Way
North Ridgeville, OH 44039
sussana21@hotmail.com
(440) 316-6101

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janet Einfalt \(janette1203@aol.com\)](mailto:Janet.Einfalt%20(janette1203@aol.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 11:20:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janet Einfalt
300 Warner Rd
Hubbard, OH 44425
janette1203@aol.com
(330) 568-7018

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Debra Bruegge \(debruegge@zoomtown.com\)](mailto:debruegge@zoomtown.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, July 29, 2018 11:52:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Debra Bruegge
7308 Jean Dr
West Chester, OH 45069
debruegge@zoomtown.com
(513) 777-2848

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Rooney \(lrooneyhome@gmail.com\)](mailto:lrooneyhome@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 6:29:41 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Rooney
3507 Avignon Pl
Columbus, OH 43221
lrooneyhome@gmail.com
(740) 251-7390

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beth Hall \(mbhtech@aol.com\)](mailto:mbhtech@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 7:23:37 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beth Hall
8046 Claus Rd
Amherst, OH 44001
mbhtech@aol.com
(440) 988-2092

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dianne Powers Wright \(dpwright1@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:dpwright1@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 7:51:20 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dianne Powers Wright
1097 Rambling Way
Akron, OH 44333
dpwright1@roadrunner.com
(330) 657-9832

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Cosgriff \(cosgriff0@gmail.com\)](mailto:cosgriff0@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:57:18 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Cosgriff
1180 Andrews Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
cosgriff0@gmail.com
(216) 228-0796

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Cors \(d.cors@att.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:d.cors@att.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:05:28 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Cors
3178 Portsmouth Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45208
d.cors@att.net
(513) 321-4658

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Angie Woods \(anglwoods66@gmail.com\)](mailto:anglwoods66@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:16:00 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, as well as the recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should not be eliminated. One way to reduce cost could be to reform the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process.

Sincerely,

Angie Woods
10066 Brehm Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45252
anglwoods66@gmail.com
(513) 708-2422

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Abbey Gilligan \(gilligan.aj@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gilligan.aj@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:50:16 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Abbey Gilligan
85 W 3rd Ave Apt 10
Columbus, OH 43201
gilligan.aj@gmail.com
(740) 403-3360

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thomas Cowperthwait \(tcowperthwait@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tcowperthwait@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 10:01:47 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

My daughters and I thank you for considering our viewpoint.

Sincerely,

Thomas Cowperthwait
8273 Mellon Dr
Montgomery, OH 45242
tcowperthwait@gmail.com
(513) 703-3633

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Matthew Groenke \(pmatthew@ctktwinsburg.com\)](mailto:pmatthew@ctktwinsburg.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 10:08:08 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Matthew Groenke
3381 Blossom Trl
Twinsburg, OH 44087
pmatthew@ctktwinsburg.com
(308) 529-3454

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gary Dopp \(garydopp@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:garydopp@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 10:37:15 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gary Dopp
3923 Rocky Hill Ter
Amelia, OH 45102
garydopp@gmail.com
(513) 843-1111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christopher Schrader \(schrader888@gmail.com\)](mailto:schrader888@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 11:10:21 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christopher Schrader
2643 Massillon Rd
Akron, OH 44312
schrader888@gmail.com
(330) 232-6259

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Selvage \(selvagk@nationwide.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:selvagk@nationwide.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 11:11:40 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Selvage
1941 County Road 228
Ashley, OH 43003
selvagk@nationwide.com
(740) 747-2619

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elyce Feliz](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 11:21:01 AM

My name is Elyce Benke, and I care about the health of the Ohio River.

I am writing to urge you to reverse the preliminary decision to go with Option 2.

Please reject any action to eliminate your key role in pollution control standards. There is no redundancy in this role. If there are budgetary constraints, this key function should be maintained above others.

Elyce Benke

From: [Dwight Hughes \(dhughes1027@hotmail.com\)](mailto:dhughes1027@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 11:44:25 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dwight Hughes
657 Alameda Ave
Sheffield Lake, OH 44054
dhughes1027@hotmail.com
(440) 949-2986

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jan Johnson \(janjohnson@zoomtown.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:janjohnson@zoomtown.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 11:56:57 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jan Johnson
947 Volterra Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45206
janjohnson@zoomtown.com
(513) 751-2503

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard Boyce \(richardboyce@fuse.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:richardboyce@fuse.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 11:57:17 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard Boyce
7061 Grantham Way
Cincinnati, OH 45230
richardboyce@fuse.net
(513) 231-9866

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sydney Benson \(sydandpete.benson@gmail.com\)](mailto:sydandpete.benson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 11:58:58 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sydney Benson
4902 Foote Rd Unit 14
Medina, OH 44256
sydandpete.benson@gmail.com
(330) 725-5540

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Erma Smith \(cubbynana1@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cubbynana1@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:10:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Erma Smith
339 Raff Rd NW
Canton, OH 44708
cubbynana1@gmail.com
(330) 754-6171

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Cole \(kccat@ecologyfund.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kccat@ecologyfund.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:23:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Cole
246 Clark Drive
Circleville, OH 43113
kccat@ecologyfund.net
(740) 477-1831

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Crystal Dandridge \(cjdjam@gmail.com\)](mailto:cjdjam@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:33:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Crystal Dandridge
8318 Brigantine Ct Apt 88
West Chester, OH 45069
cjdjam@gmail.com
(513) 328-4158

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rebecca Bralek \(beckblaze9@gmail.com\)](mailto:beckblaze9@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:33:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Bralek
6001 Center St
Peninsula, OH 44264
beckblaze9@gmail.com
(330) 603-3642

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dennis Adkins \(dden632@yahoo.com\)](mailto:dden632@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:33:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dennis Adkins
4714 Maplecrest Ave
Parma, OH 44134
dden632@yahoo.com
(216) 647-1997

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Erika Mapus \(erika.mapus@gmail.com\)](mailto:erika.mapus@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:33:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Erika Mapus
6927 Glenwood Ave
Youngstown, OH 44512
erika.mapus@gmail.com
(330) 261-3100

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Lanigan \(cl041@yahoo.com\)](mailto:cl041@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Lanigan
13800 Brookdale Ave
Brook Park, OH 44142
cl041@yahoo.com
(707) 357-5316

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Faryl Norris \(faryl.norris@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:faryl.norris@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Faryl Norris
26201 Hendon Rd
Beachwood, OH 44122
faryl.norris@gmail.com
(216) 313-1592

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Derwent \(krmderwent@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:krmderwent@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Derwent
7110 Fodor Rd
New Albany, OH 43054
krmderwent@gmail.com
(614) 946-1264

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Pollard \(pppollard7@aol.com\)](mailto:pppollard7@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Pollard
5697 Westlake Dr
Galloway, OH 43119
pppollard7@aol.com
(614) 975-6625

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susanne Vendlinski \(svend.js4m@gmail.com\)](mailto:svend.js4m@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susanne Vendlinski
3366 Rudon Ln
Columbus, OH 43204
svend.js4m@gmail.com
(440) 655-9457

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brandon Okone \(brandon@jsbrowncompany.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:brandon@jsbrowncompany.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brandon Okone
6022 Woodshire Dr
Westerville, OH 43081
brandon@jsbrowncompany.com
(614) 581-5337

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gertrude Pfahler \(mdpahler@toledosnd.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mdpahler@toledosnd.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gertrude Pfahler
26654 Sheringham Rd
Perrysburg, OH 43551
mdpahler@toledosnd.org
(419) 304-8530

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Miracle \(khmiracle@gmail.com\)](mailto:khmiracle@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Miracle
277 Farmington Dr
Columbus, OH 43213
khmiracle@gmail.com
(614) 208-8102

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rosemarie Kistler \(fourkist@sbcglobal.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:fourkist@sbcglobal.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Kistler
25260 Chase Dr
North Olmsted, OH 44070
fourkist@sbcglobal.net
(440) 840-6392

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sheralynn Sloan-Wright \(sheralynn.sloanwright@gmail.com\)](mailto:sheralynn.sloanwright@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sheralynn Sloan-Wright
169 Canterbury Ct
Columbiana, OH 44408
sheralynn.sloanwright@gmail.com
(615) 498-8023

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kaylee Patton \(kaylee_patton@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kaylee_patton@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kaylee Patton
45 E Lakeview Ave
Columbus, OH 43202
kaylee_patton@yahoo.com
(614) 286-5216

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Debra Machka \(angelwolf216@yahoo.com\)](mailto:angelwolf216@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Debra Machka
3683 E 49th St
Cleveland, OH 44105
angelwolf216@yahoo.com
(216) 860-3312

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karl Wellendorf \(kwellendorf@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:kwellendorf@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karl Wellendorf
4630 Pinecrest Dr
Copley, OH 44321
kwellendorf@roadrunner.com
(330) 319-1565

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Garrett \(kathleensgarrett@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kathleensgarrett@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Garrett
901 Baccarat Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45245
kathleensgarrett@gmail.com
(513) 253-1801

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bo Tamaki \(botamaki@hotmail.com\)](mailto:botamaki@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:34:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bo Tamaki
115 W Monument Ave Apt 105
Dayton, OH 45402
botamaki@hotmail.com
(937) 545-6542

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pete Morabito \(buckeyeboy249@yahoo.com\)](mailto:buckeyeboy249@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pete Morabito
6463 S Timberidge Dr
Youngstown, OH 44515
buckeyeboy249@yahoo.com
(330) 881-3199

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Rackliffe \(kathleen.rackliffe@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kathleen.rackliffe@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Rackliffe
4306 Clybourne Ave
Cleveland, OH 44109
kathleen.rackliffe@gmail.com
(216) 246-0626

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christopher Simmons \(mickynpam@msn.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mickynpam@msn.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christopher Simmons
21494 Ball Ave
Euclid, OH 44123
mickynpam@msn.com
(216) 406-5439

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Catherine Argyle \(westcat53@gmail.com\)](mailto:westcat53@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Catherine Argyle
735 S Maple St
Lancaster, OH 43130
westcat53@gmail.com
(740) 438-5794

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Cheatwood \(equinest@aol.com\)](mailto:equinest@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Cheatwood
3431 Clay Street
Austinburg, OH 44010
equinest@aol.com
(510) 209-2997

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharla White \(heysharla@yahoo.com\)](mailto:heysharla@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sharla White
4344 Cricket Pl
Columbus, OH 43231
heysharla@yahoo.com
(614) 943-1022

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gordon Todd Figley \(tfigley@yahoo.com\)](mailto:tfigley@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gordon Todd Figley
714 Greenbush Rd
Willard, OH 44890
tfigley@yahoo.com
(419) 512-0988

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carrie Resnick \(cholma21@gmail.com\)](mailto:Carrie.Resnick(cholma21@gmail.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carrie Resnick
186 Cedarbrook Dr
Loveland, OH 45140
cholma21@gmail.com
(513) 515-3538

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lindsey Cencula \(lindsey.cencula@gmail.com\)](mailto:lindsey.cencula@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Cencula
1610 Spring Lawn Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45223
lindsey.cencula@gmail.com
(803) 917-0102

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Viviana Yost \(viviana@yosttech.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:viviana@yosttech.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:35:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Viviana Yost
8110 Sycamore Rd
Centerburg, OH 43011
viviana@yosttech.com
(419) 564-9540

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Amanda Sideris \(asideris11@gmail.com\)](mailto:asideris11@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Amanda Sideris
10574 Maryland St
Reminderville, OH 44202
asideris11@gmail.com
(216) 225-8613

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [L.J. Lefkort \(wirdoe@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:L.J.Lefkort@wirdoe@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

L.J. Lefkort
1623 Rydalmount Rd
Cleveland, OH 44118
wirdoe@gmail.com
(216) 496-8602

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [cathy heider \(heidfarm@aol.com\)](mailto:heidfarm@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

cathy heider
1105 Yellow Springs Fairfield Rd
Fairborn, OH 45324
heidfarm@aol.com
(937) 718-4097

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lindsay Samuelson \(lindsaysamuelson@gmail.com\)](mailto:lindsaysamuelson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lindsay Samuelson
5242 Eagle Ridge Ln
Sylvania, OH 43560
lindsaysamuelson@gmail.com
(419) 450-0423

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Schapiro \(sschapiro@cinci.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sschapiro@cinci.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Schapiro
9727 Pinto Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45242
sschapiro@cinci.rr.com
(513) 739-9768

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sumit Sengupta \(ssengupta@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ssengupta@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sumit Sengupta
2557 Covington Place
Avon, OH 44011
ssengupta@hotmail.com
(707) 326-5894

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jo Dunlop \(jdunlop33@gmail.com\)](mailto:jdunlop33@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jo Dunlop
8328 County Road 5
West Liberty, OH 43357
jdunlop33@gmail.com
(937) 844-9640

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kris Dankworth \(kadankworth@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kadankworth@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kris Dankworth
1564 Stockton Ave
Kettering, OH 45409
kadankworth@hotmail.com
(937) 570-0692

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eva Borho \(eva.borho@gmail.com\)](mailto:eva.borho@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eva Borho
7705 Elbrook Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45237
eva.borho@gmail.com
(513) 532-9553

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Carr \(jennifer.carr@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jennifer.carr@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Carr
2995 Essex Road
Cleveland, OH 44118
jennifer.carr@gmail.com
(246) 255-4028

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cara Mechling \(workingforthemoney1@gmail.com\)](mailto:workingforthemoney1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:36:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cara Mechling
3008 Starlite Dr NW
Warren, OH 44485
workingforthemoney1@gmail.com
(330) 272-3186

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Wayne Piercy \(truegrit@msn.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Wayne.Piercy@truegrit.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Wayne Piercy
809 Campbell Ave
Columbus, OH 43222
truegrit@msn.com
(614) 296-4820

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cindy Snyder \(cindy.snyder3@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cindy.snyder3@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cindy Snyder
3829 Winding Path Dr
Canal Winchester, OH 43110
cindy.snyder3@aol.com
(614) 570-8322

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Harry Smythe \(hsmythe@mac.com\)](mailto:hsmythe@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Harry Smythe
264 Island Dr
Elyria, OH 44035
hsmythe@mac.com
(440) 452-0602

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Aimee Shinhearl \(aichsh@yahoo.com\)](mailto:aichsh@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Aimee Shinhearl
6479 Seneca Trl
Mentor, OH 44060
aichsh@yahoo.com
(440) 477-8173

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kyra Kester \(kkester@ameritech.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kkester@ameritech.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kyra Kester
1235 Belle Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
kkester@ameritech.net
(216) 496-6254

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lorren Foster \(lorrennf93@icloud.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lorrennf93@icloud.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lorren Foster
41081 US Highway 36
Warsaw, OH 43844
lorrennf93@icloud.com
(740) 624-5907

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Aufman \(mahammock@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mahammock@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Aufman
1041 Malcolm Rd
Toledo, OH 43615
mahammock@hotmail.com
(646) 387-2678

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gail Schnell \(emma1701@aol.com\)](mailto:emma1701@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gail Schnell
2052 Hillcrest Ave
Cleveland, OH 44109
emma1701@aol.com
(216) 413-6230

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mercedes Deleon \(mercdele@yahoo.com\)](mailto:mercdele@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mercedes Deleon
17205 Valleyview Ave
Cleveland, OH 44135
mercdele@yahoo.com
(216) 482-5044

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Burch \(tallleprechan@neo.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tallleprechan@neo.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Burch
2716 Robindale Ave
Akron, OH 44312
tallleprechan@neo.rr.com
(330) 697-2249

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Cook \(lightningbug54@yahoo.com\)](mailto:lightningbug54@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Cook
301 W Long St
Akron, OH 44301
lightningbug54@yahoo.com
(440) 858-7761

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joe Wolf \(joe_wolf@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:joe_wolf@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joe Wolf
1613 Hawthorne Dr Apt 8
Cleveland, OH 44124
joe_wolf@sbcglobal.net
(216) 659-6289

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elainia Trubee \(elainiatrubee@yahoo.com\)](mailto:elainiatrubee@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elainia Trubee
152 McWilliams Ct
Marion, OH 43302
elainiatrubee@yahoo.com
(740) 692-1371

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Braddock \(kim_braddock@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kim_braddock@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Braddock
1440 Deer Trace
Newark, OH 43055
kim_braddock@yahoo.com
(740) 405-6544

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Gieseler \(jdrumr@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:jdrumr@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:37:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Gieseler
975 Bryce Ave
Aurora, OH 44202
jdrumr@roadrunner.com
(216) 374-9483

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sarah Elliston \(ellistonsh@cinci.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ellistonsh@cinci.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sarah Elliston
3653 Herschel Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45208
ellistonsh@cinci.rr.com
(513) 706-9130

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kaitlyn Swartz \(ktzclimber@yahoo.com\)](mailto:ktzclimber@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kaitlyn Swartz
1843 College Park Dr
Columbus, OH 43209
ktzclimber@yahoo.com
(419) 565-2536

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeanne Stewart \(jeannemb320@suddenlink.net\)](mailto:jeannemb320@suddenlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Stewart
103 Split Rock Dr
Marietta, OH 45750
jeannemb320@suddenlink.net
(740) 350-1749

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alexis Perry \(alexisjeanp12@gmail.com\)](mailto:alexisjeanp12@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alexis Perry
116 W Cherry St
Clyde, OH 43410
alexisjeanp12@gmail.com
(419) 603-7761

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beverly Mills \(bwmills@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:bwmills@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beverly Mills
2970 S Bantam Rd
Bethel, OH 45106
bwmills@roadrunner.com
(513) 491-5545

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alex Cox \(alexanderjcox@yahoo.com\)](mailto:alexanderjcox@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alex Cox
4123 Woodville Rd
Leetonia, OH 44431
alexanderjcox@yahoo.com
(330) 506-9113

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Ambrose \(jnelson80@hotmail.com\)](mailto:jnelson80@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Ambrose
232 Worthington Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45215
jnelson80@hotmail.com
(513) 288-5030

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharene Pomnitz \(sharedp@yahoo.com\)](mailto:sharedp@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sharene Pomnitz
7891 Marlborough Avenue
Parma, OH 44130
sharedp@yahoo.com
(440) 310-1499

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joe Kerul \(ker882@live.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ker882@live.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joe Kerul
3719 Hazelhurst Ave
Toledo, OH 43612
ker882@live.com
(567) 249-7696

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Valerie Lockard \(vallockard@gmail.com\)](mailto:vallockard@gmail.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Valerie Lockard
4805 Winterset Dr
Columbus, OH 43220
vallockard@gmail.com
(614) 306-9536

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marc Rayburn \(mrayburn@columbus.rr.com\)](mailto:mrayburn@columbus.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marc Rayburn
8511 Cheshire Rd
Sunbury, OH 43074
mrayburn@columbus.rr.com
(614) 537-4689

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jane Gentic \(jjgentic@gmail.com\)](mailto:jjgentic@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jane Gentic
723 Wells St
Cincinnati, OH 45205
jjgentic@gmail.com
(513) 515-8604

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tony Comisso \(tonycomisso1@gmail.com\)](mailto:tonycomisso1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tony Comisso
117 Cunningham Dr
Steubenville, OH 43952
tonycomisso1@gmail.com
(740) 317-2018

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J'Mauri Jackson \(jmaurijackson@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jmaurijackson@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:38:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

J'Mauri Jackson
7653 Crossing Pl
Lewis Center, OH 43035
jmaurijackson@gmail.com
(614) 446-7140

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anita Campbell \(campacc@yahoo.com\)](mailto:campacc@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anita Campbell
4666 Hollingsworth Rd
Oregonia, OH 45054
campacc@yahoo.com
(513) 405-6884

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kristin Lees-Ivory \(kristincolees@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kristincolees@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kristin Lees-Ivory
529 Crawley Run #102
Dayton, OH 45458
kristincolees@gmail.com
(937) 750-3309

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Hughes \(worklife@fuse.net\)](mailto:worklife@fuse.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Hughes
9190 Windsor Ct
Loveland, OH 45140
worklife@fuse.net
(513) 608-4661

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathy Hanson \(kathy.hanson@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kathy.hanson@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathy Hanson
8501 Bay Rd SE
Carrollton, OH 44615
kathy.hanson@yahoo.com
(740) 457-9566

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Batty \(mary.bates@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mary.bates@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Batty
533 N Claridge Dr
Kettering, OH 45429
mary.bates@hotmail.com
(937) 974-9361

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jane Hatter \(nevah2014@outlook.com\)](mailto:nevah2014@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jane Hatter
3964 Taylor Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45209
nevah2014@outlook.com
(513) 658-9296

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Hoda Amer \(hzma2@yahoo.com\)](mailto:hzma2@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Hoda Amer
8641 Copperview Dr
Dublin, OH 43016
hzma2@yahoo.com
(614) 425-7208

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dean Mobley \(deanmobley58@gmail.com\)](mailto:deanmobley58@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dean Mobley
1611 Westgate Dr
Defiance, OH 43512
deanmobley58@gmail.com
(419) 439-6524

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sally Gilson \(gilson@wideopenwest.com\)](mailto:gilson@wideopenwest.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I oppose the massive petrochemical hub on the banks of the Ohio River. I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sally Gilson
1242 Bradshire Dr
Columbus, OH 43220
gilson@wideopenwest.com
(614) 352-8972

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sara Hall Phillips \(mise_eire@yahoo.com\)](mailto:mise_eire@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sara Hall Phillips
499 E Dunedin Rd
Columbus, OH 43214
mise_eire@yahoo.com
(614) 580-4623

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stacy Sparker \(stacysparker@yahoo.com\)](mailto:stacysparker@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stacy Sparker
4297 Orchard Ave
Willoughby, OH 44094
stacysparker@yahoo.com
(440) 622-2664

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cynthia Springer \(springerdc@prodigy.net\)](mailto:springerdc@prodigy.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Springer
11421 Appleton Dr
Cleveland, OH 44130
springerdc@prodigy.net
(440) 821-3153

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Kelly \(pmkellyoh@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pmkellyoh@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Kelly
3302 Tareyton Dr
Grove City, OH 43123
pmkellyoh@gmail.com
(614) 282-1977

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eloise Del Matto Del Matto \(edelmatto@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:edelmatto@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eloise Del Matto Del Matto
23209 Locust Grove Road
Creola, OH 45622
edelmatto@yahoo.com
(740) 974-7169

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Derrick Woodham \(derrick.woodham@uc.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:derrick.woodham@uc.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:39:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Derrick Woodham
1910 Blue Bell Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45224
derrick.woodham@uc.edu
(513) 284-6351

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Smith \(regnisj2@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:regnisj2@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:40:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Smith
35 View Dr
Fairfield, OH 45014
regnisj2@gmail.com
(513) 257-8116

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Penny Christian \(pchristian379@gmail.com\)](mailto:pchristian379@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:40:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Penny Christian
121 Morgan St Apt 16
Oak Hill, OH 45656
pchristian379@gmail.com
(740) 853-0971

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Victoria Thompson \(vttheltmuziclvr@gmail.com\)](mailto:vttheltmuziclvr@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:40:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Victoria Thompson
1808 Briarrose Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45231
vttheltmuziclvr@gmail.com
(513) 827-4010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Angela McGinnis \(angiemcginnis76@hotmail.com\)](mailto:angiemcginnis76@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:40:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Angela McGinnis
5841 w State Route 635
Kansas, OH 44841
angiemcginnis76@hotmail.com
(419) 306-6645

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Nesham \(neshammary@yahoo.com\)](mailto:neshammary@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:40:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Nesham
275 E Royal Forest Blvd
Columbus, OH 43214
neshammary@yahoo.com
(614) 371-0993

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Debbie Dame \(debdame19@gmail.com\)](mailto:debdame19@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:40:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Debbie Dame
4324 Spyglass HI
Mason, OH 45040
debdame19@gmail.com
(812) 453-8366

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [N Becker \(nbecker30@aol.com\)](mailto:nbecker30@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:40:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

N Becker
1311 Belcross Dr
New Albany, OH 43054
nbecker30@aol.com
(847) 858-2399

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Aurnou \(carol.arnou@yahoo.com\)](mailto:carol.arnou@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:41:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Aurnou
1819 Lucks RD
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068
carol.arnou@yahoo.com
(614) 282-2454

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Giovanni Conti \(giovannixconti@gmail.com\)](mailto:giovannixconti@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:41:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Giovanni Conti
2830 E 130th St Apt 6
Cleveland, OH 44120
giovannixconti@gmail.com
(216) 205-2659

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Katherine Roslan \(kaitlinn67@live.com\)](mailto:kaitlinn67@live.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:41:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katherine Roslan
14253 Reserve Lane
Cleveland, OH 44130
kaitlinn67@live.com
(216) 816-5562

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tressa Reisberg \(tressamarie.vegan@gmail.com\)](mailto:tressamarie.vegan@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tressa Reisberg
6084 Emerald Lakes Drive
Medina, OH 44256
tressamarie.vegan@gmail.com
(330) 590-3522

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Heidi Maibom \(heidimaibom@gmail.com\)](mailto:heidimaibom@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Heidi Maibom
3764 Mt Vernon Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45209
heidimaibom@gmail.com
(513) 720-7038

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ladene Mayville \(ladene01@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ladene01@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ladene Mayville
8786 Greylag Loop
Blacklick, OH 43004
ladene01@gmail.com
(614) 256-3337

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Davis \(shadowcthuhlu@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:shadowcthuhlu@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Davis
4433 Andrea Dr
Kettering, OH 45429
shadowcthuhlu@gmail.com
(937) 701-4603

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Kuhel \(nkuhel@yahoo.com\)](mailto:nkuhel@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kuhel
286 Brighton Rd
Columbus, OH 43202
nkuhel@yahoo.com
(614) 288-8532

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Denise Wells \(dallasdenise59.dw@gmail.com\)](mailto:dallasdenise59.dw@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Denise Wells
1370 Barnett Rd Apt 219
Columbus, OH 43227
dallasdenise59.dw@gmail.com
(614) 779-6230

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julia Young \(juliay217@gmail.com\)](mailto:juliay217@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julia Young
57 Bristol Ct
Hamilton, OH 45013
juliay217@gmail.com
(513) 255-2028

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Shannon Hensley \(marrie83@yahoo.com\)](mailto:marrie83@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Shannon Hensley
39 E Grace St
Bedford, OH 44146
marrie83@yahoo.com
(740) 207-3589

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mark Simcoe \(msimcoe_2000@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:msimcoe_2000@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Simcoe
2348 Glenside Ave
Norwood, OH 45212
msimcoe_2000@yahoo.com
(513) 484-8861

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cynthia Heathy \(heath91@yahoo.com\)](mailto:heath91@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:42:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Heathy
39 Eddy Rd
Madison, OH 44057
heath91@yahoo.com
(440) 637-7518

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Megan McNally \(21mmcn@mvcds.org\)](mailto:21mmcn@mvcds.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:43:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Megan McNally
3941 Hollyhock Ln
Maumee, OH 43537
21mmcn@mvcds.org
(419) 350-1209

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Geoff Todt \(geoffreytodt@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:geoffreytodt@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:43:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Geoff Todt
1164 Neil Ave
Columbus, OH 43201
geoffreytodt@gmail.com
(614) 404-8785

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Harty \(maryannharty@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Mary Harty (maryannharty@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:43:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Harty
6336 MEIS AVE
CINCINNATI, OH 45224
maryannharty@gmail.com
(323) 203-9893

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Bauer \(jbauer67@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jbauer67@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:43:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bauer
1913 Glen Ellyn Dr
Toledo, OH 43614
jbauer67@gmail.com
(419) 341-2258

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jane Hatter \(nevah2014@outlook.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:nevah2014@outlook.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:43:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jane Hatter
3964 Taylor Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45209
nevah2014@outlook.com
(513) 321-4507

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Natalie Cobb \(natbrite@gmail.com\)](mailto:natbrite@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:43:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Natalie Cobb
6556 Portage St NW
North Canton, OH 44720
natbrite@gmail.com
(330) 907-0870

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ken Odiorne \(kwodiorne@gmail.com\)](mailto:kwodiorne@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:43:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ken Odiorne
486 Powell Avr
Columbus, OH 43204
kwodiorne@gmail.com
(614) 824-9567

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diann Davidson \(deedbaz@hotmail.com\)](mailto:deedbaz@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:43:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diann Davidson
2043 Rose Arbor Dr
Toledo, OH 43614
deedbaz@hotmail.com
(619) 519-0018

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sheryl Ritter \(rsent@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rsent@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:43:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Ritter
5305 N Dayton Lakeview Rd
New Carlisle, OH 45344
rsent@hotmail.com
(937) 408-8228

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brenna Mcnamee \(brennamcnamee.51@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:brennamcnamee.51@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:44:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone in asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained: drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunities, and the tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical, leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brenna Mcnamee
446 Serenade St
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068
brennamcnamee.51@gmail.com
(614) 371-9252

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Raines \(maryleebc@earthlink.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:maryleebc@earthlink.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:44:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Raines
726 Cherokee Dr
Lancaster, OH 43130
maryleebc@earthlink.net
(614) 284-5119

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Uta Brerwington \(u-brewington@hotmail.com\)](mailto:u-brewington@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:44:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Uta Brerwington
161 W 2nd St
Xenia, OH 45385
u-brewington@hotmail.com
(937) 301-6782

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pj Petrocelli \(pjpetrocelli@yahoo.com\)](mailto:pjpetrocelli@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:44:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pj Petrocelli
46 simms
Dayton, OH 45403
pjpetrocelli@yahoo.com
(937) 572-3000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kirstina Whitford \(kwhitfor@gmail.com\)](mailto:kwhitfor@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:45:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kirstina Whitford
261 Collingwood Ave
Columbus, OH 43213
kwhitfor@gmail.com
(614) 499-1362

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Deborah Kochera \(french926@hotmail.com\)](mailto:french926@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: NOW! Protect the Ohio River NOW!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:45:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Deborah Kochera
4261 Regal Ave
Brunswick, OH 44212
french926@hotmail.com
(330) 321-1015

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tammie Robinson \(tmrangeeyes@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tmrangeeyes@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:45:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tammie Robinson
1116 Vinal St
Toledo, OH 43605
tmrangeeyes@yahoo.com
(419) 779-9952

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jim Villars \(jim.villars@gmail.com\)](mailto:jim.villars@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:45:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jim Villars
199 S 5th St Ste 403
Columbus, OH 43215
jim.villars@gmail.com
(703) 801-7747

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pamela Lambert \(pamandmark1@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:pamandmark1@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:45:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pamela Lambert
5933 Barr Rd SW
Amanda, OH 43102
pamandmark1@sbcglobal.net
(614) 625-8427

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jess Hines \(jhines11@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Jess Hines (jhines11@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:46:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jess Hines
4676 Alliance Rd
Ravenna, OH 44266
jhines11@yahoo.com
(330) 389-2417

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Randall Hagan \(balphus@yahoo.com\)](mailto:balphus@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:46:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Randall Hagan
250 Harvard Ave
Sidney, OH 45365
balphus@yahoo.com
(937) 622-1420

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Debra Chalk \(deb.chalk@yahoo.com\)](mailto:deb.chalk@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:46:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Debra Chalk
1049 Gail Ave
Fairfield, OH 45218
deb.chalk@yahoo.com
(513) 265-2254

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Martha Foppe \(foppe@fuse.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:foppe@fuse.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:46:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Martha Foppe
6834 Wild Plum Ct
Maineville, OH 45039
foppe@fuse.net
(513) 404-1404

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beth Vollmar \(beth.vollmar@promedica.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:beth.vollmar@promedica.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:46:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beth Vollmar
2241 Middlesex Dr
Toledo, OH 43606
beth.vollmar@promedica.org
(419) 578-0475

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alayne Gonnella \(adgonnella6@gmail.com\)](mailto:adgonnella6@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:46:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alayne Gonnella
213 E. Main St
Tipp City, OH 45410
adgonnella6@gmail.com
(937) 818-9959

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Haley \(carol.haley55@yahoo.com\)](mailto:Carol.Haley55@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:46:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carol Haley
28 Brighton St
Dayton, OH 45404
carol.haley55@yahoo.com
(937) 371-4114

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Williams \(mikewill84@gmail.com\)](mailto:mikewill84@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:46:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Williams
4332 Gunters Chain
Marengo, OH 43334
mikewill84@gmail.com
(619) 261-2950

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Aaron W Battersby \(aaron.battersby15@gmail.com\)](mailto:aaron.battersby15@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:47:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Aaron W Battersby
3060 Marshall Ave, Apt.411
Cincinnati, OH 45220
aaron.battersby15@gmail.com
(513) 382-7642

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Wiley Smith \(wileysmith@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:wileysmith@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:47:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Wiley Smith
2515 E 127th St
Cleveland, OH 44120
wileysmith@gmail.com
(336) 689-4118

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert Bailey \(psalomchik@sbglobal.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:psalomchik@sbglobal.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:47:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robert Bailey
9955 Market St.
North Lima, OH 44452
psalomchik@sbglobal.net
(330) 754-8267

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Debra Ticktin \(ticktinlaw@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Debra Ticktin (ticktinlaw@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:47:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Debra Ticktin
2570 Buckhurst Dr
Beachwood, OH 44122
ticktinlaw@yahoo.com
(216) 218-5768

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Kramer \(jkramer10@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:jkramer10@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:48:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Kramer
1024 Hicks Blvd
Fairfield, OH 45014
jkramer10@cinci.rr.com
(513) 304-6915

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kenneth Winstel \(k.winstel258@gmail.com\)](mailto:k.winstel258@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:48:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Winstel
1765 E Cooke Rd
Columbus, OH 43224
k.winstel258@gmail.com
(614) 795-7109

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nathan Simpson \(nathan.c.simpson@gmail.com\)](mailto:nathan.c.simpson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:48:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nathan Simpson
1345 Walnut St
Cincinnati, OH 45202
nathan.c.simpson@gmail.com
(937) 529-9068

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patrick Potoczak \(111patrick90@gmail.com\)](mailto:111patrick90@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:48:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patrick Potoczak
12254 Lake Forest Dr
Brecksville, OH 44141
111patrick90@gmail.com
(440) 623-6871

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [katherine mckay \(km214@msn.com\)](mailto:km214@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:49:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

katherine mckay
1300 Brimfield Dr
Kent, OH 44240
km214@msn.com
(978) 587-1526

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michele Benko \(michele1benko@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:michele1benko@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:49:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michele Benko
831 Cartier Drive
Canal Fulton, OH 43015
michele1benko@gmail.com
(314) 277-1198

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tammy Boos \(tboos360@aol.com\)](mailto:tboos360@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:49:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tammy Boos
853 Howard Dr
Vermilion, OH 44089
tboos360@aol.com
(419) 656-3190

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barbara Riley \(b.riley4219@gmail.com\)](mailto:b.riley4219@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:50:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barbara Riley
3172 McGuffey Rd
Columbus, OH 43224
b.riley4219@gmail.com
(614) 439-2062

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marta Mari \(maripermari@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:maripermari@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:51:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marta Mari
330 W North College St Apt 106
Yellow Springs, OH 45387
maripermari@gmail.com
(937) 750-8292

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Megan Lindle \(mlindle2@gmail.com\)](mailto:mlindle2@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:51:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Megan Lindle
2890 Romana Pl
Cincinnati, OH 45209
mlindle2@gmail.com
(513) 240-1324

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [April Kemp \(april.kemp@rollinghills.k12.oh.us\)](mailto:april.kemp@rollinghills.k12.oh.us) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:51:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

April Kemp
1206 Gomber Ave
Cambridge, OH 43725
april.kemp@rollinghills.k12.oh.us
(740) 641-9378

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Terrie Shouse \(terrie.library@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:terrie.library@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:52:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Terrie Shouse
1209 Brookview Ave
Kettering, OH 45409
terrie.library@gmail.com
(937) 369-8568

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard Bakker \(wwusciguy@hotmail.com\)](mailto:Richard.Bakker@wwusciguy@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:52:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard Bakker
4265 Camborne Rd
Upper Arlington, OH 43220
wwusciguy@hotmail.com
(304) 685-3368

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Penrose \(penros02@gmail.com\)](mailto:penros02@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:53:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Penrose
808 Walker St
Newark, OH 43055
penros02@gmail.com
(740) 291-9674

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Evans \(kateward7@outlook.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kateward7@outlook.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:53:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Evans
26455 Detroit Rd
Westlake, OH 44145
kateward7@outlook.com
(440) 829-1534

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Edward Weber \(bigskyrad@mac.com\)](mailto:bigskyrad@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:53:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Edward Weber
320 Eagle Point Dr
Lyndhurst, OH 44124
bigskyrad@mac.com
(260) 249-9025

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kyle Moon \(kjmoon01@gmail.com\)](mailto:kjmoon01@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:54:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kyle Moon
6116 Peppergrass Ct
Westerville, OH 43082
kjmoon01@gmail.com
(317) 966-9555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carolyn Grimes \(carolyn.grimes55@gmail.com\)](mailto:carolyn.grimes55@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:54:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Grimes
1910 Ripley Pike
Manchester, OH 45144
carolyn.grimes55@gmail.com
(937) 515-8259

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mike Halaiko \(mihalaj@icloud.com\)](mailto:mihalaj@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:54:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mike Halaiko
285 W Columbus St
Pickerington, OH 43147
mihalaj@icloud.com
(740) 605-3860

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Darlyn Derthick \(darderthick@me.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:darderthick@me.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:55:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Darlyn Derthick
135 MillsdaleSt
Cincinnati, OH 44102
darderthick@me.com
(614) 638-7519

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joyce Shadrach \(goosecoup2@hotmail.com\)](mailto:goosecoup2@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:55:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joyce Shadrach
10269 Breininger Rd
Hicksville, OH 43526
goosecoup2@hotmail.com
(419) 487-0288

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [William Krosky \(wnk74@bex.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:wnk74@bex.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:55:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

William Krosky
615 Miami Mnr
Maumee, OH 43537
wnk74@bex.net
(419) 290-6080

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alisha Clark \(arc4392@gmail.com\)](mailto:arc4392@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:56:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alisha Clark
43884 Hanson Ridge Rd
Lewisville, OH 43754
arc4392@gmail.com
(740) 509-5471

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Edward Seigerst \(garyseigerst@gmail.com\)](mailto:garyseigerst@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:56:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Edward Seigerst
2789 Sparrow Hill Dr
Columbus, OH 43219
garyseigerst@gmail.com
(614) 800-8323

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kim Stroud \(kyerkic@gmail.com\)](mailto:kyerkic@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:56:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kim Stroud
2943 E Derbyshire Rd
Cleveland Hts, OH 44118
kyerkic@gmail.com
(216) 288-2470

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Loretta Ryland \(ryland318@att.net\)](mailto:ryland318@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:57:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Loretta Ryland
3410 Wooster Road #420
Rocky River, OH 44116
ryland318@att.net
(440) 333-3298

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Noel Galligan \(bluedream792011@live.com\)](mailto:Noel.Galligan(bluedream792011@live.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:57:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Noel Galligan
2350 N Cole St Apt D82
Lima, OH 45801
bluedream792011@live.com
(732) 773-3365

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joel Kingery \(joelkingery@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:joelkingery@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:57:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joel Kingery
55 N Ridge
Springboro, OH 45066
joelkingery@hotmail.com
(513) 260-0382

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephanie Hammons \(stevibrookeshire@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:stevibrookeshire@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:57:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Hammons
2729 Willard Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45209
stevibrookeshire@gmail.com
(513) 582-8333

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jenny Geib \(babayaga.geib@gmail.com\)](mailto:babayaga.geib@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:57:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jenny Geib
122 W 22nd St
Dover, OH 44622
babayaga.geib@gmail.com
(330) 432-1475

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Natalie Scaparotti \(natscap22@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:natscap22@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:58:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Natalie Scaparotti
8500 Countryside Dr
Sagamore Hills, OH 44067
natscap22@gmail.com
(216) 789-5185

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tamara Young \(sueheck24@gmail.com\)](mailto:sueheck24@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:58:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tamara Young
755 Tamarack Ct
Lebanon, OH 45036
sueheck24@gmail.com
(513) 932-9724

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Peter Elfers \(adamelfers@gmail.com\)](mailto:adamelfers@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:58:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Peter Elfers
125 Dalfaber Ln.
Springboro, OH 45066
adamelfers@gmail.com
(937) 776-3436

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharon Robinson \(jenjorob8@gmail.com\)](mailto:jenjorob8@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:58:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether. Myself and my family will be of those EFFECTED.
I lived in Ohio all my life

Sincerely,

Sharon Robinson
7370 Hamilton Cleves Rd
Cleves, OH 45002
jenjorob8@gmail.com
(513) 545-6438

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nan Brunskill \(nmpb85@aol.com\)](mailto:nmpb85@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:58:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nan Brunskill
6477 Chablis Dr
Liberty Twp, OH 45011
nmpb85@aol.com
(513) 615-0093

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Minger \(dmminger@gmail.com\)](mailto:dmminger@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:59:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Minger
3468 Timberside Dr
Powell, OH 43065
dmminger@gmail.com
(614) 561-8496

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marian Wojtowicz \(swujo454@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:swujo454@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:59:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marian Wojtowicz
5530 Walnut Grove Cir
Struthers, OH 44471
swujo454@roadrunner.com
(330) 565-6435

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bradley Berg \(forsignups8@gmail.com\)](mailto:forsignups8@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:59:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bradley Berg
2860 Ash Dr
Springfield, OH 45504
forsignups8@gmail.com
(937) 605-5440

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marlana Kemphues \(cirquedumondemk@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Marlana Kemphues (cirquedumondemk@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 12:59:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marlana Kemphues
1124 Clearbrook Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45229
cirquedumondemk@gmail.com
(513) 348-7182

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joan Mansfield \(joaniemaroni@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:joaniemaroni@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:00:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joan Mansfield
6808 Innsbruck Drive
Dayton, OH 45459
joaniemaroni@yahoo.com
(937) 307-1533

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Lecompte-Phelps \(jlp31415@gmail.com\)](mailto:JlP31415@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:00:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Lecompte-Phelps
6980 Grace Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45227
jlp31415@gmail.com
(513) 312-3813

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Arpad Szentkiralyi \(aszentki@gmail.com\)](mailto:aszentki@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:00:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Arpad Szentkiralyi
PO Box 700
Bowling Green, OH 43402
aszentki@gmail.com
(419) 575-8087

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anne Bumbak \(abumbak@hushmail.com\)](mailto:abumbak@hushmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:00:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anne Bumbak
6509 Marsol Rd
Cleveland, OH 44124
abumbak@hushmail.com
(216) 526-8021

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brian Mccollum \(brianmccollum3@gmail.com\)](mailto:brianmccollum3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:01:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brian Mccollum
17424 Sheldon rd
Brookpark, OH 44017
brianmccollum3@gmail.com
(216) 308-0086

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Melody Bryant \(brng.lv2it@yahoo.com\)](mailto:brng.lv2it@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:01:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Melody Bryant
3106 Ohio Ave
Middletown, OH 45042
brng.lv2it@yahoo.com
(513) 292-6222

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Alyssa Webb \(alyssawebb@hotmail.com\)](mailto:alyssawebb@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:01:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Webb
1582 Ridgewood Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
alyssawebb@hotmail.com
(216) 408-0038

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Betty Brancato \(bbran0717@gmail.com\)](mailto:bbran0717@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:02:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Betty Brancato
1147 patterson rd
Dayton, OH 45420
bbran0717@gmail.com
(937) 520-9837

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sylvia Espelage \(mzsyl@yahoo.com\)](mailto:mzsyl@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:02:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Espelage
7789 Skyview Circle
Cincinnati, OH 45348
mzsyl@yahoo.com
(408) 728-5942

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Aguilera \(mjpgn93@yahoo.com\)](mailto:mjpgn93@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:02:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Aguilera
576 Panorama Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45238
mjpgn93@yahoo.com
(513) 739-9724

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jimelle Mader \(jmader315@gmail.com\)](mailto:jmader315@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:03:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jimelle Mader
558 Hibbs Rd
Lockbourne, OH 43137
jmader315@gmail.com
(614) 571-0912

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Molly Lent \(mollyrose579@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mollyrose579@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:04:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Molly Lent
1807 Messner Dr
Hilliard, OH 43026
mollyrose579@yahoo.com
(614) 406-4280

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joyce Ausnehmer \(jausnehmer58@gmail.com\)](mailto:jausnehmer58@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:04:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joyce Ausnehmer
13316 Crossburn Ave
Cleveland, OH 44135
jausnehmer58@gmail.com
(216) 376-7179

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Maria Wolf \(maria.wolf@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:maria.wolf@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:04:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Maria Wolf
5485 Parkshire Dr
Columbus, OH 43229
maria.wolf@gmail.com
(614) 682-9653

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cheryl Johncox \(cheryl.johncox@sierraclub.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cheryl.johncox@sierraclub.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:04:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Johncox
340 E Blagrove St
Richwood, OH 43344
cheryl.johncox@sierraclub.org
(740) 360-0420

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bianca Mcarrell \(biancamcarrell@yahoo.com\)](mailto:biancamcarrell@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:04:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bianca Mcarrell
384 Edinburgh Rd
Dublin, OH 43017
biancamcarrell@yahoo.com
(614) 893-1693

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Moritz \(mikemoritz@att.net\)](mailto:mikemoritz@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:04:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Moritz
27 Villa Bch
Cleveland, OH 44110
mikemoritz@att.net
(216) 240-9708

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Trout \(dt trout@woh.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dt trout@woh.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:04:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Trout
10408 Stream Park Ct
Dayton, OH 45458
dt trout@woh.rr.com
(937) 232-8318

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jamie Friday \(jamie.friday@gmail.com\)](mailto:jamie.friday@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:05:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jamie Friday
7112 Missy Park Ct
Dublin, OH 43017
jamie.friday@gmail.com
(614) 439-0014

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lynn Ockenden \(lynnolynno@live.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lynnolynno@live.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:05:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lynn Ockenden
12 W Mather Lane
Bratenahl, OH 44108
lynnolynno@live.com
(612) 805-0479

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tom Savoca \(tsavoca47@yahoo.com\)](mailto:tsavoca47@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:06:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tom Savoca
5012 Tall Timbers Dr
Richfield, OH 44286
tsavoca47@yahoo.com
(216) 990-5706

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Sarsfield \(jsarsfield.50@gmail.com\)](mailto:jsarsfield.50@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:06:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Sarsfield
23 Mansfield Ave
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
jsarsfield.50@gmail.com
(419) 560-0580

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [A Doran \(amdoran17@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:amdoran17@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:06:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

A Doran
42 1/2 E Winter St Apt 11
Delaware, OH 43015
amdoran17@gmail.com
(614) 516-1906

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Leigh Gaines \(samuelquincy@gmail.com\)](mailto:samuelquincy@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:07:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Leigh Gaines
3814 Drakewood Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45209
samuelquincy@gmail.com
(513) 550-5888

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Lanker \(smlanker@juno.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:smlanker@juno.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:07:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Lanker
150 DOUGLAS DR
Columbus, OH 43230
smlanker@juno.com
(503) 440-0416

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [BONNIE GAMBILL \(bonngambill@yahoo.com\)](mailto:bonngambill@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:08:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

BONNIE GAMBILL
524 Patterson Rd
Houston, OH 45333
bonngambill@yahoo.com
(937) 418-3839

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lynda Ream \(lream11141947@gmail.com\)](mailto:lream11141947@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:08:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lynda Ream
4865 Northcliff Dr Apt 7
Dayton, OH 45431
lream11141947@gmail.com
(937) 254-7668

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rebecca Katzenmeyer \(rkatzenmeyer@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Rebecca.Katzenmeyer@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:09:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Katzenmeyer
324 Marquette Rd
Fairlawn, OH 44333
rkatzenmeyer@gmail.com
(330) 472-4579

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stewart Hinze \(stuhinze@mac.com\)](mailto:stuhinze@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:10:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stewart Hinze
3524 Corey Rd
Toledo, OH 43615
stuhinze@mac.com
(419) 340-5174

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Philip Strickland \(lihp_21@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lihp_21@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:10:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Philip Strickland
753 W Market St
Akron, OH 44303
lihp_21@yahoo.com
(330) 606-2866

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Liggett \(liggetma@gmail.com\)](mailto:liggetma@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:10:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Liggett
9423 Old Forest Ln
Loveland, OH 45140
liggetma@gmail.com
(513) 404-5605

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Barry Goldberg \(barryauberg@gmail.com\)](mailto:barryauberg@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:11:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Sup boot lickers,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Barry Goldberg
1931 Coltman Rd
Cleveland, OH 44106
barryauberg@gmail.com
(540) 227-7942

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susie Lane \(slane2@cinci.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:slane2@cinci.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:11:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susie Lane
2018 Tullis Dr
Middletown, OH 45042
slane2@cinci.rr.com
(513) 256-2355

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Snyder \(kimsny03@icloud.com\)](mailto:kimsny03@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:13:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Snyder
716 30th St NW Apt 504
Canton, OH 44709
kimsny03@icloud.com
(330) 685-4347

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eileen Ribbler \(emrribbler@gmail.com\)](mailto:emrribbler@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:13:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eileen Ribbler
1912 Hazel Ave
Dayton, OH 45420
emrribbler@gmail.com
(937) 270-3859

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathryn Houseman Lobert \(sinaisara@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:sinaisara@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:13:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

To Whom It May Concern: My name is Kathryn Houseman Lobert. My husband's name is James E. Lobert. I am contacting you on behalf of the two of of us, or 2 sons, their wives, our two granddaughters, or grandson who is due in December as well as others who drink the water from Ohio. I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether. We count on the government who would endanger the lives of our family as well as the other families of Ohio. We deserve and demand that you project our water, thereby protecting our family, other Ohio families as well, and the future generations of Ohioans. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Houseman Lobert and family

Sincerely,

Kathryn Houseman Lobert
4782 Richland Dr,
Columbus, OH 43230
sinaisara@sbcglobal.net
(817) 800-8237

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Roberta Zeber \(robbi037@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:robbi037@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:14:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Roberta Zeber
1318 W 111th St
Cleveland, OH 44102
robbi037@gmail.com
(216) 551-1893

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sylvia Cooke \(sylviacooke172@gmail.com\)](mailto:sylviacooke172@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:15:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Cooke
417 Summit St
Defiance, OH 43512
sylviacooke172@gmail.com
(774) 437-8638

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Wren Fiocco \(purplealto37@yahoo.com\)](mailto:purplealto37@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:17:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Wren Fiocco
135 W Lorain St
Oberlin, OH 44074
purplealto37@yahoo.com
(908) 300-6157

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anita Kiefer \(bo.kiefer67@gmail.com\)](mailto:bo.kiefer67@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:17:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anita Kiefer
836 N Circle Dr
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
bo.kiefer67@gmail.com
(419) 296-3342

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carole Gray \(caroleagray@gmail.com\)](mailto:caroleagray@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:18:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carole Gray
2590 Swansea Rd
Columbus, OH 43221
caroleagray@gmail.com
(614) 634-8889

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Crichton \(hunterevans56@aol.com\)](mailto:hunterevans56@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:18:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Crichton
5412 Armistead Ln
Dublin, OH 43017
hunterevans56@aol.com
(614) 674-2883

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carolyn Sellers \(a2csells@aol.com\)](mailto:a2csells@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:18:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Sellers
3958 Parkside Ct
Hilliard, OH 43026
a2csells@aol.com
(614) 323-4768

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Katharine Opre \(kathopre@gmail.com\)](mailto:kathopre@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:19:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katharine Opre
27060 Cedar Road
Beachwood, OH 44122
kathopre@gmail.com
(216) 644-3751

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jo Ward \(joward5806@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:joward5806@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:20:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jo Ward
2456 Bloxom St
Grove City, OH 43123
joward5806@gmail.com
(614) 787-0413

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carla Beck \(carla.beck11@gmail.com\)](mailto:carla.beck11@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:21:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carla Beck
7234 Abilene Trl
Mason, OH 45040
carla.beck11@gmail.com
(513) 314-3303

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Deborah Wallen \(moonflowerwallen7@gmail.com\)](mailto:moonflowerwallen7@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:21:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Deborah Wallen
18510 State Route 41
West Union, OH 45693
moonflowerwallen7@gmail.com
(937) 217-3380

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gwynne Rearick \(pengwynne@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:pengwynne@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:24:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gwynne Rearick
26 Pinehurst Ave
Dayton, OH 45405
pengwynne@sbcglobal.net
(937) 478-6186

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cindy Matthews \(thebernieblog2016@gmail.com\)](mailto:thebernieblog2016@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:25:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cindy Matthews
3655 Turret Green Dr
Toledo, OH 43607
thebernieblog2016@gmail.com
(567) 220-9850

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Zulma Villalba \(zulma5832@att.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:zulma5832@att.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:26:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Zulma Villalba
5832 Laylor Dr
Parma Heights, OH 44130
zulma5832@att.net
(646) 316-1110

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Vicki Pauer \(vicki61957@att.net\)](mailto:vicki61957@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:27:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Vicki Pauer
1111 Beaumont Ave
Dayton, OH 45410
vicki61957@att.net
(937) 367-1889

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Donald Gilbert \(dgilbert11188@gmail.com\)](mailto:dgilbert11188@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:27:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Donald Gilbert
3241 Dickinson Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45211
dgilbert11188@gmail.com
(513) 379-5264

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Rogers \(bettysboutique21@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Mary.Rogers@bettysboutique21@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:27:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Rogers
13087 Spargur Ln
Hillsboro, OH 45133
bettysboutique21@gmail.com
(440) 666-6499

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Deb Lichtenberger \(leric7454@yahoo.com\)](mailto:leric7454@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:28:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Deb Lichtenberger
7454 Hudson Park Dr
Hudson, OH 44236
leric7454@yahoo.com
(330) 801-3855

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Maria Jimenez \(alpacavet@aol.com\)](mailto:alpacavet@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:28:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Maria Jimenez
3916 Sable Ridge Dr
Bellbrook, OH 45305
alpacavet@aol.com
(937) 369-5579

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Rutkowski \(krutkowski39@gmail.com\)](mailto:krutkowski39@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:29:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Rutkowski
5626 Nebraska Ave
Toledo, OH 43615
krutkowski39@gmail.com
(419) 250-8443

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Scott \(rummdumm53@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rummdumm53@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:29:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Scott
26 Apache Trl
Malvern, OH 44644
rummdumm53@yahoo.com
(330) 575-1670

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Aimee Gintz \(aimeegintz@yahoo.com\)](mailto:AimeeGintz@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:31:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Aimee Gintz
118 E 11th St
Dover, OH 44622
aimeegintz@yahoo.com
(330) 284-9311

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Brown \(ladiessence2000@yahoo.com\)](mailto:ladiessence2000@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:32:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Brown
259 Perkinswood Blvd NE Apt B1
Warren, OH 44483
ladiessence2000@yahoo.com
(330) 984-3810

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cathy Skiver \(cathyskiver@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:cathyskiver@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:32:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cathy Skiver
4748 Swan Rd
Pemberville, OH 43450
cathyskiver@gmail.com
(419) 509-3320

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Taris Harlow \(taris.harlow@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:taris.harlow@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:33:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Taris Harlow
314 Devor St
Greenville, OH 45331
taris.harlow@yahoo.com
(937) 467-0982

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tracey Parsons \(speakineasy@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:speakineasy@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:34:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tracey Parsons
1706 Woods Rd
Akron, OH 44306
speakineasy@aol.com
(330) 945-0883

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Burkhart \(dgbcle@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dgbcle@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:34:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Burkhart
14303 Garfield Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
dgbcle@hotmail.com
(216) 337-3767

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Purkey \(lpurkey@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lpurkey@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:34:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Purkey
6399 S Dixie Hwy
Franklin, OH 45005
lpurkey@gmail.com
(937) 540-5358

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Toni Crawford \(tcrawford62@att.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tcrawford62@att.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:37:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Toni Crawford
4100 E 144th St
Cleveland, OH 44128
tcrawford62@att.net
(216) 650-0528

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Becky Georgiton \(300binnsblvd@gmail.com\)](mailto:300binnsblvd@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:37:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Becky Georgiton
5845 Pinecone Ct
Columbus, OH 43231
300binnsblvd@gmail.com
(614) 565-6528

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharon Smith \(sksmith1022@gmail.com\)](mailto:sksmith1022@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:37:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sharon Smith
122 Brown St
Dayton, OH 45402
sksmith1022@gmail.com
(937) 222-4408

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sue Wolpert \(suewolpert@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:suewolpert@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:38:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sue Wolpert
3108 Scarborough Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118
suewolpert@yahoo.com
(216) 410-2456

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kim Washick \(kwashick@gmail.com\)](mailto:kwashick@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:39:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kim Washick
4346 Vine St
Cincinnati, OH 45217
kwashick@gmail.com
(513) 544-1541

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Connie Nash-Kearns \(ckearns310@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:ckearns310@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:40:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Connie Nash-Kearns
417 Dellwood
Connie, OH 45419
ckearns310@sbcglobal.net
(937) 474-2224

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Melissa Jackson \(mrjackson77@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mrjackson77@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:41:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Melissa Jackson
7079 Goldengate Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45244
mrjackson77@hotmail.com
(513) 659-6769

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Daugherty \(jr73842000@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jr73842000@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:41:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Daugherty
123 N Granger St Apt 4
Granville, OH 43023
jr73842000@yahoo.com
(740) 877-7852

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jim Riesenberger \(jriesenberger@columbus.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jriesenberger@columbus.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:42:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jim Riesenberger
751 College Ave
Columbus, OH 43209
jriesenberger@columbus.rr.com
(614) 271-9426

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Amy Guthrie \(jeindha@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jeindha@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:43:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Amy Guthrie
2280 bellfield ave Apt 3
Cleveland heights, OH 44106
jeindha@yahoo.com
(315) 246-1674

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Leslie Gray \(mystic2756@hotmail.com\)](mailto:mystic2756@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:45:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Leslie Gray
26282 Mallard Ave
Euclid, OH 44132
mystic2756@hotmail.com
(216) 269-9577

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [BRITNEY ANDREWS \(andrews.britney@yahoo.com\)](mailto:andrews.britney@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:45:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

BRITNEY ANDREWS
7612 Pickett Ln.
Columbus, OH 43235
andrews.britney@yahoo.com
(614) 216-2748

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marnelle Curtis \(marnellecurtis@gmail.com\)](mailto:marnellecurtis@gmail.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:46:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marnelle Curtis
3312 Eisenhower Rd
Columbus, OH 43224
marnellecurtis@gmail.com
(304) 582-8412

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Birkhead \(jennbirkhead@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jennbirkhead@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:47:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Birkhead
2389 S Dixie Dr
Kettering, OH 45409
jennbirkhead@gmail.com
(937) 241-0994

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Sisson \(sissond@gilmour.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sissond@gilmour.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:47:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I support high environmental standards for the country and state in which I reside. Corporations should not be allowed to pollute water just because it is cheaper not to clean it up. I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people helps everyone through sanitation and preservation.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Ps because part of this message was taken from a suggestion for what to write, do not use it to claim that this was spam or bots. Thanks

Sincerely,

David Sisson
14265 polo club dr
Strongsville, OH 44274
sissond@gilmour.org
(330) 608-9627

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julia Dibaggio \(jdibaggio@juno.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:julia.dibaggio@juno.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:49:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julia Dibaggio
305 E 151st St
Cleveland, OH 44110
jdibaggio@juno.com
(614) 805-1947

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Larry Bowman \(lbowman179@gmail.com\)](mailto:lbowman179@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:51:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Larry Bowman
88 S Ireland Blvd
Ontario, OH 44906
lbowman179@gmail.com
(419) 565-3874

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Fred Powell \(ibinstrokin@yahoo.com\)](mailto:ibinstrokin@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:53:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Fred Powell
2038 Loxley Rd
Toledo, OH 43613
ibinstrokin@yahoo.com
(419) 509-4974

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marlene Blatnik-Freeze \(mblatnik3@hotmail.com\)](mailto:mblatnik3@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:54:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marlene Blatnik-Freeze
3309 Braemar Rd
Shaker Hts., OH 44120
mblatnik3@hotmail.com
(216) 203-0896

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Amanda Warfe \(amandawarfe@yahoo.com\)](mailto:amandawarfe@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:55:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Amanda Warfe
150 Graces Run Rd
Winchester, OH 45697
amandawarfe@yahoo.com
(937) 205-3243

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beth Andrasak \(brighton23@att.net\)](mailto:brighton23@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:55:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beth Andrasak
4303 Windsor Ave
Willoughby, OH 44094
brighton23@att.net
(845) 701-3001

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Viki Lewis \(wiki.lewis@icloud.com\)](mailto:wiki.lewis@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:55:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Viki Lewis
4109 Millikin Rd
Fairfield Township, OH 45011
wiki.lewis@icloud.com
(661) 993-4520

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Danette Golis \(dancetaxi22@gmail.com\)](mailto:dancetaxi22@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:56:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Danette Golis
3350 Timber Oak Dr
Columbus, OH 43204
dancetaxi22@gmail.com
(520) 820-1978

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Brockhaus \(jbrockhaus@msn.com\)](mailto:jbrockhaus@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:57:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Brockhaus
2040 Yoast Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45225
jbrockhaus@msn.com
(513) 254-9293

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nicole LaSelle \(nlaselle@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:nlaselle@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:59:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nicole LaSelle
931 Patterson Rd
Dayton, OH 45419
nlaselle@gmail.com
(937) 572-7001

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Margaret Hale \(makh123@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:makh123@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:59:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

I grew up near Ohio River and was effected by unregulated chemicals from industry into the river and our drinking water. Protect Ohio River Hold to highest standards for clean drinkable water.

Sincerely,

Margaret Hale
10431 Fairlawn Dr
Cleveland, OH 44130
makh123@aol.com
(440) 623-9361

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Adam Savett \(asavett@gmail.com\)](mailto:asavett@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:01:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Adam Savett
31760 Woodsdale Ln
Solon, OH 44139
asavett@gmail.com
(440) 542-0299

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karen Muhammad \(sisterkaren@live.com\)](mailto:sisterkaren@live.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:01:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karen Muhammad
PO Box 21401
Cleveland, OH 44121
sisterkaren@live.com
(216) 288-1534

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Herbert Zeman \(herbzeman@gmail.com\)](mailto:herbzeman@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:01:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Herbert Zeman
7134 Royalgreen Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45244
herbzeman@gmail.com
(513) 886-7649

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rachel Vail \(rnvail@att.net\)](mailto:rnvail@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:01:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rachel Vail
3029 Gunckel Blvd
Toledo, OH 43606
rnvail@att.net
(419) 508-6705

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karen Eliot \(keliot614@gmail.com\)](mailto:keliot614@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:02:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karen Eliot
47 Leland Ave
Columbus, OH 43214
keliot614@gmail.com
(614) 578-8441

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Janice Williams \(williams7559@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:williams7559@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:02:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Janice Williams
26337 Red Fox Trl
Oakwood Village, OH 44146
williams7559@sbcglobal.net
(216) 253-3109

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [melody brewer \(melodybrewer1938@gmail.com\)](mailto:melodybrewer1938@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:02:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

melody brewer
2553 Glenwood Ave
Toledo, OH 43610
melodybrewer1938@gmail.com
(419) 944-4141

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Denise Hendershott \(dhenders258@aol.com\)](mailto:dhenders258@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:04:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Denise Hendershott
2797 Clearview Dr
Lexington, OH 44904
dhenders258@aol.com
(419) 571-1686

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jill Griffith \(jill.griffith@att.net\)](mailto:jill.griffith@att.net) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:07:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jill Griffith
1345 W 111th St
Cleveland, OH 44102
jill.griffith@att.net
(216) 333-4802

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kenneth Brown \(redsing@bex.net\)](mailto:redsing@bex.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:08:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Brown
3143 Matson St Apt 3
Toledo, OH 43606
redsing@bex.net
(419) 973-0383

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [justitne smith \(justinmarysmith@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:justinmarysmith@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:10:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO).

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

It is better to be on the safe side when it comes to the health of the people of our communities, It would be short sighted and irresponsible to weaken the oversight and monitoring of this important source of drinking water.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether

Don't let Ohio be another Flint>.

Sincerely,

justitne smith
3677 w. 140th st.
Cleveland, OH 44111
justinmarysmith@hotmail.com
(216) 336-0751

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Burroughs \(burroughspictures@gmail.com\)](mailto:burroughspictures@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:10:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Burroughs
20 Arcola Dr
Ashtabula, OH 44004
burroughspictures@gmail.com
(440) 813-3240

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marvin Strach \(marvstr15@gmail.com\)](mailto:marvstr15@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:11:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marvin Strach
3070 Silver Lake Blvd
Silver Lake, OH 44224
marvstr15@gmail.com
(330) 322-0057

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kevin Rainwater \(kmrpops@gmail.com\)](mailto:kmrpops@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:11:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kevin Rainwater
55347 Township Road 169
Fresno, OH 43824
kmrpops@gmail.com
(740) 202-5309

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cory Luzar \(c.luzar@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:c.luzar@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:14:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cory Luzar
2320 Glendon Rd
University Heights, OH 44118
c.luzar@sbcglobal.net
(216) 548-5638

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Deborah Combs \(debdovedc@aol.com\)](mailto:debdovedc@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:16:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Deborah Combs
163 Tanglewood Dr
West Liberty, OH 43357
debdovedc@aol.com
(970) 744-8535

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dana Engell \(dana.j.engell@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dana.j.engell@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:17:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dana Engell
13 Upland Ter
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
dana.j.engell@gmail.com
(413) 221-0927

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Donna Keller \(kellerdm1@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kellerdm1@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:21:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Donna Keller
8365 Fox Knoll Dr
West Chester, OH 45069
kellerdm1@gmail.com
(513) 659-2406

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [jonathan occhibove \(leonardodavinci15@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:leonardodavinci15@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:22:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

jonathan occhibove
1033 Villa Place
Girard, OH 44420
leonardodavinci15@gmail.com
(330) 718-8212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lori Rootes \(lorirootes@gmail.com\)](mailto:lorirootes@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:27:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lori Rootes
850 Iredell St
Akron, OH 44310
lorirootes@gmail.com
(571) 243-5442

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dakota Owens-Bostic \(dakotaob.5556@gmail.com\)](mailto:dakotaob.5556@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:29:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dakota Owens-Bostic
7688 Sweetwood Ct
Dublin, OH 43016
dakotaob.5556@gmail.com
(614) 843-4142

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kim Fischer \(fischkim@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Kim Fischer (fischkim@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:32:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kim Fischer
896 S Cassingham Rd
Bexley, OH 43209
fischkim@yahoo.com
(614) 975-8547

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Maureen Bondra \(mbondra@neo.rr.com\)](mailto:mbondra@neo.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:33:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Maureen Bondra
PO Box 422
Westfield Center, OH 44251
mbondra@neo.rr.com
(330) 760-1847

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Donna Russo \(drusso@zoomtown.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:drusso@zoomtown.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:33:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Donna Russo
5561 Goldenrod Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45239
drusso@zoomtown.com
(513) 741-4383

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michaela Cottrill \(karshner12@gmail.com\)](mailto:karshner12@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:34:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michaela Cottrill
1519 Kendall Ave Apt 31
Portsmouth, OH 45662
karshner12@gmail.com
(740) 497-6625

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Meg Bennett \(meggarmom@gmail.com\)](mailto:meggarmom@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:36:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Meg Bennett
86 W 4th St
Chillicothe, OH 45601
meggarmom@gmail.com
(740) 804-3742

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tim Brown \(timbro28@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:timbro28@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:38:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tim Brown
1440 W Kemper Rd Apt 803
Cincinnati, OH 45240
timbro28@yahoo.com
(440) 258-3757

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Higgins \(higgins.katie@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:higgins.katie@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:39:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Higgins
2163 Elbur Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
higgins.katie@gmail.com
(216) 269-7143

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Mckinley \(ssmckinley@aol.com\)](mailto:ssmckinley@aol.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:41:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Mckinley
50 S Ardmore Rd
Bexley, OH 43209
ssmckinley@aol.com
(614) 571-9523

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Wendy Lyden \(wendy.lyden@gmail.com\)](mailto:Wendy.Lyden@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:41:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Wendy Lyden
2568 San Pedro Dr
Youngstown, OH 44511
wendy.lyden@gmail.com
(330) 207-7520

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joan Leppla \(jleppla@neo.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jleppla@neo.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:42:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joan Leppla
3105 Brush Road
Richfield, OH 44236
jleppla@neo.rr.com
(330) 655-5454

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Hallie Javorek \(hallie.javorek@gmail.com\)](mailto:hallie.javorek@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:49:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Hallie Javorek
970 Bell Rd
Chagrin Falls, OH 44022
hallie.javorek@gmail.com
(440) 804-6381

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nichole Schalk-Tiell \(nichole8_24@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:nichole8_24@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:51:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nichole Schalk-Tiell
3857 W County Road 48
Tiffin, OH 44883
nichole8_24@yahoo.com
(567) 230-4882

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Elswick \(lgslivebait@aol.com\)](mailto:lgslivebait@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:52:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Elswick
664 Daleview Ave
Dayton, OH 45405
lgslivebait@aol.com
(937) 301-7407

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Vikram Seetharaman \(brothervik8@hotmail.com\)](mailto:brothervik8@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:54:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Vikram Seetharaman
32280 Wintergreen Drive
Solon, OH 44139
brothervik8@hotmail.com
(440) 317-0133

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathleen Jaissle \(kathyj3715@gmail.com\)](mailto:kathyj3715@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:55:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Jaissle
11849 Clifton Blvd Apt A210
Lakewood, OH 44107
kathyj3715@gmail.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [joanne umansky \(rakujmu731@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rakujmu731@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:56:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

joanne umansky
24448 Albert Lane
Beachwood, OH 44122
rakujmu731@gmail.com
(216) 408-8828

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joyce Walton \(30walton26@gmail.com\)](mailto:30walton26@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:58:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joyce Walton
3006 E Moreland Dr
Columbus, OH 43209
30walton26@gmail.com
(614) 638-2069

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sylvia Cooke \(sylviacooke172@gmail.com\)](mailto:sylviacooke172@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:58:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Cooke
417 Summit Street
Defiance, OH 43512
sylviacooke172@gmail.com
(419) 782-0788

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Denise Wells \(dallasdenise59.dw@gmail.com\)](mailto:dallasdenise59.dw@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:01:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Denise Wells
1370 Barnett Rd Apt 219
Columbus, OH 43227
dallasdenise59.dw@gmail.com
(614) 779-6230

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Colleen Hively \(collydawn12@gmail.com\)](mailto:collydawn12@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:08:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Colleen Hively
7937 Cook Jones Rd
Waynesville, OH 45068
collydawn12@gmail.com
(937) 360-3160

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sonja Jasovsky \(sonjapqconsultant@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sonjapqconsultant@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:09:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sonja Jasovsky
33589 Weaver Church Rd
Albany, OH 45710
sonjapqconsultant@yahoo.com
(740) 590-6269

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Delores Peoples \(d.peoples1@att.net\)](mailto:d.peoples1@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:10:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Delores Peoples
507 Karl Dr
Cleveland, OH 44143
d.peoples1@att.net
(216) 854-5024

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Conway \(lizzy.conway@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lizzy.conway@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:11:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Conway
2780 Chagrin River Rd
Chagrin Falls, OH 44022
lizzy.conway@gmail.com
(561) 715-0800

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Katherine Hudina \(kathyhudina@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kathyhudina@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:11:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katherine Hudina
4400 State Route 37 E
Delaware, OH 43015
kathyhudina@gmail.com
(614) 209-5761

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Wendy Warner \(wendy43228@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:wendy43228@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:13:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Wendy Warner
5534 Garden Rdg
Columbus, OH 43228
wendy43228@yahoo.com
(614) 323-2933

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandra Paszko \(sandyp@toast.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sandyp@toast.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:16:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandra Paszko
3010 W Central Ave
Toledo, OH 43606
sandyp@toast.net
(419) 531-5188

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dotti Hildreth \(dottihildreth@gmail.com\)](mailto:DottiHildreth@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:20:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dotti Hildreth
1001 Lamplighter Drive
Grove City, OH 43123
dottihildreth@gmail.com
(614) 562-0781

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Venn \(lizvenn46@gmail.com\)](mailto:lizvenn46@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:26:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Venn
1151 Meriweather Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45208
lizvenn46@gmail.com
(513) 659-8439

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lynda Oros \(Imoros2016@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Imoros2016@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:30:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lynda Oros
3545 Claudia Dr
Toledo, OH 43614
Imoros2016@gmail.com
(419) 261-5587

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Braun Volpe \(applepatty9@aol.com\)](mailto:applepatty9@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:30:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Braun Volpe
605 Glen Eden Ct
Aurora, OH 44202
applepatty9@aol.com
(216) 375-8480

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rhonda White \(mechaniglo@yahoo.com\)](mailto:mechaniglo@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:34:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rhonda White
3102 Baffin Ct
Dublin, OH 43017
mechaniglo@yahoo.com
(614) 975-6286

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Andrea Billingsley \(andreabillingsley@gmail.com\)](mailto:andreabillingsley@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:34:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Andrea Billingsley
405 Rendale Pl
Dayton, OH 45426
andreabillingsley@gmail.com
(937) 231-5197

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bethany Witthuhn \(witthuhn09@aol.com\)](mailto:witthuhn09@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:38:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bethany Witthuhn
14480 Cherokee Trl
Cleveland, OH 44130
witthuhn09@aol.com
(440) 234-2617

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Ackerman \(ackflack123@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ackflack123@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:39:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Ackerman
1119 Maycliffe Pl
Cincinnati, OH 45230
ackflack123@yahoo.com
(513) 233-2026

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nova Brilliant-Smith \(novaceleste@aol.com\)](mailto:novaceleste@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:47:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nova Brilliant-Smith
15828 Munn Rd
Cleveland, OH 44111
novaceleste@aol.com
(216) 225-2620

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathy Moore \(katjoe22@gmail.com\)](mailto:katjoe22@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:51:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathy Moore
1983 Searl St
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221
katjoe22@gmail.com
(330) 256-5811

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lorie Reeder \(almrx8@gmail.com\)](mailto:almrx8@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:00:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lorie Reeder
3526 Champlain Ave
Youngstown, OH 44502
almrx8@gmail.com
(330) 559-4423

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Green \(susyq501@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:susyq501@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:01:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Green
346 E Lucius Ave
Youngstown, OH 44507
susyq501@gmail.com
(330) 564-5170

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephanie Noble \(sdnoble57@gmail.com\)](mailto:sdnoble57@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:13:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Noble
607 S Mumaugh Rd
Lima, OH 45804
sdnoble57@gmail.com
(419) 221-0613

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Bridget Vest \(bvest@woh.rr.co.com\)](mailto:bvest@woh.rr.co.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:20:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bridget Vest
7066 Howard Rd
Franklin, OH 45005
bvest@woh.rr.co.com
(513) 292-9368

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kelci Crawford \(kcelci@hotmail.com\)](mailto:kcelci@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:27:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kelci Crawford
105 Wallace St
Saint Clairsville, OH 43950
kcelci@hotmail.com
(740) 213-4669

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Shirley Zabaneh \(zabanehsj@yahoo.com\)](mailto:zabanehsj@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:30:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Shirley Zabaneh
2902 Commonwealth Dr.
Parma, OH 44134
zabanehsj@yahoo.com
(449) 823-8971

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Scull \(jenscull@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jenscull@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:39:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Scull
319 South St
Chardon, OH 44024
jenscull@yahoo.com
(216) 273-8363

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephen Farmer \(spfarmer@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:spfarmer@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:45:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephen Farmer
369 Dutch Ridge Rd
Portsmouth, OH 45662
spfarmer@roadrunner.com
(740) 250-9975

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kay Gibson \(kaygibson727@gmail.com\)](mailto:kaygibson727@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:46:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kay Gibson
7593 Primrose Dr
Mentor On The Lake, OH 44060
kaygibson727@gmail.com
(440) 478-2116

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Hesselning \(mahfrisco@gmail.com\)](mailto:mahfrisco@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:51:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Hesselning
2092 Edgewood Dr
Lima, OH 45805
mahfrisco@gmail.com
(419) 296-8724

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kristen Keller \(krkeller3@gmail.com\)](mailto:krkeller3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:59:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kristen Keller
3219 Cleveland Rd W
Huron, OH 44839
krkeller3@gmail.com
(513) 505-7534

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Greg Butscha \(tritone1@msn.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Greg Butscha (tritone1@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio river too. Don't give in to donors and private water interests!!!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:01:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Greg Butscha
5479 Bellfield Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45238
tritone1@msn.com
(513) 607-1280

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Philip Strickland \(lihp_21@yahoo.com\)](mailto:lihp_21@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:01:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Philip Strickland
753 W Market
Akron, OH 44221
lihp_21@yahoo.com
(330) 606-2866

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Allison Collins \(me@alliso.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:02:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Allison Collins
55 E College St #305
Oberlin, OH 44074
me@alliso.net
(216) 534-2554

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Katy Bacon \(mermaidkt7@aol.com\)](mailto:mermaidkt7@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:06:41 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katy Bacon
1590 Ridgewood Ave Apt 4
Lakewood, OH 44107
mermaidkt7@aol.com
(216) 777-0632

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joe Marino \(joe@whippleauction.com\)](mailto:joe@whippleauction.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:10:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joe Marino
4723 12th St NW
Canton, OH 44708
joe@whippleauction.com
(330) 268-8338

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer McWhorter \(janeyspots@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:janeyspots@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:21:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer McWhorter
276 Mystic Ave
CINCINNATI, OH 45216
janeyspots@gmail.com
(513) 332-3066

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mick Bosarge \(cardinalmick@aol.com\)](mailto:cardinalmick@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:22:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mick Bosarge
5740 Lauderdale Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45239
cardinalmick@aol.com
(513) 377-0037

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jill Rable \(jilrable@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jilrable@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:39:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jill Rable
1471 Elmwood Ave
Columbus, OH 43212
jilrable@yahoo.com
(614) 488-2809

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J Thomas Runyan \(runyan.tom@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:runyan.tom@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:41:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

J Thomas Runyan
213 Vanderveer St
Middletown, OH 45044
runyan.tom@gmail.com
(513) 594-3075

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandra Curry \(slcurry411@msn.com\)](mailto:slcurry411@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:45:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandra Curry
18429 Scottsdale
Beachwood, OH 44122
slcurry411@msn.com
(216) 411-3898

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Susan Lee-Meeder \(leemeeders@earthlink.net\)](mailto:leemeeders@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 5:49:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Lee-Meeder
11052 Chase Run Rd
Albany, OH 45710
leemeeders@earthlink.net
(614) 306-5699

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tammy robinson \(tammyann92359@yahoo.com\)](mailto:tammyann92359@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 6:05:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tammy robinson
2540 marsh ave, apt. 2
Cincinnati, OH 45212
tammyann92359@yahoo.com
(513) 884-8260

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeanette Diane \(dj-jdsmith@art.net\)](mailto:dj-jdsmith@art.net) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 6:08:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Diane
6496 Peppermill Dr
Westerville, OH 43081
dj-jdsmith@art.net
(614) 561-9501

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Douglas Orians \(dougo1126@outlook.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dougo1126@outlook.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 6:33:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River. Communities suffer when drinking water is polluted.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river. We need to do all we can to protect all our waterways in and bordering our state. Corporate polluters must not be permitted to run roughshod over the citizens and communities who depend on clean water.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Douglas Orians
326 Belmont St
Marion, OH 43302
dougo1126@outlook.com
(740) 396-4799

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Shaleen Mercer \(shaleen.mercer@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:shaleen.mercer@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 6:33:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Shaleen Mercer
1978 Addison Pike
Gallipolis, OH 45631
shaleen.mercer@yahoo.com
(740) 853-1415

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Elizabeth Dombard \(elizabethdombard@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:elizabethdombard@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 6:49:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Dombard
12912 Oakview Blvd
Garfield Heights, OH 44125
elizabethdombard@yahoo.com
(216) 662-3499

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Janson \(foxyfanatic@ivloud.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:foxyfanatic@ivloud.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 6:55:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Janson
5527 Dry Run Rd
Milford, OH 45150
foxyfanatic@ivloud.com
(513) 330-2118

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Johanna Meara \(jymeara@columbus.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jymeara@columbus.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 7:01:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Johanna Meara
1309 Durness Ct
Columbus, OH 43235
jymeara@columbus.rr.com
(614) 430-9127

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kathryn Rodgers \(t_krodgers@yahoo.com\)](mailto:t_krodgers@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 7:14:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Rodgers
306 Bellaire Rd
Avon Lake, OH 44012
t_krodgers@yahoo.com
(440) 476-4108

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Leora Troyer \(rltroyer1@juno.com\)](mailto:rltroyer1@juno.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 7:33:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Leora Troyer
3799 Kidron Rd
Dalton, OH 44618
rltroyer1@juno.com
(330) 857-2576

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Barker](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: HEARING to REVIEW POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 7:35:12 PM

I am an avid environmentalist and my family spends lots of time outdoors and boating on the Ohio River. My children do not understand why we would lessen the protections on our waterways. My family opposes removing the commiissions power to maintain standards. We certainly support the research and data gathering as well. With the current dangers to the EPA, ORSANCO is an additional protection for our water ways.

Please continue to support and protect the Ohio River. We oppose the rollback.

Sincerely,

The Barker Family
Dave and Jennifer Barker
9011 Old Whipps Mill Rd
Louisville KY 40242

From: [David H. Finke \(dhfinke@gmail.com\)](mailto:dhfinke@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 7:52:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David H. Finke
111 S Professor St, Apt "B"
Oberlin, OH 44074
dhfinke@gmail.com
(573) 673-7783

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Melissa Geracitano \(hendrix369@hotmail.com\)](mailto:hendrix369@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:00:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Melissa Geracitano
8392 Red Lion 5 Points Rd
Springboro, OH 45066
hendrix369@hotmail.com
(937) 554-1446

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jill Pafford \(jill.pafford@gmail.com\)](mailto:jill.pafford@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:07:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jill Pafford
175 Vineyard Rd
Avon Lake, OH 44012
jill.pafford@gmail.com
(440) 309-0346

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sher Patrick \(spatrick@cbccts.org\)](mailto:spatrick@cbccts.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:07:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sher Patrick
210 e franklin st
Dayton, OH 45459
spatrick@cbccts.org
(937) 626-7234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Mustar \(jen_mustar@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jen_mustar@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:20:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Mustar
2189 Warren Rd
Lakewood, OH 44107
jen_mustar@yahoo.com
(330) 203-8070

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James and Sandra Rutkowski \(james_victor_rutkowski@hotmail.com\)](mailto:james_victor_rutkowski@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:27:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James and Sandra Rutkowski
100 N Monroe Ave
Columbus, OH 43203
james_victor_rutkowski@hotmail.com
(614) 525-9701

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kyle Aughe \(kmschan@gmail.com\)](mailto:kmschan@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:34:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kyle Aughe
6720 Oakfield Dr
Dayton, OH 45415
kmschan@gmail.com
(937) 271-4820

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Frances Harty \(hartyfb@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:hartyfb@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:38:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Frances Harty
1786 e McMillan
Cincinnati, OH 45206
hartyfb@gmail.com
(513) 814-6868

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patrick Carrothers \(pjc411@yahoo.com\)](mailto:pjc411@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:43:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patrick Carrothers
4910 Hunt Rd Unit 2210
Blue Ash, OH 45242
pjc411@yahoo.com
(513) 235-4970

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jana Marshall \(jana_marshall27@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jana_marshall27@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:59:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jana Marshall
1164 Birney Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45230
jana_marshall27@yahoo.com
(937) 429-0249

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Weidenhamer \(dewie2@frontier.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dewie2@frontier.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:59:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Weidenhamer
13000 Newburg Ct
Dayton, OH 45458
dewie2@frontier.com
(260) 316-2132

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Katherine Velo \(kvelo33@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kvelo33@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:06:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river. Having the highest quality standards for environmental protection and preservation is of the utmost importance to me! And that most definitely includes water quality. There is no time to loosen water purity regulations. Please protect and increase our water quality.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katherine Velo
1633 17th St
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44223
kvelo33@yahoo.com
(330) 807-2787

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dawn Ace \(dawnofthedead42@gmail.com\)](mailto:dawnofthedead42@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:24:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dawn Ace
1316 oakwood ave
Columbus, OH 43206
dawnofthedead42@gmail.com
(614) 580-1354

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anne Froelich \(asfroelich@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:asfroelich@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:27:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anne Froelich
3621 Hyde Park Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45208
asfroelich@cinci.rr.com
(513) 515-4980

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thomas Surdyk \(chippyts33@hotmail.com\)](mailto:chippyts33@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:31:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Thomas Surdyk
3809 Autumn Dr
Huron, OH 44839
chippyts33@hotmail.com
(419) 357-2056

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Judith Damico \(ms1judy1d@aol.com\)](mailto:ms1judy1d@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:31:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Judith Damico
2416 Eden Ln
Dayton, OH 45431
ms1judy1d@aol.com
(937) 258-3242

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marijan Petee Ford \(mjpt33@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mjpt33@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:35:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marijan Petee Ford
2146 Lisa Ln
Toledo, OH 43611
mjpt33@yahoo.com
(734) 347-8389

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Morrison \(jimapache11@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jimapache11@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:37:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Morrison
669 Oak Ct
Lodi, OH 44254
jimapache11@gmail.com
(440) 668-9847

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patti Jordan \(psj99999@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:psj99999@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:41:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patti Jordan
1038 Sugar Hill Pl
Gahanna, OH 43230
psj99999@gmail.com
(614) 531-8086

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Adams \(micarock@frontier.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:micarock@frontier.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 9:55:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Adams
20582 Thompson Ridge Rd
Laurelville, OH 43135
micarock@frontier.com
(614) 218-2975

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Veronica M Walton \(mikeronnie123@aol.com\)](mailto:mikeronnie123@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 10:25:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Veronica M Walton
1051 Winston Rd
South Euclid, OH 44121
mikeronnie123@aol.com
(216) 375-3757

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Debbie Norton](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Maintain Orsanco overview of our Rivers
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 10:41:01 PM

Sent from my iPhone

It is vital to the health of our rivers and the health of the citizens in the 8 state region to continue ORSANCO's overview of the rivers.

In the present political disregard of environmental responsibility it is vital that Orsanco continues to make sure that the Ohio river is healthy and industries are not allowed to pollute it.

From: [Dwight Miller \(catherald@yahoo.com\)](mailto:catherald@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 10:42:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dwight Miller
208 N. Main St.
Jenera, OH 45841
catherald@yahoo.com
(419) 672-0936

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julie Noble \(aj1noble@oh.rr.com\)](mailto:aj1noble@oh.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, July 30, 2018 10:46:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julie Noble
36874 Chestnut Ridge Rd
North Ridgeville, OH 44039
aj1noble@oh.rr.com
(440) 371-8056

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Martha Goss \(magoss011@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Magoss011@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 12:49:39 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Martha Goss
4591 Lockwood Rd
Perry, OH 44081
magoss011@yahoo.com
(616) 516-0410

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Diane Leventhal \(dianeleventhal@gmail.com\)](mailto:dianeleventhal@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 1:52:48 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Diane Leventhal
20961 Halworth Rd
Shaker Heights, OH 44122
dianeleventhal@gmail.com
(216) 410-2874

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharla Leasure \(sharlaleasure@yahoo.com\)](mailto:sharlaleasure@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:12:10 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sharla Leasure
765 Woodlawn Ave
Hamilton, OH 45015
sharlaleasure@yahoo.com
(740) 222-2749

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Doug Fagan \(df10359@gmail.com\)](mailto:df10359@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 5:39:33 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Doug Fagan
10312 Clifton Blvd.
Cleveland, OH 44102
df10359@gmail.com
(216) 862-2707

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Morgan Danner \(morganld@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:morganld@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 5:51:03 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Morgan Danner
1951 Hessler Ct
Cleveland, OH 44106
morganld@gmail.com
(216) 410-3782

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julie Kozel \(juliehickman74@hotmail.com\)](mailto:juliehickman74@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 7:06:25 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julie Kozel
9379 Arrowhead Ln
Clarksville, OH 45113
juliehickman74@hotmail.com
(513) 673-8669

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Therese Heitkamp \(thereseheitkamp@gmail.com\)](mailto:thereseheitkamp@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:27:35 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Therese Heitkamp
7645 Erie Ave SW
Navarre, OH 44662
thereseheitkamp@gmail.com
(330) 284-8003

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Steve Kiffmeyer \(torkenflogger@gmail.com\)](mailto:torkenflogger@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:37:55 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Steve Kiffmeyer
1425 Amberwood Ln
Painesville, OH 44077
torkenflogger@gmail.com
(440) 354-0115

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Myron Lilly \(myron.lilly@yahoo.com\)](mailto:myron.lilly@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:38:05 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Myron Lilly
779 Barg Salt Run Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45244
myron.lilly@yahoo.com
(513) 646-0517

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ilisha Schwartz \(ilisha.schwartz@gmail.com\)](mailto:ilisha.schwartz@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:46:16 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ilisha Schwartz
2419 Malvern Ave
Dayton, OH 45406
ilisha.schwartz@gmail.com
(937) 305-6601

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michelle Balazic \(mlbalazic80@gmail.com\)](mailto:mlbalazic80@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:53:48 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michelle Balazic
8937 Jackson St
Mentor, OH 44060
mlbalazic80@gmail.com
(440) 364-4728

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beth Cadle \(bcadle@neo.rr.com\)](mailto:bcadle@neo.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:56:49 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beth Cadle
1603 Tallmadge Rd
Kent, OH 44240
bcadle@neo.rr.com
(330) 605-6522

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ruth Ridolfo \(rridolfo@mac.com\)](mailto:rridolfo@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:58:20 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ruth Ridolfo
2416 Rosecrest St
Grove City, OH 43123
rridolfo@mac.com
(513) 378-0386

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laura Rushton \(jlaurush@aol.com\)](mailto:jlaurush@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:15:14 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laura Rushton
21837 Little Brook Way
Strongsville, OH 44149
jlaurush@aol.com
(440) 783-1238

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa_Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:15:34 AM

Email submitted to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2018 1:16 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Dr. Donald Feeney Feeney D.M.D.
Company / Organization (Optional)
Smile Designers USA
Email
dsmiledesigner@aol.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Maintain the October 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River. Also reject the proposed changes which effectively strip the commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water for the over 900 miles this river travels within and along 8 states: Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky , New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email"

section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Kevin Barnet \(kevkatbar5@aol.com\)](mailto:kevkatbar5@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:18:24 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kevin Barnet
638 Northwestern Ave
Wooster, OH 44691
kevkatbar5@aol.com
(330) 988-3327

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jarrod Chance \(chancey81@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Jarrod.Chance(chancey81@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:21:25 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jarrold Chance
317 W. Oak St.
Orrville, OH 44667
chancey81@yahoo.com
(330) 464-4077

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kevin Grady \(kevingrady16@yahoo.com\)](mailto:kevingrady16@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:44:48 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kevin Grady
33460 Cherry St
Avon, OH 44011
kevingrady16@yahoo.com
(440) 822-1933

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Colleen Murphy \(colleenmurphy@roadrunner.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:colleenmurphy@roadrunner.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:56:00 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Colleen Murphy
17076 Maple Dr
Chagrin Falls, OH 44023
colleenmurphy@roadrunner.com
(216) 926-0717

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Phyllis Bohannon \(pbohan1063@aol.com\)](mailto:pbohan1063@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:03:01 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Bohannon
3111 S Limestone St
Springfield, OH 45505
pbohan1063@aol.com
(937) 626-4758

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J Hohmann \(dhohmann@mindspring.com\)](mailto:dhohmann@mindspring.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:03:41 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

A multi state organization like ORSANCO is best equipped to properly allocate pollutant loadings and determine what is best for the entire watershed. We need to strengthen that not weaken it.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

J Hohmann
931 Montrose Ave
Bexley, OH 43209
dhohmann@mindspring.com
(614) 306-5884

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eugene Lehman \(elehman5@woh.rr.com\)](mailto:elehman5@woh.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:05:11 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eugene Lehman
2088 Sheffield Pl
Bellbrook, OH 45305
elehman5@woh.rr.com
(937) 848-4614

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Eva Dickman \(emdtech1@ameritech.net\)](mailto:emdtech1@ameritech.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:06:52 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eva Dickman
31475 Birch Cir
Solon, OH 44139
emdtech1@ameritech.net
(440) 996-3704

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [James Porcello \(osric22@gmail.com\)](mailto:osric22@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:07:02 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

James Porcello
1120 Chester Ave
Cleveland, OH 44114
osric22@gmail.com
(440) 973-6579

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:21:17 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Sunday, July 29, 2018 10:04 AM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Susan Mueller
Email
ajamueller@fuse.net
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Please do not rely on Ohio to monitor and enforce water standards. We need a nonpolitical structure to protect our fresh water, perhaps our most important resource. I am against the proposed change that Orasanco give up overseeing monitoring in water quality to the state of Ohio.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Faith Bahner \(faithbahneri@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:faithbahneri@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:40:06 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission. I am not alone in asking that the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities ask for the same thing. They also understand the value of the commission setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Faith Bahner
1938 Shallowford Ave
Columbus, OH 43235
faithbahneri@gmail.com
(614) 813-8472

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jean Tucker \(tuckertalk1@hotmail.com\)](mailto:tuckertalk1@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:40:16 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jean Tucker
3690 Main St #23
Mineral Ridge, OH 44440
tuckertalk1@hotmail.com
(330) 652-0308

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J.D.S.&N](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment for ORSANCO's role in monitoring the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:44:56 AM

As someone with a master's degree in Natural Resources and Conservation and a citizen of Ohio, I would like to state my hope that ORSANCO will continue its role in overseeing what goes in to the Ohio River from the eight states that have industrial interests along the river's borders and the overall quality of the Ohio River, on which we all depend. A river does not adhere to state boundaries and I, living in Cincinnati, know that what goes in to the river upstream from us, affects water quality here, as does what we put in affect water quality downstream. I believe that the concerns that led to the establishment of ORSANCO are just as relevant today and with the current Federal assault on the EPA coming from the highest level of our government, we do not want to depend on others to keep our water and our families safe.

I believe that ORSANCO's mission to protect the water quality for everyone along the Ohio River will be best served by keeping ORSANCO's water quality monitoring measures in place. As our country's environmental history record has shown the public over and over, industry will do whatever it can to improve the bottom line, and companies are never beneath polluting the environment to do it.

Sincerely,
Jodie Zultowsky

From: [Bobbie Vanover \(buckeyelady15@gmail.com\)](mailto:buckeyelady15@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: My drinking water comes from the Ohio River!!!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 11:06:42 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Vanover
4586 State Route 141
Gallipolis, OH 45631
buckeyelady15@gmail.com
(740) 578-5020

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Laura Odonnell \(odonnell411@gmail.com\)](mailto:odonnell411@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 11:20:03 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Laura Odonnell
515 S 3rd St
Columbus, OH 43215
odonnell411@gmail.com
(614) 302-5660

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Randi Pokladnik](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS COmments
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 11:35:44 AM

My name is Dr. Randi pokladnik, I have a Ph.D. in Environmental Studies. I was born and raised in Toronto, Ohio. Where my house was located, we could walk to the Ohio River. I have family and friends living in and around the entire Ohio River Valley from Toronto to Marietta, Ohio.

By 1973, when I was about to go into college, I decided to major in environmental engineering. At that time, If you fell in or went swimming in the Ohio River there was a good chance you would become ill or at the very least surface with an oil slick on your head.

While the river water quality has improved since then, and some industries have been closed down, I can see more dangerous industries in the future especially those connected to oil and gas development. This industry has already affected many communities as we have seen by some other comments made today.

These are not your grandfather's pollutants. These are chlorinated organic hydrocarbons that have the ability to accumulate in fat deposits of humans and other species and cause cancer as well as endocrine disruption. These compounds can cause damage in very small quantities which makes it even more obvious that we need water quality standards set by ORSANCO now more than ever before.

Many of these compounds must be tested by using a gas chromatograph/mass spectrophotometer. I don't feel that the states can be trusted to set standards and carry our monitoring programs that would be equivalent to ORSANCO'S. The states would have to be able to purchase this very expensive equipment, train operators, and interpret results.

Right now our Ohio River is the most polluted in the country. We cannot drink from a toilet and remain healthy but that's what we are being asked to do. The river could become much more polluted and we could witness cancer rates in the valley increasing tenfold.

We owe it to the next generation and to our children to keep vigilant on the water quality of the river. It is interesting that no one representing industry has made a comment on this issue today. Is this meeting just to provide token participation and is the vote for option two already a done deal?

We need to contact our local and state politicians to let them know how we feel about this decision, whether option two is chosen or not we must keep putting pressure on

ORSANCO to maintain its Pollution Control Standards rather than abandoning them. This is about our lives and in my opinion it will cause more harm to communities all along the river if option two becomes a reality.

Randi Pokladnik

Ph.D. Environmental Studies
MA. Environmental and Community Issues
BA Chemistry
AAS Environmental Engineering
ISO-14001 Environmental Auditor Certified
Hazardous Materials Certified

--

Dr. Randi Pokladnik
Tappan Lake
Uhrichsville, Ohio 44683

We forget that the water cycle and the life cycle are one.

- **Jacques Cousteau**

I understood when I was just a child that without water, everything dies.

I didn't understand until much later that no one "owns" water.

It might rise on your property, but it just passes through.

You can use it, and abuse it, but it is not yours to own.

It is part of the global commons, not "property" but part of our life support system.

- **Marq de Villiers, Water, 2000**

From: [Elissa Yoder \(elissa.yoder@sierraclub.org\)](mailto:elissa.yoder@sierraclub.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 11:41:36 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elissa Yoder
1317 Dennison Ave
Columbus, OH 43201
elissa.yoder@sierraclub.org
(970) 420-8784

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Keith Vanover \(ravens745220@gmail.com\)](mailto:ravens745220@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 12:15:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Keith Vanover
4586 state route 141
Gallipolis, OH 45631
ravens745220@gmail.com
(740) 578-5132

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joe P](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments for PCS
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 12:15:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO COMMITTEE MEMBERS,

I am writing in reference to ORSANCO considering to stop their 70 year program of setting pollution control standards. I feel this would not be a wise decision for the Ohio Valley River communities.

Given that water quality is a major issue on this river and the possible build-up of the oil and gas industry and possible Appalachian storage hub, we need the oversight that ORSANCO provides now more than ever.

Please consider adopting options 4 and 5 rather than abandoning the PCS.

Sincerely,

Joel Pokladnik, P.E. retired U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

From: [David Might \(dmightymo2@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dmightymo2@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 12:18:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Might
435 Happy Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45238
dmightymo2@gmail.com
(513) 488-3502

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dave Baird \(redlegs@fuse.net\)](mailto:redlegs@fuse.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 1:17:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dave Baird
434 Sunland Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45238
redlegs@fuse.net
(513) 262-4146

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pam Ratterman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: DEREGULATION FOR CHEMICAL DUMPING IN THE OHIO RIVER
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 1:27:17 PM

I AM WRITING YOU, ORSANCO, ONCE AGAIN TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO **OPPOSE ANY CHANGES IN REGULATIONS THAT WOULD NEGATIVELY AFFECT THE QUALITY OF THE OHIO RIVER WATER AND ECO SYSTEM.**

I LIVE ON THE OHIO RIVER AT 2612 BELKNAP BEACH ROAD; PROSPECT KY 40059.

FOR A LITTLE WHILE THIS FEBRUARY MY HOUSE WAS “IN” THE OHIO RIVER BECAUSE OF FLOODING.

WE BOAT ON THE OHIO & SWIM IN THE OHIO. WAHEN A FLOOD OCCURS, I DON’T WANT ADDITIONAL CHEMICALS INVADING MY HOME AND YARD WHERE MY GRANDCHILDREN PLAY.

I DO NOT WANT ANY OF THE CURRENT REGULATION THAT PROTECT THE QUALITY AND SAFETY OF THE OHIO RIVER OR ANY OF IT’S TRIBUTARIES TO BE REMOVED.

THE QUALITY OF THE RIVER CAN ALWAYS BE IMPROVED, BUT THE REGULATION THAT GOT US TO THIS POINT SHOULD NEVER BE REMOVED.

RELYING ON EACH STATE OR “ CORPORATE CITIZEN” TO REGULATE WHAT WILL GO INTO THE RIVER IS JUST WRONG. WE ALL FEEL THE AFFECT WHEN ANY ONE OF US MAKES THE WRONG CHOICE.

PLEASE REGISTER MY OPINION **AGAINST ANY DEREGULATIONS** FOR THE OHIO RIVER AND IT’S TRIBUTARIES.

THANK YOU FOR TAKING CARE OF MY RIVER,

PAM RATTERMAN

2612 BELKNAP BEACH ROAD
PROPSPECT, KY 40059

502-551-6025

From: [Larry Rice \(larryr33323@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:larryr33323@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 1:37:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Larry Rice
2640 Homecroft Dr
Columbus, OH 43211
larryr33323@yahoo.com
(614) 254-3737

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Larry Rice \(larryr33323@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:larryr33323@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 1:38:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Larry Rice
2640 Homecroft Dr
Columbus, OH 43211
larryr33323@yahoo.com
(614) 254-3737

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cynthia Heath \(heath931@yahoo.com\)](mailto:heath931@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 1:50:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Heath
39 Eddy Rd.
Madison, OH 44057
heath931@yahoo.com
(440) 637-7518

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jim Ratterman](mailto:Jim.Ratterman@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Fwd: DEREGULATION FOR CHEMICAL DUMPING IN THE OHIO RIVER
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:01:38 PM

I AM WRITING YOU, ORSANCO, ONCE AGAIN TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO
**OPPOSE ANY CHANGES IN REGULATIONS THAT WOULD
NEGATIVELY AFFECT THE QUALITY OF THE OHIO RIVER
WATER AND ECO SYSTEM.**

I LIVE ON THE OHIO RIVER AT 2612 BELKNAP BEACH ROAD; PROSPECT KY
40059.

FOR A LITTLE WHILE THIS FEBRUARY MY HOUSE WAS “IN” THE OHIO RIVER
BECAUSE OF FLOODING.

WE BOAT ON THE OHIO & SWIM IN THE OHIO. WAHEN A FLOOD OCCURS, I
DON'T WANT ADDITIONAL CHEMICALS INVADING MY HOME AND YARD
WHERE MY GRANDCHILDREN PLAY.

**I DO NOT WANT ANY OF THE CURRENT REGULATION THAT
PROTECT THE QUALITY AND SAFETY OF THE OHIO RIVER
OR ANY OF IT'S TRIBUTARIES TO BE REMOVED.**

THE QUALITY OF THE RIVER CAN ALWAYS BE IMPROVED, BUT THE
REGULATION THAT GOT US TO THIS POINT SHOULD NEVER BE REMOVED.

RELYING ON EACH STATE OR “CORPORATE CITIZEN” TO REGULATE WHAT
WILL GO INTO THE RIVER IS JUST WRONG. WE ALL FEEL THE AFFECT WHEN
ANY ONE OF US MAKES THE WRONG CHOICE.

PLEASE REGISTER MY OPINION **AGAINST ANY DEREGULATIONS**
FOR THE OHIO RIVER AND IT'S TRIBUTARIES.

THANK YOU FOR TAKING CARE OF MY RIVER,

JIM RATTERMAN

2612 BELKNAP BEACH ROAD
PROPSPECT, KY 40059

502-553-5615

From: [Carolyn Bender \(carolynbender51@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:carolynbender51@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:08:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Bender
5889 Cherrywood Dr
Lorain, OH 44053
carolynbender51@gmail.com
(440) 670-1833

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [phyllis Moore \(phyllismoore1015@gmail.com\)](mailto:phyllismoore1015@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:17:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

phyllis Moore
5251 Dixie
Fairfield, OH 45014
phyllismoore1015@gmail.com
(513) 309-4198

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anne Mccarty \(annemccarty@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:annemccarty@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:19:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anne Mccarty
334 Grove Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45215
annemccarty@hotmail.com
(513) 745-3034

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Brewer \(nbrewer@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:nbrewer@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:23:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Brewer
1144 San Angelo Dr
Hamilton, OH 45013
nbrewer@cinci.rr.com
(513) 488-3049

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Dan Goulet \(dirtracker1@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dirtracker1@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:26:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dan Goulet
914 Canterbury Dr
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
dirtracker1@hotmail.com
(419) 905-1681

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Phyllis Goulet \(peg6349@hotmail.c\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:peg6349@hotmail.c)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:33:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Goulet
914 Canterbury
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
peg6349@hotmail.c
(419) 738-4577

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ian Budd](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:37:05 PM

I am submitting this comment as a regular boater and user of the Ohio River.

It is my belief from close observation of the river on a regular basis that there are numerous violations of pollution standards that are still not being addressed.

Whether or not the EPA regulations are sufficient to control these issues if they were properly enforced is for the experts to decide, not me.

However, it is my belief that ORSANCO has played a major role in attempting to control pollution to date and rather than scaling back needs to now take this to the next level.

I'll leave you as the experts to decide whether this needs to be achieved by more regulations. But believe me the river has lots of problems that need to be addressed and ORSANCO can do a lot better than any other organization at tackling these issues if it chooses to push for greater enforcement at the local level by all member states.

Issues that I observe needing enforcement and cleanup include raw sewage overflows, fertilizer runoff, industrial pollution, and massive quantities of garbage.

Please do everything in your power to make the river cleaner. We need it.

Ian Budd
645 Monroe Street,
Newport, KY 41071

Ian Budd
CEO ICB Audio and Video
1738 Tennessee Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45229
513-242-7400
ian.budd@icbaudio.com
Design-Sales-Installation-Service
Sound-Video-AV-Control-Lighting-Energy

From: [Christieanne Bergey \(christiebergey@yahoo.com\)](mailto:christiebergey@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:38:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christieanne Bergey
652 Orlando Ave
Akron, OH 44320
christiebergey@yahoo.com
(330) 622-3922

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gregory Louderback \(grglrbck@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:grglrbck@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:57:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gregory Louderback
6325 Gallia St
Sciotoville, OH 45662
grglrbck@gmail.com
(740) 250-3325

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jacob Chabler \(jacec554@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jacec554@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 3:07:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jacob Chabler
1906 E 120th St
Cleveland, OH 44106
jacec554@gmail.com
(216) 255-8237

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jessica Davis \(jessie.davis37@gmail.com\)](mailto:jessie.davis37@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 3:32:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jessica Davis
1504 Stonelick Woods Dr
Batavia, OH 45103
jessie.davis37@gmail.com
(513) 767-7902

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Judith Cesek \(judycesek@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:judycesek@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 3:43:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Judith Cesek
6222 Riverdale Dr
Madison, OH 44057
judycesek@hotmail.com
(440) 413-7815

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Charlotte Cook \(ccook@josephtoyota.com\)](mailto:ccook@josephtoyota.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 3:43:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Cook
3348 Dolomar Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45239
ccook@josephtoyota.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Judy Miner](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: clean river
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 4:08:13 PM

I fully support ORSANCO'S ability to regulate the safety standers the OHIO River.

Judy Miner
2510 Belknap Beach
Prospect KY

From: [Katherine Krauss \(krauss.kate@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:krauss.kate@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 4:22:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katherine Krauss
4177 Silsby D
Cleveland, OH 44118
krauss.kate@gmail.com
(216) 406-3627

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anthony Rampe \(as1rampe@hotmail.com\)](mailto:as1rampe@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 4:59:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anthony Rampe
14519 State Route 65
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
as1rampe@hotmail.com
(419) 604-9335

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Leonard Deutsch](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Lenny & Judy Deutsch](#)
Subject: monitoring water quality
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 5:10:57 PM

My wife and I are concerned that the triennial review process conducted by the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission may be discontinued. Given all the algae that is washing up in Florida, Lake Erie and other places, it seems clear to us that water quality needs to be monitored on a continuing basis by a body that can see "the bigger picture." To relegate pollution control to the individual states will not protect the Ohio River in the most effective manner.

We emphatically implore you to keep the current criteria/standards of review.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Len and Judy Deutsch
1538 Upland Road
Huntington, WV 25701

From: [Elizabeth Khumprakob \(geocandthastorm@aol.com\)](mailto:geocandthastorm@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 5:49:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Khumprakob
829 Glenbrook Rd
Boardman, OH 44512
geocandthastorm@aol.com
(330) 518-3677

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Andrea Zemel \(andrea.zemel@siemens.com\)](mailto:andrea.zemel@siemens.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 6:04:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Andrea Zemel
18210 Snyder Rd
Chagrin Falls, OH 44023
andrea.zemel@siemens.com
(440) 708-1025

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Michael Sheidler \(mikesheidler@earthlink.net\)](mailto:mikesheidler@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 6:50:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Michael Sheidler
726 Greenlawn Ave
Dayton, OH 45403
mikesheidler@earthlink.net
(937) 848-6212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Crystal Dandridge \(cjdjam@gmail.com\)](mailto:cjdjam@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 7:09:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Crystal Dandridge
8318 Brigantine Ct Apt 88
West Chester, OH 45069
cjdjam@gmail.com
(513) 851-5836

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Linda Haas](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please do more to clean up and stop polluting the Ohio River!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 7:24:51 PM

From: [Pamela Cramer \(pamelasbgb@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pamelasbgb@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 7:55:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pamela Cramer
PO Box 9705
Canton, OH 44711
pamelasbgb@yahoo.com
(330) 493-4983

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jodi Westfall \(j.westfall.cnp@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:j.westfall.cnp@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:57:47 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jodi Westfall
2626 Allaire Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45239
j.westfall.cnp@gmail.com
(513) 509-2484

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Meredith Morgan \(twobackpackers@frontier.com\)](mailto:twobackpackers@frontier.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:03:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Meredith Morgan
3574 Ridgewood Rd
Fairlawn, OH 44333
twobackpackers@frontier.com
(330) 571-4511

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Renee Bogue \(boguerrl@gmail.com\)](mailto:boguerrl@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:06:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Renee Bogue
204 Willow Ave. NE
Massillon, OH 44646
boguerrl@gmail.com
(330) 833-7753

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mike Miller \(mikal427@hotmail.com\)](mailto:mikal427@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:12:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mike Miller
427 e. Mansfield St
Bucyrus, OH 44820
mikal427@hotmail.com
(419) 562-0812

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Doane \(dsdoane@hotmail.com\)](mailto:dsdoane@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:25:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Doane
5755 Eber Rd
Whitehouse, OH 43571
dsdoane@hotmail.com
(419) 877-0810

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Beth Sauer \(bsauer3@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:bsauer3@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:30:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Beth Sauer
104 N Remington Rd
Bexley, OH 43209
bsauer3@hotmail.com
(614) 361-8526

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [nathan.painter](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Regulations
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:54:01 PM

Please keep the Ohio River clean. Ohio citizens want the water cleaner not more polluted.

Nate Painter
667 Winkler Rd
Willshire, OH
45898

From: [Jennifer Yumibe](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please don't eliminate your pollution control standards
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 10:04:27 PM

I read that you are proposing to ELIMINATE your pollution control standards for the Ohio River, believing that the bordering states have standards that will protect the river and the people along it.

This is not true. ORSANCO has been (and we are grateful!) doing scientific research to determine the safe level of a lot of chemicals for years. Indiana is FAR BEHIND. Other states may have better research and regulations than we do, but you have standards for more than 100 chemicals that Indiana does not. Clearly, going from an existing standard (when research obviously supported instituting such a standard) to none is not a step that would benefit the health of Hoosiers.

As a Hoosier, I am asking you PLEASE not to take this drastic step.

Yours sincerely,

Jennifer Yumibe
5340 E. 74th Place
Indianapolis, IN 46250

From: [Kimberly Mann](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river oversight
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 11:10:20 PM

This is no time to shirk your responsibility for keeping the Ohio River a safe source of drinking water for 5 million people. If anything, experience has shown us that corporations in the energy industry are careless in their operations and criminally negligent when people's lives are at stake. In fact, our monitoring of toxins in our waterways should be expanded to include even more chemicals given the lack of transparency required of oil and gas companies and their poisonous "proprietary formulas".

This is a massive tragedy waiting to happen. Don't look to save pennies now while gambling on incalculable costs in the future.

Kimberly Mann
4828 W Park Dr
Fairview Park, OH 44126

From: [Katherine Burns \(redlady228@netscape.net\)](mailto:redlady228@netscape.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 11:40:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katherine Burns
7605 Werner Ave Apt 9
Cincinnati, OH 45231
redlady228@netscape.net
(513) 377-7107

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Amber Ziemba \(amziemba@aol.com\)](mailto:amziemba@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 12:18:09 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Amber Ziemba
512 Bridgeside Dr
Avon Lake, OH 44012
amziemba@aol.com
(440) 653-9400

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Davis \(pjd641@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pjd641@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 6:54:03 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Patricia Davis
1243 Brindlestone Dr
Vandalia, OH 45377
pjd641@yahoo.com
(937) 237-1010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nathan Pate](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Retain the rules
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 6:56:40 AM

Greetings,
ORSANCO's rules protect us from heavy metal pollution better than state rules.
Any 'redundancy' is illusory, and a straw man posited by those who wish to pollute more easily.

A clean Ohio river is good for all people within the watershed.

Thank You,
Nathan Pate

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 7:44:19 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:57 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Kathy Schoen
Company / Organization (Optional)
1955
Email
khs.schoen@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
I am concerned that you are considering lowering the clean water standards for the river. I strongly request that you keep the highest possible clean water standards. No pollution.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Steve Lederer \(sleder1@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sleder1@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 8:46:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Steve Lederer
51 N Highland Ave
Akron, OH 44303
sleder1@gmail.com
(330) 752-3029

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Amy Borrer \(spidergirl76@hotmail.com\)](mailto:spidergirl76@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 9:00:21 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Amy Borrer
1090 Rumsey Rd
Columbus, OH 43207
spidergirl76@hotmail.com
(262) 620-1040

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [shari mills](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: water
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 9:22:11 AM

I strongly disagree with the OHIO River Valley Water Sanitation Commission to stop setting water quality standards. If our state and federal laws are so good, then why were there so many violations discovered. The truth is that these big companies want to pollute our river more. Meanwhile, thousands of people, that rely on river water for drinking, will be drinking polluted water. We cannot continue to allow our fresh water sources to be used by factories, chemical companies and cracker plants. Our fresh water sources are becoming scarce, and we need water to survive. Catering to these big companies at the expense of our lives has to stop!

Shari Mills

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Cindy Matthews \(cynthianna.celine@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Cindy%20Matthews%20(cynthianna.celine@gmail.com)%20Sent%20You%20a%20Personal%20Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 9:43:09 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Cindy Matthews
3655 turret green Dr.
Toledo, OH 43607
cynthianna.celine@gmail.com
(419) 567-9858

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Teresa McHugh \(teresa.mchugh@sierraclub.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:teresa.mchugh@sierraclub.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 10:00:23 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Teresa McHugh
1355 Neil Ave
Columbus, OH 43201
teresa.mchugh@sierraclub.org
(614) 425-3245

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [B. Lytes \(onelastflight@yahoo.com\)](mailto:onelastflight@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 10:36:08 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

B. Lytes
6715 Erie St
Sylvania, OH 43560
onelastflight@yahoo.com
(614) 824-5988

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert Kyle \(rkyle@wideopenwest.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rkyle@wideopenwest.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 10:43:40 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Robert Kyle
1161 Riva Ridge Blvd.
Gahanna, OH 43230
rkyle@wideopenwest.com
(614) 855-1600

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christine Perlin](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Comment
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 10:47:15 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

This letter is to oppose the proposed changes to the 2015 Pollution Control Standards. These give specific, scientifically justified standards for water quality along the Ohio.

- The proposed document, reduced to 10 pages, leaves it to each state to interpret the regulations, likely favoring local industries over other states that will suffer from addition discharges into the river.
- It is unlikely that each state will take the time or expense to replicate the scientific research that forms the basis of the 2015 standards.

ORANSCO fulfills a critical government function of protecting the environment, and should continue this mission, with no changes to the 2015 act.

Sincerely,
Christine Perlin
(502) 572-0087

From: [phyllis Hawkins](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on your revision proposal.
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 11:16:57 AM

This is the stupidest idea. What in the world are you thinking? To add more pollution and no oversight is very harmful to people's health, wildlife and recreation enthusiasts. More costly to provide clean drinking water and more do not eat the fish alerts etc. I hope you come to your senses.

Phyllis A. Hawkins
2611 Kings Hwy.
Louisville, KY 40205.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Mike Gramig](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Proposed Changes to the Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 11:25:55 AM

This letter is in response to the proposed dropping of pollution control standards of the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO), due before midnight, August 10, 2018.

We must not change the current standards for pollution control of discharges into the Ohio River to defer to the states agencies that regulate these discharges. A key reason that the Ohio River has improved over the last several decades are the ORSANCO standards. The reason for ORSANCO is that the states individually do not sufficiently regulate these discharges and all are dependent on a joint set of standards. In the face of the rollback of federal environmental regulations it is essential that the 8 states maintain the standards that they have enacted through ORSANCO.

Mike and Cindy Gramig
1801 Windsor Place
Louisville, KY 40204-1315

(502)459-6582

From: [Marilyn Maffet](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 11:39:54 AM

I grew up about 1/2 mile from the river. It was so contaminated from dumping chemicals and sewage that it was not safe to even boat in. After all these years, you want to go back? Are you kidding? We want this left alone. Let them to continue to manage and check the pollution levels. Don't let anyone or any company to destroy this beautiful river. Marilyn Maffet

Sent from my iPhone..

From: [Florence Saltzman](#)
Cc: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Re: Proposed Changes to the Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 12:00:59 PM

I am in agreement with the attached letter. Our waterways need coordinated protection.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 1, 2018, at 11:25 AM, Mike Gramig <gramigm@bellsouth.net> wrote:

This letter is in response to the proposed dropping of pollution control standards of the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO), due before midnight, August 10, 2018.

We must not change the current standards for pollution control of discharges into the Ohio River to defer to the states agencies that regulate these discharges. A key reason that the Ohio River has improved over the last several decades are the ORSANCO standards. The reason for ORSANCO is that the states individually do not sufficiently regulate these discharges and all are dependent on a joint set of standards. In the face of the rollback of federal environmental regulations it is essential that the 8 states maintain the standards that they have enacted through ORSANCO.

Mike and Cindy Gramig
1801 Windsor Place
Louisville, KY 40204-1315

(502)459-6582

From: [Suzanne Vaught \(suzievaught@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:suzievaught@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 12:21:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Vaught
2220 McCollum Rd
Youngstown, OH 44509
suzievaught@gmail.com
(330) 502-6824

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Benny Sutcliffe](#)
To: [ORSANCO ORSANCO](#)
Subject: Re: Proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 12:36:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO ORSANCO,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. Eliminating these standards puts approximately 5 million Americans' drinking water and approximately 25 million people's quality of life and local economies at risk.

It is imperative for the Commission to uphold its responsibilities to ensure the protection and restoration of the Ohio River and the millions of Americans who depend on the river for drinking water, the numerous businesses, and approximately 25 million Americans quality of life. Everyday we wait to protect and restore the Ohio River the problems become more complex and the solutions more costly. I strongly encourage ORSANCO to uphold its responsibility and protect the Pollution Control Standards, rather than rolling back protections for one of the nation's most important resources.

Benny Sutcliffe

Sincerely,
Benny Sutcliffe

,

From: [Susan Holt \(cogswell_cutie3805@yahoo.com\)](mailto:cogswell_cutie3805@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 12:37:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Holt
20000 Lorain Rd Apt 411
Fairview Park, OH 44126
cogswell_cutie3805@yahoo.com
(440) 552-3067

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ann Adamek](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Comments
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 12:56:17 PM

I am writing to strongly encourage continued cooperation to keep our Ohio River safe for clean drinking water, as well as a valued resource for all who benefit from this river. We cannot relax regulations that would allow chemicals to be dumped or any other ways of polluting the Ohio River. I have lived in this river city for 78 years, and over that time, I have been encouraged to see the real progress that has been made to improve the cleanliness of the Ohio River. We can not go back. Thank you for your attention to my concerns. Ann Adamek, 1703 Lynn Way, Louisville, Ky. 40222.

From: [Heather Hall \(heednhall@mac.com\)](mailto:heednhall@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 1:06:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Heather Hall
2260 Bassett Rd
Westlake, OH 44145
heednhall@mac.com
(216) 534-2800

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Lineberry](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Relinquishing pollution controls
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 1:10:29 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to plea with ORSANCO to not relinquish it pollution controls of the Ohio River to the states. There are no dividing lines with water. The very thought of not having uniform control over the Ohio is ludicrous! Some states, maybe all, would allow toxic discharge levels to increase to entice industries to their state. Who suffers? Every creature, including humans, that utilizes the river. If one state loosened pollution controls, what would be the incentive for any other state to maintain theirs.....none. Please do not relinquish your control of this river. All of us depend on the Ohio for life giving water.

Thank you,
Mary Lineberry
Louisville, KY 40205

From: [Donna Graham \(dgrahamosf@gmail.com\)](mailto:dgrahamosf@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 1:22:05 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Donna Graham
4163 Janward Dr Apt 2
Cincinnati, OH 45211
dgrahamosf@gmail.com
(513) 521-6459

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marie Waxton \(mlwaxton@gmail.com\)](mailto:mlwaxton@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 2:02:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marie Waxton
791 Bluestem Drive
Bolingbrook, IL 60440
mlwaxton@gmail.com
(630) 863-5117

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Veronica R. Koch \(littletree1@yahoo.com\)](mailto:Veronica.R.Koch@littletree1@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 2:35:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Veronica R. Koch
1805 Timmonds Ave
Portsmouth, OH 45662
littletree1@yahoo.com
(740) 352-0875

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Thinking Thomas](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ATTN: Public Comments
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 2:39:23 PM

1 August
2018

To the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission:

This letter is submitted as public comment upon the proposed revisions to the 2015 Pollution Control Standards (PCS) with whose enforcement the Commission is tasked.

Following the scarcely publicized round of initial public comment, [five alternative facts](#) towards those revisions have been advanced as on the table, with the majority of the commissioners apparently in favor of the second on that list. This is a lamentable situation indeed as the very presence of alternatives one, five, and two is difficult to read as anything but an effort on the part of the Commission to evade its responsibilities under the mandate of the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact (ORSANCO) to carry forth its work by the “the guiding principle... that pollution by sewage or industrial wastes originating within a signatory State shall not injuriously affect the various uses of the interstate waters.”

Certainly, such an abnegation is the all but explicit intention of Alternative One which is directed to “Terminate ORSANCO’s PCS and rely upon state and federal programs provided for and mandated under the Clean Water Act and related state statutes.”

Alternative Five (a “Provision for states to consider the PCS when developing state water quality standards”) is not so blatant. In lieu of calling for their outright elimination, it would be satisfied to only deprive those Standards of legal force by rendering them as points of mere “consideration” rather than mandate. Still what is happening there is patent enough.

Alternative Two (deemed “Clean Water Act Alternative to ORSANCO PCS Rules”) though is additionally an offense against the public by effecting the same purposes of its pernicious cousins one and five, though through less obvious mechanism. Matter of factly, it meekly posits the deletion of Chapters 3 through through 5 of the PCS, neglecting to note along the way that Chapters 3 through 5 constitute not only over 72% of the document, but that their content is what directs force to the specific matters of the Ohio River’s water quality. The odium elicited by this cheap legerdemain is but intensified by its accompaniment by the grossest legal sophistry. The language of Alternative Two states the following:

Unlike designated uses and wastewater discharge requirements, water quality standards and mixing zone are not mentioned in the Compact. As was observed in the “Report of the ORSANCO Ad Hoc Water Quality Standards Implementation Committee”, dated May 11, 2015, “the Compact

never mentions the term “water quality standards”...

Perhaps the authors of the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact can perhaps be forgiven for not anticipating such a narrow reading of their intent, especially by an Ad Hoc Committee itself charged with a consideration of Water Quality Standards.

Alternatives One, Two and Five are to be dismissed then out of hand as at egregious odds with the very purpose of ORSANCO. Alternative Three and Four are little better. Alternative Three (entitled “Cost Effective Alternative for Standards”) calls for the implementation of a Cost Effectiveness Standard for evaluating and modifying PCS under the rubric of harmonizing standards across States and through to the Federal Level. Superficially, this sounds benign. However, it is potentially quite harmful. In the first place, by prioritizing a criterion of cost effectiveness, it implicitly gives first weight not to the health of the Ohio River Valley, but to the logic of the market. This is a grave error as the latter notoriously cascades into environmentally destructive activities, a circumstance to which the very institution of ORSANCO in 1948 gives testament. Yet, moreover, the latent premise is that redundancy of standards is always a problem. However, any engineer worth his salt realizes the faultiness of this presupposition. Given the tendency of industry to find whatever means it can to circumvent restraint, redundancy is often actually advisable as a throttle to pollution.

Alternative Four (“Selectively modify PCS after review as warranted and increase focus on harmonization of standards and their implementation among Compact states and EPA”) is essentially but a muted version of Alternative Three, with the only advantage accruing to it being its acknowledgement that a case-by-case approach to such matters is the only sensible one. For it is only on a case-by-case basis that effective discernment of what is ecologically advisable can be realized. However, the merit of that advantage is countered by the inclusion of insistence upon a project of ‘harmonization’ of standards. That project falls by the way of the same objections we have raised in connection with Alternative Three.

In reality, none of the Alternatives put forth for the revision of the 2015 Pollution Control Standards deserve ratification. The sane course would be simply to leave the standards as they are, or to draw up a new list of possibilities, one which actually strengthen those Standards in a way that could alleviate the Ohio River of the ignominious title it now holds as the most polluted inland waterway in the United States.

Sincerely,

Thomas Leo Patrick Lynn, Jr.

From: [Robert Westholm](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 2:59:49 PM

Please continue to regulate the Ohio River so it will be pollutant free. I believe ORSANCO should be allowed to continue to regulate the Ohio River.

I further oppose the proposed changes in regulation which will strip the ORSANCO commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water for the over 900 miles that the Ohio River travels within and along side eight states.

Sincerely,

Robert and Prudence Westholm

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Linda Miller](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 3:58:45 PM

Please add me to the list of people who are concerned about the Ohio River's future. Please continue whatever measures you have been doing to protect our river.

Sincerely,
Linda D Miller

From: [Dianne Russell \(d.d.russell60@gmail.com\)](mailto:d.d.russell60@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 4:56:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Dianne Russell
28911 Westwood Rd
Bay Village, OH 44140
d.d.russell60@gmail.com
(440) 785-7391

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeffrey Sterling \(jeffsterling46@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jeffsterling46@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 5:07:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Sterling
971 Montford Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44121
jeffsterling46@yahoo.com
(216) 210-6768

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Verna Barta \(v8bartam@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:v8bartam@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 5:38:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Verna Barta
10610 Seminole Shore Dr
Huntsville, OH 43324
v8bartam@gmail.com
(937) 441-2645

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Christa Chavez \(christamaria56@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:christamaria56@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 5:42:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Christa Chavez
2072 Blenheim Ave
Alliance, OH 44601
christamaria56@yahoo.com
(330) 206-2010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandy Kittrick \(kitt2009@zoominternet.net\)](mailto:kitt2009@zoominternet.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 6:19:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandy Kittrick
9328 Norwalk Rd
Litchfield, OH 44253
kitt2009@zoominternet.net
(330) 635-1449

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Tim Smith](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Standards
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 6:42:21 PM

Without going into any technical discussion, I have a question: Are you people nuts? Standards represent the police officer in the rearview window. If you have no standards states will not continue to enforce what we currently have. They will go back to what they were doing before. If we have no laws, regulations or standards they is nothing to follow. Also think about all the different standards each state may try to implement? How is one set of standards to be enforced? If one state sets no or very low standards, how does the next state downstream get the other state to up their standards?

From: [Ronald Hedrick \(hedrick@ohio.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:hedrick@ohio.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 7:52:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ronald Hedrick
170 Old US Highway 33
Shade, OH 45776
hedrick@ohio.edu
(740) 696-0004

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [david smith \(dsmithsfamily@aol.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dsmithsfamily@aol.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 8:47:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

david smith
889 houfek
Lancaster, OH 43130
dsmithsfamily@aol.com
(740) 215-7758

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Maya Jamner](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 9:00:20 PM

You absolutely cannot increase the pollution of the Ohio river. We cannot keep destroying the world we live in. We are making it uninhabitable for future generations. We need to protect the river and make sure that we don't add the the amount of chemicals we are dumping in it.

Sincerely,
Maya Jamner

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski \(clannadrocks@aol.com\)](mailto:clannadrocks@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 10:01:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski
229 Majestic Drive
Toledo, OH 43608
clannadrocks@aol.com
(419) 214-2880

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Paul and Linda Davis \(linda4davis@yahoo.com\)](mailto:linda4davis@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 10:07:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Paul and Linda Davis
4576 English Creek Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45245
linda4davis@yahoo.com
(513) 943-9230

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Joanne Morgan \(morganrja@earthlink.net\)](mailto:morganrja@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 10:12:14 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joanne Morgan
8130 Woodward Claypool Rd
Morrow, OH 45152
morganrja@earthlink.net
(513) 292-4328

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David Brodsky \(dbrodsky2@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:dbrodsky2@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 11:00:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

David Brodsky
15978 Cleviden Rd
East Cleveland, OH 44112
dbrodsky2@gmail.com
(216) 320-9375

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandra Fazio \(sandralfazio@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sandralfazio@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 12:38:31 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandra Fazio
226 Kensington Pl
Marion, OH 43302
sandralfazio@gmail.com
(740) 360-6775

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Cliff or Nora Johnson](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO regulatory authority
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 6:53:20 AM

To whom it may concern:

It has come to my attention that the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) is debating whether to discontinue its triennial review process of the Ohio River's quality of water.

I urge the commission not to discontinue its authority to regulate pollution in the Ohio River. You have too important a role to play in improving the quality of water, the drinking water source for more than five million people.

Since 1948, ORSANCO has served the public by controlling and abating pollution in the Ohio River Basin. It operates programs to improve water quality in the Ohio River and its tributaries, including setting waste water discharge standards, performing biological assessments, monitoring for the chemical and physical properties of the waterways, and conducting special surveys and studies.

Currently, the water quality of the river is being threatened as result of the boom of oil and gas drilling in the tri-state region. The proposed Appalachian Petrochemical Storage Hub near Monroe County Ohio would collect fracked gas and other heavier hydrocarbon liquids from the tri-state area via a six-pack of pipelines running 386 miles along the banks of the Ohio River from Monaca, PA. through West Virginia to Catlettsburg, KY.

The facility would pull millions of gallons of water from the river to create storage caverns by dissolving salt deposits. It would also produce millions of gallons of salty brine which will be held in a pond adjacent to the Ohio River.

There are also plans for the construction of up to six huge ethane cracker facilities similar to the one being built in Monaca, PA. which would manufacture 1.6 million tons of plastics a year. They could also discharge oil, benzene, and phthalates into the Ohio River.

If this storage hub, cracker plants, and the additional industries associated with them become a reality, the Ohio River would receive even more industrial pollution. At a recent petrochemical industry conference, one engineer admitted that the state regulators in Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia have "little experience with natural gas liquids storage facilities of its size" and that there are "few regulations as well."

During a time when federal environmental regulations are weakened on a daily basis, our communities need the protection that ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards provide. We need to speak up or those standards may disappear

I strongly disagree that state-level pollution control standards are adequate even at this time, before the petrochemical operations have been built. The water of the Ohio River is not clean: this drinking water source has been named the most polluted river in the USA for the past seven years. In 2014, more than 24 million pounds of industrial wastes and chemicals were dumped into the Ohio River. That's twice as many pollutants by weight as was put into the Mississippi River.

ORSANCO sets baseline standards for many chemicals that are discharged into the Ohio River at hundreds of points along the 981- mile-long river. Some of these standards go beyond what U.S. EPA and state regulatory agencies require.

Several states rely on ORSANCO's standards and adopt them as their own. If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards, those states will have to expend the financial resources to develop the requisite standards.

If all Ohio River states have to develop their own standards, then the situation can lead to interstate conflicts when an upriver state has weaker standards that lead to increased pollution treatment costs for a

downriver state. This would, inevitably, lead to litigation among the states.

ORSANCO should be setting uniform standards with the collective input of all basin states.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Nora Johnson
6433 Nicholson Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15217

From: [Alyssa Rooks \(lopezad@miamioh.edu\)](mailto:lopezad@miamioh.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 7:06:00 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Rooks
6336 State Route 727
Goshen, OH 45122
lopezad@miamioh.edu
(513) 829-1731

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Daniel Bennett \(danielfbennett@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:danielfbennett@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 7:40:44 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bennett
1703 Highland Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45202
danielfbennett@hotmail.com
(513) 515-9772

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: mabohann@gmail.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Changes to water regulations.
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 7:42:40 AM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of Louisville, KY and a consumer of our public water. Please do not reduce the regulations on the Ohio River's sanitation standards. There are millions of people who rely on this source of drinking water.

Thank you for your attention.

Mary Ann Bohannon

Sent from my iPad

From: [Ronda Davis \(rdondadavis10@gmail.com\)](mailto:rdondadavis10@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 8:16:18 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ronda Davis
453 Greenwell Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45238
rdondadavis10@gmail.com
(513) 922-0094

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: brdymar@aol.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: reducing pollution controls on the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 8:29:02 AM

I am opposed to reducing/eliminating pollution controls on the Ohio. Why in the world would we want to do that????? I remember how foul the river was back in the 60's and 70's and why would our country want to go back. In this terrible era of Trump, we keep going backwards. Once a resource has been fouled or destroyed, there is no getting it back.

Do the right thing and maintain stringent pollution controls on our waterways.

Mary Brady
606 Edna Rd
Louisville, KY 40206

From: [Greg Gibbons](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Oppose relaxed water standards in the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 8:34:32 AM

I live in Louisville, Kentucky. I get my drinking water from the Ohio River.

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements, from the rules, and by making changes to other sections of the PCS.

I believe we need an independent body that is able to research, develop, propose, and adopt standards tailored to the specific needs of the river in an atmosphere that stresses sound science and data-driven policy.¹ In Louisville, we rely on water from the Ohio River and we count on ORSANCO and its PCS to help keep it clean.

Clean drinking water is the most basic of human needs. The Ohio River provides water to millions of Americans. If anything, let's err on the side of strict policy that protects our citizens, rather than loosening restrictions that may put us at risk.

Thank you.

Gregory M Gibbons

Louisville, KY 40206

502-797-3756

From: [Lynnette MacGregor \(Imacgregorh26@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Imacgregorh26@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 8:37:05 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lynnette MacGregor
11980 Whitman Rd
Doylestown, OH 44230
Imacgregorh26@yahoo.com
(330) 858-5658

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Juli Nimitz \(juli.nimitz@yahoo.com\)](mailto:juli.nimitz@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 8:58:33 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Juli Nimitz
5921 Taylor Ridge Dr
West Chester, OH 45069
juli.nimitz@yahoo.com
(513) 675-3404

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Heather Davitt \(htdavitt@gmail.com\)](mailto:htdavitt@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 10:07:29 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Heather Davitt
25 Belsaw Pl
Cincinnati, OH 45220
htdavitt@gmail.com
(513) 289-5835

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nina Walfort](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river standards
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 10:23:17 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria from the rules, and by making changes to other sections of the PCS.

I have lived in Louisville for 35 years and have seen the Ohio River become noticeably and dramatically improved by enforcement of environmental standards. It has become a keystone of Louisville's economic development strategy to make the waterfront more appealing and the Ohio River more accessible. Relying on an EPA that is under fire from the Trump administration to ensure water quality would be a major setback to the future growth of Louisville.

Even more importantly, we rely on water from the Ohio River and we count on ORSANCO and its PCS to help keep it clean. Please vote to keep ORSANCO's role unchanged.

Thank you,

Nina Walfort

114 N. Bayly Ave.

Louisville, KY 40206

Sent from my iPad

From: [Steve Magre](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Lest we forget!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 10:26:28 AM

There must be no change to the current standards for pollution control of discharges into the Ohio River, or movement to defer to the states separate agencies that would thus regulate these discharges in a state by state manner. A key reason that the Ohio River has improved over the last several decades are the ORSANCO standards. The reason for ORSANCO is that the states were known to end up deciding independently. Doing this causes insufficient regulations for discharges. A lack of cooperation has shown in the past that all flows steaming on an on past state lines are not healthy Ohio River flows. Rollbacks of federal environmental regulations can not become the basis to not allow what is essential to remain. And that is the 8 states maintaining the standards that they have enacted through ORSANCO.

Lest you forget the Ohio River of 1937 please check out this link-

<http://www.wave3.com/story/37605985/historians-look-back-at-great-flood-of-1937>

--

Steve and Judy Magre
1122 Rammers Avenue
Louisville, Ky. 40204

From: [Martha Rogers \(mjrogers@woh.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mjrogers@woh.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 11:16:57 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

One of our nation's Greatest gifts and greatest strengths is our clean water. Without health, people cannot be productive. I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Martha Rogers
642 Omar Cir
Yellow Springs, OH 45387
mjrogers@woh.rr.com
(937) 768-5679

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 11:29:05 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 12:58 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Colleen Reasor
Email
colleenreasor@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Attn: PCS Comments It is of vital importance for myself, my family, the many children and all those who rely on the over 900 miles of the Ohio River for their drinking water, etc. that you maintain the 10/5/15 Pollution Control Standards for Discharge into the Ohio River. I implore you to do so. Sincerely, Colleen Reasor

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa_Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 11:29:33 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Wednesday, August 01, 2018 4:59 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Catherine McLeod
Company / Organization (Optional)
1860 Harvard Drive
Email
CATHERINEIMCLEOD@GMAIL.COM
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Please do not allow industry to pollute our Ohio River with relaxed regulations. There are still too many toxins in the water as it is. Please tighten regulations to make our water as clean and pure as possible. Sincerely, Cathy McLeod

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 11:30:24 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2018 11:15 AM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Alison Tripp
Email
2013snappyfox@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Please don't strip the Ohio River protections. The river has improved with these protections and needs to continue to do so. People need to know that their drinking water is safe. People also use the river for recreational purposes and relaxing the protections would make the water unsafe for fishing and swimming. I am old enough to remember when the river was a beautiful turquoise and clear. I watched it become a brown mess. Hopefully, it can someday return to it's former beauty, but not without protections.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Jason Kamalie \(jayrocket4peace@yahoo.com\)](mailto:jayrocket4peace@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 11:35:09 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that local governments TAKE CHARGE and ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jason Kamalie
13251 Sugar Bush Ave NW
Mogadore, OH 44260
jayrocket4peace@yahoo.com
(330) 835-7086

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Katherine McKay \(km214@msn.com\)](mailto:km214@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 11:39:10 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katherine McKay
1300 Brimfield Dr
Kent, OH 44240
km214@msn.com
(978) 587-1526

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Teresa V](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Option 2
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 12:06:47 PM

My name is Teresa Vandergraff
My address is 3090 Candlewood Court Erlanger, KY 41018
Cell phone number is 859-445-2262
I'm a registered voter in Kentucky's 4th congressional district

I highly oppose Option 2. The removal of pollution standards for the Ohio River and control from the eight-state commission that oversees water quality along the Ohio River which is the drinking water source for 5 million people along the 981 mile waterway would be devastating. Leaving the fate of what happens to us and what poisons we may be exposed to to the Trump administration is wrong. The federal government's standards are not strict enough to protect us. Please throw out this proposal and leave things at the current standards with the current commission overseeing it.

Thank you, Teresa Vandergraff

From: [Testing Tester \(test293843@example.com\)](mailto:test293843@example.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 12:31:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Testing Tester
1234556 Main
Schenectady, NY 12345
test293843@example.com
(555) 555-1234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Marilyn Wall \(marilyn.wall@env-comm.org\)](mailto:marilyn.wall@env-comm.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 1:31:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Wall
816 Van Nes Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45246
marilyn.wall@env-comm.org
(513) 226-9235

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J.Weber](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Elimination of Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 1:43:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements, from the rules, and by making changes to other sections of the PCS.

I am a 30-year resident of Louisville and have always had confidence in our water quality. However, the plights of Flint, Michigan and other areas make it critical that we keep our standards high and have more monitoring, not less.

In Louisville, we rely on water from the Ohio River and we count on ORSANCO and its PCS to help keep it clean. Thank you for this opportunity to have my opposition recorded and counted.

Janice Weber
40206

From: bussey@twc.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 1:56:49 PM

I oppose in the strongest terms the move to loosen the regulatory controls on pollution along the Oho River! Charles J. Bussey

From: [Carolyn Burley \(carolynmu@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:carolynmu@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 2:30:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Burley
4831 Dueber Ave SW
Canton, OH 44706
carolynmu@sbcglobal.net
(330) 484-3807

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Turner, Erica](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Attn: PCS Comments
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 2:45:03 PM
Importance: High

ORSANCO

5735 Kellogg Ave.

Cincinnati, Ohio, 45230

RE: .Water Quality Standards Threatened

To Whom it May Concern:

I am a resident of Louisville, KY and I am writing to express my opposition to the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements, from the rules, and by making changes to other sections of the PCS.

I the minority report indicates that there are nearly two hundred parameters among the six signatory states and EPA, for which ORSANCO has a criteria but the state or EPA does not. If that is true, how can anyone conclude that the ORSANCO standards are redundant? I also agree with the minority report that we need an independent body that 'is able to research, develop, propose, and adopt standards tailored to the specific needs of the river in an atmosphere that stresses sound science and data-driven policy.' In Louisville, we rely on water from the Ohio River and we count on ORSANCO and its PCS to help keep it clean."

Thank you,

Erica E. Turner
Louisville, KY

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

From: [Josette Gocella](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Clean water Act
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 3:22:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements, from the rules, and by making changes to other sections of the PCS.

The Ohio River has come a long way in pollution control. How can we lose years of dedicated work and improvements in clean, safe drinking water?

Industries are called to be good corporate citizens, to acknowledge that the air, the water and the earth are not free resources to be ruined and depleted, leaving nothing for future generations. Mine is a concern for the environment which is God's gift to be sustained and respected.

Josette Gocella

--

Josette Gocella, SSJ
511 Pine Street
Louisville, KY 40204

jgocella@gmail.com
502-377-0818

From: [Trazana Staples \(trazana4u@gmail.com\)](mailto:trazana4u@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 4:31:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Trazana Staples
1340 Karahill Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45240
trazana4u@gmail.com
(216) 339-2710

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Margie Geeb \(ichliebeoma1956@yahoo.com\)](mailto:ichliebeoma1956@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 4:38:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Margie Geeb
964 Dayton St
Chillicothe, OH 45601
ichliebeoma1956@yahoo.com
(740) 600-4332

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [David & Marilyn Segal](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 5:25:50 PM

Hello -

We are writing to express my opposition to the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements, from the rules, and by making changes to other sections of the PCS.

Healthy citizens make for a healthy nation and we hope you will do the right thing, and not the easy thing.

Sincerely,
Marilyn & David Segal

From: [Home](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 5:36:18 PM

Ohio River

Please keep our rivers clean and we support maintaining high water standards.

Helping Our Children to Spread Their Wings and Fly,

Eric W. Wright, Ed.D.
Family Resource Team

Angelman Syndrome Foundation, Inc.®

75 Executive Drive, Suite 327

Aurora, IL 60504

Mobie: 502-551-1875

Give them a reason to smile!

Like us on Facebook:

<https://www.facebook.com/AngelmanSyndromeFoundation>

Follow Us On Twitter: @Angelman

YouTube: <http://www.youtube.com/user/AngelmanSyndromeFdn>

Instagram: @angelman_asf

Pinterest: <https://www.pinterest.com/angelmansyndfdn/>

LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/company/8407686>

Please consider the environment before printing.

IMPORTANT WARNING: This e-mail, and any attachments, is intended for the use of the person to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged and confidential, the disclosure of which is governed by

applicable law. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this information is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately and delete the related e-mail.

From: [Pat McCullough \(pmccullough1@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:pmccullough1@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 8:09:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pat McCullough
9970 Beech
Cincinnati, OH 45231
pmccullough1@cinci.rr.com
(513) 772-3934

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stanley Shulmana](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on proposed changes
Date: Thursday, August 02, 2018 11:37:12 PM

I attended the Orsanko public review meeting in Kentucky, July 26. I did not speak publicly at the meeting but would like to add some comments now:

1. The Ohio River is so polluted that it requires a uniform set of standards to try to control its hazard level. To allow each state to set its own guidelines, the proposed option, is to allow for contradictory standards
2. Despite the high levels of pollution in the river, Orsanko has a proud history of providing protective measure for the river, based on scientific knowledge. Probably no state can do this on its own. Why not continue what has been done?
3. There are future concerns that may require united action by all affected states. In particular the possibility of providing storage areas for Fracking products is a real concern. If located near water, this could lead to contamination of our rivers. A united effort, as provided by Orsanko, is the best way to deal with these concerns
4. It was a bad mistake to have just one public meeting. There should have been a meeting further upstream and further downstream. This makes it appear that the effort is to avoid public discussion.

I hope that Orsanko will not go forward with the proposal to allow each state to provide its own guidelines. I support alternatives #3 and or # 4

Stanley Shulman

8340 Crestdale Ct.

Cincinnati, OH 45236

From: [Ryan Argo](mailto:Ryan.Argo)
To: jheath@orsanco.org
Subject: Fwd: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 7:46:16 AM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "'noreply@orsanco.org'" <noreply@orsanco.org>
Date: August 1, 2018 at 10:41:52 AM EDT
To: rargo@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mr. Geoffrey Arth
Email
kygarth1@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
Ryan Argo
Message/Comments
August 1, 2018 ORSANCO Commission, Please maintain the October 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River. The standards are necessary to maintain and improve the sanitary standards and safe drinking water for millions of people. Water is the single most important commodity in our lives. Please reject the proposed changes as this could do irreparable damage to the people, wildlife, environment. CDC has stated over 900,000 people become ill each year due to contaminated water. Removing the restrictions will only increase that number. Please maintain the October 8, 2015 restrictions. Thank you, Geoffrey and Mary Arth

**DO NOT REPLY TO THIS
EMAIL! Reply to the address listed**

above in the "Email" section.

Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks (emails targeting you)!!

From: [r.c](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Opposition to eliminating PCS
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 8:29:49 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements, from the rules, and by making changes to other sections of the PCS.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Catalano
341 Mockingbird Valley Road
Louisville, Kentucky 40207

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jerry Miller](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Concern about Changing Pollution Standards
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 8:55:31 AM

I am concerned about the proposed change in pollution standards for industrial and municipal wastewater discharges into the Ohio River. I feel this is a huge safety concern for the residents that rely on the river for drinking water, cooking water and even bathing. We can ill afford more pollution. I realize that deep pockets are most likely pushing this but someone has to voice concerns of the average citizen. May I remind you of Flint Michigan and the problems this community is still trying to overcome by someone's short sighted decision.

Based on what I understand this could affect the safe drinking water and sanitary standards in over 900 miles of river along 8 states including my state Kentucky. Additional polluted water affecting people and industry as well as Farming, bourbon, etc.

I strongly urge a step back on this decision. I am just one concerned citizen. Remember your decision could affect your own families and future generations as well.

Thanks for your time.

Jerry Miller
Lawrenceburg KY



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Margaret Jamner](mailto:Margaret.Jamner@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Retain Numeric Pollution Limits for Ohio River Basin
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 9:15:12 AM

To Whom It May Concern:

Since 1948 the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) had worked with member states to improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by to setting pollution limits. This work has been critical in making sure that pollutant discharges into the Ohio River in one state don't have a negative effect on the downstream waters of another state. I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed discontinuation of the important practice of ORSANCO setting numeric pollution limits for the Ohio River Basin.

If ORSANCO's commissioners abandon the critical work of overseeing the pollution limits as they have these past decades, we will not be able to say how much pollution is too much, and the quality of citizens' drinking water may be jeopardized. In addition to this public health concern, in the absence of meaningful pollution limits, the potential for a polluted Ohio River could damage states' economies through reduced recreational opportunity.

I urge ORSANCO to continue its current practice of setting pollution limits for the Ohio River Basin.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Margaret F. Jamner
Louisville KY

From: [Chris Anderson \(jcanderson001@gmail.com\)](mailto:jcanderson001@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 10:03:02 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Chris Anderson
6025 Nicholas Glen
Columbus, OH 43213
jcanderson001@gmail.com
(614) 406-9475

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Zachary Bramel](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River PCS Comments
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 11:29:12 AM

Hello friends,

I live in Louisville, KY, Metro District 9, which borders the Ohio River and includes Louisville Water's Zorn Pump Station.

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements, from the rules, and by making changes to other sections of the PCS.

My family drinks and relies on water from the Ohio River, as do many 100,000s in the region, and we count on ORSANCO and its PCS to help keep it clean.

Thank you for listening to my concerns,

Zach Bramel
Louisville, KY 40206

From: [Jacobs, Lorie](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Clean Water standards
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 12:41:11 PM

Dear Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commissioners,

I recently heard from Louisville Metro Councilman Bill Hollander (District 9) that there is talk to amend Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as nixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements from the rules and making changes to other sections of the PCS.

I urge you to please think carefully about the proposal. Clean water is a necessity for human flourishing. I have a feeling good old American greed is at work here. Not every company or individual is responsible and, because of the propensity to do what is convenient or cushion a company's bottom line, ORSANCO needs to lead by strong example. Help keep the water in the Ohio as safe as it can be!

If restrictions are eased or done away with all together the cost will eventually be passed on to the utilities to research and implement new ways to keep our drinking water safe. The Louisville Water Company is already doing so much already. To add to their burden will cost us more. Hold the right people accountable. It starts with you.

Sincerely,

Lorie A. Jacobs
40206

From: [Elisa Young \(elisayoung9@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:elisayoung9@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 3:06:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Elisa Young
P.O. Box 518
Athens, OH 43152
elisayoung9@yahoo.com
(740) 416-2694

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jessica Myers](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PSC comments
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 3:18:47 PM

Hello,

Just wanted to express how important the Ohio River is to me, and how much I wish for a day that we can swim, boat, fish and enjoy the river without fear of the quality of the water and the dangerousness of the pollutants therein. I live 100 feet from the River in a cabin and also boat on the ohio as much as possible. I feel like the protections need to increase and not decrease on the ohio. I just wanted to add this to the discussion of whether to scrap federal protections on the waterway. I think that is short sighted and dangerous to the health of the river and by extension the people who live, work and recreate on the river. Please feel free to contact me about this. I love the Ohio, and believe that we need federal as well as state regulation of pollutants in the Ohio.

Thanks

Jess Myers

From: sharer_judy
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River pollution
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 5:14:25 PM

Sir or Madam, the following proposed regulation changes for the Ohio River are unacceptable for the integrity of our drinking water and stewardship of the river and ecological systems. To disregard the health of our waterways is to disregard our own health and lives; it is reckless.

ORSANCO (Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission) is accepting comments by emails and letter on the proposed changes in regulation which will strip the commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water for the over 900 miles that the Ohio River travels within and along side eight states.

Respectfully,
Judy Sharer

From: [June Schlipf \(jschlipf@fuse.net\)](mailto:jschlipf@fuse.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 8:18:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

June Schlipf
347 Warren Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45220
jschlipf@fuse.net
(513) 281-9476

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sandra Stephens \(sandrstphns@gmail.com\)](mailto:Sandra.Stephens@sandrstphns@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 8:32:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandra Stephens
6512 Springmyer Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45248
sandrstphns@gmail.com
(603) 504-5112

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Anastasia K](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on Pollution Control STandards
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 10:10:15 PM

Hello,

I am a Louisville resident who lives and works within a couple of miles of the Ohio River. I am writing to oppose the the proposal by ORSANCO to amend its Pollution Control Standards (PCS) by eliminating water quality standards and criteria, as well as mixing zone and wastewater discharge requirements, from the rules.

The minority report explains that there are almost two hundred parameters among the six signatory states and EPA, for which ORSANCO has a criteria but the state or EPA does not. ORSANCO standard are not redundant - in fact, they are critical. We absolutely need an independent body with the ability and authority to research, develop, propose and adopt standards that are tailored to the specific needs of the Ohio River. We rely on ORSANCO and its Pollution Control Standards to keep the water of the Ohio River clean.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Anastasia Kaufmann

From: [Denise Holmes \(wisewoman152@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Denise.Holmes@wisewoman152@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 11:02:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Denise Holmes
8565 Smith Calhoun Rd Lot 151
Plain City, OH 43064
wisewoman152@gmail.com
(614) 507-7069

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [J.R.METZLER](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Clean Water
Date: Friday, August 03, 2018 11:42:59 PM

Please reject these changes regarding the Ohio River.

Please keep our water safe. Thank You

Julie Metzler
4208 Browns Lane #312
Louisville,Ky.

From: [Joan Brennan](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Water
Date: Saturday, August 04, 2018 8:41:03 AM

Please don't eliminate the clean water standards for the Ohio River. Industries can't be trusted. Which is why we have to have regulations. Thank you, Joan Brennan

Sent from my iPad

From: [Taylor Mayer](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution control standards
Date: Saturday, August 04, 2018 9:50:15 AM

Please do not eliminate the pollution control standards for the Ohio River. Frankly the idea is so ridiculous that I can't believe I'm writing this email. Have a simple respect for those who live and work along, are proud of, and want the Ohio River passed along to future generations in reasonably good condition.

Taylor Mayer
502-558-8424

From: [Kimberly Stone-Littner \(kim.stone-littner@lec.org\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kim.stone-littner@lec.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 04, 2018 1:38:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Stone-Littner
5343 Hamilton Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45224
kim.stone-littner@lec.org
(513) 853-4164

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Pamela Greely \(pam.greely@yahoo.com\)](mailto:pam.greely@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 04, 2018 2:09:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pamela Greely
3326 Boca Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45239
pam.greely@yahoo.com
(513) 378-9420

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mary Jo Horlander](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Standards
Date: Saturday, August 04, 2018 3:14:42 PM

I urge you to not lower the standards for keeping pollution out of the Ohio. I have no particular scientific data to send, but we all know that pollution is a terrible thing for all of us. I have lived in Louisville my entire life, and well know that the river is terribly polluted as it is.

Mary Jo Horlander
1611 Spring Dr. 2C
Louisville, KY 40205

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Kari Garnes \(kgarnes002@columbus.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kgarnes002@columbus.rr.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 04, 2018 5:21:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kari Garnes
970 High St Apt A1
Worthington, OH 43085
kgarnes002@columbus.rr.com
(614) 557-5182

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Connie Bruns \(cbruns2004-email@yahoo.com\)](mailto:cbruns2004-email@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 04, 2018 9:18:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Connie Bruns
955 Donham Dr
Beavercreek Township, OH 45434
cbruns2004-email@yahoo.com
(937) 427-3670

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Julana Eakin \(julana.eakin@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:julana.eakin@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 04, 2018 10:03:20 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Julana Eakin
19942 Westway Drive
Rocky River, OH 44116
julana.eakin@gmail.com
(440) 813-0092

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gayle Razor](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please keep the Ohio River safe
Date: Saturday, August 04, 2018 10:49:05 PM

Please we don't want Flint Michigan disaster in the Ohio River.

Sent from my iPhone. Gayle Raizor

From: [Eric Britton \(ericb_perrysburg@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ericb_perrysburg@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, August 05, 2018 1:19:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eric Britton
745 Heathermoor
Perrysburg, OH 43551
ericb_perrysburg@yahoo.com
(419) 874-6467

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Peggy Karem](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Water quality standards
Date: Sunday, August 05, 2018 1:31:25 PM

I oppose the elimination of water standards along the Ohio river basin. I live in Louisville, KY.
Sent from my iPad

From: Patrick O'Connor
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Water Quality Standards
Date: Sunday, August 05, 2018 1:54:32 PM

I want the Ohio River to be under the watch of ORSANCO and NOT pass this important task, one that you have been doing since 1948 off to ill equipped and under funded state programs.

ORSANCO has taken 70 years to create these standards, why drop them now?

We need these more than ever because of oil and gas infrastructure coming to the Ohio Valley.

We need more public hearings.... one in the upper basin and one in the lower. this is the drinking water source for 5 million + people.

There are close to 200 criteria that some states do not even test for.

The budget to fund this task is SMALL compared to the total budget and this is one task that is critical to the health of our families.

Best regards,

Patrick J. O'Connor
19001 East Shoreland Avenue
Rocky River, OH 44116-2820
(440) 895-0880 Home
(216) 983-9654 Mobile
poc44121@yahoo.com

From: [Susan Wagner \(wagner.67@osu.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:wagner.67@osu.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, August 05, 2018 2:04:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Wagner
6455 Meadowbrook Cir
Worthington, OH 43085
wagner.67@osu.edu
(614) 433-0266

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lora Hamrock \(stormy7373@msn.com\)](mailto:stormy7373@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, August 05, 2018 3:40:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lora Hamrock
406 Northampton Ct
Huron, OH 44839
stormy7373@msn.com
(419) 602-0609

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ben Fessler](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Controls
Date: Sunday, August 05, 2018 3:55:45 PM

I am writing to encourage to keep all the pollution controls now in effect for the Ohio River. We need to do all we can to undo damage already done to our river and to continue to strive to make it a cleaner river in years to come for our grandchildren and their children.

Carol Fessler
14000 Waters Edge Drive
Louisville, KY 40245

From: [Pete and Mary Michaelis \(n8dmm@arrl.net\)](mailto:n8dmm@arrl.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, August 05, 2018 4:43:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pete and Mary Michaelis
12224 E River Rd
Columbia Station, OH 44028
n8dmm@arrl.net
(440) 236-5426

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Don Criss](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: water quality standards
Date: Sunday, August 05, 2018 5:21:23 PM

ORSANCO
Attn: PCS Comments
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230

PCS@orsanco.org.

My name is Donald R. Criss. I have an M.S. in Geology. I have worked for the WV Dept. of Environmental Protection for 24 years now, focusing on groundwater remediation. I have done extensive research regarding the impact of endocrine disrupting contaminants in ground and surface waters. I wish to express my concern over the proposed revisions to key pollution control standards. We are all aware that pollution does not stop at political boundaries. That is why the need to preserve consistent water quality standards throughout the Ohio River has never been more urgent. Without consistent water quality standards throughout the watersheds, individual states and local entities will be free to set their own pollution standards that will inevitably conflict with common sense goals of maintaining the viability of our water resources. Without broader oversight, we can expect water quality standards to be dictated by short sighted economic policies.

Development of deep well fossil fuels and the Appalachian Storage Hub poses much more risk than benefit. Massive water extraction and disposal of used fracking fluids threaten the viability of our water resources, as well as the pollution at cracker/processing plants. These are chlorinated organic hydrocarbons that have the ability to accumulate in fat deposits of humans and other species and cause cancer as well as reproductive disorders and endocrine disruption. These compounds can cause damage in very small quantities. which makes it even more obvious that we need water quality standards set by ORSANCO now more than ever before.

Many of these compounds must be tested by using a gas chromatograph/mass spectrophotometer. Individual states cannot be trusted to set standards and carry out monitoring programs that would be equivalent to ORSANCO'S. The states would have to be able to purchase this very expensive equipment, train operators, and interpret results. The states have shown they are more inclined to overturn or ignore efforts to protect our waters.

Without abundant clean water, we will have no economic development, no quality of life, no way to simply live on our lands. Our water resources are truly more precious than gold. We are being asked to roll the dice with our most valuable resource so the wealthy few can become even richer.

We owe it to the next generation and all future generations to keep vigilant on water quality. Bear in mind more than four million people drink from the Ohio River.

ORSANCO must maintain its Pollution Control Standards rather than abandoning them.

Sincerely,

Donald R. Criss

From: [Mark Freeman \(m60freeman@gmail.com\)](mailto:m60freeman@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, August 05, 2018 5:24:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark Freeman
3784 Kilmuir Dr
Columbus, OH 43221
m60freeman@gmail.com
(614) 772-9069

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Rucki](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment about pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 10:27:42 AM

I very much support the continuation of the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission maintaining the setting of standards for pollution control for the Ohio River.

John Rucki
PO Box 266
Amsterdam, Ohio
43903

From: [greg Z](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Don't strip Ohio River protections
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 12:07:12 PM

Pollution is a regional problem, so we need a regional group in addition to Federal and State regulations.

And why give up local (regional) control to the federal government?

Greg and Becky Zahradnik

1239 Royal Ave

Louisville KY 40204

From: [Marlene Blatnik-Freeze](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org; [Marlene Blatnik-Freeze](#)
Subject: ORSANCO POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 1:08:14 PM

The Ohio River needs the protection that ORSANCO's pollution control standards provide. Please do NOT eliminate ORSANCO's pollution control standards.

Thank you,

Marlene Blatnik-Freeze

3309 Braemar Rd.

Cleveland, OH 44230 mblatnik3@hotmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sherrie Heyse](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: water quality standards
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 1:42:00 PM

I do not believe we should lower our water quality standards. Too many people depend on the Ohio river for drinking water and recreation to see pollution rise.

thanks
Sherrie Heyse

Sherrie Heyse
3599 Caledonia Ct.
Cincinnati OH 45245
513-843-7188 -home
513-325-5338-cell
heysesm@earthlink.net

From: [RODNEY BREWER](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 2:08:06 PM

Personally I think the river is just fine. It's been safe for years. Once it's deregulated it'll only get worse. Don't change it for the sake of business.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [B lytes \(onelastflight@yahoo.com\)](mailto:onelastflight@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 2:47:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

B lytes
840 Bethel
Columbus, OH 43220
onelastflight@yahoo.com
(614) 824-5988

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Leatra Harper \(energetic_coach@yahoo.com\)](mailto:energetic_coach@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 2:56:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Leatra Harper
PO Box 177
Grand Rapids, OH 43780
energetic_coach@yahoo.com
(419) 450-7042

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sharlene Fish Kipfer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment on ORSANCO pollution control standards
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 2:57:57 PM

Hello,

The ORSANCO pollution control standards are powerful tools to protect the Ohio River - and it needs protecting! Please do not eliminate them! Indiana's own Clean Water Rule standards defer to them in order to do our part helping to keep the river safe for enjoyment of people and wildlife habitat. That would leave Indiana with a harmful gap in Ohio River environmental protectios. Let's be a good neighbor. Protect the river.

Respectfully submitted,

Sharlene Kipfer

District Leader Volunteer
Humane Society of the United States
Indiana Congressional District 7
sharlenefish@gmail.com
t 330.807.2536
humanesociety.org

Development Manager
Hoosier Environmental Council
hecweb.org

Master of Public Affairs, 2012
Concentration: Nonprofit Management
School of Public and Environmental Affairs
Indiana University

From: [Heavrin, Beverly](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Regulations for Ohio River Valley Ware Sanitation
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 3:17:46 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Please do not change the regulations which will strip the commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking of water.

Beverly B. Heavrin
Legal Secretary



400 West Market Street | 32nd Floor
Louisville, KY 40202-3363

502.779.8675 Direct

502.589.5400 Main

502.581.1087 Fax

bheavrin@fbtlaw.com | frostbrowntodd.com



NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission is for the use of the named individual or entity to which it is directed and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. It is not to be transmitted to or received by anyone other than the named addressee (or a person authorized to deliver it to the named addressee). It is not to be copied or forwarded to any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, delete it from your system without copying or forwarding it, and notify the sender of the error by replying via email or by calling Frost Brown Todd LLC at (513) 651-6800 (collect), so that our address record can be corrected.

From: [Joseph Cronin \(jcronin45387@gmail.com\)](mailto:jcronin45387@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 3:48:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Joseph Cronin
3 Manor Place
Charleston, WV 25311
jcronin45387@gmail.com
(937) 344-4349

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: schrader@wowway.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: schrader@wowway.com
Subject: ORSANCO pollutions standards proposal
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 4:44:52 PM

We are totally opposed to the proposal to eliminate ORSANCO'S water pollution control standards for industrial and municipal wastewater discharges into the river.

The States working together has resulted in improvements in the Ohio River, but much remains to be done. We live in Newburgh, Indiana, and see first-hand how important our river is to so many people.

We don't understand how you can even consider weakening protections to a river that provides drinking water for 5 million people.

One argument in favor of weakening ORSANCO's standards is that they are covered under the Clean Water Act. But according to one ORSANCO report, there are 188 instances where state and federal rules are not redundant to ORSANCO's.

Also, the Trump administration has been working steadily to eliminate environmental protections included in the Clean Air Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act and Clean Water Act in favor of industry. We need ORSANCO's standards now more than ever because of the Trump Administrations' total abdication of protecting our environment.

This river is a valuable resource of millions of people, not just industries to do with as it pleases.

We urge you to reject this proposal to weaken standards for the Ohio River.

Dan and Jan Schrader

8355 Roberts Ridge Road

Newburgh, IN 47630

From: billryan@wheelerco.win.net
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Re: Ohio River Pollution Control
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 5:31:36 PM

I'm a native of the Texas panhandle, but I've lived in the Louisville area for over 40 years. This area along the Ohio river is incredibly beautiful, and we should protect this area that God has kindly given to us. Please don't permit the industry-backed proposal to eliminate pollution standards for wastewater discharges into the river to be implemented. Believe me, this is a God-given gift we've been given. It would be a shame to lose it.

Edward W. Ryan
3228 Ridge Brook Circle
Louisville, KY 40245

On Aug 2, 2018, at 9:38 PM, Ben Fessler <bencarolfessler@yahoo.com> wrote:

First let me say if this is a duplicate email I'm sorry. Next let me say I don't normally do this but think the following info is important.

The Ohio River may soon lose virtually all pollution-control and quality standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission. Charged with protecting the river and ensuring the water is safe for drinking, fishing and recreation, the commission voted to move forward with an industry backed proposal to eliminate pollution standards for industrial & municipal wastewater discharges into the river. This affects the safe drinking water and sanitary standards in over 900 miles of river along eight states including Kentucky.

The ORSANCO Commission is taking public comments by mail or email on the proposed change in standards until midnight Aug. 10. PLEASE ASK ORSANCO TO REJECT THESE PROPOSED CHANGES AND KEEP OUR DRINKING WATER SAFE.

ORSANCO, Attn: PCS Comments, 5735 Kellogg Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45230

Carol

From: [Susan Marie \(susan.marie.1971@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:susan.marie.1971@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 5:41:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Susan Marie
3276 Seneca Street
Buffalo, NY 14224
susan.marie.1971@gmail.com
(716) 826-1527

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Sherry Becker-Gorby \(beckergorby@frontier.com\)](mailto:beckergorby@frontier.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 6:10:26 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sherry Becker-Gorby
315 Township Road 15
Rayland, OH 43943
beckergorby@frontier.com
(740) 769-2447

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rebecca Thompson \(ralphandbecky@oh.rr.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Rebecca.Thompson.(ralphandbecky@oh.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 8:55:17 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Thompson
139 Pyle Rd
Oberlin, OH 44074
ralphandbecky@oh.rr.com
(440) 506-7376

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Bernstein \(moon.bern@verizon.net\)](mailto:moon.bern@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 9:44:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Nancy Bernstein
1425 Wightman Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
moon.bern@verizon.net
(412) 805-5069

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [John Beaty \(johnbobbty@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:John.Beaty@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 10:53:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

John Beaty
2047 Wyndham Road
Akron, OH 44313
johnbobbty@gmail.com
(330) 671-5732

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Wiley](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 06, 2018 11:50:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Kimberly Wiley
72 Chimney Hill Rd
Rochester, NY 14612
4124982376

From: [Linda Purkey \(lpurkey@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lpurkey@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 4:32:18 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Linda Purkey
6399 S Dixie Hwy
Franklin, OH 45005
lpurkey@gmail.com
(937) 540-5358

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ellen Kronauer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 7:18:12 AM

Please keep the regulations in place to prevent chemicals from being dumped into the Ohio River. I live on the river and know the beauty of geese paddling upriver for their daily sunset 'cruise'. I watch beavers, herons, and turtles in and around the river. Last week I witnessed a magnificent eagle snatching a dead fish from the banks of the river. Thousands of people kayak, canoe, paddle board, ski, swim, boat, knee board, wake board, fish and enjoy the summer activities along the Ohio. Most importantly we all drink the water and deserve clean water.
Thank you,

Ellen Kronauer
502-552-3436
Wakefield Reutlinger Realtors
A Berkshire Hathaway Affiliate

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 7:59:30 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2018 11:33 AM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. J.G. Hans
Email
jghans@bellsouth.net
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
I am writing to urge you to maintain the October 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. I hate to think that we would return to the old way of dumping industrial waste into the Ohio. I am a lifelong citizen of Louisville and a senior citizen. I would like to leave the world, especially the Ohio River, a better place than it was when I was growing up. The people who rely on the Ohio River for clean water deserve and need clean water. Please do not accept this proposed change in standards. Thank you very much. J.G. Hans

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 8:10:19 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Sunday, August 05, 2018 2:12 AM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mr. Michael Ritchie
Email
mhritchie@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
<p>I understand from the Sisters at Ursuline Collage, Louisville, Ky that you are relaxing the requirements for release of toxins into the river. I am appalled at this. I know that some of the requirements have been very restrictive but that really is not the issue since long term it is necessary. When I was younger I thought that ORSANCO was a leading edge in the fight against pollution and I am sorry for the short sightedness of our culture that has made this an issue. I am a retired organic chemist and I understand the chemical make up of our water and its effect on health for our future generations and its potential devastation if is not controlled.</p> <p>Michael Ritchie</p>

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email"

section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 8:10:50 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Friday, August 03, 2018 5:45 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mr. Richard McLeod
Company / Organization (Optional)
Mr.
Email
dickymcleod@yahoo.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
<p>Please reject the proposed changes to the 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River!</p> <p>My entire extensive family is backing up this objection to changes.....this makes no sense to weaken the defenses for our water!!</p> <p>How can you take the side of industries against the will of the people?? This would be so regressive.....Thank you for your attention.</p>

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply

to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks (emails targeting you)!!

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 9:21:35 AM

Email was sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Friday, August 03, 2018 2:13 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Geri Heath
Email
gakheath@hotmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Please do whatever can be done to maintain standards preventing Ohio River pollution. Thank you for preserving life of people, not corporations.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 9:22:09 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2018 9:32 AM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Patricia Hill
Email
pfhillky1@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Please keep our Ohio river water safe and clean. Thank you

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Luann Swartzlander \(luannswartzlander@gmail.com\)](mailto:luannswartzlander@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 9:57:01 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

WE DON'T NEED ANOTHER FLINT CRISIS!

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Luann Swartzlander
1319 Carol Dr
Kent, OH 44240
luannswartzlander@gmail.com
(847) 226-1989

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Brian Santo \(bsanto74@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:bsanto74@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 11:45:09 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Brian Santo
840 W Wayne St
Paulding, OH 45879
bsanto74@gmail.com
(419) 786-9189

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Richard Ignelzi \(insu88@optonline.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:insu88@optonline.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 12:06:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Richard Ignelzi
155 Avenue C
Holbrook, NY 11741
insu88@optonline.net
(516) 637-7818

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kevin Harrison \(kharrison711@gmail.com\)](mailto:kharrison711@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 12:25:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kevin Harrison
740 N Creek Dr
Painesville, OH 44077
kharrison711@gmail.com
(440) 867-3177

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ron Riecken](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: bmbialosky@gmail.com; judy.petersen2995@gmail.com
Subject: Water Quality Standards
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 2:19:28 PM

There are a few commissioners who do not have the best interest of the Ohio river or ORSANCO at heart. To do away with the standards not only will adversely effect the river it will eventually destroy ORSANCO. Please don't let this happen. Listen to PIACO we are in contact with the people of the Ohio valley. Also if you do away with The standards you can expect my resignation from PIACO. Ron Riecken

Sent from my iPhone

From: karen_enginger
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO MOVES TO "WATER DOWN" ITS WATER QUALITY SRANDARDS
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 6:54:04 PM

SAY NO to eliminating pollution control standards for the Ohio River!

Thank you,

A very concerned Cincinnati resident.

Karen Enginger

From: [Stephanie Ulmer \(ulmer.stephanie.marie@gmail.com\)](mailto:ulmer.stephanie.marie@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 8:17:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Ulmer
427 Elmer St.
Pittsburgh, PA 15218
ulmer.stephanie.marie@gmail.com
(412) 244-9126

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: margaret.catlett
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control of Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 5:02:13 AM

Please maintain the October 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.
I am asking ORSANCO to reject the proposed changes to the commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water for over the 900 miles this river travels within and along eight states: Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Sincerely,
Margaret Catlett
1715 Windsor Pl
Louisville, KY 40204

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Carolmae Katz](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution control
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 8:57:19 AM

Please support clean water in the Ohio River. Yes to #3 and #4. NO to poorer standards.

Thank you!
Carol and Dave Katz
Cincinnati Ohio

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Janice Kirschner](mailto:Janice.Kirschner@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: do NOT eliminate pollution control standards for Ohio River!!!!
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 9:03:18 AM

As a resident of Cincinnati and a frequent visitor to the Ohio River, I want and expect a clean Ohio River and strongly urge you to support Alternatives #3 and #4.

This is the right, and only, thing to do.

Thank You,
Janice Kirschner

--

[Janice Kirschner](mailto:Janice.Kirschner@orsanco.org)
Treasurer
Sycamore Junior High
513-686-1760 ext. 2583

This email and any attachments contain information that is, or may be, covered by state/federal student privacy laws or electronic communication privacy laws and it may also be considered confidential and proprietary in nature. This email and any response to it may constitute a public record, and therefore may be made available upon request in accordance with Ohio public records law (ORC 149.43).

If you are not the intended recipient, please be advised that you are legally prohibited from retaining, using, copying, distributing, or otherwise disclosing this information in any manner. Instead, please reply to the sender that you have received this communication in error and then immediately delete it. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

From: [Maxine](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Issues 3 and 4
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 9:39:06 AM

I support clean water in Ohio. I support issues 3 and 4. Maxine Berkman, 7919 Mitchell Farm Lane; Cincinnati, 45242.

From: [Sandy/I Tucci](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Proposed Changes in ORSANCO role and related water quality regulations
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 11:17:01 AM

As residents of the Louisville Metro area who regularly drink Ohio River water and as recreational boaters who have been sailing on the Ohio for twenty-seven years, we are opposed to any reduction in the important and critical role of ORSANCO in regulating and improving the quality of Ohio River water.

Lee and Sandy Tucci
7301 Fox Bluff Place
Prospect, KY 40059

502 228 6200

From: [Nancy Martin](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: proposed changes in oversight and standards
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 11:37:32 AM

I want to state that I am against the proposal that would remove regulation of Ohio River water standards from ORSANCO. Under the leadership of the commission and cooperation from states along the Ohio river, no degradation of the water quality of the Ohio River has occurred. There is no reason to remove protections as that will surely lead to degradation of water standards. We need to be continuing to clean up the rivers in this country rather than backslide into polluting them again.

Sincerely,

Nancy Martin
2706 Belknap Beach Road
Prospect KY 40059

From: [Michael Craig](#)
To: PCS@Orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 12:14:00 PM

As residents of the Louisville Metro area who regularly drink Ohio River water and as recreational boaters who have been using the Ohio River for several years, we are opposed to any reduction in the important and critical role of ORSANCO in regulating and improving the quality of Ohio River water.

Mike Craig & Pam McGuyer
8903 Dolls Eyes St
Prospect, KY 40059

202-369-4280

From: [Sally Roberts Wilson](mailto:Sally.Roberts.Wilson@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 1:36:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sally Roberts Wilson
54 Cheat Canyon Park Drive
Morgantown, WV 26508
304-549-3623

From: [Jacqueline Fannin \(jacqmclave@aol.com\)](mailto:jacqmclave@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 2:45:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Fannin
326 E Wheeling St
Lancaster, OH 43130
jacqmclave@aol.com
(740) 215-0203

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Cochran](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 3:32:18 PM

Email was sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 3:04 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mr. Robin Lucas
Company / Organization (Optional)
Mr.
Email
murph802@twc.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Dear ORSANCO Commission members, I am writing to urge you to reject the proposed changes to strip the Commission of its power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water levels for one of the most important river systems in our nation. You should be proud of the work you have done in the past to uphold these standards and have the strength and foresight to continue to do so in the future. We, as citizens of the eight states that border the Ohio River, are lucky to have such a commission to hold those potential polluters to a higher standard. We certainly do not want to revert to conditions such as those in the 60's and 70's (think Cuyahoga River). Thank you! Robin Lucas

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply

to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks (emails targeting you)!!

From: [Kathy Nichols](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Rules change
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 3:44:13 PM

As a resident of Hart County, KY living on the Green River, I am acutely aware of the need for pollution control as it pertains to our river quality.

I am opposed to the position taken to relinquish responsibility by your organization for oversight of the Ohio River.

Respectfully submitted,
Kathy O. Nichols

From: [Evinger, Linda K](mailto:levinger@usi.edu)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: proposal
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 4:22:45 PM

Dear commission members,

I live on the Ohio River in Evansville, IN and I believe that the commission needs to continue to monitor the health of the river. The reasons that I believe the commission is needed include that oversight is important because one state could change what it is doing without the consideration of how the changes could and will impact other states. Under the current administration, the EPA is easing or lifting guidelines that help to protect the health of our waters. Please do not let the improvements that have been made be lost by less control and oversight! Our health depends on the health of our environment.

Linda

Linda Evinger, MSN, RN, WHNP

Clinical Assistant Professor of Nursing Emerita
College of Nursing and Health Professions

University of Southern Indiana

8600 University Boulevard

Evansville, IN 47712

812-455-3281 (cell)

levinger@usi.edu | www.usi.edu



From: mardeb204@fuse.net
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Controls
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 4:33:27 PM

Dear Sirs/Mmes,

I am writing because I am a deeply concerned citizen. I am requesting that you vote, No, to eliminating pollution controls for the Ohio River. I trust that you are intelligent, caring human beings and will do something to protect the cleanliness of our water.

Thank you in advance for your help.

Sincerely,

Maralynn Martin

From: mardeb204@fuse.net
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Fwd: Ohio River Pollution Controls
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 4:36:10 PM

From: mardeb204@fuse.net
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Sent: Wednesday, August 8, 2018 4:33:26 PM
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Controls

Dear Sirs/Mmes,

I am writing because I am a deeply concerned citizen. I am requesting that you vote, No, to eliminating pollution controls for the Ohio River. Please vote for Alternatives #3 and #4. I trust that you are intelligent, caring human beings and will do something to protect the cleanliness of our water.

Thank you in advance for your help.

Sincerely,

Maralynn Martin

From: [Marcia Wolff \(marciawolff@marciawolffdesigncouncil.com\)](mailto:marciawolff@marciawolffdesigncouncil.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 5:03:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Marcia Wolff
1565 Stimson St
Akron, OH 44320
marciawolff@marciawolffdesigncouncil.com
(330) 256-0663

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Ellen Drake](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 7:38:33 PM

Dear Sir/Madame:

I am writing to say NO to the elimination of pollution control standards for the Ohio River. I want a clean Ohio River and I support alternatives 33 and #4.

Sincerely,
Ellen Drake
45241

From: [Norm Miller](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Water Quality
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 8:51:26 AM

Please dont change the standards so that polluters can polute more. The water is more polluted today than it should be with the present standards!! We must leave our rivers less polluted than we found them or our children and grandchildren will be left to deal with an even bigger problem!

Don't loosen the standards!!

Thanks

Norm Miller
Newburgh, In

From: [Sam Avery](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO should not abandon its mission!
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 10:23:36 AM

I object to the proposed changes. ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and its obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin.
The water quality and quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will continue to suffer.

Samuel Avery
795 Port Wooden Road
Upton KY 42784

From: jnk@scrtc.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: response
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 10:43:48 AM

Hello, why are you abandoning your mission to protect rivers? This is the 21st century. We are all here to make sure that the earth is here for future generations. Katherine Zajac

From: [Bill](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Proposed Changes in ORSANCO role and related water quality regulations
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 10:51:46 AM

As residents of Louisville who rely on clean drinking water from the Ohio River and as lifetime recreational boaters and swimmers on the Ohio, we are opposed to any reduction in the important and in fact critical role of ORSANCO in regulating and improving the quality of Ohio River water.

Bill and Carolyn Deane
224 Buckland Trace
Louisville, KY 40245
502-641-6920

From: wendy.lane.price
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 12:47:22 PM

I'm opposed to ORSANCO abandoning its mission and leaving the Ohio River up to the polluters.

Wendy Price
5020 Defries Rd.
Canmer, KY 42722

From: [Caitlin David \(cdavid11@kent.edu\)](mailto:cdavid11@kent.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 12:50:58 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Caitlin David
1491 S Belvoir Blvd
South Euclid, OH 44121
cdavid11@kent.edu
(216) 632-1390

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carol Friedman](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Abandonning mission
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 1:56:28 PM

I am writing to oppose ORSANCO abandonning its mission to be the unified regulator for all the states along our nation's most vital of waterways. What we'd have would be an immediate race to the bottom. Our river is way too important to allow that. Your supervision is very much still needed.

Carol Friedman
42722
brumuz@yahoo.com

From: [Marijke Hawk](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: I am opposed
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 2:22:35 PM

I am opposed to ORSANCO abandoning their mission an polluting the Ohio

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sherrie Heyse](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Water quality standards
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 2:23:40 PM

Hello,

I have lived in Cincinnati for over 20 years and have lived in the area in years prior. I know the importance of having a clean river for water and recreation and the environment. I really think that ORSANCO has a few other standards and an oversight ability that few river systems have. I realize that many feel that with the Federal EPA and State EPA, that we have enough regulations however I think we should have a third set of eyes on this invaluable resource. We have made progress but we don't need to back step now. If we cut funding to this vital organization, we will lose in the long run. Every state will be able to make exceptions to the regulations and by pass the rules. We need river monitoring by a special unbiased organization.

Please do not redline or delete all of your regulations and monitoring.

thanks
Sherrie Heyse

Sherrie Heyse
3599 Caledonia Ct.
Cincinnati OH 45245
513-843-7188 -home
513-325-5338-cell
heysesm@earthlink.net

From: [James Rhein](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please reverse your Option 2 decision
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 3:54:44 PM

My name is James Rhein of Cincinnati, Ohio, and I care about the health of the Ohio River. I am writing to urge you to reverse the preliminary decision to go with Option 2. Please reject any action to eliminate your key role in pollution control standards. There is no redundancy in this role. If there are budgetary constraints, this key function should be maintained above others. Those living now and future residents will appreciate you standing your ground on protecting the Ohio River.

Sincerely,
James Rhein
Cincinnati, Ohio

From: [Kathleen Yohn \(kyohn9441@gmail.com\)](mailto:kyohn9441@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 4:26:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river. I personally saw the algae bloom in the Ohio river before it was publically announced while on a riverboat cruise, and was greatly alarmed by it's presence.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Yohn
6174 Conservancy Rd
Germantown, OH 45327
kyohn9441@gmail.com
(937) 369-4331

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Patricia Colbert](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards Proposal
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 4:33:55 PM

Do not drop the standards! The Ohio is the most polluted river in the country.

Patricia Bunner Colbert
Posey Co. Solid Waste District
PO Box 248, 400 Brown St.
Mt. Vernon, IN 47620

(812) 838-1613

From: [Dan Givens](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Concerning Orsanco"s responsibility in maintaining environmental oversight and control of the Ohio river and watershed!
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 6:24:56 PM

We certainly realize now that a nefarious gang of grifters, hornswogglers, misfits and liars have recently assumed power in Washington! Resist these fascists and environmental terrorists at all costs! The Earth is too important to humanity to turn over to these bottom feeders! Men have fought these criminals back through time into prehistory. To the 1st human who realized the damage done to nature through man's action. Look and despair for the damage done shall be repaid humanity in full measure!

Therefor: I am calling on Orsanco to protect our water and environment and deny these pernicious polluters their pound of flesh. They ask for your soul as well!

From: [Ciera Cruse \(ciera_cruse@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ciera_cruse@hotmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 9:33:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Ciera Cruse
3870 Adrian Dr SE
Warren, OH 44484
ciera_cruse@hotmail.com
(330) 978-0216

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Carole Sutton](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Stripping the Ohio river of protections.
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 10:01:09 PM

Sent from my iPad Dear ORSANCO commission, I have learned the Ohio river May soon lose all pollution control & quality standards having been set by the above commission. It did imperative that the commission maintain the Oct.8,2015 Pollution control standards for discharges into the Ohio river. I ask ORSANCO to reject the proposed changes that strip the commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards & safe drinking water for the over 900 miles this river traverses. This river has come a long way in pollution control, why go backwards now in losing years of dedicated work & improvements in clean safe drinking water. Thank you.

Carole Sutton. 407 Kaelin Dr. Louisville, Ky. 40207

From: [Jeremiah Bookman \(jeremiahbookman88@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jeremiahbookman88@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 10:24:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeremiah Bookman
34642 True Rd
Logan, OH 43138
jeremiahbookman88@gmail.com
(740) 279-5879

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Colleen Harrison-Jorgenson \(jorgensen.c@aol.com\)](mailto:jorgensen.c@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 4:28:52 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Colleen Harrison-Jorgenson
1943 Grafton Rd
Elyria, OH 44035
jorgensen.c@aol.com
(440) 387-3728

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 8:43:28 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Thursday, August 09, 2018 3:42 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mr. Michael Hoeft
Email
widgeon1@hotmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
To commissioners: It has come to my attention that there is consideration to discontinue the triennial review process of water quality criteria on the Ohio River. With my experience over 27 years with the WV DNR as a past fisheries biologist, I feel that ORSANCO's involvement, oversight and coordination is invaluable to the WV border with the Ohio River to keep our waters as pollution free as possible. Please reconsider your decision.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Bob Mermelstein](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River pollution standards
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 9:25:29 AM

Dear sir or madam,

I would like to place on record that I favor keeping Orsanco standards for Ohio River quality, in the form of supporting Alternatives 3 and 4 that have been proposed. To place this responsibility entirely with the states risks hurting the cleanliness of the river and sending us backwards with earlier progress to make the river cleaner.

Thank you,
Robert Mermelstein

Cincinnati, OH

From: Hoffheimer, Daniel J.
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Alternatives 3 and 4
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 10:10:49 AM

I say NO to eliminating pollution control standards for the Ohio River! Our community needs a clean Ohio River, and I support Alternatives #3 and #4.

Daniel J. Hoffheimer
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
425 Walnut Street, Suite 1800
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
hoffheimer@taftlaw.com
513-357-9328

Taft /

Daniel J. Hoffheimer / Partner
OSBA - Certified Specialist in Estate Planning, Trust and Probate Law
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
425 Walnut Street, Suite 1800
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3957
Tel: 513.381.2838 • Fax: 513.381.0205
Direct: 513.357.9328
www.taftlaw.com hoffheimer@taftlaw.com

<http://www.taftlaw.com/bio/hoffheimer@taftlaw.com>

<http://taftlaw.com/news/subscribe>

This message may contain information that is attorney-client privileged, attorney work product or otherwise confidential. If you are not an intended recipient, use and disclosure of this message are prohibited. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

From: [Millermc](#)
To: [PCS](#)
Subject: Reject ORSANCO Commission Proposal #2
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 10:37:33 AM

Objection to Proposal #2 to relinquish the power of ORSANCO to regulate and enforce water quality standards on the Ohio River and its watershed.

By Michael C. Miller, Emeritus Professor of Biological Sciences and Environmental Studies, University of Cincinnati 1970-2010. Vice President of Rivers Unlimited and of Friends of the Great Miami, Board member of Mill Creek Alliance, Oxbow, Inc and coordinator for Green Umbrella's Watershed Program database STEAMBANK

As one who has studied the chemistry and biology of the Ohio River since 1970 after the implementation of NEPA (1968) and after the Clean Water Act (1972), I have seen the recovery of the Ohio River with US EPA standards adopted and enforced by our interstate compact ORSANCO. Their systematic collection of data from fish to water chemistry has allowed us to connect data with results in better biological diversity in a man-manipulated watershed. When this movement started protecting fish, benthos and macroinvertebrates with limits that safeguard LD50s were good enough to get started. But today we are looking at interactions between several potential chemical, biological, and physical stressors on our rivers system that may interact with climate change to challenge the now old fashion method of single chemical limits. The proposal to give up the power to set and promogulate water quality standards for the Ohio River mainstem and by default many of the tributaries, the ORSANCO board proposal 2, and remove ORSANCO from evaluating the effectiveness of those standards every three years will potentially weaken our ability in government to control the destiny of the Ohio River.

Ohio River-specific problems include: response to climate change (elevated temperatures, reduced oxygen saturation, low flows, pulsed flows), control of invasive species (Silver carp, Black carp, and , already in the system) and potential invaders (Great Lake gobies, European ruffe), inability to respond to unique problems with the Ohio River (spills, barge ports, transport of hazardous fracking waste, etc.), unique discharge problems from one state that may have degradative impacts on the biota of another state, inability to respond to more dredging as sand becomes limiting for development nationwide, endangered species enhancement at water quality standards different than the USEPA national standard.

In addition we have found out recently how vulnerable our environmental laws are to attacks from high federal office for political and non factual reasons, that may not be advantageous to the biotic health of the Ohio River, when those deviations from current standards are designed to favor one industry. We have both state EPAs and our federal water quality standardds; however these are generalized for human health and recreation and for health of the native organisms usually over the whole state or national ecoregion, but these controls cannot respond to unique problems in the Ohio River, or standards that may vary along the river required by the differences in chemistry from runoff from metamorphic rock to

sedimentary rock from upriver to down river. We need the third layer of support for the states in the Ohio River drainage, just like the Upper Mississippi River drainage.

The review of standards every three years could be changed in the charter by the Commission to once every 5 or 7 years to reduce costs, more consistent with the Ohio and federal EPAs. This reduction in frequency of review should reduce the annualized costs over the once in three year reviews, saving ORSANCO money. They could adopt federal EPA water quality standards for the most part where deemed appropriate, but would reserve them the ability of enforce a unique standard where necessary to support the health of the Ohio River.

ORSANCO does its own studies revealing the uniqueness of the regions along its 981-mile course. Recently a study of total dissolved solids (conductivity), one on impact of Bromides on drinking water treatment for the drinking water utilities, and now one on mercury sources, fate and effects as coal-fired powerplants have replaced areal dispersal with water dispersal of coal-bound mercury. As we put hydroelectric plants on 6 of our lock and dams, what will be the effect of climate induced low flows, that may set of toxic cyanobacterial blooms.

ORSANCO does not regulate water flows (USACE), nor monitor climate change directly (NOAA and USACE), but it can sound the alarm and work with other agencies to amend current procedures as these affect water quality standards and set standards unique to the Ohio River. I have not agreed with all ORSANCOs decisions on the standard they have set; however they have a public and open, transparent process for us to have some impact on their decision. The Ohio River needs the special care that the power to regulate water quality on the river gives them now. Giving up that power to save a few dollars when other options exist should be explored first: more time between reviews (5-7 years), alternative funding (special fishing permit for Ohio River, tax on boat gasoline and diesel, tax on barge industry for their monitoring, integration of water quality monitoring by all agencies operating on the river, its influents and discharges may reduce costs if they approve the laboratory.

This reduction in reviews and setting water quality standards will require the states to increase or renew their monitoring of the Ohio River. Ohio, a leader in biocriteria for water, under the direction of Randall Sanders began nighttime electrofishing in the 1970s, a practice adopted then by ORSANCO. In 2000s we began monitoring the length of the Ohio River in our River Runs 2001-2007 for chemistry, chlorophyll and algal IDs, practices that ORSANCO has partially adopted. The USEPA began monitoring rivers on a random pattern, not just at convenient bridges and facilities, now adopted by ORSANCO.

Research on the river has changed monitoring to understand the longitudinal processes of the river. Presumably this will continue, but the implementation into standards will require each state to use their data and come to independent conclusions about their standard. Standards for water quality, for mixing zones or not, for appreciation of exposure routes (e.g. Hg) would require new state expenditures. Ohio has standards for Lake Erie drainages, and Ohio River drainages.

Now it will need an Ohio River specific set of standards that in currently does not have. Large rivers like the Missouri, Ohio and Mississippi need unique care to maintain big river fish (paddlefish, mooneye, goldeneye, river shiner). Large rivers are light-limited systems 95% of the time and have high particulate loads that affect chemistry differently than mid-sized rivers. Let's leave that to ORSANCO for determination through their Commission and for enforcement

should it be required. Do not accept proposal #2.

--

Michael C. Miller, Professor Emeritus
Department of Biological Sciences
1408 Rieveschl Hall
University of Cincinnati ML 210006
Cincinnati, OH 45221-0006
513 675 0293
mike.miller@uc.edu
mmmillermc@gmail.com

From: [DENNIS PRICE](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 11:25:12 AM

We need over site of the states when it comes to water quality. States often compete to race for the worst.

Dennis

From: pam.locker
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Objection to eliminating ORSANCO's pollution control standards
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 2:19:45 PM

Do not eliminate ORSANCO's pollution control standards. The EPA consistently ranks the Ohio River as the most polluted body of water in the U.S. The states obviously are not adequately monitoring and protecting the river. If ORSANCO standards are lifted, 100 of them will become missing or weaker in Indiana due to that state's lower standards, and the situation is similar in the other Ohio River states.

Each ORSANCO standard is a scientifically derived maximum amount of a chemical the river can have and still be healthy for people and aquatic life. Do not condemn our children's well-being for the sake of industrial development.

Pam Locker
Evansville, Indian

From: [Pamela Roberts \(rpamelaj@aol.com\)](mailto:rpamelaj@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 2:19:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Pamela Roberts
723 Hunters Run
Gahanna, OH 43230
rpamelaj@aol.com
(614) 327-6402

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Fabian Liesner \(herrliesner@gmail.com\)](mailto:herrliesner@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 2:37:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Fabian Liesner
400 N McClurg Court
Chicago, IL 60611
herrliesner@gmail.com
(651) 361-0868

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nancy Givens](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Do not abandon ORSANCO's mission to monitor and protect the OH River
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 2:44:27 PM

I am opposed to ORSANCO abandoning its mission and leaving the Ohio River to free reign by polluters. It is vital for ORSANCO to continue to monitor and regulate this river, that over five million people depend on it for drinking water. It is already rated the most highly polluted river in the United States.

Changing ORSANCO's mission is unacceptable and will jeopardize people's health and Kentucky's valuable waterways. Act instead to protect our natural resources.

Nancy Givens
3015 Brownsboro Rd., #11
Louisville, KY 40206

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Stephen Plummer \(stephen.plummer@geometry.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:stephen.plummer@geometry.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 6:01:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Stephen Plummer
1039 Broad Blvd
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221
stephen.plummer@geometry.com
(234) 205-9377

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: dsjunk0517@gmail.com
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Fwd: PCS Comments
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 7:48:32 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: dsjunk0517@gmail.com
Date: August 10, 2018 at 1:56:56 PM CDT
To: pcs@orsanci.org
Subject: PCS Comments

We should never go backwards on trying to keep our river water safe to enjoy! We are increasing the amount of people enjoying the downtown so do not make the river unsafe to swim & boat in. We talk about keeping our young people here well part of telling them we want them to stay is to move forward with our progress on clean river water. To keep moving our city forward & improving things in Evansville which includes our river tells them we care about our city & their future.

I hope we do not give in to the people & businesses that want to pollute our water more to save them money! No way should we become like other cities that have to give warnings to not swim in the river besides that is where our drinking water comes from!

From: [Mary Hodina \(mbhodina@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:mbhodina@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 10, 2018 11:47:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mary Hodina
8190 Deepwood Blvd Unit 4
Mentor, OH 44060
mbhodina@sbcglobal.net
(440) 205-0674

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Robert Johnson](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO PSC Comments
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 5:59:23 AM

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. I have lived all of my life in the Ohio River Basin and have spent considerable effort over the decades working to protect and improve the waters of the Ohio River. While I have sometimes objected to proposed changes never before I have I felt that ORSANCO was completely abdicating its mission “to ensure protection of these uses and to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes” if it proceeds with the adoption of the changes proposed to the PCS. It is difficult to properly and completely express my disappointment in the Commission for abandoning its mission and forsaking its authority.

These commissions had difficulty cleaning up large interstate waterways precisely because they lacked the authority granted to ORSANCO in the Compact to ensure that “pollution by sewage or industrial wastes originating within a signatory State shall not injuriously affect the various uses of the interstate waters.” ORSANCO purports that the Standards are not needed because the individual states now have NPDES programs and water quality standards that must be approved by EPA under the CWA when a number of ORSANCO standards are more stringent than state standards.

But several states in the Compact, including Kentucky, have prohibitions against standards that are more stringent than the EPA and so for a number of states, permits will be less stringent if the Commission votes to approve these changes and abdicates its responsibility to protect the river. This will certainly not result in improved water quality in the Ohio River. It will likely not even result in the status quo for water quality.

This is in no way comparable to the Commission simply stating that because state standards are approved by EPA that the river as a whole will be protected. Standards vary state by state. Currently, the PCS regulations require that states use ORSANCO standards or a state standard if it is more stringent. Therefore, the protections will not be consistent or as stringent if these changes are approved and the PCS regulations are gutted.

I continue to assert that some combination of alternative 3 and/or 4 as presented in the preliminary comment period will provide better protection for the Ohio while adhering to the Compact and the mission of the Commission. In addition, a combination of 3 and 4 would also implement the recommendations in the 1974/5 EPA study and continue ORSANCO's legacy of providing valuable leadership to the Ohio River states. Proposing a cost effective way to selectively modify the PCS after review as warranted and increase focus on harmonization of standards and their implementation among Compact states and EPA would preserve ORSANCO's leadership role in the basin.

I object to the proposed changes. If approved ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin, but even worse, the water quality and quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will continue to suffer.

Robert Johnson
2080 Sharpe School Road
Calvert City, KY 42029

From: [Barry Tinning](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Comments
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 6:32:02 AM

Greetings

I have reviewed the proposed changes to the ORSANCO pollution control standards regulations, posted at: <http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Draft-2018-Pollution-Control-Standards-Marked-Version.pdf>.

The proposed changes appear to completely remove all of ORSANCO's existing regulatory authority, in lieu of the inconsistent and highly variable regulations on Water Quality Standards and NPDES effluent limitations promulgated by the various states along the Ohio River.

I am not in favor of this rule change, because it would remove the primary venue for assuring consistency - and an important whole river focus - in protecting the Ohio River. By definition, states are concerned primarily with the waters within their boundaries. Waters that border one or more states are often the subject of disagreement and legal disputes when it comes to water quality protection and restoration (e.g., Oklahoma vs Arkansas, Florida vs, Georgia, etc.). ORSANCO has provided a valuable forum as an arbiter for resolving such disputes, and - more importantly - for instituting a regulatory regime where the resolution of such disputes can be effectuated.

Please consider rescinding the proposed changes, and continuing ORSANCO's role as the legal, regulatory arbiter of interstate disagreements on water quality protection for the Ohio River. Over the years ORSANCO has performed its duties in a professional, workmanlike manner, and has served the public well. Everyone has not always agreed with the outcomes of ORSANCO's rulemaking, but the alternative - piecemeal regulations that will restart interstate squabbles about who's doing what - appears to me to be a poor choice regarding the path forward.

Thanks in advance for considering these comments, and for ORSANCO's past service to the Ohio River, its bordering states, and the people of the watershed!

=====
Barry Tinning 343 North Maysville St. Mt. Sterling KY 40353
=====

From: [Mark McDonald \(avidmcd@yahoo.com\)](mailto:avidmcd@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 10:08:37 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mark McDonald
6225 Beechview Circle
Cincinnati, OH 45213
avidmcd@yahoo.com
(513) 631-7876

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Stephanie Ulmer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 4:00:45 PM

As our waters supplies grow increasingly imperiled, the value of clean water becomes more and more evident. Maintaining the current pollution control standards is imperative to protecting our drinking water. Clean water is also necessary for recreation and tourism. No one wants to go kayaking in an algae bloom or fishing in chemically tainted waters.

ORSANCO has in the past used 188 parameters criteria that exceed the currently inadequate US EPA standards. Please the original ORSANCO standards in place. Our lives, and the lives of all living beings, depend upon access to clean water.

Thank you.

Stephanie Ulmer
Pittsburgh, PA

|

From: [Vander Ploeg, Scott D \(Madisonville\)](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Changing Oversight
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 4:32:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO:

No, do not abdicate your authority over water quality in the Ohio River. You are the glue. If the mandate is given to the states, it is easy to see how chaotic and varying standards will have a negative impact on the water quality, which correlates to the quality of life of the basin residents: people will suffer.

I have been working as a volunteer water tester, with the Watershed Watch in Kentucky program. In my county alone, over two dozen sites have been improved because of our efforts. The end result is locally improved waterways, and then improvement of what is flowing into the Ohio. It is a group activity—don't leave the group!

Scott D. Vander Ploeg
180 A Bob Corpus Rd
Madisonville, KY 42431

From: [Katie and Bill Dresbach \(katiescleaning10@gmail.com\)](mailto:katiescleaning10@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 8:02:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Katie and Bill Dresbach
134 Latimer. Ct. P.O.Bx 2066
Sheffield Lake, OH 44054
katiescleaning10@gmail.com
(440) 864-7248

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jennifer Jones \(tanaka.sato4795@gmail.com\)](mailto:tanaka.sato4795@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 9:23:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Jones
PO Box 1348
Portsmouth, OH 45662
tanaka.sato4795@gmail.com
(586) 747-7246

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Gene and Nancy](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Returning control of the Ohio to bordering States
Date: Saturday, August 11, 2018 10:28:52 PM

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

The return of pollution controls from ORSANCO to bordering States is dangerous. As a taxpayer and voter I stand firmly against such a move. The existing mishmash of state pollution rules is a tangled web at best. Today ORSANCO is like a warden in a prison whose control serves to maintain order among inmates. For the Warden to give up all sense of order to inmates is seeking the quickest way to ensure a riotous group of inmates, in this case a return to an Ohio that is once again a sewage canal. Perhaps a better example might be a Madam in a House of Ill Repute turning the operation over to clients.

Gene Nettles
6989 State Route 125
Hickman, Ky. 42050
270-236-2317

P.S. My interest in the OHIO stems from the fact that proximity to the Mississippi River causes alarm as to what might be added to pollutants already flowing nearby.

From: [Sanela Graziose](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 12, 2018 8:26:48 AM

Greetings

I am reaching out to you today to ask ORSANCO to reject the proposed changes which effectively strip the commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water for the over 900 miles this river travels within and along eight states: Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia.

The Ohio River has come a very long way in pollution control. Let's not set that back with these changes.

Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Bob Means](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: New Regulations
Date: Sunday, August 12, 2018 11:26:47 AM

Easing of the existing regulations is extremely short sighted. The progress on improving water quality on the Ohio river is very obvious and still has a ways to go to make it even more usable. In this day when we read about water shortages all over the country, it seems foolish to me to move in a direction of making the water quality even lower than it is now. Current should be the lowest end of the target for the future. Please change your thought process and try to think about the future needs for clean water in the coming generations.

Bob Means
2704 Belknap Beach Road
Prospect, KY 40059

From: [Dale Reynolds](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on PCS
Date: Sunday, August 12, 2018 11:51:05 AM

To: ORSANCO PSC Committee and Commisioners

ORSANCO has been given regulatory power to assist its (8) member states with monitoring and setting water quality standards for the Ohio River Basin. It has served in that capacity since 1948. Due to the existence of ORSANCO, the eight member states have been coordinated in their approaches to monitoring and regulating activities that impact the Ohio River system. Over that time, ORSANCO has promulgated numerous water quality standards to improve the health of the Ohio River basin for its citizens, some, of which, were not universally popular with all compact member states. Yet ORSANCO has continued to serve as an outside scientific advisor to the states, without members choosing to discontinue their participation.

The stated motivation for dropping the triennial review of PCS is that:

"Because all states are mandated by the federal Clean Water Act to adopt and submit for USEPA approval a program that addresses designated uses, free from mandates, wastewater discharge requirements, water quality standards, mixing zones, and more, the Commission has concluded that the requirements of the Compact are being satisfied by member state programs implementing the federal Clean Water Act. The Commission has also concluded that all of its member states are implementing programs approved under the federal Clean Water Act for the safe and satisfactory uses of the Ohio River as public and industrial water supplies after reasonable treatment, suitable for recreational usage, capable of maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and that therefore the requirement of the Compact are being satisfied by member states through these programs.

Given the fact that all member states are implementing approved programs under the federal Clean Water Act, the Commission will discontinue the triennial review process of updating the PCS rules. By proceeding under this approach the Commission is confident that public will have the full and complete protection of the federal Clean Water Act and the oversight of USEPA and the states without the redundancy of the current PCS program."

States have been implementing approved programs under the federal Clean Water Act for a number of years; it is puzzling that ORSANCO is motivated to step away from its mandate now. An act that will allow variations in regulatory standards and enforcement priorities that will vary across the watershed. While variations have always existed among state laws, the loss of ORSANCO's influence and authority will result in an erosion of the cooperation among member states on water quality in the Ohio River.

The following questions need to be answered to make sense of the current push by ORSANCO to abdicate the authority delegated to it by the US Congress and its member states:

1. How many water quality standards (including concentration variations) has ORSANCO established that did not exist under the federal Clean Water Act?
2. With state and federal budgets being cut annually, and environmental staffs dwindling, why is now a good time to discontinue this third-party oversight? Do you really feel confident that state and federal agencies can do an equivalent job with less money and fewer staff each year than they did the year before? The current political climate does not show any interest in improving, or even promoting, water quality standards.
3. What, exactly, are the redundancies that are proposed to be eliminated, and when were they created? Without changes being made since October 2015, what makes these redundancies so unbearable now?

I urge the commission to reject option 2 and embrace options 3 & 4.

Dale Reynolds

3260 Matlock Road

Bowling Green, KY 42104

From: [Rebecca Barnes](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO standards on Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 12, 2018 2:54:23 PM

To whom it may concern, *ORSANCO*,

I am an ordained Presbyterian pastor serving our national denomination on hunger, poverty, and earth care concerns. I am also a mother of two children and our family lives about a mile from the Ohio River in southern Indiana, just across from Louisville where I grew up and have enjoyed the river all my life. However, I have enjoyed the river from balconies, lawns, and boats rather than getting into the water itself because of its level of pollution. I love water and take vacations to other rivers, lakes, and even the ocean when possible, and it is ironic that I have to travel far to enjoy other places rather than the river that makes up my home geography.

I think I've missed the official comment period but still wanted to register my serious concern about your recent recommendations for state-level controls on the river. These are in no way adequate to keep our watershed clean, or to make this beloved river a better place for my own children and grandchildren than it was for me. Please work to set standards that are good for all and for the health of the river.

Thank you,
Rebecca

Rebecca Barnes
Coordinator, Presbyterian Hunger Program
(Compassion, Peace & Justice Ministry, Presbyterian Mission Agency)
100 Witherspoon St, Rm 3631
Louisville, KY 40202
[502-569-5624](tel:502-569-5624) or [888-728-7228](tel:888-728-7228), ext 5624
rebecca.barnes@pcusa.org
Web site:
<https://www.presbyterianmission.org/ministries/hunger/>
Give: <https://www.presbyterianmission.org/donate/H999999/>

From: [Evan Holladay](mailto:Evan.Holladay@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Opposing the Changes to the Ohio River Regulation
Date: Sunday, August 12, 2018 8:23:26 PM

I am in full opposition to the changes proposed to allow individual states to regulate the Ohio River. Please see the message below as well.

To: ORSANCO PSC Committee & Commissioners
From: Judith Petersen, [2995 Hammonsville Rd., Munfordville, KY 42765](mailto:Judith.Petersen@orsanco.org)
Date: August 6, 2018
Re: Comments on PCS

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. I have lived most of my life in the Ohio River Basin and have spent considerable effort over the decades working to protect and improve the Ohio River. I have participated in the PCS triennial review consistently since 2005. While I have sometimes objected to proposed changes never before I have I felt that ORSANCO was completely abdicating its mission “to ensure protection of these uses and to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes” if it proceeds with the adoption of the changes proposed to the PCS. It is difficult to properly and completely express my disappointment in the Commission for abandoning its mission and forsaking its authority.

I’ve had the opportunity to work with other multi-state commissions on large rivers. These commissions had difficulty cleaning up large interstate waterways precisely because they lacked the authority granted to ORSANCO in the Compact to ensure that “pollution by sewage or industrial wastes originating within a signatory State shall not injuriously affect the various uses of the interstate waters.” ORSANCO purports that the Standards are not needed because the individual states now have NPDES programs and water quality standards that must be approved by EPA under the CWA when a number of ORSANCO standards are more stringent than state standards.

But several states in the Compact, including Kentucky, have prohibitions against standards that are more stringent than the EPA and so for a number of states, permits will be less stringent if the Commission votes to approve these changes and abdicates its responsibility to protect the river. This will certainly not result in improved water quality in the Ohio River. It will likely not even result in the status quo for water quality.

US EPA addressed the very issue at the crux of ORSANCO’s assumption in a study, titled *A Study of Prospective Water Pollution Control Activities For The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission*, was released in March 1975, and with respect to “stream standards,” recommended that:

Since the Ohio is a boundary river, and because there are many other relationships as among the several state segments of the river system, the interstate agency should provide the overall view and the comparative analyses

needed for coordination, consistency and equity.

Whereas the current PCS state: “Sections 301(b)(1)(C) and 510 of the Federal Act require that permits issued under that system incorporate applicable standards promulgated by an interstate agency wherever they are more stringent than comparable state or federal standards. And that these standards set forth the uses to be protected in the Ohio River (Chapter 2) as established in the Compact, establish water quality criteria to assure that those uses will be achieved (Chapter 3), and set wastewater discharge requirements (Chapter 5) needed to attain the water quality criteria. The standards also recognize the rights of individual states to adopt and apply more stringent regulations.

Specific wastewater discharge requirements are established in these regulations and must be incorporated into discharge permits issued under the authority of the NPDES or state discharge permitting programs when they are more stringent than:

- 1) applicable U.S. EPA technology-based effluent guidelines required under Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Federal Clean Water Act, or
- 2) any state treatment requirements, effluent standards, or water quality-based effluent limits.

In the absence of promulgated Federal effluent guidelines pursuant to Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Clean Water Act, the Compact signatory states have the responsibility to establish effluent limitations to be included in any discharge permit, consistent with the standards contained herein using best professional judgment on a case-by-case basis.”

This is in no way comparable to the Commission simply stating that because state standards are approved by EPA that the river as a whole will be protected. Standards vary state by state. Currently, the PCS regulations require that states use ORSANCO standards or a state standard if it is more stringent. Therefore, the protections will not be consistent or as stringent if these changes are approved and the PCS regulations are gutted.

I continue to assert that some combination of alternative 3 and/or 4 as presented in the preliminary comment period will provide better protection for the Ohio while adhering to the Compact and the mission of the Commission. In addition, a

combination of 3 and 4 would also implement the recommendations in the 1974/5 EPA study and continue ORSANCOs legacy of providing valuable leadership to the Ohio River states. Proposing a cost effective way to selectively modify the PCS after review as warranted and increase focus on harmonization of standards and their implementation among Compact states and EPA would preserve ORSANCOs leadership role in the basin.

I object to the proposed changes. If approved ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin, but even worse, the water quality and quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will continue to suffer.

--

Thanks,
Evan Holladay
1229 Payne Street
Louisville, KY 40204
859-307-8652

From: [Raymond Barry](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS comments
Date: Sunday, August 12, 2018 9:24:27 PM

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. ORSANCO would completely abdicate its mission “to ensure protection of these uses and to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes” if it proceeds with the adoption of the changes proposed to the PCS. This is clearly within ORSANCO’s authority, so I am confused as to why they would abandon their responsibility.

I ORSANCO purports that the Standards are not needed because the individual states now have NPDES programs and water quality standards that must be approved by EPA under the CWA when a number of ORSANCO standards are more stringent than state standards. In interstate waterways, the “tragedy of the commons” is ripe. The states have no incentive to consider the pollution contributed by neighboring states.

Several states in the Compact, including Kentucky, have prohibitions against standards that are more stringent than the EPA and so for a number of states, permits will be less stringent if the Commission votes to approve these changes and abdicates its responsibility to protect the river. This will certainly not result in improved water quality in the Ohio River. It will likely not even result in the status quo for water quality.

US EPA addressed the very issue at the crux of ORSANCO’s assumption in a study, titled A Study of Prospective Water Pollution Control Activities For The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission, was released in March 1975, and with respect to “stream standards,” recommended that:

Since the Ohio is a boundary river, and because there are many other relationships as among the several state segments of the river system, the interstate agency should provide the overall view and the comparative analyses needed for coordination, consistency and equity.

Whereas the current PCS state: “Sections 301(b)(1)(C) and 510 of the Federal Act require that permits issued under that system incorporate applicable standards promulgated by an interstate agency wherever they are more stringent than comparable state or federal standards. And that these standards set forth the uses to be protected in the Ohio River (Chapter 2) as established in the Compact, establish water quality criteria to assure that those uses will be achieved (Chapter 3), and set wastewater discharge requirements (Chapter 5) needed to attain the water quality criteria. The standards also recognize the rights of individual states to adopt and apply more stringent regulations.

Specific wastewater discharge requirements are established in these regulations and must be incorporated into discharge permits issued under the authority of the NPDES or state discharge permitting programs when they are more stringent than:

- 1) applicable U.S. EPA technology-based effluent guidelines required under Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Federal Clean Water Act, or
- 2) any state treatment requirements, effluent standards, or water quality-based effluent limits.

In the absence of promulgated Federal effluent guidelines pursuant to Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Clean Water Act, the Compact signatory states have the responsibility to establish effluent limitations to be included in any discharge permit, consistent with the standards contained herein using best professional judgment on a case-by-case basis.”

This is in no way comparable to the Commission simply stating that because state standards are approved by EPA that the river as a whole will be protected. Standards vary state by state. Currently, the PCS regulations require that states use ORSANCO standards or a state standard if it is more stringent. Therefore, the protections will not be consistent or as stringent if these changes are approved and the PCS regulations are gutted.

I object to the proposed changes. If approved ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin, but even worse, the water quality and quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will continue to suffer.

From: [Nancy Brown](#)
To: PCS@ORSANCO.ORG
Subject: Pollution Control Standards Comments
Date: Sunday, August 12, 2018 9:36:24 PM

It is important that the ORSANCO Commission maintains the Oct. 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River. I ask ORSANCO to reject the proposed changes which effectively strip the commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water for the over 900 miles this river travels within and along eight states: Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia.

The Ohio River has come a very long way in pollution control. Why lose years of dedicated work and continuous improvements in clean, safe drinking water? All eight states acting together saved the Ohio River from the whims of each state's regulations and standards.

Sincerely,
Nancy C. Brown
19 Hawthorn Hill
Louisville, KY, 40204

From: [Virginia Justiniano \(ty35462w5euuyjoupfty7@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:ty35462w5euuyjoupfty7@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 12:03:10 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Virginia Justiniano
63 Tremont Road
Pine Grove, PA 17963
ty35462w5euuyjoupfty7@yahoo.com
(479) 858-2030

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Kimberly Lowe \(lowe15@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:lowe15@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 12:04:40 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Lowe
612 Sycamore Mill Drive
Columbus, OH 43230
lowe15@yahoo.com
(614) 471-5588

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 8:32:45 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Sunday, August 12, 2018 12:40 AM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. RITA OSBORN
Email
radoy1@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Pollution control standard of 10/8/2015 for discharge into the Ohio River must be kept in place. My main concern is that removing ORANSCO standards severely weakens regulatory protections for water quality on the Ohio River. Each state would be left to regulate the river on its own, creating conflicts if an upstream states standards were not as strong as a downstream state. Further the power industry would have a much easier time imposing their will on individual states rather than dealing with a multistate compact organization. This is not a vote for the future of the river and all the people and animals that use it. Please stand firm on these existing standards.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Gerson, Myron \(gersonml\)](#)
To: ["pcs@orsanco.org"](mailto:pcs@orsanco.org)
Subject: Protect the Ohio river
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 10:30:08 AM

A major advantage of the Midwest is the presence of plentiful, safe water from the Ohio river. Orsanco must have the power to enforce pollution control standards for the Ohio river. Put in place alternative #3 or #4.

Myron C. Gerson MD
Emeritus Professor of Medicine
University of Cincinnati

From: [test test \(test@crometrics.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:test@test@crometrics.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 10:46:14 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

test test
123 main st
testville, NY 10023
test@crometrics.com
(201) 321-4567

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Rachel Guglielmo](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on pollution control standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 11:23:52 AM

Hello,

I'm writing to respectfully express my strong opposition to the elimination of ORSANCO's pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Standards enacted by all of the states along the Ohio River will not adequately protect the river; there are more than 100 ORSANCO standards that are either missing or weaker than standards in my home state, Indiana, and I understand that the situation is similar in the other Ohio River states.

ORSANCO has a critical role in protecting the quality of water in the Ohio River, which is already one of the most polluted rivers in the United States. Please do not give in to pressure from polluters -- help us keep improving Ohio River water quality by opposing the elimination of numeric pollution limits and public input!

Sincerely,

Rachel Guglielmo
Bloomington, IN

From: joanne.gerson@earthlink.net
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please support alternatives numbers 3 and 4 for continued authority to protect our Ohio Rivers water quality.
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 11:53:13 AM

Dear Honorable ORSANCO Commissioners:

Numerous studies confirm that **the Ohio River is the most polluted river** in the United States.

There are new threats coming to Ohio River. The Appalachia Storage and Trading Hub is a **\$10+ billion infrastructure project** between China Energy (formerly Shenhau) and West Virginia University that will expand the market for ethane and other natural gas liquids (NGLs) production from the liquids-rich Ohio and West Virginia Marcellus and Utica Shale Formations. The project consists of a network of pipeline infrastructure that provides connectivity between NGL storage capacity, cracker plants, and manufacturing facilities in Ohio and West Virginia. https://energy.wvu.edu/files/d/828c68de-e0c3-4d05-91ca-a1e9b4914fbc/faq_china-energy.pdf

Between 1980 and 2005, the oil and gas industry lobbyists succeeded in obtaining **exemptions for the fracking industry from EPA regulations**, including the following: 1. Safe Drinking Water Act, 2. Clean Water Act, 3. Clean Air Act, 4. Toxic Release Inventory of EPCRA, 5. National Environmental Policy Act – NEPA, 6. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act – RCRA and 7. Comprehensive Environmental Response, and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act – CERCLA. Each state has their own set of exemptions for the oil and gas production industry. https://earthworks.org/publications/loopholes_for_polluters/

In addition, **Mercury pollution**, a potent neurotoxin that impairs fetal brain development, in the Ohio River **increased by more than 40%** between 2007 and 2013, according to EPA data. High levels of nitrates and other pollutants also plague the river. Additionally, coal plants, factories, and water treatment facilities dot the riverbanks, discharging byproducts that contribute to pollution and health hazards in the river. <http://elpc.org/issues/clean-water/ohio-river/>

Ohio and Indiana have **no authority** to stop polluters upstream, a result of the 1788 the Continental Congress Northwest Ordinance, giving the Commonwealth of Virginia and its later derivative states full control of the Ohio River, up to the north bank.

Only ORSANCO has the power to protect the Ohio River for Ohio and Indiana from pollution from new projects and other industrial polluters.

Please support alternatives #s 3 and 4 for continued authority to protect our Ohio River water quality.

Thank you for your serious consideration.

Joanne Gerson
7714 Stonehenge Drive
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Joanne.gerson@earthlink.net
513-793-2648

From: [Cathleen Arnold](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 11:53:43 AM

ORSANCO:

Please do not abandon your role in setting pollution control standards for the Ohio River. Your organization has been vital in ensuring the health of all people, businesses and other entities who depend upon the river for clean drinking water, bathing water - and water used for production purposes.

What has possibly changed enough to allow this commission even to think about abandoning the role you have played for six decades in ensuring water quality in the Ohio River basin?

There is no other entity - including the EPA - which can or will provide the care, knowledge and expertise needed for setting proper standards for the unique water system which is the Ohio River.

People are tremendously aware of ORSANCO and this pending decision.

Be assured that citizens do not want any lower water quality standards and they do not want ORSANCO to abandon the mission it was given in 1948.

Cathleen Arnold
6546 SilverFox Drive
Cincinnati, Ohio 45230

From: [Barbara Jung](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Concern for Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 12:09:26 PM

As a citizen of Indiana I am asking that the pollution control standards for the Ohio River remain until they are entirely met. It would be a serious mistake to go backwards on ignore the harm that can and will come unless high standards are upheld.

Sincerely,
Barbara J. Jung
2826 Rockne Drive
South Bend, IN 46615
574-387-4724

From: [Julie Kirk](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: pollution control standards
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 12:38:28 PM

I want you to keep the authority to set standards and keep them the same for all the states.

--

Julie

Julie Kirk
380 Harrodswood Road
Frankfort, KY 40601

From: [Joseph Baust](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Water
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 1:03:16 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am submitting my concerns and incredulousness to the change of water quality in the Ohio River Water to include any and all sources of water including the river itself, the streams, and other conveyances that are feeders to the river, whether natural or manmade. I am in strong disagreement to the diminution of any regulations that would impact the water quality as it relates to those standards set before 2016 and is now being discussed as regulation that will significantly impact the water quality of the river and its tributaries.

This includes my vociferous contempt for any and all changes that will allow water quality changes that emanate from business, industry, and the public from sewage, waste water, and all and any solid or liquid that can be entered into the river under the revised and proposed rules.

Any relaxation of the rules set previous to 2016 must not be permitted or allowed. Such change must be strengthened not diminished in any way that will impact the water quality and thus the biological, chemical and physical aspects of the Ohio River and its tributaries. Any attempt to change the regulations that decreases those values and rules to protect the water and ultimately the land, any change that would ultimately impact how downstream communities will be impacted in their use of the Ohio River for drinking water will be costly both in the sense of human health and community costs for treating water for public use.

I strongly urge any changes made to decrease water quality standards be declined and rejected.

Sincerely,

Dr. Joseph A Baust, Sr., Ed.D.
Emeritus Professor and Emeritus Director of the Center for Environmental Education
Murray State University
1519 London Drive
Murray, KY 42071
Cell: 270-293-7273

From: [Sandra McEwen \(slm69@psu.edu\)](mailto:slm69@psu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 1:32:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Sandra McEwen
658 Eagleview Dr
Mohrsville, PA 19541
slm69@psu.edu
(610) 396-6069

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [A Wilmes](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: I value the water pollution control standards set by ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 2:08:28 PM

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). If ORSANCO no longer sets water quality standards, then each state would be left to decide how clean the river should be. Please do not allow ORSANCO to abdicate its responsibility to protect the drinking water, recreational opportunities, and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

ORSANCO has a unique and settled opportunity to protect and improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by setting these standards for the maximum levels of various pollutants in the river. The standards are not an unnecessary regulatory burden, but rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River while providing protection of designated uses. According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the EPA lack. At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place.

I and other Kentucky citizens are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

Thank you,

Ashley Wilmes
1328 Prather Road
Lexington KY 40502

From: [Elizabeth Butler](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep ORSANCO standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 2:12:57 PM

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). If ORSANCO no longer sets water quality standards, then each state would be left to decide how clean the river should be. Please do not allow ORSANCO to abdicate its responsibility to protect the drinking water, recreational opportunities, and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

I am alarmed at the prospect of river quality standards being left to a variety of state standards rather than a uniform standard. The water quality of the river is too important to all the citizens and companies that depend on the river for water usage to be left to individual state standards that too often slip lower in response to polluter pressure for economic profit motives. Clean water is becoming harder to obtain in the world and we often take it for granted, but NOTHING is more important than sufficient clean water.

ORSANCO has a unique and settled opportunity to protect and improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by setting these standards for the maximum levels of various pollutants in the river. The standards are not an unnecessary regulatory burden, but rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River while providing protection of designated uses. According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the EPA lack. At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place.

I and other Kentucky citizens are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

Thank you for your attention to my comments. I and my family are dependent on water from the Ohio River.

Elizabeth Butler
1110 S. Alves Street
Henderson KY 42420

From: [Dave Boyle](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Continue to set water quality standards.
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 2:27:45 PM

I and other Kentucky citizens are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

Dave Boyle
Crescent Springs KY

From: [Ariel Givens](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Regional Water Quality Standards
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 3:00:09 PM

Hello,

I am writing in response to the potential loss of the Ohio River Regional Water Standards. I understand that the Ohio River will lose its regional protection and it will be up to each state to set individual limits. I please ask that you keep the authority to set standards and keep them the same for all the states.

Thank you,
Ariel

--



Ariel Givens
Individual, Couple & Family Therapist
a.e.given@gmail.com
502-974-4605

From: [John Perry](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Re: Ohio River Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 3:16:03 PM

I request that ORSANCO maintain its regional authority in setting standards for Ohio river pollution control. Allowing individual states to set these standards threatens protection of water quality.

-John Perry
Magnolia, KY

From: [Vicente Guna](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Regional Water Quality Standards
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 3:29:42 PM

Hello,

I am writing in response to the potential loss of the Ohio River Regional Water Standards. I understand that the Ohio River will lose its regional protection and it will be up to each state to set individual limits. I please ask that you keep the authority to set standards and keep them the same for all the states.

Rivers do not understand of state borders. Please keep them clean and healthy, since our health and the health of our children, grandchildren, and those to come depends on it.

Thank you,
Vicente

From: [Thomas Ransdell](mailto:Thomas.Ransdell@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Maintaining Water Quality Standards
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 3:39:41 PM

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). If ORSANCO no longer sets water quality standards, then each state would be left to decide how clean the river should be. Please do not allow ORSANCO to abdicate its responsibility to protect the drinking water, recreational opportunities, and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

ORSANCO has a unique and settled opportunity to protect and improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by setting these standards for the maximum levels of various pollutants in the river. The standards are not an unnecessary regulatory burden, but rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River while providing protection of designated uses. According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the EPA lack. At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place.

I and other Kentucky citizens are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

Sincerely,
Thomas Ransdell
862 Springhill Lane
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

From: [Mary Singler](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Public input on proposed alternative s to river pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 4:21:47 PM

I am against ORSANCOs recommendation to Eliminate Water Pollution Standards and regulations. Given the current state of the federal EPA, I have very little confidence that we can rely on the Federal government to regulate water pollution for Ohio.

Mary Singler, 450 Lloyd Place, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jen Richard](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 4:24:25 PM

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). If ORSANCO no longer sets water quality standards, then each state would be left to decide how clean the river should be. Please do not allow ORSANCO to abdicate its responsibility to protect the drinking water, recreational opportunities, and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. ORSANCO has a unique and settled opportunity to protect and improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by setting these standards for the maximum levels of various pollutants in the river. The standards are not an unnecessary regulatory burden, but rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River while providing protection of designated uses. According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the EPA lack. At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place.

I and other Kentucky citizens are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

Thank you,

Jennifer Richard

1232 Cannonball Way

Independence, KY 41051

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Lee Rafales](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO proposed rule change.
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 4:29:35 PM

Gven what we've seen of trump's EPA, there is no assurance that the federal govt will enforce the clean water act. Therefore Orsanco should continue to perform their reviews.

Regards,
Lee Rafales

[Show quoted text](#)

From: [Ruth Newman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on whether to disband ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 4:57:23 PM

I am writing to plead with you to maintain ORSANCO's function of monitoring the Ohio River which, being a river, doesn't recognize or stop at state boundaries. I live in Louisville, KY and get my drinking water from the Ohio River. There is no job more important than protecting our fresh waters. Look what happened in Flint, MI. If you would ask any scientist who studies the state of our rivers and lakes, they would tell you that we need more, not less, oversight of our precious natural resources. Thank you for considering doing the right thing. – Ruth Newman, 731 Greenridge Lane, Louisville KY 40207

From: [Lori spears \(spears_fl@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:spears_fl@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 5:35:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lori spears
490 Ontario St
Buffalo, NY 14207
spears_fl@yahoo.com
(716) 875-8353

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Karlene Gunter \(k0karlene@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:k0karlene@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 8:22:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Karlene Gunter
472 French Rd
Rochester, NY 14618
k0karlene@gmail.com
(585) 473-0234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Madeline Piscetta \(mpiscetta17@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mpiscetta17@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 9:06:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Madeline Piscetta
2366 Osage Trl
Wadsworth, OH 44281
mpiscetta17@gmail.com
(330) 858-6812

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Nora K Moberly](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Do not abandon ORSANCO standards
Date: Monday, August 13, 2018 11:08:19 PM

I live on the Ohio river at Louisville and rely on clean water to drink. I also kayak along the length of the river and it's feeder streams. If clean water standards are abandoned, local economies will lose income from tourist dollars spent by kayakers and others who enjoy clean waterways and the communities along them. Your decision will not only impact water quality, it will also impact the economic health of the communities along the Ohio River and it's feeder streams.

PLEASE do not abandon your responsibility to maintain clean water.

Katherine Moberly
1443 Goddard Ave
Louisville, KY 40204

Sent from my iPad

From: [F. Orend](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Water standards
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 8:20:04 AM

Dear To Whom It May Concern,

Please don't pull out of setting and enforcing water quality standards. If left up to the bordering states, it will: 1.) Probably not happen due to lack of experience and funding, 2.) Creating new state agencies at an increased cost to taxpayers to enforce those new state standards, 3.) This gives more power to the state and federal E.P.A., which doesn't seem to have quite the track record in enforcing environmental concerns, such as, in past administrations. Bottom line, if you abandon the waterways everyone will lose, especially the communities and people who live along those waterways!

Sincerely,

Fred Orend

Sent from my Galaxy Tab® A

From: [Rachel Young](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Regulations
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 9:56:31 AM

I object to the proposed changes to regulation of the Ohio River. Individual states have proven time and time again that they are unreliable regulators and will serve economic interests over public health every time.

From: [Carl Vogel](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO abdication of responsibilities
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 10:51:34 AM

The change from enforcing Ohio River Environmental standards to reverting to State control is a really bad idea. Kentucky, for example, does not enforce any water quality standards above EPA limits. As the EPA is under attack by the present administration, those controls are weakened. The States forming the Ohio River watershed must continue to protect the Ohio River from further degradation, and actively work to continue to clean up this vital resource. Backing away from this task is a serious blow to the possibility of environmental health. We have fish not fit to eat, a river serving as an industrial dump (though couched in much nicer language, of “permitted discharge”) and a growing water crisis of the future.

Do not abandon ORSANCO’s role as River keeper.

Carl Vogel
918Aurora Avenue
Lexington, KY 40502

Sent from my iPad

From: [Tom Comte](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO and Changing Water Quality Authority
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 1:14:14 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

I couldn't believe what I read when I read about the consideration of removing ORSANCO responsibility for setting water quality standards for the Ohio River. I have been so impressed with the success of ORSANCO and its role, and have attended some public meetings in the past. It never occurred to me that such a move would be considered because the current role and function of the organization, and the states that govern it, were so responsible and forward thinking.

I totally oppose the change in ORSANCO's role in protecting the OHIO and urge you to reject the change. Keep up the good and important work.

Respectfully,

Thomas Comte
640 Park Avenue
Newport, KY 41071
859-802-8512

From: [Nancy Church](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: I oppose proposed changes to Pollution Control Standards
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 1:47:22 PM

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. If approved, ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin, but even worse, the water quality and quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will continue to suffer.

Sincerely,

Nancy Wellendorff Church
+1 502-565-7774

From: [Tony Arnold](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: tonyarnold4ku@bellsouth.net
Subject: FW: Ohio River Pollution Control Standards Review
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 2:06:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO: I wish to resubmit the following comments about the proposed relaxation or reduction of Ohio River pollution control standards by ORSANCO, including the ending of ORSANCO's role in setting Ohio River pollution control standards. I submitted these on February 23, 2018, but I wish to reiterate them in the latest round of public comments that are being received. Many thanks. Sincerely, Craig Anthony (Tony) Arnold, 3105 Dunlieth Ct., Louisville, KY 40241

From: Tony Arnold <tonyarnold4ku@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Friday, February 23, 2018 1:34 PM
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: tonyarnold4ku@bellsouth.net
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Control Standards Review

Dear ORSANCO:

I am writing in opposition to the proposed relaxation or reduction of Ohio River pollution control and discharge standards by ORSANCO. I research and teach about water resources and resilience. As unprecedented disasters and shocks affect communities throughout the U.S., improving the resilience and reducing the vulnerabilities of our communities has become a very high public-policy priority for everyone. Examples like Flint, MI, New Orleans, LA, and Puerto Rico illustrate how disaster becomes catastrophe when institutional safeguards and regulations are reduced or ignored in the name of economic or administrative efficiency. The drinking water supplies of communities throughout the Ohio River Valley are vulnerable to pollution in the Ohio River. Those portions of Ohio River Valley communities that are low-income, fixed-income, disabled, rural, or substantially composed of racial or ethnic minorities are especially vulnerable, which is an issue not only of environmental injustice but also of resilience injustice. ORSANCO's Ohio River pollution control and discharge standards play critically important roles in improving overall systemic resilience in the Ohio River Basin and decreasing the vulnerabilities of all communities throughout the region. ORSCANCO's standards address basin-specific needs and contexts in the Ohio River Basin that are not adequately addressed by national standards under the Clean Water Act, which have been adopted for application nationally to many different watersheds and waterways (including communities that rely less heavily on a river for drinking water supplies). At a very minimum, any proposed modifications to existing regulations should undergo a systematic resilience/vulnerability assessment, including a resilience justice assessment, that identifies and discusses the impacts of any regulatory modifications on the resilience and vulnerabilities of Ohio River Valley communities, their drinking water supplies, and their people. Any changes that would decrease resilience and increase vulnerability should be rejected or revised. Thank you for your kind consideration of my comments, which I submit solely in my personal capacity as a concerned citizen. Sincerely, Craig Anthony (Tony) Arnold, 3105 Dunlieth Ct., Louisville, KY 40241

From: [Rachel McGuire](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 3:06:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Rachel McGuire
PO Box 131
Danese, WV 25831
3044386321

From: [patrick.radcliff](mailto:patrick.radcliff@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 3:58:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

patrick radcliff
1211 10th St.
Vienna, WV 26105
3042956027

From: marcia.boone
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Objection to Revised Changes
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 4:01:23 PM

Hello. I recently learned of your proposed changes to the Pollution Control Policies already in place at ORSANCO. I am a lifetime resident of Kentucky and treasure our waterways and the Ohio where I learned to swim in the 1960's.

PLEASE retain the language passed in 2015, and don't take our state in a 'backwards' pattern of protecting our waterways.

Marcia Martin Boone
12001 Log Cabin Lane
Anchorage, KY 40223

From: [Donna Miller](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 4:05:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Donna Miller
1735 Stephens Fork Road
Mineral Wells, WV 26150
3044899043

From: [Jean Webb](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Don't eliminate Pollution Control Standards
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 4:08:34 PM

Please do not undo the work of ORSANCO over the past years. The Orsanco Standards are important and must stay intact.

Thank you,

Jean Webb
201 Montclair Ct
Evansville, IN 47715

From: [Kerry Gretsinger \(kgretsinger@gmail.com\)](mailto:kgretsinger@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 4:35:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kerry Gretsinger
53 Melrose Ave
Youngstown, OH 44512
kgretsinger@gmail.com
(330) 651-2035

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Mike Bigelow \(mbigelow5@gmail.com\)](mailto:mbigelow5@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 5:43:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mike Bigelow
768 Atwood Dr
Tallmadge, OH 44278
mbigelow5@gmail.com
(330) 418-5213

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jason Cooper](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Let's keep ORSANCO standards for the Ohio
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 6:23:38 PM

Dear Sirs,

I write today to urge the Commission to reject a recent proposal to abandon our current regional water standards for the Ohio River.

According to the Ohio River Foundation, fully 10 percent of Americans live in the Ohio River Basin. The river is the source of drinking water for over 3,000,000 people.

Even with the more stringent standards currently in place, our water quality is imperiled. 26 coal-burning plants are situated along the Ohio's banks, about one every 38 miles. Perhaps it's not surprising that the Environmental Working Group rates the Ohio as the third most polluted river in the country.

The evidence is clear that the people who rely on the Ohio for safe, clean drinking water are in need of the stronger standards that are currently in place. Abandoning these standards is simply too risky for the health of the region.

Sincerely,
Jason Cooper
Lexington, KY

From: [Dan Givens](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Re: Concerning Orsanco's responsibility in maintaining environmental oversight and control of the Ohio river and watershed!
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 7:12:01 PM

Aug 9 at 6:23 PM

We certainly realize now that a nefarious gang of grifters, hornswogglers, misfits and liars have recently assumed power in Washington! Resist these fascists and environmental terrorists at all costs! The Earth is too important to humanity to turn over to these bottom feeders! Men have fought these criminals back through time into prehistory. To the 1st human who realized the damage done to nature through man's action. Look and despair for the damage done shall be repaid humanity in full measure!

Therefor: I am calling on Orsanco to protect our water and environment and deny these pernicious polluters their pound of flesh. They ask for your soul as well!

-
-
-
-

Daniel L. Givens
758 Nancy Priddy Rd.
Upton, Ky. 42784-9200

On Thursday, August 9, 2018, 5:23:14 PM CDT, Dan Givens <dangerdann@yahoo.com> wrote:

We certainly realize now that a nefarious gang of grifters, hornswogglers, misfits and liars have recently assumed power in Washington! Resist these fascists and environmental terrorists at all costs! The Earth is too important to humanity to turn over to these bottom feeders! Men have fought these criminals back through time into prehistory. To the 1st human who realized the damage done to nature through man's action. Look and despair for the damage done shall be repaid humanity in full measure!

Therefor: I am calling on Orsanco to protect our water and environment and deny these pernicious polluters their pound of flesh. They ask for your soul as well!

From: [Wallace McMullen](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on PCS
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 8:10:58 PM

To: ORSANCO PCS Committee & Commissioners

From: Wallace McMullen, 4324 Dover Rd., Louisville, KY 40216

Re: Comments on PCS

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS), and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. I have lived the past 12 years in the Ohio River Basin and have been involved in a number of efforts to protect and improve the Ohio and Missouri Rivers. While I have sometimes objected to proposed changes previously from ORSANCO, never before I have I felt that ORSANCO was proposing to

COMPLETELY ABDICATE ITS MISSION

“to ensure protection of these uses and to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes.” If it proceeds with the adoption of the changes proposed to the PCS, it will be difficult to fully express my horror and my disappointment in the Commission for forsaking its authority and abandoning its mission.

ORSANCO purports that the Standards are not needed because the individual states now have NPDES programs and water quality standards that must be approved by EPA under the CWA when a number of ORSANCO standards are more stringent than state standards.

But several states in the underlying Compact, including Kentucky, have prohibitions against standards that are more stringent than the EPA and so for a number of states, permits will be less stringent if the Commission votes to approve these changes and abdicates its responsibility to protect the river. This will certainly not result in improved water quality in the Ohio River. It will likely make the water quality degrade.

US EPA addressed the very issue at the crux of ORSANCO's assumption in a study released in March 1975, titled *A Study of Prospective Water Pollution Control Activities For The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission*. With respect to “stream standards,” this study recommended that:

Since the Ohio is a boundary river, and because there are many other relationships as among the several state segments of the river system, the interstate agency should provide the overall view and the comparative analyses needed for coordination, consistency and equity.

Whereas the current PCS state: “Sections 301(b)(1)(C) and 510 of the Federal Act require that permits issued under that system incorporate applicable standards promulgated by an interstate agency wherever they are more stringent than comparable state or federal

standards. And that these standards set forth the uses to be protected in the Ohio River (Chapter 2) as established in the Compact, establish water quality criteria to assure that those uses will be achieved (Chapter 3), and set wastewater discharge requirements (Chapter 5) needed to attain the water quality criteria. The standards also recognize the rights of individual states to adopt and apply more stringent regulations.

Specific wastewater discharge requirements are established in these regulations and must be incorporated into discharge permits issued under the authority of the NPDES or state discharge permitting programs when they are more stringent than:

- 1) applicable U.S. EPA technology-based effluent guidelines required under Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Federal Clean Water Act, or
- 2) any state treatment requirements, effluent standards, or water quality-based effluent limits.

In the absence of promulgated Federal effluent guidelines pursuant to Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Clean Water Act, the Compact signatory states have the responsibility to establish effluent limitations to be included in any discharge permit, consistent with the standards contained herein using best professional judgment on a case-by-case basis.”

This existing language is in no way comparable to the Commission declaring that because state standards are approved by EPA that the river as a whole will be protected. Standards vary state by state. Currently, the PCS regulations require that states use ORSANCO standards or a state standard if it is more stringent. Clearly, the protections will not be consistent or as stringent if these changes are approved and the PCS regulations are gutted.

I submit that some combination of alternative 3 and/or 4 as presented in the preliminary comment period will provide better protection for the Ohio than the proposed abdication choice, while adhering to the Compact and the mission of the Commission. In addition, a combination of 3 and 4 could also implement the recommendations in the 1974/5 EPA study, provide thoughtful harmonization of the PCS standards and their implementation among Compact states, and continue ORSANCO’s valuable legacy of providing leadership to the Ohio River states.

So again, I object to the proposed changes. If they are approved, ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin. Even worse, the water quality, and the quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will be degraded, and they will suffer.

DON'T DO IT!

Submitted by

Wallace McMullen

4324 Dover Rd.
Louisville, KY 40216
(502) 963-5005

From: [Ronn Kistler](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Don't abandon the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 9:50:38 PM

Don't abandon the mission of orsanco and leave the Ohio River to the polluters.

From: [Barbara Klinger](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 10:03:21 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Barbara Klinger
4943 Mayfield Rd
Lyndhurst, OH 44124
2163810422

From: [Bill](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Water Quality
Date: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 10:15:43 PM

Friends,

I object to the proposal to drop ORSANCO water quality standards and transfer responsibility to the states.

My own state of Kentucky might be able to come up with standards, but I have no confidence whatsoever that they would be anywhere as strict as those from ORSANCO. Plus, I'm not sure they would assign any manpower to enforcement.

All in all, it's a bad idea to ask states to take over this responsibility.

Bill Bowman
Louisville, Kentucky

From: [Linda Sodaro](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 5:44:48 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Linda Sodaro
2516 Sheridan Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45212
513 351-0008

From: [Janet Falcone](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Enforcing pollution controls
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 7:01:18 AM

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). If ORSANCO no longer sets water quality standards, then each state would be left to decide how clean the river should be. Please do not allow ORSANCO to abdicate its responsibility to protect the drinking water, recreational opportunities, and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

ORSANCO has a unique and settled opportunity to protect and improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by setting these standards for the maximum levels of various pollutants in the river. The standards are not an unnecessary regulatory burden, but rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River while providing protection of designated uses. According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the EPA lack. At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place.

I and other Kentucky citizens are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

I live in Louisville and drink water from the Ohio River every day as do thousands of Kentuckians. Please do not stop protecting our water.

From: [sk](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: comment on PSC
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 8:35:16 AM

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PSC) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. If approved ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin, but even worse, the water quality and quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will continue to suffer. Protect the Ohio River Basin – don't allow changes to the standards that weaken protection.

Janet Kistler

1335 Sam Goodman Rd

Munfordville, KY 42765

From: [David Lillard](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 8:50:42 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

David Lillard
82 Sybil Court
Shepherdstown, WV 25443
304-876-2860

From: [Kathryn Gabig \(gabigkathryn@gmail.com\)](mailto:gabigkathryn@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 9:09:03 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Gabig
218 W Burke St
Easton, PA 18042
gabigkathryn@gmail.com
(610) 810-6279

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Martha Glock](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:01:49 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Martha Glock
8360 Greensboro Rd. #909
McLean, VA 22102
7036980971

From: [Zachary Humphreys](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:02:36 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Zachary Humphreys
PO Box 2591
Elkins, WV 26241
3049403013

From: Mark Pullen
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: mpullen@c4i.gmu.edu
Subject: keep cleanign up the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:05:23 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Your work is critical - do not back off from keeping our rivers clean!

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states with in the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

J. Mark Pullen

From: [Karen Yarnell](mailto:Karen.Yarnell@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:05:58 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Karen Yarnell
21 Beverly Cir
Fairmont, WV 26554
(304) 657-0812

From: [Herb Myers](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:06:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Herb Myers
475 Blizzards Gate Road
Harman, WV 26270
3042274661

From: [Marc Harshman](mailto:Marc.Harshman@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:07:22 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Marc Harshman
43 Romney Road
Wheeling, WV 26003
3042439711

From: [Susan Hathaway](mailto:PCS@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:10:10 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Susan Hathaway
5107 Passons Boulevard #313
Pico Rivera, CA 90660
5620000000

From: D'Onofrio D'Onofrio
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:22:35 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

D'Onofrio D'Onofrio
25118 Smith Grove Rd
North Dinwiddie, VA 23803
8048612390

From: [Hedda Haning](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:32:36 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Hedda Haning
268 Baker Ln
Charleston, WV 25302
3043440469

From: [Sue Wilcox](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:38:55 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sue Wilcox
64 West Main Street
Richwood, WV 26261
3048466548

From: [Timothy Simmons](mailto:Timothy.Simmons@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:41:42 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Timothy Simmons
quarrier st
charleston, WV 25301
3043444444

From: [William Turner](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:46:19 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.
5. PLEASE DO NOT TAKE THIS BACKWARD STEP!

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

William Turner
531 Church St.
Lewisburg, WV 24901
304-647-3978

From: [Tom Hilgartner](mailto:Tom.Hilgartner@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:49:21 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Tom Hilgartner
608 Shady Lane
Charleston, WV 0
3049899577

From: [Beth Royall](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:50:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Beth Royall
916 Riverview Dr.
Morgantown, WV 26505
681.285.5305

From: [Logan Bockrath](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:55:04 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Logan Bockrath
62 Cashion St
Ansted, WV 25812
9373044580

From: [Joe Gathright](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Holly and Joe Gathright](#); [Pam](#)
Subject: ORSANCO Proposed Changes to the Pollution Control Standards ("PCS")
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:05:33 PM

Ladies and Gentlemen:

My wife and I have lived on the Ohio River for twenty-one years, and prior to moving our home to the River enjoyed recreational boating in the Louisville area since 1975. During that time we have seen a marked change for the better in water quality, notwithstanding continued increased utilization of this wonderful resource for both recreational and industrial use. Whether we are on our dock with friends and family or boating the increased number of fish, ducks and geese, and other wildlife is apparent. The probabilities are great that left completely to residents of the states bordering the river and the states themselves this improvement would not have occurred.

I oppose in the strongest possible terms the proposed changes to the PCS, strongly urge ORSANCO to retain the language passed in 2015 and to continue to require states to utilize ORSANCO standards, or state standards if those standards are more strict than the ORSANCO standards.

Please do not abandon and neglect your stated mission and your obligation owed to the residents of the Ohio River Basin to maintain the water quality and the resulting quality of life of people such as the undersigned and our friends and family who work and live there.

Most sincerely,

Joseph R. Gathright, Jr.
2914 Belknap Beach Road
Prospect, KY 40059

(502) 228-6436
jgathright@twc.com

From: [Salt Salt](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:11:35 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Salt Salt
1 Nomial St
Coventry, RI 2816
4015551111

From: [Madison Ball](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:20:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Madison Ball
127 N. Price St.
Kingwood, WV 26537
6168087486

From: [Tia Triplett](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:23:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Tia Triplett
3959 Berryman Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90066
3105520035

From: [Christopher Fetta](mailto:Christopher.Fetta@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:23:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Christopher Fetta
60 Smith St
Hicksville, NY 11801
6316723980

From: [John Brady](mailto:John.Brady@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:28:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

John Brady
77 Singletree Dr.
Shepherdstown, WV 25443
304-876-2516

From: [Dianne Douglas](mailto:Dianne.Douglas@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:35:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Dianne Douglas
2723 E Valencia Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85042
6022687065

From: [Mark Leonard](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:36:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Mark Leonard
19499 Coshocton Rd.
Mount Vernon, OH 43050
740-397-6725

From: templars1013@aol.com
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Opposition to removing Ohio River water protections - From Tim Moorhead
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:38:35 PM

Greetings, I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). If ORSANCO no longer sets water quality standards, then each state would be left to decide how clean the river should be. Please do not allow ORSANCO to abdicate its responsibility to protect the drinking water, recreational opportunities, and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

ORSANCO has a unique and settled opportunity to protect and improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by setting these standards for the maximum levels of various pollutants in the river. The standards are not an unnecessary regulatory burden, but rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River while providing protection of designated uses. According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the EPA lack. At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place.

I and other Kentucky citizens are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

Thank you!

Sincerely,

Tim Moorhead

From: [jerry carson](mailto:jerry.carson)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 12:47:30 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

jerry carson
5215 Beechcrest Dr
cross lanes, WV 25313
3047761422

From: [Copley Smoak](mailto:Copley.Smoak@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 1:18:24 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Copley Smoak
4712 Tahiti Drive
Bonita Springs, FL 34134
8005319762

From: [Mary L.](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 1:24:40 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Mary L.
Lee St.
Charleston, WV 25323
304-346-3336

From: [Justin Levy](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Comments
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 1:36:33 PM

This email is to provide my comments in opposition to the selection of Alternative #2 by the ORSANCO board.

The proposed revisions under Alternative #2 simply remove all enforcement by ORSANCO of the water quality in the Ohio River. This is unacceptable.

Any arguments relying on the EPA and state regulators to maintain sufficient regulations are poor. The EPA is not intended to have a narrow focus that is crucial to regional interests. As an example, the EPA has failed in its oversight of state drinking water programs resulting in the disaster in Flint - <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/to-your-health/wp/2018/07/19/after-flint-debacle-epa-must-strengthen-oversight-of-state-drinking-water-programs-watchdog-says/>.

Further, with regards to the Ohio River, having multiple states set their own regulations has the possibility of creating a race to the bottom in order to court industry.

ORSANCO is needed to set uniform standards that is focused on this important water source. And they have done this for decades. There is no reason to abandon that responsibility now. The only available rationale for why this is happening is that it seems industry has overtaken the ORSANCO board and is using it to accomplish it's interests, not the interests of the people who rely on the Ohio River for drinking water.

Please do not accept Alternative #2 and do not abdicate your responsibility of protecting the Ohio River.

Sincerely,
Justin Levy
1522 Wittekind Terrace
Cincinnati, Ohio 45224

From: [Dan Taylor](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 1:48:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Dan Taylor
1529 Lee St. East, Apt. C
Charleston, WV 25311
3045219865

From: [John Doyle](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 1:57:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

John Doyle
1527 Hampton Rd.
Charleston, WV 25314
3044372186

From: [Jeff Iliff](mailto:Jeff.Iliff)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 2:07:10 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

As an avid outdoor enthusiast in West Virginia, I want to see our waterways protected against pollution. Please consider this letter.

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Jeff Iliff
21 Riggs LN
Berkeley Springs, WV 26411
26411

From: daveysim@twc.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Oppose By-passing ORSANCO
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 2:11:19 PM

I am a resident of Louisville, KY. I believe water quality on the Ohio would get less attention from dividing responsibilities for its regulation were relegated to the individual basin states. Kentucky of course possesses a major portion of this vital river. States on tributaries, or on only short stretches of the Ohio, might not feel the same degree of commitment to the condition of the Ohio. Please note that I oppose this proposal.

David Simcox
Louisville, KY 40223

From: [SJ BROWN](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 2:31:02 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

SJ BROWN
weeping willow
fallingwaters, WV 25419
304-270-1101

From: [Alice Vance](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 2:46:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Alice Vance
2098 Sweedlin Valley Rd.
Brandywine, WV 26802
3042496223

From: doug.krause
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 2:48:23 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

doug krause
3501 Maccorkle Ave SE
charleston, WV 25304
5555555555

From: [Kay Miller](#)
To: eholcomb@gov.in.gov
Cc: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 3:19:01 PM

Governor Holcomb,

Earlier this week I attended the Water Summit at the JWMarriott with speakers from several states. Of course water was the main topic of discussion.

The pollution of the Ohio River was alluded to as a concern. My cousin raced boats for Mercury off the Indiana shore in the 1960's. It was an exciting trip from Cass County to watch him win. An invitation by Scott County 4-H Junior Leaders provided exposure for rural Fountain County teens to experience a river boat ride. Beautiful and healthy water made the trips memorable.

Is it true that pollution standards will actually be reduced or eliminated if the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission is dissolved? If it remains in control of all 8 states' standards, the river should provide adequate healthy water to drink and to use for recreation. If this river is not respected, those downstream will suffer even greater discomfort. We Hoosiers need to set the standard, and, by retaining this commission, other states can be encouraged to do the same.

Should Indiana respect the needs of other states as we expect them to respect our needs and wants? Of course.

Treat others as you want to be treated....

Kay Miller
8143 Old State Road 25N
Lafayette, IN 47905
765-564-3546

From: [Karen Arbogast](mailto:Karen.Arbogast@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 3:43:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Karen Arbogast
214 Main Street
Cass, WV 24927
814-371-1454

From: [Frank Decapio](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 3:58:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Frank Decapio
420 Sunrise Drive
Weirton, WV 26062
304-374-5113

From: [Sue and Ted Ulrich](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO Pollution control Standards
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 4:12:17 PM

Dear Commission,

Please do not abandon your pollution control standards. Standards imposed by states along the river do not have the same quality, in fact many states standards don't even address some of the chemicals and other factors addressed by your standards.

Clean water is something we should strive for. We aren't there yet and need to keep working to keep it cleaner and not allow backsliding. The less pollution in our Rivers the better. The standards ORSANCO set were set so that the Ohio would remain healthy for people and aquatic life. Removing or changing those standards is a move in the wrong direction.

Thank you,

Susan Ulrich
11907 E 500 N
Otterbein, IN 47970

From: [Jo Ann Powell](mailto:JoAnn.Powell@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 4:42:07 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Jo Ann Powell
615 Fourth st
Saint Marys, WV 26170
3046843554

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jane Kirchner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 5:07:58 PM

Aug 15, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Kirchner

Potomac Falls, VA 20165
janekirch@msn.com

From: [william.vest](mailto:william.vest@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 5:39:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

william vest
504 Montclair Street
Madison, IN 47250
8122654452

From: [DEBORAH SMITH](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 5:49:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

TAKE NOTE.....Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states with in the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

DEBORAH SMITH
3044 NW 30th St
Oklahoma City, OK 73112
4059426953

From: [K Scott](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 6:17:03 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

K Scott
Arlington Ave.
Charleston, WV 25302
3045907208

From: [Sue E. Dean](mailto:Sue.E.Dean@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 6:23:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sue E. Dean
236 Pratt Street
Longmont, CO 80501
3035551212

From: [Tayler Vossen](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 6:36:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Tayler Vossen
1100 Prestwick Ave
Morgantown, WV 26501
3049067458

From: [Cindy Lewellen](mailto:Cindy.Lewellen@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 6:45:27 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Cindy Lewellen
546 Westwood Ave
Morgantown, WV 26505
3045991764

From: [Susan Hamann](mailto:PCS@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 7:21:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Susan Hamann
1 Ming Court
Chester, NJ 7930
7324812472

From: [Marilee Burnside](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 7:40:38 PM

Please consider this my comment:

As a concerned citizen, and someone very concerned about our waterways, water quality, and the future of water resources, I'm writing to you with opposition to proposed changes that Orsanco is considering. This multi-state commission was formed in 1948 to reduce pollution in the Ohio River. ORSANCO is proposing to eliminate its pollution control standards. They argue that the states along the Ohio R. have standards that will adequately protect the river, but that isn't accurate. There are more than 100 ORSANCO standards that are either missing or weaker in Indiana's standards, and the situation is similar in the other Ohio River states. Each ORSANCO standard is a scientifically derived maximum amount of a chemical the river can have and still be healthy for people and aquatic life.

I'm urging you maintain the pollution control protections for our Ohio river border. We are water, and every drop of water is connected.

We must
protect it all, to protect our future.

Sincerely,

Marilee Burnside
6810 Lake of the Woods Court
Georgetown, IN 47122

502-468-5056

From: [Christopher Ecker](mailto:Christopher.Ecker@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 7:44:25 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Christopher Ecker
9737 Lake Shore Drive
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850
3014903833

From: [Lorenz Steininger](mailto:Lorenz.Steininger@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 8:02:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Lorenz Steininger
waldst
hohenwart, GA 86558
1111111111

From: [Rebecca Phillips](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 10:16:28 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Even today, the Ohio River is the most polluted river in the US, and without strict pollution control standards, the situation would be even worse. Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states with in the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Rebecca Phillips
631 Second Street
Marietta, OH 45750
7403589646

From: [Dawn Hewitt](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Control Standards
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 10:16:31 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I care deeply about water quality, especially in the river that flows so close to my home in Marietta, Ohio. In 2015, the EPA called the Ohio River one of the most contaminated bodies of water in the United States. We need tougher pollution control standards, not less regulation. Not just for bacteria, but for all sorts of industrial, agricultural, commercial, residential, and non-point sources.

Rigorous water quality standards lead to improved the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the states with in the basin. It is your responsibility to set uniform and tough pollution control standards for the Ohio River. I urge you to do so—for the benefit of humans and wildlife.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. States along the Ohio River are inconsistent in their water quality protections. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states. We need the uniform standards ORSANCO oversight provides.
2. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins such as copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states. Water quality is an inter-state issue, and your job as an ORSANCO commissioner is to protect water quality the entire length of the river.
4. Weakening standards is a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

I live in Ohio, but I care about those who live downstream, from the industries that are south of me. I cared deeply about the contamination that rendered drinking water unsafe for my neighbors in West Virginia a few years ago. That must never happen again.

Why is it controversial to protect water quality? We need the highest standards for clean water.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dawn Hewitt
622 N. 7th St.
Marietta, OH 45750
(812)320-3449

From: [mauricio.carvajal](mailto:mauricio.carvajal@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 10:24:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

mauricio carvajal
viento norte 4018
santiago, Los Lagos 9291583
7420081

From: [Greg Sells](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:29:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Greg Sells
3300 Parker Ln. Apt. 258
Austin, TX 78741
512-443-6461

From: [Ginny Pendas](mailto:Ginny.Pendas@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 11:40:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Ginny Pendas
311 Balsam St
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
5613150809

From: [Linda Carroll](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:51:22 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

As a voter who is informed and concerned about environmental and health issues, I understand how important it is that since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your solemn responsibility to the Americans who live in your region and their health to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River. Please have the ethics and the courage to protect the children of your region from the lasting damage to their health that pollution inflicts.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins such as copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Linda Carroll
215 West Waverly Place
Spokane, WA 99205
504 865-1244

From: [Steven Runfola](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:30:30 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Steven Runfola
45 Park Ridge Drive
Morgantown, WV 26508
3046801340

From: [Adam Webster](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:48:02 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I realize that the below is a form letter, but it makes the relevant points regarding the proposed discontinuation of ORSANCO setting water quality standards for the Ohio River. Despite the Ohio's repeated status as the most polluted river in the country, it is used for not only commerce, but for recreation and as a drinking water supply for thousands of people.

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Adam Webster
353 Sanford Street
Morgantown, WV 26501
3044001266

From: [Frederick Ripley](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:08 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Frederick Ripley
PO Box 21491
Columbus, WV 43221
2707533104

From: [Martha McGovern](mailto:Martha.McGovern@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:34:12 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Please stay committed to your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River. Since 1948, these standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and have provided critical guidance to the eight states within the basin.

Without your oversight:

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies.

A 2015 comparison of state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found that 440 pollutants with no state quality standards or standards weaker than ORSANCO's.

2. States will have added burdens.

States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites.

3. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards may result in interstate conflict -- as downstream states suffer the effects of weaker upriver standards.

4. A fragmented approach sets up obstacles to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Martha McGovern
5-119 The Fields
Williamstown, WV 26187
3043757632

From: [Carol Denney](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:49:01 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am a frequent visitor to the Ohio River area, and hope with all my heart you embrace this opportunity to set strong pollution standards for the Ohio River and the downriver states affected by your decision.

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Carol Denney
Apt 4
Berkeley, CA 94702
5105481512

From: [Andrew Wadsworth](mailto:Andrew.Wadsworth@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:52:08 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Andrew Wadsworth
125 W 33rd Street
Reading, PA 19606
6104069082

From: [Patty Dewey](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Eliminating Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:08:32 AM

For 70 years ORSANCO has worked to reduce pollution in the Ohio River. Please don't forsake all the time and effort that has been put into that very worthy project. I beg you to **oppose eliminating pollution control standards** set by this organization over the years. I remember a time when the Ohio River was not as clean as it is today. Eliminating pollution control standards will see us right back to those sad days.

Patty Dewey
18 Old Orchard Road
Newburgh, IN 47630
(812)853-8741

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Vickie Cimprich](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect ORSANCO clean water standards
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:36:11 AM

We are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And we are not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

Dr. and Mrs. John and Vickie Cimprich

331 Highland Ave.

Ft. Mitchell, KY 41017

859 341-0093



Virus-free. www.avg.com

From: [Debbie Naeter](mailto:Debbie.Naeter@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:44:12 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Debbie Naeter
375 Hillside pass
Frankford, WV 24938
5734501401

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brenda Eckberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brenda Eckberg
1503 N 5th St
Pekin, IL 61554-2037
barity@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara DeGiaino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara DeGiaino
100 Beekman St Apt 23h
New York, NY 10038-1817
snoldy1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Skip Lyford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Skip Lyford
9570 Drury Dr
Avon, IN 46123-5627
slyford@triauto.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [patricia pickens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

patricia pickens
423 E Franklin Ave
Naperville, IL 60540-4719
taohappens@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [ALICE LEVINE](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

ALICE LEVINE
2434 Daybreak Ct
Elgin, IL 60123-8847
alevine292@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gretchen Schulz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Schulz
158 Weston Rd
Rochester, NY 14612-5625
schulzg@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steve Woods](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steve Woods
18320 Barton Rd
Evansville, IN 47725-9483
swoods1639@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Loos](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Loos
9038 Townsendville Rd
Interlaken, NY 14847-9747
mtl72@cornell.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [JOHN PRYBYLSKI](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

JOHN PRYBYLSKI
20 Mayberry Dr E
Buffalo, NY 14227-3018
jpnycs46@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jim Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jim Smith
183 Truxall Rd
Apollo, PA 15613-9009
guitarsnrotts@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steven Nelson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steven Nelson
608 Chardonay Ln
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-7404
nelson608@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Ringle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Ringle
7113 Heather Rd
Macungie, PA 18062-9432
d.ringle@ieee.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sarina Dayter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sarina Dayter
1320 Saratoga Rd
Ballston Spa, NY 12020-3578
sarina.dayter@its.ny.gov

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Rybicki](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Rybicki
39 Flagg Ct
Staten Island, NY 10304-1157
rybickjo@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rob Leadaman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rob Leadaman
928 S 6th St
Dekalb, IL 60115-4519
raging_snail@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Russell Ziegler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Russell Ziegler
22 61st St
Downers Grove, IL 60516-2032
russziegler2003@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Lynch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Lynch
4481 W Craig Dr
Bloomington, IN 47404-9006
kslynch@indiana.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frank Corbo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frank Corbo
6044 72nd St
Maspeth, NY 11378-2916
fmcathome@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Raha](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Raha
209 Garth Rd
Scarsdale, NY 10583-3959
craha@stblaw.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Holland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Holland
947 Range Rd
Sandoval, IL 62882-2325
hansolo@siu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Courtney Weida](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Courtney Weida
1565 Thieriot Ave
Bronx, NY 10460-3417
courtneylee_w@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rick Barber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rick Barber
828 Arch Street Ext
Brockway, PA 15824-5608
ricky15824@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [david bilangino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

david bilangino
434 E 1300 North Rd
Monticello, IL 61856-8244
dbilangino@amdocs.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stacy Gounaris](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stacy Gounaris
4374 Cambry Ln
Dublin, OH 43016-3542
stacygounaris@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peter Abbrecht](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peter Abbrecht
1352 Steamboat Run Rd
Shepherdstown, WV 25443-4005
pulmed@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Larry Snead](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Larry Snead
200 Matthew Cir
Danville, VA 24540-8220
bass88hoo@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Delores Stachura](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Delores Stachura
405 N 12th St
Herrin, IL 62948-3221
1322wash@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tom Butch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tom Butch
3620 Conkle Rd
Salem, OH 44460-9425
butchy5@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Berlant](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:09:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Berlant
8A First Place
Brooklyn, NY 11231
rsberlant@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rosalie McMenamin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rosalie McMenamin
1338 N Wicker Park Ave
Chicago, IL 60622-3106
mcmenamins@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rosemary Northcutt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Northcutt
112 E 6th Ave
Conshohocken, PA 19428-1717
rrnorthcutt@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Carivan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Carivan
10 Regent St
Albany, NY 12202-1122
lizcarivan@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [B. Sullivan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

B Sullivan
2315 E Grove St
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-6859
sull_99@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Doctor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Doctor
27 Lindenwood Dr
Kittanning, PA 16201-2073
kmdroh@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Hedda Haning](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Hedda Haning
268 Baker Ln
Charleston, WV 25302-2936
hedda.l.haning@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephen Limperis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephen Limperis
1194 Chesterfield Ln
Grayslake, IL 60030-3795
quantmfirebird@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Thorn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Thorn
59 Bull Path
East Hampton, NY 11937-4621
sthorn59@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [sammy al-doory](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

sammy al-doory
1020 N Howard St
Akron, OH 44310-1316
saldoory@apslearns.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon MacDonald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon MacDonald
8292 Edgewater Dr
Carlock, IL 61725-9512
ssmacdo@ilstu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Hyde](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Hyde
117 Klingermann Dr Apt 1
Cairo, NY 12413-2872
crazyauncyn@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Watkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my deepest concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. Why would ANYONE try to eliminate pollution control standards? It is horrible for animals and for people. This is total BULLSHIT!

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Watkins
482 Riley Ave
Worthington, OH 43085-3039
irobot1968e@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Kennedy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Kennedy
2020 Eagle Way
Hatfield, PA 19440-3722
bakennedy1950b@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jane McCreedy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jane McCreedy
HOUSE
Chicago, IL 60647
jpmccreedy0582@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Har Spears](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Har Spears
268 E Broadway
New York, NY 10002-5672
redmonkey2@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julie Fissinger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julie Fissinger
43 Lefferts Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11225-3901
juliecfm@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joy Leet](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As an interested party, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joy Leet
3579 Flint Rd
Stanley, NY 14561-9575
geojoy1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Jacobs](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Jacobs
4537 N Moody Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-3008
cjacobs@gavelintl.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lillian Paolucci](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lillian Paolucci
32 E Church Rd
Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462-7138
newfs07@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jason Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jason Miller
329 Royal Oak Blvd
Richmond Heights, OH 44143-1709
millatime_1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Cabala](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Cabala
2580 Prince St
Northbrook, IL 60062-6536
kcjc415@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [rallyn tucker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

rallyn tucker
10 Landing Ln
Port Jefferson, NY 11777-1107
rallyntucker@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Sari](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Sari
PO Box 109
Sterling Forest, NY 10979-0809
elishsari@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Colin Macdonald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Colin Macdonald
11 Schermerhorn St Apt 5re
Brooklyn, NY 11201-4861
csmacdonald2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole LeMaire](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole LeMaire
25 Broad St
New York, NY 10004-2517
nicolelemaire@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christopher Lord](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christopher Lord
66 Washington Ave
Brentwood, NY 11717-3225
clord4103@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kirk widmayer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kirk widmayer
2152 Armistead Ave
Petersburg, VA 23803-4702
kirkw@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Valerie Bertha](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Valerie Bertha
3484 Mildred Dr
Falls Church, VA 22042-3932
valerie.bertha@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maria Lamothe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maria Lamothe
1408 Greenridge Dr
Urbana, IL 61802-5628
mariael@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcia Schertz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. Now is not the time to change approaches and to pretend we haven't any responsibility for the pollution in the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcia Schertz
3331 Ellsworth Rd
Richmond, VA 23235-1333
rufusred@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gina Sapanaro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gina Sapanaro
3808 Bell Blvd
Bayside, NY 11361-2170
gsap123@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Abigail Gardner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Abigail Gardner
724 S Eastside Dr
Bloomington, IN 47401-5259
abikmiller@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Bosch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Bosch
w4 street
New York, NY 10012-1126
dbosch@stern.nyu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maria Valdemi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maria Valdemi
81 Sawyers Pass
Hyde Park, NY 12538-2509
mlv.amarcord@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jack Daniels](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: PLEASE! Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jack Daniels
350 Main St
Buffalo, NY 14202-3750
captain@megavision.co.uk

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ralph Alexander](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ralph Alexander
1521 Amy Ave
Whiting, IN 46394-1109
unclerouse@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melinda Bashen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melinda Bashen
2226 Lofty Heights Pl
Reston, VA 20191-1717
mbashen@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Caughlan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Caughlan
2686 Overhill Dr
Eagleview, PA 19403-4822
sgc@dca.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brandon Kozak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brandon Kozak
216 N Fillmore St
Edwardsville, IL 62025-1756
bkozak1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Devers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Devers
141 S Penn St
York, PA 17401-3857
pmdeborah@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Struck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Struck
19 W Ridley Ave Apt 8
Norwood, PA 19074-1423
struck@navmar.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Roberts](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

We need a One Water approach to water conservation and protection. Our rivers are a key part of a healthy and productive Nation.

Sincerely,

David Roberts
1995 Valley View Rd
Bellefonte, PA 16823-8844
puzzlewuzzle.dr@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Scott Zorc](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. The Ohio River is a fantastic natural resource for us, the people of Ohio, and must be protected.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Scott Zorc
1823 Ridgewick Dr
Wickliffe, OH 44092-1632
zorcman@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Valerie Hoffmann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Valerie Hoffmann
285 Sopok Rd.
Elizaville, NY 12523
hoffmannvalerie@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Max Barack](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Max Barack
2126 W Balmoral Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-1006
maxbarack@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vanessa Holloway](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Holloway
25852 S Cobblestone Ln
Channahon, IL 60410-8697
vanessa1972@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Curtis Bohlen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Curtis Bohlen
176 Northfield Ave
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-1517
cd1997bbb@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Bonito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Bonito
2 Ryder Rd
Ossining, NY 10562-2802
jean@garthchesterrealty.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Zimmermann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Zimmermann
7816 Cloverdale Blvd
Oakland Gardens, NY 11364-3124
clzgal@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ruth Zika](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ruth Zika
5913 Beachway St
Cary, IL 60013-1403
rawraz@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tessa Veazey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tessa Veazey
3229 Valley Dr
Alexandria, VA 22302-2109
veazeyt@si.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Vaccaro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Vaccaro
1408 Heritage Way
Wilton, NY 12831-3327
faust444@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [william goodenow](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

william goodenow
1906 Madison Ave
Lewisburg, PA 17837-1637
geoffgw2@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leslie Harper](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leslie Harper
86 Brookfield Rd
Rochester, NY 14610-1017
ldharperot@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ron Mendelblat](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ron Mendelblat
5757 N Sheridan Rd Apt 13j
Chicago, IL 60660-8707
ronmend37@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Francesca Testa](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Francesca Testa
166 Federal Way
Lancaster, PA 17601-7012
francesca@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Austin Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Austin Smith
4600 S Four Mile Run Dr Apt 509
Arlington, VA 22204-3512
a_smith0@lycos.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheldon Isaac](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Isaac
658 W Park Ln
Philadelphia, PA 19144-3713
sheldonhisaac@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Young](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Young
863 Sherman Dr
Gettysburg, PA 17325-8956
antiquenuts_869@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amelia Hennighausen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amelia Hennighausen
139 S 4th St
Brooklyn, NY 11211-8680
ameliakvh@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cindee Hill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cindee Hill
3436 Londonderry Ct
Roanoke, VA 24018-5058
cindee309@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elena Campiotti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elena Campiotti
460 Raintree Ct Unit 1e
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-6732
elena_campiotti@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [robert zeller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

robert zeller
35 W Hoffman Ave
Lindenhurst, NY 11757-4012
rzeller511@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Epatko](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Epatko
1109 Grant St
Herndon, VA 20170-3231
mce92004@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Victoria Cherry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Victoria Cherry
553 3rd Ave
Johnsonburg, PA 15845-1126
cherryvicki48@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert H. Feuchter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert H. Feuchter
17520 Wexford Ter Apt 11h
Jamaica, NY 11432-2898
roberthfeuchter@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Bruegge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Bruegge
7308 Jean Dr
West Chester, OH 45069-2663
debruegge@zoomtown.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erin Burkey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erin Burkey
260 Wissinger Hollow Rd
Johnstown, PA 15904-7504
burkey@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Renee Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Renee Johnson
9025 State Route 555
Cutler, OH 45724-5168
bandit16@windstream.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Martina Radwan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Martina Radwan
510 E 12th St Apt 4
New York, NY 10009-3871
maradwan@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [LISA TORRENCE](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

LISA TORRENCE
357 Rambling Rd
East Amherst, NY 14051-1371
lisa776@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [MARTA FRANCIS](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

MARTA FRANCIS
21194 Kern Rd
South Bend, IN 46614-5055
martafrancis0@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bradford Harrington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bradford Harrington
105 Kensington Rd
Bronxville, NY 10708-1429
bharrington777@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [wm griffiths](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

wm griffiths
430 Warren St
Hudson, NY 12534-2415
griffiths.wm@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Crook](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Crook
1629 Cottontail Ct
Painesville, OH 44077-8406
hravrg1011@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [ra szumal](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

ra szumal
7730 Kedvale Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-3602
raschumal@rcn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph & Lynn DiBlanca](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph & Lynn DiBlanca
14 Tricia Blvd
Highland, NY 12528-2635
jdiblanca@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Armando Cuaya](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Armando Cuaya
6627 S Washtenaw Ave
Chicago, IL 60629-1717
acruz59@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James McBride](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:10:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James McBride
339 S Buhl Farm Dr
Hermitage, PA 16148-2514
seamus1941@!verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Kopelman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kopelman
2615 Woodlawn Rd
Northbrook, IL 60062-5950
bjkopelman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Thompson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Thompson
320 Riverside Dr
New York, NY 10025-4115
nancythompson51@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [susan cunningham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

susan cunningham
67 55 A 193 lane
fresh meadows, NY 11365
swexfordsue@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Corey Kaup](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Corey Kaup
323 1st Ave
New York, NY 10003-2933
ckaup@nyc.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Ingenito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Ingenito
251 Lakeside Xing
Mount Joy, PA 17552-9058
samdon76@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Caitlin Archambault](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Caitlin Archambault
710 China St
Richmond, VA 23220-6219
dinnshencha13@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roger Podewell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roger Podewell
PO Box 1446
Homewood, IL 60430-0446
szygy12002@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gina Campbell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Most of this letter is written by those who know how to express he selves. But I mean what they say. Please do not abandon the controls put in place by those who knew how to protect the wilds of our country.

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gina Campbell
1933 Buttonwood Rd
Louisville, KY 40222-6509
campbell.gina52@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [fay forman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

fay forman
355 8th Ave
New York, NY 10001-4838
fayf355@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bruce Cumming](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bruce Cumming
146 Cemetery Rd
Bainbridge, NY 13733-3109
bcumming@citlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marie Messina](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marie Messina
9707 4th Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11209-1046
mem329@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne La Burt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne La Burt
63 Blueberry HI
Greenwood Lake, NY 10925-4112
funster63@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elaine Frech](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elaine Frech
1025 Harmony Hill Rd
Downingtown, PA 19335-4007
elaine.frech@stonomarsh.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sylvia Asplund](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Asplund
1521 Lamb Rd
Woodstock, IL 60098-9677
sea54sea@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathryn Kwiatkowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Kwiatkowski
4587 Panna Ln
Cleveland, OH 44109-3727
kmk21@case.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris noto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris noto
8436 Dana Ct
Middle Village, NY 11379-1955
718winner@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John A Beavers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John A Beavers
4431 N Troy St # 2
Chicago, IL 60625-4519
beavgodzilla@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Burdick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Burdick
2 Seldom Seen Rd
Bradfordwoods, PA 15015-1320
jburdick@zoominternet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [mitchell donian](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

mitchell donian
204 W 10th St
New York, NY 10014-2920
mitchdonian@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marty Mason](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial - and increasingly critical - to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marty Mason
371 E Glengary Cir
Highland Heights, OH 44143-3601
martymoos05@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Storne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Storne
3091 Jones Rd
Erieville, NY 13061-3160
storneka@dreamscape.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ruth Braithwaite](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ruth Braithwaite
3018 Greene Gables Ct
Ridgeley, WV 26753-7401
mommaru2853@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brett Beil](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brett Beil
8587 Merkel Ln
Mentor, OH 44060-5071
tubular8s@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Phillips](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Phillips
1035 Knollwood Ln
Bartlett, IL 60103-4406
tphil47@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kevin McCollough](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kevin McCollough
11526 Gumwood Rd
Bourbon, IN 46504-9520
zed_salt@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Benjamin Anderson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Anderson
131 Hall St
Brooklyn, NY 11205-2506
bowenanderson@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Smith
19 Vincent St
Binghamton, NY 13905-4514
smithmb@sunybroome.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [pat_rollo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

pat_rollo
1556 Northgate Sq
Reston, VA 20190-3781
pt_rll@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Castaldo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Castaldo
314 Walnut St
Peekskill, NY 10566-4715
elizabeth.louise.castaldo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ken Raab](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ken Raab
Adams st.
Oswego, IL 60543
kmraab@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [MaryAnn Walsh](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

MaryAnn Walsh
1404 Esplanade Ct
Reston, VA 20194-1260
walshma1@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Stauffer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Stauffer
44 Water St
Reading, PA 19605-1210
amyrosebuds@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [mary ann mcdonough](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

mary ann mcdonough
65 Highland Ave
Otisville, NY 10963-2347
newdealera@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [DENNIS BUTLER](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

DENNIS BUTLER
1005 Duane Dr
Salem, IN 47167-9683
dennisbutler@email.msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pat DeWolfe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pat DeWolfe
1916 E Greenleaf St
Allentown, PA 18109-8156
pdewolfe@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Phillips](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Phillips
5192 Dumfries Rd
Warrenton, VA 20187-8925
phillipsgeo@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shelley Marlow](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shelley Marlow
110 Bedford Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11249-2272
sfmarlow@mindspring.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Janusko](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Janusko
1329 Eaton Ave
Bethlehem, PA 18018-1807
qkrsuvxpsaa6@opayq.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [W. Hale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

W. Hale
12 Erie St
Lockport, NY 14094-4640
lockbill@roadrunner.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Barros-Ruof](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Barros-Ruof
630 Fiot Ave
Bethlehem, PA 18015-2758
paulbarros@rcn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Holly Angeloff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Holly Angeloff
285 S Rebecca St
Saxonburg, PA 16056-9516
monetmom59@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Shore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Shore
PO Box 94
Clear Creek, IN 47426-0094
lmshore1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vicky Moraiti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vicky Moraiti
64 Kerasountos
Albany, NY 12242-0001
vicky.morab@yahoo.gr

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Burkhart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Burkhart
PO Box 114
La Plume, PA 18440-0114
usmcrub@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rhoda Levine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rhoda Levine
20 E 8th St Apt 2a
New York, NY 10003-5918
rhodadir@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [winslow dennis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

winslow dennis
121 4th Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11231-4473
winslowproducer@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bennett Brumson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bennett Brumson
628 Crumtown Rd
Spencer, NY 14883-9207
bennett1196@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Laurencell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Laurencell
232 S Hale St
Palatine, IL 60067-6214
caroljam@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Kennedy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Kennedy
104 E 14th Pl
Lombard, IL 60148-4520
karenk@byrnebyrne.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Helene Rosen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Helene Rosen
92 Grandview Dr
Ivyland, PA 18974-6127
hrosen80@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Davidowitz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Davidowitz
122 Harding Ave
Long Beach, NY 11561-3815
pdavidow@fastmail.fm

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Longhenry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Longhenry
216 Ellsworth St
Rockford, IL 61103-7114
possibilis47@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rena Sauve](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rena Sauve
244 W Daniels Rd
Palatine, IL 60067-6102
rbean244@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sonia Goldstein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sonia Goldstein
321 W 24th St Apt 3h
New York, NY 10011-1546
msgoldfi@rcn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dale Goday](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dale Goday
55 E 11th St
New York, NY 10003-4603
dalegoday@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joel Krett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joel Krett
107 Larch Rd
Acme, PA 15610-1319
krettsax@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [BOB RENNER](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

BOB RENNER
401 W Rochester Ave
Middlesboro, KY 40965-1355
customadv401@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jackie Freeman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jackie Freeman
27w280 Bauer Rd
Naperville, IL 60563-1217
jfree511@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julie Siler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julie Siler
1235 Long Rd
Homer, NY 13077-9713
j.siler@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tanina Linden](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tanina Linden
965 Devonshire Cir
Purcellville, VA 20132-7212
tanina@thelindens.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Sortino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Sortino
2929 N Honore St
Chicago, IL 60657-4175
hsortino@logika.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rhonda Marr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:11:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Marr
391 Fairmount Ave NE
Warren, OH 44483-5220
marrra64@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Howe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Howe
1036 Pike Run Dr
Coal Center, PA 15423-1037
amytaracido@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [susan baxter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

susan baxter
309 E 95th St
New York, NY 10128-5754
susan.baxter@ml.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amalie Callahan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amalie Callahan
1522 36th St
Rock Island, IL 61201-3051
amalie.callahan@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maggie D'Arcy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maggie D'Arcy
67 Park Ave
New York, NY 10016-2557
mschrero@nyc.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Antoinette Calderone, PhD, RN](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Antoinette Calderone, PhD, RN
20 McAuley Dr
Dallas, PA 18612-1092
annette.calderone@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Dantuono](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Dantuono
93 W Neck Rd
Huntington, NY 11743-2435
donnabuster5656@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Shroyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Shroyer
104 Center Ct
Berea, KY 40403-1788
lbsno@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [heidi.chapman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

heidi chapman
1214 S Irvington Ave
Indianapolis, IN 46203-2511
hkknabe@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Bly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Bly
216 N Geneva St
Ithaca, NY 14850-4136
ilps9000@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Don Robinson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm opposed to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial as well as important to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Don Robinson
12 Wyndham Ln
Chester Springs, PA 19425-2420
don.robinson.jr@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Burns](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Burns
72 Crestwood Blvd
Farmingdale, NY 11735-5801
gailburns33@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Crombie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Crombie
2505 Forest Creek Ct
Lanesville, IN 47136-9469
john.crombie@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dawn Jackson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dawn Jackson
24545 W Forest Ave
Round Lake, IL 60073-1435
dmchelmecki@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judy Chesnutt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judy Chesnutt
5136 30th Ave Apt 3c
Woodside, NY 11377-7903
jchesnutt@georgeson.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [crystal hart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

crystal hart
PO Box 1
Leesburg, VA 20178-0001
pirates0171@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bob and Leslie Weinstein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bob and Leslie Weinstein
452 N Alexander St
Monongahela, PA 15063-2545
rwweinstein@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julien King](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julien King
71 Hawthorne Ave
Yonkers, NY 10701-3508
julienking1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [FREDERICK HAMMOND](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

FREDERICK HAMMOND
619 CTY RTE 6
GERMANTOWN, NY 12526
hammond@bard.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Osada](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Osada
70 S Buffalo Grove Rd Apt 111
Buffalo Grove, IL 60089-2179
lilsuzq32@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet McKee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet McKee
34 Arlo Rd Apt 1a
Staten Island, NY 10301-4711
mckee@sullcrom.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Dunkle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Dunkle
11200 Beach Mill Rd
Great Falls, VA 22066-3024
ajdunkle2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Betty S Schiefer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Betty S Schiefer
PO Box 2
Laughlintown, PA 15655-0002
gizmo.1@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue Christie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue Christie
193 N Country Rd
Miller Place, NY 11764-2000
scturtle7@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mara Lopez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mara Lopez
535 8th Ave Rm 20n
New York, NY 10018-4493
mlopez@pgsmillwork.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joe Wolf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joe Wolf
1613 Hawthorne Dr
Mayfield Heights, OH 44124-3094
joe_wolf@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Ruliffson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Ruliffson
1020 Royal Dr
Victor, NY 14564-9529
mruliffson81247@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Kennedy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Kennedy
2720 N Sheffield Ave Apt 617
Chicago, IL 60614-1337
kennedy.r@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Hartley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Hartley
6027 26th St N
Arlington, VA 22207-1210
jwhartley77@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Becky Hinzman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Becky Hinzman
530 Woodland Cir
Morgantown, WV 26505-2335
abeie@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Byknish](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Byknish
1800 Custer Orangeville Rd
Masury, OH 44438-8705
chris_byknish@mercy.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Shea](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Shea
6 Anderson School Rd
Staatsburg, NY 12580-5802
mikesheaxnyc@optimum.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elena Rainone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elena Rainone
2379 Warren Ave
North Bellmore, NY 11710-2545
elenaheartbeat@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Martha D. Perlmutter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Martha D. Perlmutter
119 Bellows Ln
New City, NY 10956-2440
mdp225@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Raymond Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Raymond Smith
817 Wood St
Johnstown, PA 15902-2244
rsmith5387@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [n fregin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

n fregin
PO Box 1326
Palatine, IL 60078-1326
nmmgcl@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Neel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Neel
401 Neulon Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15216-1319
acneel@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Mayfield](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Mayfield
2504 Schell Ln
New Albany, IN 47150-4430
statmanmay@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lauren A Inzano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lauren A Inzano
3161 Gomer St
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598-2501
evolveli@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alison Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alison Smith
7746 Colonial Point Ln
Gloucester Point, VA 23062-2237
soxb Bruins13@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John and Barbara Mortier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John and Barbara Mortier
1858 40th St
Rock Island, IL 61201-3852
darraugh@mchsi.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Carroll](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Carroll
3203 150th St
Flushing, NY 11354-3245
actionpages@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [j_trinkaus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

j trinkaus
57 Beach Dr
Lake Luzerne, NY 12846-1921
jfrinkaus@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [c Rowan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

c Rowan
5279 Creekside Blvd
Brunswick, OH 44212-1900
mcdesigns44@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Schechter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Schechter
6647 N Richmond St
Chicago, IL 60645-4210
deborahschechter@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [perry harris](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

perry harris
3163 State Route 94
Chester, NY 10918-4214
perryharris1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shannon L Taylor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shannon L Taylor
2410 Bedminster Rd
Perkasie, PA 18944-4001
shntay@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [TRISH SCHRODER](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

TRISH SCHRODER
784 Sugartown Rd
Malvern, PA 19355-3330
trishs@wallacecranes.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Youmans](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Youmans
3604 Georgetown Rd
Roanoke, VA 24018-3706
pauly@vt.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ray Smythe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ray Smythe
1121 Darby Ln
Indianapolis, IN 46260-2810
raysmythe@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill Kaptur](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill Kaptur
1 Winged Foot Dr
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047-8433
jkaptur@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rudolph Ripp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rudolph Ripp
33 Sherman Ave
Staten Island, NY 10301-2301
rudyr@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric Potter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric Potter
61 Harrison Rd E
West Chester, PA 19380-6749
pachy_boy@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Rowe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Rowe
420 12th St
Brooklyn, NY 11215-5158
rowebrooklyn@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Boas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Boas
822 South Ave
Secane, PA 19018-4456
jboas949@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paula Mobley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paula Mobley
104 Eastview Dr
New Concord, OH 43762-9203
num1rd@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Mullen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Mullen
6100 Ronald St NW
Canton, OH 44718-1070
c.b.mullen@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Raja Chava](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Raja Chava
227 Mica Ct
Bethel Park, PA 15102-1013
chavasekhar@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chrisoula Drivas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chrisoula Drivas
900 Casey Ct
Schaumburg, IL 60173-6324
chrisoula1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Metzger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Metzger
954 Perkins Rd
Kents Store, VA 23084-2344
aa4kp@genset.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jack Webster](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:12:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jack Webster
376 Bates Dr
Bay Village, OH 44140-1419
jljpma@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edward Bisker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edward Bisker
4298 State Road 43 N
West Lafayette, IN 47906-5748
erbisker@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronika Williams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronika Williams
16 wood
Buffalo, NY 14222
schoo26@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elise Poret](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elise Poret
1816 George St Apt 1r
Ridgewood, NY 11385-5559
eporet@bellsouth.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheila Cook](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheila Cook
7 N Greenwich Ln
Geneva, IL 60134-1822
shamrock77738@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Haley Petersen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Haley Petersen
250 Mott St Apt 22
New York, NY 10012-3480
haleygolightly@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steven Coomer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steven Coomer
1333 Shawnee Rd
Indianapolis, IN 46260-4076
s.coomer@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ann C. McGill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ann C McGill
1947 Rocklyn Dr
Brunswick, OH 44212-4071
mcgillannc@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynda Greenbaum](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynda Greenbaum
6 Cross Ln
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567-5108
lynlln@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Corby](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

The Ohio River Basin is home to a diverse array of wildlife, like the belted kingfisher, and more than 25 million people who depend on the watershed as a source of drinking water, and a place of recreation. We need to maintain pollution control standards the health of wildlife and the public depend on these safeguards!

As a constituent, I want you to understand my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Corby
58 Poplar Ave
Pine Plains, NY 12567-5531
corbydesign@fairpoint.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elena Merkhassina](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elena Merkhassina
2352 61st St
Brooklyn, NY 11204-2651
emerkhassina@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Labb](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Labb
281 Desplaines Ave
Riverside, IL 60546-1801
dlabb13@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Monica Zias](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Monica Zias
2950 137th St
Flushing, NY 11354-2057
cookiegreatness@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Shelton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Shelton
811 Roehampton Ct
North Chesterfield, VA 23236-3727
james_shelton32@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Hannah](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Hannah
549 Partridge Run Rd
Gibsonia, PA 15044-7969
mark@prudentialrealty.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Cottrell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Cottrell
319 Eastern Sve.
Woodsfield, OH 43793
davidcottrell73@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Catherine Newkirk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Catherine Newkirk
24 Orchard Park
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603-4838
catherine.newkirk@marist.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Albanesi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Albanesi
441 Kirk Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15227-2226
lilacmandm37841@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lauren MacLise](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lauren MacLise
305 E 40th St
New York, NY 10016-2189
loreal1018@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Grace Kline-Schultheiss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Grace Kline-Schultheiss
1381 Fudge Dr
Beavercreek, OH 45434-6722
gkline18@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Yarnall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Yarnall
149 Davis Ave
White Plains, NY 10605-2311
ty37@columbia.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa England](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa England
2083 Holloway Rd
Norristown, PA 19403-2717
lisaengland2@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Cirillo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Cirillo
20 Wildwood Rd
Hartsdale, NY 10530-1642
lac1119@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katherine Jueds](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katherine Jueds
139 E Mount Pleasant Ave
Philadelphia, PA 19119-1830
kcjueds@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Asher Atchick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Asher Atchick
11111 Valley Forge Cir
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1107
slavicus@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jonill Mayer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jonill Mayer
360 E 65th St
New York, NY 10065-6712
jonillmayer@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bryon Whitley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bryon Whitley
4338 Broadway
Island Park, NY 11558-1601
bryon@bnfcontractors.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane McJunkin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane McJunkin
965 Tam O Shanter Way
Monroe, OH 45050-1646
dmcjunkin@papersystems.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joyce Strombeck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joyce Strombeck
2237 Grove Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-2202
windwillowwhisper@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brian Mirand](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brian Mirand
181 Boxelder Ln
Amherst, NY 14228-1352
mirandbrian16@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brittany Ers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brittany Ers
204 Glengarry Dr
Bloomington, IL 61008-2615
britka101988@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Victoria Burstynowicz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Victoria Burstynowicz
9326 N Florence Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15237-4816
v_burstyn@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Loretta Herrera](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Loretta Herrera
221 S Monroe St
Streator, IL 61364-2929
lorettabator@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Nastick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Nastick
1236 County Line Rd
Wayne, PA 19087-4610
kim.nastick@villanova.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christian Tabone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christian Tabone
8901 County Road 5
Bolivar, NY 14715-9407
chizica@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pat Toner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pat Toner
3172 Tulip St
Phila, PA 19134-3808
ptoner@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Leen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Leen
1521 S Indiana Ave
Chicago, IL 60605-3351
gjleen@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Wiley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Wiley
6822 Kenton Ave # 2
Cincinnati, OH 45236-3815
jwiley44@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronald Kucynski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronald Kucynski
956 Raintree Ln
Maineville, OH 45039-7024
rkucynski@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fred Nothstein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fred Nothstein
434 Franklin Ave
Palmerton, PA 18071-1614
frednothstein@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Pohley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Pohley
95 S 525 E
Franklin, IN 46131-8207
wpohley@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Shippee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:13:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Shippee
13000 Trinity Ct
Richmond, VA 23233-7516
rsoxbob@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carolyn Pace](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:14:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Pace
120 Main St
Apt D
Tuckahoe, NY 10707-2924
caroltnpace@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dini DiNatale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:14:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable.

This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country.

Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dini DiNatale
13201 Hatch Rd
Westerville, OH 43082-9524
msreeco81@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessica McGuire](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:14:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessica McGuire
613 N Bancroft St
Indianapolis, IN 46201-2903
jessiemcg@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barb Galordi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:14:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barb Galordi
2360 Weston Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15241-2434
glord3@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bradley Budnik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:14:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bradley Budnik
10106 Old Orchard Ct Apt 1c
Skokie, IL 60076-1053
kindubb@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Wolf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Wolf
522 Halsey St
Brooklyn, NY 11233-1002
rebwolf8@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angela Mayle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angela Mayle
477 Country Rd
Fairview, WV 26570-8638
smara2005@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Packer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Packer
49 Bell Cir
Port Jefferson, NY 11777-1272
p_packer@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Motz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Motz
2632 Brown Rd
Sewickley, PA 15143-8644
marysusanmotz@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Greg Stawinoga](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Greg Stawinoga
1247 E 168th Pl
South Holland, IL 60473-3151
rk19763@cs.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Cobb](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Cobb
337 Union Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11211-4745
rcobb13@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patrick Hartnett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patrick Hartnett
3854 Settle Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45227-3022
hartnettpm@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Geoff simmons](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Geoff simmons
3409 Middleton Ave Apt 84
Cincinnati, OH 45220-1621
yellowelvis@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Zacchino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Zacchino
1 Wyl Ln
Baiting Hollow, NY 11933-2204
sezacchino@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dana DeWolf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dana DeWolf
2 Town Pl
Bryn Mawr, PA 19010-3419
dana.dewolf@tridentland.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Todd Peterson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Todd Peterson
48 Hawthorne Ln
Jay, NY 12941-2211
thetraveler55@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bernard Rafferty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bernard Rafferty
653 ct. Rt. 62
Pulaski, NY 13142
brafferb@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [fran merker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

STOP THIS DAMED POLLUTION. OUR COUNTRY IS BEING SOLD OUT BY GREEDY PIGS INTENT ON DRAINING THE WALLETS OF THEIR CORPORATE BENEFACTORS!!As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

fran merker
3235 Emmons Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11235-1148
francichance@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Mitchell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Mitchell
123 Sherman Ave
Lexington, KY 40502-1553
robert.mitchell@eku.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matt Kroner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matt Kroner
1805 Horseshoe Dr
Quincy, IL 62305-8893
chongo_mk@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Norman Howe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Norman Howe
3212 Abbey Rd
Canandaigua, NY 14424-1799
normjean@frontiernet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dennis Stephen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dennis Stephen
7363 George Early Rd
Centerville, IN 47330-9683
dkstephen@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Josh Staquet](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Josh Staquet
4 Elliot Ct
Royersford, PA 19468-1889
joshstaquet@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lester Bourdon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lester Bourdon
1281 Clemmers Mill Rd
Schwenksville, PA 19473-1914
gnsplcr58b@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [B OConnell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

B OConnell
30 Maria Lane
Ossining, NY 10562
boconnell@my.uri.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue Marton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue Marton
2301 Patriot Blvd
Glenview, IL 60026-8020
sue.marton@anixter.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elzbieta Sarota-Raczek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elzbieta Sarota-Raczek
7606W.Irving Park Rd
Norridge, IL 60706
sarotaraczek2000@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Totty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Totty
402 Iron Bridge Rd
Monroe, VA 24574-2122
marypattotty@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [CAROL MASUDA](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

CAROL MASUDA
4519 N Paulina St
Chicago, IL 60640-5344
sunsetcat17@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Tabb](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Tabb
1575 Trent Blvd
Lexington, KY 40515-1932
actabb@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Pollack](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Pollack
416 Monroe Blvd
King of Prussia, PA 19406-4346
makmud_pollack@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deb Fritzier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deb Fritzier
1489 Lowesville Rd
Amherst, VA 24521-4221
dafritzier@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Catherine Schaffner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Catherine Schaffner
559 Richards Rd
Kennett Square, PA 19348-2934
catarno9@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Samantha Geaney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Samantha Geaney
3107 Detroit Ave
Cleveland, OH 44113-2751
scg52@case.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bobbie Beall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Beall
2341 Bedford Valley Rd
Bedford, PA 15522-3616
beallzoo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [cheryl Lorditch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

cheryl Lorditch
21673 Route 6
Port Allegany, PA 16743-4521
jovanpersians@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Gabehart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Gabehart
7320 S Old Mazon Rd
Gardner, IL 60424-6328
gabehartdonna@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Balsai](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Balsai
350 E Willow Grove Ave
Philadelphia, PA 19118-4409
vze3vhpv@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Arthur Wilson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Arthur Wilson
27 Shady Creek Rd
Pleasant Valley, NY 12569-7800
vidaflushing2017@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Candie Glisson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Candie Glisson
100 Woods Edge Ct
West Lafayette, IN 47906-5725
jnpr2316@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sonia Ness](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sonia Ness
328 Banbury Ave
Elk Grove Village, IL 60007-3418
sonianess@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Jacoby](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Jacoby
17524 Sheldon Rd
Brook Park, OH 44142-3517
bjacoby0630@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bruce Stegman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bruce Stegman
207 W Berkley Dr
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-2001
stegbone@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Nelson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Nelson
122 West St Apt 2m
Brooklyn, NY 11222-1972
mnelso2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia VonNeeda](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:15:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

The Ohio River should be cleaned up and pollution standards maintained, not removed or relaxed.

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia VonNeeda
2502 Four Mile Dr
Montoursville, PA 17754-9541
pvon2502@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michaela Estes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michaela Estes
4909 Hazel Ave
Treose, PA 19053-4817
kila@levercolors.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bob Gendron](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bob Gendron
6134 N Maplewood Ave
Chicago, IL 60659-2804
bobgendron@mindspring.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Kingren](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Kingren
161 N Clinton Ave
Patchogue, NY 11772-1102
maryg@qkrx.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stanley Wine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stanley Wine
180 E 79th St
New York, NY 10075-0437
stanwine@nyc.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Silberberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Silberberg
603 W 148th St
New York, NY 10031-3130
pjsilberberg@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Buffington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Buffington
7327 Elvin Ct
Norfolk, VA 23505-3403
johnbuff@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Tyska](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Tyska
421 Dorchester Ln
Perkasie, PA 18944-1885
met5656@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cesar Raposo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cesar Raposo
2607 Watson Blvd
Endicott, NY 13760-3237
llusitano@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Fulwiler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Fulwiler
786 Palmer Rd
Bronxville, NY 10708-3311
mpfulwiler@z-buffer.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Veronica Foregger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Veronica Foregger
435 W 31st St
New York, NY 10001-4658
veronica.foregger@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stacey Olphin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stacey Olphin
120 E King St
Dallastown, PA 17313-1704
solphin@ekmccconkey.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [don wleklinski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

don wleklinski
66 Heritage Dr
Terre Haute, IN 47803-2374
don.wleklinski@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shirley Eads](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shirley Eads
24 Damon Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45218-1013
jefshirl2@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lorraine Potter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Potter
101 Mortimer Dr
Evington, VA 24550-2447
potter_l@lynchburg.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret McGullam](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret McGullam
380 Hamden Ave
Staten Island, NY 10306-5137
margaret@bobmims.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vicki Casarett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vicki Casarett
107 French Rd
Rochester, NY 14618-3821
vcasarett@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chad Crabtree](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chad Crabtree
1420 Cedar St
Elkhart, IN 46514-1858
chad@alumni.iu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [elias shabot](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

elias shabot
248 E 49th St
New York, NY 10017-1548
eliasshabot@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Day](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Day
10 W 66th Wtr 11g
New York, NY 10023
jgdayny@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronald Jacob](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronald Jacob
21971 Sunset Rdg
Watertown, NY 13601-4451
rjacob15@twcny.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Glynn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Glynn
1830 Rainbow Dr
Kettering, OH 45420-3655
mwglynn@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jonathan McVey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jonathan McVey
208 Woodhampton Dr
White Plains, NY 10603-1913
mcvey.jonathan@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mona Boggio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mona Boggio
104 Arbutus Ct
Milford, PA 18337-7441
ramonafb615@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christina Lee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christina Lee
5700 Dry Gulch Turn Ct
Terre Haute, IN 47805-9692
chewett2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Philipson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Philipson
5901 Palisade Ave
Bronx, NY 10471-1205
jpsculptor@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sarah Schaefer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sarah Schaefer
941 Clinton Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-1821
sschaefer2@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annie Davidson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annie Davidson
432 Darrow Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-3249
anniezep@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sean Adams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sean Adams
51 Java St
Brooklyn, NY 11222-1556
sean.adams.86@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mike McCue](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mike McCue
17285 Iyami Ct
Strongsville, OH 44136-4322
mccue330@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tammy Beck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tammy Beck
3001 Jackson St
Hillsboro, IL 62049-2640
tammich72@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patti My](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patti My
23 E 83rd St
New York, NY 10028-0401
teacrumpets@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christiane Riederer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christiane Riederer
1008 S Center St
Ashland, VA 23005-2011
criederer1008@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Rasemas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Rasemas
204 S Union St
Kennett Square, PA 19348-3331
cynthiarasemas@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christina Engert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christina Engert
225 Water Ter
Southold, NY 11971-4930
teufelce@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Hawkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Hawkins
3276 Oakwood Dr
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221-1462
shahawks@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vijay Tulsee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Don't end up like Florida

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vijay Tulsee
11435 144th St
Jamaica, NY 11436-1041
vtulsee@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fred Orth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fred Orth
926 Morris St
Cincinnati, OH 45206-2506
fredorth@fuse.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ed Gruver](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ed Gruver
325 Bracken Dr
Lancaster, PA 17601-3963
mbelle1161@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Bescript](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Bescript
800 Trenton Rd
Langhorne, PA 19047-5674
sadie8882@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Kitter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Kitter
509 Pulaski Rd
Greenlawn, NY 11740-1701
skitter@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Zoe Sannuto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Zoe Sannuto
3909 Witmer Rd
Niagara Falls, NY 14305-1239
vanessa5_157@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Douglas Wentworth Campbell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Douglas Wentworth Campbell
1217 Eagle Rd
West Chester, PA 19382-5757
ddwcampbell1@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Scott Zellner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Scott Zellner
4838 Shallowford Cir
Virginia Beach, VA 23462
szellner@vbschools.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynn Fletcher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynn Fletcher
10 Bill Haught Holw
Wana, WV 26590-8542
catlynn54@operamail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Ingelse](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:16:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Ingelse
1602 Wisteria Rd
Rockford, IL 61107-2344
bulldoggie2winn@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sergio Rivera](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sergio Rivera
4159 N Mason Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-1614
meatbag21@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessenia Winstanley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessenia Winstanley
5904 Bridgetown Ct
Burke, VA 22015-2875
wjessyone@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Desch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Desch
2181 N Dequincy St
Indianapolis, IN 46218-3944
ndesch@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paula McCarty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paula McCarty
2380 Parker Blvd
Tonawanda, NY 14150-4504
paula.mccarty1@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Wadsworth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Wadsworth
125 W 33rd St
Reading, PA 19606-2905
andywadsworth23@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Caroline Mislove](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Caroline Mislove
477 Central Park W
New York, NY 10025-3320
cmislove@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [rosemarie albone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

rosemarie albone
2 Beach Dr
Medina, NY 14103-1002
rosecremin@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alan Serlin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alan Serlin
2548 N Normandy Ave
Chicago, IL 60707-2222
trapgun2003@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [MaryRose Taft](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

MaryRose Taft
2920 S Carlisle St
Philadelphia, PA 19145-4907
startmeup012000@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [monika bronhen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

monika bronhen
18 W 18th St
New York, NY 10011-4607
mbronhen@de-simone.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jolinda C.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jolinda C.
2526 N 74th Ave
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-1903
jolindaanne@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shani Friedman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shani Friedman
1498 E 3rd St
Brooklyn, NY 11230-6306
shanirfriedman@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jose Rosriguez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jose Rosriguez
9666 Motley Ln
Vienna, VA 22181-6134
jrodri1596@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Miller
PO Box 566
Nashville, IN 47448-0566
anstmiller@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Moraima Suarez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is NOT ACCEPTABLE. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should CONTINUE to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Thank you for your careful consideration.

Sincerely,

Moraima Suarez
215 21st St Apt 1f
Brooklyn, NY 11232-1385
moraima48@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Robbins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Robbins
5176 US Highway 34
Oswego, IL 60543-9167
birder2002@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [krista dunn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

krista dunn
15 French Rd
Utica, NY 13502-6101
kdunn@trainor.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeannette Episcopo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Everyone lives downstream of some waterway somewhere. The toxic chemicals in your body and mine often come to us in the water we drink.

The proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River would only encourage polluters in other states to ask for the same dispensation. It makes no sense.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Episcopo
504 Jett St
Fredericksburg, VA 22405-3350
crowfeather@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Artemisia Blankenship](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Artemisia Blankenship
PO Box 546
Nyack, NY 10960-0546
imageartemisia@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Aloysius Wald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Aloysius Wald
523 E Lincoln Ave
Columbus, OH 43214-1337
ajwaldtwo@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Danielle Sheehan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Danielle Sheehan
PO Box 177
Ridge, NY 11961-0177
konoseiya@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elaine McCabe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elaine McCabe
363 Monument Ave
Wyoming, PA 18644-1915
emccabe@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [ellen wertheim](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

ellen wertheim
1 Beach 105th St
Rockaway Park, NY 11694-2695
ewertheim@bloomberg.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric Moss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric Moss
3614 N Bosworth Ave Frnt 3
Chicago, IL 60613-3666
eric.p.moss@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ken Green](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ken Green
317 Cross St
Philadelphia, PA 19147-6508
k_green47@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Artin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Artin
240, King's Highway
Sparkill, NY 10976
tom@artinarts.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marta Gibbons](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marta Gibbons
6 1/2 Heath Ct
Weston, WV 26452-1918
peregrin04@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brik Blackford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brik Blackford
not just for the asking
Dixon, IL 610211652
brikblackford@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Wesoky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Wesoky
330 Meadow St
Meadville, PA 16335-1233
swesoky@allegheny.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Samantha Orszulak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Samantha Orszulak
354 Ocean Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11226-1360
samantha.orszulak@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Schmidt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Schmidt
109 Whitby Pl
Gibsonia, PA 15044-9333
leschmidt@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steffanie Feichter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steffanie Feichter
14509 Battery Ridge Ct
Centreville, VA 20120-2890
steffler2727@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Monastero](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Monastero
1166 Pelham Pkwy S
Bronx, NY 10461-1025
jmonastero@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matthew VanBrocklin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As an American citizen, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matthew VanBrocklin
662 County Route 23
Harrisville, NY 13648-3269
mvanbrocklin@stlawu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nora Gaines](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nora Gaines
PO Box 811
New York, NY 10024-0545
ngaines@bankstreet.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [ROBERT COHEN](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

ROBERT COHEN
182 Euston Rd S
Garden City South, NY 11530-5528
rc7119@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kimberly Badger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Badger
26 Wixon Rd
Carmel, NY 10512-5859
kim@walkingeyes.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Michael](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Michael
2309 Dumbarton Rd
Henrico, VA 23228-6013
jenkwood@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mayelly Moreno](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mayelly Moreno
2779 Atlantic Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11207-2812
mm172@nyu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Esther Weaver](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Esther Weaver
47 Hawleys Corners Rd
Highland, NY 12528-2716
edw200@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Schultis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Schultis
914 S Long Beach Ave
Freeport, NY 11520-6307
bschultis@elliman.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amanda Lynch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amanda Lynch
27 Robin Hood Rd
Suffern, NY 10901-3820
partnersinpeds222@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Georgianna Hurley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Georgianna Hurley
414 Main St
Man, WV 25635-1314
hurleygeorgianna@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [B. GAGE](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

B GAGE
G
FREWSBURG, NY 14738
bgage@emcofinishing.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ruth Betkowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ruth Betkowski
5915 Decatur St
Ridgewood, NY 11385-5942
rebetkowski@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Frey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Frey
15 Abingdon Sq
New York, NY 10014-1837
judithfreydesigns@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kelly Schwartz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kelly Schwartz
1710 N Adams St
Arlington, VA 22201-3410
kellyrussell29@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beth Cagan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beth Cagan
1751 Radnor Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118-1622
e.cagan@csuohio.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gary Gray](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gary Gray
4221 Parker Rd
Hunt, NY 14846-9604
garyxx4@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marlena Lange](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marlena Lange
23 Royce Ave
Middletown, NY 10940-4708
mar32123@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nick Hammer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nick Hammer
493 Hungry Hollow Rd
Dubois, PA 15801-6411
hydnick@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Megan Peterson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. At least think about millions of citizens who depend on a healthy Ohio River system.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Megan Peterson
PO Box 113
Solebury, PA 18963-0113
meganpeterson18963@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jo Scott](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jo Scott
13608 Choir St
Woodbridge, VA 22192-4352
tripinjo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pam Hartman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pam Hartman
1198 Bay Harbour Cir
Dayton, OH 45458-2046
boomup@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Hill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Hill
1645 Forest Rd
La Grange Park, IL 60526-1124
prhill9@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [susan kaiser Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

susan kaiser Johnson
583 Prairie Rose Dr
Perrysburg, OH 43551-5715
sheplassie@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [nathalie.camus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

nathalie camus
8624 Palermo St
Hollis, NY 11423-1220
natcamus@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Wiant](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Wiant
117 E Oak Ln
Glenolden, PA 19036-1414
wiant84@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric Czerwony](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric Czerwony
234 Kenwick Dr
Northfield, OH 44067-2638
czerwony@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donald J. Shaw](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donald J. Shaw
1207 Almond St Apt 807
Syracuse, NY 13210-2704
donshawcats@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Proctor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Proctor
266 W 73rd St Apt 4b
New York, NY 10023-2780
chrisproctorcih@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marsha Korotyk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marsha Korotyk
10420 Queens Blvd
25m
Forest Hills, NY 11375-3629
marshak1@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Clyde Duane Zaloudek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:17:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Clyde Duane Zaloudek
431 E 12th St Apt 1b
New York, NY 10009-4043
duanezaloudek@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [george speros](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

george speros
53 W 8th St
New York, NY 10011-9022
george@mydreamforanimals.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [ra szumal](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

ra szumal
7730 Kedvale Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-3602
raschumal@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patrick Robinson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patrick Robinson
633 Bellevue Ave
Pennel, PA 19047-5301
patsongs@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leona Perry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leona Perry
6n697 Decatur Ave
Saint Charles, IL 60174-6696
l2perry1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Hurley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Hurley
393 Palser Run Rd
Normantown, WV 25267-6781
wvhurley@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Halpern](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Halpern
1 Washington Square Vlg
New York, NY 10012-1632
r.l.halpern@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [roy_nemko](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

roy nemko
PO Box 7153
Grayslake, IL 60030-7153
royonestopshop@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amanda Jovanovich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amanda Jovanovich
6024 Courtland Dr
Erie, PA 16509-7846
ans5048@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joshua Fox](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joshua Fox
152 W 57th St
27
New York, NY 10019-3386
fox@bottomlinesavings.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ryan Hanson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ryan Hanson
7628 N Rogers Ave Apt 1e
Chicago, IL 60626-3119
kornman230@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Schupp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Schupp
130 Paradise Ln Apt 2
Tonawanda, NY 14150-2848
pschupp@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Walter Naaf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Walter Naaf
1771 Francis Dr
Pingree Grove, IL 60140-5413
wenjr51@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Nardella](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Nardella
245 W 107th St Apt 10e
New York, NY 10025-3059
nsnardella@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Hanson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Hanson
350 Buckingham Dr
Indianapolis, IN 46208-3628
phanson@butler.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Shelton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Shelton
PO Box 29
Raven, VA 24639-0029
lionslibrasandbrie@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Serckie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Serckie
13125 S Avenue O
Chicago, IL 60633-1311
mspatty2you@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matthew Karp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matthew Karp
157 Tweed Blvd
Nyack, NY 10960-4913
mhk@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pam Paolino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pam Paolino
4314 Sunnyside Dr
Doylestown, PA 18902-1724
hylasquirella@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne W.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne W.
1620 Highlandon Ct
State College, PA 16801-4364
meeko723430@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kevin Wiker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kevin Wiker
102 Genise Dr
Phoenixville, PA 19460-4804
kevinwiker@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peter Sepe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peter Sepe
2 Northside Piers
Brooklyn, NY 11249-3995
peterasepe@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [debra shah](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

debra shah
1015 Westwood Dr NE
Vienna, VA 22180-3646
debrashah@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brian Hampton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brian Hampton
25719 Meadowhouse Ct
South Riding, VA 20152-2588
brianlhampton@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Kelley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Kelley
171 James Rd
Lewisburg, PA 17837-8850
dkelley@bucknell.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristin Schroeder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristin Schroeder
4022 W Coffey Ln
Bloomington, IN 47404-1105
kprouh@indiana.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joy Powers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joy Powers
223 Ridgewood Pl
Fort Thomas, KY 41075-1649
joy.j.powers@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Aaron Fumarola](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Aaron Fumarola
907 Alfred Ln
Homer, NY 13077-9351
aaron.fumarola@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Valerie McKenzie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Valerie McKenzie
3308 30th Ave
Astoria, NY 11103-4675
valerie@mckenziefineart.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wm Michael Dooley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wm Michael Dooley
Apt 208
Bolingbrook, IL 60440
mhrsmn2@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lawrence Mick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Mick
3103 Observation Trl
Dayton, OH 45449-3529
lmick46@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Padilla-Montufar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Padilla-Montufar
609 W 114th St
New York, NY 10025-7972
rob_padilla@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [dianne galliher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

dianne galliher
133 Cass Hill Rd
Candor, NY 13743-1804
dgalliher02@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Kob](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Kob
135 W 96th St Apt 9b
New York, NY 10025-6437
stephanie_kob@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Downes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Downes
5807 Liebig Ave
Bronx, NY 10471-2111
susan_downes@rd.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Barnett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Barnett
1774 W Ainslie St
Chicago, IL 60640-3420
davidcbarnett@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Slomer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Slomer
524 McCubbing Dr
Lexington, KY 40503-1222
lexbobbie@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill Wettersten](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill Wettersten
88 Kendal Dr
Oberlin, OH 44074-1904
jawettersten@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Kitchen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Kitchen
9530 State Route 207
Argillite, KY 41121-8709
mkitchn@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sherryl McCoy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sherryl McCoy
1613 Duss Ave
Ambridge, PA 15003-1931
lyrrehsmaill@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rori Garofalo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rori Garofalo
38 Bobann Dr
Nesconset, NY 11767-2232
doidare2rb@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon McKeiver](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon McKeiver
11 Malo Ct Apt D
Rochester, NY 14612-3934
sjb1215@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Murphy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Murphy
102 Dansfield Ln
Chadds Ford, PA 19317-9751
debjmurph@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Kalil](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Kalil
77 Magnolia Ave
Larchmont, NY 10538-4046
dktb@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Brehmer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Brehmer
19233 Wagon Trail Dr
Noblesville, IN 46060-1154
soccerma25@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Summerfield Baldwin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Summerfield Baldwin
14 Westview Ave
Tuckahoe, NY 10707-4153
sumbaldwin@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra heatherly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:18:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra heatherly
16ROBINCREST RD
hawthorn woods, IL 60047
dheatherly.alcom@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Risa Schiff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Risa Schiff
1720 Madison St
Ridgewood, NY 11385-3657
risapaints@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Bradley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Bradley
6240 Big Creek Pkwy
Parma Heights, OH 44130-2278
kjbradley123@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcia States](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcia States
7148 Fish Hatchery Rd
Bath, NY 14810-7923
marche5@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jane Butler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jane Butler
314 Wild Rose Dr
Hedgesville, WV 25427-3345
butlertwins97@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessica Weber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessica Weber
6309 Amanda Ln
Ravenna, OH 44266-8716
jmweber4love@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julie Ann Metzgar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julie Ann Metzgar
5805 Avalon Woods Dr
Virginia Beach, VA 23464-2206
ragztemmot@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cordale Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cordale Brown
12444 S Laflin St
Calumet Park, IL 60827-5713
c.nick.brown6@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Ray](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Ray
5118 Chowan Ave
Alexandria, VA 22312-2005
oolalava@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Powell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Powell
629 Grandview Ave
Glasgow, KY 42141-1103
logan_macgregor@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Brennan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Brennan
53 Fremont Rd
Sleepy Hollow, NY 10591-1117
sfbrennan@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Luanne Shock](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:19:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Luanne Shock
2119 Johns Ridge Rd
Coraopolis, PA 15108-3535
lsshock@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Lynch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:23:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Lynch
7360 Fairview Ave Apt 202
Downers Grove, IL 60516-4156
elizabethl@lafasteners.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Brandes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:23:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Brandes
2024 Cameron Ave
Merrick, NY 11566-2101
beani24329@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Vitaliano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:23:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Vitaliano
2450 E Bethel Ln
Bloomington, IN 47408-9505
jvitaliano@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gina Sapanaro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:23:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gina Sapanaro
3808 Bell Blvd
Bayside, NY 11361-2170
gsap123@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Danielle Buckley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:24:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Danielle Buckley
203 Morris Dr
East Meadow, NY 11554-1317
danielleb.zoo10@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jacob Richter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:24:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jacob Richter
6833 Madison Ave
West Mifflin, PA 15122-2739
jmrst66@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Reynold Tharp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:24:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Reynold Tharp
412 Brookens Dr
Urbana, IL 61801-6720
reynold_tharp@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shannon Leitner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:24:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shannon Leitner
901 Williamson Ave
Staunton, IL 62088-2725
shanny_baby1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Moncure](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:24:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Moncure
8055 Rural Point Rd
Mechanicsville, VA 23116-4778
jrmoncure@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Al Roesch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Al Roesch
136 Wentworth Dr
Lansdale, PA 19446-1671
alroesch@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peter Gradoni](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peter Gradoni
PO Box 1114
Alfred, NY 14802-0114
pgradoni@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [JOHN RABAL](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

JOHN RABAL
86 Nichols Rd
Nesconset, NY 11767-2048
jr6074@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Stiegler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Stiegler
43668 Partlow Rd.
Ashburn, VA 20147
ultimatecoolmom@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Esposito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Esposito
2108 Enoch Ave
Zion, IL 60099-2323
rabbits9999@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Litsa Katsarou](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Litsa Katsarou
65 Kerasountos St.
Albany, NY 12242-0001
li.katsarou7@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kara Horstman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kara Horstman
52 Herndon Dr
Evansville, IN 47711-3725
karahorstman@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lucille Roussin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lucille Roussin
243 W 99th St
New York, NY 10025-5470
lroussin@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Salmi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Salmi
10 Sunnybank Dr
Nanuet, NY 10954-3421
rapumpkim@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Britton Towe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Britton Towe
2304 Pennsbury Ct
Schaumburg, IL 60194-3884
bmmeihls@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tony Menechella](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tony Menechella
1431 Stage Coach Rd
Frankfort, KY 40601-9663
sondalei@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bob Steininger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bob Steininger
100 Westridge Pl S
Phoenixville, PA 19460-3376
steininger.bob@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [JENNIFER LEE](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

JENNIFER LEE
1114 Waterford Pl
Herndon, VA 20170-3509
jennylee7@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donly Chorn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donly Chorn
310 N Milwaukee Ave Apt 412
Lake Villa, IL 60046-8528
donlychorn1@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Bellano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bellano
245 Spruce St
Glenolden, PA 19036-1018
jennifer@americanfloorsystems.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Riesch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Riesch
29 Spruce St
Pawling, NY 12564-1503
ou812crr@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Daniel Barclay](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Daniel Barclay
272 5th Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11215-1911
danielbarclay@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ted Stone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I already feel sorry enough for birds and other animals who have to live in or around the filthy waterways America pats itself on the back for saying "they're much cleaner than they used to be." Like that's something special. Our big rivers are disgusting and the last thing we should do is loosen up the inadequate rules that now govern our polluters.

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ted Stone
7s381 Green Acres Dr
Naperville, IL 60540-6430
onlinestone@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [susan blumenfeld](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

susan blumenfeld
831 Essex Ln
Michigan City, IN 46360-6953
sblumen@mclib.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Davidheiser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Davidheiser
6 S 4th St Fl 3
Hamburg, PA 19526-1253
mizzymilo@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joshua Dougherty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joshua Dougherty
344 Meetinghouse Ln
Lancaster, PA 17601-7017
jdougherty619@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Sharp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Sharp
Box 146
Mechanicsville, PA 18934
drs48@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Casper](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Casper
4606 State Route 34b
Union Springs, NY 13160-9728
casper3333@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Toni Vale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:39:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Toni Vale
296 Oakbrook Dr
Felton, PA 17322-9427
valetonic@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Valerie Montgomery](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Valerie Montgomery
105 Steven St
Farmville, VA 23901-8201
valmont@embarqmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Ames](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Ames
41 New Jersey Ave
Bellport, NY 11713-2404
paulrames@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Bonarek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Bonarek
10716 Forestview Rd
Countryside, IL 60525-4702
gbonarek@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [P. O'donnel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

P O'donnel
1743 W Albion Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-3917
finneg123@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chelsea Kirtley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Kirtley
1414 N New St
Bethlehem, PA 18018-2422
chelsea@rw-assoc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca LaGesse](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca LaGesse
26 Woodland Ave
Elgin, IL 60123-5314
wildflower52000@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fawn King](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fawn King
11 W 8th St
New York, NY 10011-9037
fawnpking@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dennis Abrahamson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dennis Abrahamson
1 Ahrens Pl
1
Fredonia, NY 14063-1340
dennis_abrahamson@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynda Enaco](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynda Enaco
3417 Trevi Ct
Philadelphia, PA 19145-5758
lre623@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Harrington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Harrington
2121 Windham St NE
Canton, OH 44721-2531
sandy.harrington@goodyear.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellin Ray](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellin Ray
5293 Butternut Ct W
Columbus, OH 43229-5211
eclair26@wowway.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alan McKnight](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alan McKnight
61 Grog Kill Rd
Willow, NY 12495-5006
alanmck@hvi.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

We need clean water. Do the right thing!

Sincerely,

William Johnson
417 W 47th St
New York, NY 10036-2310
billrnrslayer@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephen Dutschke](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephen Dutschke
4306 Darbrook Rd
Louisville, KY 40207-2846
sdutschke@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne Fremon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Fremon
13 Dyckman Rd
Schroon Lake, NY 12870-3116
sfremon@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [L Larkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

L Larkins
230 Daniels Park Rd
Ruckersville, VA 22968-3402
llarkins42@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Gillespie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Gillespie
473 Old Garden Ln
York, PA 17403-4051
nancy4horses@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Candi Dunn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Candi Dunn
729 N Uhrich St
Uhrichsville, OH 44683-1631
candispano1102@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janice Frankel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janice Frankel
1819 S Michigan Ave
Unit 408
Chicago, IL 60616-4640
janicefrankel@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marilyn Kaggen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Kaggen
1910 Foster Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11230-1902
mkaggen@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angela Kump](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angela Kump
155 Tego Lake Rd
East Stroudsburg, PA 18302-8133
smilestrulyjoyful@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Hartman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Hartman
1316 Glen Avon Rd
Grand Island, NY 14072-2202
namtrahadnil@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathi King](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathi King
1829 25th St
Moline, IL 61265-4171
kathi75@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Keith Fisher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Keith Fisher
37 Russell Rd
Willow Grove, PA 19090-1921
keithnicole@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric J Arroyo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric J Arroyo
3725 Henry Hudson Pkwy Apt 12e
Bronx, NY 10463-1534
ejarroyo@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erica Mootz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erica Mootz
42 Bangel Ave
West Seneca, NY 14224-1214
gcluver1193@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Malinowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Malinowski
9199 North Rd
Palos Hills, IL 60465-2117
lindamal47@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcia Ruiz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcia Ruiz
550 Riverside Dr
47home
New York, NY 10027-3216
myr3@tc.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amanda Tarpey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amanda Tarpey
6203 Treva St
Finleyville, PA 15332-1027
amanda0121@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Fraidstern](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Fraidstern
540 Ocean Pkwy
Brooklyn, NY 11218-5861
jan_les18@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Hulick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Hulick
10 High St
Napanoch, NY 12458-2811
alldaypahulick@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judy Genandt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judy Genandt
710 Timothy Ct
East Dundee, IL 60118-3038
j.genandt@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [June Summers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

June Summers
208 Rhea Cres
Rochester, NY 14615-1212
summers@frontiernet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessica Miracola](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessica Miracola
1132 Park Pl Apt 5
Brooklyn, NY 11213-2643
jessabellalx@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Basile](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Basile
7 Evert St
Huntingtn Sta, NY 11746-3507
luckied@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Tregidgo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Tregidgo
1146 Sunnyside Dr
Holtwood, PA 17532-9726
norslope@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eleanor Butner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Butner
2522 Huntington Rd
Charlottesville, VA 22901-1262
eleanor322@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [N. D.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

N. D.
335 15th St SW
De Motte, IN 46310-8654
sunrise65@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shirley Midyette](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shirley Midyette
102 Cavalier Dr
Charlottesville, VA 22901-2009
skmidyette@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Garber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Garber
4427 Purves St
Long Island City, NY 11101-2946
barbaragarber@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jackie Goldstein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jackie Goldstein
21 Wellington
Garden City, NY 11530
jackieginny3@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Caro Pierceall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Caro Pierceall
2316 Olde Mill Rd
Plainfield, IL 60586-8259
jlperceall@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Yosef Robinson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Yosef Robinson
2753 Plymouth Ave
Bexley, OH 43209-1824
yosefrobinson@yahoo.ca

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Harold Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Harold Smith
8328 Hawes Ct
Indianapolis, IN 46256-2913
episcoman@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debbie Banditelli](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debbie Banditelli
423 Schuylkill Ave
Tamaqua, PA 18252-1522
bookworm18252@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alva Laurer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alva Laurer
209 Paxton Rd
Rochester, NY 14617-4656
alaurer@rochester.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele Montanari](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele Montanari
229 Atilio Jurisato Street
Araraquara, NY 14804
michelenmontanari@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Bumbak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Bumbak
6509 Marsol Rd
Mayfield Heights, OH 44124-3571
abumbak@cuyhocalibrary.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Jane Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Jane Johnson
98 Taylor Ln
Clarksville, OH 45113-8253
mjjohnso@peoplepc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristie Lindgren](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristie Lindgren
53 9-Wishes Ranch Rd.
Golconda, IL 62938
lindgrkl@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Beckwith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Beckwith
19529 Paxson Dr N
South Bend, IN 46637-3235
n.beckwith@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Douglass](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:40:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Douglass
129 E 4th St
New York, NY 10003-0708
sdouglass3@nyc.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Emmet Ryan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Emmet Ryan
373 Plainfield Ave
Floral Park, NY 11001-3053
hopeldehoy@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Auger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. Wildlife will become extinct.

Sincerely,

Robert Auger
50 Sterling Dr
Poughquag, NY 12570-4926
rfa50315@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [nannette.ames](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

nannette ames
PO Box 69
Valparaiso, IN 46384-0069
nannette@cjhfreight.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Gentleman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Gentleman
5445 Sayles Corners Rd
Moravia, NY 13118-2259
jbirdholz@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Catharine Crockett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Catharine Crockett
1930 Croxton Ave
Bloomington, IL 61701-5702
cappeye@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gary Morse](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gary Morse
850 Sherwood Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15221-3724
gamorse@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [judy o'connell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

judy o'connell
4138 N Bell Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-2914
judyart780@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stacey Dillingham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stacey Dillingham
14001 Old Station Rd Apt 205
Louisville, KY 40245-5117
ledzep24@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Joncus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Joncus
25 Dongan Pl
New York, NY 10040-1567
andrew.joncus@ubs.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Harriet Shalat](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Harriet Shalat
10242 62nd Dr
Forest Hills, NY 11375-1054
hshalat1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mr. joe karr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mr. joe karr
117 Jogee Rd
Middletown, NY 10940-6784
lizgo00@frontiernet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [pul sauk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

pul sauk
11 Farmington Cir
West Grove, PA 19390-9543
saukp@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [lisa allarde](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

lisa allarde
129 milan way
green lane, PA 18054-2265
toothlessinpa@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [sharon haney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

sharon haney
921 S Ashland Ave
La Grange, IL 60525-2820
shari5652@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maura Gregory](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maura Gregory
3422 Fenimore Ave
Mohegan Lake, NY 10547-1309
mgregorylaw@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Danielle Serratore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Danielle Serratore
72 King St
Port Jefferson Station, NY 11776-3714
danielle.serratore@mailmmg.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wendy Fox](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wendy Fox
18625 Darden Ct
Leesburg, VA 20176-5148
wrfox@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Klym](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Klym
8702 102nd St
Richmond Hill, NY 11418-2034
jkdiver1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kelly Frank](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kelly Frank
3233 County Road 487 West
Huntington, IN 46750
smurf3680@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gary Nelson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gary Nelson
2010 Meadow Ln
Orrville, OH 44667-2349
greedn1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [lois s](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

lois s
10th St.
New York, NY 10003
loissegel@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Betty Ware](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Betty Ware
2 Paxton Rd
Richmond, VA 23226-2204
bettybware@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bobbi Collyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bobbi Collyer
20419 Gum Tree Rd
Orange, VA 22960-4127
moondazed@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anita Rhynes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anita Rhynes
698 Seymour Ave
Columbus, OH 43205-2924
anita.mcfarren@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charlene Englerth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charlene Englerth
140 Quinn Rd
Rochester, NY 14623-4012
cjmac1031@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Trixie Brunson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Trixie Brunson
E7 Gillmore Vlg
Utica, NY 13502-5543
tri628@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheli Irwin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheli Irwin
140 1st Ave
Phoenixville, PA 19460-3761
iamzagnut@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Hollerbach](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Hollerbach
4921 Bradpointe Ln
Virginia Beach, VA 23455-1375
rjhusna68@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzann Schriro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzann Schriro
8 Horton Rd
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598-6617
suschriro@ccsd.ws

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Hittel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Hittel
250 W 90th St Apt 10h
New York, NY 10024-1142
susanannh@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Steffens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Steffens
164 Overbrook Dr
Columbus, OH 43214-3172
ks3owc@wowway.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Laval](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Laval
2012 Vann Ave
Evansville, IN 47714-4058
jennifer.laval@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Formichelli](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Formichelli
5657 Curtis Ave
Canfield, OH 44406-9606
jformich@zoominternet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Holly Cleghorn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Holly Cleghorn
1166 Lexington Ln
Batavia, IL 60510-3322
hcleghorn@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Kirchner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Kirchner
7510 Hope Farm Rd
Fort Wayne, IN 46815-6566
train462@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Baumann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Baumann
949 S Batavia Ave
Geneva, IL 60134-3010
cwbaumann75@cs.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Solari](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Solari
1725 N 77th Ave
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-4150
patriciasolari@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Troll](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Troll
4 Cheney St
Johnstown, PA 15905-2801
donnatroll@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Teo Demetriades](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Teo Demetriades
1076 Wolver Hollow Rd
Oyster Bay, NY 11771-4302
highpine7@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deanne O'Donnell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deanne O'Donnell
137 Ron Dr
Derry, PA 15627-2671
hottdeanne@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Biehn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Biehn
330 S 11th St
Richmond, VA 23219-1925
esbiehn@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Farmer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Farmer
5913 Ambassador Way
Alexandria, VA 22310-1749
bnb93@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lillian Santana](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lillian Santana
147 Furnace Rd
Moriah, NY 12960-2312
lilliantefoe@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carla Scott](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carla Scott
225 N Seminary Ave
Park Ridge, IL 60068-3041
cscottnone@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Timmie Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Timmie Smith
PO Box 533
Erie, PA 16512-0533
scobbydoo38@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Catherine White](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Catherine White
2726 Colby St
Brockport, NY 14420-9766
beechnridgehillfarm@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ann Marie Sardineer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ann Marie Sardineer
233 Woodlawn Dr
Trafford, PA 15085-1232
acynk@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracy Leinbaugh](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracy Leinbaugh
10855 Peach Ridge Rd
Athens, OH 45701-8849
leinbaug@ohio.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Hollinrake](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Hollinrake
35 Morningside Ave
New York, NY 10026-1539
mark.hollinrake@ntlworld.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Carter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Carter
4412 Dannywood Rd
Louisville, KY 40220-1208
linda@wolfordclan.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kay Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kay Miller
250 Race St Apt 201
Westfield, PA 16950-1216
mkam39@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [stephen.cohen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

stephen.cohen
7 Scenic View Ct W
Dix Hills, NY 11746-5446
scohen@hccpapc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angela Callis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:41:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angela Callis
21 Winston Ave
Newport News, VA 23601-2122
angelacallis@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kerry Clark](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kerry Clark
50 Murray St Apt 1507
New York, NY 10007-2269
kezclark@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Mihok](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Mihok
101 Stillwood Dr
Moon Township, PA 15108-3255
jmihok2@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [G. Paxton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

G. Paxton
189 E 3rd St
New York, NY 10009-7412
gregpaxton2001@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Lopreto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Lopreto
155 W 68th St Apt 828
New York, NY 10023-5814
cy.lopreto@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Moss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

The Ohio River does not need any assistance by weakening the pollution control standards for the river that would add to its polluted state and pose even greater harm to the kingfisher and other wildlife and their habitats. I am protesting any proposed changes that would further enable pollution in the river that would in turn, add to the harm that the wildlife currently have to deal with. We should be doing our utmost to help the pristine life that exists in the Ohio River Basin, not degrading any further. It is urgent for the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River be kept in place and the only changes made are ones that upgrade these standards.

Sincerely,

Diane Moss
3965 52nd St
Woodside, NY 11377-3254
dianedits@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bridget Soeder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bridget Soeder
4349 W 202nd St
Fairview Park, OH 44126-1524
bridgetsoeder@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Burchard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Burchard
92 Miner St
Canton, NY 13617-1348
mburchard@stlawu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alice Stehle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alice Stehle
218 Cecelia St
Butler, PA 16001-5110
astehle@zoominternet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [veronica farmer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

veronica farmer
701 Pickering Ln
Phoenixville, PA 19460-2551
veronica.farmer@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maryjo Osowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maryjo Osowski
315 N Stone Ave
La Grange Park, IL 60526-1818
mosowski@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jordy Albert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jordy Albert
131 Ridge Ave
York, PA 17403-1310
psubblue81@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Szlosek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Szlosek
14751 72nd Rd
Flushing, NY 11367-2557
deszlosek6@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alivia Holmes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alivia Holmes
490 N Country Rd
Miller Place, NY 11764-2709
aliviaholmes@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Marsh](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Marsh
107 W 78th St
New York, NY 10024-6763
jm107413@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Christman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Christman
5273 Morning Sun Rd
Oxford, OH 45056-8918
dgxmn@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [sarah stavrou](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

sarah stavrou
137 E 38th St Apt 3f
New York, NY 10016-2620
sarahstavrou@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Hoekstra](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Hoekstra
PO Box 74
Wells, NY 12190-0074
johnhoekstra10@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shirley Johannsen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shirley Johannsen
2725 Hunt Club Dr
York, PA 17402-8527
mornsun2@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Rynes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Rynes
570 Harlowe Ln
Naperville, IL 60565-2006
discubs697@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maryann Kage](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,
Maryann Kage
4116 N Kilbourn
Chicago, IL 60641

Sincerely,

Maryann Kage
4116 N Kilbourn Ave # 2
Chicago, IL 60641-1913
maryann3k@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [angelo sturino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

angelo sturino
7171 W Gunnison St
Harwood Heights, IL 60706-3892
sturino.angelo21@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elliot Ross](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elliot Ross
7041 Lyon St
Union Dale, PA 18470-7615
kross@nep.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeannine Guerci](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeannine Guerci
7 Orchard Ln
Nanuet, NY 10954-3729
j9g@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joel Christine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the recent proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is simply not acceptable or safe. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the vital importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in our country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial -- and increasingly critical -- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, any reasonable effort at restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly requires a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joel Christine
632 W 15th St
Tyrone, PA 16686-2007
joelalvinchristine@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elaine Hegh](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elaine Hegh
756 Collins Ave
Lansdale, PA 19446-5649
lhegh@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Russell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Don't Eliminate Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Russell
842 Elting Rd
Rosendale, NY 12472-9662
russell@vassar.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicola Nicolai](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicola Nicolai
2400 Copper Creek Rd
Chester Springs, PA 19425-3890
nicola.6@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Boots](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Boots
2363 Old Trail Dr
Reston, VA 20191-3037
sgboots232@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [david trask](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

david trask
226 Straley Ave
Buffalo, NY 14211-2833
retnavylt1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [cheri grubbs](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

cheri grubbs
216 Connellsville St
Dunbar, PA 15431-1610
shrubbs01@zoominternet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dawn LaMarca](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dawn LaMarca
625 Bunker Ct
Vernon Hills, IL 60061-1830
give2live2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Gayer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Gayer
6287 Schochary Rd
New Tripoli, PA 18066-3630
artasaverb@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [laura johnston](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

laura johnston
7 storybrook lane #847
Wurtsboro, NY 12790
laura@kennjo.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [M H](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

M H
751 Piedmont Dr
Carmel, IN 46074-8829
mhoelzer@pio.carrollu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Aleta Streett-Leavy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Aleta Streett-Leavy
300 Election House Rd
Butler, PA 16001-8565
aletasl@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bruce Gordon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bruce Gordon
4876 N Kruger Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-1719
brucealangordon@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eileen Roberts](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eileen Roberts
RD # 1 Droms Rd.
Glennville, NY 12302-9721
dragonflydaisy@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Martha Jane Ripple](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Martha Jane Ripple
915 Welham Green Rd
Great Falls, VA 22066-1517
calamity-jane@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [robert davidson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

robert davidson
9216 Robinson Ave
Franklin Park, IL 60131-2020
rdavidson51@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roy Hunt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roy Hunt
8 Devonshire Road
southminster, NY 12578
royfhunt@btconnect.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Shamus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Shamus
300 E 104th St
New York, NY 10029-5520
kshamus1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James. Yvonne Tittle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James. Yvonne Tittle
2075 Wallace Ave Apt 548
Bronx, NY 10462-2461
diviyrt@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roger Vogel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roger Vogel
3635 S Hopper Ridge Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45255-5069
vogel_roger@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Halley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Halley
521 Budd Rd
Woodbourne, NY 12788-5145
jazzcat2@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lauren Haley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lauren Haley
3779 Dillons Fork Rd
Fieldale, VA 24089-3254
xmissxrenx@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janel Compton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:42:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janel Compton
20824 S Humboldt Dr
Frankfort, IL 60423-9078
janelc@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Merriman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Merriman
4801 Butler Dr
Cortland, NY 13045-9165
jm345@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Connie Patrono](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Connie Patrono
151 Midland Ave
Bronxville, NY 10708-1808
cpatronophd@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edward Freeman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edward Freeman
6235 Chestnut St
L-304
Philadelphia, PA 19139-2906
edwardfreeman48@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alicia Anzaldo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alicia Anzaldo
6200 W Melrose St
Chicago, IL 60634-4128
lucylynn1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Krzepina](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Krzepina
8920 Edgewood Dr
North Royalton, OH 44133-1142
mbkrze@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bill Rosenthal](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bill Rosenthal
9401 64th Rd
Rego Park, NY 11374-3046
brosent@hunter.cuny.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sally Sare](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sally Sare
2206 S Bent Tree Dr
Bloomington, IN 47401-4580
slsare@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Wieczynski, RSM](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Wieczynski, RSM
8d Cass Ct
Ballston Lake, NY 12019-9043
2050mercy@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christina J Keller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christina J Keller
104 N Lincoln St
Cleona, PA 17042-2336
pastorkeller@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Babbitt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Babbitt
319 S 10th St
Philadelphia, PA 19107-6145
philad49@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Virginia Bottorff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Virginia Bottorff
821 E Brighton Ave
Syracuse, NY 13205-2539
lilly_white_lillith@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Sell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Sell
18417 Flamingo Ave
Cleveland, OH 44135-3811
csusell@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katherine Boas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katherine Boas
435 Hilton Dr
Lancaster, PA 17603-5705
sweetdeenrastasmom@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sarah Detrick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sarah Detrick
3230 Woodford Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45213-2002
detricksarah@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Briana Sabia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Briana Sabia
59 Conklin Hill Rd
Milton, NY 12547-5235
brie248@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bethanne Portala-Dean](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bethanne Portala-Dean
629 Elm St
Batavia, IL 60510-2528
b_portala@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Scott](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Scott
5092 Buss Dr
Emmaus, PA 18049-5039
patriciabscott@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer McAnally](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:43:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer McAnally
231 Wendy St
Sycamore, IL 60178-1153
mcanallyjenn@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lauren Tartaglia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:44:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lauren Tartaglia
1 Northside Piers
Brooklyn, NY 11249-4375
laurentartaglia@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Franz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Franz
1130 W Cornelia Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-1549
sfranz7@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne deVaucenne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne deVaucenne
2802 Daugherty Dr
Zionsville, IN 46077-8715
catsmeowshelter@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Brown
189 E 3rd St
New York, NY 10009-7412
melissatracey@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steve Curry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steve Curry
121 Penn Avenue
Belmont Hills, PA 19004
terr113@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathleen Jemison](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathleen Jemison
347 Litchfield Ave
Babylon, NY 11702-2033
panthingo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maryann Henninger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maryann Henninger
206 Greshville Rd
Boyertown, PA 19512-8487
henningerma@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annalisa Bertolazzi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annalisa Bertolazzi
853 Cold Spring Rd Apt 12
Allentown, PA 18103-6194
annabert26@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michaela Antonio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michaela Antonio
950 Church St
Glenview, IL 60025-2978
mikaylaantonyo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [M Strimbu](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

M Strimbu
2450 Hawthorne Rd
Homewood, IL 60430-1019
mjstrimbu@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Craig Todd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Craig Todd
267 W Funderburg Rd
Fairborn, OH 45324-2375
ctodd013106@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donald Wilson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donald Wilson
1217 Princeton Ave
Philadelphia, PA 19111-4209
djw22@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Siksnius](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Siksnius
1847 Fair Acres Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15216-3629
gcsiksnius@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Convry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Convry
242 Chestnut St
West Reading, PA 19611-1324
pconvry@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [sidney amster](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

sidney amster
1401 Walnut St
Philadelphia, PA 19102-3128
sidamster@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Celine Blando](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Celine Blando
3614 Arthur Ave
Brookfield, IL 60513-1552
celineblando@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frances Saykaly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frances Saykaly
520 E 72nd St
New York, NY 10021-4849
francsayka@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jane Ellenberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:45:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jane Ellenberg
PO Box 1422
Millbrook, NY 12545-1422
jve5199@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lawrence Vanis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Vanis
2493 Tall Oaks Dr
Elgin, IL 60123-4854
skybass74@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elekta Mitchell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

It would be incredibly irresponsible to our environment if you eliminated any pollution control. Don't you understand the deep troubled we are in right now with environmental problems? Please don't add to our problems. You have the power to do good things---please do so.

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elekta Mitchell
1 Bratenahl Pl
Cleveland, OH 44108-1181
pi.mitch@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janice Dutka](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janice Dutka
30808 Windy Hollow Ln
North Olmsted, OH 44070-3830
dutkajanice@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie DiSciullo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie DiSciullo
335 E Roseville Rd
Lancaster, PA 17601-3840
weatheredandworn@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anthony Mehle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anthony Mehle
6634 Covington Cv
Canfield, OH 44406-8161
amehle123@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lilli Ross](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lilli Ross
390 W End Ave
New York, NY 10024-6107
dancerforpeace@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Emily C Oaks](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Emily C Oaks
PO Box 309
Sterling, NY 13156-0309
emily.oaks@oswego.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Arden Green](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Arden Green
10633 Cliffmore Dr
Glen Allen, VA 23060-6418
ardenj13@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sylvia Taylor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Taylor
1989 Ellis Hollow Rd
Ithaca, NY 14850-9670
syltaylor@bluefrog.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leana Citar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leana Citar
140 Belle Cir
Blue Bell, PA 19422-1438
lcitar@yahoo.it

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Santoro Lanuto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Santoro Lanuto
6413 Chucks Rd
East Stroudsburg, PA 18302-9261
lanuto3@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steven Chappell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steven Chappell
227 Tara Ln
New Castle, IN 47362-1153
schappell2@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tara Kerr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tara Kerr
1018 Bold Springs Rd
South Boston, VA 24592-6983
tarask@mindspring.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Henthorne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Though I do not live in your watershed, I believe that each individual, company, private organization and government agency has an obligation to protect the natural resources that are critical to the wellbeing of all of us. On this issue, my responsibility is to share my views with you.

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Henthorne
34090 Snickersville Tpke
Bluemont, VA 20135-1830
hhenthorne@rstarmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fabrice Couillerot](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fabrice Couillerot
277 W 10th St
New York, NY 10014-2554
atomicjetman@yahoo.fr

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Constance Minerovic](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

What is good for the birds is good for people! (Think back to the 'canary in the mine'.)

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Constance Minerovic
6168 Cheryl Pl
Painesville, OH 44077-2417
clminerovic@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Daniell Crail](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Daniell Crail
3332 Wood Valley Ct
Lexington, KY 40502-3518
chocolatebeet@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mildred Leonard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mildred Leonard
2930 Witchwood Ln
Waukegan, IL 60087-2815
mildredleonard655@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [steve kent](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

steve kent
8111 Ronin lane
Hamilton, OH 45011
houseandcomfort.ca@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ruth Williams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ruth Williams
315 Riverview Rd
Port Haywood, VA 23138-2194
doryruth2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Rostlund](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Rostlund
Stockholm
Stockholm, NY 11593
rostlund.michelle@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Taylor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Taylor
40 5th Ave
New York, NY 10011-8843
atdcom@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Mosby](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Mosby
4173 Doten Dr
Lafayette, IN 47909-8409
tmosby762@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [amy pick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

amy pick
1 Hawk Dr
New Paltz, NY 12561-2447
pickeria@newpaltz.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Carter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:46:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Carter
4412 Dannywood Rd
Louisville, KY 40220-1208
linda@wolfordclan.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Felicia Lewis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Felicia Lewis
2122 Cherry St
Philadelphia, PA 19103-1312
felicialewis1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Strasser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Strasser
27825 Detroit Rd Apt 103
Westlake, OH 44145-2127
dstrz13@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [CHARLOTTE COOK](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

CHARLOTTE COOK
3348 Dolomar Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45239-4064
ccook@josephtoyota.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Bongiorno](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Bongiorno
1085 Frances Dr
Valley Stream, NY 11580-2124
thesithempire@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sam Kawa](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sam Kawa
3905 27th St
Long Island City, NY 11101-3819
samkawa@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dennis Tousana](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dennis Tousana
3908 W Ainslie St
Chicago, IL 60625-6157
bigu67@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Humphrey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Humphrey
9630 Keeler Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-1128
thumphrey@sprynet.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Candy Sheagley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Candy Sheagley
201 E 2nd St
Brookston, IN 47923-8064
candy@purdue.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [marlene powers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

marlene powers
1820 E Wood Ln
Mount Prospect, IL 60056-1756
marlene1820@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kimberly Boden](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Boden
206 Carol Ct
Pontiac, IL 61764-9718
kimzboden@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Jehn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Jehn
180 S Atlantic Ave
Cochranton, PA 16314-8606
marseillejg27@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Noel Bitterman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Noel Bitterman
2540 Union St
Flushing, NY 11354-1256
nbitterman@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Paul](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Paul
105 Trevoise Rd
Trevoise, PA 19053-6521
jami_son@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tony Piselli](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tony Piselli
8963 Back Rd
Maurertown, VA 22644-2255
tpiselljr@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Josephine Scipione](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Josephine Scipione
2615 Colchester Rd
Cleveland Hts, OH 44106-3613
jps_bugsy24@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peggy Thomas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peggy Thomas
712 Bennett St
Findlay, OH 45840-6032
pegandtim1975@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Schmotzer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Schmotzer
201 Waller Mill Rd
Williamsburg, VA 23185-2947
marykschmotzer@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judy Walters](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judy Walters
19 Lincoln Dr
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601-6003
judy.walters1@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Meredith Kent-Berman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Meredith Kent-Berman
235 E 22nd St
New York, NY 10010-4616
gaia10@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edith Frank](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edith Frank
3935 Blackstone Ave
Bronx, NY 10471-3715
edithfrank@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [B.J Alexis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

B J Alexis
2204 Oxford St
Rockford, IL 61103-4163
b.alexis@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Greenberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Greenberg
1300 Lombard St Apt 408
Philadelphia, PA 19147-1069
susangreenberg108@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gina Bates](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: STOP Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River!
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

KEEP POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS IN PLACE!!

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable.

KEEP POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS IN PLACE!!

This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

KEEP POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS IN PLACE!!

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda.

KEEP POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS IN PLACE!!

Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

KEEP POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS IN PLACE!!

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

KEEP POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS IN PLACE!!

Sincerely,

Gina Bates
40 South St

Apple Creek, OH 44606-9648
star3609@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alex Zackrone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alex Zackrone
5 Franklin Ave Apt 2r
White Plains, NY 10601-3838
seaalexonly@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Yandrich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Yandrich
50 E California Ave
Columbus, OH 43202-1202
kitooze@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Bohler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Bohler
104 E Lincoln Dr
Greenville, OH 45331-2339
kimbohler78@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Rostagno-Lasky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Rostagno-Lasky
2533 Batchelder St Apt 8d
Brooklyn, NY 11235-1420
msrlasky@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronelle Devine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronelle Devine
2287 Walnut Ave
Ronkonkoma, NY 11779-6626
justdevine99@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jacquelyn Ross](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn Ross
8169 W Forest Preserve Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-2909
gator.jross@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gregory Schmelzer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gregory Schmelzer
2025 Ivy Ct
Schaumburg, IL 60194-2607
gregory.schmelzer@gmail.com

From: [James Mulcare](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

James Mulcare
1110 Benjamin St
Clarkston, WA 99403
(509) 758-3934

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elisabeth N.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth N.
7914 S Luella Ave
Chicago, IL 60617-1148
eanoty@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Yost](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Yost
212 W 16th St
Rear 1e
New York, NY 10011-6194
yostc@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Kearney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Kearney
37 Camfield St
Pittsburgh, PA 15210-3262
kearney@duq.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robin Spiegelman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robin Spiegelman
8230 233rd St
Queens Village, NY 11427-2114
rspiegelman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Haertel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:47:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Haertel
48b Edgewater Park
Bronx, NY 10465-3537
krazi120@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gregory Holtzapple](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:48:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gregory Holtzapple
800 Sandy Lake Rd
Kent, OH 44240-6751
papasjenna@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jaime Filipek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:48:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jaime Filipek
49 Semicir St
Pittsburgh, PA 15214-1833
jaime.filipek@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Lipstreu](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:48:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. As a public agency charged with promoting and maintaining environmental quality (in this case water) ORSANCO must protect the public health, safety and welfare of the Ohio River Basin Watershed, which includes the wildlife, ecosystems and humans inhabiting this area. Do the job you are mandated to do!

Sincerely,

David Lipstreu
1107 Oak Street Ext
Mount Vernon, OH 43050-3600
dlipstreu@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rachel Klingberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:48:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rachel Klingberg
444 W 54th St Apt 8
New York, NY 10019-7607
rklingberg@pace.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Geraldine Adams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:48:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Geraldine Adams
1734 S Dover St
Philadelphia, PA 19145-1620
adamsg@email.chop.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gloria Picchetti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:48:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gloria Picchetti
3920 N Clark St
Chicago, IL 60613-2650
picchetti707@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sally Hinshaw](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:48:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sally Hinshaw
1573 Kirkley Rd
Columbus, OH 43221-2221
sphinshaw@twc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marla Oliver](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 12:48:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marla Oliver
14 Rio Grande Cir
Florence, KY 41042-9133
moliver@dreeshomes.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peggy Schramm](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peggy Schramm
1335 N Jackson St
Waukegan, IL 60085-1858
crazymonkeyinc@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Hill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Hill
127 Spring Valley Rd
Westerville, OH 43081-2534
jennifer_ann_hill@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heidi Berthoud](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heidi Berthoud
366 Wyland Rd
Buckingham, VA 23921-2115
heidi1008@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Stimpson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Stimpson
153 Bergen St
Brooklyn, NY 11217-2209
lisastimpson@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Schoenster](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Schoenster
18 Muir Blvd
East Hampton, NY 11937-2028
deltawye00@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Butler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Butler
2211 Rainbow Vw
Urbana, IL 61802-5621
butlerdavid36@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Taggart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Taggart
4773 N Keystone Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-4308
smtaggart1@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Starkey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Starkey
1849 Wilber St
South Bend, IN 46628-3257
star.co@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John and Stephanie Funicello](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As constituents, we're writing to express our concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John and Stephanie Funicello
366 Button St
Sharon Springs, NY 13459-3711
smallfarmagriculture@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Llinas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Llinas
10910 Park Ln S
Richmond Hill, NY 11418-1259
steff.llinas@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rita Waine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rita Waine
1351 Le Parc Ter
Charlottesville, VA 22901-3197
rita.waine@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Bielski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Bielski
4829 W Warner Ave
Chicago, IL 60641-1815
chatnoir1953@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Rafoth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Don't jeopardize the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

Please don't jeopardize the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Rafoth
53 Orland Rd
Rochester, NY 14622-2262
covariance2000@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laila Nabulsi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laila Nabulsi
1519 4th Ave
Beaver Falls, PA 15010-4140
drravenstar@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nadine Brundage](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nadine Brundage
701 Empire Blvd
Brooklyn, NY 11213-5392
nadinka2@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patrick Butler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:09:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patrick Butler
2393 Route 711
Ligonier, PA 15658-2114
pddnbutler@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Teresa Kohl](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Teresa Kohl
93 S Lillie St
Kankakee, IL 60901-7578
teresakohl3@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tom Hougham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tom Hougham
4001 W Hougham Rd
Trafalgar, IN 46181-8851
anntom@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Ann Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Smith
1071 Kenyon Dr
Fort Washington, PA 19034-1625
mas1071@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laurie Towne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laurie Towne
55 W Delaware Pl
Chicago, IL 60610-3360
laurieltowne@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Jennings](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Jennings
102 Barbara Ave
Steubenville, OH 43952-4390
auntybb13@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Taylor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Taylor
1324 W Elmdale Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-2516
kittylovex2@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sasha Kirby](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sasha Kirby
1 Summit Ave
New City, NY 10956-1114
misslepardprint@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Scott Lundgren](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Scott Lundgren
711 W Diversey Pkwy
Chicago, IL 60614-7363
vatdrsl@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [vince vitale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

vince vitale
472 E Montana Ave
Glendale Hts, IL 60139-2531
vincevitale@wowway.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [susan green](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

susan green
165 Cobbs Hill Dr
Rochester, NY 14610-2819
theothersight@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Kuehner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Kuehner
2250 Orchard St
Blue Island, IL 60406-1636
markjkuehner@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William G Gonzalez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William G Gonzalez
200 Dashew Dr Apt A15
Suffern, NY 10901-4289
wgonzalezgarcia@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lucille Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lucille Smith
1724 Hopkins Ave
Norwood, OH 45212-2810
ld_fern@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marian Nejedlik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marian Nejedlik
19578 Drake Rd
Strongsville, OH 44149-6828
nejed4@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [S. Canetta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

S. Canetta
1865 211th St
Bayside, NY 11360-1848
nightbird139@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert W. Rhodes, III](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Rhodes, III
PO Box 355
Mercersburg, PA 17236-0355
sycamorespringsfarms@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Larissa Huge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Larissa Huge
91 Hickory St
Rochester, NY 14620-1333
larissahuge@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peter McCumber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peter McCumber
3 Millan St
Westover, WV 26501-4321
peter.mccumber@mail.wvu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Stringer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Stringer
2415 Northwest Blvd
Columbus, OH 43221-3828
bsbkcats@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [A I](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

A I
3361 Parker Rd
Avoca, NY 14809-9553
aalisbin@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Greytock](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Greytock
648 Hillside Ave
Langhorne, PA 19047-5549
john.greytock@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Markman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Markman
690 Western St
Hoffman Est, IL 60169-3025
cabinfeverbabe@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maureen Madigan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maureen Madigan
3435 Giles Pl Apt 6h
Bronx, NY 10463-4338
mkmadigan@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ross Kardon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ross Kardon
226 W Rittenhouse Sq Apt 3112
Philadelphia, PA 19103-5762
quantmlp71@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dorothy Sconzo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Sconzo
111 Cowie Rd
Commack, NY 11725-2208
dorothyctk@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gary Briddick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gary Briddick
21135 Sterling Lake Ct
Crest Hill, IL 60403-1982
irritate1945@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessica Munton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessica Munton
Columbia
Columbia, IL 62236
bluedragon20@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tina Durakov](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tina Durakov
2043 Boyd St
Bethlehem, PA 18017-5331
thruvet74@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Skirbunt-Kozabo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Skirbunt-Kozabo
4530 Crossgate Rd
Chester, VA 23831-4305
skozabo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gloria Pelaez-Shea](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gloria Pelaez-Shea
1009 Pennsylvania Ave
Island Park, NY 11558-1913
inescorpio@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Guier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Guier
255 W 108th St
New York, NY 10025-2976
rsguier444@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mari smet](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mari smet
7420 91st Ave
Woodhaven, NY 11421-2822
litayu@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matthew Janusauskas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matthew Janusauskas
415 Spring Park Loop
Bourbonnais, IL 60914-4986
mjanusauskas@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Martin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Martin
5403 Hepatica Ct
Louisville, KY 40258-2271
debbie.martin584@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [laura nektar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

laura nektar
7 Mill Pond Rd
Stony Brook, NY 11790-1800
lnektar@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kris Henk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kris Henk
Meeting House Lane
Merion Station, PA 19066
krishenk@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Grae Essig](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Grae Essig
153 Cramer Rd
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603-6311
olegraemare@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Corinne Italiano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Corinne Italiano
15 Cherry Ln
Lynbrook, NY 11563-4140
chanel_co@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Hopkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Hopkins
12 Bennett Ln Unit F
Norwalk, OH 44857-2642
nosignificancewhatsoever@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kenneth Vinciguerra](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Vinciguerra
1573 E 118th St Apt E
Cleveland, OH 44106-4448
kvince@modex.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jay Rose](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jay Rose
4932 Frishman Ct
Woodbridge, VA 22193-3239
jayarose49@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Evelyn O Keefe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Evelyn O Keefe
55 N Broadway Apt 3-16
White Plains, NY 10601-1646
evoksm@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robin Anne Casalta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robin Anne Casalta
403 Canterbury Rd
Bushkill, PA 18324-7777
rcasalta61@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Raymond Nuesch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Raymond Nuesch
4555 Catterton Rd
Free Union, VA 22940-1902
renuesch@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heidi Echternacht](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heidi Echternacht
15 W Ferry St
New Hope, PA 18938-1351
heidiechternacht@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Neubeck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Neubeck
1121 Montgomery Ave Apt 325
Staunton, VA 24401-3959
neubeckk7@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [MARYANN STEVENS](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

MARYANN STEVENS
8554 Moore Rd
Indianapolis, IN 46278-1248
masliver@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Streeter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:10:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Streeter
63 Hackberry Cir
Shepherdstown, WV 25443-4018
oldshaman@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [laurel witting](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

laurel witting
PO Box 98
Tivoli, NY 12583-0098
laurelwitting@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judy Roland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judy Roland
10801 Taylor Lake Rd
Goshen, KY 40026-9729
judyroland@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Duckworth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Duckworth
1363 Norris Dr
Columbus, OH 43224-2737
lduckworth@efcts.us

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lygea San Pedro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lygea San Pedro
13460 Cedar Creek Hts
Middlebury, IN 46540-8671
untmdheart@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gerald Hassett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gerald Hassett
4115 44th St
Sunnyside, NY 11104-2263
popeofv@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Black](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Black
31 Silver Birch Dr
Rochester, NY 14624-1526
pablank00@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nathan Valles](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nathan Valles
5001 High Line Rd
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-3302
shiho20722@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Arthur Kendy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Arthur Kendy
142 W End Ave Apt 281
New York, NY 10023-6123
oscky@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Waindle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Waindle
1751 W 95th Pl
Chicago, IL 60643-1207
bwaindle@sbcgloabl.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bert Harrison](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bert Harrison
21884 Auten Rd
South Bend, IN 46628-9580
harrison.bert@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Rutherford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Rutherford
1413 Dogwood Rd
Staunton, VA 24401-3412
richardrutherford@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessica Cresseveur](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessica Cresseveur
2834 Charlestown Rd Apt 6
New Albany, IN 47150-2593
jmccress@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [mary cellucci](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

mary cellucci
56 James Rd
Broomall, PA 19008-1413
cellucci31@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vikki Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vikki Jones
34 cheslyn close
Luton, NY 12345
vikkijones43@yahoo.co.uk

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Constance Plumley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Constance Plumley
37 Byers Ave Apt 608
Akron, OH 44302-1330
cp!umley09@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Hogan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hogan
151 Laurel Heights Rd
Landenberg, PA 19350-9306
hogansrus@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laurie Ogle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laurie Ogle
3749 E County Road 375 N
Greencastle, IN 46135-8030
ogle_8@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marlene Todd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marlene Todd
1866 Annalane Dr
Streetsboro, OH 44241-1727
marlenetodd67@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diania Kelley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diania Kelley
504 James St
Bridgeport, WV 26330-1338
ddair@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eddie Utrata](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eddie Utrata
236 Flower City Park
Rochester, NY 14615-3613
eddie.utrata@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Mock](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Mock
334 E Park Ave
Barberton, OH 44203-3339
2klmockingbird@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sylvia Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Brown
140 Rose Dr
Granville, OH 43023-1085
browns@denison.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Prushinski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Prushinski
122 Falcon Dr
Larksville, PA 18704-1607
lflyers27@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [jean sommer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I write to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

jean sommer
8212 Brookside Rd
Independence, OH 44131-6439
jeansommer123@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Marie VanWinkle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Marie VanWinkle
202 Acorn Run Ln
Bedford, VA 24523-1148
jean_pawsforgod@jetbroadband.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angela Galliher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angela Galliher
3515 Wild Ivy Dr
Indianapolis, IN 46227-9749
agalliher0111@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole Hilkovitch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole Hilkovitch
317 Alexandria Dr
Vernon Hills, IL 60061-1724
njt.h@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Brown
PO Box 47
Tennessee, IL 62374-0047
rebecca_brown5@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jon Hendricks](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jon Hendricks
37 Evergreen Ter
Uniontown, PA 15401-5517
jhendrix41@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue Covello](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue Covello
7124 Queen St
Kearneysville, WV 25430-5601
covello1@citlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bob Roach](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bob Roach
914 Ivy St
Pittsburgh, PA 15232-2645
bobroach11@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Comer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Comer
323 Bauserman Rd
Mount Jackson, VA 22842-2728
cjcomer1@shentel.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shelley Robison](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shelley Robison
1212 Cicada St
Blacklick, OH 43004-8380
srobison7372@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracey Mangus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracey Mangus
1420 4th Ave
Ford City, PA 16226-1310
daveandtracey@windstream.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Burks](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Burks
1826 Big Ridge Dr
East Stroudsburg, PA 18302-6835
mburks952@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Post](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Post
1616 Winslow Dr
Erie, PA 16509-2646
kpost811@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Emmy Troise](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Emmy Troise
6561 Parsons Blvd
Flushing, NY 11365-2440
etroise86@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Hatcher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hatcher
152Greenridge Ave
White Plains, NY 10605
vhatcher@prodigy.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeff Mann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeff Mann
708 Prospect Ave
Pulaski, VA 24301-3632
hintonheathcliff@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lorraine Dumas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Dumas
2681 Trailwood Ln
Lexington, KY 40511-8841
spanishlo@twc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Daniels](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Daniels
7563 Belle Grae Dr
Manassas, VA 20109-6416
pdaniels1098@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [D.M](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

D M
Enon Rd
Enon, PA 16120 1805
geoff_danielle_miller@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Didrichsen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Didrichsen
229 W 16th St Apt 1a
New York, NY 10011-6038
sdidrichsen@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Naomi Lehman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Naomi Lehman
3960 54th St Apt 9g
Woodside, NY 11377-4221
omileh@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amalie R Rothschild](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amalie R Rothschild
135 Hudson St Apt 1f
New York, NY 10013-3073
agora@amalierothschild.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristi Shupp-George](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristi Shupp-George
117 North Ave
Chambersburg, PA 17201-8924
klshupp@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Herman Villamizar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Herman Villamizar
66 Cypress Ln E
Westbury, NY 11590-5744
hervillamizar@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [MARY ANN PETERSON](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

MARY ANN PETERSON
3608 S Winchester Ave
Chicago, IL 60609-1232
map10@ntrs.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alan Harper](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alan Harper
3330 Warner Rd
Richmond, VA 23225-1353
rayeharper34@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Art Shervs](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:11:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Art Shervs
302 Windsor Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11218-1259
lodmidiquail@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [tom prue](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

tom prue
22 Oak St
Auburn, NY 13021-5225
prue13021@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nell McBride](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nell McBride
20 W Durham St
Philadelphia, PA 19119-2407
nelliemcb@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nola Zito & Family](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As your constituents, We are writing to express our concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nola Zito & Family
132 Clubhouse Cir
Melville, NY 11747-4277
nzit123@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [dagmar mclaughlin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

ISN'T OHIO A REPUBLICAN STATE.....OF COARSE IT WOULD BE POLLUTED ALREADY.....REPUBLICANS DON'T GIVE A SHIT ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENT.....STRANGE THOUGH DON'T THEIR FAMILIES RELY ON CLEAN WATER, CLEAN AIR AND A SAFE ENVIRONMENT.....I GUESS REPUBLICAN FAMILIES DON'T BREATHE OR DRINK.....RIGHT.....THIS PARTY AND ADMINISTRATION WILL BE NOTED IN THE HISTORY BOOKS AS THE WORST ON RECORD FOR THE ENVIRONMENT.....

.As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

dagmar mclaughlin
862 N Martin Dr
Palatine, IL 60067-2026
dagmarmcl@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [steven smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

steven smith
13234 Birkenhead St
Carmel, IN 46032-7099
mad1097@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Claire Leavitt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Claire Leavitt
400 Triphammer Rd
Ithaca, NY 14850-2539
claire.leavitt@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Meredith Needham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Meredith Needham
815 Burg St
Granville, OH 43023-1079
pianohag@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Howard Frazer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Howard Frazer
18 Dieber Rd
Schwenksville, PA 19473-1846
tim.frazer@esis.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Thompson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Thompson
2874 Amy Dr
South Park, PA 15129-8955
mcact8@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Niemiec](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Niemiec
PO Box 1
Lisle, NY 13797-0001
rniece0034@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Liz Heim](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Liz Heim
424 W Washington Ave Apt C
Lake Bluff, IL 60044-1801
lizzielbluff@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Jacob](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Jacob
6949 Gordon Blvd
Burlington, KY 41005-6501
susanjacob@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Molly Andersen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Molly Andersen
333 Cook St
Savanna, IL 61074-1593
jetloft@centurylink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [V Evan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

V Evan
1335 W Rosedale Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-3490
agadog@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Davis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Davis
4308 Lori Dr
Rockford, IL 61114-7279
lisalmd2@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele Rosen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele Rosen
107 W 27th St
New York, NY 10001-6213
mrosen@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Walls](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Walls
171 Old Hickory Rd
New Castle, PA 16102-2321
trskcam@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Sutherland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Sutherland
400 Chambers St Apt 24d
New York, NY 10282-1018
missypms1996@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [A I](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

A I
3361 Parker Rd
Avoca, NY 14809-9553
aalisbin@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [johnm chepulis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

johnm chepulis
15 Franklin Ave
Flourtown, PA 19031-2005
jbchep@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bridget Lynch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bridget Lynch
4233 W Lake Rd
Mayville, NY 14757-9618
bcl90@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Butler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Butler
1110 S Alves St
Henderson, KY 42420-4018
littlegrove1110@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Samantha Gitman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Samantha Gitman
1705 Whitpain Hls
Blue Bell, PA 19422-1363
samantha.gitman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Reens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Reens
8 Flo Dr
Syosset, NY 11791-3904
lar3010@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brian Resh](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brian Resh
1153 Marticville Rd
Pequea, PA 17565-9003
abrianlcwc067@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Collins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Collins
100 Washington Dr
New Hartford, NY 13413-1020
jshadow1048@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alexa Ross](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Don't End Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Since you represent me I urge you to oppose proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. Our health is at stake.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is wrong. Instead we need to continue to clean up and restore the Ohio River.

Did you know that the Ohio is the most polluted rivers in the country? . Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alexa Ross
763 Poplar St
Nelsonville, OH 45764-1440
ross.alex@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Bullock](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Bullock
609 Clarence Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-1303
bullcat1980@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Isabel Sena](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Isabel Sena
34-38 85th Street, Jackson Heights, NY 11372,
Jackson Heights, NY 11372
sena_isabel@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gloria Paz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gloria Paz
238 Kings Hwy
Amherst, NY 14226-4432
gloworm42@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Rogers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Rogers
1000 Sand St
Brier Hill, NY 13614-3136
brogers1000@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Owen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Owen
2606 Creston Ave SW
Roanoke, VA 24015-4314
professorwco@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mara Wolfgang](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mara Wolfgang
541 W Ellet St
Philadelphia, PA 19119-3436
maraiscot@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carla Fournier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:12:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carla Fournier
530 S State St Apt 201w
Westerville, OH 43081-2945
sugarberries@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alyssa Freeman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Freeman
1241 Gaskins Rd Apt B7
Henrico, VA 23238-5224
afreeman5725@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annie Fernald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annie Fernald
S Bedford Rd
Pound Ridge, NY 10576
alabouty@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [angela louden](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

angela louden
PO Box 33072
Louisville, KY 40232-3072
angelliz1968@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [marjorie bidner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

marjorie bidner
8415 102nd St Fl 2
Richmond Hill, NY 11418-1118
margbidner@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alisa Frank](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alisa Frank
1292 Cobblers Crossing Ct
Elgin, IL 60120-5047
afrank2462@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frank Fredenburg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frank Fredenburg
11 Arrow Ln
Albrightsville, PA 18210-3668
flf1955@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessica Thompson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessica Thompson
360 Cabrini Blvd Apt 6o
New York, NY 10040-3616
violajess20@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cherie Kraft](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cherie Kraft
830 Applegate Ave
West Chicago, IL 60185-1990
cheriekraft@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Seegott](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Seegott
15281 Main Market Rd
Burton, OH 44021-9618
mseegott@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cindy Steinmetz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cindy Steinmetz
12109 Valley Brook Ct
Indianapolis, IN 46229-9722
cnsteinmetz123@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karin Price](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karin Price
1985 Upper Ridge Rd
Green Lane, PA 18054-9400
karinprice@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Hatfield](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my very deep concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

We do not survive without clean waterways!

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Hatfield
850 E Berwyn St
Indianapolis, IN 46203-5113
chatfield@uindy.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcie Walter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcie Walter
2011 Fall Hill Ave
Fredericksburg, VA 22401-3459
mhontz@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mike Fegan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mike Fegan
150 Main St
Nanuet, NY 10954-2803
mikefegan@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katherine Doherty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katherine Doherty
33900 McAfee Dr
Solon, OH 44139-1726
doherty@mindspring.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Guthrie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Guthrie
143 Pine Crest Ln
Bluemont, VA 20135-4603
gar22205@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mitzi Deitch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mitzi Deitch
13 Bellwood Dr
Langhorne, PA 19053-7216
mitzi_19053_2001@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Virginia Steiger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:13:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Virginia Steiger
26796 Redwood Dr
Olmsted Falls, OH 44138-2564
ocoginny@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Claire Gadbois](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:15:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Claire Gadbois
2650 N Lakeview Ave Apt 2008
Chicago, IL 60614-1820
bobclarg@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Claudia Devinney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:15:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Claudia Devinney
6786 Westview Dr
Perry, NY 14530-9763
tippynine@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dennis Olsen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:15:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dennis Olsen
4070 Whispering Trails Dr
Hoffman Est, IL 60192-1559
hesdman@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Field](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:15:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Field
426 Morris Rd
Covington, KY 41011-1840
mfield621@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Meiberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:15:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Meiberg
530 S 2nd St Apt 604
Philadelphia, PA 19147-2423
lmeiberg@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Gettes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Gettes
1109 Stratford Ave
Elkins Park, PA 19027-3028
cgettes@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Juliana Flint](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Juliana Flint
1328 Pine Dr
Bay Shore, NY 11706-3822
juli.flint525@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nora Nelle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nora Nelle
20 Norsham Way
Collegeville, PA 19426-3981
nnelle@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steve & Nancy Gould](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steve & Nancy Gould
94 Christopher St Apt 3
New York, NY 10014-0683
gould.sa@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Meadows](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Meadows
10307 W Broad St
Glen Allen, VA 23060-6716
william.meadows13@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ann Wise](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ann Wise
850 N Dewitt Pl
Chicago, IL 60611-2361
wise_ann@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Justine King](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Justine King
444 E 75th St Apt 7c
New York, NY 10021-3444
justineking@netscape.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ilse Ziemann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ilse Ziemann
905 Highland Ln
Glenview, IL 60025-3916
ilseziem@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ray Nuesch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ray Nuesch
4555 Catterton Rd
Free Union, VA 22940-1902
renuesch@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Betty Pierce](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Betty Pierce
621 Shadyside Dr
West Mifflin, PA 15122-3228
bpierce42@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [LouAnn Matz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

LouAnn Matz
4356 Spring Garden Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-1161
matzlouann@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Ferguson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Ferguson
401 Newgate Ct Apt B
Bensalem, PA 19020-6111
cindyferguson47@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brooke Kane](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brooke Kane
6102 Franklin Park Rd
Mclean, VA 22101-4232
brooke.kane@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Pinto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Pinto
808 Conchester Hwy Apt 203
Marcus Hook, PA 19061-2332
lindapinto@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Eufinger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Eufinger
6001 Saint Regis Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45236-4217
je.quilt@schleswig-holstein.de

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frances Seth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frances Seth
2323 Central Park Ave
Evanston, IL 60201-1809
francesseth@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alisha BeGell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alisha BeGell
90 E Lamoka Ave
Savona, NY 14879-9714
abegell@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steven Zserai](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steven Zserai
PO Box 987
Jonestown, PA 17038-0987
szserai@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Loretta Kerns](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Loretta Kerns
105 Brent Pl
Cortland, OH 44410-1300
ret105@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Casey Larsh](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Casey Larsh
1713 S Buffstone Ct
Bloomington, IN 47401-7703
caseylarsh@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mar Carr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mar Carr
1429 120th St
College Point, NY 11356-1622
mtcarrera@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Bodenhorn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Bodenhorn
6125 Spring Knoll Dr
Harrisburg, PA 17111-6804
mdbodenhorn@ship.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue Wagner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:16:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue Wagner
668 S 82nd St
Harrisburg, PA 17111-5532
sue.wagner@tsr-inc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Shingleton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Shingleton
429 E Poplar St
Sidney, OH 45365-2752
skye-girl@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Kovacs](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Kovacs
3114 Brookview Blvd
Parma, OH 44134-1355
smkovacs325@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Harry White](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Harry White
1322 Fletcher St
Anderson, IN 46016-1843
hwwhite2@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Field](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Field
426 Morris Rd
Ft Wright, KY 41011-1840
mfield621@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gregory Murray](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gregory Murray
PO Box 1318
Philadelphia, PA 19105-1318
gregchileanking@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole KNAUBER](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole KNAUBER
610 Lancer Ct
Depew, NY 14043-1379
noclue1182@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Cody](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. Key word here being responsibility, failing that the new key word will be accountability.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Cody
1220 W Chase Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-2224
oldeparse@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lily Bushman-Copp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lily Bushman-Copp
716 Broadway
New York, NY 10003-9517
lilybushmancopp@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mike DellaPenna](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mike DellaPenna
2 Fairway Dr
Malvern, PA 19355-1519
mdellapenna@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Hlis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Hlis
4313 Central Ave
Western Springs, IL 60558-1333
mhlis23@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Artis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Artis
339 Lincoln Pkwy
Buffalo, NY 14216-3120
artis4@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele Smith
25 Plaza St W
Brooklyn, NY 11217-3950
michelesmithflute@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Kittelson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Kittelson
2217 Avalon Dr
Buffalo Grove, IL 60089-4685
paulkittelson@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shirley Best](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Enough is enough!!

Sincerely,

Shirley Best
155 S Illinois St
Hobart, IN 46342-4511
molly1buster2@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [james badagliacca](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

james badagliacca
6120 Grand Central Pkwy Apt B106
Forest Hills, NY 11375-1239
jbadag5448@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Yvonne Pratt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Pratt
2 Frank St
East Patchogue, NY 11772-5908
mindandbody@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Rothenberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Rothenberg
1438 Stone Hill Rd
Warren, PA 16365-5401
sandramr@penn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marianne Flanagan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:17:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marianne Flanagan
1714 E Forest Ave
Des Plaines, IL 60018-1642
marianneflanagan@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Anne Tanner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:18:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Anne Tanner
316 W 79th St Apt 7e
New York, NY 10024-6127
maptanner@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Chudzik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:18:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Chudzik
24635 Claeshire Dr
North Olmsted, OH 44070-3524
untamott@aim.com

From: [Joy Jamison](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: I strongly object to Orsanco turning over responsibility for the Ohio River to the states
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:25:07 PM

Kentucky will let the whole thing go in the toilet so for the sake of those who live here in KY, PLEASE do not turn over ANY responsibility to the state. Thank you.

Joy Jamison, 5311 Bartonfield Lane, Louisville KY 40219

--

If a language for God is exclusively male and a certain kind of image of what power means, it's certainly an incomplete picture of God. ... We can't define God. We can say something profoundly true about God, but the mystery we dare to call God is always bigger than anything we can imagine.

---Chicago Episcopal Bishop Jeffrey Lee

From: [Steve Malafy](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:06 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Steve Malafy
280 Centerville Harp Rd
French Creek, WV 26218
3044601511

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lee Canel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lee Canel
2124 Birchwood Ave
Wilmette, IL 60091-2306
leecanel@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bernard Brita](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bernard Brita
705 Elnora Dr
Fort Wayne, IN 46825-4525
bjbrita@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Liss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Liss
901 80th St
Brooklyn, NY 11228-2643
cynliss88@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracey Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracey Smith
PO Box 270
Celoron, NY 14720-0270
buffalo87train@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Ridgeway](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Ridgeway
842 N Sumner Ave
Scranton, PA 18504-1545
w.ridgeway@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ll Dyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ll Dyer
6604 10th St
Alexandria, VA 22307-6604
melizabeth.dyer@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Hein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Hein
245 Evans St Apt 4
Buffalo, NY 14221-5554
dhein1120@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Teresa Roach](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Teresa Roach
7761 Tree Lake Blvd
Powell, OH 43065-7963
animallover4424@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tanja Rieger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tanja Rieger
Ilsahl
Nms, VA 24536
gracecherry@web.de

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [janice farmer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

janice farmer
5749 Yarmouth Ave
Toledo, OH 43623-1644
whiskersandpaws05@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [joey soboson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

joey soboson
1651 Briarcliffe Blvd
Wheaton, IL 60189-8389
jsoboson@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kimberley Fisher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:39:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kimberley Fisher
208 Veach Gap Rd
Fort Valley, VA 22652-1842
klfajf1@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rae Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rae Brown
478 S Avon Ave
Avon, IN 46123-8486
brownrl_1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rich Surdyk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rich Surdyk
2059 Plainview Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15226-1334
rich.surdyk@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rob Seelman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rob Seelman
4383 Peterboro St
Vernon, NY 13476-3617
robs315@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edythe Ann Quinn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edythe Ann Quinn
903 County Highway 3
Unadilla, NY 13849-2151
quinne@hartwick.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [dr thomas AMBROSIA](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

dr thomas AMBROSIA
474 Ridge Rd
Benton, PA 17814-8115
tambrosia@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Tomlinson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Tomlinson
4363 W Lawn Ave
Gurnee, IL 60031-3414
mary.tomlinson@email.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dawn Vicknair](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dawn Vicknair
20 5th Ave
New York, NY 10011-8831
dmvicknair@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gina Stiff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gina Stiff
5418 Center St
Williamsburg, VA 23188-2990
uvamomma@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Tucker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Tucker
111 1/2 4th Ave
Warren, PA 16365-2315
robbybob2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dorothy Weber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Weber
10 King Rd
Kings Park, NY 11754-3019
greytabbycat@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Mark Munday](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Mark Munday
525 Plum Run Rd
New Oxford, PA 17350-7013
mmunday@mica.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Megan Wolfe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Megan Wolfe
4091 Sr 438
Dalton, PA 18414-9062
wolfe2561@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Betty Anderson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

How could you even consider allowing pollution to enter the Ohio River? This truly effects the very heart of our nation by flowing into the Mississippi River!

Sincerely,

Betty Anderson
1043 Nutwood St
Bowling Green, KY 42103-2413
bwabg@bellsouth.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Manookian](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Manookian
14905 Crestview Ln
Mineral, VA 23117-9616
lakelifejw@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Bond](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Bond
1500 Crain St
Evanston, IL 60202-1147
david.bond@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joshua Heffron](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joshua Heffron
1277
NYC, NY 10021
pirate@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rachel Sheffet](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rachel Sheffet
21 Fairview Ave
Tuckahoe, NY 10707-4151
paisleyred2@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Serena Klempin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Serena Klempin
27 Kemble Ave
Cold Spring, NY 10516-2902
s.klempin@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Carroll](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Carroll
59 Armando Rd
Cold Spring, NY 10516-3500
jcaroll@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joan Chiarello](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joan Chiarello
24 Tudor Rd
Hicksville, NY 11801-5327
joanchiarello@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathy Stegman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathy Stegman
8748 Old Indian Hill Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45243-3724
cathystegman@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Penny Lambert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Penny Lambert
533 W Taylor St
Huntington, IN 46750-3762
pennylambert@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [meredith beck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

meredith beck
1104 W 72nd St
Indianapolis, IN 46260-4039
mmbeck223@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alyssa Darlington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Darlington
1445 Bruton Parish Way
Fairfield, OH 45014-4535
ab011400@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Shattuck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Shattuck
7311 E 200 S
Mill Creek, IN 46365-9701
eandlshattuck@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Corey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Corey
2724 Merrimac Blvd
Toledo, OH 43606-3642
bonnieliz57@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mike Norwood](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mike Norwood
750 Shore Rd
Apt 4o
Long Beach, NY 11561-4788
rsoxmn@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diana Hollister](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diana Hollister
430 Elmgrove Rd
Rochester, NY 14606-3426
dlhollister@twc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lowell Palm](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lowell Palm
419 5th St
Washington Court House, OH 43160-2510
evrettbgo@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Herten](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Herten
16002 Woodbury Ave
Cleveland, OH 44135-4230
magghert@prodigy.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Hugg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Hugg
530 N 6th St
Charleston, IL 61920-1139
hugg_72@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Liane Pei](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Liane Pei
434 E 52nd St
New York, NY 10022-6487
lianepei@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carole DeSmedt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carole DeSmedt
1057 Highland Rd
Newtown, PA 18940-2803
cadrfd@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Larisa Long](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:40:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Larisa Long
10621 Shelley Ct
Woodstock, IL 60098-7821
larisa.long@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [teri mckee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

teri mckee
626 Aiken Rd
Shelbyville, KY 40065-7706
teri.mckee@honeywell.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Denise Scotto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Denise Scotto
320 W 56th St Apt 6c
New York, NY 10019-4228
denise.scotto@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole Luciani](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole Luciani
500 Driggs Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11211-9349
nicoleluciani@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cory Kirchert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cory Kirchert
7599 Aspenpark Rd
Lorton, VA 22079-4508
ckirchert@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edna Litten](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. While I do not live near the Ohio River, I believe that protecting all of our nation's waters from pollution is important.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edna Litten
PO Box 48
Altamont, NY 12009-0048
ejlitten@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Clare Halloran](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Clare Halloran
336 Richbell Rd
Mamaroneck, NY 10543-3263
challor335@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marguerite Juliusson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marguerite Juliusson
1658 N Orchard St
Chicago, IL 60614-5114
mj@mjcompanies.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Everett Sparks](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Everett Sparks
4123 E US Highway 136
Pittsboro, IN 46167-9046
dblees@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Berte Rosin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Berte Rosin
25 Cassin Hill Rd
Garnet Valley, PA 19060-1338
barosin@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Chowdhry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Chowdhry
2204 Greenbrier Dr
Charlottesville, VA 22901-2919
carol_chowdhry@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Lamantia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Lamantia
4800 N Ashland Ave
Chicago, IL 60640-3413
johnlamantia74@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Kollar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Kollar
29035 Brockway Dr
Westlake, OH 44145-5212
slkollar@wowway.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David O'Grady](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David O'Grady
42 Carrie Ct
Niskayuna, NY 12309-2239
bal7ance@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Lagana](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Lagana
24 Narwood Ave
Merrick, NY 11566-3924
mplhistorydoc@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric Dougherty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric Dougherty
1239 Pine Ridge Dr
Perkiomenville, PA 18074-9458
edoc_4@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elana Levinson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elana Levinson
7025 Yellowstone Blvd Apt 14k
Forest Hills, NY 11375-3174
gypsyrose.el@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristin Konstanty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristin Konstanty
3385 Carolina St
Columbus, IN 47203-2806
kkonstanty@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Garland Hicks](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Garland Hicks
702 Washington St
Valparaiso, IN 46383-4217
gary.hicks@valpo.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tammy Weaver](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tammy Weaver
130 E Alcott Rd
Columbus, OH 43207-3007
brokebuthappy007@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alex Betser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alex Betser
1800 Avenue L
Brooklyn, NY 11230-4463
alex.betser@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [elliott pliner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

elliott pliner
500 W 21st St
New York, NY 10011-2876
epliner@epliner.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Rakowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Clean water is vital, I live near Toledo, Ohio and am very aware of this.

Sincerely,

Linda Rakowski
871 Sandalwood Rd W
Perrysburg, OH 43551-3226
jrakowski@bex.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tim Charbonneau](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tim Charbonneau
200 Margaret St
Plattsburgh, NY 12901-1614
timbcw@sympatico.ca

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rev. J. Coughlin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rev. J. Coughlin
2913 N Wales Rd
Norristown, PA 19403-4254
jcmagick@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Louise Wagner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Louise Wagner
4981 W State St
Coopersburg, PA 18036-1336
thegardendear@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Juyne Triplett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Juyne Triplett
245 Saddler Rd
Bay Village, OH 44140-1113
juyne55@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deb Schwer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deb Schwer
2138 N Millstone Dr
Lake Villa, IL 60046-5934
deb12572002@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Jacobsen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Jacobsen
4071 Calkins Rd
Youngstown, NY 14174-9703
kejacobsen@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Martha Mitchell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Martha Mitchell
3215 Grove Ave
Richmond, VA 23221-2815
memitchell38@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Duckwall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Duckwall
4488 Highway 421 S
Bedford, KY 40006-8794
karenduckwall@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Bruno](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Bruno
84 Scudder Pl
Northport, NY 11768-3025
lbruno10@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Smith
7305 Reservation Dr
Springfield, VA 22153-1326
smithjanetearl@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marjorie Chapman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Chapman
3432 Ridge Rd
Highland, IN 46322-2048
mghille@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nina Garza](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nina Garza
2317 N Karlov Ave
Chicago, IL 60639-3705
j_p_garza@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Clare McCanna](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Clare McCanna
21 1st Ave
New York, NY 10003-9404
csnail@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Davina Norton Plummer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Davina Norton Plummer
19975 Palmer Classic Pkwy
Ashburn, VA 20147-5202
deabsolute@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alfred Klosterman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alfred Klosterman
3550 Stouton St
Philadelphia, PA 19134-2026
alfklost@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christopher Riff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christopher Riff
2626 N Lakeview Ave
Chicago, IL 60614-6173
chrisriff@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Bruckman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Bruckman
421 Anglesey Ter
West Chester, PA 19380-1178
dancingbob2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bob Rushford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bob Rushford
538 Race Pl
Oakdale, NY 11769-1724
bluesharpbob@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [GEORGANN RICHARD](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

GEORGANN RICHARD
4522 Sunnydale Blvd
Erie, PA 16509-1653
gmr51@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Quentin Fischer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Quentin Fischer
2514 Sharmar Rd
Roanoke, VA 24018-2625
fischerq@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Colston](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Colston
603 Wooster Pike
Terrace Park, OH 45174-1037
laura.colston@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frederick Tuck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frederick Tuck
47 Celt Rd
Stanardsville, VA 22973-1454
tuck_saxman@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Emily Seay](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:41:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Emily Seay
6 Fuller Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11215-6007
seayemil@msu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Unger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Unger
5559 N Meadows Blvd
Columbus, OH 43229-4180
prairieroots@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alice Tucker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alice Tucker
302 E Broadway
Long Beach, NY 11561-4303
alaskachic93@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Finzer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Finzer
3029 Roselawn Blvd
Louisville, KY 40220-2531
cfinzer@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Todd Clark](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Todd Clark
6785 E 16th St
Indianapolis, IN 46219-2607
tbradyclark@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Anderson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Anderson
203 Forrest Ave
Narberth, PA 19072-1804
robins45@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Luckey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Luckey
124 E 72nd St
New York, NY 10021-4231
wluckey@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anna Shaughnessy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anna Shaughnessy
2529 State Route 534 S
Geneva, OH 44041-7671
anamar325@windstream.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Tunstall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Tunstall
13925 South Springs Dr
Clifton, VA 20124-2453
ktandme@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Torres](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Torres
26 Hillside Rd
Carmel, NY 10512-6049
dstor26@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Therese Walker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Therese Walker
203 Hetcheltown Rd
Glenville, NY 12302-5854
grammtess@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzette Ippolito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzette Ippolito
210 20th St
Pittsburgh, PA 15215-2704
rabbit7278@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Glenn Frantz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Glenn Frantz
27 E Central Ave
Paoli, PA 19301-1353
frantz.glenn@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [L Lira](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

L Lira
6821 Beechtree Ln
Falls Church, VA 22042-4007
pl2twins@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lorraine Moore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Moore
4427 Greenway Rd
Cleveland, OH 44121-3706
ltmooreandcats@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Flanz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Flanz
343 Maple St
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-3811
aflanz-cmr@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [P.Chakraborty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

P Chakraborty
1301 W Green St
Urbana, IL 61801-2938
pinaki@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shirley Sipos-Sassani](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shirley Sipos-Sassani
PO Box 509
Riverside, PA 17868-0509
anthroartrn@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Geoanne Brandt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Geoanne Brandt
2107 Swatara St
Harrisburg, PA 17104-2002
geoannebrandt@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marty Kenyon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marty Kenyon
2459 River Rd # 2
Middletown, PA 17057-5118
mkenyon@haponline.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [jenny Mottola](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

jenny Mottola
800 Elgin Rd
Evanston, IL 60201-5622
moppy1919@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Heller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Heller
120 W Wissahickon Ave
Flourtown, PA 19031-1802
369sbetty@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Brenner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Not only for the birds but for our children's sake please keep our water as clean as possible. As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Brenner
771 Blue Mountain Pkwy
Harrisburg, PA 17112-9622
mbrenner822@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Truman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Truman
419 3rd Ave SW
Beach City, OH 44608-9314
ladyrosedirty@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wendy Chrisman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wendy Chrisman
1418 Eastwood Ave
Columbus, OH 43203-1940
wchrisman@ccad.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Walton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Walton
9 Sunnycrest Dr
Cecil, PA 15321-1159
christine888@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roger Givens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:42:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roger Givens
3547 Bowling Green Rd
Morgantown, KY 42261-8258
rggivens@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dorothy Battle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Battle
6088 Brookline Ct
Lisle, IL 60532-2863
mombattle@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shanna Ryan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shanna Ryan
66 Scotland Rd
Hampton, VA 23663-1476
shannajryan@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Darren Strain](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Important: Please Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a conscientious constituent and taxpayer, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Darren Strain
4824 Shepherd Street
Brookhaven, PA 19015
strainteam@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [susan nowicki](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

susan nowicki
2124 Peters Dr
Woodridge, IL 60517-7570
nowickidands@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Landers-George](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Landers-George
15 Frevert Pl
Hicksville, NY 11801-4911
jonnawls@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Smith
445 Quaker Dr
York, PA 17402-4139
robasmith1@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brien Comerford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brien Comerford
1777 Dewes St
Glenview, IL 60025-4353
bjjcomerford@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Greg Navarro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Greg Navarro
187 Treaty Rd
Drexel Hill, PA 19026-5020
gnav11@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Saunders](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Saunders
10720 Hite Creek Rd
Louisville, KY 40241-1784
info@greatlakesbengalrescue.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Hernandez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Hernandez
9751 Kedvale Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-1121
bonniemlh@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wendy Kroeger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wendy Kroeger
6707 Crossways Pl
Louisville, KY 40241-6519
waldenpo@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cindy Doty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cindy Doty
4910 Cross Key Dr Apt 302
South Lebanon, OH 45065-1181
cynthie44@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tom Watson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tom Watson
1616 Sandcastle Ln
Pingree Grove, IL 60140-2055
scootypuff@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vanessa seay](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vanessa seay
4232 Williamsport Dr
Beavercreek, OH 45430-1837
suzie1149@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Skandis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Skandis
26 Pondfield Rd W
Bronxville, NY 10708-2659
cskandis@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judy Farber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:43:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judy Farber
864 New Britton Rd
Carol Stream, IL 60188-4603
jzfarber@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ingrid Berman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:45:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ingrid Berman
1443 W Birchwood Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-1806
iib11@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paula Neville](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:45:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paula Neville
277 Pepperidge Dr
Rochester, NY 14626-1345
commonprayer28@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Badenhop](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:45:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Badenhop
9254 Audley End
Powell, OH 43065-7835
bbopkitty44@aol.cm

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Rybka](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Rybka
5646 W 88th Pl
Oak Lawn, IL 60453-1215
tattooshell@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eileen Reznicek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eileen Reznicek
1911 E Illinois St
Wheaton, IL 60187-5937
wayneileen@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kathleen.rengert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kathleen.rengert
PO Box 197
Unionville, PA 19375-0197
k2ees@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pippa Pearthree](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. Doesn't the opinion stated above make sense? It does to me. We really have to stop rolling back or discontinuing environmental policies and procedures. Our water and air must be cleaner. We have to take responsibility. Eliminate pollution control standards? No!

Sincerely,

Pippa Pearthree
625 Caton Ave Apt 1b
Brooklyn, NY 11218-2600
ppearthree@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tamara Austin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tamara Austin
22388 N Lopez Ln
Dix, IL 62830-2021
tlaust1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Lindgren](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Lindgren
12 Grace Ave
Plattsburgh, NY 12901-1411
lindgrjm@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nanette Ahrens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nanette Ahrens
8911 Hemphill Dr
Fort Wayne, IN 46819-2319
trub29@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brooke Kane](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brooke Kane
6102 Franklin Park Rd
Mclean, VA 22101-4232
brooke.kane@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Libbey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Libbey
379 Ripka St Apt 3b
Phila, PA 19128-4608
patricia.libbey@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cheryl Cook](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:46:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Cook
2864 M V Walk
Lexington, KY 40511
ladyty01@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Byrne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Byrne
2019b N Main St Apt 101b
Wheaton, IL 60187-3159
charles-byrne@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan LoFurno](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan LoFurno
1340 Klem Rd
Webster, NY 14580-8647
smooch18@twc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Guldan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Guldan
612 Delaware Ave
Erie, PA 16505-4602
edguldan@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Billy VINCENT](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Billy VINCENT
220 Woosley Dr
Smiths Grove, KY 42171-8284
radar91245@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [linda BURKE](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

linda BURKE
9 Goshen St
Deer Park, NY 11729-2320
lindamarie55@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Loretta Lehman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Loretta Lehman
54 Glutzshole Rd
Duncannon, PA 17020-9736
llehman@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [rich hofer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

rich hofer
14115 W Anne K Dr
Homer Glen, IL 60491-8579
hazelshome@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Sorce](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Sorce
361 Huntington Ave
Buffalo, NY 14214-1541
pixieisms@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Watson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Watson
4473 Emerson Rd
Cleveland, OH 44121-3927
watsonce@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Ann McFarland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann McFarland
1098 Pelham Dr
Keswick, VA 22947-2196
maryannmcfarland@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristof Bauwens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristof Bauwens
Herseltsesteenweg 5
Aarschot, NY 10000
kristofbauwens@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Midori Furutate](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:47:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Midori Furutate
200 Bennett Ave
New York, NY 10040-3814
blueingreen000@gmail.com

From: [John Niland](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on Pollution Control Standards
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 1:56:54 PM

TO: ORSANCO PCS committee & Commissioners

Friends, I am very much opposed to the proposed changes to the current Pollution Control Standards and feel that the language adopted in 2015 will better serve those in the Ohio River Basin. As I understand your mission, you are to protect and preserve those waters in the basin.

Abdicating to the states your role as protector of these valuable resources is a mistake. ORSANCO standards provide a floor of regulation that states now must meet or exceed. Should ORSANCO abandon its role of protector, the water quality of the Ohio River basin will be left to states who are all too willing to minimize regulations in favor of expediency and special interest. There appears to be no interest in protecting these resources at the state or federal level.

Please do what you know is right for the long term health of the basin and those who live here.

Sincerely,

John Niland
Covington, KY

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Trisha Winn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Trisha Winn
1 Charlton Dr
Kankakee, IL 60901-5903
trishalwinn@aim.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steve Schueth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steve Schueth
3600 N Lake Shore Dr
Chicago, IL 60613-4684
stschueth@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joe Gasparovic](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joe Gasparovic
3151 Brookwood St
Harrisburg, PA 17111-1714
jgaspo1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Ellis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Ellis
174 Benner Rd
Red Hook, NY 12571-2706
shellis@frontiernet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susanna Heideman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susanna Heideman
5990 Almina Dr
Galloway, OH 43119-9299
susanna@columbus.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Spirakis Dziurka](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Spirakis Dziurka
22 Belmont Ave
Plainview, NY 11803-6022
jennspirakis@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Gonsalves](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Gonsalves
33 N Main St
Voorheesville, NY 12186-9206
meg62356@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maryann Simpson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maryann Simpson
10403 Chesterwood Dr
Spotsylvania, VA 22553-1671
celestialdreamweaver@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Lipsky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Lipsky
1385 York Ave
New York, NY 10021-3904
cslips@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeanne Capone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:09:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Capone
4155 Barnett St
Philadelphia, PA 19135-3011
unclealcaponejc@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Graves](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Graves
1009 Welton Ave
Richmond, VA 23224-3341
cgraves@hanoverfoils.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leana Citar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leana Citar
140 Belle Cir
Blue Bell, PA 19422-1438
lcitar@yahoo.it

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wesley Wolf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wesley Wolf
77 Waterview Ct
Lake Barrington, IL 60010-3893
cweswolf@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Audrey Huzenis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Audrey Huzenis
20 W 72nd St
New York, NY 10023-4100
ahuzenis@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Mate](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Mate
5130 Renee Lynn Cir
Stow, OH 44224-1681
karenmmate@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Randie Graham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Randie Graham
17 Downer Crescent
Wasaga Beach, NY 12345
wildrosecats@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Grgurich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Grgurich
2250 Riverbend Cir
Allentown, PA 18103-9680
rgrgurich@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beth Gelfond](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beth Gelfond
15 W 72nd St Apt 18v
New York, NY 10023-3457
bgelfond@nyc.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robin Shirley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robin Shirley
40 Clarmor St
Culloden, WV 25510-7268
bretmanandrobin@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Kistler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Kistler
25260 Chase Dr
North Olmsted, OH 44070-1221
andrew_kistler@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Friedmann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. Please be kind to all Animals and Cats Domestic, "Feral", big or small. Thank You
!!!

Sincerely,

Michael Friedmann
2619 Ponton Ave
Unit 253
Bronx, NY 10461-9425
mmtfriedman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jaime Morris](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jaime Morris
8986 West 00 N.S.
Kokomo, IN 46901
jaimor2010@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Harwell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Harwell
270 Jones Hill Road
Jefferson, NY 12093
bbjanet1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Daniel Kearney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Daniel Kearney
16 Main St Apt 2f
East Rockaway, NY 11518-2039
karnage71425@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katherine Sutton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As an 8 year former resident, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katherine Sutton
1229 Western Ave
Northbrook, IL 60062-4459
k14s@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [P. W.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

P. W.
60 W Walton St
Chicago, IL 60610-3305
wiberleypj@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jenseen Petersen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jenseen Petersen
Lakewood
Lakewood, PA 18439
jennypete1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Daniel Laemmerhirt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns and outrage regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial, and increasingly critical, to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Daniel Laemmerhirt
15 Dolphann Dr
Tonawanda, NY 14150-4607
dlaemmerhirt999@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Pipkin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Pipkin
2800 Point Harbor Ct
Chesapeake, VA 23321-3913
lisapp77@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ron Mallard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ron Mallard
1616 Waters Edge Ln
Reston, VA 20190-4228
ron.mallard1@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Rudd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Rudd
1 S Park St
Cambridge, NY 12816-1278
calj70@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Emily Budd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Emily Budd
20 Holbrooke St
Rochester, NY 14621-5114
ebudd101@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katlyn Grieve](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katlyn Grieve
1890 Silver Lake Ave
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44223-1752
kgrieve1@kent.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [jon hudson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

jon hudson
PO Box 710
Yellow Springs, OH 45387-0710
jon@hudsonsculpture.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [fran malsheimer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

fran malsheimer
PO Box 115
Lindenhurst, NY 11757-0115
fm1103@aol.co

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Evan Gangi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Evan Gangi
314 Herrick Rd
Riverside, IL 60546-2019
gangievan@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [frank mcginty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

frank mcginty
1570 The Fairway Apt 203
Jenkintown, PA 19046-1615
frankmcginty@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Michael](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Michael
1949 Ash St
Waukegan, IL 60087-5045
stargater01m@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carolyn Poole](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Poole
1328 N Winchester Dr
Greenfield, IN 46140-8055
dogdays4380@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vik H](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vik H
28 street
Astoria, NY 11102-2044
mrscreamo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cate Renner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cate Renner
250 Henry St
Dayton, OH 45403-2316
flamingpi6@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathryn Rodgers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Rodgers
306 Bellaire Rd
Avon Lake, OH 44012-1572
t_krogers@yahoo.cpm

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Liz Plummer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Liz Plummer
1132 Mews Ln
West Chester, PA 19382-2077
eep678@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Benasher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Benasher
1205 Keezletown Rd
Weyers Cave, VA 24486-2318
lbenasher@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Rehm](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Rehm
4192 Teakwood Dr
Williamsburg, VA 23188-7802
krehmhokie@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [S Hedgecoke](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

S Hedgecoke
711 E 11th St
New York, NY 10009-4232
kawiosta@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [c s](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

c s
218 W 104th St
New York, NY 10025-4242
crystals13@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rachel Collins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rachel Collins
349 Pilot St
Princeton, WV 24740-6234
keroppi86@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dianne Noblett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dianne Noblett
57 Brickyard Rd
Mechanicville, NY 12118-3319
deenoblett@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laraine Lebron](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laraine Lebron
6522 Stage Rd
Utica, NY 13502-6714
lstarwood1@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [VICTORIA KLEBAN](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

VICTORIA KLEBAN
3919 W 84th St
Chicago, IL 60652-3241
tyrolerbracke24@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa McNany](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa McNany
112 Harrison Ave
Butler, PA 16001-2029
lrm114@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Whitermore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Whitermore
2047 Huntington St
Bethlehem, PA 18017-4934
bwhitermore@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Sheridan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Sheridan
PO Box 542
Garrison, NY 10524-0542
c9144565413@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brian Bielema](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brian Bielema
21491 Lake Rd
Morrison, IL 61270-9371
timberrattlesnake@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [liza hamoy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

liza hamoy
227 Chisholm Pl
Fort Wayne, IN 46825-6572
mikai_77@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lori Esposito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lori Esposito
3421 Charleson St
Annandale, VA 22003-1609
lori_esposito@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Betty Goller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Betty Goller
15651 Susan Dr
Brookpark, OH 44142-3326
bettygoller@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Ann Wishnosky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Wishnosky
11210 Falmouth Cir
North Royalton, OH 44133-2994
maw7070@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amber Haseltine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amber Haseltine
242 Shorewood Dr
Glendale Heights, IL 60139-3771
amhaseltine@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rada Salomon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As an American, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River basin should be part of a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states, including New York, work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rada Salomon
25423 74th Ave
Glen Oaks, NY 11004-1105
salomonr@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynn Folsome](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

I can not believe that we have to beg you to keep the Pollution Control Standards in effect. Clean water is a fundamental need and right. We all need it being people or animals.

Thank you for taking the time to read this.

Sincerely,

Lynn Folsome
1125 Driftwood Ct Apt 724
Elk Grove Village, IL 60007-3763
lynnfolsome@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Wirtz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Wirtz
1109 Highland Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-2209
ewirtz527@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Denise Diaz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Denise Diaz
1740 W 104th Pl
Chicago, IL 60643-2800
denisead@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Timothy Beckman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Timothy Beckman
1 Columbus Pl
New York, NY 10019-8201
beckman.tim@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Richards](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Richards
19 Dockside Ln
Staten Island, NY 10308-3319
ldelfina1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J.WAGER](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J WAGER
73 Binninger Rd
Shushan, NY 12873-1902
coveredb@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maureen Liguori](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maureen Liguori
10 Belvoir Ct
Ridge, NY 11961-1710
maureen.liguori@ca.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dorothy Poppe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Poppe
3009 Graystone Manor Pkwy
Louisville, KY 40241-3100
dotpoppe@bellsouth.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rafael Albarran](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rafael Albarran
4924 141st St
Crestwood, IL 60418-2104
rafaelalbarran1978@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Doug Russell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Doug Russell
307 1/2 Garfield Ave
Endicott, NY 13760-5465
dodger2psu@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lenore Guthridge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lenore Guthridge
11500 Detroit Ave # Ave.711
Cleveland, OH 44102-2368
ravenhoney88@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Neil Freson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Neil Freson
26 Bitternell Ln
Henrietta, NY 14467-9731
nfreson@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Kupferschmid](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Kupferschmid
401 1st Ave
Bethlehem, PA 18018-5511
marykupf@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carolee Reagsn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carolee Reagsn
91 Inwood Ave
Point Lookout, NY 11569-3017
lee.reagan21@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Florence Morris](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Florence Morris
955 Culver Rd
Rochester, NY 14609-7155
khawk734@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Wearne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Wearne
25w231 Oldham Rd
Naperville, IL 60563-1589
swearne@unety.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dolly Baus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. GOD IS WATCHING !!!

Sincerely,

Dolly Baus
1120 River Rd
Hinckley, OH 44233-9758
maandpabaus@windstream.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bill Drabik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bill Drabik
903 Girdle Rd
East Aurora, NY 14052-1232
bdrab1969@roadrunner.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vicki Wheeler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vicki Wheeler
7146 County Road H
Deshler, OH 43516-9798
osufan_59@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Chelmecki](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Chelmecki
4n696 Council Ct
Elburn, IL 60119-9407
patchel@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Virginia Virginia Barber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Virginia Virginia Barber
6599 Foxcliff Ln
Crozet, VA 22932-3629
gynbarb@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kimberly Baer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Baer
1235 Dandridge St
Fredericksburg, VA 22401-5323
kimberlydbaer@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Russell Buckardt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Russell Buckardt
1113 La Fayette Dr
South Elgin, IL 60177-1816
russellb@konsultek.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rashida Paul](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rashida Paul
263 Main St
Nyack, NY 10960-2566
rdapaul@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jaice Cooperrider](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jaice Cooperrider
7123 Boundaries Rd
Thornville, OH 43076-9707
cooperrider.19@osu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Won Ng](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:11:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Won Ng
Fathers Court
Dix Hills, NY 11746
wonng1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris C.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris C.
2900 Brodhead Rd
Aliquippa, PA 15001-1685
cmp@babbins.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheila Haas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheila Haas
206 Parkview Dr
Wauconda, IL 60084-3605
jlbrdcrd@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tom Rudd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tom Rudd
6385 Birch Creek Dr
Loveland, OH 45140-9068
trudd21@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Mulder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Mulder
28 Sachson Pl
Wappingers Falls, NY 12590-3858
jhmulder@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patti Barnes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patti Barnes
5272 Waller Ct
Virginia Beach, VA 23464-5445
pattibarnes1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Ann Dalton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Dalton
125 Smith St
Weirton, WV 26062-4015
maryann125@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kathy defruscio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kathy defruscio
51 Holly Blvd
Scotia, NY 12302-3037
kdefruscio@nycap.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joe Crymes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joe Crymes
402 Savage Farm Dr
Ithaca, NY 14850-6506
jcrymes@ssw.umaryland.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dawn Dippre](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dawn Dippre
825 Crown Ave
Scranton, PA 18505-1919
d_dippre@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erick Hedrick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erick Hedrick
453 Midland Dr
Danville, IN 46122-1534
hedrickerrick@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Arlene Zuckerman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Arlene Zuckerman
11035 72nd Rd Apt 606
Forest Hills, NY 11375-5476
arlenenyc@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dawn Williams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dawn Williams
1957 N Rutherford Ave
Chicago, IL 60707-3933
dawnokeefewilliams@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Constance Bylsma](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Constance Bylsma
19801 S Regan Rd
New Lenox, IL 60451-3065
pedancelady@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Harkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Harkins
2006 Duquesne Ave
McKeesport, PA 15132-5103
1980maggie@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jan Emerson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jan Emerson
250 Fort Washington Ave Apt 5b
New York, NY 10032-1326
janemerson777@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael & Susan O'Loughlin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, we are writing to express our concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission must continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael & Susan O'Loughlin
925 Oakwood Trl
Indianapolis, IN 46260-4018
olough@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynn Kelly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Although I'm not a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. This will harm both Ohio's people and its wildlife and environment.

Eliminating pollution control standards jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kelly
72 E 7th St
New York, NY 10003-8421
kelly.l@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judy Hall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:12:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judy Hall
408b Cheyenne Rd
Bristol, VA 24201-2849
Giz04belle@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lindsey Hudak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Hudak
412 Country Club Dr
Red Bud, IL 62278-1415
lindsey@stjohnsredbud.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dorothy Stoner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Stoner
1130 Longford Rd
Bartlett, IL 60103-1939
dorothystoner@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Kraus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Kraus
10 Traverse Dr
Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462-2534
patkraus@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shane Henson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shane Henson
981 Dover Rd
Grand Rivers, KY 42045-9116
royhenson@windstream.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Boyce](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Boyce
7061 Grantham Way
Cincinnati, OH 45230-2129
richardboyce@fuse.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele McGowan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele McGowan
229 Beach 108th St
Rockaway Park, NY 11694-2305
michelemcgowan@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dr Mark Bernstein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dr Mark Bernstein
610 Lingle Ter
Lafayette, IN 47901-1743
mbernste@purdue.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tina Elton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tina Elton
152 Tucker Ln
Coraopolis, PA 15108-9788
dd8256@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frances Cohen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frances Cohen
622 Linton Rd
Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006-8408
finallyflc@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elaine Sperbeck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elaine Sperbeck
618 E Monroe St
Little Falls, NY 13365-1547
ejsperbeck@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracey Aquino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracey Aquino
3536 Virginius Dr
Virginia Beach, VA 23452-4438
traceyaquino@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Butterfield](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Butterfield
3388 W 158th St
Cleveland, OH 44111-2042
gypsgirl13@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bibi Prival](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bibi Prival
965 Kent Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11205-4462
bprival@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sabrena Boekell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sabrena Boekell
116 Canterbury Ct
Nottingham, PA 19362-9054
sabrena68@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cheryl Christy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Christy
376 Seaburn St
Brookfield, OH 44403-9751
cherylechristy@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elisabeth Jakab](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Jakab
562 W End Ave Apt 5f
New York, NY 10024-2747
eamj1@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katherine Walz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katherine Walz
3987 Branches Ln
Doylestown, PA 18902-1691
wingwood@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Tennessee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:13:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Tennessee
77 Columbia St
New York, NY 10002-2678
r1sw@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marlene Warkoczewski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:15:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marlene Warkoczewski
101 Stillwagon Ln
Coatesville, PA 19320-1085
warkom@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bette Nadler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:15:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bette Nadler
401 Cornell Ave
Swarthmore, PA 19081-2306
bseamonds@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wendy Worth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wendy Worth
4208 Windsor Bridge Pl
New Albany, OH 43054-7007
wendyworth2015@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Stewart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Stewart
2315 Harrodsburg Rd Apt 10
Lexington, KY 40504-3330
dlstewart1112@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Rothenberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Rothenberg
440 Neptune Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11224-4455
sharot777@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathy Marczyk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathy Marczyk
15 Tiros Ave
Highland Mills, NY 10930-2637
roncat1@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erin Anheier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erin Anheier
4690 Bennetts Corners Rd
Holley, NY 14470-9707
erinanheier@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dan Popp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dan Popp
106 N Gibbons Ave
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-6510
danpopp@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Bauman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Bauman
720 Fort Washington Ave
New York, NY 10040-3708
rebeccahbauman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cecelia Samp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cecelia Samp
4265 Emerson Ave
Schiller Park, IL 60176-1409
cecaliasamp@triton.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rhonda Zatezalo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Zatezalo
631 Woodstone Path
Valparaiso, IN 46385-8954
rhondazatezalo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Prince](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:16:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Prince
60 Knolls Cres Apt 10h
Bronx, NY 10463-6322
jcaprince@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [patricia hatzis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

patricia hatzis
26743 S Greenbriar Dr
Monee, IL 60449-9258
phatzis@sd163.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maria Ciancio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maria Ciancio
4 Stephen Pl
Ossining, NY 10562-3524
m.ciancio@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Rowe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Rowe
710 Elizabeth Ln
Des Plaines, IL 60018-5521
kk6301@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Schmoyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Schmoyer
3627 Clark Rd
Seneca Falls, NY 13148-9524
jeff_schmoyer@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Shaak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Shaak
3440 Stoner Ave
Reading, PA 19606-2335
mgsatmsc@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole Maurone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole Maurone
4199 True Rd
Hinton, WV 25951-9509
nicole_maurone@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robin Everett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robin Everett
1642 Sycamore Ct
East Peoria, IL 61611-9761
robin.everett@edwardjones.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Sterner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Sterner
102 W 85th St
New York, NY 10024-4405
jimesterner@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Fox](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Fox
50 Culpeper St
Warrenton, VA 20186-3250
jgf2@lti.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fern Stearney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:17:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fern Stearney
58 Cobb Ln
Tarrytown, NY 10591-3033
fz.stearney@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Owen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:39:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Owen
7002 Black Mountain Dr
Louisville, KY 40228-2829
rick46150@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Gwynn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:39:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Gwynn
203 Creekside Dr
State College, PA 16801-7266
gpgwynn@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lawrence Tetenbaum](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:39:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Tetenbaum
38 Summit Ct
Plainview, NY 11803-1445
emtlarry@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pam Scovil](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:39:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pam Scovil
2313 Saint Charles Ave
Dayton, OH 45410-2716
pjscovil@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tedd Moore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:39:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tedd Moore
124 Figley Ave
Aliquippa, PA 15001-1242
t.moore702@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patrick Maloney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:39:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patrick Maloney
1721 W Barry Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-6778
patrickjj@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [scott dance](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:39:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

scott dance
136 Roosevelt Dr
Utica, NY 13502-5725
sdance@midyork.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elise Adibi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:39:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elise Adibi
1154 Wightman St
Pittsburgh, PA 15217-1050
eliseadibi@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mike Wood](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:39:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mike Wood
1103 Nuttman Ave
Fort Wayne, IN 46807-1739
iguitarded@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Wood](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express concern regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficia and critical to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Wood
1081 Zion Church Rd
Augusta, WV 26704-2588
mwoodxmn@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Hill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Hill
420 Newman St
Scottsdale, PA 15683-2157
jrhiljr127@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne Wallin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Wallin
6301 Riverdale Ave
Bronx, NY 10471-1046
wallinsu@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Wontor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Wontor
3304 Hemlock Farms
Lords Valley, PA 18428-9115
debrow0312@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Teri Koslen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Teri Koslen
37700 Cedar Rd
Gates Mills, OH 44040-9804
bigmeatball55@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gerald Chorba](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gerald Chorba
4571 Angel Ridge Rd
Athens, OH 45701-9607
poetreeman05@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patrick Callaghan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patrick Callaghan
856 Depot Ln
Quakertown, PA 18951-2533
caspercalthan@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kellye Jetkiewicz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kellye Jetkiewicz
1426 Dandridge St
Fredericksburg, VA 22401-5328
kjetkiewicz@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [M Davidson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

M Davidson
30 Winding Ln
Bedford Hills, NY 10507-2323
mdavidson@bushenhealing.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leslee Nicholas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leslee Nicholas
5621 Woody Ct
Virginia Beach, VA 23464-6727
leslee.nicholas@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chelsea Hirtzel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Hirtzel
243 Western Pkwy
Seymour, IN 47274-2235
c.hirtzel@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shabaka Moore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shabaka Moore
406 Claremont St
Petersburg, VA 23805-1410
shabakajones@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne Hall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Hall
PO Box 732
Mont Alto, PA 17237-0732
shall3991@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [rita patterson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

rita patterson
923 Roosevelt St
Franklin Sq, NY 11010-2939
lovelyritaxo@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ira Gerard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ira Gerard
1440 Marleigh Ln
South Elgin, IL 60177-3049
iragerard@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Knott](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Knott
111 Rankin Blvd
Rankin, PA 15104-1136
ja.knott@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gabriel Gomes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Gomes
192 Stony Brook Rd
Fishkill, NY 12524-2986
nayanagar2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Campbell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Campbell
14000 Bunratty Dr
Orland Park, IL 60467-7406
sashabear_2004@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dick McMaster](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dick McMaster
9742 Mutton Hollow Rd
Prattsburgh, NY 14873-9436
dick14873@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jan Anderson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jan Anderson
9020 Abbey Ln
Des Plaines, IL 60016-5063
luckypouncer@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracy Griswold](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracy Griswold
1360 Midland Ave Apt Td
Bronxville, NY 10708-6894
tgris@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sidne Baglini](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sidne Baglini
203 Channing Ave
Malvern, PA 19355-2710
sidbaglini@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Kirchdoerfer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Kirchdoerfer
1831 Hemming Way
Orefield, PA 18069-9101
k24email@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Olga Mulcahy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Olga Mulcahy
1304 Campbell Ct NE
Leesburg, VA 20176-4908
olga.mulcahy01@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Grant](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Grant
4763 Biltmore Dr NW
Roanoke, VA 24017-1517
mgrant8@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wendy Hanberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wendy Hanberg
53119 Trinity Ct
Elkhart, IN 46514-7636
srbnprncs_67@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Siebenaler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Siebenaler
175 St Francis Ave
Tiffin, OH 44883-3457
ejsieb43606@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Rattoballi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Rattoballi
447 Herbert St
West Hempstead, NY 11552-2339
jrattoballi@printtechgrp.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Etapa](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Etapa
4409 S Christiana Ave
Chicago, IL 60632-2835
gunsaulus@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Connie Fine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:40:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Connie Fine
6042 Gillette Rd
Cicero, NY 13039-7324
luvhusky465@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathy McConnell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathy McConnell
240 Secatogue Ln
West Islip, NY 11795-4727
cathymcconnell@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roberto Pace](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roberto Pace
1331 W Porter St
Philadelphia, PA 19148-3548
paceroberto@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carl Finkbeiner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. PLEASE!!!

Sincerely,

Carl Finkbeiner
222 Woodard Road
Media, PA 19063
thefinkbeiners@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Wontor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Wontor
3304 Hemlock Farms
Lords Valley, PA 18428-9115
debraw0312@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kimberly Koenig](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Koenig
144 Branchbrook Dr
Henrietta, NY 14467-9785
dksk03@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Morgan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Morgan
521 S Madison Ave
La Grange, IL 60525-2802
grt99zky@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [T DENBY](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

T DENBY
138 Horseshoe Dr
Williamsburg, VA 23185-5006
k355@bellsouth.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [P. A. O'Connor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

P. A. O'Connor
8264 Lyons Rd
Waynesboro, PA 17268-8286
merrymtgbay@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diana Woodcock](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diana Woodcock
11924 Colchester Ln
Midlothian, VA 23113-2101
dgwoodcock@vcu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Wagenhauser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Wagenhauser
27 Elderberry Rd
Syosset, NY 11791-6204
james.wagenhauser@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ancy Thomas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ancy Thomas
9245 241st St
Bellerose, NY 11426-1129
geminikna@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joie Budington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joie Budington
PO Box 175
South Wales, NY 14139-0175
joie4windspirit@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne-Marie Zmek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne-Marie Zmek
5215 W 92nd St
Oak Lawn, IL 60453-1747
a-mzmek@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Adele Klingenstein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Adele Klingenstein
4752 203rd St
Bayside, NY 11361-3039
newager900@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dennis McGee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dennis McGee
1424 N Ridgeway Ave
Chicago, IL 60651-2159
dennisrmcgeejr05@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandi Redman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandi Redman
5340 Washington St
Skokie, IL 60077-2456
sandiredman@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [joshua.paterno](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

joshua paterno
1730 Mulford Ave
Bronx, NY 10461-4318
jnpaterno@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marsha Browne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marsha Browne
3513 Lexington Rd Apt 3
Louisville, KY 40207-2946
kyvegan895@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cat Knapik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cat Knapik
Broad st
Elizabethville, PA 17023
catknapik@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Costello](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Costello
PO Box 764
Damascus, VA 24236-0764
emcsquared98@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Georgina Kazan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Georgina Kazan
22w647 Tamarack Dr
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-7439
georginakazan@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Aubrae Lamparella](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Aubrae Lamparella
227 Elder Dr
Farmington, NY 14425-7031
alamparella@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debbie Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debbie Johnson
1710 W White St
Champaign, IL 61821-3016
alaskanlady60@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brigid Delardi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brigid Delardi
51 Edgewood Dr
Baldwinsville, NY 13027-1222
annelbjr@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Schutt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Schutt
310 S Racine Ave
Chicago, IL 60607-2841
pschutt2@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sean Rickle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sean Rickle
4780 W State Route 12
Findlay, OH 45840-9343
sean.rickle@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [P. DENBY](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

P DENBY
138 Horseshoe Dr
Williamsburg, VA 23185-5006
k355@bellsouth.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lois Kendall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lois Kendall
561 Red Oak Dr
Elkins Park, PA 19027-1348
lkendall605@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judy Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judy Brown
146 S County Road 180 E
Greencastle, IN 46135-7836
memabrown3@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Sandberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Sandberg
149 W 4th St Apt 3d
New York, NY 10012-1061
ellensandberg@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Neil Glaser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Neil Glaser
115 Fort Greene Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11217-1422
fneil@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Timothy Slade](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Timothy Slade
504 Grand St
New York, NY 10002-4182
tim@vastproductions.com.au

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Huber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Huber
2243 Jester Ct
Tobyhanna, PA 18466-8311
willhub021@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Letitia Noel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Letitia Noel
55 W Goethe St
Chicago, IL 60610-7406
tishnoel@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Staats](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Staats
343 56th St
Newport News, VA 23607-2011
astaats76@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric Reidelberger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric Reidelberger
2748 W Sunnyside Ave # 2
Chicago, IL 60625-3819
atomicrooster9@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Colony](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:41:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Colony
307 Bush St
Cobleskill, NY 12043-6905
colony@cobleskill.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole Maurone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole Maurone
4199 True Rd
Hinton, WV 25951-9509
nicole_maurone@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Campbell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Campbell
265 Chestnut Hill Rd
Emmaus, PA 18049-5505
llcampbell53@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ernest Stauffer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ernest Stauffer
3178 S Lehigh Gorge Dr
Weatherly, PA 18255-4638
elstauffer@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Donnelly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Donnelly
4622 N 525 W
Laporte, IN 46350-7581
rgsmill@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [john.underwood](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

john underwood
62 Norman Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11222-2980
janselme@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul A](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul A
Yarmouth Rd
Norwich, OH 23536
blitz_attak@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joyce Ward](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joyce Ward
PO Box 196
Austin, IN 47102-0196
joyce.ward@morganfoods.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ilinda Stevens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ilinda Stevens
1104 S Evergreen Ave
Kankakee, IL 60901-5353
lindajarnigstevens@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole Poston](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole Poston
3407 Tradewinds Cv NW
Canton, OH 44708-3077
nmpbrat@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [j.Doe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

j Doe
100 Centre St
New York, NY 10013-4308
emaybeline@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Javier Rivera](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Javier Rivera
55 S 3rd St
Brooklyn, NY 11249-5128
javierocker@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Ruth Kamp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. If the Commission chooses not to, who will? Please stand up for the Ohio River community and ecosystem by upholding the pollution control standards and remaining as the voice of accountability.

I am grateful for the work of the ORSANCO and hope the above mentioned changes will not go forward.

Sincerely,
Mary Ruth Kamp
PO Box 204
Kidron, Ohio 44636

Sincerely,

Mary Ruth Kamp
PO Box 204
Kidron, OH 44636-0204
recycledclay@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gabriel Alberti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Alberti
9354 Queens Blvd
Rego Park, NY 11374-1149
habi_257@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Hilarie Louis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Hilarie Louis
125 Bellair Dr
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-3503
hilarie@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beatrice Bloom](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beatrice Bloom
68 South St
Warwick, NY 10990-1621
beabloom@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maureen Mccurrie-Gibson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maureen Mccurrie-Gibson
3335 S Hamilton Ave
Chicago, IL 60608-6033
m.mccurrie@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicholas Fleming](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Fleming
1536 Saint Johns Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11213-3922
nicknick5f@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Warren](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:42:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Warren
264 Calhoun St
Alderson, WV 24910-9392
drpamwarren@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Burwasser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:43:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Burwasser
333 W College St
Oberlin, OH 44074-1412
daveburw@oberlin.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tim Schmitt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:43:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tim Schmitt
641 N Harrison St
Arlington, VA 22205-1135
timmschmitt@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Lipper](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:43:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Lipper
282 Longfield Rd
Fincastle, VA 24090-4474
lipper@aya.yale.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tina Fearnow](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:43:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tina Fearnow
6352 Saint Jean Dr
Indianapolis, IN 46217-3859
rtfearnow@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Arlene Klein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:43:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Arlene Klein
344 Central Park Ave Apt B11
Scarsdale, NY 10583-1339
arldoll24@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Valerie Bollini](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:43:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Valerie Bollini
2204 W Irving Park Rd Fl 2
Chicago, IL 60618-3806
shredqueen@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynn Tran](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:43:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynn Tran
3938 K St
Philadelphia, PA 19124-5430
ngoclien@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [mary Pivarnik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:43:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

mary Pivarnik
2424 Ruth St
New Castle, PA 16101-5406
marygracepivarnik@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Levinton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:46:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Levinton
2514 E 29th St
Brooklyn, NY 11235-2021
jlevinton@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jamie Shultz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:46:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jamie Shultz
26 Paul Wilson Ln
Morgantown, WV 26508-4722
hadleys1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gene Majewski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:46:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gene Majewski
17w712 Butterfield Rd
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181-4360
gfmajewski@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lucinda Greene](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:47:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lucinda Greene
201 W Main St
Harrison Valley, PA 16927-1209
moozandsqrl2001@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ruth Flores Ehrlich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:47:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ruth Flores Ehrlich
1745 E 12th St
Brooklyn, NY 11229-1050
ruthien67@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Desiree Reynolds](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:47:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Desiree Reynolds
5249 E County Road 900 S
Walton, IN 46994-9425
desiree_sfc@yahoo.com

From: [catherine pardee](mailto:catherine.pardee@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 2:54:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

catherine pardee
55 Moon Ridge Ln
burlington, WV 26710
304-289-3618

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cindy Blue](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:09:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cindy Blue
1185 Sanders Rd
Northbrook, IL 60062-2910
heronflying@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Liz Anderson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:09:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Liz Anderson
PO Box 489
Pine Knot, KY 42635-0489
lizandersonmail@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barrie Rolleston-Daines](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:09:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barrie Rolleston-Daines
380 Floral Ave Apt 14
Ithaca, NY 14850-5031
brdny@twcny.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Hoernig](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:09:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Hoernig
5034 N Richland Creek Rd
Solsberry, IN 47459-9768
pauld@bluemarble.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Spahr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:09:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Spahr
1300 Harding Rd
Blacksburg, VA 24060-9114
spahrje@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Agoston](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:09:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Agoston
210 Clinton Ave Apt 3e
Brooklyn, NY 11205-3422
agoda1@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alan Peterson, MD](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:09:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alan Peterson, MD
317 Chestnut St
Quarryville, PA 17566-1184
aspeters@lghealth.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Moore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:09:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Moore
3723 Parkview Way
Jeffersonville, IN 47130-6904
debmoore2017@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alfredo Ocasio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alfredo Ocasio
4074 Express Dr S
Ronkonkoma, NY 11779-5526
spike20019@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Poole](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. **NEEDS PROTECTED FOR OUR WILDLIFE & HUMANS, ALTHOUGH STUPID AS THEY ARE FOR TRYING TO DESTROY ALL THESE CRITICAL AREAS!!!**

Sincerely,

Diane Poole
PO Box 950
Marion, IN 46952-0950
trademaster@comteck.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maria Rosenberger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maria Rosenberger
3651 Saint Peters Rd
Elverson, PA 19520-8970
duckybathtime@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [denise ambuske](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

denise ambuske
1231 Doebler Dr
North Tonawanda, NY 14120-2838
dambuske@fideliscare.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brett Mitchell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brett Mitchell
61239 County Road 21
Goshen, IN 46528-7841
bmitch58@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dorian Bergen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dorian Bergen
529 W 20th St
New York, NY 10011-2800
dorian@acagalleries.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [C. Berkeley Adams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

C Berkeley Adams
PO Box 49
Panama, NY 14767-0049
berkadams@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonita Staas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonita Staas
11294 N Henderson Rd
Orangeville, IL 61060-9676
51940@aeroinc.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angela Leventis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angela Leventis
205 Adams St
Philipsburg, PA 16866-1901
aleventi@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Les Wilson sr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Les Wilson sr
11905 Browns Rd
Church Road, VA 23833-2021
biggdawg0965@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Hammill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Hammill
1449 Prospect Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15227-3705
mailboxjunk46@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Watson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Watson
1729 pennsylvania ave.m
West Mifflin, PA 15122
lisawatson1331@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angela Novoselac](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angela Novoselac
17 Park Est
Sparta, IL 62286-1946
koffeebear@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Scheme](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Scheme
315 Overlook Park Dr
Cleveland, OH 44110-1235
christine_schenke@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Prof. Denise J. Tartaglia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Prof. Denise J. Tartaglia
135 Charles St
New York, NY 10014-6500
djtartaglia@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Abrahams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Abrahams
PO Box 453
Ocean Beach, NY 11770-0453
sues11@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Schuessler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Schuessler
48 Grayton Rd
Tonawanda, NY 14150-9008
rjjs@roadrunner.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Burket](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Burket
7437 Whisperwood Dr
Warrenton, VA 20187-9008
diane@dianeburket.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amanda Rowland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amanda Rowland
325 Ky Route 777
Langley, KY 41645-6525
chococat71@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Estes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Estes
10325 68th Ave
Forest Hills, NY 11375-3267
barbaraeileen1@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Ponterella](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Ponterella
218 Lamberts Ln
Staten Island, NY 10314-7212
macra61@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Curtis Flowers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Curtis Flowers
445 E 34th St
Brooklyn, NY 11203-5005
cutny@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Ryerson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Ryerson
5540 Oles Dr N
Indianapolis, IN 46228-2226
bryerson@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Rizzotti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Rizzotti
93 Floral Pkwy
Floral Park, NY 11001-3124
barbaarah@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stacey Bradley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stacey Bradley
193 Beaver St
Hastings, PA 16646-5601
witzykitty@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Hunsicker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Hunsicker
1129 Lafayette Ave
Columbus, IN 47201-5757
artsaccomplice@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Wekselman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Wekselman
5624 Hempstead Rd Apt 3
Pittsburgh, PA 15217-2233
billwesq@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas McAndrews](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas McAndrews
932 Marvel Ave
Woodstock, IL 60098-2916
wingsmca@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marci Moss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marci Moss
1243 Vista Dr
Gurnee, IL 60031-5171
mmoss600@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Zoppa](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Zoppa
31Meadow RUE PLACE
Malta, NY 12020
lzoppa@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cindy Kasnicka](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cindy Kasnicka
4522 Deyo Ave
Brookfield, IL 60513-2218
ckasnicka@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan McFadden](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan McFadden
15037 Lawndale Ave
Midlothian, IL 60445-3534
hockeyfan39@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Cisarano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Cisarano
38 6th Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11217-4187
pcisarano@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Kokowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Kokowski
1336 Methyl St
Pittsburgh, PA 15216-3354
dicarko@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronald Field](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronald Field
6028 Telegraph Rd
Alexandria, VA 22310-2117
rhfield@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Harold Zimmer Jr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Harold Zimmer Jr
1027 Lake Rd
Webster, NY 14580-9020
buzz@lidestrifoods.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Miller
1931 Saddle Horn Dr
Canandaigua, NY 14424-8151
ajmiller71@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deb Staudt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deb Staudt
2700 Fessler Buxton Rd
Russia, OH 45363-9717
dkstaudt@embarqmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Sobel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Sobel
10224 Waxcomb Pl
Mechanicsville, VA 23116-2609
mksobel@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Malan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Malan
29w055 Colford Ave
West Chicago, IL 60185-2418
janetmalan@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gregory Alan Norton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gregory Alan Norton
7 Michigan Ave
East Dundee, IL 60118-1131
greg@gregoryalannorton.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [m y](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

m y
2500 Johnson Ave
Bronx, NY 10463-4925
monayoes@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Goel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Goel
8790 118th St
Richmond Hill, NY 11418-2527
sharon@brainlink.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [k.edmiston](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

k.edmiston
123 Shaw Ave Apt A
Lewistown, PA 17044-1840
balticbrown77@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John R. Thomas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John R. Thomas
405 Rodman Ave
Jenkintown, PA 19046-2118
jonrthomas@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jenna Marie Townsenr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jenna Marie Townsenr
1154 Howard Dr
Westbury, NY 11590-5414
freespirited122@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joanna Herrington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joanna Herrington
39 Berwyn Rd Apt A3
Harriman, NY 10926-3819
joherrington@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [CAROL BURSTON](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

CAROL BURSTON
803 Pepperidge Lake Rd
Eastport, NY 11941-1140
cburston@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ella Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency. Clean water is necessary to a healthy life for all living beings. Pollution standards should be increased and enforced. The goal should be to make this important river clean.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ella Johnson
7701 Dungan Rd
Philadelphia, PA 19111-2732
eed144@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ann Zielinski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ann Zielinski
6235 Seneca St
Elma, NY 14059-9605
amszymonek@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathy and Mark Snyder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathy and Mark Snyder
55 Fern Crst
Ottsville, PA 18942-1614
cathysnyderibcl@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mina Tang](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mina Tang
1615 Rose Ave
Mamaroneck, NY 10543-3133
peupeu1@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Blake Thurman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. That cannot be done with reduced standards.

Sincerely,

Blake Thurman
358 Lamarck Dr
Buffalo, NY 14226-4854
bthurman@daemen.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lois and Ed Kellar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, we are writing to express our concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lois and Ed Kellar
395 S County Road 660 W
North Vernon, IN 47265-7462
loiskellar@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Sertich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Sertich
324 Garesche St
Collinsville, IL 62234-5321
sertich11@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill Broach](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill Broach
5940 Glenhaven Cres
Norfolk, VA 23508-1102
eisenjeri@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Nardone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:11:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Nardone
1400 Drayton Lane
Wynnewood, PA 19096
nardone2@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alexandra Bravo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Bravo
200 Park Point Dr
Rochester, NY 14623-2922
alexandrabravo10@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Harold Jacobowitz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Harold Jacobowitz
305 E 85th St
New York, NY 10028-4522
hjacobowitz@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Gholz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Gholz
6250 Columbia Pike
Falls Church, VA 22041-1421
bdgholz@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynne Connolly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynne Connolly
2568 Western Ave Apt 7-9
Altamont, NY 12009-3823
maestro622003@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [marcello franciamore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

marcello franciamore
2358 Woodhull Ave
Bronx, NY 10469-6317
marcello13@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [KIM SANBORN](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

KIM SANBORN
1282 Latimer Hill Rd
Canajoharie, NY 13317-3734
nyhillbillies@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Blomquist](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. This is a national issue.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Blomquist
9749 N 1150th Ave
Hennepin, IL 61327-5073
herecrookshanks@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marybeth Diss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. Please help us protect our water, wildlife and land before it is too late. As someone who grew up on the Ohio River, I know how important it is to have this body of water healthy for the people and the wildlife of the surrounding areas.

Sincerely,

Marybeth Diss
645 Ocean Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11226-4973
marybethdiss@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jack Dunham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jack Dunham
3343 Wilawana Rd
Sayre, PA 18840-9448
jad5703@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jon Piersol](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jon Piersol
2519 Lindenwood Dr
Wexford, PA 15090-7914
jpiersol@mailers.fsu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nadine Parish](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nadine Parish
5814 Moorhouse Dr
Wadsworth, OH 44281-8027
nparish23@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James McConkey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James McConkey
1475 Stonefield Dr
Dekalb, IL 60115-8901
mcconkeyjames@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anita Carter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anita Carter
80 Ambrose St
Rochester, NY 14608-1202
anitacarter0802@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marilyn/Fred Putz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marilyn/Fred Putz
2580 Oak St
Highland Park, IL 60035-1906
fpmgp@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gwen Corder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gwen Corder
204 Willow St
Salem, IN 47167-9201
gfcord013@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Franklin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Franklin
9039 River Cres
Suffolk, VA 23433-1305
franklinandcats@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole Bohlman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole Bohlman
17 Mulligan Dr
Medford, NY 11763-1694
nikki.bohlman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Greenlee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Greenlee
1059 Randolph Dr
Yardley, PA 19067-4360
pgreenlee1@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Zeki Gunay](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Zeki Gunay
13619 Legacy Cir
Herndon, VA 20171-4736
ugunay@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Harmon Greenblatt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Harmon Greenblatt
2172 Royal Ridge Dr
Northbrook, IL 60062-8606
harmongreen@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brett Cumbia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brett Cumbia
431 Manning Bridge Rd
Suffolk, VA 23434-8596
dotapvd@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kay Martin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kay Martin
120 Pleasantview Dr
Canonsburg, PA 15317-3136
kaymartin84@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Goldsmith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Goldsmith
205 Schneider Rd
Hudson, NY 12534-4671
plgoldsmith@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bruce Jankowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bruce Jankowski
707 Ridge Rd
Lemont, IL 60439-3910
brucej116@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Kabat](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Kabat
878 Station St
Herndon, VA 20170-4608
ellenkabat@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandeep Gandhi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandeep Gandhi
200 Hawkins Ave Unit 1362
Ronkonkoma, NY 11779-8024
sansu4@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Phyllis Skinner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:12:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Skinner
5141 Kentucky St
South Charleston, WV 25309-1105
phyllis41@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa French](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa French
33 W 84th St
New York, NY 10024-4760
lfrench@nyc.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Hope Phillips](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Hope Phillips
PO Box 133
Canal Winchester, OH 43110-0133
lhopephillips@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Johnson
402 Laurel Ave Apt 3w
Wilmette, IL 60091-2851
photcj@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Hazelgrove](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Hazelgrove
9375 Manowar Ct
Glen Allen, VA 23060-3730
notgetnbyme@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracy Tellep](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracy Tellep
1505 Barlow Rd
Union Dale, PA 18470-7750
tikitonkatruck@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Theresa Scherf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Theresa Scherf
147 Barrett Ln
Berea, KY 40403-9608
tscherf@windstream.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Don Karl](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Don Karl
PO Box 503
New Hampton, NY 10958-0503
don.karl72@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Hart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Hart
424 Vincent Corners Rd
Cuyler, NY 13158-2102
hartcl@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bobbiejo Winfrey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

This is important to me because the Ohio River forms the outer edge of the city where I was born and have lived most of my life. I have traveled on the Belle of Louisville in this river, and thus it has become dear to me. Its pollution has always bothered me, and I ask you to please not give up hope on promoting its progress toward a much safer and clearer state for people, wildlife, and the environment.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bobbiejo Winfrey
6101 Green Manor Dr
Louisville, KY 40219-2526
tombeedesnues@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cavin Leeman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cavin Leeman
215 W 92nd St Apt 13a
New York, NY 10025-7479
cpl@cpleeman.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Slowinski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Slowinski
5516 W 99th Pl
Oak Lawn, IL 60453-3843
slowin@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Gallagher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Gallagher
2001 Palmgren Dr
Glenview, IL 60025-4217
irishmike9@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annie Katzman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annie Katzman
463 West St
New York, NY 10014-2010
catbird258@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol And Barry Meehan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol And Barry Meehan
10 Top O Hill Rd
Wappingers Falls, NY 12590-2217
cnmeehan@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Janicki](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:13:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Janicki
2230 Norfolk Ave
Crete, IL 60417-9685
akitadmj@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susanna Levin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:15:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susanna Levin
1255 North Ave Apt 3i
New Rochelle, NY 10804-2609
sulevin@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Catherine Jurgensen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:16:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Catherine Jurgensen
2570 Westgate Ln
Montgomery, IL 60538-3364
blessedshort@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Catlin Spargo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:17:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Catlin Spargo
1619 Lakewood Ave
Lakewood, OH 44107-4928
grimalken@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Koski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:17:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Koski
182 Mill Pond Ln
Water Mill, NY 11976-2410
mkunbeldi@aol.com

From: [Lisa Whalen \(bossalmw@yahoo.com\)](mailto:bossalmw@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:24:53 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Whalen
3451 Shroyer Rd
Dayton, OH 45429
bossalmw@yahoo.com
(937) 609-2627

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elliot Daniels](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:39:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elliot Daniels
4633 28th Rd S Apt A
Arlington, VA 22206-4115
elliott_daniels@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kimberly Justice](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:39:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Justice
14899 Mount Eaton Rd
Rittman, OH 44270-9507
kimbawishes@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristen Genovese](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:39:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristen Genovese
6829 Canterbury Ct
Derby, NY 14047-9572
jpolniaczek@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Bisette](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:39:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Bisette
276 Moore Ave
Tonawanda, NY 14223-1617
wrk2retire@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kerry Burkhardt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kerry Burkhardt
373 Knotty Pine Dr
Lockport, NY 14094-9188
muddydog69@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Whitehead](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Whitehead
5811 Cub Stream Dr
Centreville, VA 20120-2925
ktwhitehead@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Les Weinberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Les Weinberg
1438 Stony Brook Rd
Stony Brook, NY 11790-2217
lw_phd@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Bader](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Bader
1402 Lorain Ave
Bethlehem, PA 18018-2418
williambader@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Terese Olson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Terese Olson
799 Barth Dr
North Baldwin, NY 11510-2003
terese.olson@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [j Diamond](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

j Diamond
195 W 10th St Apt 2c
New York, NY 10014-6407
sugatala@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Josephine Salata](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Josephine Salata
2632 Prescott Dr
Mishawaka, IN 46544-6157
jj4062@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole DiVirgilio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole DiVirgilio
144 S 3rd Ave
Mechanicville, NY 12118-2206
divirgnc@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jack Polonka](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jack Polonka
1419 Longview Ave
Peekskill, NY 10566-4858
jpolonka@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [donna stoddard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

donna stoddard
2489 Sheehan Rd
Marcellus, NY 13108-1043
blueeyes6612@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Javier Aguilar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Javier Aguilar
123 W Main St
Urbana, IL 61801-2752
jaag2000@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen McGrath](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen McGrath
8736 Antioch Rd
Leesburg, OH 45135-9426
moonheartjourney@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [A Albanese](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

A Albanese
5405 aves
brooklyn, NY 11234
aalbanese1@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John m Schaus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John m Schaus
11350 75th St
Burr Ridge, IL 60527-7706
johntowngo@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Allison Fradkin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Allison Fradkin
3616 Torrey Pines Pkwy
Northbrook, IL 60062-3104
allisonfradkin@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Spier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Spier
431 Summit Ave
Cedarhurst, NY 11516-1525
debspier@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [c.kent.argenta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

c.kent.argenta
1736 S State St
Springfield, IL 62704-4012
zama1963@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [joyce ciotti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

joyce ciotti
253 Arden Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15216-1440
ciottijm@upmc.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marla King](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marla King
30 E 60th St
New York, NY 10022-1008
mckdds@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [katherine.rusnak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

katherine.rusnak
58 04 77 place
middlevillage, NY 11379
kathy@atco555.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marie Wiorski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marie Wiorski
6300 N Northwest Hwy Unit 31184
Chicago, IL 60631-6108
mayday51120@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leonora Morgan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

Shamefully, the Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leonora Morgan
279 Sterling Pl Apt 3f
Brooklyn, NY 11238-4444
lemorgan37@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Norris](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Norris
7243 W Palatine Ave
Chicago, IL 60631-1947
dncatlover@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne Weimer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Weimer
182 Five Points Rd
Mertztown, PA 19539-9218
weimersuzanne@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Phoebe Slater](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Phoebe Slater
295 Saint Johns Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11238-5650
phoebets@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annie Bien](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annie Bien
29 Tiffany Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11231-2996
abien@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Schreier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Schreier
1751 Robindale Rd
North Chesterfield, VA 23235-4501
wjschreier1950@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [lou paller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

lou paller
6508 W 83rd Pl
Burbank, IL 60459-2431
louall@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Franco Tresoldi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Franco Tresoldi
Via Moncarletto 15
Somma Lombardo, VA 21019
francaugus@alice.it

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Keith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Keith
16 Crosby St
New York, NY 10013-3107
shkeith@nyc.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Alden](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Alden
235 W 102nd St Apt 8v
New York, NY 10025-8428
dzilight@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Danielle Braun](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Danielle Braun
3122 Bellewood Ave # 2
Cincinnati, OH 45213-1655
dragonettedanielle@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janell Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janell Smith
PO Box 26
New Douglas, IL 62074-0026
jsmi777@frontiernet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [KERRY RAMSEY](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

KERRY RAMSEY
5941 Upland Ridge Dr
Medina, OH 44256-6139
kandb82@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roberta Glaze](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roberta Glaze
7165 Elyria Rd
Medina, OH 44256-8916
spraglaze@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Becky Watson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Becky Watson
335 E 10th Ave
Conshohocken, PA 19428-1515
beckylwatson@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Schmittauer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Schmittauer
10500 Sand Ridge Rd
Millfield, OH 45761-9665
jcschmittauer@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carlos Luna](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carlos Luna
77 Fulton St
New York, NY 10038-1864
cluna808@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Agelopoulos](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Agelopoulos
143 30th St NW
Canton, OH 44709-3101
l.agelopoulos@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brenda Boutin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brenda Boutin
6707 Salem Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45230-2903
boutin_brenda@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [JoAnn Loomis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Loomis
8310 Mathews Rd
Indianapolis, IN 46259-9765
luckygg@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Wells](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Wells
19355 Cypress Ridge Ter Unit 118
Leesburg, VA 20176-6901
awells1939@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barty Thompson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barty Thompson
70 Ford Ln
Mohnton, PA 19540-8429
bthompson@albright.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Josephine Ponce](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Josephine Ponce
1011 N Limekiln Rd
Oregon, IL 61061-9349
med.trans@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Evan Oakley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Evan Oakley
8105 4th Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11209-4452
evan.oakley@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Griggle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Griggle
158 Broad St
Leetsdale, PA 15056-1131
thejudster.jg@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [edward herting](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

edward herting
229 Oak St
Medford, NY 11763-4035
clamboy108@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bill S](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bill S
1229 Veto St
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-4633
wild.bill.schill@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Kemper](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Kemper
1425 Elliston Ln
Versailles, KY 40383-8902
hrendall@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dianna Holland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dianna Holland
6101 Morris St
Philadelphia, PA 19144-3763
madamgrammybird@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeannette Allan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Allan
80 Guion Pl
New Rochelle, NY 10801-3822
shakti700@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathy Maggard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathy Maggard
108 Valley St
Berea, KY 40403-1627
csmaggard@windstream.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Zach Croissant](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Zach Croissant
1442 Phelps St
Ottawa, IL 61350-3252
zacharycroissant89@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shamane Strader](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shamane Strader
38581 Austin Rd
Antwerp, NY 13608-3160
samistrader@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynda Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynda Smith
PO Box 215
Brandamore, PA 19316-0215
lyndajoannesmith@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jon Abrams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jon Abrams
47 Pell Pl
New Rochelle, NY 10804-4511
jonabrams99@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Neil Merrick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Neil Merrick
346 Baltic St
Brooklyn, NY 11201-6433
mrneilmerrick@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Darby](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Darby
5 Midwood Pl
Glen Cove, NY 11542-4120
ladarby@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Manos](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:41:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Manos
4186 Windbud Dr
New Albany, OH 43054-7011
manos71858@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tim Fleischer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tim Fleischer
1247 Milton St
Louisville, KY 40217-1519
timfle@netzero.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mike Peale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mike Peale
5 Worth Hill Ln
Aston, PA 19014-1545
mikepeale@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Cooper](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Cooper
394 Woodbourne AVE
Pittsburgh, PA 15226
jntrky@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [joan sendra](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

joan sendra
105 Railroad Ave
Dixon, IL 61021-7519
jms2853@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Oehrle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Oehrle
236 Ohara Manor Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15238-1518
betsyoehrle@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheryl Bass](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Bass
1323 Silverstone Dr
Carpentersville, IL 60110-1188
sherylbass999@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Crookston](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Crookston
1881 Goodyear Blvd
Akron, OH 44305-2830
johnecr13@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Tregoning](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Tregoning
396 S Columbia Ave
Oglesby, IL 61348-1418
trigger68@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melanie Leeson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melanie Leeson
1111 Deerfield Rd Unit 608
Lebanon, OH 45036-7123
groovydmb@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristina Ruhland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristina Ruhland
468 Feura Bush Rd
Glenmont, NY 12077-2925
kristina.krise@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue Evilsizer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue Evilsizer
20529 Brookstone Trl
Cleveland, OH 44130-2489
cybertigress2@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fayten El-Dehaibi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:42:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fayten El-Dehaibi
4264 Minnesota St
Pittsburgh, PA 15217-2833
trustyhawk@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeremy Feldman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Feldman
170 Park Row
New York, NY 10038-1146
feldman_jeremy@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Evelyn Och](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Och
803 S Negley Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15232-2500
evie.och@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ron Anderson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ron Anderson
8804 McKinley Dr
Barrington, IL 60010-2506
17v20ssh@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Longyear](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Longyear
2765 Hyatt St
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598-3229
sharonmlongyear@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Lipka](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Lipka
120 Young St
Tonawanda, NY 14150-2320
flazig1@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Myrna Borus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Myrna Borus
160 E 89th St Apt 5d
New York, NY 10128-2307
myrnaborus@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ann Reed](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ann Reed
1622 N 2nd St
Quincy, IL 62301-1571
ann1933@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Quinn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Quinn
1305 Oak Park Ave
Norfolk, VA 23503-3017
paquinn726@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Valentine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Valentine
313 1st Ave
Massapequa Park, NY 11762-1850
faboo1028@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [don r](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

don r
41429 N II Route 59
Antioch, IL 60002-2051
donaldj.regan@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dan Lanser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dan Lanser
775 Arbury Ave SE
North Canton, OH 44720-8708
lanserd1@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 3:43:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. I live in Illinois, but have spent many days traveling in areas near the river. I also know how important the river is to the overall health of our environment.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Smith
5841 N Kingsdale Ave
Chicago, IL 60646-6624
smith2597@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Collins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Collins
772 S Race St
Van Wert, OH 45891-2506
m_collins@vwcs.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Bissell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Bissell
3330 Erie Ave NW
Massillon, OH 44646-1301
biss7@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Bilodeau-Lanne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Bilodeau-Lanne
21 Fenimore Pl
Gansevoort, NY 12831-1476
michellelanne@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lloyd Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lloyd Smith
503 N Washington St
Atkinson, IL 61235-9719
smitho@mchsi.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laurits Schless](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laurits Schless
1016 Spruce St
Philadelphia, PA 19107-6095
lhschless@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J Swanson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: ENOUGH!!!!!! Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

ENOUGH!!!!!! As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J Swanson
21349 W Willow Dr
Kildeer, IL 60047-8713
jswanson21349@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Aeron Jung](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Aeron Jung
3 Wheaton Ctr
Wheaton, IL 60187-4982
eggfoo311@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gayle Donnelly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gayle Donnelly
5022 N Lawndale Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-5518
g_donus@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [chris oconnell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

chris oconnell
5344 N Paulina St
Chicago, IL 60640-2035
chrisoconnell2@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Kutter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Kutter
422 Gateswood Dr
West Chester, PA 19380-6325
merm01@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Bent](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Bent
631 Deauville Dr
Monroeville, PA 15146-2964
gbent60@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chirag Patel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chirag Patel
314 N 12th St
Philadelphia, PA 19107-1126
chipat51@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Denise Hoffmann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Denise Hoffmann
16 Linden Ave
Kings Park, NY 11754-4807
dgh1968@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shel Grove](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shel Grove
306 E Mosholu Pkwy S
Bronx, NY 10458-1713
targa66@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Shivar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Shivar
2400 Grove Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-2525
shivar-lusson@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Josephi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Josephi
110 Newburn Dr Apt 6
Pittsburgh, PA 15216-1344
history22@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Lou Salatino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Lou Salatino
1026 Pathway Ln
Webster, NY 14580-9214
marylousalatino@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Stradtman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Stradtman
700 Elkins Ave Apt B3
Elkins Park, PA 19027-2313
gstradtman@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Miller
335 Old Ford Rd
White Haven, PA 18661-8535
scrmiller60@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [nadine wolff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

nadine wolff
1469 Lexington Ave
New York, NY 10128-2525
nmw8348@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan McCarthy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan McCarthy
326 Old Bethel Church Rd
Winchester, VA 22603-4043
mstfmb1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [ilse singer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

ilse singer
355 S End Ave
New York, NY 10280-1005
bppilates@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marina Morrone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marina Morrone
1 Martin Rd
Yonkers, NY 10701-5335
mmorrone85@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [jeanette gold](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

jeanette gold
114 Essex Ct
Bushkill, PA 18324-7999
jeanette.bartel.gold@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nannette Wahrer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nannette Wahrer
16920 Freyburg East Rd
Wapakoneta, OH 45895-8414
nanwahrer@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paola Castano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paola Castano
3307 W Wellington Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-6813
paolacast@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nyemah O'Garro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nyemah O'Garro
140 Benchley Pl Apt 4b
Bronx, NY 10475-3547
nyeogarro@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dan Struble](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dan Struble
512 E Weber Rd
Columbus, OH 43202-2235
dhstruble.1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa K](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa K
PO Box 253
South Heights, PA 15081-0253
egk032630@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beate van der Schalie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beate van der Schalie
3134 Essex Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118-3538
smoky812@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Briana Foster](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Briana Foster
276 Temple Hill Rd Unit 1903
New Windsor, NY 12553-6872
brianafooster@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Landsberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Landsberg
42 Waterside Close
Eastchester, NY 10709-5665
bettylandsberg@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alaina Schwartz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alaina Schwartz
4334 County Route 7
Ghent, NY 12075-4036
alaina.schwartz7@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Phillip Hope](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Phillip Hope
248 10th St
Brooklyn, NY 11215-3908
phillip.hope@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pat Long](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pat Long
114 Milmont Ave
Folsom, PA 19033-3312
patricia.long5@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brian Berg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brian Berg
20 Pleasant St
Potsdam, NY 13676-1724
briandberg1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Theodore J Bomba Jr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Theodore J Bomba Jr
107 Carousel Cir
New Britain, PA 18901-5026
tedbomba@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Regina Romeo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Regina Romeo
6882 Chestnut Ridge Rd
Hubbard, OH 44425-2909
raromeo264@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Fleishman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Fleishman
401 8th Ave Apt 65
Brooklyn, NY 11215-3597
ellengleishman@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Valerie DeVries](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

We must stop destroying this planet.

Sincerely,

Valerie DeVries
907 Fulton Ave
Winthrop Harbor, IL 60096-1725
valeriedevries@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [sandra standeford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

sandra standeford
1720 Beeler Ave
Indianapolis, IN 46224-5507
f0712b@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James McCarthy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James McCarthy
407 Centennial Ave
Normal, IL 61761-3125
jamesmccarthy43@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tim Herman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tim Herman
312 Clark Rd
Hershey, PA 17033-2118
tdherman312@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Candice Lowery](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Candice Lowery
209 S 5th Ave
Mount Vernon, NY 10550-3806
pickleberry00@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leslie Hughes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leslie Hughes
110 Ritchie Dr
Brandenburg, KY 40108-7308
day2day44@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [steve babb](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

steve babb
1720 Beeler Ave
Speedway, IN 46224-5507
sp1720@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan alice Mufson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan alice Mufson
325 W 21st St
New York, NY 10011-3022
revsus@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J.Pita](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J Pita
2509 Sherry Rd
Louisville, KY 40217-1844
jlpita44@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Yarnell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Yarnell
21 Beverly Cir
Fairmont, WV 26554-1464
karen.yarnell@fairmontstate.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rosemary Agonito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Agonito
4502 Broad Rd
Syracuse, NY 13215-2404
agonito@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amber Angel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amber Angel
606 Van Buren Ave
Hobart, IN 46342-1148
amber.angel@mcgarrybowen.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amanda Larger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amanda Larger
4227 Ashgrove Dr
Grove City, OH 43123-3394
mandylarger@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [mark zebrasky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

mark zebrasky
5350 Pine Ridge Oval
Parma, OH 44131-4643
mark381@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [chris LaFevre](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

chris LaFevre
107 Brandy Way
Pocono Lake, PA 18347-7934
irishangels28@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [M Gee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:11:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

M Gee
250 E 63rd St
Apt 607
New York, NY 10065-7615
mgauthor@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gretchen Fay](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:11:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Fay
117 McGinley Dr
Glenshaw, PA 15116-1829
gretchcya@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Janovick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:11:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Janovick
1619 Sunrise Dr
New Castle, PA 16105-5341
moonlightlily1619@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Howden](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:11:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Howden
10 Ribblesdale Drive
Whitby, NY 12345
jhowden@rogers.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nina Haberer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:11:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nina Haberer
2550 Yeager Rd
West Lafayette, IN 47906-1378
ninahab73@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [D. Randall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:11:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the senselessly proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to stupidly and recklessly eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

D. Randall
5 Longacre Ct
Port Jefferson, NY 11777-1320
lightfoot_32@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [angela_judy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

angela_judy
6258 Walkers Croft Way
Alexandria, VA 22315-5228
angela_judy@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Catherine Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Catherine Jones
100 Dreiser Loop Apt 3h
Bronx, NY 10475-2660
catherine.jones@morganstanley.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Murphy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Murphy
100 dean ave
Bronx, NY 10465
grb091@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Hurst](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Hurst
4572 Rita St
Youngstown, OH 44515-3828
cah165050@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joe Kiefner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joe Kiefner
507 Cheltena Ave
Jenkintown, PA 19046-2107
fjkief@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Fuhs](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Fuhs
561 Washburn St
Elgin, IL 60123-7563
dfuhs@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Donahue](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Donahue
4410 Roundhill Road
Alexandria, VA 22310
lindaleedonahue@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anton Klotz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anton Klotz
300 Broadway
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-2138
antonklotz@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laurel Dennie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laurel Dennie
5818 Shannon Place Ln
Dublin, OH 43016-4300
gobo83@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janice Moore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janice Moore
118 S 21st St Apt 1216
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4427
janice@janicemoore.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Bridges](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Bridges
201 S Glen Oak Dr
Athens, IL 62613-9701
terry_bridges@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dr. Dennis Fassman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dennis Fassman
372 Post Ave
Westbury, NY 11590-2201
nrftdoc@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [john.kunzman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

john.kunzman
210e. daisy ln.
new albany, IN 47150
johnkunzman@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Eisenberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Eisenberg
1005 S Hawthorne Dr
Bloomington, IN 47401-6090
eisenber@indiana.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dori Cole](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dori Cole
68 Sterling Cir Apt 107
Wheaton, IL 60189-2123
dpernicka@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric Jensen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric Jensen
207 Covington Pl
Schaumburg, IL 60194-4123
bonzo37@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Rossachacj](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Rossachacj
110 E Knowles Ave
Glenolden, PA 19036-2105
rossachacj@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dianne Brandt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dianne Brandt
649 Bayberry Ln
Yardley, PA 19067-6337
dbrandtteach@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Barraco](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Barraco
The Grove Saratoga 233 Lake Avenue, Apt. 111
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866
gail.barraco@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Naidnur](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Naidnur
120 Windy Hill St N
Bellevue, IL 61604-5347
jnaidnur@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Snyder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, and former resident of the great state of Ohio, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Snyder
PO Box 776
Shepherdstown, WV 25443-0776
nsnyder@shepherd.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rita Grrrolitzer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:12:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rita Grrrolitzer
333 Pearl St
New York, NY 10038-1609
r.grolitzer@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [louis pigford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

louis pigford
652 S Gettysburg Ave
Dayton, OH 45417-3515
louisdark94@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [louis pigford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

louis pigford
652 S Gettysburg Ave
Dayton, OH 45417-3515
louisdark94@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julia Baidoo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julia Baidoo
2233 Candlewood Dr
Alexandria, VA 22308-1506
juliabaidoo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [louis pigford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

louis pigford
652 S Gettysburg Ave
Dayton, OH 45417-3515
louisdark94@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristine Russo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristine Russo
4424 Glenheath Dr
Dayton, OH 45440-1216
jrusso4424@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [louis pigford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

louis pigford
652 S Gettysburg Ave
Dayton, OH 45417-3515
louisdark94@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joan Kolessar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joan Kolessar
330 Oak Street
Walnutport, PA 18088
friendsofanimals358@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peter Sayre](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peter Sayre
3510 Pence Ct
Annandale, VA 22003-1426
pmsayre153@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [SD Moniz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

SD Moniz
11650 Valley Rd
Fairfax, VA 22033-2705
sdmoniz.va@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Welkowitz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:13:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Welkowitz
1600 S Eads St
526
Arlington, VA 22202-2926
bwelkowitz@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Derrick Jenkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Derrick Jenkins
4 Tindalls Way
Hampton, VA 23666-5011
storeetjdjay@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tamara Zakout](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tamara Zakout
7206 Regent Dr
Alexandria, VA 22307-2043
tzakout@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tom Aub](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tom Aub
420 Crest Hill Rd
Lynchburg, VA 24504-4343
rockytop@ftginfo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [DENNIS VECCHIARELLO SR](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

DENNIS VECCHIARELLO SR
435 Michigan St
Ronkonkoma, NY 11779-5205
vecch8@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Char Esser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Char Esser
505 Van Lears Run
Villanova, PA 19085-1023
3.14cje@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rochelle Lazio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rochelle Lazio
11844 Clifton Blvd
Lakewood, OH 44107-2022
gustav121@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Don Sodrel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Don Sodrel
1032 Cliffwood Dr
New Albany, IN 47150-2115
trashforce@twc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erin Gadegaard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erin Gadegaard
3014 Hendon Rd
Louisville, KY 40220-1873
erinmoiria03@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Schiappa](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Schiappa
8 Ketcham Pl
Melville, NY 11747-1407
pzschiappa@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [bersy levy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

bersy levy
19 Meredith Rd
Wynnewood, PA 19096-3613
betsyannlevy@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne Shaffer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Shaffer
2024 Yingling Dr
Spring Grove, PA 17362-8970
suzyshaffer3711@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robin Longenbach](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robin Longenbach
1152 E Stateside Dr
Danielsville, PA 18038-9756
robinlongenbach@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Boothe Davis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Boothe Davis
66 Four Seasons Rd
Logan, WV 25601-9516
photoboothe@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vivian Crupi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vivian Crupi
23100 County Route 144
Black River, NY 13612-2162
moonchild1009@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steven Ald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Protect the Ohio River!
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steven Ald
8036 Dennis Rd
Angola, NY 14006-9744
sald@ald-law.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dawn Nye](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dawn Nye
623 Travers Cir
Mishawaka, IN 46545-3755
dnye218@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gale Griffiths](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gale Griffiths
11 Parsonage St
Cold Spring, NY 10516-1516
galecarolgriffiths@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Hagedorn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Hagedorn
2341 S 16th St
Philadelphia, PA 19145-4403
pahag@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Yvonne Fowler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Fowler
2842 Brocktown Rd
Towanda, PA 18848-8868
drayma57@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Liz Mahony](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Liz Mahony
50 W 96th St
New York, NY 10025-6526
mikeandliz@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Gannon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Gannon
2268 Hunterbrook Rd
Yorktown Hts, NY 10598-3504
mikegg888@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Leedy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Leedy
1904 Old Ridge Rd
Virginia Beach, VA 23464-6816
jleedy1@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [dace brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

dace brown
2115 Liberty Ln
Goshen, KY 40026-8748
dacemaki@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amanda Silver](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amanda Silver
4398 Cherry Run Rd
Hedgesville, WV 25427-3644
mandysilver@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joe Pfister](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joe Pfister
50 Sherman St Apt 1
Brooklyn, NY 11215-6015
joe.s.pfister@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peter Koch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peter Koch
20 Casablanca Lane
Woodstock, NY 12498
peterkoch701@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephen Owen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephen Owen
7096 Sprucewood Ct
West Chester, OH 45241-1054
owen@xavier.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Shea](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Shea
3417 Frenora Ct
Falls Church, VA 22042-4010
maryvshea@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski
229 Majestic Dr # I
Toledo, OH 43608-1133
clannadocks@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Stone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Stone
915 S Front St
Columbus, OH 43206-2520
elliottreporting@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Cavallo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Cavallo
1276 Providence Rd
Secane, PA 19018-2800
squirrelbuddy@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Skevofilax](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Skevofilax
5 Sunny Brook Ln
Dallas, PA 18612-8904
mj393@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angelique Ingram](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angelique Ingram
1915 Central Ave
Columbus, IN 47201-4444
ingram1970@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary-Helen Steindler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary-Helen Steindler
2725 N Hermitage Ave
Chicago, IL 60614-4804
maryhelenis@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Bradshaw](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Bradshaw
7401 Eastmoreland Rd Apt 924
Annandale, VA 22003-2723
slbrad711@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dede Chejlava](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dede Chejlava
3229 Maple Ave
Brookfield, IL 60513-1238
dedelc@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roger Anker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roger Anker
3209 Heritage Blvd
Matteson, IL 60443-4439
banker07@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katie Bally](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katie Bally
15 French Ct
Mundelein, IL 60060-3007
kbally@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mari McShane](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mari McShane
333 Lucilla St
Pittsburgh, PA 15218-1001
mcshane@stat.cmu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [stephen.cohen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

stephen cohen
7 Scenic View Ct W
Dix Hills, NY 11746-5446
scohen@hccpapc.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [S.G](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

S G
217 Lane
Queens village, NY 11429
siogon35@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Pohl](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. Look at what's happening in Florida as a result of loosening up pollution control safeguards? Millions of dead fish, turtles and other wildlife, loss of tourist dollars, beaches that cannot be enjoyed, stench, and huge, expensive cleanup effort. There are lessons to be learned when states put profits over environmental and health concerns.

Sincerely,

Barbara Pohl
2159 W Melrose St
Chicago, IL 60618-6309
mensan13@rcn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Myra Mann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Myra Mann
3012 Duncan Ln
Pittsburgh, PA 15236-1570
mannm36@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dionna Bittle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dionna Bittle
2037 N 8th St
Philadelphia, PA 19122-1303
dionna.bittle@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Martha Schrader](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Martha Schrader
2397 Pleasant Valley Rd NE
New Philadelphia, OH 44663-8080
mlschrader1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rita Kain](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rita Kain
219 Bates St
Earlville, IL 60518-8133
tasc88@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marianne Zornig](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marianne Zornig
5249 W Agatite Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-3703
zornig@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frances Sonne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frances Sonne
743 3rd St
Catasauqua, PA 18032-2338
pennstate73@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [M Rangne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

M Rangne
210 1st Ave Apt 11
New York, NY 10009-3717
mockinyc@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeff Green](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeff Green
102 S Maple St
Frankfort, IL 60423-1414
jeffgreen54@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [R. Thorne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

R. Thorne
Creekside Circle
ELGIN, IL 60123-1106
thornerl@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephen Moyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephen Moyer
1200 Chestnut St
Pottsville, PA 17901-3810
stephenmoyer60@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathryn Little](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Little
123 E 156th St
Cleveland, OH 44110-1181
kgtlittle@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Martin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Martin
1479 S County Farm Rd
Wheaton, IL 60189-3203
seraphmichael@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Wise](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Wise
1660 N La Salle Dr Apt 2311
Chicago, IL 60614-6019
finnya4@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patti Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patti Johnson
5 Greer Ct
Perkasie, PA 18944-4121
pjlw16@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski
229 Majestic Dr
Toledo, OH 43608-1133
clannadocks@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tamara Grillo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tamara Grillo
2823 Miles Ave
Bronx, NY 10465-3009
tgrillo725@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elaine Livingston](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:41:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elaine Livingston
1403 Glenwood Rd
Vestal, NY 13850-3321
livingsed@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steve Harp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:42:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steve Harp
2206 Wesley Ave
Evanston, IL 60201-2648
sharp@depaul.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara VonBenken](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:42:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara VonBenken
8296 Avery Rd
Broadview Hts, OH 44147-1672
beelzebarb1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sara Fosmire](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:42:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sara Fosmire
342 County Highway 131
Johnstown, NY 12095-4026
kbfoz00@citlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Frazier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:43:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Frazier
615 Glenbrook Dr
Newark, OH 43055-3255
kimfrazier273@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Finch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:43:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Finch
398 bleecker st
New york, NY 10004
jlfinch@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kathy long](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:43:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kathy long
53 2nd Ave
Kingston, NY 12401-3227
screaminwolf328@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Greg Burnet](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:43:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Greg Burnet
3608 Wenonah Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-3342
thebear00@juno.com

From: [Jim & Liz Solberg](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please do not eliminate ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:45:52 PM

To: Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission

We write to request that you retain your important role in setting scientifically based pollution control standards for the Ohio River. As Ohio natives and 47 year Hoosiers, we care deeply about water quality in our treasured Ohio River. Unfortunately, it seems to be one of the most polluted rivers in the country and in the lead on industrial pollution. However, that makes it all the more important to know what the limits should be for the vast array of pollutants that need to be managed. Left up to each state, inconsistencies and additional overall costs are the likely result. The states along the Ohio River need to continue to work together to improve water quality in the Ohio River and ORSANCO's role in identifying pollution control standards is vital.

Please reject the proposal to give up ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards.

Jim and Liz Solberg
4030 Sylvan Trail
West Lafayette, IN 47906

From: [Tom Dawson](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Protection
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 4:58:54 PM

As a person who lives in Vevay Indiana I am very concerned. I see in a Madison Courier article about ORSANCO considering dropping some pollution protection for the Ohio River. My Analogy to this is the medical profession has moved forward with advanced techniques over the last 50 years. It is moving forward and saving more lives. A doctor may have saved one of your members lives. Here we now have advanced pollution control in place by OSANCO to protect the Ohio River valley. You make us safer and the river more beautiful. It is currently in place yet you talk about regressing. You should instead be striving to advance like any worthy science . Just who benefits from a dirtier river? A friend of mine says “you can’t throw something away. There is no away .”

Sent from Tom D
Thomas Dawson
10 Packet Blvd
Vevay IN

From: [Nikki Orlemann](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: NO to eliminating pollution control standards for OHIO river!!
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:06:04 PM
Attachments: [Screen Shot 2018-08-16 at 4.35.48 PM.png](#)

The Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission,

**PLEASE SAY NO to eliminating pollution control standards
for the OHIO River!!**

NO on Alternative @

Sincerely,
Nikki G. Orlemann

Another way to keep our WATERS CLEAN... Australia knows how...



Bonnie Pence shared a post.

Yesterday at 8:05 AM · 🧑🏻



We should be so smart here.



Keith Anderson

August 8 at 9:59 AM

 Follow

How Australia prevents storm drain trash from reaching the rivers, lakes and ocean

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dennis Keller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dennis Keller
1429 Old Reliance Rd
Middletown, PA 17057-5906
dkeller0654@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Ziegler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Ziegler
9500 Bryn Mawr Ave
Rosemont, IL 60018-5211
quickm23@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Boyce](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Boyce
21 Glann Rd
Apalachin, NY 13732-4014
soildigger2@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [VIRGINIA COUSINS](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

VIRGINIA COUSINS
114 Bierce St
Tallmadge, OH 44278-1305
ssbn617@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Martin Galbraith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

As a kayaker who occasionally boats in the Ohio (usually with the Beaver County Rowing Association in Rochester as my base), the river's health and beauty are of particular importance to me.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Martin Galbraith
150 Andrus Rd
Freedom, PA 15042-2502
pastor.mjg@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Madalyn Benoit](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Madalyn Benoit
8 Rothermel Lane Ext
Kinderhook, NY 12106-2110
isabella_11372@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mafalda Castro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mafalda Castro
Rua gondarem
Porto, KY 41503
mafaldafonsecacastro@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cheryl Carnahan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Carnahan
328 Squareview Ln
Rochester, NY 14626-1866
crcarnahan@frii.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elaine Barker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elaine Barker
415 N Kenmore St
Arlington, VA 22201-1725
barkerva@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dee Boyd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dee Boyd
425 Conewango Ave
Warren, PA 16365-1627
ddboyd14u@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jan Barshis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jan Barshis
1500 Sheridan Rd
Wilmette, IL 60091-1873
janbar1@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [g Zahler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

g Zahler
8020 Killington Ave NW
North Canton, OH 44720-8108
gmlzahler@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Ricobene](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Ricobene
8435 S Kilpatrick Ave
Chicago, IL 60652-3049
gooddoctor115@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol G](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol G
4927 Stonehaven Dr
Columbus, OH 43220-2827
cangeo1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [M.E. Monti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

M.E. Monti
10 5th Ave
New York, NY 10011-8830
memonti@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Miller
305 Shady Ln
Kouts, IN 46347-9657
newdeb7@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Clarence Harris](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Clarence Harris
3610 192nd St
Lansing, IL 60438-4204
clarisio@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ed Kenney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ed Kenney
73 Bickel Ct
Sterling, VA 20165-5728
enkenney@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rhenda Price](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rhenda Price
17424 N Old Centralia Ln
Mount Vernon, IL 62864-7689
rhenda13@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Spano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Spano
3842 Marshall Rd
Drexel Hill, PA 19026-2825
karenspano1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annemarie Jason](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annemarie Jason
61 Claremont Ave
Buffalo, NY 14222-1145
annemariejason@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wilfredo R. Santiago](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wilfredo R. Santiago
262 45th St Apt 1r
Brooklyn, NY 11220-1052
freddy11220@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracy Marotta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracy Marotta
263 Bay 19th St
Brooklyn, NY 11214-6003
tracyrocks@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Simpkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Simpkins
501 N Hamilton St
Richmond, VA 23221-2024
hbsimpkins@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ginny Gursky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ginny Gursky
472 Boxley Ln
Arvon, VA 23004-2214
gmg64@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tanya Wenrich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tanya Wenrich
802 University Ave
Selinsgrove, PA 17870-9445
tanyawenrich@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Teri Manolas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Teri Manolas
40 Kirkwood Dr
Glen Cove, NY 11542-1634
tmrx17@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Davis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Davis
3242 Jersey Ct
Colonial Heights, VA 23834-1749
bcd1949@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Group](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Group
PO Box 464
Biglerville, PA 17307-0464
jessmick@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kira velella](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kira velella
3442 Harold St
Oceanside, NY 11572-4745
kirasongs@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard and Nancy Huff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard and Nancy Huff
4918 Nevada Ave
Fort Wayne, IN 46815-7335
nancyrhuff@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ella Binz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ella Binz
2906 Hawkshead Dr
New Lenox, IL 60451-2711
ellabinz@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Meryl Alexander](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Meryl Alexander
486 S Wood Rd
Rockville Centre, NY 11570-2250
merylwright@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Falacara](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Falacara
69 Birch St
Floral Park, NY 11001-3414
bigwhitetiger@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Brenner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Brenner
512 Bella St
Hollidaysburg, PA 16648-2304
voohoo@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Betty Vignes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Betty Vignes
Apt. 207
FAIRFAX, VA 22032
msbettypv@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annajeen Morales](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annajeen Morales
3981 47th St
Sunnyside, NY 11104-1419
annajeangrace@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Franchino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:11:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Franchino
2385 Main St
Northampton, PA 18067-1102
lisafranchino@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [JoAnne Metzler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:11:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

JoAnne Metzler
1400 Plymouth Ave S
Rochester, NY 14611-3935
joanne.metzler@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne O'Brien](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne O'Brien
365 Martling Ave
Tarrytown, NY 10591-4712
obrienatm@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Meyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Meyer
8493 Cindell St SE
East Canton, OH 44730-9550
dlm93yahola@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ruth Thiede](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ruth Thiede
1764 W Highland Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-1126
rjthiede@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Emily Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Emily Johnson
296 Powers St
Brooklyn, NY 11211-5120
ejohnson@guggenheim.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ljubica Sefer-Stefancic](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ljubica Sefer-Stefancic
170 Westminster Dr
Yonkers, NY 10710-4221
ljubica_stefancic@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia McHale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia McHale
29 Tupelo Ave
Naperville, IL 60540-8023
cynthia.mchale@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Houston](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Houston
7900 Kinsman Rd
Novelty, OH 44072-9519
houstonsol@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Bonaventura](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Bonaventura
208 Jackson St
Brooklyn, NY 11211-1504
elizabethbonaventura@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joy Smiley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joy Smiley
1 Target Ln
Levittown, NY 11756-1130
joyfredi@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristin Hall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristin Hall
300 Maple Ave
Ballston Spa, NY 12020-1086
mguffie@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Rashman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Rashman
316 Pine Lake Cir
Vernon Hills, IL 60061-1227
hdrdebby@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathryn McDonald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathryn McDonald
517 S Bay Rd
North Syracuse, NY 13212-3626
mandkmcDonald@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joshua Wallman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:12:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joshua Wallman
410 E 6th St
New York, NY 10009-6403
scrappypro@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Colleen Burke](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:13:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Colleen Burke
21 Rockhill Rd
Rocky Point, NY 11778-9018
colleencathelp@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marly Colucci](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:13:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marly Colucci
Manoel Ache 597
Ribeirao Preto, NY 140205609
marlycolucci2000@yahoo.com.br

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Brazzell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:13:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Brazzell
1417 S Shelby St
Louisville, KY 40217-1106
jewelair@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tyler Miranda](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:13:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tyler Miranda
383 Main St
Highland Falls, NY 10928-2022
phantoka23@yahoo.com

From: [Mary Jane Meyer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Object
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:33:35 PM

I Object the proposal!

MJM
Belknap Beach rd 40059

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Don Chasteen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Don Chasteen
2011 Ayers Rd
Millbury, OH 43447-9710
dgmc1979@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Hirose](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Say No To Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Hirose
1774 Westbury Dr
Hoffman Estates, IL 60192-1042
wearejedi@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Don Hawkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Don Hawkins
1406 Grant St
Braddock, PA 15104-2948
hawk6977@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Clark](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Clark
1003 Wren Hollow Rd
Woolwine, VA 24185-3843
diane718@centurylink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [carl darrigo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

carl darrigo
303 Terrace Rd
Bayport, NY 11705-1525
carld411@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [dan green](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

dan green
563 polo dr
Bartlett, IL 60193
green@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [elizabeth mark](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

YET ANOTHER WAY THIS LEADERSHIP CONTINUES TO DEVASTATE THE HEALTH OF OUR COUNTRY AND PLANET...ALL FOR CRONYISM AND MONETARY POCKET LINING

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

elizabeth mark
77 Jared Dr
White Plains, NY 10605-3413
lizsma@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gary Di Leonardo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gary Di Leonardo
97 Lexington Ave
Malverne, NY 11565-2315
garygcd@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brenda Hovermale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brenda Hovermale
306 Edgewood Pl W
Anderson, IN 46011-1611
hovermaleb@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Astrid Berkson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Astrid Berkson
12 Stanford Pl
Champaign, IL 61820-7620
astridjb@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bill Gabbard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bill Gabbard
22846 Shannon Ln
Sunman, IN 47041-8321
rebelforever2@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Shivley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Shivley
PO Box 566
Convoy, OH 45832-0566
karensshivley@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Weidlich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Weidlich
1023 Brindlestone Dr
Vandalia, OH 45377-3103
timeless-00@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nigel Attwell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nigel Attwell
34 Green Hill Rd
Goldens Bridge, NY 10526-1014
nigel@theattwell4.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Nelson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Nelson
8124 Ridge Blvd
Brooklyn, NY 11209-3531
jnel8124@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kaye Aurigemma](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kaye Aurigemma
10846 Martindale Dr
Westchester, IL 60154-5021
kaurige1@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Murphy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Murphy
205 Lorenz Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15220-5730
muttsrus@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Dal Cais](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Dal Cais
4534 47th St
Woodside, NY 11377-5231
arrachne@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donald Seeger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donald Seeger
204 Southwood Ter
Louisville, KY 40214-4222
donemilyseeger@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynne Teplin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynne Teplin
846 Palmer Rd Apt 1a
Bronxville, NY 10708-3323
lynnet@lagcc.cuny.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [CARLINA MORA](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

CARLINA MORA
7312 Franklin St
Forest Park, IL 60130-1182
lm2289@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Earl Grove](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Earl Grove
128 3rd St W
East Canton, OH 44730-1010
egrove06@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elaine&Dave Phoenix](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elaine&Dave Phoenix
41 W Kendrick Ave
Hamilton, NY 13346-1211
dphoenix1@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J Botts](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J Botts
7215 Kimberly Ln
Plainfield, IN 46168-8459
diamondgirl222@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Buttaccio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Buttaccio
3705 S Main St
Marion, NY 14505-9752
pbuttaccio@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alison Quinn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alison Quinn
7836 GlenwoodvAvr
Canal Winchester, OH 43110
alison_quinn1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Williim Cline](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Williim Cline
13375 Atlantic Rd
Strongsville, OH 44149-3922
cline@wilmina.ac.jp

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele Roberts](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele Roberts
5963 Kedron St
Springfield, VA 22150-3928
micheleroberts68@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janice Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janice Jones
5835 Orchard Hill Ct
Clifton, VA 20124-1074
jonesjc1@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Calvin Rittenhouse](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Calvin Rittenhouse
160 N Sylvan Ave Apt 4
Columbus, OH 43204-2059
mrmaccheese@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Ungar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Ungar
272 W 107th St
New York, NY 10025-7899
sweetjorja@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susann Eastridge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susann Eastridge
3795 Ashville Rd
Marshall, VA 20115-2936
suseast@erols.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marjorie Kiefer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Kiefer
3210 Cheval Way
Louisville, KY 40299-3583
mjkiefer@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Clarice Glandon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Clarice Glandon
PO Box 333
Long Lake, NY 12847-0333
clariceglandon@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dianne Mancuso](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dianne Mancuso
19 La Bonne Vie Dr Apt C
East Patchogue, NY 11772-4417
diannemancuso@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Hall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Hall
320 Quebec St
Portsmouth, VA 23707-3003
hallk@portsmouthva.gov

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Lester](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Lester
317 Phillips St
Johnstown, PA 15904-1225
lsunshine15904@atlanticbb.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Freya Christensen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Freya Christensen
605 S Spring St
Falls Church, VA 22046-2818
dezd3monda@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Geiger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Geiger
7245 N Bergen Rd
Bergen, NY 14416-9338
geiger3778@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roni Unger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roni Unger
340 E 93rd St
New York, NY 10128-5547
roniung@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Lakowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:41:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Lakowski
3406 S Kilkenny Dr
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-4712
dlakows@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ron Weihofen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ron Weihofen
55 W Lincoln Hwy
Cortland, IL 60112-4078
ronw@cresswood.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrei Harabadji](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrei Harabadji
2249 E 21st St
Brooklyn, NY 11229-3669
andrei-22@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Abby Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Abby Smith
15 Linda Dr
Newport News, VA 23608-2218
abbyhelfand@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Helm](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Helm
1332 Dean St
Saint Charles, IL 60174-1609
beh50@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Larissa Venzie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Larissa Venzie
405 E Main St #432
Independence, VA 24348
lvenzie@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Neff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Neff
11213 Ambry Ln
Henrico, VA 23233-1878
jenny_neff@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Watras](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Watras
303 E Broad St
East Stroudsburg, PA 18301-2324
sabath@epix.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dara Murray](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dara Murray
440 E 62nd St
New York, NY 10065-8340
daralynn_10021@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Peterman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Peterman
1229 Caledonia Ashley Rd S
Caledonia, OH 43314-9412
nol3nol@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Jurczewski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

****PLEASE PROTECT THE WATERWAYS AND COMMUNITIES FROM POLLUTION!****

Sincerely,

Carol Jurczewski
452 Shenstone Rd
Riverside, IL 60546-2032
cjurczewski@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christy Dunn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

It is not too late to step up and be responsible citizens of this planet, caretakers instead of just 'takers'. Our planet is in critical shape and we need to do what we can through government and as individual citizens to correct our numerous problems.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christy Dunn
230 Clarks Farm Rd
Keysville, VA 23947-3407
christycdunn@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karyn Loveless](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karyn Loveless
71 Forest Ave
Locust Valley, NY 11560-1748
karynloveless@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edwin Torres](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edwin Torres
1265 Robbins St
Philadelphia, PA 19111-5835
edwinjt@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annick Richardson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annick Richardson
420 Lewiston Rd
Dayton, OH 45429-2618
annicknoisette@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dennis Fleming](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dennis Fleming
28 Lake Nanuet Dr
Nanuet, NY 10954-3511
djfcirn@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christopher E Robin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christopher E Robin
PO Box 12462
Burke, VA 22009-2462
prdcr@animalsalive.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynn Gazik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynn Gazik
6803 Forest Ave
Cleveland, OH 44129-2735
lynn.mg9756@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christopher Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christopher Smith
740 Schuylkill Rd
Birdsboro, PA 19508-9224
smith12772@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Cox](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Cox
733 Brevard Cir
Pickerington, OH 43147-7855
mamabear0255@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathie E. Takush](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:42:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathie E Takush
1026 Franklin St Apt 1206
Reading, PA 19602-1251
ktakush@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patrick Carl Hume](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:43:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patrick Carl Hume
2469 Independence Ave
Abington, PA 19001-3135
patch.2009@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kim greer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:43:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kim greer
665 W End Ave
New York, NY 10025-7324
donttempme666@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Roth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:43:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Roth
4673 Southwood Dr
Cleveland, OH 44144-2401
daver17@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcia Carter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:44:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcia Carter
803 14th St
Virginia Beach, VA 23451-4357
mac0928@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shay Patterson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:44:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shay Patterson
210 N Penn St
Palmyra, PA 17078-1418
shay_patterson@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nina Garfinkel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 5:44:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nina Garfinkel
880 Peninsula Blvd
Woodmere, NY 11598-1930
ninajay@sprynet.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nina Pykare](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nina Pykare
980 Johnson Plank Rd NE
Warren, OH 44481-9327
ninapykare@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Pickel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Pickel
1521 Kelton Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15216-1806
andpic12@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Rossi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Rossi
442 N Glenn Dr
Palatine, IL 60074-7169
krossi3@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kevin Drever](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kevin Drever
426 N Ada St
Chicago, IL 60642-8001
kevindrever@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Raymond](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Raymond
105 Parkhurst Blvd
Buffalo, NY 14223-2838
nancycarol2@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christina Campbell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christina Campbell
116 Sunset
Villa Park, IL 60181
cdodd409@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cassandra Tereschak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cassandra Tereschak
525 Arthur Ave
Scranton, PA 18510-2356
ctereschak@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tori Stegle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tori Stegle
6745 Lake Village Dr
Alexandria, VA 22315-2672
tori.stegle@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard A PASCHEL](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard A PASCHEL
14901 Hollywood Ave
Flushing, NY 11355-1719
r.paschel@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carolyn Haupt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Haupt
116 Hessian Hills Rdg Apt 1
Charlottesville, VA 22901-2513
carolynh41@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Bonfante](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Bonfante
604 Caledonia Rd
Dix Hills, NY 11746-5123
bonfantegail71@gmail.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Davis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:23 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Davis
10125 Valleyview Dr
Columbia Station, OH 44028-9647
ed0304954@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rosemarie McPeake](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie McPeake
18 Ashwood Ct
Sugar Grove, IL 60554-4218
rjmcpeake@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Feirtag](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Feirtag
6209 12th St N
Arlington, VA 22205-1720
dfeirtag@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [LindaRose Perosi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

LindaRose Perosi
2437 Crescent St
Astoria, NY 11102-2838
lp6@nyu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gina Marafiote](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gina Marafiote
456 5th Ave
Hubbard, OH 44425-2213
gmmarafiote@student.yosu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Hope Dwyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Hope Dwyer
2773 Cole Ln
New Lenox, IL 60451-2771
serala49@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [barb ara](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

barb ara
310 ridge dr
leb jct, KY 40150
bmw-order@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Raup-Kounovsky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Raup-Kounovsky
37 High St
Chatham, NY 12037-1127
pamelot3@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beth Braun](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beth Braun
4457 N Malden St Apt 2
Chicago, IL 60640-6277
bethdance11@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ann Davidson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ann Davidson
4217 N Ashland Ave Unit 2s
Chicago, IL 60613-1251
copacabanaana@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Goldman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Goldman
212 N Market St
Thorntown, IN 46071-1016
imgoldman@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J Lyle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J Lyle
6207 Highland Rd
Dublin, VA 24084-5884
wolf_ridge@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Paslay](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Paslay
3326 Eileen St
Decatur, IL 62521-8886
lkkpaslay@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maria Dicillo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maria Dicillo
6419 Erna Dr
Lockport, NY 14094-6525
mdicillo54@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronald B. Borgquist](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronald B. Borgquist
7833 Strawberry Hill Rd
Lewis Center, OH 43035-7008
rbborgquist@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kal Jandl](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kal Jandl
800 Trenton Rd Apt 117
Langhorne, PA 19047-5650
chironax@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tammy Kelly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tammy Kelly
33 Nichols St
Lockport, NY 14094-4813
tammykelly689@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bob Yancey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bob Yancey
570 Sorento Ave
Sorento, IL 62086-3200
bobyancey52@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cheryl Petzel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Petzel
9627 Cook Ave
Oak Lawn, IL 60453-3066
cjpetzel@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katherine Napolitano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katherine Napolitano
360 Westchester Ave
Port Chester, NY 10573-3853
1250nn@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pat Monacella](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pat Monacella
2908 Hideaway Rd
Fairfax, VA 22031-1327
pmonacella@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert M/Carol G Reed](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert M/Carol G Reed
72 Stoney Dr
Hardyville, VA 23070-2152
rreed@cablefirst.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa E Davis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa E Davis
12 Charles St Apt 5c
New York, NY 10014-3050
underthemink2@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fred Barnes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fred Barnes
1177 S Kenilworth Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-1944
opie24@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Whitney Metz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Whitney Metz
100 1/2 E Main St
Mannington, WV 26582-1127
whitneyveganmetz@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Wallis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Wallis
410 S Main St
Oxford, OH 45056-2306
awalj@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shawn Hedrick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shawn Hedrick
57 Morgans Run
Schuylerville, NY 12871-1157
shmihe@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pam Speagle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pam Speagle
7418 Old North Church Rd
Louisville, KY 40214-6010
pamstyle343@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beatrice Broughton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beatrice Broughton
606 Glen Willow Rd
Avondale, PA 19311-9523
bxb174@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brent Taylor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brent Taylor
3724 Shafer Cir
Carmel, IN 46033-4727
brentaylor@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Zimmermann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Zimmermann
198 Sears Rd
West Islip, NY 11795-2927
doctorsz@webtv.net

From: [Kathy Rohlfig](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution control
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:12:55 PM

ORSANCO Commission Members,

ORSANCO'S Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River should NOT be eliminated.

A sanitation commission should promote sanitation. A sanitation commission should not eliminate standards that promote sanitation.

I have lived along the Ohio River almost all my life. A cleaner river is good for people and business.

Kathy Rohlfig

Madison, IN

This email has been checked for viruses by AVG.
<https://www.avg.com>

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Daniel Safer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:13:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Daniel Safer
3305 Hamilton St
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2530
saferdan@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peggy Fugate](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:13:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peggy Fugate
6685 Stillwell Beckett Rd
Oxford, OH 45056-9246
westernbabe001@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [R Sprague](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:13:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

R Sprague
2744 E Main St
Whitney Point, NY 13862-2007
rasprague906@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maggie Hart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:13:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maggie Hart
4650 N Oak Park Ave
Harwood Heights, IL 60706-4823
mgghrt@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Washington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:14:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Washington
345 W 58th St Apt 11u
New York, NY 10019-1140
cwashington@wlrk.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Horowitz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:14:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. Our rivers must be protected from the callous irresponsibility of polluters, and we need our government agencies to see that they are.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Horowitz
6544 Darlington Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15217-1840
12newmoons@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steven Skal](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:14:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steven Skal
630 Churchill Ave
Columbus, OH 43214-1967
sskal@aol.com

From: [William Bond](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:39:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

William Bond
101nHillside Way
Marietta, OH 45750
740/374-6715

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joan Settina](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joan Settina
1475 Beaver Run Rd SW
Hebron, OH 43025-9799
joansettina@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frank Avagliano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frank Avagliano
14 Meadow Ct
Saugerties, NY 12477-2306
farnk57@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Rohn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Rohn
6654 Hampton Park Ct
Mclean, VA 22101-6004
rohn.diane@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janice Capuani](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janice Capuani
60 Hastings Dr
Orchard Park, NY 14127-1661
jcapuani@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Zavolokoff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Zavolokoff
6719 N Oconto Ave
Chicago, IL 60631-3917
maryzavolokoff62@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marian Williams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marian Williams
PO Box 527
Valatie, NY 12184-0527
doubleduty@berk.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pete Klosterman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pete Klosterman
372 Central Park W Apt 12a
New York, NY 10025-8209
petek@accesscom.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [mark jolliffe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

mark jolliffe
1717 W Crystal Ln
Mount Prospect, IL 60056-5459
oldskoolmj@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Elder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Elder
40 Bayswater Blvd
Inwood, NY 11096-1104
lil_devil_200317@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lori Domich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lori Domich
1110 Manhatas Trl
Algonquin, IL 60102-3635
loridomich@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Todd Powers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Todd Powers
1590 Moorings Dr
Reston, VA 20190-4261
powerstodd@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Sumerfield](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Sumerfield
502 Hopewell Rd
Downingtown, PA 19335-1221
bonniesumerfield@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lilian Greco](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lilian Greco
1607 Kensington Ave
Westchester, IL 60154-4210
greco_lilian@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eleanor Lange](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Lange
37 Mason Dr
Manhasset, NY 11030-2006
elange1500@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fern Wachtel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fern Wachtel
355 8th Ave Apt 15a
New York, NY 10001-4885
wachfern@usa.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julia DeNiro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julia DeNiro
1926 Wyandotte Rd
Columbus, OH 43212-1034
julia.deniro@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Banta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Banta
3811 108th St
Corona, NY 11368-2087
lbanta57@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jonathan Katz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Katz
1012 S 49th St
Philadelphia, PA 19143-3406
jonathandavidkatz@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [nicholas.pasqual](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

nicholas pasqual
10812 S Prospect Ave
Chicago, IL 60643-3414
npasqual@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bob Krasen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

Our waterways have been open sewers for too long. Every company thinks you should make an exception for their befouling the water. Your job is quite simply, to STOP IT!

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bob Krasen
566 Blenheim Rd
Columbus, OH 43214-3264
bobkrasen@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Willour](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Willour
7439 Case Ave
Mentor, OH 44060-5720
jwillour@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julia Amsler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julia Amsler
586 E Tarkiln Rd
Clarion, PA 16214-7050
jmams1@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrea Karsh](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrea Karsh
789 Loretta St
Pittsburgh, PA 15217-2825
andiekarsh@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Merle Neidell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Do not Eliminate Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

The destruction of clean water is utterly and reprehensible!

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Merle Neidell
37 Theresa Ln
Port Jefferson, NY 11777-2097
dellmerle@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [CARYL SPECK](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

CARYL SPECK
1040 W. BALTIMORE PIKE APT. A509
MEDIA, PA 19063
caryl.speck@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Madeleine Toland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Madeleine Toland
44228 Big Trail Ter
Ashburn, VA 20147-2236
mادتoland@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Rosenbaum](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Rosenbaum
452 Settlers Village Cir
Cranberry Twp, PA 16066-2159
sea_shells@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ruth Moy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ruth Moy
35 Grandview Dr
Mount Kisco, NY 10549-1829
ruthdznr1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathy Saunders](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathy Saunders
123 Olcott St
Lockport, NY 14094-2448
cats2s57@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Smallridge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Smallridge
1310 Boston Corners Rd
Millerton, NY 12546-5074
gesmallridge@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Martin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Martin
16039 S Lexington Dr
Plainfield, IL 60586-8026
threshold1955@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen and Will Lozow Cleary](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. We demand and deserve a glorious planet with clean air and clean waters . We demand to protect our birds , animal populations ,and endangered species too .

Sincerely,

Karen and Will Lozow Cleary
4145 W Gifford Rd
Bloomington, IN 47403-2600
woodelf3004@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marian Ingerson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marian Ingerson
264 Main St
Newfield, NY 14867-9405
mingerson22@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Cervantes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Cervantes
1238 S 12th Ave
Maywood, IL 60153-1964
laura-cervantes@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Walden](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Walden
5445 Candy Ln
Milford, OH 45150-2811
kim.walden@7hills.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patty Felt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

I live in Ohio. Nuff said.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patty Felt
3763 Blacklick Rd NW
Baltimore, OH 43105-9699
pfelt@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robin Pappas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robin Pappas
PO Box 133
Pocono Manor, PA 18349-0133
wakeupandsmellthedog@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Petrof](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Petrof
1021 Wesley Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1160
cpetrof@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charlotte Fremaux](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: What Are You Thinking??? Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Our rivers are vital to the health of our nation. You have a responsibility to protect this one.

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Fremaux
175 Fern Dr
Harpers Ferry, WV 25425-4763
cmfremaux@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeff Jenkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeff Jenkins
141 Versailles Rd
Rochester, NY 14621-1418
jjenkins50@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Beckman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Beckman
9007 Bindley Mounts Rd
Blanchester, OH 45107-8405
lin4869@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marsha Alia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marsha Alia
2041 High Falls Rd
Catskill, NY 12414-5414
aliafamily@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joan Henfield](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joan Henfield
PO Box 83
Southampton, NY 11969-0083
jph516@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Miller
228 Union St
Brooklyn, NY 11231-3502
pamela_miller@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Howell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Howell
20200 Center Brook Sq
Sterling, VA 20165-5196
cynthia_howell@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Hart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:40:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Hart
117 N Fargo St
Oregon, OH 43616-1909
mainer2@buckeye-express.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Graf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:42:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Graf
2303 Meadow Rd
Louisville, KY 40205-2215
amygraf@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [laurie cozza](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:42:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

laurie cozza
205 Wayne Ave
Stony Point, NY 10980-3002
cozza-festa@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynn Slonaker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:42:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynn Slonaker
44 Game Farm Rd
Pawling, NY 12564-3429
slonman@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roberta Kessler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:44:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roberta Kessler
2340 Ardaugh Ave
Crest Hill, IL 60403-1858
rlkessler@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Martin Anisman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:44:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. Thank you

Sincerely,

Martin Anisman
135 Spring Meadow Dr Apt 3
Williamsville, NY 14221-8436
martin.anisman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leslie Beall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 6:44:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leslie Beall
716 2nd Ave
Aurora, IL 60505-4448
ableslab@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [MaryAnn Lieberman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

MaryAnn Lieberman
8 Hildreth Rd
Hampton Bays, NY 11946-1109
beanie@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Coll](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Coll
4100 Franklin Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15214-1619
kscoll58@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Lindsay](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency. This appears to be inspired by the Trump administration's EPA!

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Lindsay
257 Keith Ave
Akron, OH 44313-5301
jlindsay3156@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jane Lee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jane Lee
107 W 70th St
New York, NY 10023-4416
jmlee9@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [stacey krieger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

stacey krieger
49 Fletcher St
Tonawanda, NY 14150-2203
stacey.e.krieger@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer R](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer R
16364 Willets Point Blvd
Whitestone, NY 11357-3344
jlilnex@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Mora Pineiro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Mora Pineiro
522 Beech st EAST
whitby, ont, NY 12345
acadiansiren@rogers.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tina Ceaser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tina Ceaser
325 Pennview Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15235-4429
ticeaser@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [carrie west](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

carrie west
3605 N Franklin St
Muncie, IN 47303-1124
cewest67@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Maurizzio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Maurizzio
6016 State Route 97
Narrowsburg, NY 12764-4246
jojenmaurizzio@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Campanini](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Campanini
2027 Burlison Dr
Urbana, IL 61801-5804
campanin@illinois.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nathaniel Brodsky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nathaniel Brodsky
341 S Linden Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15208-2648
natbrodsky@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Claudette Preisinger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Claudette Preisinger
8605 N Monroe Ave
Lindenhurst, NY 11757-2900
cbarzilay8@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Blandford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Blandford
2404 Hawthorne Ave
Louisville, KY 40205-2623
missyhammerbeck@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Denise Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Denise Brown
10710 Shore Front Pkwy
Rockaway Park, NY 11694-2637
gnaturecenter@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Lawless](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Lawless
1498 Old Sumneytown Pike
Harleysville, PA 19438-1121
griffakat@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marlen Hdz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marlen Hdz
Coahuiltecos
México city, NY 14438
marlepotter31@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon E. Owens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon E. Owens
455 S 48th St
Philadelphia, PA 19143-1728
seowens19143@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beth Di Bartolomeo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beth Di Bartolomeo
2423 Eastline Dr
Joliet, IL 60431-1234
zimamcfru@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dan Cumberledge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dan Cumberledge
11273 Seacrist Rd
Salem, OH 44460-9114
dekace17@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Terri Barreras](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Terri Barreras
6124 W Melrose St
Chicago, IL 60634-4107
tsb@pollina.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ransome Weis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ransome Weis
380 N Shady Retreat Rd
Doylestown, PA 18901-5348
rdweis@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Theodore Burger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Theodore Burger
3370 Woodbridge Cir
Bethlehem, PA 18017-1736
theodoreburger@rcn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roberta Baker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roberta Baker
198 Woodward Ave
Buffalo, NY 14214-2314
roberta.baker@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Willeck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Willeck
3188 Stoudt Pl
Canal Winchester, OH 43110-8345
linny.redbird@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tammy Adkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tammy Adkins
1380 Azalea Dr
Gretna, VA 24557-2375
tammy24557@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joanna Mihalik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joanna Mihalik
PO Box 248
Toronto, OH 43964-0248
joanna@joannamihalik.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill Oder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill Oder
28 Meadow View Ct
Powell, OH 43065-9435
jill6160@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Tafel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Tafel
1821 Azalea Ln
Mount Prospect, IL 60056-1807
tafelassoc@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Britt Tinkle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Britt Tinkle
816 N 150 W
Franklin, IN 46131-8592
brittpsd@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stacy Lang](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stacy Lang
10822 Chicory Ridge Way
Roscoe, IL 61073-6340
stacyswim255@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill Hornick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill Hornick
740 W Kent Ave
Crete, IL 60417-3918
jill.hornick@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rachael Seydel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rachael Seydel
9349 Fillmore Ct
Crown Point, IN 46307-1728
glancy29@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [JeanneM Irons](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

JeanneM Irons
9323 Occohannock Neck Rd
Exmore, VA 23350-4155
peaceofheavenrottweilers@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric Esposito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric Esposito
578 Morgan Ave Apt 2l
Brooklyn, NY 11222-4448
hellsbells723@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Monica Leccese](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:10:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Monica Leccese
7112 Mohawk Dr
Wonder Lake, IL 60097-9772
monlec@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Marks](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:12:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Marks
250 Jenny Lind Dr
Harpers Ferry, WV 25425-3139
dmarks119@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Gruber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:12:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Gruber
275 Cedar Glen Dr
Avon, IN 46123-7918
ag1kg1@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Reames](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:12:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Reames
470 Leaning Tree Rd
Greenwood, IN 46142-7470
ljreames@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Grace Hawk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:12:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Grace Hawk
1952 W Cuyler Ave
Chicago, IL 60613-6057
grace.hawk@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tony Meinerding](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:12:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tony Meinerding
270 Driftwood Dr
Greenville, OH 45331-2809
tonymeinerding@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donald C. Karcz Sr.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:14:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donald C. Karcz Sr.
2307 Saint Francis Ave
Joliet, IL 60436-1134
9153@comcst.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Devin Kellerman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:14:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Devin Kellerman
102 Orchard Hills Dr Apt 26
Jeffersonville, IN 47130-8275
devinkellerman@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Leggett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:14:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Leggett
PO Box 650
Great Falls, VA 22066-0650
rnleggett@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joshua Capps](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:14:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joshua Capps
7663 Sheffield Village Ln
Lorton, VA 22079-1718
joshuacapps@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill Knecht](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:14:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill Knecht
3435 Winners Cir
Canfield, OH 44406-8155
jaklkv88@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Bush](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:14:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Bush
1364 N Wolcott Ave
Chicago, IL 60622-3110
nmbush@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debbie Gerulat](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:14:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debbie Gerulat
400 Walton Dr
Cheektowaga, NY 14225-1005
debg50@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stan Janczuk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stan Janczuk
100 Dean Ave
Bronx, NY 10465
ray3353@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jim Racz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jim Racz
102 Cardinal Rd
Jenkintown, PA 19046-3907
scourge103@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheila Erlbaum](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheila Erlbaum
7150 Bryan St
Philadelphia, PA 19119-2406
sjerlbaum@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marilyn Trybus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Trybus
15 Hillcrest Dr Apt 6
Pittsburgh, PA 15202-2944
rainbowbridge08@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Daren Brady](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Daren Brady
930 Spotswood Ave Apt B12
Norfolk, VA 23517-1751
darenbrady@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Pitt Taylor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Pitt Taylor
126 Front St
Brooklyn, NY 11201-1116
pitttaylor@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edith & Rodney McDonald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edith & Rodney McDonald
24 Strathallan Park
Rochester, NY 14607-1514
rodneymcdonald.remart@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary z](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary z
8020 Killington Ave NW
North Canton, OH 44720-8108
gmlzahler@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Daniel Bennett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bennett
1703 Highland Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45202-6807
danielfbennett@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jacqueline Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Jones
PO Box 336
Bainbridge, PA 17502-0336
jljrtb@embarqmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [N H](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

N H
1129 Pine Valley Dr
Schaumburg, IL 60173-3965
chipannan@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Friedman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Friedman
17083 Woodmere Dr
Chagrin Falls, OH 44023-4621
shary70@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Holley Hall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Holley Hall
5838 Capri Ln
Morton Grove, IL 60053-1521
holley65@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Becky Dees-McMahon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Becky Dees-McMahon
PO Box 643
Sheffield, IL 61361-0643
beckydeesmc@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Connie Allison](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Connie Allison
147 Sheridan Park
Geneva, NY 14456-2033
einnoc02@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ralph Collier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ralph Collier
6632 Van Buren Ave
Hammond, IN 46324-1533
sundancehl@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dale Trethaway](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dale Trethaway
5103 Cherry Hill Rd
Cohoes, NY 12047-5455
dalenew@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Alterman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Alterman
6803 Jeremiah Ct
Fairfax Station, VA 22039-1834
ekabobwhite@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcia Haines](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcia Haines
132 Karen Pl
Wintersville, OH 43953-3836
haines132@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melinda Geiger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melinda Geiger
834 Van Buren Blvd
Freedom, PA 15042-2878
mjgeiger@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Edelman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Edelman
375 Bala Ave
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004-2734
chefamybeth@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matea Leon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matea Leon
953 Michaux Ct
Virginia Beach, VA 23464-3140
regressionist@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [SHIRLEY BENINGO](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

SHIRLEY BENINGO
40 Pine Grove Ln
Elverson, PA 19520-9346
sbeningo@windstream.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Iffland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Iffland
2417 W Gladys Ave
Chicago, IL 60612-4806
lisaiff@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Falcone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Falcone
1907 Sils Ave
Louisville, KY 40205-2137
janf1907@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Collins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Collins
315 N Main St
Pierson Station, IL 61929-7139
jec007@mchsi.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Benjamin Lane](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Lane
316 S Wilbur Ave
Syracuse, NY 13204-2623
xtcite31@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dana Kalinowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dana Kalinowski
190 Hewlett Ave
Merrick, NY 11566-4784
danakan2000@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kimberly dunn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kimberly dunn
3279 Hayden td
Marietta, NY 13110
dunn3279@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Turner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Turner
51 Monument Ln
Clearfield, PA 16830-3204
katt7is@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marilyn Acocella](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Acocella
219 Treetop Cres
Rye Brook, NY 10573-1639
marilyn.acocella@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paige Harrison](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paige Harrison
215 W 90th St
New York, NY 10024-1221
namastepj@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Aranda](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:40:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Aranda
2511 N Rockwell St
Chicago, IL 60647-1918
gailaranda@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Darleen Moranobrown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:41:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Darleen Moranobrown
1108 Hyde Ln
Henrico, VA 23229-6070
booboo1109@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Thomas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:41:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Thomas
126 Garden St
Garden City, NY 11530-6528
ktcatlover@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Kocoshis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:41:55 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Kocoshis
3544 W Johnson Cir
Muncie, IN 47304-2522
kocoshist@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marilyn Bellos](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:41:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Bellos
1941 Connie Dr
Crest Hill, IL 60403-0810
marilynbellos@yhoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele Reese](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:41:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele Reese
10 W 15th St
New York, NY 10011-6838
gwenmax2@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Georgean Goldenberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:41:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Georgean Goldenberg
7033 N Kedzie Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-2845
georgeangold@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [linda winchester](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

linda winchester
1305 Markley St
Norristown, PA 19401-3234
lawrcy05@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Appelbaum](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Appelbaum
6019 22nd Rd N
Arlington, VA 22205-3409
applbm@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Jones
PO Box 451
Pleasantville, NY 10570-0451
robertjj47@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elaine Strassburger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elaine Strassburger
4472 Habersham Ln S
Richmond Heights, OH 44143-2615
lanies574@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stacy Reedy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stacy Reedy
3613Recency dr.
Reading, PA 19608
stacyreedy60@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kate Skolnick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kate Skolnick
545 Washington Ave Apt 704
Brooklyn, NY 11238-2789
krs1123@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Watson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Watson
2626 Anwell Dr
North Chesterfield, VA 23235-3108
pam312@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Mistretta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Mistretta
139 Burts Rd
Kirkwood, NY 13795-1730
lamipami@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angela Irwin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angela Irwin
105 Burleigh Dr
Ithaca, NY 14850-1709
theirwin@twcny.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Gray](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I wish to express my grave concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is completely unacceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly requires a collaborative approach.

The Commission must continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities and wildlife, and to ensure that all states work toward common goals and a cleaner, safer Ohio River. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Gray
6940 E SR 45
Bloomington, IN 47408
cjgray@hotmai.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeanne Moenk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Moenk
13000 Auburn Rd
Chardon, OH 44024-9337
jmoenk@ndec.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Gray](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Keep Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Gray
8025 Taylor Rd
Westfield, NY 14787-9558
ggray1112@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Inzerillo-Latella](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Inzerillo-Latella
15 Briar Cir
West Sayville, NY 11796-1608
gailinzerillo@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Geraldine Fogarty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:42:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Geraldine Fogarty
PO Box 477
Yellow Springs, OH 45387-0477
fogerry@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roberta Stephan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:44:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roberta Stephan
26990 Osborne Rd
Columbia Station, OH 44028-9571
grandmakittyjwz@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steve Bell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:44:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steve Bell
470 Ormond Ave
Sharon, PA 16146-3345
sbell369@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [A Beato](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 7:44:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

A Beato
2450 W Wilson Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-3497
abbiedaigle@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sister Carmella Campione](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sister Carmella Campione
137 Mount Saint Joseph Rd
Wheeling, WV 26003-1762
ccampione@csjoseph.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diana Olivette](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diana Olivette
6171 Arlington Blvd Apt 202
Falls Church, VA 22044-2749
nick555diana@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [MICHAEL CLUCHEY](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL CLUCHEY
79 Jefferson St
Westfield, NY 14787-1144
mgc8839@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donald Perkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donald Perkins
7458 Wellbaum Rd
Brookville, OH 45309-9214
k9chief@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christion Edwards](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christion Edwards
1302 Bradley Dr
Harrisonburg, VA 22801-5238
tyrro316@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Keene](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Keene
PO Box 548
Oley, PA 19547-0548
chilledoutchick9@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Henkey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Henkey
5413 Wintergreen Rd
Glen Allen, VA 23060-9236
aimphd2003@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Jane Lean](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Jane Lean
4941 N Oconto Ave
Harwood Heights, IL 60706-3518
maryjane.lean@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marjorie Fink](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Fink
52564 Highland Dr
South Bend, IN 46635-1218
jmffink@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Corazon Betschart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Corazon Betschart
39984 Peacock Cir
Paeonian Springs, VA 20129-1727
marzon4@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dawn Grib](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dawn Grib
1170 S York Rd
Dillsburg, PA 17019-9594
ashestoflame@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Martha Goss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Martha Goss
7400 Case Ave
Mentor, OH 44060-5721
magoss011@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lura Bell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lura Bell
1511 Versailles Rd
Lexington, KY 40504-2451
ls_letters@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Melia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Melia
1435 Garalyn Rd
Harrisburg, PA 17110-3102
mit110@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Raychel Upright](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Raychel Upright
3201 Landover St
Alexandria, VA 22305-1944
redupright@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Devaney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Devaney
1805 Bloomingrove Rd
Williamsport, PA 17701-9773
mdevaney59@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [mary eastes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

mary eastes
2850 E Southern Ave
Indianapolis, IN 46203-4524
marylouindn@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ron Weiss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ron Weiss
5129 Hartford Dr
Fort Wayne, IN 46835-4221
mmacw@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Golub](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Golub
1 PlumtreePl
Levittown, PA 19056
dartmoor22@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shelley Schimelman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shelley Schimelman
30 Northcrest Dr
Clifton Park, NY 12065-2718
sgs1951@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mehdie Vakili](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mehdie Vakili
216 Franklin St
Waukegan, IL 60085-4031
mehdie.vakili@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Doreen Barnas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Doreen Barnas
378 Southbury Ct
Schaumburg, IL 60193-2264
reen378@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gerald Gamer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gerald Gamer
230 Eastwoods Rd
Pound Ridge, NY 10576-2125
gamer6@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Becky Daiss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Becky Daiss
1276 N Wayne St
Arlington, VA 22201-5848
beckydaiss@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [T. Bruster](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

T Bruster
525 Saint Paul Cir
Bellefonte, PA 16823-8415
bruster525@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dragana Mirkovic](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dragana Mirkovic
1212 2nd Avenue
NY, NY 10022
cute_hairball@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melanie Cohick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melanie Cohick
12 Sheeley Ln
Boiling Springs, PA 17007-9436
co555tmr@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Myers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:11:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Myers
2313 Alexander Pl
Oceanside, NY 11572-1405
carolmy722@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paula Dylingowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paula Dylingowski
110 Lakeview Dr
Harleysville, PA 19438-3000
pmdski55@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Megan Bray](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Megan Bray
14 Hale St
Pittston, PA 18640-3308
daisy118418@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dave Reineri](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dave Reineri
887 Garrow Rd
Newport News, VA 23608-3387
d.reineri@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erma Lewis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erma Lewis
1736 63rd St
Brooklyn, NY 11204-2801
elewisny@netzero.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roger Sternfeld](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roger Sternfeld
718 Limekiln Pike
Ambler, PA 19002-2903
rsternfeld@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janice Hunter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:18 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janice Hunter
2573 Countrylake Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45233-1784
jch8387@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mirtella Mast](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:20 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mirtella Mast
346 E Independence Ave
Jacksonville, IL 62650-1366
mirtella@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Cousins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Cousins
1609 Poplar Stand Ct
Richmond, VA 23228-1515
jcousins6567@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Larrison](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Larrison
1234 Ogden
Downers Grove, IL 60515
elarrison@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Kozel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Kozel
207 River Brook Cir
Eagleville, PA 19403-3391
jkoz@ocmcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Natalie Lilja](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Natalie Lilja
2169 Astoria Cir
Herndon, VA 20170-4073
natalie.lilja@prodigy.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Crowle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Crowle
500 Red Church Rd
Auburn, PA 17922-9780
sandcoatfm@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [S. Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

S Smith
PO Box 253
Sound Beach, NY 11789-0253
timespirt@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharinne Lercara](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharinne Lercara
15307 60th Ave
Flushing, NY 11355-5540
c2sl@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stefan Koch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stefan Koch
6975 Sawtooth Ct
Manassas, VA 20111-4200
stefan.a.koch@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pat Pugh](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:12:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pat Pugh
1401 Drum Point Rd
Virginia Beach, VA 23457-1527
patpugh1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [jo crane](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:14:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

jo crane
659 E 241st St
Euclid, OH 44123-2338
jo659@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Shematek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:14:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Shematek
119 Chisman Lndg
Seaford, VA 23696-2345
jshematek119@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eve Taylor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:14:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eve Taylor
260 W Broadway
New York, NY 10013-2259
evedraw@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anice Cook](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:14:03 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anice Cook
35 Congress St
Villa Park, IL 60181-3230
ibjamn88@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Monica Pocelujko](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Monica Pocelujko
204 Coates St
Bridgeport, PA 19405-1604
cyberkitty64@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [April Eversole](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

April Eversole
33790 State Route 518
Hanoverton, OH 44423-9764
icecat302000@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edward Stewart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edward Stewart
340 W 28th St
New York, NY 10001-4732
erstewart@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Trish Gardiner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Trish Gardiner
8810 E Terrace St
Weedsport, NY 13166-3301
trishg315@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anthony Gresham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anthony Gresham
1912 Franklin Ave
Colonial Heights, VA 23834-2533
moonwolf8@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eva Landeo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eva Landeo
100 W 89th St Apt 6i
New York, NY 10024-1935
e_landeo@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Darlene Shaffer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Darlene Shaffer
236 Reeds Ln
Altoona, PA 16601-8607
herbakoala@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joan Roberts](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joan Roberts
8580 Verree Rd Apt 665
Philadelphia, PA 19111-1379
meowerpower3@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [mary ann sorokie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

mary ann sorokie
5455 N Sheridan Rd
Chicago, IL 60640-1958
marysoro@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill Nelson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill Nelson
PO Box 553
Schoharie, NY 12157-0553
nelso75@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [E. Eng](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

E. Eng
7610 34th Ave Apt 4c
Jackson Hts, NY 11372-2235
ekpeng@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barb Fitzgerald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barb Fitzgerald
221 Hampton Pkwy
Kenmore, NY 14217-1256
bafitzgerald@netzero.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rena Farah](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rena Farah
8 Barstow Rd
Great Neck, NY 11021-3502
teacherspet130@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julia Ruggiero](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julia Ruggiero
2368 New York Ave
S Huntington, NY 11746-4256
luvin2live@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [A J Hawkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

A J Hawkins
2515 W Tremont Ct
Richmond, VA 23225-1957
rwsc19@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Olmstead](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Olmstead
8 Pucker St
Northville, NY 12134-5320
christine.olmstead@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bettina Goodall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bettina Goodall
2562 W Brutus St
Weedsport, NY 13166-9424
urbanark9@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [s reese](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

s reese
621 Oakdale Dr
Ossian, IN 46777-9250
sandywells63@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Todd Cisna](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Todd Cisna
16590 N Briarwood Dr
Effingham, IL 62401-5320
toddcisna@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kelley Scanlon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kelley Scanlon
281 Norwood Ave
Syracuse, NY 13206-1648
sunglowaura@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ken Mayer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ken Mayer
523 Crest Cir
Mohnton, PA 19540-1417
kama3@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Mengon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Mengon
124 Moose Ave
Freedom, PA 15042-1020
jalimen@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Jean Sharp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Jean Sharp
424 Spruce Ave
Altoona, PA 16601-4547
angelmoon2783@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert E. Guyton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Guyton
10 Joneswood Dr
Athens, OH 45701-1337
hike2paddle@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maryellen Todd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maryellen Todd
18 Fulton Ave
Hicksville, NY 11801-1948
mtodd718@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Rosenfeld](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Rosenfeld
1746 Ocean Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11230-5401
dvdldr6314@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cary Harrison](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cary Harrison
609 Denham Arch
Chesapeake, VA 23322-6832
cehmd@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [lois lommel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

lois lommel
2636 Traymore Rd
North Chesterfield, VA 23235-2762
lolo2636@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shannon Taylor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:40:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shannon Taylor
5316 W Janet Ct
Bartonville, IL 61607-1442
shan880@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gretchen K Wingert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:41:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gretchen K Wingert
136 Kline Rd
Sligo, PA 16255-4104
gwingert@atlanticbb.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roberta Penn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:41:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roberta Penn
369 Wetmore Rd
Columbus, OH 43214-2115
bjjfinn@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Marquette](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:41:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Marquette
33 Liberty St Apt 113
Monticello, NY 12701-1218
marquettechristine@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rick Mitchell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:41:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rick Mitchell
183 Edison Dr
Huntington, WV 25705-1327
rm25705@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Suit](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:41:59 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Suit
184 Narrow Ln
Falling Waters, WV 25419-3617
klsuit@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Falsetta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Falsetta
503 W 121st St
New York, NY 10027-5901
jmf2212@columbia.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [C. Kasey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

C. Kasey
9317 Guenevere Pl
Mechanicsville, VA 23116-2781
omni_girl@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Adams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:02 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Adams
2804 Roosevelt Dr
Chambersburg, PA 17201-8945
patriciaa776@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gregory V](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:08 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gregory V
215 Adams St
Brooklyn, NY 11201-2856
airplane215@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joan Hansen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joan Hansen
230 Cranberry Dr
Beckley, WV 25801-9790
joan13056@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carolyn Clark Pierson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Clark Pierson
5262 County Highway 14
Treadwell, NY 13846-1708
ccselavy@frontiernet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Quisenberry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:19 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Quisenberry
188 Conner Ln SE
Copper Hill, VA 24079-2624
springhousefarm@swva.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Dietrich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

We've come so far and done so much to make the Ohio River Basin healthier. Please don't stop and/or go backwards!

Sincerely,

Janet Dietrich
7867 Hunters Ridge Dr
West Chester, OH 45069-2035
janetrdietrich@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Craig Cureau](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:22 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Craig Cureau
4321 Acme Rd
Ilion, NY 13357-5010
craig.cureau@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [paul.torrence](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:24 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

paul.torrence
1255 Stiles Rd
Woodhull, NY 14898-9742
good_oak@ecologyfund.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christopher Tobias](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:26 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christopher Tobias
2711 Locust Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15241-1809
herrtobias@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Smith
1367 Harrington Rd
Havertown, PA 19083-2028
lwolf42gsd@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tammy Ebers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tammy Ebers
10 Van Orden Ln
Greenwood Lake, NY 10925-2405
tamebers@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Iacovelli](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Iacovelli
633 Rector St
Philadelphia, PA 19128-1731
mfia51@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Mory](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Mory
1210 Fords Pond Rd
Clarks Summit, PA 18411-9437
pocanthill@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Henry Frank](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Henry Frank
2763 Island Ave
Philadelphia, PA 19153-2225
henrynco@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [teresa greco](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

teresa greco
2910 N McVicker Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-5145
tgdogmom@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lois Evron](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:42:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lois Evron
526 Monroe St
Cedarhurst, NY 11516-1318
loiele7@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Holly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:44:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Holly
PO Box 165
Amo, IN 46103-0165
hhollyfarrier@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Connie Delk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:44:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Connie Delk
7915 Carlington Rd Apt E
Indianapolis, IN 46237-7904
cdkitty@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marjorie Lewellen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:44:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Lewellen
628 Joes Run Rd
Morgantown, WV 26508-2340
mandslewellen@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Denise Pretet](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:44:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Denise Pretet
3166 Saginaw Bay Dr
New Lenox, IL 60451
denise926@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joan Prochoroff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:44:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joan Prochoroff
17 Banbury Ln
Huntington, NY 11743-1602
jprosobo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Irene Franzis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:44:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Irene Franzis
533 W Philadelphia St
York, PA 17401-3323
irenefranzis@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [LaDene Mayville](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:44:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

LaDene Mayville
8786 Greylag Loop
Blacklick, OH 43004-8332
lisaiah4031@att.net

From: [Bellsouth](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Don't relax the regulations
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 8:51:47 PM

I want the Ohio River to stay a clean source of water for our city and not become a toxic waste dump site. I do not support relaxing the regulations regarding what goes into the river. Louisville draws visitors and natives alike to its river banks for recreation, and if this resource is not protected, it can affect our economy negatively as well as our health. In these times, water is the most precious resource in the world and it must be protected.

Penny Lentz
502-468-5429

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Stanley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Stanley
894 Barbershop Rd
Edinburg, VA 22824-2943
jeffdeb@shentel.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Connie Helman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Connie Helman
4000lake I've xing
Groveport, OH 43125
connie.helman49@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J.W.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a concerned citizen, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J W
2218 N 875 W
Etna Green, IN 46524-9525
wilcox.jj57@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Denis Vanek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Denis Vanek
4989 Willow Brook Dr
Cleveland, OH 44125-1128
denis_vanek@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [CAROLYN ENGELHART](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

CAROLYN ENGELHART
4031 Ridge Rd
Zion, IL 60099-1947
cengelhart39@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynn Serra](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynn Serra
18902 Righeimer Rd
Harvard, IL 60033-9554
lynnelynserra@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gary Cook](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:32 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gary Cook
4230 Greenlee Ave Apt 1
Saint Bernard, OH 45217-1842
garrickkoch@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leo Mavrovitis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leo Mavrovitis
20 W 64th St
New York, NY 10023-7129
lmavroviti@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jamie Sheehan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jamie Sheehan
1224 Shafor Blvd
Oakwood, OH 45419-3111
jamiesheehan3700@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leslie Goss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leslie Goss
2955 Country Club Ct
Martinsville, IN 46151-7430
trawley@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Bronson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Bronson
511 E 43rd 1/2 Dr Apt 3
Terre Haute, IN 47802-4266
lupelula@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean McIntyre](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean McIntyre
120 Elm St SW
Vienna, VA 22180-6314
ja_mc@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Gammon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Gammon
1344 Dutch Hollow Rd
Raphine, VA 24472-2011
john@faingroup.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Heuler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Heuler
80 Charles St Apt 3r
New York, NY 10014-6110
karenheuler@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue Lamczyk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue Lamczyk
965 W Pine Ave
Roselle, IL 60172-1850
gldnrtvr777@art.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele Greco](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele Greco
45 Main St
Camillus, NY 13031-1036
michelegreco01@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barry Blust](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barry Blust
21 Rabbit Run Ln
Glenmoore, PA 19343-9543
barryblust@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Harry Robbins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Harry Robbins
223 Shingle Mill Dr
Drums, PA 18222-1209
leed1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue Maxam](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue Maxam
56 Bryant Pond Rd
Putnam Valley, NY 10579-2405
smaxam@pace.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Hasty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Hasty
1400 Ridge Ave
Reading, PA 19607-1419
bartreallyrules@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brenda Trueblood](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brenda Trueblood
615 Lonsvale Dr
Anderson, IN 46013-3216
corndogger2@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Timothy Lighthall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Timothy Lighthall
1279 Sand Trap Ct
Loveland, OH 45140-6060
thl55@zoomtown.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sarah Love](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sarah Love
110 Lincoln Ave Apt 3f
Riverside, IL 60546-1998
sjanelove@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gretchen Sullivan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach. The one that lived near the old Holmes co. Fairgrounds is not there this year. Flooding? Farm chemicals? Sad

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Sullivan
8554 Private Road 336
Millersburg, OH 44654-9703
gretvillard@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elena Busani](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elena Busani
620 W 239th St
Bronx, NY 10463-1242
eleswolf@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tanya Theobald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tanya Theobald
7397 Denny Dr
North Ridgeville, OH 44039-4037
peach913@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wendi Cohen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wendi Cohen
50 Glendale Rd
Ossining, NY 10562-1619
wcohen@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kim kopnak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kim kopnak
118 Spann St
Buffalo, NY 14206-3142
kimkopnak1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Douglas Nielsen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Douglas Nielsen
150 Old Liverpool Rd Apt 602
Liverpool, NY 13088-6383
doungail47@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Greg Jameson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Greg Jameson
1720 Deer Run
Gurnee, IL 60031-1979
g_jameson@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Betty Trentlyon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Betty Trentlyon
409 W 21st St
New York, NY 10011-2949
bettyvat@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rolf Friis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rolf Friis
206 Flanders Ct
Louisville, KY 40218-1645
rristrue4u@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tomeka Watkinson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tomeka Watkinson
PO Box 206
Wachapreague, VA 23480-0206
tango42@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Brown
516 Willow St
Lebanon, PA 17046-4868
chrissyb9773@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dawn Aikin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dawn Aikin
60 Township Road 616
South Point, OH 45680-7725
deaikin@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [greta Aul](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

greta Aul
917 Columbia Ave
Lancaster, PA 17603-3157
gretaul@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jo-Anne Clark](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jo-Anne Clark
7321 Hampton Manor Pl
Springfield, VA 22150-4921
djclark7321@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Donahue](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:12:16 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Donahue
PO Box 145
Vernon, IN 47282-0145
sagamon@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edward Butler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:12:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edward Butler
36 E 69th St
New York, NY 10021-5019
epb223@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marie Nakon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:12:27 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marie Nakon
108 Brenton St
Richmond, VA 23222-2018
d.nakon@canoepan.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jo Ann Landreth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:12:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jo Ann Landreth
2011c E Amber Ln
Urbana, IL 61802-6905
jas708@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [marshall Anderson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:14:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

marshall Anderson
4334 Pinehurst Ct
Crown Point, IN 46307-8971
wanders@iun.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas GRIFFITH](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:14:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas GRIFFITH
12275 Old Olean Rd
Yorkshire, NY 14173-8013
grifftom@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Daniel L. Harris](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:14:07 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Daniel L. Harris
142 Richmond Ave
Medford, NY 11763-3700
youthgoji@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Rector](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Rector
3910 W Main St Apt 107
Decatur, IL 62522-1118
mary_sater@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Victoria McFadyen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Victoria McFadyen
8414 4th Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11209-4653
vmmcfadyen@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Marcellus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Marcellus
600 Lincoln Ave
Sayville, NY 11782-1422
ecmflowers@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [scott sauerwine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

scott sauerwine
228 Carpenter St
Luzerne, PA 18709-1543
scotts7@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heidi Steffy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heidi Steffy
615 Zwilling Rd W
Erie, PA 16509-5445
hls49@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Selyde Rodriguez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Selyde Rodriguez
56 Maidstone Dr
Walden, NY 12586-2425
selyde@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Hugo Arevalo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Hugo Arevalo
7 Kent St
Beacon, NY 12508-2005
melaa@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Hueber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Hueber
604 Ansonia Ct
Camillus, NY 13031-2034
harphueber@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Eisenberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Eisenberg
W 23rd St
New York, NY 10011
eisenbergde@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ray Wager](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ray Wager
5236 Dunton Rd
Middlesex, NY 14507-9702
rwager08@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Cosgrove](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Cosgrove
2411c Delancey St
Philadelphia, PA 19103-6476
dzymzy@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jenny Walker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jenny Walker
100 St Nicholas Ave
New York, NY 10026-2883
jennywalkr@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alexandra Tumarkin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Tumarkin
38 Smith Ave
White Plains, NY 10605-1119
msatduck@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anna Whittaker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anna Whittaker
39 Wincanton Dr
Fairport, NY 14450-3859
annawhittaker351@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Villard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Villard
3069 Victory Rd
Marion, OH 43302-8572
ohiobirder@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Spafford](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Spafford
1708 W Wayne St
Lima, OH 45805-2242
michaelspafford677@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Sigismondi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Water is life. We cannot live without it. As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Sigismondi
474 Kathy St
Gallipolis, OH 45631-1318
lsigis@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [TIMOTHY GEHRKE](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

TIMOTHY GEHRKE
5611 Coach Dr E
Dayton, OH 45440-2771
chief777@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carole Giacomazzo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carole Giacomazzo
1103 Ingram Rd
Rockford, IL 61108-4706
caroleeg6@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bill Zias](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bill Zias
2850 137 st
Flushing, NY 11354
beezerly@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dawn Mason](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dawn Mason
1547 W Market St
Pottsville, PA 17901-2222
mason_dawn@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Bilger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Bilger
483 E Hollow Rd
Middleburg, PA 17842-8213
mbilger@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marie Young](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marie Young
PO Box 147
New Paltz, NY 12561-0147
marieriverwalker@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bernard Lizak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:49 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bernard Lizak
1903 Main St
Northampton, PA 18067-1313
lizakmvc@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shilo Adams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shilo Adams
59 Lace Dr
Isom, KY 41824-8950
sda2107@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jen Danner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jen Danner
697 Fehr Rd
Nazareth, PA 18064-9106
wildlifejen@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Helene Stoller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Helene Stoller
62 Pierrepont St
Brooklyn, NY 11201-2452
helenestoller@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Valerie Koehler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:40:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Valerie Koehler
1901 Stonebridge Dr
New Lenox, IL 60451-2357
vlkoehler@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [A Puza](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

A Puza
720 15th St
New Cumberland, PA 17070-1511
adrmarie78@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lira Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:06 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lira Johnson
1371 S 1st St
Louisville, KY 40208-2353
lirajohnson@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Yvonne Harke](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Harke
641 Hardin Ave
Aurora, IL 60506-5041
jnyharke91@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elaine Hughes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elaine Hughes
721 E Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002-2309
elahu2@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Proietta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:25 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Proietta
1820 Napfle Ave
Phila, PA 19111-3423
sproietta@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Klusaritz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Klusaritz
1870 Brookhaven Dr E
Allentown, PA 18103-9672
tomklu3@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Abel Rivera](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Abel Rivera
2414 W 36th St
Chicago, IL 60632-1007
abel18620@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Castelli-Hill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Castelli-Hill
518 Sweet Hollow Rd
Melville, NY 11747-2008
joyoussafefree@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Kagan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

How, both ecologically and morally, could you even consider eliminating pollution control standards? It reeks of corporate influence!

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Kagan
885 Torbert Ln
Jersey Shore, PA 17740-8732
dbkagan@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christie Walker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:42:57 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christie Walker
1864 Field Rd
Charlottesville, VA 22903-1619
clrw.612@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patsy Hillebrecht](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:44:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patsy Hillebrecht
643 Elmbank St
Pittsburgh, PA 15226-1444
patsy.hillebrecht@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathi Wilder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:44:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathi Wilder
202 Case Ln
Crittenden, KY 41030-8921
kathiwilder@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jane Simpson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:44:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jane Simpson
7605 Wildwood Ct
Lorton, VA 22079-1874
jetjane1@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Fry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:44:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Fry
3022 Edwards St
Alton, IL 62002-4056
frybc615@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melanie Shuter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:44:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melanie Shuter
815 Dartmouth Dr
Circleville, OH 43113-1291
mbshuter@columbus.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcia Godich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:44:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcia Godich
115 Belleauwood Blvd
Trafford, PA 15085-1222
mvgodich@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maryann Stork](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:44:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maryann Stork
22 Cambridge Ct
Fairbury, IL 61739-9557
maspta@mchsi.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rachel E. Fowler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:44:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rachel E. Fowler
305 Ashland Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-3205
re14f64@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Connie Swanson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:44:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Connie Swanson
6238 W Montrose Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-1575
cswanson1050@aol.com

From: [Colleen Kaelin](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Vote No on Option 2
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 9:57:57 PM

To Whom it May Concern,

I spoke at the recent public hearing on the proposed "revision" of the ORSANCO standards for sampling and monitoring of the Ohio River. At the time, I mentioned the impact of more frequent and severe extreme precipitation and drought events on water quality and security that will likely occur in coming years. This is the worst possible time for us to lower our guard for ensuring a safe and adequate supply of water for all people. As an epidemiologist who has spent my career examining links between environmental quality and health, I urge the members of the ORSANCO council to review options 3 and 4, and to choose the best elements of both, before moving forward to implement regulatory proposals. I appreciate your listening to the people, especially environmental and public health professionals, whose life's work is safeguarding the rights of all to clean water and air. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Colleen Kaelin, MSPH, RS
Frankfort, KY
KY Department for Public Health, retired
Registered Sanitarian 925

From: [Tressa Rankin \(tlr42171@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:tlr42171@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:08:22 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tressa Rankin
4 Sue Circle
Newport News, VA 23605
tlr42171@gmail.com
(757) 967-1620

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Kelly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kelly
6009 Mint Spring Branch Rd
Prospect, KY 40059-8611
elzair@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Orbino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Orbino
122 Carol Dr
Syracuse, NY 13209-1215
mallski@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Schupp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Schupp
130 Paradise Ln Apt 2
Tonawanda, NY 14150-2848
pschupp@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kay Watt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kay Watt
222 East Hazel Dell Ln
Springfield, IL 62712-9573
john.watt1@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Lombardi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Lombardi
19 Morning Glory Ln
Levittown, PA 19054-2023
mike.lombardi@rcn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sarah Waller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sarah Waller
12 Louise Dr
Cortland, NY 13045-3311
sarahawaller@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kenneth Bickel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Bickel
1201 Michael Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15227-3921
kenb0969@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rena P](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rena P
3137 Coney Island Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11235-6468
greencherrysoda@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karlene Gunter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karlene Gunter
472 French Rd
Rochester, NY 14618-4861
k0karlene@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cheryl Svoboda](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Svoboda
20 Guth St
East Dundee, IL 60118-1032
crsvoboda@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Teresa Whitt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:37 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Teresa Whitt
4940 Whitmell School Rd
Dry Fork, VA 24549-2339
t.whitt@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Aldana Santto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Aldana Santto
650 W 204th St
New York, NY 10034-3947
aldana_santto@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dorothy Palmer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Palmer
94 East Market Street
Tresckow, PA 18254
bearhugz47@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kari King](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kari King
129 Burkhart Rd
Canajoharie, NY 13317-3601
skootes@frontiernet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [leesa wiesner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

leesa wiesner
6056 Murnan Rd
Cold Spring, KY 41076-9235
mermaid3476@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [william rastetter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

william rastetter
606 Benson St
Phila, PA 19111-1931
deuce.2001@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Caroline Chesebrough](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Caroline Chesebrough
2599 Canterbury Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118-4360
cchesebr@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne Kruger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Kruger
60 Huckleberry Ln
Harpers Ferry, WV 25425-6416
soozikruger@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Candace Lorkiewicz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Candace Lorkiewicz
806 Maple Ave
Lisle, IL 60532-2350
clorkiewicz@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara McVey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara McVey
208 Woodhampton Dr
White Plains, NY 10603-1913
barbara.mcvey@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele Charnow](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele Charnow
133 Roop Dr
Mount Hope, WV 25880-9279
shellomac@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristy Hothan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:10:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristy Hothan
543 Ocean Ave
Oakdale, NY 11769-1531
kbanks1226@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Adrienne Doherty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:00 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Doherty
PO Box 241
Lake Forest, IL 60045-0241
aaaaaaajer@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Helen Moteles](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:01 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Helen Moteles
2318 Rosemore Ave Apt L11
Glenside, PA 19038-4115
helen.windrush@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William E. Sarovec](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William E. Sarovec
14 Clarendon Rd
Lake Ronkonkoma, NY 11779-1612
billsarovec@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Renninger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Renninger
291 Skyline Dr
Reading, PA 19606-8530
horsepoke49@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Philip Englert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Philip Englert
5700 N Sheridan Rd
Chicago, IL 60660-8769
dharmal@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Walter Buhner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Walter Buhner
153 Beach Rd
Wading River, NY 11792-1307
whbuhner@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Anastasio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:17 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Anastasio
2102 Bronx Park E
Bronx, NY 10462-2266
lauramafiamom@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Dion](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Dion
22865 S Greystone Dr
Strongsville, OH 44149-1068
pbdion06@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Fricovsky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:29 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Fricovsky
33 Estella Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15211-2154
fricovskj@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kay Reinfried](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:30 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kay Reinfried
797 Scott Ln
Lititz, PA 17543-8868
kmreinfried@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric Myra](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric Myra
2042 Peach Orchard Dr
Falls Church, VA 22043-2090
emyra@computer.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tamara Ashley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tamara Ashley
PO Box 1023
Mishawaka, IN 46546-1023
tamara.m.ashley@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edward Bernas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edward Bernas
9720 Coalboro Rd
Chesterfield, VA 23838-1731
ed.bernas@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frank Gielas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frank Gielas
418 Neola Cir
Pittsburgh, PA 15237-2265
frank.gielas@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eva Schellenbach](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:36 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eva Schellenbach
6 Kline Ct
Stafford, VA 22556-6572
emschellenbach@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susanne Groenendaal](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susanne Groenendaal
1313 Old Boalsburg Rd
State College, PA 16801-6233
stargazerlilly1@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cheryl Krucek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Krucek
6765 Strathmore Dr
Valley View, OH 44125-5518
ckone5397@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Mattingly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:12:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Mattingly
1104 Laclair Ave # 2
Pittsburgh, PA 15218-1204
junclo@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Walters](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:14:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Walters
3258 N Seminary Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-3311
carolwalters@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Adkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:14:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Adkins
7104 Tulip St
Philadelphia, PA 19135-1428
cjrnw@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Haule](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:14:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency. Now is not the time to go backward on pollution control when fresh water is so vital. Backward steps on cleaning the Ohio River will negatively impact other waters downstream.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Haule
30304 Fowler Cir
Warrenville, IL 60555-3943
laura.haule@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Benita Musleve](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:14:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Benita Musleve
427 Bettie St
Akron, OH 44306-1211
kittenpearl@sbcglobal.net

From: [Elaine Eudy](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:16:50 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Elaine Eudy
2501 Romain Way
Atlanta, GA 30344
4047618004

From: [Rebecca Walton \(jazzywal869@gmail.com\)](mailto:jazzywal869@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:33:48 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Walton
853 Moon Rd. known
ColumbusUnknown, OH 43224
jazzywal869@gmail.com
(614) 570-4699

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Renee DeVito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Renee DeVito
1309 Barbara Dr
Verona, PA 15147-2454
naedev@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Willis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Willis
300 E Seminary St
Mercersburg, PA 17236-1550
williamjohnwillis@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Natalie Baumeister](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Natalie Baumeister
3313 Stoneybrae Dr
Falls Church, VA 22044-1223
nataliebaumeister10@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronald Rutzky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronald Rutzky
18046 Cherrywood Ln
Homewood, IL 60430-1503
rrutzky@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dorothy Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

AI'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Johnson
5849 Rockdale Ct
Centreville, VA 20121-3036
nursejohnson25@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Miriam Kaplan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Miriam Kaplan
135 Prospect Park SW Apt C8
Brooklyn, NY 11218-1295
kaplan_m_c@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charlotte Gardiner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Gardiner
6207 Ashmont Dr
Roanoke, VA 24018-5285
gardinercharlotte1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Carmen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Carmen
11420 133rd St
South Ozone Park, NY 11420-2112
william.carmen@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laurie Krzykowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laurie Krzykowski
624 S Peace Rd
Sycamore, IL 60178-1642
lulukr@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicole Tursi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicole Tursi
2054 Roy Ave
Abington, PA 19001-1818
nicoletursi@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeanne Rothwarf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Rothwarf
1206 Tyson Ave
Philadelphia, PA 19111-4541
spk81703@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [E. Hinds](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

E. Hinds
524 Sandy Ln
Libertyville, IL 60048-3554
ecathinds@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracy Capps](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracy Capps
846 Topaz Ct
Mascoutah, IL 62258-2955
trehlouis@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jim Gragel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:42 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jim Gragel
970 Canyon View Rd
Sagamore Hills, OH 44067-2294
jim_gragel@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Anne Kornbau](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Anne Kornbau
1421 28th St S
Arlington, VA 22206-3130
mkornbau@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Merrie Thornburg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:43 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Merrie Thornburg
100 S Council St
Attica, IN 47918-1314
merriethorn@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Cunningham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Cunningham
504 N Elmwood Dr
Aurora, IL 60506-2810
sensei.jc@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robin Pinosof](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robin Pinosof
Ft. Sheridan ave
Highland Park, IL 60035-1417
pin7rm@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Martin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Martin
451 Elm Ave
Bensalem, PA 19020-6517
geohm4@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Day](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Day
4408 Leonard Pkwy
Richmond, VA 23221-1808
savethebears@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cheryl Turton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:40:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Turton
6600 Jamaica Rd
Miamisburg, OH 45342-1518
cturton112@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Bartkowicz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Bartkowicz
1011 Atlantic Ave
Hoffman Estates, IL 60169-4767
richbart7078@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Rhodes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Rhodes
3453 S Utah St
Arlington, VA 22206-1919
margaret_tulloch@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kate Harder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:05 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kate Harder
1n186 Main St
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-3692
myshadowinil@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Accorsi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:09 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Accorsi
13 Meadow Rue Pl
Ballston Spa, NY 12020-4309
caccorsi@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diana Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diana Johnson
3209 Tyre Neck Rd
Chesapeake, VA 23321-5315
djmashpotato@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Hoff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:12 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Hoff
5206 Carlisle Pike
New Oxford, PA 17350-9798
jang214@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Miller
0Light Street
Williamsport, PA 17701
dmm17700@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Gonzalez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:21 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Gonzalez
17424 Fulton Rd
Marshallville, OH 44645-9712
debg7779@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Pintagro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Pintagro
8 Beverly Pl
Jamestown, NY 14701-3411
tjp1069@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lois Sharlock](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:31 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lois Sharlock
1121 McCully Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15235-4714
lois1121@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Musgrave](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:33 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Musgrave
1032 N Ridgewood Ln
Palatine, IL 60067-3449
culp.lisa@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeff Tucker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:34 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeff Tucker
41 Solebury Mt. Rd.
New Hope, PA 18938
jefftucker@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tim Hoyt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:35 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tim Hoyt
644 W Arlington Pl
Chicago, IL 60614-6330
trhoyt@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Ebersberger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:38 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Ebersberger
1076 Stewart Ave
Bethpage, NY 11714-3838
j.ebersberger@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [carolyn massey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:42:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

carolyn massey
632 1/2 N 6th St
Quincy, IL 62301-2302
carolyn.massey1015@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Regina Milione](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:44:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Regina Milione
666 W Germantown Pike
Plymouth Mtng, PA 19462-1030
harpo1218@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Knauss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:44:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Knauss
2233 Grove St
Allentown, PA 18104-2526
psofty@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ann Gaines](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 10:44:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ann Gaines
1165 County Line Rd
Highland Park, IL 60035-4501
rxgaines@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Mosley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Mosley
7718 Mulberry Rd
Clinton, IL 61727-9158
christyraasch@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen P. Ayalin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen P Ayalin
4249 N Lawndale Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-2008
alaska1896@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Smith
5820 N Sheridan Rd Apt 208
Chicago, IL 60660-4917
smithjj3@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frank Marshalek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frank Marshalek
801 W 7th St
Bloomington, IN 47404-3645
fmarshal@indiana.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karena Wells](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karena Wells
876 Pacific St
Brooklyn, NY 11238-3118
kwells98@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandy Moyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandy Moyer
302 W Dodson Dr
Urbana, IL 61802-2217
c-moyer-1@illinois.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [ANN EDELMAN](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:40 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

ANN EDELMAN
6585 Red Coach Ln
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-1662
bluedesertlotus@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J.T. Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J.T. Smith
1000 Old Bethlehem Pike
Sellersville, PA 18960-1422
ace910046sca1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Louis Esposito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:41 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Louis Esposito
325 Marine Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11209-8022
laesposito69@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Spinks](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Spinks
3480 Reaver Ave
Grove City, OH 43123-2030
mespinks@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Balaban](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Balaban
204 Lockerbie Ln
Wilmette, IL 60091-2949
snabalab@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annette Lapinski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annette Lapinski
535 N Michigan Ave Apt 2012
Chicago, IL 60611-3887
annlap@rcn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paola castano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paola castano
3307 W Wellington Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-6813
paolacast@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marie W](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marie W
17412 Humber Ln
Tinley Park, IL 60487-2210
maroosh@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrea Reiter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrea Reiter
442 Somerset Dr
East Stroudsburg, PA 18301-7000
andireiter1@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carolyn Marsalek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Marsalek
1108 W Wellington Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-4338
marsalek@urbancom.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Aubrey Lees](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:52 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Aubrey Lees
244 W 4th St
New York, NY 10014-2666
alees99@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Goodwin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Goodwin
5615 E Mineral-Koleen Rd
Bloomfield, IN 47424-7002
godwinson@ameritech.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Gensler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Gensler
1730 Duffield St
Pittsburgh, PA 15206-1140
donnag706@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erica Hryniuk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erica Hryniuk
71a McGuinness Blvd
Brooklyn, NY 11222-3453
era21@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Heath](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:53 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Heath
50 Bush Rd
Brasher Falls, NY 13613-3251
pepperheath@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Junker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Junker
6225 Ral Mar Rd
Rockford, IL 61109-4453
cnc89@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellyn De Guida](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellyn De Guida
31 W Wissahickon Ave
Flourtown, PA 19031-1917
edg31west@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Baskett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Baskett
PO Box 6170
Cincinnati, OH 45206-0170
michaelbaskett47@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nick Scarim](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:54 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nick Scarim
1048 Cardinal Ct
Batavia, IL 60510-3305
imdr1@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Schenendorf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Schenendorf
18 Willow Dr
Port Washington, NY 11050-4127
bschenendorf@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marybeth Conrad](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:10:58 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marybeth Conrad
1516 N Fernandez Pl
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-3778
conradmarybeth@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marsha Krauter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:11:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marsha Krauter
2775 Beaver Lake Rd
Hughesville, PA 17737-9119
mkm11698@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [carolyn massey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:11:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

carolyn massey
632 1/2 N 6th St
Quincy, IL 62301-2302
carolyn.massey1015@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bernadette Belcastro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:11:04 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bernadette Belcastro
68 Crocus Ave
Floral Park, NY 11001-2640
bell20@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [sarah reed](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:11:11 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

sarah reed
2784 Courtly Rd
Fostoria, OH 44830-1326
edsareed2@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vicki Ann Zoch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:14:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vicki Ann Zoch
408 Blackberry Dr
Bull Valley, IL 60098-8103
vaz522@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mia Millman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:14:13 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mia Millman
1319 Northgate Sq
Reston, VA 20190-3705
rbmillm@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Noreen Lassandrello](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:14:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Noreen Lassandrello
307 Briargate Ter
Hinsdale, IL 60521-2819
noreenlas@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bridget Mendoza](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:14:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bridget Mendoza
2034 N Honore St
Chicago, IL 60614-3911
galeriamendoza@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Josh Wainwright](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:14:15 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Josh Wainwright
6619 Old Floydensburg Rd
Pewee Valley, KY 40056-8002
joshkwa1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Gleason](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Gleason
5700 W Grace St
Chicago, IL 60634-2651
misshuganah@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Dudeck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Dudeck
531 Rostraver St Apt 2
Monessen, PA 15062-1525
radarracer2000@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Juliana M Schulte](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Juliana M Schulte
1459 E Olive St
Palatine, IL 60074-7610
julianamarie13@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gina Petty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gina Petty
2471 Plumtree Ct
Lexington, KY 40509-1120
gap40509@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Yanisa Anaya](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Yanisa Anaya
81 Holmes Rd
Newburgh, NY 12550-1033
yanisaanaya@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eileen Andric](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eileen Andric
38581 Adams Rd
Lisbon, OH 44432-8326
ikemeister88@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Louise Reardon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Louise Reardon
1843 Fritz Ln
Lancaster, PA 17602-4164
l.reardon5@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lori Williams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:44 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lori Williams
4608 Ferguson Valley Rd
Roanoke, VA 24014-5962
loriwilli44@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Ward](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:45 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Ward
PO Box 141
Waterloo, NY 13165-0141
djward2003@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lawrence Hilf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Hilf
85 Willowcrest Dr
Rochester, NY 14618-4337
lmhif1966@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katherine Hope](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katherine Hope
1004 Adams St
Ottawa, IL 61350-4311
kfhope1@mchsi.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robyn Lauren](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:46 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robyn Lauren
130 N Garland Ct
Chicago, IL 60602-4750
rlm71chi@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marianne Frusteri](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:47 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marianne Frusteri
2323 Wooster Rd
Rocky River, OH 44116-2750
biagiobingo@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Candace Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:48 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Candace Smith
2154 Gesaman Rd
Ashville, NY 14710-9799
americanprincess_21@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kurt Leeb](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kurt Leeb
1016 Whitebirch Ln
Wantagh, NY 11793-1609
ksl6968@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Poskus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:50 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Poskus
6525 N Trumbull Ave
Lincolnwood, IL 60712-3834
laura.poskus@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paulette Geisler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paulette Geisler
371 Holbrook Rd
York, PA 17402-5103
paulettegeisler.pg@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Flood](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Flood
301 Logan St
Lewistown, PA 17044-1409
rjflood@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robin Blakesley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robin Blakesley
5340 S Hill Rd
Canandaigua, NY 14424-8854
overthemoon@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Fulton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:40:56 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Fulton
35 Cerone Pl Apt 307
Newburgh, NY 12550-5173
gailfulon@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ercil Tullis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:42:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

I Do Not understand why you think it is ok to allow our Ohio River to be polluted!

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ercil Tullis
265 N Thompson Rd
Madison, IN 47250-7901
kat00doll@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matthew Barre](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:42:10 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matthew Barre
418 S Poplar Ave
Elmhurst, IL 60126-4012
matthew.barre@trnty.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marian Petrovich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:42:28 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marian Petrovich
8901 Monroe Ave
Brookfield, IL 60513-1432
marianpetro@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Sommer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:42:39 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Sommer
8436 Summit Dr
Chagrin Falls, OH 44023-4653
heatherlea15@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barry Cutler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:42:51 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barry Cutler
115 Wyndmoor Rd
Springfield, PA 19064-2326
bcutler529@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Leghart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:44:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Leghart
PO Box 125
148 Railroad Street
Jacobs Creek, PA 15448-0125
legs2of11@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Keith Lyle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:44:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Keith Lyle
196 County Road 160
Charlestown, IN 47111-1967
bass355@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Nazzaro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Thursday, August 16, 2018 11:44:14 PM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Nazzaro
10020 Calava Ct
Union, KY 41091-9086
pasn201@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Danielle Drosnock](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:45 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Danielle Drosnock
251 Belfast Rd
Wind Gap, PA 18091-9793
ddrosnock@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Chattergoon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:45 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Chattergoon
1017 S Yearling Rd
Columbus, OH 43227-1273
octavia1956@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Kern](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:45 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Kern
353 Geneva Ln
Cary, IL 60013-1862
ddkern@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephen Bellomo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:46 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephen Bellomo
22 Deerfield Dr
Rochester, NY 14609-3035
sjbellomo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [alison gimberlein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:46 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

alison gimberlein
50 Cadman Ave
Babylon, NY 11702-2102
alison11@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Leighton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:47 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Leighton
88 Manning St
Newark, OH 43055-5818
sis194450@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Shymkiw](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:47 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Shymkiw
111 Chapman Ave
Auburn, NY 13021-4519
shymkiwa@bellsouth.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [K D](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:47 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

K D
Po box
Smithtown, NY 11787
kasdr@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Potts](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:47 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Potts
31 Cottonwood Pl
Albany, NY 12205-4003
elizabethsinger4@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eugenie Von Poppe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:49 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eugenie Von Poppe
21 Elizabeth Ave
Honesdale, PA 18431-1115
gvonpoppe@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Lazarus-Reimen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:10:49 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Lazarus-Reimen
PO Box 2115
Sag Harbor, NY 11963-0077
lazreimen@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynne Rooney-Katsma](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:14:17 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynne Rooney-Katsma
319 Millstream Ln
Oswego, IL 60543-8279
laroonkat@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracy Pease](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:14:17 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracy Pease
119 Regan St
Rockford, IL 61107-4354
cassiopia26@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Dunne](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:14:17 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Dunne
1471 Arrowhead Dr
Pocono Lake, PA 18347-7864
pdonsax@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill Turco](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:47 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill Turco
2428 Manton St
Philadelphia, PA 19146-2434
jillylovespugs@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Newbold](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:47 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Newbold
162 Hilton Rd
Cochranville, PA 19330-9327
gailnewbold@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beverly Lang](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:48 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beverly Lang
120 Hoveler Ave
Aliquippa, PA 15001-4973
balang@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Ruckman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:48 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Ruckman
35 McKenzie Ave
Wellsburg, WV 26070-3119
heatherruckman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Dillabough](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:49 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Dillabough
9241 Willowvale Ave
New Hartford, NY 13413-5144
d_dillabough@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathryn Hess](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:49 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Hess
77 S Westgate Ave
Columbus, OH 43204-1962
kathirose1234@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Patetta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:50 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Patetta
614 Rathbun Ave
Staten Island, NY 10312-2613
joey97nd@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Ward](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:53 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Ward
520 E 81st St
New York, NY 10028-7095
nancyward520@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [A A](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:53 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

A A
99 Longfellow St
Vandergrift, PA 15690-1475
axg824@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Gallagher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:53 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Gallagher
3222 Duluth St
Highland, IN 46322-1305
ninelev57sept@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Rothbell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:58 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Rothbell
21140 18th Ave Apt 4f
Bayside, NY 11360-1509
jrcates763@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Meghan Valentich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:59 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Meghan Valentich
219 Great Smokey Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15239-2325
valentichm@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maya Burruso](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:44:19 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maya Burruso
90 Church St
New York, NY 10007-2919
scuccial@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Rothbell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:44:19 AM

Aug 16, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Rothbell
21140 18th Ave Apt 4f
Bayside, NY 11360-1509
jrcates763@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maria Cadeddu](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maria Cadeddu
5 Copperfield Dr
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047-7544
honybee2@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jerry Eldredge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jerry Eldredge
35 Woodland Dr
Wilmington, OH 45177-2740
drag0n1@cinci.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erik Kolb](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erik Kolb
7207 Calamus Ave
Woodside, NY 11377-7627
epik_71@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Max Stein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Max Stein
9 Barrow St Apt 4d
New York, NY 10014-3863
maxmclarson@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marilyn Berkon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Berkon
135 Willow St
Brooklyn, NY 11201-2255
marbkn@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Elkin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Elkin
3082 Epstein Cir
Mundelein, IL 60060-6047
susanelkin@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [flavia pellizzari](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

flavia pellizzari
505 towerst
centre wellington, IL 60012
slveviator@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vicki Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vicki Brown
9943 N 100 E
Ossian, IN 46777-9348
vicb53@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Kenion](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:55 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Kenion
15 E 221st St
Euclid, OH 44123-1109
lskenion@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Nelson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:10:55 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Nelson
105 Drexel Ave
Lansdowne, PA 19050-1304
twnelson@erols.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Jordan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:14:22 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Jordan
7104 Tulip St
Philadelphia, PA 19135-1428
jordansspot@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Raymond Mlynczak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:40:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Raymond Mlynczak
106 Hunt Dr
Horsham, PA 19044-1161
rmlynczak@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Wagner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:40:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Wagner
260 Dean Rd
Depew, NY 14043-1310
janfw@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christy Moneymaker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:40:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christy Moneymaker
465 Cindy Dr
Ledbetter, KY 42058-9762
rottiecgm@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Pint](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:40:58 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Pint
899 Quarry Dr
Akron, OH 44307-2241
rapint@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Noeker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:40:59 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Noeker
5 Tow Path Rd
Wurtsboro, NY 12790-7413
tomnoeker@hvc.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Gerenski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:40:59 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Gerenski
35 Frisbee Ter
Holley, NY 14470-1120
ksg1980@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ricki Newman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:40:59 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ricki Newman
617 Prince Dr
Newburgh, IN 47630-1616
rickenewman@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wade McCallum](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:41:00 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wade McCallum
1255 Springdale Dr
Louisville, KY 40213-1736
kingcat11@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Monti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:41:04 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Monti
34970 Northview Cir
North Ridgeville, OH 44039-1782
chris_monti@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrea Srirat](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:10:56 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrea Srirat
126/13 Moo1 Tong sala
Koh Phangan, IL 84280
andysilver111@yahoo.de

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Riddle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:10:56 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Riddle
16w700 Hawthorne Ct
Willowbrook, IL 60527-6123
rjriddle@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Mays](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:10:56 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Mays
64 W Neff Ave Apt 2f
Columbus, OH 43207-1055
pawcast2@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Stewart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:10:57 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Stewart
602 Glengary Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15215-1108
silentowl238@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Megan Warren](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:10:57 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Megan Warren
202 W Locust St
Bloomington, IL 61701-2903
alicencyberland@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julie Kwok](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:10:58 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julie Kwok
663 Mayfair St
Philadelphia, PA 19120-2645
kwokster10@hotmail.com

From: [Martha Spencer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:16:39 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Martha Spencer
988 Henry Mountain Rd
Brevard, NC 28712
8288852680

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Sanders](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:41:00 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Sanders
1577 Winnetka Rd
Glenview, IL 60025-1821
yellowstart5@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele White](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:41:00 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele White
1910 Vinton St
Lafayette, IN 47904-1463
mollycatsmom66@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Sanders](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:41:00 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Sanders
1577 Winnetka Rd
Glenview, IL 60025-1821
yellowstart5@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gilda Carrington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:41:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gilda Carrington
45 W 54th St
New York, NY 10019-5404
gildasalta@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kirsten Andersen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:41:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Andersen
709 Warburton Ave
Yonkers, NY 10701-1663
kirsten1@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Sanders](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:41:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Sanders
1577 Winnetka Rd
Glenview, IL 60025-1821
yellowstart5@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bertino Marro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:44:25 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bertino Marro
578 10th St
Brooklyn, NY 11215-4402
bertmarro@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Snyder Snyder](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:44:25 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Snyder Snyder
1347 Goodyear Rd
Gardners, PA 17324-8956
matsi1@embarqmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [jeri stokes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:11:04 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

jeri stokes
10 pinesbridge rd
maryknoll, NY 10545
jeristokes87@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Betty Fig](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:11:04 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Betty Fig
5 apple ln
NHP, NY 11040
pitsargenaf@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Remscrm](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:11:04 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Remscrm
2064 Nostrand Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11210-2553
remscrm@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Donahay](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:11:04 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Donahay
232 Laurel Run Rd
Beech Creek, PA 16822-7808
jconklin031465@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vaish B](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:11:05 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vaish B
300 S Central Ave
Hartsdale, NY 10530-3146
vaishub99@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rosemary delPino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:11:05 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rosemary delPino
1001 Collins Ave
Baden, PA 15005-1313
rdelpino2002@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Hoyle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:41:05 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Hoyle
TOCS
Lincs, NY 12345
karmaholye@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathy Winsor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:41:06 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathy Winsor
1955 Kirby Rd
McLean, VA 22101-5556
winsormclean@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan VanMeter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:41:06 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan VanMeter
28 Critton Owl Hollow Rd
Paw Paw, WV 25434-8956
wolfmoon@citlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronald Stoch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:44:32 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronald Stoch
1827 N 74th Ct
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-3728
yukon981973@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronald Stoch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:44:32 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronald Stoch
1827 N 74th Ct
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-3728
yukon981973@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Delin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:11:10 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Even though I don't live in Ohio--as an American I'm concerned when any species is endangered. I also don't want to hear that any US citizens have poor quality water.

Sincerely,

Donna Delin
123 June Ln
Lombard, IL 60148-4428
donna.delin@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Rathi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:11:10 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach. Individuals, like those involved in the annual river sweep, are always willing to help, but the larger agencies' cooperative presence is needed.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Rathi
17366 Kevin Drive
Meadville, PA 16335
rathisharon@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susanna H Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:11:10 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susanna H Miller
628 Fort Raleigh Dr
Virginia Beach, VA 23451-4870
bsashramite@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Davis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:41:13 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Davis
150 Brighton Fifteen St.
Brooklyn, NY 11235
yougogoogle@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Fortin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:41:13 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Fortin
25 Walton Ave
White Plains, NY 10606-3212
skamper25@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcia Ouellette](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:41:13 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcia Ouellette
1600 Main St
Lafayette, IN 47904-2919
weepingwillow3159@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Taylor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:41:13 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Taylor
371 Rye Rd
Fort Covington, NY 12937
zigida@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Craig Kleber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:41:14 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Craig Kleber
4 Albert Ln
Shillington, PA 19607-9365
oris25@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [LARRY WILLIAMS](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:41:14 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

LARRY WILLIAMS
1865 Sheri Rd
Bensalem, PA 19020-2427
larrypwilliams1@gmail.com

From: [Carol](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:11:12 AM

We need to keep our standards for ensuring river water quality is as good as possible. I represent a team of breast cancer survivors who are on the river 2-3 times/week. Please don't allow water quality standards to drop - it impacts us all and will make it more dangerous for all recreational river use.

Carol Challas and the Derby City Dragons.

Sent from my iPad

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Monika Walczak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:11:17 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Monika Walczak
Zum Dachsberg 1
Leppin, PA 19376
dachsborgalm@t-online.de

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Faulkner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:11:17 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Faulkner
620 Ferry St
Easton, PA 18042-4415
carolannfaulkner@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ronald & Thelma Allis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:11:17 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

This is a travesty! Ignoring pollution problems is not the intelligent way to handle these problems. It will have a chain affect on everything. Once pollution is allowed to continue nothing will ever be the same again. It is time to educate ourselves on the consequences.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ronald & Thelma Allis
909 Shotgun Hollow Rd
Ulster, PA 18850-8113
rtallis@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bill Kirchner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: From a new Ohio Voter
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:11:17 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a new constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bill Kirchner
1025 Dennison Ave
Apt 505
Columbus, OH 43201-3868
kirchner.bill@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Arrie Hammel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:11:18 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Arrie Hammel
1107 20th St
Niagara Falls, NY 14301-1317
mykabird@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph O'Sullivan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:14:39 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph O'Sullivan
6744 164th St Apt 6c
Flushing, NY 11365-7905
josullivan58@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Don Mckenzie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:41:21 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Don Mckenzie
2141 Miles Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45231-2123
dmckenzie1@zoomtown.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Schlotterbeck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:41:21 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Schlotterbeck
603 W Taylor St
Kokomo, IN 46901-4485
cschlotterbeck@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Robinson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:41:21 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Robinson
147 Colgate Ave
Johnstown, PA 15905-2416
dmrobinson1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maggie Shipton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:41:21 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maggie Shipton
PO Box 374
Hamel, IL 62046-0374
dufflh@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Wagner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:41:22 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Wagner
2612 Appel St
Allentown, PA 18103-7232
carolw640@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Becky Lechner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:41:22 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Becky Lechner
74 Baldwin St # 2
Binghamton, NY 13903-2244
kiasmommy@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Astrid Hoffius](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:41:23 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Astrid Hoffius
325 E 84th St Apt 6b
New York, NY 10028-4468
astrideh@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Mackey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:41:27 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Mackey
1711 County Highway 48
Oneonta, NY 13820-3542
pitagracie@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne Hurley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:41:27 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Hurley
4124 Meadow Hill Ln
Fairfax, VA 22033-3112
kindred12u@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [anna gibson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:11:26 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

anna gibson
311 Bailor Rd
Brooktondale, NY 14817-9707
wildapplefarm@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Mest](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:11:26 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Mest
218 Country Club Dr
Prospect Heights, IL 60070-2566
treeguardians@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marion Chayes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:11:26 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marion Chayes
1161 Cumberland Rd
Abington, PA 19001-3640
chayes.marion@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mindy Fogel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:11:26 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mindy Fogel
PO Box 19
Tuval, VA 20136
mincruiser@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Teresa Morehead](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:11:27 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Teresa Morehead
526 Buffalo Trail Cir
Indianapolis, IN 46227-2871
1988teresa@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Chidester](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:11:27 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Chidester
5138 keexxx
Chicago, IL 60630
bscats@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcia Weidner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:14:44 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcia Weidner
20412 Woodtrail Rd
Round Hill, VA 20141-1835
weidnermarcia@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gerald Swaim](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:28 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gerald Swaim
707 Bennett Cir
Sidney, OH 45365-7328
jerry_s85@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Kalan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:28 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Kalan
293 E Main St
Orange, VA 22960-1722
s_kalan@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vicky Newell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:28 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vicky Newell
10058 Valley Dale Ln
Marshall, VA 20115-2800
vnewell@mindspring.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Liberty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:28 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Liberty
19831 Woodside Dr
New Lenox, IL 60451-1262
mkl626@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christine Nye](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:28 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christine Nye
267 Airport Rd
Fredericksburg, PA 17026-9465
tinanye1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracy Wells](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:28 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracy Wells
1201 Nice Dr
Lexington, KY 40504-1515
remington_tracy@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joyce Berlangero](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:28 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joyce Berlangero
PO Box 365
Glen Head, NY 11545-0365
joycejvb@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ann Hollinger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:30 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ann Hollinger
133 Wayne Ave
Suffern, NY 10901-4408
annhollinger@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William H Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:30 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William H Smith
12604 Lower Monterey Cir
Blue Ridge Summit, PA 17214-9719
whs0828@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill McFadden](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:30 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill McFadden
5112 W Thornwood Dr
Mchenry, IL 60050-5075
scarletobeara65@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alexandra Sweitzer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:30 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Sweitzer
2150 W Warner Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-3032
lexy281983@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anna Gasner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:41:30 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anna Gasner
14 Stone Ridge Rd
Garrison, NY 10524-3705
algasner@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sofia Gutierrez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:44:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sofia Gutierrez
34 Hospital Rd
Tuxedo Park, NY 10987-4432
desserts1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Glenn Staub](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:44:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Glenn Staub
25 Rockledge Ave
White Plains, NY 10601-1200
gcstaub@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ann Blanchard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:44:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ann Blanchard
2701 Sigwalt St
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-1740
blannie1@aol.com

From: [Chuck Wyrstok](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:02:32 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Why are you giving up this hugely important role?

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Chuck Wyrstok
230 Griffith Run, Spencer, WV 25276
Spencer, WV 25276
304-927-2978

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nadia Herrera](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:32 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nadia Herrera
3906 N Central Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-2724
nduran1306@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jim Ohlendorf](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:32 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jim Ohlendorf
801 W Glover St
Ottawa, IL 61350-3841
snoopybasset@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [ana mil](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:32 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

ana mil
44 spruce st
philadelphia, PA 19104
sheila_sak@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lori Love](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:32 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lori Love
637 New Castle Rd
Butler, PA 16001-8325
ll-rf@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [V. B.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:33 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

V. B.
712 W 8th St
Bloomington, IN 47404-3602
song4byrd@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Holmes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:33 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

As a senior citizen who grew up in the Ohio watershed, i know how much improvement took place thanks to regulations. Streams and rivers that could not be used for swimming and fishing improved and thus the quality of life for all living things in the area. This improvement must be maintained and increased.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Holmes
438 S 44th St
Philadelphia, PA 19104-3927
jnaholmes@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marion Cooney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:33 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marion Cooney
5421 Eastbourne Dr
Springfield, VA 22151-1604
mtnrainbow@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karla Hass](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:34 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karla Hass
4540 E 50 N
Columbus, IN 47203-9301
karlamh@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue Anderson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:34 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue Anderson
7636 Hopkins Rd
North Chesterfield, VA 23237-1824
kitns1313@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Paine](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:11:35 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Paine
101 Five Point Rd
Robesonia, PA 19551-9515
bonpaine@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Benroubi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:14:49 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Benroubi
5628 Marathon Pkwy
Little Neck, NY 11362-2011
aannieb19@a.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Pignato](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Pignato
6 Southwick Dr
Webster, NY 14580-3435
spignato@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deanna Walch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deanna Walch
413b Maple Ave
Horsham, PA 19044-1752
deannawalch@netzero.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jaime Bernthaler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jaime Bernthaler
1029 Coolidge St
Bridgeville, PA 15017-2222
jaime_couch@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frank Herda](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:37 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frank Herda
6982 Maplewood Rd
Parma Heights, OH 44130-3720
frankherda2@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Garrett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:37 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Garrett
4105 Platte Ave
Groveport, OH 43125-9470
rebecca.garrett57@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Baglia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:37 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Baglia
329 Howard Ave
Jamestown, NY 14701-5846
chris1824@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Sewick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:37 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Sewick
4133 Saratoga Ave
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2064
ksewick@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bruce Moyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:38 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bruce Moyer
602 Halteman Rd
Souderton, PA 18964-2303
bhmoyer@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Cranston jr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:39 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Cranston jr
265 South Rd
Holmes, NY 12531-5318
hlmsc1@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julie Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:39 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julie Jones
PO Box 720
Edmond, WV 25837-0120
juliejones278@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bj Wallace](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:40 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bj Wallace
5210 Olley Ln
Burke, VA 22015-1747
roxcheyenne@codybj.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Yvette fernandez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:40 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Yvette fernandez
4509 97th St
Corona, NY 11368-2711
y_fernandez02@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Hurschik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:40 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Hurschik
1901 Prairie Ridge Dr
Plainfield, IL 60586-6195
beagles02@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Christenson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:41:42 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Christenson
262 Hamilton Ave
Elgin, IL 60123-5353
thomaschristenson725@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [LISA NEUBERT](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

LISA NEUBERT
4725 N Ozanam Ave
Norridge, IL 60706-4505
lsdiment@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephen Accardi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephen Accardi
241A Nassau Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11224781
mrstevea@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Beck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

There is no reasonable reason for cutting pollution controls. It doesn't make America great again.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Beck
331 Bella Vista Dr
Ithaca, NY 14850-5789
sneakers676@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charmaine White](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charmaine White
127 Kulp Rd
Catawissa, PA 17820-7915
horselover930@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark and Patricia MCMAHAN](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:37 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark and Patricia MCMAHAN
5432 Warner Rd
Kinsman, OH 44428-9793
adak5@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheila Stevens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:37 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheila Stevens
120 N Bethlehem Pike
Ft Washington, PA 19034-1216
sbstevens18@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Allen Gillin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:37 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Allen Gillin
512 Marshall Cir
Gilbertsville, KY 42044-8876
allengillin@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shannon Roth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:38 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shannon Roth
1270 Lincolnshire Dr
Rockingham, VA 22802-8352
roths@emhs.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [deborah cochran](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:41 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

deborah cochran
2142 Freeport Rd
Kittanning, PA 16201-7434
cochran2142@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Ewald](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:41 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Ewald
15608 Edgegrove Rd
Hillsboro, VA 20132-5213
sbus@alwaysthinking.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [GEORGE COLLINS](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:41 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

GEORGE COLLINS
6 Autumn Creek Ct
Coal Valley, IL 61240-9171
gcollins580@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Bain](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:41 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Bain
541 Marquart Dr
Webster, NY 14580-1120
tbain117@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Dulgeroff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:42 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Dulgeroff
600 Stevens Dr Apt 302
Pittsburgh, PA 15237-3171
dulgeroff@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Sandusky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:42 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Sandusky
13 Cranberry Cir
Denver, PA 17517-9252
susandusky@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Loftin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:42 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Loftin
357 Boston Pl
Toledo, OH 43610-1435
tschannlee@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dwight Bodycott](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:42 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dwight Bodycott
380 W 12th St
New York, NY 10014-7200
dwight@mobileshowroom.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Doris Verkamp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:43 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Doris Verkamp
1819 Ashby Dr
Charleston, IL 61920-3218
vad11_11@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brian McFarland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:44 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brian McFarland
345 W Fullerton Pkwy
Chicago, IL 60614-2856
brianmcfarland@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Nick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:11:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Nick
213 Wayne Rd
Wheeling, WV 26003-9670
grandmafour@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Coons](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:13:16 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Coons
18 Willink Ave
Buffalo, NY 14210-2630
mlf0770@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Barbezat](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:13:20 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

We must continue to take steps against pollution of our waters. That is a priority.

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Barbezat
789 Rocky Gap Dr
Elgin, IL 60124-3141
mbarbezat33@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Edward Moul](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:14:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Edward Moul
3324 E Hayes Rd
Eagleville, PA 19403-4070
edward147@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heidi Cleven](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:14:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heidi Cleven
648 Union St
Brooklyn, NY 11215-1103
heidicleven@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debi Willcox](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:14:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debi Willcox
104 Glenwood Hts
Mansfield, OH 44903-2410
willcoxdebi@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jonathan Blanton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:14:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Blanton
840 Malabu Dr
Lexington, KY 40502-3420
coreyblanton@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Colleen Wilkinson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Colleen Wilkinson
800 Trenton Rd
Langhorne, PA 19047-5674
colleen_62@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynne Firestone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynne Firestone
52 Salem Ln
Evanston, IL 60203-1218
lgfirestone@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dottie Wiseman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:36 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dottie Wiseman
2033 Timberview Rd
Roanoke, VA 24019-5535
dlwiseman@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [oza & carter Bell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:37 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

oza & carter Bell
PO Box 1066
Dunnsville, VA 22454-1066
obiebell@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen O'Sullivan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:37 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen O'Sullivan
2397 Belle Meade Rd
Bumpass, VA 23024-3654
kaos056@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie E.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:38 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie E.
504 E 63rd St
New York, NY 10065-7919
stephanie.etherton@oenb.at

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Prosser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:38 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Prosser
7974 Evans Rd
Holland Patent, NY 13354-3422
prosser420@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Monika Seegler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:38 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Monika Seegler
1152 Dennis Ave
Monessen, PA 15062-1825
joniza62@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peter Prosser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:39 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peter Prosser
7974 Evans Rd
Holland Patent, NY 13354-3422
pp69420@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bob Prosser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:39 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bob Prosser
7974 Evans Rd
Holland Patent, NY 13354-3422
bobprosser@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Hinds-Gale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:39 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Hinds-Gale
338 W Lake Rd
De Ruyter, NY 13052-1232
jgale6@twcny.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda McDougal](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:40 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda McDougal
19151 Polish Town Rd.
Barhamsville, VA 23011
linmcdoug@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Prosser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:40 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Prosser
7974 Evans Rd
Holland Patent, NY 13354-3422
bonpross@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Avery](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:42 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Avery
3013 Lynnwood Way
Louisville, KY 40299-3101
tomak66@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Paskert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:42 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Paskert
27380 Cook Rd
Olmsted Twp, OH 44138-1093
kmp5100@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Emmons](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:42 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Emmons
14567 Woodland Ridge Dr
Centreville, VA 20121-2306
jeanannemmons@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Katharine O'Connell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:42 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm really concerned about the rivers in our area. I've seen big comebacks in the plants, birds, and animals near the river and that needs too continue. As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Katharine O'Connell
3846 Mayfield Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44121-1747
katharine.oconnell@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wendi Gates-Morgante](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:43 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wendi Gates-Morgante
808 Bennington Dr
Rochester, NY 14616-3934
wendigm@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alan Jasper](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alan Jasper
110 Hampton Way
Merrick, NY 11566-5025
alj2727@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Brill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:41:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Brill
5028 Shepherdstown Rd
Martinsburg, WV 25404-0121
surveyorchick@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paula Serridge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:44:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paula Serridge
223 Thomas Bright Ave Apt 314
Easton, PA 18042-6459
pes54@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michele Null](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:40 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michele Null
64 Sierra Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15239-2444
mlnull19@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Candy Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:40 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Candy Brown
603 W 1st St
Arcanum, OH 45304-1005
candythomasgourdart@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gay Williamson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:40 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gay Williamson
2359 Merriway Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45231-1722
gaywilliamson@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [shirley.wrightcoltart](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:40 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

shirley.wrightcoltart
4218 N County Road 500 E
Danville, IN 46122-9371
swrightc@iu.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jim Allen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jim Allen
665 King Richard Ct
Troy, OH 45373-6716
jvallen67@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Moy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Moy
207 Avenue B
New York, NY 10009-3637
mikem4502@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karin Zygowicz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karin Zygowicz
18535 Glen Oak Ave
Lansing, IL 60438-2824
klzygowicz@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matt Summey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:46 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. I enjoy visiting Ohio, and keeping the state clean is important to me.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River. Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Matt Summey
9444 Manorwood Dr
Mechanicsville, VA 23116-7209
msummey@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Fairchild](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:47 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Fairchild
6885 Sherrard Rd
Cambridge, OH 43725-9560
sdfair71@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Cummings](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:47 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Keep your filthy greedy grubby hands off the river protections. Find something, anything GOOD to do with your time!

Sincerely,

Linda Cummings
702 E Lawrence St
Montpelier, OH 43543-1640
lcstarshine@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joanna Hollis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:47 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joanna Hollis
1276 Penn Ave
Wyomissing, PA 19610-2130
joannaleah13069@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Savannah Hawkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Savannah Hawkins
2640 N Sheffield Ave
Chicago, IL 60614-1347
savannahawkins2@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frank Florio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frank Florio
6582 O'Neil St.
Niagara Falls, NY 14381
forkshop@cogeco.ca

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elza Corrill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:51 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elza Corrill
4558 Clearview Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45205-1518
correlis@yahoo.com

From: [Deanna C Rushing](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: protection of ohio river
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:11:54 AM

Do not dissolve the standards protecting the river. It's really bad as it is and this will only make it worse.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dianne Entwhistle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:14:57 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dianne Entwhistle
370 N West Ave
Elmhurst, IL 60126-2126
d.entwhistle@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Ames](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:14:57 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Ames
339 Cloud St
Front Royal, VA 22630-3109
sundaralyn@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Crowell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:14:58 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Crowell
4511 N Albany Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-4503
pscrowell@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jackie Pluska](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:43 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jackie Pluska
33901 N Prospect Dr
Grayslake, IL 60030-1762
j_plu@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marian Goldberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:43 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marian Goldberg
PO Box 449
Mc Lean, VA 22101-0449
kolos74000@mypacks.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dr. Brian Amos](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:43 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

What are they thinking! Please use common sense here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Brian Amos
328 Wagner Ave
Mamaroneck, NY 10543-2836
brianamos@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steve Thunberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:44 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steve Thunberg
3075 Sanders Rd
Northbrook, IL 60062-7119
sthunber@allstate.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Swartz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:44 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Swartz
1391 Church St
Appomattox, VA 24522-5241
kswartz@jetbroadband.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [edward colerich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

edward colerich
1634 Pinehurst Ct
Pittsburgh, PA 15237-1598
e.colerich@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Pardo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Pardo
10 Hickory St
Farmingdale, NY 11735-1616
pupchix@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [bobbie Castle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

bobbie Castle
416 N Chestnut St
Barnesville, OH 43713-1251
bobbiecastle@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephen Heinzelman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:46 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephen Heinzelman
21 Farm Field Ln
Pittsford, NY 14534-2863
sheinzel@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tamara Teter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tamara Teter
4089 N 450 E
Rolling Prairie, IN 46371-9622
tamarateter@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gloria Sharp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gloria Sharp
1124 E Ewing Ave
South Bend, IN 46613-3108
gsharp3612@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mandy Tomasik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mandy Tomasik
235 Casterton Ave
Akron, OH 44303-1516
mandy.tomasik@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Martin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:41:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Martin
90 Fox Holw
Rensselaer, NY 12144-8420
linda@lindamartindesign.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Pierro-Greene](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:42:00 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Pierro-Greene
311 Regency Dr
North Wales, PA 19454-1619
kimig19@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erica Minglis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:45:00 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erica Minglis
PO Box 151
Woodstock, NY 12498-0151
beebalm3@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erica Minglis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:45:00 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erica Minglis
PO Box 151
Woodstock, NY 12498-0151
beebalm3@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erica Minglis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:45:00 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erica Minglis
PO Box 151
Woodstock, NY 12498-0151
beebalm3@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erica Minglis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:45:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erica Minglis
PO Box 151
Woodstock, NY 12498-0151
beebalm3@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jone Noveck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:45:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Clean Water is essential and must not be compromised.

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jone Noveck
446 W 47th St Apt 5d
New York, NY 10036-2381
jnoveck@yahoo.com

From: [Kenneth Popp](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: river pollution
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:10:50 AM

Please do not change what you have been doing with the Ohio river standards. Our water quality in my area has been less than in the past, the test reporting from our water company has omitted many of the past tests, which leads me to believe the results are not good. My home water filter has been getting filled quicker in the past couple years. W do not need to go backward, just because we have a president that is all leaning to industry, without any sense of environment. The past history of how our country was built shows the record of abuse of resources and money, that we should have learned from.

Kenneth Popp
Memphis Indiana
502-991-6483

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [ALMIRIAM MONTGOMERY](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:44 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

ALMIRIAM MONTGOMERY
3316 Diana Lee Ct
Virginia Beach, VA 23452-4829
almiriam.montgomery@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Juanita Garcia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:44 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Juanita Garcia
27 Mackay Dr
Hauppauge, NY 11788-2204
model-j@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [D O](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:44 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

D O
1600 pine road
Chesapeake, VA 23322
uthink2@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Murphy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:44 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Murphy
7816 Locklear Landing Dr
Spotsylvania, VA 22551-2910
rmurphy853@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [tara wheeler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Happy Summer! Take care & God Bless All . . .

Sincerely,

tara wheeler
2915 Hunter Mill Rd
Oakton, VA 22124-1716
tara@thebaldwinlawfirm.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kimberly Rogers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Rogers
435 Center St
Clarks Summit, PA 18411-1829
califslide@epix.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynne Baird](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynne Baird
1917 McCauslen Mnr
Steubenville, OH 43952-1307
lynnelbaird@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Richard Harnik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:45 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Richard Harnik
9 James Ct
Glens Falls, NY 12801-3303
daveharnik@netzero.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mike Inganamort](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:46 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mike Inganamort
27 Mackay Dr
Hauppauge, NY 11788-2204
dep281@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debbie Muller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:46 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debbie Muller
1324 N Mathews St
Springfield, IL 62702-4428
debamuller10@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Summer Hughes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:46 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Summer Hughes
4721 Taylorsville Rd
Huber Heights, OH 45424-2442
summer_hughes@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Lotito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:46 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Lotito
3 Raymond Ct
Garden City, NY 11530-4707
mrbad80@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Petersen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:11:47 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Petersen
2995 Hammons ville Rd
Munfordville, KY 42765-9346
judy.petersen2995@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [brian kalimian](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:15:03 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

brian kalimian
160 Madison Ave
New York, NY 10016-5412
brianjune815@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donald Stanko](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:15:14 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donald Stanko
140 Illinois Dr
Lower Burrell, PA 15068-3023
donstanko@comcast.net

From: [Lydia Kowalski](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Water Pollution Standards
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:28:17 AM

After all the progress that has been made, please don't undermine all the efforts. Water and air pollution controls are ESSENTIAL to the health and future of our population. We have so many pollution issues, let's not go back on this one!

--

Dr. Lydia Anne Kowalski

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Rams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:41:48 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Rams
214 Crabtree Ln
Vernon Hills, IL 60061-2107
smartinner@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Puca](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:41:49 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

save the ohio river from pollution and Trump now.
As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Puca
535 Dean St
Brooklyn, NY 11217-2180
robpuca@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Stout](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:41:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Stout
4848 Warwick Dr S
Canfield, OH 44406-9223
ka_stout@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Paul](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:41:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Paul
1501 Thomas Cir
Charleston, WV 25314-1622
niki311@suddenlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beth Allen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:41:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beth Allen
1356 S Markoe St
Philadelphia, PA 19143-3812
ruthiegoo4@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [R.A. Dayton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:41:54 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

R.A. Dayton
3836 Sunview Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15227-2635
radayton@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stacey Weatherley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:00 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stacey Weatherley
33 E Genesee St Apt 2e
Wellsville, NY 14895-1052
staceyweatherley@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Wyatt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:05 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Wyatt
34 Curry Hill Rd
Levittown, PA 19057-1723
karen_baran@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tammra Sanders](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:05 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tammra Sanders
6343 Wedgewood Dr
Sylvania, OH 43560-3344
sanderstammra@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jean Garretson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:05 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jean Garretson
8717 N Saint Joseph Ave
Evansville, IN 47720-7470
jsgarretson@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Minutello](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:06 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Minutello
100 Daleville Rd
Cochranville, PA 19330-1117
minutello5@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Val Folkerts](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:11 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Val Folkerts
1512 Elder Dr
Aurora, IL 60506-1221
valeriefolkerts@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Morgan Paulus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:11 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Morgan Paulus
6548 N Bosworth Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-4911
morganpaulus@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cristine Bhajji](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:11 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cristine Bhajji
30138 Saint Ives
Westlake, OH 44145-3790
blkdog96@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Philip Hult](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: No to Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:11 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Philip Hult
401a County Road 2425 N
Mahomet, IL 61853-9704
pyrobison@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pablo Bobe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:12 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pablo Bobe
1 Main St
New York, NY 10044-0052
pblomartinbobe@hotmail.com

From: haraksg@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Saskia Harak](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:44:38 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Saskia Harak
447 Shenstone Road
Riverside IL, 60546-2031

From: emmapetit26@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Emma Petit](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:54:40 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Emma Petit
739 W Belmont Ave Apt. 515
Chicago IL, 60657-4561

From: achu190@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Andrea Chu](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:55:09 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Andrea Chu
581 E Beechwold Blvd
Columbus OH, 43214-1803

From: mcblanc2004@yahoo.com
To: [ORSANCO The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission](#)
Subject: PCS Comments
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:03:53 AM

Apologies for submitting comments a little late—I've only just learned of the proposed changes to ORSANCO & Pollution Control Standards and I'd like to add some general observations to this decision making process.

We know that large numbers of US whose quality of Life & Health have benefited greatly by “additional layers of regulation” will reflexively reject “regulation” if/when asked.

That said—I support the continuation of ORSANCO for organizational reasons because if Our Systems of Government have demonstrated anything over the course of the last 200+ years...

We've demo'ed that—Checks & Balances Shared & Distributed Across 3 Branches Looking At Issues & Conflicts From 3 Different Angles—works best for arriving at efficacious solutions and enforcing standards in reasonable time frames.

I strongly recommend continuing with the 3 Levels/Sources of Oversight & Responsibility & Enforcement for the Ohio River Water Quality—The Individual States Involved & The Federal-EPA & The Comprehensive Ohio River Watershed-ORSANCO.

Thank You,
Mary Blanc

4001 Bellemeade Ave
Evansville IN 47714
mcblanc2004@yahoo.com
M# 812-459-9823
H# 812-491-8410

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Roberts](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:11:52 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Roberts
184 Barton Hollow Rd
Smithfield, PA 15478-1536
virgotrucker@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Benjamin Wimmer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:11:52 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Wimmer
5480 S Cornell Ave Apt 323
Chicago, IL 60615-5645
wimmerben@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Whitaker](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:11:52 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Whitaker
472 Palisades Dr
Akron, OH 44303-1744
mjswhit@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shirley Sword](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:11:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shirley Sword
6224 N Highlands Ct
Harrisburg, PA 17111-6909
shsword@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [nan sersig](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:11:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

nan sersig
6601 Congress Rd
West Salem, OH 44287-9526
sersign2510@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Martilias Porreca](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:11:55 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Martilias Porreca
405 N Ashland Ave
Park Ridge, IL 60068-3407
martilias@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jill Dione](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:11:55 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jill Dione
5841 Forward Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15217-2343
jilldione@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Virginia Holloway](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:11:56 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Virginia Holloway
8549 96th St Apt 4d
Woodhaven, NY 11421-1729
vholloway5@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joyce Bliefertich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:11:58 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joyce Bliefertich
15452 Cagney Ct
Woodbridge, VA 22193-1061
joyceluvscats@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Wushensky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:12:03 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Wushensky
410 Hessian Dr
Kennett Square, PA 19348-2863
s.wushensky@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tom Blaszczak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:12:03 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tom Blaszczak
13678 Oakbrook Dr
North Royalton, OH 44133-4615
tomzak21@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Young](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:12:10 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Young
2 Hillwood Dr
Gibsonia, PA 15044-9363
cynyoung@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cara Stanley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:12:10 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cara Stanley
275 E Vermont Ave
Sebring, OH 44672-1440
clstanley245@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessica Fish](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:15:08 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessica Fish
154 Ashley Hill Crossover Rd
Mansfield, PA 16933-8427
jessfish@frontier.com

From: [Cathy Smith](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Opposed to changes in PCS
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:15:59 AM

To: ORSANCO PSC Committee & Commissioners

From: Cathy Smith

Date: August 6, 2018

Re: Comments on PCS

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. I have lived most of my life in the Ohio River Basin. I feel that ORSANCO is completely abdicating its mission “to ensure protection of these uses and to preserve the waters for other legitimate purposes” if it proceeds with the adoption of the changes proposed to the PCS. This will certainly not result in improved water quality in the Ohio River. It will likely not even result in the status quo for water quality.

US EPA addressed the very issue at the crux of ORSANCO's assumption in a study, titled *A Study of Prospective Water Pollution Control Activities For The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission*, was released in March 1975, and with respect to “stream standards,” recommended that:

Since the Ohio is a boundary river, and because there are many other relationships as among the several state segments of the river system, the interstate agency should provide the overall view and the comparative analyses needed for coordination, consistency and equity.

Whereas the current PCS state: “Sections 301(b)(1)(C) and 510 of the Federal Act require that permits issued under that system incorporate applicable standards promulgated by an interstate agency wherever they are more stringent than comparable state or federal standards. And that these standards set forth the uses to be protected in the Ohio River (Chapter 2) as established in the Compact, establish water quality criteria to assure that those uses will be achieved (Chapter 3), and set wastewater discharge requirements (Chapter 5) needed to attain the water quality criteria. The standards also recognize the rights of individual states to adopt and apply more stringent regulations.

Specific wastewater discharge requirements are established in these regulations and must be incorporated into discharge permits issued under the authority of the NPDES or state discharge permitting programs when they are more stringent than:

- 1) applicable U.S. EPA technology-based effluent guidelines required under Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Federal Clean Water Act, or
- 2) any state treatment requirements, effluent standards, or water quality-based

effluent limits.

In the absence of promulgated Federal effluent guidelines pursuant to Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Clean Water Act, the Compact signatory states have the responsibility to establish effluent limitations to be included in any discharge permit, consistent with the standards contained herein using best professional judgment on a case-by-case basis.”

This is in no way comparable to the Commission simply stating that because state standards are approved by EPA that the river as a whole will be protected. Standards vary state by state. Currently, the PCS regulations require that states use ORSANCO standards or a state standard if it is more stringent. Therefore, the protections will not be consistent or as stringent if these changes are approved and the PCS regulations are gutted.

I continue to assert that some combination of alternative 3 and/or 4 as presented in the preliminary comment period will provide better protection for the Ohio while adhering to the Compact and the mission of the Commission. In addition, a combination of 3 and 4 would also implement the recommendations in the 1974/5 EPA study and continue ORSANCOs legacy of providing valuable leadership to the Ohio River states. Proposing a cost effective way to selectively modify the PCS after review as warranted and increase focus on harmonization of standards and their implementation among Compact states and EPA would preserve ORSANCOs leadership role in the basin.

I object to the proposed changes. If approved ORSANCO is abandoning its mission and obligation to the people of the Ohio River Basin, but even worse, the water quality and quality of life of people in the Ohio River Basin will continue to suffer.

Cathy Smith
Morgan, PA

From: cybertigress2@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Sue Evilsizer](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:17:40 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Sue Evilsizer
20529 Brookstone Trl
Cleveland OH, 44130-2489

From: mmbigg=fuse.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Michele Biggane](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:20:12 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Michele Biggane
8332 CANNON KNOLL CT
WEST CHESTER OH, 45069-2700

From: ridgkathi43213=msn.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kathi Ridgway](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:21:42 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Kathi Ridgway
85 Covenant Way APT, 100
Canal Winchester OH, 43110-1081

From: erin=howlerhillsfarm.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Erin Gabbard](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:22:10 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Erin Gabbard
6681 W Alexandria Rd
Middletown OH, 45042-8910

From: wpstern90=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [William Stern](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:22:15 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

William Stern
90 E 267th St
Euclid OH, 44132-1230

From: fred.pierre=smfpl.org@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Fred Pierre](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:22:44 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Fred Pierre
480 Longmere Drive
Kent OH, 44240-3470

From: lmick46@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lawrence Mick](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:23:11 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Lawrence Mick
3103 Observation Trail
Dayton OH, 45449-3529

From: ittisdik@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Richard Futrell](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:23:42 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Richard Futrell
5905 FARMERSVILLE WEST CARROLLTON RD none
MIAMISBURG OH, 45342-1223

From: metz=nku.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [John Metz](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:24:11 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

John Metz
1586 Rockhurst Ln
Cincinnati OH, 45255-2637

From: frank=studioconsultants.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Frank Pekoc](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:24:12 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Frank Pekoc
13900 Shaker Blvd
Cleveland OH, 44120-1587

From: deborahbednar=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Deborah Bednar](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:24:41 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Deborah Bednar
5739 W Western Reserve Rd
Canfield OH, 44406-8112

From: opaskar=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Patricia Opaskar](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:25:12 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Patricia Opaskar
3406 Hollister Rd
Cleveland Heights OH, 44118-1328

From: bo.kiefer67@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Anita Kiefer](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:25:42 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Anita Kiefer
836 N Circle Dr
Wapakoneta OH, 45895-1337

From: tonymer79@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tony Merrill](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:26:11 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to do the right thing and keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Tony Merrill
2362 Burklin Dr
Cincinnati OH, 45244-2910

From: hbswab=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Robert Swab](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:28:42 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Robert Swab
6809 Cicero St
Dayton OH, 45424-3507

From: anne.sparks756@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Anne Sparks](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:28:44 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place. We need these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunities and the tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource we must value and maintain in healthy, usable condition.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to stay in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Anne Sparks
730 Robinette Ridge Rd
New Marshfld OH, 45766-9773

From: jmswaldo@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [James Waldo](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:28:45 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

James Waldo
269 deepwood
Wadsworth OH, 44281-9442

From: swimchessveg@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Susan Huesken](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:30:42 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Susan Huesken
6304 Cary Ave
Cincinnati OH, 45224-2006

From: margefear=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Marge Fear](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:31:42 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Marge Fear
3549 E Scarborough Rd
Cleveland Hts OH, 44118-4302

From: jriedl37@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [John Riedl](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:32:12 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

John Riedl
789 Clifton Blvd.
Mansfield OH, 44907-2284

From: wdback1@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Phyllis Back](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:33:13 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Phyllis Back
582 Sage Run Drive
Lebanon OH, 45036-3918

From: heather.cantino@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Heather Cantino](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:34:12 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep and strengthen the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these and higher water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain and be strengthened to make sure that the Ohio River remains available to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Heather Cantino
33 Cable Lane
Athens OH, 45701-1304

From: dmistelske=me.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Diann Mistelske](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:37:42 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Diann Mistelske
3811 Davidson Road
Hilliard OH, 43026-2434

From: tomcalwriter@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tom Calarco](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:38:14 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our water.

With the increasing assault on our environment by a toxic corporatocracy and a malevolent executive branch, this is an absolute no-brainer.

Tom Calarco, Loveland, Ohio

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Tom Calarco
101 S. 3rd St., # 313
Loveland OH, 45140-3007

From: [Greg Wilson](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:38:37 AM

To the PCS Committee:

I am an environmental historian and an Ohio historian. The creation of ORSANCO was a critical moment for Ohio and the Ohio River. It represented a state and locally-driven process of recognizing the dangers of unregulated use of the river and establishing an organization to reduce pollution, and educate the population about the river and its watershed. It was clear then, and it is clear now, that very powerful interests want to eliminate those protections; removing the standards would destroy the hard work and success that Ohio and other states have achieved, and threaten the health and well-being of the river, and endanger the drinking water of over 5 million people.

Given how the political winds can change easily, and how critical it is to maintain protections for the river, ORSANCO must maintain its own set of standards to ensure continued success. According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

States can serve as models of successful action on environmental protection - sometimes doing a better job than the federal government. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Dr. Gregory Wilson

From: jl pate79@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jessica Pate](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:38:44 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Jessica Pate
465, Taylor Ave.
Akron OH, 44312-3548

From: mageda=bangbouh.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Mageda Merbouh-Bangert](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:39:14 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Mageda Merbouh-Bangert
34 Graham Dr
Athens OH, 45701-1478

From: bgarza=bgsu.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Bianca Garza](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:39:16 AM

Dear ,

I seriously urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Bianca Garza
24186 Front St Apt 3
Grand Rapids OH, 43522-8400

From: jsirgo1=neo.rr.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Joe Sirgo](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:41:45 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Joe Sirgo
1380 Skyway St NE
Canton OH, 44721-1653

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [dmitry lanin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:41:55 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

dmitry lanin
5567 broadway
bronx, NY 10471
dmitryl@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellie McGuire](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:41:55 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellie McGuire
4432 Susan Dr
Bethlehem, PA 18017-8748
elliemcguire@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marjorie Rathbone](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:41:55 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Rathbone
B302 Summit Dr
Bryn Mawr, PA 19010-2237
jorie.rathbone@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [R. Stang](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:41:56 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. People and wildlife must continue to have protection from pollution!

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

R. Stang
10 S Seymour Ave
Grayslake, IL 60030-1542
shaarks@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer La Torre](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer La Torre
8055 250th St
Bellerose, NY 11426-2623
j.latorre.84@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joyce Morimoto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joyce Morimoto
3217 Otto Ln
Evanston, IL 60201-4906
jaa_morimoto@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debbie Stadler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debbie Stadler
6516 Weckerly Dr
Whitehouse, OH 43571-9693
debbiestadler@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mike Baldasio](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mike Baldasio
4351 Otter
Niagara Falls, NY 14309
oisadlab@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donald Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donald Johnson
3439 71st St
Jackson Hts, NY 11372-1058
djre2@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Schulz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:01 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Schulz
PO Box 438
160 Walker Rd
Kemblesville, PA 19347-0438
rhschulz@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [joyce correale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:02 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

joyce correale
108 White Pine Ave
O Fallon, IL 62269-2533
dixiebell84@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth DeLoma](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:07 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth DeLoma
117 W 58th St Apt 10c
New York, NY 10019-1548
eonyx134@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Galeota](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:07 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Galeota
324 Rose Marie Ave
Va Beach, VA 23462-2626
mggaleota@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Raymond Farrington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:07 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Raymond Farrington
2103 South Ave
Syracuse, NY 13207-2147
teachart@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sylvia De Rea](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:08 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sylvia De Rea
1065 State Park Rd
Wind Gap, PA 18091-9784
sylrea68@rcn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Duman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:08 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Duman
930 Heather Rd
Deerfield, IL 60015-3410
bozziebear@aol.com

From: dawnsandbergfx@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Dawn Sandberg](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:43 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Dawn Sandberg
7714 Voice Of America Center Dr
West Chester OH, 45069-2793

From: joanna=joannamihalik.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Joanna Mihalik](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:42:44 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

Thousands of us live in Stratton, Empire, Toronto, Steubenville, Mingo Junction and on and on up and down the river and we DRINK RIVER WATER! HELP US TO KEEP IT CLEAN AND PROTECT US BY PROTECTING OUR WATER! KEEP ORSANCO'S POLLUTION STANDARDS!!!!
PLEASE!!

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Joanna Mihalik
PO Box 248
Toronto OH, 43964-0248

From: gosztyla.alice@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Alice Gosztyla](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:43:14 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Alice Gosztyla
7385 Lee Rd.
Westerville OH, 43081-9257

From: gosztyla.alice@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Alice Gosztyla](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:43:14 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Alice Gosztyla
7385 Lee Rd.
Westerville OH, 43081-9257

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deb Dierking](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:45:09 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deb Dierking
716 S Brandywine St
West Chester, PA 19382-3511
ddierking50@hotmail.com

From: lynn.mg9756@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lynn Gazik](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:45:45 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Lynn Gazik
6803 Forest Ave
Parma OH, 44129-2735

From: tara.miller=syf.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tara Miller](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:49:14 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Tara Miller
1836 Rosemont Blvd
Dayton OH, 45420-2511

From: [Andrew Ayer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO's proposal
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:50:52 AM

Dear ORSANCO committee,

I am writing to vehemently oppose this preposterous proposal. How could something like this be considered at a time when the water quality of the Ohio river is at toxic levels? In fact, the proposal should be opposite of the current notion: we need more activity on recovering the quality of the river and doing everything possible to prevent further contamination by local corporations that seek to use abuse our public resources at will without consequence. How can we rely on an EPA that is intent on deregulating much of the safeguards on the environment? It is not redundant - it is absolutely necessary to keep independent agency!

Please take the moral and just action and make sure we protect our water as best as we can -- our children depend on you.

Sincerely,
Andy Ayer

From: spidrs@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Richard Bradley](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:51:01 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Richard Bradley
1196 Penry Rd
Delaware OH, 43015-9675

From: df10359@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Douglas Fagan](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:51:15 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people. PLEASE fight to keep our lakes. Rivers, streams and drinking water safe and clean! Thank you for all you do!

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Douglas Fagan
10312 Clifton blvd.
Cleveland OH, 44102-1643

From: joe_wolf=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [joe Wolf](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:51:46 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

joe Wolf
1613 Hawthorne Dr Apt 8
Cleveland OH, 44124-3094

From: claytonrothwell@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Clayton Rothwell](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:52:45 AM

Dear ,

I am an avid outdoorsman who enjoys the beautiful state natural resources. I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Clayton Rothwell
172 Glenview Dr
Beavercreek OH, 45440-3202

From: rfsosfs@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Robert Schramm](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:53:17 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Robert Schramm
2049 Parkside Blvd.
Toledo OH, 43607-1555

From: natalie.henderson.415@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Natalie Henderson](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:55:43 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Natalie Henderson
660 ADA ST
KENT OH, 44240-3354

From: ritajliggins70@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Rita Liggins](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:56:14 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Rita Liggins
465 W. Grand Ave., 812
Dayton OH, 45405-4763

From: petersmj=uc.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Mary Petersman](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:56:15 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Mary Petersman
1366 Columbus Ave
Cincinnati OH, 45255-3016

From: doubledsfarm201424@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Debra Francis](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:56:15 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Debra Francis
3934 Walnut Rd
Willard OH, 44890-9786

From: lanies574@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Elaine Strassburger](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:57:45 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Elaine Strassburger
4472 Habersham Ln S
Richmond Heights OH, 44143-2615

From: laura.colston@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Laura Colston](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:59:47 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Laura Colston
603 Wooster
Terrace Park OH, 45174-1037

From: cplumley09@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Constance Plumley](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:03:15 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Constance Plumley
37 Byers Avenue, Apt. 608
Akron OH, 44302-1330

From: stkacz=kent.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [sharon tkacz](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:06:16 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

sharon tkacz
14829 hook hollow rd
NOVELTY OH, 44072-9523

From: tjf=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Thomas Foley](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:08:18 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Thomas Foley
6624 Aintree Pk Dr
Mayfield Village OH, 44143-3562

From: lafender=bex.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Louise Fender](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:09:17 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Louise Fender
3303 Kenwood Blvd.
Toledo OH, 43606-2806

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Gorsetman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:11:58 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark Gorsetman
1610 149th St
Whitestone, NY 11357-2523
wots11@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gale LaBeau](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:11:58 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gale LaBeau
PO Box 46
Romney, WV 26757-0046
glabeau@frontiernet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patti Hodge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:11:58 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patti Hodge
7n091 Canterbury Ct
Saint Charles, IL 60175-8426
plantnpatti@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jane Dzubak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:11:58 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jane Dzubak
1325 Peekskill Hollow Rd
Carmel, NY 10512-6910
rubythroat513@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [elaine peters](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:11:59 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

elaine peters
38 Hollins Rd
Hicksville, NY 11801-3433
eljamka3@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jared Collins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:11:59 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jared Collins
17544 Fleetwood Ln
South Bend, IN 46635-1367
jcollins2112@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Perrero](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:11:59 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Perrero
20876 Channel Ct
Potomac Falls, VA 20165-7415
dperrero13@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Thompson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:11:59 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Thompson
5304 Saint James Blvd
Lorain, OH 44053-3088
carolthompson81@gmail.com

From: [Wendy Bredhold](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: I live on the Ohio River and urge you to vote NO
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:11:59 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am writing regarding the 2018 Pollution Control Standards review. I greatly appreciate the hard work and clean water programs ORSANCO staff has implemented over the last 70 years to guarantee a fishable, swimmable, and drinkable Ohio River. That is why I believe it is inappropriate for ORSANCO to remove the numeric pollution limits and public review process from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

There are 440 pollutants for which the ORSANCO numeric pollution limit is either more protective or no state water quality standard has ever been adopted to protect human health and the environment. ORSANCO's numeric pollution limits and public review process guarantees all of the pollutants that could impair the Ohio River are adopted in the standards and the states and public have a robust forum to raise and resolve Ohio River water quality concerns.

Please protect the Ohio River by voting NO on the proposal to eliminate numeric pollution limits and public review from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

Wendy Bredhold
Evansville, IN

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Randall Cherry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:12:00 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Randall Cherry
735 Wisteria Ave
Reading, PA 19606-3451
cyberlares2011@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Matychak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:12:00 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Matychak
31 Briarcliff Pl
Huntington, NY 11743-1826
lmaty@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Heron](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:12:01 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Heron
10811 Cross School Rd
Reston, VA 20191-5107
deborah.heron@fairfaxcounty.gov

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Huber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:12:10 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Huber
1148 Appletree Ln
Erie, PA 16509-3917
chuber47@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Perri Sussman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:15:11 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Perri Sussman
645 W 207th St Apt 3c
New York, NY 10034-2661
perrisussman@aol.com

From: bonnie.finitzer=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [bonnie finitzer](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:20:17 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

bonnie finitzer
5888 Kings Hwy
Parma Heights OH, 44130-1747

From: sydandpete.benson@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Sydney Benson](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:23:13 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Sydney Benson
4902 Foote Rd Unit 14
Medina OH, 44256-6417

From: danial@fuse.net on behalf of [Dania Smith](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:24:18 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Dania Smith
917 Mound Street
Cincinnati OH, 45203-1541

From: trazom2@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Ellen Dryer](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:27:47 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Ellen Dryer
337 Fieldcrest Lane
Loveland OH, 45140-8885

From: ballkb@miamioh.edu on behalf of [Karen Ball](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:29:48 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Karen Ball
7641 Fairfield Rd
Oxford OH, 45056-9704

From: ggrob69=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Rob Hall](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:34:19 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Rob Hall
4562 Trickle Creek Lane
Columbus OH, 43228-6388

From: sandymaury@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [maury schindler](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:37:49 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

maury schindler
1580 creekside rd
Amelia OH, 45102-1794

From: jnrboone60@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Robin Boone](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:38:50 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Robin Boone
7628 Broadford St SE
Waynesburg OH, 44688-9584

From: maurer=fioptics.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [J M](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:39:48 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

J M
3400 Gerold
CINCINNATI OH, 45238-2116

From: josie236=frontier.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Josephine Irvine](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:39:49 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Josephine Irvine
422 Township Road 373
Richmond OH, 43944-7992

From: anitawheeler=whitecastle.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Anita Wheeler](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:40:48 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Anita Wheeler
5708 Firwood Pl
Columbus OH, 43229-3406

From: j29hall@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jan Hall](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:41:19 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Jan Hall
995 Ravine Ridge Dr
Worthington OH, 43085-2906

From: dahn=ohio.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Karen Dahn](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:41:49 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Karen Dahn
158 N.Congress St
Athens OH, 45701-1623

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Blake Leyerle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:42:02 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Blake Leyerle
1139 N Lafayette Blvd
South Bend, IN 46617-1163
leyerle.1@nd.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Becky Moroney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:42:02 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Becky Moroney
116 Westend Ave
Freeport, NY 11520-5251
bamoro13@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Colleen Scheitrum](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:42:02 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Colleen Scheitrum
854 N Hermitage Ave Apt 4r
Chicago, IL 60622-5021
colleen.scheitrum@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [cathy rupp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:42:02 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

The majority of sensible people know that adding more pollution to our river systems is stupid. Do a better job or get out of office NOW

Sincerely,

cathy rupp
121 Heathmore Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15227-3225
cathyarupp@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Denise Tuttle](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:42:02 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Denise Tuttle
73 Graces Rd
London, NY 12345
dentuttle1@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lily Swartz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:42:03 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lily Swartz
7 Arden Way
New Hope, PA 18938-1221
catbird888@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [S. Scott Milam](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:42:08 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Please work to improve the water quality of the Ohio river and all of our waterways.

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

S. Scott Milam
5677Sherwood Ct.
Newburgh, IN 47630
milamscott910@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Bastian](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:42:08 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Bastian
2337 Artley Hill Rd
Liberty, PA 16930-9663
harryboyer@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judith Fry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:42:08 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judith Fry
27 State Route 184
Trout Run, PA 17771-8309
jfrybros@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Ross](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:45:16 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Ross
705 General Scott Rd
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1578
rosssusan1@me.com

From: maxibemis=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Gay Goden](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:45:20 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Gay Goden
18951 Abby Ave
Euclid OH, 44119-1732

From: seawitch100=bex.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Linda Fitch](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:46:19 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Linda Fitch
3214 Galloway Road
Sandusky OH, 44870-5946

From: seawitch100=bex.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Linda Fitch](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:46:50 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Linda Fitch
3214 Galloway Road
Sandusky OH, 44870-5946

From: sfederm=utnet.utoledo.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Steven Federman](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:50:20 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Steven Federman
4029 W Bancroft St Apt D
Ottawa Hills OH, 43606-2506

From: henry3831=twc.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [David Henry](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:50:21 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

David Henry
8144 Crystal Creek Rd.
Sagamore Hills OH, 44067-1803

From: skpmcfadden=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Stephanie McFadden](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:53:20 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Stephanie McFadden
26766 Butternut Ridge Road
North Olmsted OH, 44070-4407

From: shellcasto@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Shelly Casto](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:53:50 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Shelly Casto
39 E Tulane Rd
Columbus OH, 43202-2217

From: [Donovan Robinson](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Regulations
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:59:30 PM

To whom it may concern,

This email is in relation to the proposal to eliminate some pollution control regulation on the Ohio River. As an Evansville resident I think this is an incredibly stupid idea. The Ohio river is already very polluted, if anything we should be tightening standards not loosening them. Please consider my comments and to not make it easier to pollute the river. Evansville is attempting in downtown Renaissance of sorts and the last thing people want to come to is a Riverfront that looks and smells disgusting.

But if you do go forward and eliminate some regulations, and say screw you to everybody who lives along the river, then I hope some rich people associated with utility and chemical companies can get even more rich. Because in reality, isn't that what this is really all about?

Thank you for your time and consideration. Please don't let us all down.

Donovan Robinson

From: [Constance White \(whitec1972@yahoo.com\)](mailto:Constance.White_(whitec1972@yahoo.com)) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 12:59:46 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Constance White
100 S Mill Street
Wilkesville, OH 45695
whitec1972@yahoo.com
(740) 669-7001

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: catsrule=wildblue.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [linda barnhill](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:01:21 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

STOP this abusive greedy agenda, NOW...

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

linda barnhill
7101 ridge ave sw
east sparta OH, 44626-9783

From: moonbeam=centurytel.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Sue Hanlin](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:01:22 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Sue Hanlin
48017 Russia Rd
Amherst OH, 44001-9715

From: [Debbie Naeter](mailto:Debbie.Naeter@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:06:52 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Debbie Naeter
375 hillside pass
Frankford, WV 24938
5734501401

From: [carolyn_harding_\(cinublue@gmail.com\)_Sent_You_a_Personal_Message](mailto:carolyn_harding_(cinublue@gmail.com)_Sent_You_a_Personal_Message)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:11:11 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

What about Clean and Safe Water for our Children do you Not Understand? You will do everything in your Power to protect Ohio Water, if you are weak and do not do the right thing, we will hold you accountable for hijacking our Water Resources for Corporate dollars. Look at the long game. Water is Life. Without it You and I and our children Perish.

Believe me, we will hold you accountable.

Sincerely,

carolyn harding
156 n roosevelt ave
bexley, OH 43209
cinublue@gmail.com
(614) 670-5749

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: hallie.javorek@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Hallie Javorek](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:11:21 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Hallie Javorek
970 Bell rd
Chagrin falls OH, 44022-4150

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Paige](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:12:02 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Paige
20 E 35th St
New York, NY 10016-3887
mepaige1@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anthony Colangeli](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:12:08 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anthony Colangeli
16704 Jewel Ave
Flushing, NY 11365-3215
family5family@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [sharon keller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:12:08 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

sharon keller
2065 Glenview Dr
Hebron, KY 41048-8308
skeller506@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda F. Kierzek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:12:08 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda F. Kierzek
9410 S 81st Ave
Hickory Hills, IL 60457-1904
chetlynn@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diana Praus](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:12:08 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diana Praus
126 Menands Rd
Menands, NY 12204-1410
vook757@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Moline](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:12:09 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Moline
3836 28th Ave
Rock Island, IL 61201-5801
jamoline@hotmail.com

From: steves49=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [steve simmons](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:13:51 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

steve simmons
173 james river road
beavercreek township OH, 45434-4228

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [sherita wilson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:15:19 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

sherita wilson
10 N French Rd
Amherst, NY 14228-2003
swilson3@daemen.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie McGill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:15:19 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie McGill
10384 Maple Ln
Conneaut Lake, PA 16316-3842
info7for7me@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Fiedler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:15:19 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Fiedler
5188 Judson Dr
Bensalem, PA 19020-3849
davefiedler@comcast.net

From: [Sharon Dagher](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Orsanco Pollution Control Standards
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:17:12 PM

I urge all Orsanco Commissioners to not do away with the pollution control standards for the Ohio River. This would adversely affect people, businesses and aquatic life. I strongly believe that any changes in the current standards would leave water quality for the State of Indiana and its people and aquatic life completely unprotected for clean water.

Water quality is a very important part of our standards of living and should be safe-guarded for all concerned.

Sharon Dagher - sharondagher@gmail.com 812 599-8592

From: libbysmith.510@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Elizabeth Hammons](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:19:52 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Hammons
825 Wilder Rd
Vinton OH, 45686-2500

From: epetry=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Edgar Petry](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:21:53 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Edgar Petry
3659 Green Cove Ct
Beavercreek OH, 45430-1413

From: rbborgquist@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Ronald B. Borgquist](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:21:56 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Ronald B. Borgquist
7833 Strawberry Hill Rd
Lewis Center OH, 43035-7008

From: ed0304954@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Elizabeth Davis](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:31:23 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Davis
10125 Valleyview Dr
COLUMBIA STATION OH, 44028-9647

From: duara67@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Vicky Locke](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:32:54 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

Thank you for considering my opinions.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Vicky Locke
32 Providence Drive #15
Fairfield OH, 45014-6652

From: tadedyo=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tanya Dedyo](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:33:53 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife. I am also concerned about the effects to public health.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Tanya Dedyo
2520 westmont blvd
columbus OH, 43221-3333

From: cndevgv=owu.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Carol Neuman de Vegvar](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:34:53 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains safe for residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Carol Neuman de Vegvar
2360 Panhandle Road
Delaware OH, 43015-8607

From: lisamckenzie=mac.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lisa Mckenzie](#)
To:
Subject: Pollution Standards separate us from disaster areas
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:35:54 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Lisa Mckenzie
242 Glenmary Ave
Cincinnati OH, 45220-1714

From: kimnmiller6@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kim N Miller](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:37:54 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Kim N Miller
16128 County Rd G
Bryan OH, 43506-9438

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roberta Kohut](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:42:06 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roberta Kohut
214 Eastern Heights Dr
Ithaca, NY 14850-6304
utahgal46@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anna Schwadron](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:42:06 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anna Schwadron
265 Castleman Rd
Vestal, NY 13850-6134
aschwadron@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Yvonne Karathanasis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:42:06 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Karathanasis
17633 Allison Ln
Orland Park, IL 60467-9354
lerux@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Billie Lyon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:42:06 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Billie Lyon
2106 Indiana Ave
Columbus, OH 43201-1310
billieblyon@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Harlib](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:42:07 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Harlib
212 W 22nd St Apt 2n
New York, NY 10011-2707
amyharlib@e-activism.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [steve simmons](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:42:07 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

steve simmons
173 James River Rd
Beavercreek Township, OH 45434-4228
steves49@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Randall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:42:12 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Randall
2984 Hickory Ln
Marseilles, IL 61341-9305
benderrandall2015@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Keith Frantz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:45:19 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Keith Frantz
22307 Patricia Dr
Watertown, NY 13601-1776
ka_frantz@yahoo.ca

From: cabraze96@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Carol Brazee](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:45:54 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Carol Brazee
1410 middle ave
Elyria OH, 44035-7628

From: keithb8ker@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Keith Baker](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:46:24 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Keith Baker
2813 Colerain Av. Apt 1
Cincinnati OH, 45225-2205

From: thegrayteam@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [VIRGINIA GRAY](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:53:56 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

VIRGINIA GRAY
13510 MILLIMAN RD
Norwalk OH, 44857-9618

From: gayle.richardson=juno.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [gayle richardson](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 1:55:27 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

gayle richardson
3069 louise ave
grove city OH, 43123-2352

From: mtopalian.7@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Maggie Topalian](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:00:56 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Maggie Topalian
12367 Taylor Wells Rd
Chardon OH, 44024-7904

From: smith.4942@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Gloria Smith](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:09:27 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Gloria Smith
9562 Toll Gate Rd SW
Etna OH, 43062-8321

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eric Newman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:10 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eric Newman
140 Darrow Pl
Bronx, NY 10475-1802
enewman140@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Ricci](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:10 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Ricci
9000 Shore Rd
Brooklyn, NY 11209-5401
laura817@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [laurie fattore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:10 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

laurie fattore
3518 Southport Dr
Island Lake, IL 60042-9140
lauriefattore@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa S](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:10 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa S
430 Shannon Dr
Edgewood, KY 41017-3354
msorg@zoomtown.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [sean hegarty](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:11 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

sean hegarty
4belvoir dr
washingtonville, NY 10992
sh9536@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Diesel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:11 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Diesel
139 Pine Ln
Rockwood, PA 15557-7736
pdiesel@zoominternet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Victoria Loudis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:11 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Victoria Loudis
24815 Rushmore Ave
Douglaston, NY 11362-1235
vrl243@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Freytes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:11 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Freytes
1576 E 46th St
Brooklyn, NY 11234-3123
keffipop@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erin Drum](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:15 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erin Drum
213 Frankfort Ave Fl 2
Pittsburgh, PA 15229-1921
ed097720@scots.edinboro.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [S.L. May](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:12:21 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

S.L. May
1769 Eastbrook Dr S
Columbus, OH 43223-3705
slmay49@gmail.com

From: muehlbauer=safe-mail.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Carol Schmidt](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:14:58 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Carol Schmidt
226 E. Ruth Street
Sidney OH, 45365-1619

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Breeding](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:15:23 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Breeding
5648 Twin Lakes Ct
Indianapolis, IN 46237-2709
cbbreeding@yahoo.com

From: jamyers.consulting@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Julie Myers](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:18:27 PM

Dear ,

Flint Michigan.
St. Joseph Louisiana
Albuquerque, New Mexico
Denver, Colorado

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Julie Myers
4988 Mineral Springs Rd
Peebles OH, 45660-9290

From: luannswartzlander@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [LuAnn Swartzlander](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:19:28 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

LuAnn Swartzlander
1319 Carol Drive
Kent OH, 44240-1603

From: [Joy Henry](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Water pollution standards
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:20:16 PM

Dear ORSANCO members,

Please do all you can to preserve water pollution standards! We all need protection for cleaner water. I am a kayaker, so I want cleaner, safer water for recreation. More importantly, I want a cleaner river for future generations.

If any changes were to be made, I would support stricter standards, but know that business and industry would take advantage and pollute more if standards were removed or lessened.

Thank you for helping to clean up the Ohio!

Joy Henry
502-599-7013

From: randy=tharo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Randy Thatcher](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:27:58 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Randy Thatcher
8761 Prospect Rd
Strongsville OH, 44149-1206

From: shaun_madding
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Elimination of regulatory role of ORSANCO
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:32:35 PM

To whom it may concern-

As someone who grew up in the Ohio River Valley, who still has family and loved one there, and who hopes to one day return, I must insist that ORSANCO retain its regulatory oversight, and leave in place the existing regulations on pollution levels in the Ohio river. It is more important now than ever that we strengthen our efforts to maintain a safe and healthy river ecosystem. To be dissuaded from that mission now puts decades of progress at risk. Please consider your decision carefully, and decide in favor of protecting our environment. Thank you
Shaun Madding

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Andrew Koosed \(ajkoosed@gmail.com\)](mailto:ajkoosed@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:34:42 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Andrew Koosed
1214 ramblewood
South Euclid , OH 44121
ajkoosed@gmail.com
(216) 469-0686

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: jejack52=neo.rr.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jean Jackson](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:38:01 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Jean Jackson
452 Playland Pkwy
Chippewa Lake OH, 44215-9791

From: marcia.reau@utoledo.edu on behalf of [Marcia Reau](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:39:14 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Marcia Reau
2602 Ralphwood Dr
Toledo OH, 43613-1226

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joyce Kichman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:14 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joyce Kichman
440 S Market St
Elysburg, PA 17824-9751
jakichman@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Zara Gennert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:14 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Zara Gennert
3312 Clarendon Rd
Cleveland Hts, OH 44118-4254
zara.glenn@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tony Degennaro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:15 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tony Degennaro
1909 Quentin Rd Apt 6j
Brooklyn, NY 11229-2348
tonybass5@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Lamb](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:15 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Lamb
704 E North St
Winslow, IN 47598-8590
lamb5953@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anthony Calvelage](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:20 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anthony Calvelage
905 Western Ohio Ave
Lima, OH 45805-3561
tcalvelage@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Raimonda Bajaliene](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:20 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Raimonda Bajaliene
3421 Hawthorne St
Franklin Park, IL 60131-1715
raimaba@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Larissa Thompson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:20 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Larissa Thompson
4235 Long Hill Rd
Moravia, NY 13118-3464
rissarogler@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rosa Rodriguez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:20 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rosa Rodriguez
10 Columbia Pl Apt C84
Brooklyn, NY 11201-4589
rodrigre@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jane Campbell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:26 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jane Campbell
38 Belvedere Dr
Yonkers, NY 10705-2812
janelombard@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elise Mossburg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Think of how you'll have to explain to your GRANDCHILDREN & GREAT-GRANDCHILDREN how you had the chance to protect the pollution standards of the Ohio River & all forms of life including mankind and YOU WOULDN'T do it!

Sincerely,

Elise Mossburg
419 N Carter St
Greentown, IN 46936-1030
eamosburg@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail F Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail F Johnson
126 Orchard Ct
Blue Bell, PA 19422-2813
gjohnson@health4brands.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Slaterback](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:42:38 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Slaterback
1465 Grove Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 15236-1612
onenutso10@verizon.net

From: rborton=woh.rr.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Marlene Borton](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:44:01 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Marlene Borton
10984 Frederick Pike
Vandalia OH, 45377-9550

From: anitabix=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Anita Bixenstine](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:44:02 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Anita Bixenstine
407 Wilson Ave
Kent OH, 44240-2600

From: [Rachael Benedict \(benedictr4388@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:benedictr4388@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:46:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rachael Benedict
1554 Saddle Brook Ln.
Westlake, OH 44145
benedictr4388@gmail.com
(440) 667-9438

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: grassfedbeefohio@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [James Linne](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:55:31 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

James Linne
782 Watch Point Dr
Cincinnati OH, 45230-3759

From: [Lynn Jamison](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Maintain Water Quality Standards - Ohio River System
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 2:57:35 PM

I am a resident of Louisville and I use water from the Ohio River. Of course I am concerned about your tentative decision to abandon your role and involvement in helping upgrade water quality standards for the states along the Ohio River. The situation will only get worse if you follow through and stop doing this constructive work. I urge you to keep on and even improve the standards for water in this drainage.

Lynn D. Jamison

Retired National Park Service

5311 Bartonfield Lance

Louisville KY 40219-5194

From: [Charlotte Caldwell](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO Standards
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:01:48 PM

To whom it may concern:

I write to you from the shores of the Ohio River in Louisville, KY. The Ohio River characterizes Louisville and preserving its water quality is of upmost importance to Louisville residents and visitors alike.

I ask to you please consider your voting on dissolving the standards protecting this sacred body of water and to make the just decision to maintain these standards to upkeep water quality for future generations. The Ohio River serves as a source of drinking water, recreation and overall sense of place for residents of Louisville and Kentucky as a whole. Please consider maintaining these vital standards to protect this water body.

Thank you,
Charlotte Caldwell

--

Charlotte Caldwell
Louisville, KY
c: (518)796-9565

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Parlett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:17 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Parlett
108 Karen Cir
Coatesville, PA 19320-5506
janetclara@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Armstrong](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:17 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Armstrong
612 Staunton Rd
Naperville, IL 60565-2607
pat4nature@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Kurth](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:17 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Kurth
K 392CR1C
McClure, OH 43534
meowma@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue Wood](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:17 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue Wood
9 Van Wagner Rd
Highland, NY 12528-1723
sueand2greys@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [wendy galczak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:17 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

wendy galczak
1231 W 49th Pl
Chicago, IL 60609-5901
jaimiegestes@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bethany Witthuhn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:17 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bethany Witthuhn
14480 Cherokee Trl
Cleveland, OH 44130-6642
witthuhn09@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joann Adcock](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:18 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joann Adcock
9328 Johns Creek Rd
Loami, IL 62661-3163
jopawn@frontier.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marilyn Baldo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:19 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Baldo
343 Forest Rd
Wallkill, NY 12589-4710
marilynbaldo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lillian Gestes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:19 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lillian Gestes
4922 W Argyle St
Chicago, IL 60630-2408
jaimiegestes@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Craig Conn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:20 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Craig Conn
1200 Termon Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-1900
connpgh@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Armstrong](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:21 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Armstrong
612 Staunton Rd
Naperville, IL 60565-2607
pat4nature@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Smith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:12:23 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Smith
1818 County Road 194
Fredericktown, OH 43019-9253
lisacr21@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Friedrichs](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:15:30 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Friedrichs
8853 S Beck Pl
Hometown, IL 60456-1116
lfreddy3266@yahoo.com

From: unruhuclan=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Brad Unruh](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:16:32 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Brad Unruh
24811 Framingham Dr
Westlake OH, 44145-4909

From: palexander0201=starkstate.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Philip Alexander](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:18:33 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Philip Alexander
459 Franklin Ave
Barberton OH, 44203-2821

From: [Emily Holzer \(emholzer1@gmail.com\)](mailto:emholzer1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please Protect the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:18:37 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

Hi There!

I'm just writing to say the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) are super important to me. I know I'm not the only one who wants the pollution control standards to stay as they are and to be maintained. Drinking water authorities and utilities have the same interests! They also see the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to help protect drinking water for around 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Emily Holzer
2734 Hiawatha St.
Columbus, OH 43211
emholzer1@gmail.com
(614) 813-4269

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: lfnswit@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Leslie Switalski](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:21:03 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Leslie Switalski
6710 Somerset Drive
Brecksville OH, 44141-1052

From: kathrynpetonke@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [kathryn_petonke](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:35:05 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

kathryn petonke
2601 Rhapsody Dr
Dayton OH, 45449-3358

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julie Levin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:42:26 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julie Levin
28 E 10th St
New York, NY 10003-6201
jl47hope@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Romans](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:42:26 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Romans
705 Ascot Ct
Libertyville, IL 60048-5238
jenniferromans2003@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Regina Carragher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:42:26 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Regina Carragher
1608 State Route 213
Ulster Park, NY 12487-5348
regt29@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Spiezio-Siebers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:42:26 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Spiezio-Siebers
2578 Alta Ct
Lisle, IL 60532-3401
sieberstom@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J. Walby](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:42:27 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J Walby
162 Kissel Ave
Staten Island, NY 10310-1626
jacklou77@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeniffer Graham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:42:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeniffer Graham
2347 Old Tunnelton Pike
Kingwood, WV 26537-9779
arathiaes@msn.com

From: browns=denison.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Sylvia Brown](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:44:06 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Sylvia Brown
140 Rose Dr
Granville OH, 43023-1085

From: [Samantha Little \(samanthalittle88@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:samanthalittle88@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:45:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Samantha Little
310 Clinton Street
Columbus, OH 43202
samanthalittle88@gmail.com
(419) 722-1151

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: herbweyl@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Herb Weyl](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:49:36 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Herb Weyl
5952 Naughten Pond Dr
Columbus OH, 43213-7310

From: ldahill@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lisa Dahill](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 3:51:41 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Lisa Dahill
840 Euclaire Ave.
Columbus OH, 43209-2414

From: cbiedenbach=comcast.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Cindy Dobrzynski](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:07:21 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Cindy Dobrzynski
55097 Maple Ave
Bridgeport OH, 43912-9701

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Becky Sterling](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:12:24 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Becky Sterling
3715 Bergstrom St
Joliet, IL 60431-8704
momnook12@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy McCullough](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:12:24 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy McCullough
4014 Bloomfield Ave
Drexel Hill, PA 19026-3812
nmccullough@mankogold.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Cairns](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:12:29 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Cairns
105 Holloway Cir
Lansdale, PA 19446-6477
bct6@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fred Lavy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:12:29 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fred Lavy
524 E Wolfe St
Harrisonburg, VA 22802-4822
fred-cheryl@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Claudia Terrence](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:12:36 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Claudia Terrence
37 Brandywine Ct
Bloomington, IL 61704-4400
claudiaaterrence@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynn Zoch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:12:36 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynn Zoch
1253 Walton Rd
Christiansburg, VA 24073-6911
doczoch@bellsouth.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paula Barron](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:12:37 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paula Barron
2318 Parrish St
Philadelphia, PA 19130-1940
paulapbarron@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Riordan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:12:41 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Riordan
14501 Central Ct
Oak Forest, IL 60452-1062
knrrdn@wowway.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Catherine Bolten](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:12:41 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Catherine Bolten
512 E Tasher St
South Bend, IN 46614-1955
catbolen@yahoo.com

From: pcqueen@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Robin Craft](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:14:09 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Robin Craft
8678 US Highway42 North
Plain City OH, 43064-9406

From: lamrickett@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Linda Rickett](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:15:30 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Linda Rickett
6123 Sharlene Drive
Cincinnati OH, 45248-3914

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Gale](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:15:39 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Gale
River Road
Scarborough, NY 10510
mmbgale@hotmail.com

From: sletten=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Greg Sletten](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:19:51 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Greg Sletten
17 Wigwam Path
New Richmond OH, 45157-9553

From: [Mallory Rodenberg](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: comment on eliminating orsanco
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:20:39 PM

To whom it may concern,

The Ohio River is still the most polluted river in the United States. Shame on you for trying to make it easier for industries to dump pollution into the water my kids drink. Do not eliminate this group!
Mallory Rodenberg

From: jimpilewski=ameritech.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [James Pilewski](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:21:08 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

Do your job!

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

James Pilewski
210 Traymore Blvd
Eastlake OH, 44095-1028

From: mrose1=neo.rr.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [marybeth rose](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:21:38 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

marybeth rose
116 47th st
Canton OH, 44709-1469

From: carlanews=juno.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Carla Newberry](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:23:41 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Carla Newberry
5588 elm hill dr
Solon OH, 44139-1942

From: jhay=tiffinfranciscans.org@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Janet Hay](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:26:39 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Janet Hay
200 St. Francis Ave.,
Tiffin OH, 44883-3458

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Dadds](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:35:06 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Dadds
109 Overlook Pass
Georgetown, KY 40324-9646
annesd@bellsouth.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maria Whelan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:35:38 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maria Whelan
317 N Derbyshire Ave
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-6331
frieden44@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Pezdek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:42:28 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Pezdek
4905 William St
Lancaster, NY 14086-3205
aero991@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jason Elkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:42:28 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jason Elkins
4817 Clayton Rd
Brookville, OH 45309-7305
jason_at_tower_green@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Woodside](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:42:28 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Woodside
360 Saybrook Ln
Wallingford, PA 19086-6761
lisawoodside@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lesa Pond](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:42:28 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lesa Pond
1006 S 18th St
Harrisburg, PA 17104-2805
silverfox6787@yahoo.com

From: cardlady68=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Phyllis Bottoms](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:45:11 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Phyllis Bottoms
601 Castalia St
Bellevue OH, 44811-1272

From: valentina.khoury@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Valentina Khoury](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:49:41 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Valentina Khoury
2948 Brighton Rd
Shaker Heights OH, 44120-1721

From: mccosker=ohio.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Loraine McCosker](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:49:41 PM

Dear ,

I live near the Ohio River. 6 million people utilize the water for drinking.

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Loraine McCosker
59 Elmwood Pl
Athens OH, 45701-1902

From: salleyjacqueline360@gmail.com on behalf of [Jacqueline Salley](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:51:42 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Salley
154 Best ST
berea OH, 44017-2611

From: atraven@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [amber traven](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 4:56:43 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

amber traven
1287 Ida St
Cincinnati OH, 45202-1525

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elsa Rivers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:05:04 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elsa Rivers
9 Heather Ln
Elmsford, NY 10523-1703
elsahrivers@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sharon Pezdek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:05:05 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Pezdek
4905 William St
Lancaster, NY 14086-3205
aero991@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jim Buonocore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:05:11 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jim Buonocore
386 Crescent Ave
Highland, NY 12528-2428
jbuonoco@ramapo.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Georgia Feild](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:05:12 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

Living in Pittsburgh off and on for a period of thirty years and being an avid bird watcher for over fifty, I never saw a Kingfisher in Allegheny County until August 2017. On that tributary of the Ohio River where I located this Kingfisher I was pleased to find he has a mate and offspring in 2018 meaning livestock, wildlife, my neighbors and myself have clean water since I can remember.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Georgia Feild
905 Parkview Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15243-1115
designsgef@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Lanter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:05:22 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Lanter
1407 Whitaker Ln
Amelia, OH 45102-1034
lanterd@fuse.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Burke](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:05:22 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Burke
3 Beechpoint Dr
Oxford, OH 45056-2701
carol99dandelions@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Jarvis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:05:22 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Jarvis
290 Tyler Way
Scott Depot, WV 25560-9388
stillwatersmin@aol.com

From: charliesue49@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Susan Whipple](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:08:43 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Susan Whipple
6738 Madison Ave
Madison OH, 44057-1112

From: elsanvic@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Elsa Johnson](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:09:13 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Elsa Johnson
1718 Preyer Ave
Cleveland OH, 44118-1704

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stacy Foot](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:12:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

We must be better than this. We must take the necessary steps now to preserve delicate species and establish a clean, safe future for the next generations. The time to act is NOW.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stacy Foot
324 E Main St
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055-6585
stace.urke@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lori Spears](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:12:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lori Spears
115 Delaware St
Tonawanda, NY 14150-3418
spears_fl@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christina Uhler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:12:37 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christina Uhler
8 Oak Ridge Ln
Mountain Top, PA 18707-2110
cjucz22@gmail.com

From: peteandjanice=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Janice Ballos](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:12:45 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Janice Ballos
2824 Demington Ave NW
Canton OH, 44718-3307

From: maryloishilton@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [MaryLois Hilton](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:15:43 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

MaryLois Hilton
204 Condo Ct
Lebanon OH, 45036-9555

From: geagle2=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Gary Adler](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:24:14 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Gary Adler
8977 Maple Run Dr
Dayton OH, 45458-4446

From: ginihotch@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [virginia hotchkiss](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:34:46 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

virginia hotchkiss
625 Covedale Ave
Cincinnati OH, 45238-4873

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Phyllis Park](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:35:17 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Park
410 Clearview Rd
Chillicothe, OH 45601-9415
phyllpark@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Peter Sweeny](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:35:17 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Peter Sweeny
92 Stephen Dr
Pleasantville, NY 10570-1824
pbsweeny@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elihu Cohen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:35:17 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elihu Cohen
6 Bevell Ln
N Syracuse, NY 13212-3507
elihucohen@aol.com

From: richardboyce=fuse.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Richard Boyce](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:35:45 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Richard Boyce
7061 Grantham Way
Cincinnati OH, 45230-2129

From: [David Straka](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Standards reduction for water quality
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:40:16 PM

WHAT? The Ohio is already a vile toilet of pollutants! We have to drink that sewage in Evansville, IN. Quality is already dubious and removing protections will only increase it's questionable safety. Not to mention those who enjoy recreation on that body of water will be at increased risks of chemical contaminants and pollutants. We already have to deal with Cincinnati and Louisville's frequent diaper leaks as well as the infamous toxic plume a few years ago from a West Virginia chemical storage facility leak. Corporate America cannot be trusted to protect the population that depends on this river to consume and take care of it's hydro needs. It can ONLY be government over site to fulfill our safety and well-being. I urge ORANSCO to continue it's diligence in keeping all of us protected!

Sincerely,
David Straka
artboy@wowway.com

Sent from my iPad

From: mrickus=twc.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [George Marsh](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:40:46 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

George Marsh
192 St. Francis Ave., # 12 A
Tiffin OH, 44883-4412

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Theresa Digiannantoni](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:42:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Theresa Digiannantoni
PO Box 307145
Columbus, OH 43230-7145
theresaskippy@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matthew Kogut](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:42:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a lover of wildlife, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matthew Kogut
2 Periwinkle Dr
Bohemia, NY 11716-4033
matthewkogut@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Bodenmiller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:42:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Bodenmiller
28587 Township Road 26
Warsaw, OH 43844-9802
boden_miller@yahoo.com

From: [Alexander Blocher \(blocher.133@gmail.com\)](mailto:blocher.133@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:43:13 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Alexander Blocher
2734 Hiawatha St.
Columbus, OH 43211
blocher.133@gmail.com
(330) 933-7405

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: a.strous@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Allen Strous](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 5:44:16 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Allen Strous
11339 Spangler Road
Circleville OH, 43113-9439

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [carolyn lehman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:05:14 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

carolyn lehman
123 Logan Ave
Altoona, PA 16602-4921
carolynlehman63@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anthony Trotta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:05:14 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anthony Trotta
156 Vincent Ave
Bronx, NY 10465
mabstoa13355@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Quasha](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:05:14 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Quasha
124 Station Hill Rd
Barrytown, NY 12507-5018
gquasha@stationhill.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rosemary Caolo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:05:14 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Caolo
1512 E Gibson St
Scranton, PA 18510-1902
rosemary.caolo@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dedra Kirby](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:05:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dedra Kirby
5644 Wilson Rd
Marshall, VA 20115-2541
dedre7@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dennis Foulke](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:05:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dennis Foulke
1965 E Water Rd
Byron, IL 61010-9608
dfoulke1@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephaney Lloyd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:05:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephaney Lloyd
43 Blackwell Rd
Damascus, PA 18415-3019
merylsgirl@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Eplite](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:05:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Eplite
2125 Curry Rd
Schenectady, NY 12303-4420
karen62651@icloud.com

From: sugarbear=sssnet.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Walter Pickens](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:05:48 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Walter Pickens
4839 manchester Ave SW
Navarre OH, 44662-9614

From: [Lee and Alice Fritts \(frittsl@suite224.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:frittsl@suite224.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:08:36 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lee and Alice Fritts
3135 E Main St
Kingsville, OH 44048
frittsl@suite224.net
(440) 224-0787

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brian Muhr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:12:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brian Muhr
1120 Jackson Ave
Charleston, IL 61920-2237
bmuhr42@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Nurse](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:12:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Nurse
635 N Madison St
Woodstock, IL 60098-3334
carolanurse@yahoo.com

From: [Erin Oestringer](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Water Standards
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:20:03 PM

I'm very concerned about your choice to lower water pollution standards to the Ohio River. This will negatively impact the quality of our water here in Louisville, and our summer water activities. Please continue to maintain, if not INCREASE, water pollution standards in our river.

Erin Oestringer

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeanette French](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:35:16 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeanette French
9001 Giltinan Ct
Springfield, VA 22153-1113
widdle9876@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lorraine James](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:35:16 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lorraine James
37838 Britain Rd
Lovettsville, VA 20180-2902
lorrainej28@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Hilton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:35:23 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Hilton
21 Saint James Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11205-5049
wfh6@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne Haux](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:42:35 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Haux
PO Box 681
Southampton, NY 11969-0681
southamptonsuzanne@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Randall Schietzelt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:42:35 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Randall Schietzelt
4419 Walkup Rd
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-1846
randall.schietzelt@gmail.com

From: toniluke1=twc.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Toni Luke](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:45:23 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Toni Luke
1131 Deliquia. Apt 230
cincinnati OH, 45230-5002

From: cah165050=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Christine Hurst](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 6:58:54 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Christine Hurst
4572 Rita St.
Youngstown OH, 44515-3828

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Haaz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:05:20 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Haaz
820 Corinthian Ave
Philadelphia, PA 19130-1416
gch310@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bill Schuler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:05:20 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bill Schuler
3802 Elizabeth Dr
Garnet Valley, PA 19060-1503
whtrabbitart@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Lantow](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:05:20 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Lantow
4615 Peacock Ln
Plainfield, IL 60586-7278
lantow1@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [R Spangler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:05:21 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

R Spangler
1340 N Dearborn St # Pkwy8d
Chicago, IL 60610-2071
gs1340@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sherri Rose](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:05:21 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sherri Rose
5660 Quercus Grove Rd
Edwardsville, IL 62025-6412
eds.sugie@gmail.com

From: emurphy0@tutaimail.com
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Control
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:06:17 PM

In my opinion, pollution monitoring and control should be INCREASED not decreased.
There are far too many pulls on the river that can harm communities, businesses and human and environmental health to not monitor riverside industry increases their output in the river.

I object to more lax monitoring and control.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Kester](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:12:36 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Kester
242 Bowers Rd
Berwick, PA 18603-6534
sahdow3695@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jamie Bryan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:12:36 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jamie Bryan
304 W 21st St
New York, NY 10011-3304
jamiebryan@msn.com

From: kaibab=zoomtown.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Eric Volck](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:16:54 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Eric Volck
2204 Vine
Cincinnati OH, 45219-1828

From: [Jim Daniels](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: pollution standards
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:31:55 PM

I am James Daniels and I live in Evansville, Indiana. I wish these comments to be part of the comment record on ORSANCO's proposal to leave setting pollution control standards to the individual states. I am also a former member of the Public Interest Advisory Committee to ORSANCO.

This action I feel would worsen the quality of the Ohio River and the river is already considered the most polluted waterway in the country. I would rather see some real enforcement of standards that already exist.

For a state like Indiana, at the lower end of the river, the impact would have the most negative effect as pollution will naturally flow downstream. The goal should be to strengthen standards to reduce pollution and leaving the job to the states will not accomplish this.

Sincerely,

James Daniels
1808 Ravenswood Drive
Evansville, IN 47714

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcia Mitcheltree](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:35:37 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcia Mitcheltree
415 Cogan House Rd
Trout Run, PA 17771-9415
mmmitcheltree@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Morrison](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:35:37 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Morrison
528 Sampson Ave
Willow Grove, PA 19090-1312
jldsmor85@verizon.net

From: [Rebecca Slisher \(bslisher@marlattaautomation.com\)](mailto:bslisher@marlattaautomation.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:46:18 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Slisher
481 Main St.
Groveport, OH 43125
bslisher@marlattaautomation.com
(614) 836-2238

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [mary tarallo](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: pollution standards
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 7:47:11 PM

Please do NOT do away with your pollution standards. It would be a terrible mistake and Indiana would be especially impacted being near the tail end of the river.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rachel Saxon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:05:26 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rachel Saxon
102 Lady Ln
Michigan City, IN 46360-1828
rlsaxon241@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Maynard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:05:26 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Maynard
102 Fox Run Ter
Middleburg, VA 20117-2688
pamelalmaynard@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kim english](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:05:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kim english
3116 High St
Logansport, IN 46947-2145
greatspeller@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Williams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:05:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Williams
608 Tompkins St
Syracuse, NY 13204-1840
pxwillia@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris Hudock](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:05:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris Hudock
638 10th Ave
Bethlehem, PA 18018-5038
chudock8@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Tartaglia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:05:34 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Tartaglia
418 Quentin Rd
Utica, NY 13502-5923
lt_0201@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lily Roccasalvo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:05:34 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lily Roccasalvo
6314 23rd Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11204-3323
lilygilda@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janice Figman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:05:35 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janice Figman
9127 Crawford Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-1707
fjanice996@aol.com

From: lream11141947@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lynda Ream](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:12:00 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Lynda Ream
4865 NorthcliffDr.7
Dayton OH, 45431-1138

From: lream11141947@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lynda Ream](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:12:30 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Lynda Ream
4865 NorthcliffDr.7
Dayton OH, 45431-1138

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Kelly](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:12:38 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Kelly
41 Ten Eyck Ave
Albany, NY 12209-1519
catwoman50@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gabriel Neilson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:12:38 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Neilson
1306 2nd St S
Cordova, IL 61242-9713
missiongab@yahoo.com

From: lream11141947@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lynda Ream](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:13:01 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Lynda Ream
4865 NorthcliffDr.7
Dayton OH, 45431-1138

From: safehaven848@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Susan Schmiesing](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:24:31 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Susan Schmiesing
24075 Leatherwood Rd
Quaker City OH, 43773-9690

From: vfg11888=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Valerie Gaudio](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:29:32 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Valerie Gaudio
361 Hickory Hill Rd.
Chagrin Falls OH, 44022-2811

From: fernando_robles1998@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Fernando Robles](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:33:32 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Fernando Robles
216 East Main Street
Union City OH, 45390-1812

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessica Gawlik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:35:27 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessica Gawlik
2743
Kendallville, IN 46755
jgawlik99@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Bandwen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:35:27 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Bandwen
1348 Snowberry Ln
Medina, OH 44256-8647
kbandwen@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Ackerman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:35:27 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Ackerman
23 Snedecor Ave
Bayport, NY 11705-1716
ha171@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynne Landon](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:35:28 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynne Landon
139 Jackson St
Youngstown, NY 14174-1003
llandon@daemen.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linn Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:35:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linn Johnson
6778 W 800 N
Lake Village, IN 46349-9499
ldj56@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angie Ostrowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:42:38 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angie Ostrowski
732 S Financial Pl
Chicago, IL 60605-1038
aostrowski7@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Lewis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:42:38 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Lewis
12416 State Route 164
Lisbon, OH 44432-9756
kslew164@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Moran](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:42:39 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Moran
1929 Curtiss St
Downers Grove, IL 60515-4325
kjmoran7@gmail.com

From: jphilipps1259@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Justin Philipps](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 8:43:33 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Justin Philipps
1385 Independence Court
NEWARK OH, 43055-3191

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angela Fritz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:31 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angela Fritz
6910 Stonewall Jackson Hwy
Front Royal, VA 22630-7723
beachbound@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Valerie Hildebrand](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:31 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Valerie Hildebrand
Marioncliff Drive
Parma, OH 44134-3464
vintgal009@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathy Gynane](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:31 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathy Gynane
1 Walter St
Albany, NY 12204-1604
kmgynane@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Bierbaum](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:31 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Bierbaum
3719 Horn Ave
Alton, IL 62002-3149
reb517@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ivana Breznik](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ivana Breznik
401 E 76th St
New York, NY 10021-2559
ibreznik@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Samantha McCarney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:32 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Samantha McCarney
209 German St
West Newton, PA 15089-1287
mccarney3@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Love](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:36 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I live just up the bluff from the Ohio River in a county that draws its drinking water from the Ohio. My health and the health of my neighbors depends to a great extent on the quality of the water we drink. As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Love
3100 Smith Ln
La Grange, KY 40031-9540
mbloveky@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicholas Bridgett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:36 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Bridgett
1305 W Hill St
Champaign, IL 61821-2609
nicbridgett@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Bellardini](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:42 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Bellardini
111 Clarewood Dr
Baldwinsville, NY 13027-3110
kimbellardini@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Vo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:42 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Vo
54833 Luan Dr
Osceola, IN 46561-9524
robertvo824@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marc Conrad](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:42 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marc Conrad
716 W Waveland Ave
Chicago, IL 60613-4167
marcarthur@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amber Fox](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:05:44 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amber Fox
422 E Franklin Ave
Orient, IL 62874-4220
afox89@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Kuehling](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:12:41 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Kuehling
8 Shire Dr S
East Amherst, NY 14051-1814
wkuehling@aol.com

From: judybrickels=ohio.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Judy Brickels](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:32:38 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Judy Brickels
612 E. Baird Ave.
barberton OH, 44203-3618

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Delores Eddins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:35:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Delores Eddins
13441 Warwick Blvd Apt D
Newport News, VA 23602-5629
nurse27@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Curry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Please Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:35:33 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Curry
2910 Church Rd
Elizabethtown, PA 17022-9055
4sallad@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laurel Treppeda](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:35:39 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laurel Treppeda
9 N Hillside Ave
Elmsford, NY 10523-3103
trepp4@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debra Krauss](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:35:47 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debra Krauss
224 Spring Run Rd
Crescent, PA 15046-5453
dlk859@aol.com

From: 1isaiah4031=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [LaDene Mayville](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:42:39 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

LaDene Mayville
8786 Greylag Loop
Blacklick OH, 43004-8332

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Debbie Holmes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:42:41 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Debbie Holmes
415 Robinson St
Elmira, NY 14904-1521
dholmes415@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dale Robbins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:42:41 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dale Robbins
3053 S Medina Line Rd
Norton, OH 44203-4947
dalero@earthlink.net

From: bemora=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Margie Wehner](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 9:56:09 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Margie Wehner
4040 Snypp Rd
Yellow Springs OH, 45387-9739

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Chris LaNeave](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:05:39 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Chris LaNeave
827 Three Chopt Rd
Manakin Sabot, VA 23103-2151
seamoss9@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brandi Frantz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:05:39 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brandi Frantz
107 N Main St
Freeburg, IL 62243-1011
bandybrandi@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [janet forman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:05:39 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

janet forman
351 W 24th St Apt 12c
New York, NY 10011-1514
giselle351@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracie Batson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:35:44 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracie Batson
217 Linden Oaks Ln
New Lenox, IL 60451-1197
tdbats@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [April Mandernach](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:35:44 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

April Mandernach
8136 167th Pl
Tinley Park, IL 60477-2331
ajjman@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jackie Stolfi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:35:44 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jackie Stolfi
267 Harbor Ln
Massapequa Park, NY 11762-4012
jacqueline4sight@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Philip Louie](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:35:49 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Philip Louie
9618 91st Ave
Woodhaven, NY 11421-2720
philqc721@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cindy Rehberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:35:49 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cindy Rehberg
165 E Plum St
South Elgin, IL 60177-2033
clrehberg@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Spurr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:42:44 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Spurr
1444 Ski Lodge Rd
Virginia Beach, VA 23453-1816
klspurr1a@gmail.com

From: pkilgore=akron.k12.oh.us@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Pamela Kilgore](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:44:14 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Pamela Kilgore
3424 Sweetbriar Drive
Copley OH, 44321-2039

From: dlcobb=beechmere.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [David Cobb](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 10:56:45 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

David Cobb
3880 Ellendale RD
Moreland Hills OH, 44022-1124

From: kellinaumann22@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kelli Naumann](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:01:45 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Kelli Naumann
1620 Electra st
Columbus OH, 43240-6084

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Casey Ausherman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:05:47 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Casey Ausherman
529 Pleasant St
Chambersburg, PA 17201-1150
caseyausherman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gary Rejsek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:05:47 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gary Rejsek
446 Rothbury Dr
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-2253
garyrejsek@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Anderson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:05:53 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Anderson
8025 Sulphur Spring Rd SE
Corning, OH 43730-9769
turquoisewood@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anitra House](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:05:53 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anitra House
4254 E Stephens Dr
Bloomington, IN 47408-2970
ahouse@indiana.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jackie Corby](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:05:53 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jackie Corby
8 Maplewood Dr
Binghamton, NY 13901-5741
jbingwest@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Wooten](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:06:07 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Wooten
13194 Fair Oaks Ln
Ashland, VA 23005-7121
debwooten@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Murphy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:12:49 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Murphy
400 Oak St
Youngstown, NY 14174-1265
kch012@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [SHANNON OKEEFE](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:12:49 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

SHANNON OKEEFE
1810 S Ringgold St
Philadelphia, PA 19145-1811
sokeefe25@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Brodnax](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:35:49 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Brodnax
608 Highland Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-1500
brodnax@alumni.northwestern.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Hargrove](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:35:49 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hargrove
22 Coolidge St
Hammond, IN 46324-1806
barway22@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Eva Praszekiewicz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:35:49 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Eva Praszekiewicz
254 Bay 20th St
Brooklyn, NY 11214-6012
olph69@icloud.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela White](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:35:49 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela White
1314 Spring St
Latrobe, PA 15650-2232
quizmz@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [melvin armolt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:35:49 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

melvin armolt
5229 Applecross Ave
Chambersburg, PA 17202-7349
armolt69@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Darilynn McCoy](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:35:55 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Darilynn McCoy
1242 S Butler Ave
Indianapolis, IN 46203-2501
nerys1129@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lynette Lowe](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:35:55 PM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lynette Lowe
2600 Melrose Ave Apt 1
Cincinnati, OH 45206-1683
lynmariel@gmail.com

From: jpfair=embarqmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Patricia Fair](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:41:50 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Patricia Fair
1779 Old 122 Rd
Lebanon OH, 45036-9000

From: mgkraus@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Marvin Kraus](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:50:50 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Marvin Kraus
415 Bond Pl Apt 4C
Cincinnati OH, 45206-1879

From: mgkraus@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Marvin Kraus](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Friday, August 17, 2018 11:51:50 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Marvin Kraus
415 Bond Pl Apt 4C
Cincinnati OH, 45206-1879

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa Sons](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:05:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Sons
15464 W 147th St
Homer Glen, IL 60491-7553
lisaahern@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Dowdell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:05:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Dowdell
334 Allandale Dr
Bethel Park, PA 15102-3376
dowdellj@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [D. Alexandra Dyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:05:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

D. Alexandra Dyer
18845 Quencer Rd
Saint Albans, NY 11412-2638
adyr98971@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janine Vinton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:05:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janine Vinton
1 Walter St
Albany, NY 12204-1604
janine.vinton@mail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Glenn F](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:05:53 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Glenn F
461 9th St
Brooklyn, NY 11215-4102
ferrariglenn@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Ng](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:12:56 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Ng
18916 37th Ave Apt N
Flushing, NY 11358-2373
lngkon@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Miranda Vorhees](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:12:56 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Miranda Vorhees
11310 W McCreery Rd
Gaston, IN 47342-9209
dm110104@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pierre Schlemel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:12:56 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pierre Schlemel
15 Sheli Dr
Old Bethpage, NY 11804-1615
pfschlemel@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Romesser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:36:02 AM

Aug 17, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Romesser
5 Whitestone Ln
Kennett Square, PA 19348-2361
jaromesser@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Judi Malinich](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:06:05 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Judi Malinich
2900 Baughman Rd
New Franklin, OH 44216-9331
heyjude0730@yahoo.com

From: ljamy=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lynda Cruickshank](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:16:59 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Lynda Cruickshank
172 S Roanoke Ave
Youngstown OH, 44515-3547

From: [Jenna Glass](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:29:18 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Jenna Glass
69 west Jefferson Street
Westover, WV 0
3042826448

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tammy Fisher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:36:08 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tammy Fisher
14009 Sherman St
Cedar Lake, IN 46303-9635
lilbud79@comcast.net

From: lynmariel@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lynette Lowe](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:42:01 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Lynette Lowe
2600 Melrose Ave #1
Cincinnati OH, 45206-1683

From: s.browning73@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Sean Browning](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:59:32 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Sean Browning
1660 E Lakeview Avenue
Columbus OH, 43224-4330

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Dzikowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:06:07 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Dzikowski
56 McBride Rd
Canonsburg, PA 15317-6120
forest_guardian7@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Marshall](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:36:13 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Marshall
408 Erie St
Medina, NY 14103-1008
stonesenecabear@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jim Andaloro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:06:17 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jim Andaloro
4750 Woodard Way Apt I5
Liverpool, NY 13088-4628
jim_andaloro@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rhonda Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:36:21 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Johnson
240 Rainwater Dr
Aylett, VA 23009-3320
rdtgjohnson@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kristine Kelch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:06:27 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kristine Kelch
95 Circle Dr E
Montgomery, IL 60538-2341
lara7474@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Catherine Cigna](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:06:27 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Catherine Cigna
2 Clearwater Ct
Beacon, NY 12508-7009
catcig@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Daniel Mink](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:06:30 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Daniel Mink
2307 Ionoff Rd
Harrisburg, PA 17110-3517
howls_with_wolves@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Lanes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:06:30 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a citizen, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Lanes
2321 Fairview Ave
Johnsburg, IL 60051-2539
sllanes@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Zlatkin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:36:33 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Zlatkin
626 S Queen Anne Dr
Fairless Hills, PA 19030-3816
pzsundry@gmail.com

From: [Eben Hobbins](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Retaining ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:58:44 AM

USEPA's Trump-led administration tearing up federal coal-plant power regulations - leaving decisions to the States (NYTimes: "relax pollution rules for power plants that need upgrades" 8/18/18) - informs the need for ORSANCO to retain published Pollution Control Standards that coordinate Ohio River states in protecting that resource from Mercury discharges.

If power plants without "scrubbers" are restarted or remain in operation our basin will be adding to total metal discharges in the Ohio River and sulfur deposition across the basin while contributing carbon to the demonstrable climate change effects present from human-related greenhouse gas global warming.

ORSANCO must retain it's Standards to address these critical issues on a meaningful geographic scale.

Respectfully submitted,

Eben Hobbins
Cincinnati, Ohio

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Yerry](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:06:34 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Yerry
294 Wilbur Rd
Schuylerville, NY 12871-1753
syerry@nycap.rr.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Denise Shapiro](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:06:34 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

My name is Denise Shapiro and as a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Denise Shapiro
24 Camp Dr
Selden, NY 11784-2602
spankyshapiro@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Stephen Peterangelo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:36:36 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Stephen Peterangelo
440 Claranna Ave
Oakwood, OH 45419-1823
scpeterangelo@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brian Fink](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:36:41 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brian Fink
1806 Green St
Philadelphia, PA 19130-3969
brian.d.fink@gmail.com

From: [Christy Baker](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:45:23 AM

Good morning! I wanted to take a moment and express my concern over this elimination. At a time where there is a large population relying on the Ohio River for its water consumption it does not seem like a good idea to eliminate pollution standards and resources for evaluating the adherence to the standards. I am against this elimination. Thank you for your time and effort in protecting the Ohio valley water ways.

Blessings,
Christy Baker

From: [Debbie Piatt \(thegreeneyedlint@yahoo.com\)](mailto:thegreeneyedlint@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:51:45 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether. The fact is everyone needs clean water. Perhaps new, more stringent standards need to be introduced to protect the water that all of us need.

Sincerely,

Debbie Piatt
205 w. Newell st.
West Liberty, OH 43357
thegreeneyedlint@yahoo.com
(937) 465-2905

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Shaw](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:06:37 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Shaw
3459 Pleasant Creek Dr
Indianapolis, IN 46227-8188
robertsarajane@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nicholas Walz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:06:37 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

If you won't protect birds and other wildlife, please protect us!!

Sincerely,

Nicholas Walz
1539 Lake Shore Dr
Michigan City, IN 46360-2038
swalz@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Popodi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:06:38 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Popodi
8490 S Fairfax Rd
Bloomington, IN 47401-9043
ellenpopodi@gmail.com

From: [Christa Weidner](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:11:23 AM

We need higher standards for a cleaner river!

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Theresa DeVries](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:13:33 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Theresa DeVries
3971 W 223rd St
Fairview Park, OH 44126-1013
theresad2004@sbcglobal.net

From: [Owen Marshall](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on ORSANCO PCS changes
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:16:53 AM

As a resident of Indiana who lives near the Ohio, I strongly oppose any efforts to move towards per-state regulation tied to the clean water act. Homogeneous regulations from a central body ensure quality and prevent downriver states from suffering at the hands of lax regulations upriver.

Thank you,
Owen Marshall
7100 HWY 150
Floyds Knobs IN 47119

Sent from my iPhone

From: drvjsv=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Julie Viergutz](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:22:38 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Julie Viergutz
873 Gino Lane
Cleveland OH, 44109-3786

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Juan Estrada](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:36:43 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Juan Estrada
510 Coral Dr
Dyer, IN 46311-1521
juans.estrada1993@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amber Shaner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:43:37 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amber Shaner
2339 Woodview Ln
Naperville, IL 60565-3278
ashaner@wowway.com

From: egkllar=juno.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Edwin Kellar](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:48:38 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Edwin Kellar
3689 Elmira Dr
Dayton OH, 45439-2408

From: [Wendy Burnette \(wburnette@cinci.rr.com\)](mailto:wburnette@cinci.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:52:10 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Wendy Burnette
5295 Leatherwood Drive
West Chester, OH 45069
wburnette@cinci.rr.com
(513) 874-1421

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: susanmolive@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Susan Olive](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:53:39 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Susan Olive
2951 Anderson morris rd
Niles OH, 44446-4361

From: vida=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [vida bossinas](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:55:09 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

vida bossinas
12338 hillcrest dr
chesterland OH, 44026-2313

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [cindy chuplis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:06:46 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

cindy chuplis
PO Box 167
Middleport, PA 17953-0167
cindy1935@live.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [William Rogers](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:06:46 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

William Rogers
3306 Chalfin Ave
Norfolk, VA 23513-3610
wyo1919@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew nelson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:06:46 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew nelson
2514 Sandy Level Rd
Goodview, VA 24095-2968
anelson1954@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Kriska, Ph.D.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:06:47 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Kriska, Ph.D.
771 Lakeside Dr
Avon Lake, OH 44012-2784
david.kriska@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Dust](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:06:47 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Dust
8148 5th St
Highland, IN 46322-1215
dusty46322@att.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Graciela Fernández](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:36:55 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Graciela Fernández
14 de Julio 675
Mar del Plata, NY 12345
popilinga@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J. T.](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:36:59 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J. T.
8586 Ashland
Glenview, IL 60025
jennosmundson924@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bart Braun](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:37:12 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bart Braun
8 Morningside Dr
Yardley, PA 19067-3067
papal4@aol.com

From: sheldonkanfer@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Sheldon Kanfer](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:51:45 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Sheldon Kanfer
751 Grandon Ave
Columbus OH, 43209-2575

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cortney Zaret](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:06:51 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cortney Zaret
646 W Wellington Ave
1
Chicago, IL 60657-9329
cortneyzaret@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J. White](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:06:51 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J. White
151 W Sunhill Rd
Manheim, PA 17545-9776
jewwhite54@hotmail.com

From: mizivy0409@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Priscilla Mitchell](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:12:20 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Priscilla Mitchell
737 Ridgewood Ave
Hamilton OH, 45013-3201

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Claudia Rivera](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:13:51 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Claudia Rivera
4808 Kenmore Ave
Alexandria, VA 22304-1130
casurick@hotmail.com

From: [Jeff Kohut](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO Proposal
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:28:54 AM

Please do not loosen controls on Ohio River pollution oversight. We have made some small progress over the years in cleaning up the Ohio River. It is not much, but it is something. Any loosening of those controls risk public health issues to Cities and Municipalities that obtain water for drinking. Reduction in standards would also increase risk to those fishing, boating, and otherwise participating in river life. The standards are there to protect all citizens, but especially babies and children who are affected by pollutants to a greater degree than adults.

Thank you for your time.

Jeff Kohut

206 Woodward Dr.

Evansville, IN. 47712

812 499-2337

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mark Goldman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:36:52 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mark Goldman
840
NEW LENOX, IL 60451-2696
goldman-mark@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jonathan Fisher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:36:52 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Fisher
956 54th St Apt 2f
Brooklyn, NY 11219-4075
jonamy2@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Irene Feldman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:36:52 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Irene Feldman
18 Father Capodanno Blvd
3
Staten Island, NY 10305-4843
irene_feldman@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lola Joned](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:36:52 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lola Joned
1312 Greendale Rd
Lexington, KY 40511-8992
tarusgirl20@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jessica Howard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:36:53 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jessica Howard
645b Ent Ave
Westhampton Beach, NY 11978-1008
foof537@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Margaret Diegelman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:36:58 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Margaret Diegelman
361 Braddock Dr
N Huntingdon, PA 15642-2355
margied1958@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Lang](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:36:58 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Lang
2715 Greenwood Rd
Northbrook, IL 60062-7623
djlang787@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Dubinsky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:36:58 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Dubinsky
162 Rolling Way
Peekskill, NY 10566-2470
sandydubinsky1@gmail.com

From: wardwellsmercy@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Andrew Clovis](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:55:50 AM

Dear ,

I whole -heartedly urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Andrew Clovis
368 Jewell Hill Road
St. Marys WV, 26170-8888

From: [Lisa Rapaszky \(lisa.rapaszky@yahoo.com\)](mailto:lisa.rapaszky@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:05:44 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Lisa Rapaszky
140 Drury Lane
Cleveland, OH 44124
lisa.rapaszky@yahoo.com
(415) 722-8954

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Bratkovic](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:06:54 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Bratkovic
675 Elizabeth Ln
Des Plaines, IL 60018-5522
karenbrat675@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Ziegler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:06:54 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Ziegler
200 N River Ln Unit 105
Geneva, IL 60134-1473
zieglerkincaid@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Bodish](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:06:54 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Bodish
336 Longcore Rd
Wind Gap, PA 18091-9608
sbodish@hughes.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kim Ziegler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:06:54 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kim Ziegler
200 N River Ln Unit 105
Geneva, IL 60134-1473
zieglerkincaid@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Vanessa McKee](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:06:55 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Vanessa McKee
1008 N Howard St
Union City, IN 47390-1128
vanmckee1008@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Annette Schwegmann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:06:55 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Annette Schwegmann
275 Midway Ct
Verona, KY 41092-7954
nette_282@hotmail.com

From: ronda.wilde@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Ronda Wilde](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:11:23 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Ronda Wilde
2563 Marsh Ave Apt 4
Cincinnati OH, 45212-4148

From: [Jordan McFall \(mcfall.17@osu.edu\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mcfall.17@osu.edu)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:11:25 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO).

I believe everything said below needs to be taken into consideration. This is **drinking** water we're talking about here. Why would we want to jeopardize such an important water source?

I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jordan McFall
1855 E Rich
Columbus, OH 43205
mcfall.17@osu.edu
(937) 836-1316

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: mike.helm
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Monitoring the Ohio River Discharges
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:30:08 AM

I remember what the Ohio River looked like in the sixties and early seventies before EPA stepped in and required waste water discharges be cleaned up before discharging into the Ohio river. Major and minor companies were discharging all their untreated waste into the Ohio river causing drinking water companies to spend more on their treatment facilities to treat the water before distribution as safe drinking water to the public. Plankton in the Ohio river was at such a low level that fish life in the Ohio were either not fit for consuming or dying off. The water was full of bacteria causing rashes and sickness to people that enjoyed boating activities. It was a mess. But since the EPA set standards and introduced companies like ORSANCO to monitor and fine and shut down a company's discharges to the Ohio river the water is practically safe for consumers to enjoy. I know that businesses weigh the costs to implement waste treatment facilities in their plants it is vital that they do this in order to operate their businesses along the Ohio river or any river system to prevent these waterways from becoming cess pools of filth, and disease-infected lifeless pools.

So I say continue to monitor discharges along the Ohio River.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pearl Tsang](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:36:55 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pearl Tsang
136 Crestmont Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45220-1816
pearl.tsang@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lawrence D'Arco](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:37:00 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lawrence D'Arco
1202 Greenwich Dr
Albany, NY 12203-4464
abelincoln1863@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jenny Holland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:43:52 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jenny Holland
3615 Wenonah Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-3343
jennyh679@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joan Heilman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:06:59 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joan Heilman
812 Stuart Ave
Mamaroneck, NY 10543-4121
joanheilman@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Wyman Whipple](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:06:59 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wyman Whipple
1427 Knox Highway 12
Dahinda, IL 61428-9301
rwwhipple@grics.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pilar Millhollen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:07:18 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pilar Millhollen
566 E 7th St
Brooklyn, NY 11218-5902
pilarmillhollen@yahoo.com

From: janepowell1969@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Norma Powell](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:19:27 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Norma Powell
5553 Brighton Hill Ln
Dublin OH, 43016-1503

From: [Anne MITCHELL \(Annemitchell@gmail.com\)](mailto:Annemitchell@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:32:57 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Anne MITCHELL
215 Kentucky Ave
Covington , KY 41011
Annemitchell@gmail.com
(859) 491-0958

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Fran Grady](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:37:03 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Fran Grady
3 Charles St
Johnstown, NY 12095-1128
wgrady@nycap.rr.xom

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Monique Lowther](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:37:03 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Monique Lowther
4127 Black River Cir
Lorain, OH 44055-3776
momadogy@yahoo.com

From: [Elaine Komarow](mailto:Elaine.Komarow@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 12:59:56 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Elaine Komarow
201 Warden Lake Hollow
Wardensville, WV 26851
(703) 560-1964

From: [Fred Parsons \(fparsons6@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:fparsons6@gmail.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:02:34 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Fred Parsons
1050 Fairfield School rd
Columbiana , OH 44408
fparsons6@gmail.com
(330) 870-0133

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Regan Ebert](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:07:04 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Regan Ebert
134 N La Salle St Ste 710
Chicago, IL 60602-1029
reganebert@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brendan Hickey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:07:04 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brendan Hickey
55 Frazer Rd Apt Be233
Malvern, PA 19355-3971
brendan_hickey@hotmail.com

From: cibmchenry@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Carole A. McHenry](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:08:02 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Carole A. McHenry
777 Marie Avenue
Akron OH, 44314-3155

From: klorcenz=neo.rr.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Katherine Lorencz](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:09:33 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Katherine Lorencz
10312 Page Rd
Streetsboro OH, 44241-5148

From: harris.949@osu.edu on behalf of [Rebecca Harris](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:12:33 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Rebecca Harris
1297 Worthington Heights Blvd
Columbus OH, 43235-2134

From: bern.kohler@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Bernard Kohler](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:35:05 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

With the attacks on the EPA local and regional pollution standards are more important than ever. Please protect the Ohio River.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Bernard Kohler
1109 Ravine Ridge Dr
Columbus OH, 43085-2906

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Anne Baumann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:37:04 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Baumann
2707 Avon Ave
Sinking Spring, PA 19608-1702
thelablady@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roselyn Jordan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:37:04 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roselyn Jordan
2523 S Lombard Ave
Cicero, IL 60804-3008
rlmjordan@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jordan Wenning](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:37:14 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jordan Wenning
102 Dieber Rd
Schwenksville, PA 19473-1812
alexatiger@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ramona Montello](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:44:01 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ramona Montello
801 Bayridge Blvd
Willowick, OH 44095-4305
ramonamontello@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Cronin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 1:44:01 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Cronin
1837 E 17th St Apt 5b
Brooklyn, NY 11229-2948
cronin@gmx.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [PG Rossetti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:07:09 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

PG Rossetti
827 Woodbrook Ln
Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462-2555
doobutt_1@verizon.net

From: [Don Adams](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Fwd: Environmental
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:08:41 PM

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Don Adams <dda1953@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Aug 18, 2018, 2:05 PM
Subject: Environmental
To: <psc@orsanco.org>

I value the environment and believe future generations should not have to correct our destruction of the environment. Therefore we need ORSANCO to continue set standards protecting our waterways.

Thank you

Donald Adams

2401 Massie School Road Lagrange Kentucky 40031-8212

From: [Mooydeen Frees \(mfrees1234@gmail.com\)](mailto:mfrees1234@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:34:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

do not lower the standards for our drinking water!

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Mooydeen Frees
1149 Witt Road, #403
Cincinnati, OH 45255
mfrees1234@gmail.com
(513) 835-4207

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jane Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:37:12 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jane Johnson
54 Bedford Rd
Newport News, VA 23601-2044
cattbyrd47@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [n fregin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:37:24 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

n fregin
PO Box 1326
Palatine, IL 60078-1326
nmmgcl@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Grohman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:37:24 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Grohman
59 Cherokee Rd Apt 2
Yonkers, NY 10710-5161
pmgrohman@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [susan mitruk](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:37:30 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

susan mitruk
183 Main
New York, NY 10021
skmitrukkenya@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [JoAnn Prushinski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:37:30 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Prushinski
122 Falcon Dr
Larksville, PA 18704-1607
prush27@msn.com

From: kbolek=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kenneth Bolek](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 2:50:42 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Kenneth Bolek
149 Meadow Circle
Berea OH, 44017-2610

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alice Dugar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:07:15 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alice Dugar
6800 Chestnut Rd
Independence, OH 44131-3310
adugar@ndec.org

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diane Dodge](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:07:15 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diane Dodge
7775 Brecksville Rd
Brecksville, OH 44141-1005
ohionow@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shawna Robinson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:07:15 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shawna Robinson
1910 Cowing Ln
Crest Hill, IL 60403-2006
shawna0433@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Becky Dellamano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:07:20 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Becky Dellamano
8065 Athena St
Springfield, VA 22153-2421
beckydellamano@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Claudia Honsberg](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:14:04 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Claudia Honsberg
960 Division St
Bayport, NY 11705-1007
clhnewyork@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marie Garescher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:37:18 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marie Garescher
3 Pheasant Walk
Peekskill, NY 10566-2545
marfogar@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sr. Mary Alma Vandervest](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:37:18 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sr. Mary Alma Vandervest
443 Mt Thor Rd
Greensburg, PA 15601-1214
mavandervest@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Samano](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:37:19 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Samano
10718 S Avenue E
Chicago, IL 60617-6706
msa715@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeff and Lily Kleinlein](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:37:20 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeff and Lily Kleinlein
3323 S Browning Pl
Bloomington, IN 47401-9401
jkleinlein@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kyle Goodell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:37:30 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kyle Goodell
106 Old Schoolhouse Ln
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055-5665
23clyde23@gmail.com

From: erinacalder=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Erina Calder](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 3:45:17 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Erina Calder
91 W Bel Meadow Ln
Chagrin Falls OH, 44022-4221

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Spinelli](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:07:24 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Spinelli
48 Orienta Ave
Lake Grove, NY 11755-3019
islanders79@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maria Loyola](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:07:24 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maria Loyola
6411 99th St Apt 103
Rego Park, NY 11374-2634
marymj08@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna McCann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:07:29 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna McCann
66 Stony Brook Rd
Palenville, NY 12463-2210
myravendog@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Phyllis Corcacas](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:14:12 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Corcacas
900 W 190th St
New York, NY 10040-3633
pcorcacas@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Hunt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:14:12 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Hunt
282 N Fulton Ave
Lindenhurst, NY 11757-3854
liosprey@yahoo.com

From: [Tina Sallee](#)
To: Pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: clean water
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:14:20 PM

Please do not let the large corporations influence your current project\of keeping the Ohio river clean. Clean water is a vital part of the rivers fish population , not to mention needed for everyday needs by people. It is so sad that no one cares , just look at what is taking place right now in the state of Florida. So if you are the voice of the people, and the wildlife that need you, then KUDOS to you , we thank you.

From: tswem1@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tom S.](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:24:49 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

If corporations can pay their CEOs obscene salaries they can fork over a little extra money to take care of our environment!

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Tom S.
1637 S Smithville Rd
Dayton OH, 45410-3253

From: dsjones521@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Duane Jones](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:30:20 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Duane Jones
2016 Township Road 21
Ashley OH, 43003-9769

From: [Kris Williams](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Standards
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:37:24 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

ORSANCO must continue its pollution controls standards over the Ohio River. Please maintain your guardianship role. Your standards are valuable in keeping a healthier river—a river which supplies drinking water for all of us.

Thank you for your consideration,

Kris Williams
Henderson, KY

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Irwin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:37:26 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Irwin
1100 Quaker Hill Dr
Alexandria, VA 22314-4701
mirwin44@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Johnsen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:37:26 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Johnsen
1717 W Kirby Ave
Champaign, IL 61821-5507
ruffian58@gmail.com

From: [Elizabeth Watts White](mailto:Elizabeth.Watts.White@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 4:48:39 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Elizabeth Watts White
16 Starks Place
Lynbrook, NY 11563
5165555555

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Cox](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:07:29 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Cox
321 E 71st St
New York, NY 10021-4729
coxsuz@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [LISA SWARTZ](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:07:29 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

LISA SWARTZ
31b S Earl St
Shippensburg, PA 17257-1814
lbswartz@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tom Sunlake](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Oppose Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:07:35 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a concerned constituent, I wish to express my grave concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is completely unacceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly requires a collaborative approach.

The Commission must continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities and wildlife, and to ensure that all states work toward common goals and a cleaner, safer Ohio River. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Sunlake
6940 E SR 45
Bloomington, IN 47408
tsunlakee@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maryam Rostamian](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:07:35 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maryam Rostamian
43119 Autumnwood Sq
Broadlands, VA 20148-5095
mroostamian@msn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandra Couch](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:37:34 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandra Couch
2903 Bartlett Ct Unit 201
Naperville, IL 60564-4694
sndrcch@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jack Pliskin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:37:34 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jack Pliskin
36 Prospect Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11217-2823
jcpliskin@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sally Ann Parsons](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:37:34 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sally Ann Parsons
250 W 78th St Apt 2df
New York, NY 10024-6587
saprivate@parsons-meares.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roxanne Matra](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:44:16 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roxanne Matra
505 E 82nd St Apt 4d
New York, NY 10028-7146
roxannee@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brian Tercha](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 5:44:16 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Brian Tercha
3 W Mowry St
Chester, PA 19013-5021
brian.tercha@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Roxanne Matra](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:07:39 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Roxanne Matra
505 E 82nd St Apt 4d
New York, NY 10028-7146
roxannee@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Renee Nieberding](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:07:39 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Renee Nieberding
1052 Wilbert Rd
Lakewood, OH 44107-1442
rnieberding@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gail Schnel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:14:21 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gail Schnel
2052 Hillcrest Ave
Cleveland, OH 44109-4418
emma1701@aol.com

From: [Jean and Ron Andres](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Proposed elimination of pollution standards
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:26:29 PM

Please do not eliminate the current pollution control standards on the Ohio River. I live in Indiana and the standards that are in place here in more than 100 instances are either missing or weaker than the current ORSANCO standards. The ORSANCO pollution control standard is scientifically derived to ensure that the maximum amount of a chemical the river can have and still be healthy for people and aquatic life. We all need safe water!

Jean Andres
107 West Sunset Lane
West Lafayette, IN 47906

From: birdlvr63@yahoo.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: director@kwalliance.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:29:10 PM

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to voice my opinion against dissolving your standards that protect the Ohio River. It is my belief that removing your standards will result in increased water pollution and negatively impact drinking water and recreation.

I kindly ask that you retain your current standards and protect the Ohio River. Clean drinking water is vitally important and a safe river for recreation is a necessity. Please continue to protect the Ohio River now and for generations to come. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Lenette Hicks
Harrodsburg, Kentucky

Sent from my Boost Mobile Phone.

From: [Eriyah Flynn \(eriyah@gmail.com\)](mailto:eriyah@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:33:54 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Eriyah Flynn
3544 Fishinger Mill Dr.
Hilliard , OH 43026
eriyah@gmail.com
(614) 506-5041

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rolf Juenemann](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:37:42 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rolf Juenemann
18802 64th Ave
Fresh Meadows, NY 11365-3090
rolf_juenemann@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Meagan Fastuca](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:37:42 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Meagan Fastuca
1792 Lenox Ave
East Meadow, NY 11554-4052
meagan.fastuca@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barb Hauser](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:37:48 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barb Hauser
1135 N Marion St
Oak Park, IL 60302-1252
barbhauser10@yahoo.com

From: temareno@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Teresa Reno](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 6:55:34 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Teresa Reno
3081 Wise Rd
North Canton OH, 44720-1256

From: kristinhuntoon@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kristin Huntoon](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:02:04 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Kristin Huntoon
43 Winner Ave
Columbus OH, 43203-1955

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Netania Steiner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:07:44 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Netania Steiner
32 8th Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11217-3961
tanzinator@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Greer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:07:50 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Greer
3030 Johnson Ave
Bronx, NY 10463-3540
gotardbk@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [leilani puerto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:07:50 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

leilani puerto
1729 Saint Peters Ave Apt 1r
Bronx, NY 10461-3067
lgpuerto26@gmail.com

From: sherrystischok@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Sherry Stischok](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:15:06 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

IMPEACH the TREASONOUS trump crime family IMMEDIATELY!!!!!! DON THE CON!!!! LOCK HIS CROOKED ASS UP!!!!!! Sad, no amount of DIRTY or STOLEN money buys BRAINS or CLASS!!!!!!

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Sherry Stischok
6046 Wexford Park Drive
Columbus OH, 43228-9255

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tina Herzog](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:37:48 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tina Herzog
2211 Skyline Dr
Slatington, PA 18080-3530
herzog_tina@hotmail.com

From: [JERRY](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANGO changes
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 7:38:47 PM

Dear Sir,

As a family that has lived along or near the Ohio River for over 50 years, I would hope that none of your proposed changes will affect the improvement in the water quality we have witnessed during this time. However we think there is still room for more improvement to ensure safe drinking water for millions of people not to mention the economic value of safe, non-toxic recreation on and in the water such as boating and fishing.

Respectfully,
Laura and Jerry Hunter
8550 E Doe Run Rd
Madison, IN 47250

From: [Jody Held](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please protect the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:07:11 PM

Dear members of ORSANCO,

As a Louisville, KY native, I strongly urge you to keep or increase the standards that protect the Ohio River from the numerous coal-burning power plants and other sources of pollution that threaten the drinking water of five million people.

The short-sightedness and greed of our current political administration is shameful and abhorrent to me and everyone who cares about the quality of their own life and the life of other species affected by contaminated water.

What will it take to remove the blinders from the eyes of people in power? Please join the world leaders who uphold the Paris Accord and enforce Clean Water Act standards for our region. The world is watching.

Sincerely,

Johanna Held
400 Breckenridge Ln
Louisville, KY 40207

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tina Doolen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:07:51 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tina Doolen
8100 Sharon Rd
Newburgh, IN 47630-1560
tina.doolen@wowway.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Hersha Evans](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:14:35 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Hersha Evans
55 Griggs St
Christiansburg, VA 24073-1517
hnurhle@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kimberly Stevens](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:37:55 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Stevens
3821 Peppercorn Way
Chesapeake, VA 23321-4465
kstevens116@cox.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Johanna Held](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 8:37:55 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Johanna Held
400 Breckenridge Ln
Louisville, KY 40207-3829
jodyheld@mac.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lori Bell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:08:00 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lori Bell
11 Garden Ct
Elizabethtown, PA 17022-9064
loribell104@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Patricia Bucher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:08:05 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Patricia Bucher
4 Sunrise Dr
Oswego, NY 13126-1823
pab@email.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Lahr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:08:05 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Dear Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission,

Pennsylvania, Ohio and West Virginia are about to see drastic increases in natural gas production which means drastic increases in fracking waste water treatment. We need strong Water Pollution Control Standards, NOT weakened standards. The Ohio River already experiences high amounts of pollution near cities as it courses its way to the Mississippi River. We need to clean up and protect water supplies for drinking, swimming and aquatic life.

I also endorse and agree with the letter below as written.

Sincerely, John D. Lahr
699 Martic Heights Drive
Pequea, PA 17565-9716

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Lahr
699 Martic Heights Dr
Pequea, PA 17565-9716
johnlahr324@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Amy Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:08:06 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Amy Jones
1615 Chichester Ave # 1
Louisville, KY 40205-1326
aljmetalpig@hotmail.com

From: [Larry Bloomfield](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River and potable water quality
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:14:36 PM

Let's not have a Flint - style drinking water debacle in any city along the Ohio. Please ensure the pollution control standards are maintained and enforced.

Thanks

Larry Bloomfield

Sent from my iPhone

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Francine Atkins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:14:43 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Francine Atkins
PO Box 691
Norfolk, VA 23501-0691
virginia711@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lawrence Wright](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:38:03 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Wright
3736 Shore Dr
Richmond, VA 23225-1223
lnwright@reynolds.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [denice cornell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:38:03 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

denice cornell
6716 224th St
Bayside, NY 11364-2735
deniceed@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Harriet Cohen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 9:38:03 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Harriet Cohen
238 E 30th St
New York, NY 10016-8282
yeehaw7@aol.com

From: ryland318=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Loretta Ryland](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:04:21 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Loretta Ryland
19455 Shoreland Ave
Rocky River OH, 44116-2607

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laura Halligan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:08:03 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laura Halligan
8603 77th Ave
Glendale, NY 11385-7710
lmhcoach@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [K E Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:08:03 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

K E Miller
1585 E Shore Dr
Martinsville, IN 46151-8816
miller5494@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeff Colton](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:14:50 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeff Colton
168 Glenmore St
East Williston, NY 11596-1411
thecoltons@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [George Sidoti](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:14:50 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

George Sidoti
9 Lipson Ct
East Northport, NY 11731-3447
georgesidoti@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Azar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:38:05 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Azar
7439 Richland Manor Dr
Pittsburgh, PA 15208-2735
cazar20@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Liz Zalusky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:38:05 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Liz Zalusky
9740 Frankfort Rd
Holland, OH 43528-8914
izzy1232010@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lis Miske](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:38:05 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lis Miske
27 Cranbrook Ct
Centerport, NY 11721-1769
lisamiske@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [A Paul](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:44:52 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

A Paul
9122 Ebaugh Ln
Mechanicsville, VA 23116-2665
alanapaul@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Frank Adamick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:44:52 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Frank Adamick
4824 43rd St
Woodside, NY 11377-6847
frankadamick@rcn.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shaun Knutsen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:44:52 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shaun Knutsen
646 72nd St # 1ft
Brooklyn, NY 11209-2655
spk07@hotmail.com

From: snowtopbm=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Bill Miller](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 10:53:56 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Bill Miller
608 Castle Blvd
Akron OH, 44313-5756

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Helen Syen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:08:06 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Helen Syen
2542 Faunce St
Philadelphia, PA 19152-4122
shhhhsilenceisgolden@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ken Shropshire](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:08:06 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ken Shropshire
3011 Parham Dr
Roanoke, VA 24014-5353
kps2112@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Danny Carpaneto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:08:11 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Danny Carpaneto
32 Sherwood Rd
Levittown, NY 11756-1525
dancarpaneto@hotmail.com

From: m60freeman@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Mark Freeman](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:14:58 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained, and we cannot leave it up to the Federal EPA to protect it, especially under the Trump Administration.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Mark Freeman
3784 Kilmuir Dr
Columbus OH, 43221-5608

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [J. Desi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Saturday, August 18, 2018 11:44:56 PM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

J. Desi
42 Thayer Pl
Staten Island, NY 10306-3725
jmedesi@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Connie Burris](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:15:00 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Connie Burris
2125 Catalina Ln
Springfield, IL 62702-1003
puggygirl13@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lou Priem](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:38:17 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lou Priem
276 Van Yahres Rd
Cooperstown, NY 13326-4148
coopbirc@hughes.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laurel Drew](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:38:17 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laurel Drew
7513 Boyer St
Philadelphia, PA 19119-1604
jamadaru@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheryl Schweitzer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:38:23 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Schweitzer
5805 Waxham Ct Apt 102
Virginia Beach, VA 23462-5645
ny2va@ymail.com

From: jaster9355@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jane Aster](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:38:37 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Jane Aster
214 Charleston Ave
Columbus OH, 43214-1204

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Maria Venidis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:45:05 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Maria Venidis
PO Box 3214
Kingston, NY 12402-3214
poemsarus@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [miriam busuttil](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:45:05 AM

Aug 18, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

miriam busuttil
126 Ridge Rd
Wheatley Hts, NY 11798-1035
busuttil@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Deborah Gordon-Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:08:15 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

We owe so much to the wildlife that survives our civilization.

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Gordon-Brown
10 Linden Ln
Kingston, NY 12401-7867
dgbrwn@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [john zamos](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:38:17 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

john zamos
8224 Keating Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-2581
subzerohc@yahoo.com

From: marjorie.w.deane=prodigy.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Marjorie Deane](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:32:21 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Marjorie Deane
824 W North St
Piqua OH, 45356-1828

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Flynn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:08:25 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Flynn
57 Marian Terrace
Waterford, NY 12345
paflynn65@yahoo.co.uk

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carolina Carvajal](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:08:25 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carolina Carvajal
Hdbcdjedg
New york, NY 13568
carolina201038@gmail.com

From: [Shauna Schramke \(srschramke5@gmail.com\)](mailto:srschramke5@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:54:26 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Shauna Schramke
213 E Court St
Urbana, OH 43078
srschramke5@gmail.com
(614) 271-2763

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Jeff Hopkins \(jhop-90@earthlink.net\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jhop-90@earthlink.net)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:19:52 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hopkins
69 Amber Ct
Lindenhurst, IL 60046
jhop-90@earthlink.net
(847) 356-0610

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Shannon Elliott](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:38:42 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shannon Elliott
1690 Point Dr
Bensalem, PA 19020-2401
smelliot1130@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Tracey Kleber](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:38:42 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Tracey Kleber
4 Albert Ln
Shillington, PA 19607-9365
tracey.kleber@gmail.com

From: [dkabell748](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Comments
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:16:12 AM

Good day, it is my opinion that without an organized oversight committee the Ohio River water quality will digress. Also, the standards currently in force are not strict enough. It's true that over the past years water quality has improved, however there are still limits on safe fish consumption. When we can relax those limits we can only then claim victory over pollution.

I support the continued regulation, stricter standards and hope other states bordering the Ohio River will do likewise.

Sincerely, Daryl

Daryl T. Abell
11200 Forest Edge Rd.
Evansville, IN

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S® 5 ACTIVE™, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Erika Kolecki](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:45:52 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Erika Kolecki
305 N 4th St
Perkasie, PA 18944-1873
emrkolecki1@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Abirached](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:08:53 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Abirached
3649 Hopper Ridge Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45255-5061
pammy822@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Krissa Schandelmaier](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Please DO NOT ALLOW Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:08:53 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I hope you will uphold your responsibility and do your job and maintain pollution control standards that protect the Ohio River Basin. As you know, the Ohio is already one of the nation's most polluted waterways. To make things worse shouldn't even be an option.

Sincerely,

Krissa Schandelmaier
201 Clinton Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11205-3571
krissalouvae@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrea Neal](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:09:05 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrea Neal
2343 State Route 215
Cortland, NY 13045-9413
earthmatters69@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Camille Maher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:15:53 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Camille Maher
110 Erwin St
Boonville, NY 13309-1009
spirit1102004@yahoo.com

From: [Keith Lilly](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:16:06 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Keith Lilly
300 McGraw Ave.
Webster Springs, WV 26288
931-319-7856

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [pamela mullins](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:38:56 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

pamela mullins
7033 Deer Stand Dr
Gloucester, VA 23061-2824
pamjahm@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [kris berner](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:38:56 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

kris berner
PO Box 32
Fort Hunter, NY 12069-0032
selkiensam@yahoo.com

From: [Tara May \(mangogelati@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:mangogelati@yahoo.com)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:52:33 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Tara May
562 W 149th St
New York, NY 10031
mangogelati@yahoo.com
(646) 497-0848

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [David Meade](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:08:56 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

David Meade
115 N Pennsylvania Ave
Apollo, PA 15613-1353
dvdmd7@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gabriel Bobek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:08:56 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Bobek
Sullivan Street
NYC, NY 10012
gabrielbobek520@gmail.com

From: [Steve Bohleber](mailto:Steve.Bohleber)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Fwd: Attn: PCS comments
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:17:09 AM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: steve@bohleberlaw.com
Date: August 19, 2018 at 8:14:27 AM CDT
To: PCS@otsanco.org
Subject: Attn: PCS comments

We need ORSANCO pollution controls more now than ever. We are facing the 6th great extinction by the end of the century due to human acceleration of climate change. Since ORSANCO was created we have seen a dramatic improvement in water quality which translates to overall environmental quality in the Ohio River Valley. While it may seem redundant with other environmental regulations to some, redundancy is good when the future of humanity is at stake. Please make sure my grandson and his children have a healthy place to live and prosper.

Steve Bohleber

Sent from my iPhone

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [A Merzi](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:39:04 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

A Merzi
PO Box 1725
Ashburn, VA 20146-1725
amerzi@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Aurora Insurriaga](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:39:15 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Aurora Insurriaga
9729 S Avenue H
Chicago, IL 60617-5545
ainsurriaga4432@wowway.com

From: [Kasey Wood](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:52:28 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Kasey Wood
120 Maple Ave
Wheeling, WV 26003
111-222-3333

From: aliciamberbluhm@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Alicia Bluhm](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:02:30 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Alicia Bluhm
1557 S Freedom Ave
Alliance OH, 44601-4264

From: [Stephanie Whitaker](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:07:55 AM

I live along the Ohio River in Tell City, Indiana. Of course, industry would support the Trump administration's effort to rollback environmental regulations, thus eliminating pollution control standards for the river. Do your job and protect our Ohio River and the people who drink its water!!!

Stephanie Whitaker

Sent from my iPad

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dennis J Lenz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:08:58 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dennis J Lenz
3 Ivory Ct
East Northport, NY 11731-6331
djlenzcpa@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gloria Wilson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:16:15 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gloria Wilson
524 Hanna Ave
Loveland, OH 45140-3104
lovelandsweets@fuss.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melanie Wilson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:16:15 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melanie Wilson
PO Box 5
New Cumberland, WV 26047-0005
melanie.wilson2010@yahoo.com

From: [Becky Hill](#)
To: PCS@ORSANCO.ORG
Subject: Vote to Drop Pollution Control Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:24:06 AM

To whom it may concern,

It's my understanding that you want to eliminate your pollution control standards and leave it up to each state to decide what is best. It's my opinion that your organization has done a great job cleaning up the river with the help of these standards. Why let the states do it? You know that politicians will stick their hands in the pockets of big industry. They will relax the standards and let them dump whatever they want into the river. Industries don't care if they poison us as long as they can make more money and politicians don't care as long as they get re-elected. We all know that as long as there is unlimited money in politics, you can't leave it up to politicians to keep anyone from drinking poisoned water.

We are a boating family and we boat on the Ohio River. Do you know how often there is a foamy, yellow scum floating on it? The answer is often and it's disgusting. I love the river, but I don't like to get in it because it's so polluted. God only knows what we are drinking when it's unfiltered. We filter all our water from our taps because we don't trust Ohio River water. How sad is that?

I keep hearing that the river is so much cleaner than it used to be. It makes me wonder how disgusting it was before the commission was formed. It's my opinion that the river is still embarrassingly polluted and has a way to go to in becoming cleaner. If anything, you should tighten your standards not get rid of them. Please vote NO on dropping your pollution control standards. Please don't leave it up to politicians and Industries to decide how much poison they can dump into the river!!

Thank you!

Becky Hill

Evansville, IN

From: [Barbara Christmas](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river pollution controls
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:28:00 AM

Dear commission members

I feel that the Ohio River pollution control standards should be kept in place, and even increased. If industries can reduce costs by dumping toxic materials in the river they will. Water is the lifeblood of our planet. No water, no life.

Keep the pollution control standards in place.

Thank you.

Barbara Christmas
544 E Shelton Road
Boonville Indiana
812 305-1863
Sent from my iPhone

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gina Gallo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:39:00 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gina Gallo
2664 Maytown Rd
Marietta, PA 17547-9781
reinegaule@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [susan thabit](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:39:00 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

susan thabit
12828 Kenyon Dr
Chesterland, OH 44026-3232
tsm693@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Moras](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:09:06 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Moras
1267 Stoney Battery Rd
Troutville, VA 24175-7521
sisterphoenix1978@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Cunningham](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:09:11 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Cunningham
105 Kaiser Dr
Downingtown, PA 19335-1261
jmc341@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matthew Ebbing](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:09:17 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matthew Ebbing
436 S Euclid Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-3922
mattebbing@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sarah Carr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:16:18 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sarah Carr
494 Stony Mountain Rd
Tunkhannock, PA 18657-1486
scvc70@epix.net

From: [Pat Blackburn](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO public comment
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:18:51 AM

I am writing to voice my support for keeping ORSANCO's regulatory powers in place for the Ohio Valley region. At this time I have no confidence in the EPA to protect our region over corporate and industrial interests. The Ohio River has been transformed by ORSANCO's oversight and this should continue.

Thank you

Patrick Blackburn, AIA LEED

From: [Rudy Gallager](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:22:15 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Rudy Gallager
305 Maple Ave Apt 3
Morgantown, WV 26501
6817531684

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cheryl Krause](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:39:08 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Krause
1942 Ursinus Ave
Lancaster, PA 17603-4411
secretadmirer604ohyeah@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carrie Selby](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:39:08 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carrie Selby
7428 Camberwood Dr
Indianapolis, IN 46268-4752
carrieselby@yahoo.com

From: [Sherry Love](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River pollution standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:41:47 AM

Dear Orsanco:

Please do NOT eliminate the pollution control standards for the Ohio River. I have been a resident of the Ohio Valley in Evansville, Indiana, for most of my life. I can see no benefit to eliminating standards. Rather, I can foresee a horrible future of clean water for the Ohio River, such as occurred in Flint, Michigan, if these standards were left to the federal government! We need and want our water to be clean and unpolluted, not only for those of us whom have lived here many years, but also for future generations of children and grandchildren using the resources of the Ohio River.

My name is: Sharon R. Love
9109 Jillian Court
Evansville, IN. 47712-5411

Thank you for your consideration of my point of view.

Sincerely,

Sharon R. Love

Sent from my iPad

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [CHARLENE DONOVAN](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:46:20 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

CHARLENE DONOVAN
7434 Winchester Ln
Schererville, IN 46375-1774
charlene47donovan@gmail.com

From: bkuebler@twc.com
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS comments
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:48:59 AM

We need more pollution control for the Ohio River. This is our only source for drinking water and it also creeps into the land. We have to protect it.

Barbara Kuebler
1712 Greencastle Drive
Evansville, IN 47715

From: Kinahan.Kelly.L
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Repealing OH River Pollution Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:56:47 AM

Please accept this public comment firmly AGAINST repealing the Ohio River Pollution Standards.

Kelly Kinahan
Louisville, KY 40206

Sent from my iPhone

From: [christopher_bauer](mailto:christopher_bauer@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: standards public comment
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:07:07 PM

Watershed wide interstate standards on discharge to the Ohio River ***should continue***. Politics and lack of funds will cause changes in what states are willing and able to do as far as regulations, monitoring and enforcement, without common expectations.

Within common interstate standards, is right to modify or even relax specific limits, based on evidence/new understandings.

I am a father of teenagers, manager of an Evansville POTW (wastewater treatment plant), and very frequent river user. I am proud of the river and always trying to share the experiences of, understanding of and appreciation of the river.

Thank you!

Christopher C. Bauer cell 812 483-8544 home 812 476-3248
christophercbauer@yahoo.com



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rick Paolucci](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:09:09 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rick Paolucci
27 E Church Rd
Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462-7168
rpaolucci27@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marilyn McNurney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:09:15 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marilyn McNurney
336 Fellows Ave
Syracuse, NY 13210-2614
woollyknitter@hotmail.com

From: [Jessica Howington](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:10:02 PM

We need strong water quality regulations to protect the Ohio River & the people who depend on it for drinking water. The Trump administration is weakening environmental protections, but our states can do better to protect the people they care about. Please help to clean up the Ohio River.

Jessica Howington
jessicalwes@gmail.com
1105 Rammers Ave.
Louisville KY 40204

"Nay, it is. I know not 'seems'."--*Hamlet*

From: [Robert Markert](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Our Ohio river
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:19:20 PM

Sent from my iPhone

We want Orsanco to please continue its original mandate of protecting the Ohio river from pollutants that every state along the Ohio must follow. Orsanco must provide the " overall view and the comparative analysis needed for coordination, consistency, and equity."

The lives of many depends on your mission.

Patsy and Bob Markert

40228

From: [David Williams](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep ORSANCO
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:27:33 PM

Are you people nuts? I remember when the Ohio was little more than a sewer. ORSANCO has done a tremendous job in ensuring we never return to those days.

David Williams
Louisville

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Laurie Puca](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:39:12 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Laurie Puca
16 Thornwood Dr
New City, NY 10956-3412
rclp@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellie Beck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:39:12 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellie Beck
PO Box 11
, 8463 N 2600 East Rd
Le Roy, IL 61752-0011
ebnthewoods@yahoo.com

From: [Ryan Huck](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep our river clean
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:45:36 PM

Orsanco's work setting pollution limits is critical to the health of the Ohio River

I oppose ANY proposal that eliminates ORSANCO's mandatory pollution limits for the Ohio River

Please keep our river clean for the sake of our children!

Thank you from Evansville business owners and fellow citizens:
Megan and Ryan Huck

From: [Robert Moran](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Revised Pollution Control Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:47:18 PM

I am now retired and living in Kentucky. However, I have over 20 years of environmental protection experience working with the Indiana Department of Environmental Management. As a staff member and then Supervisor of the Environmental Emergency Response Section, I've had numerous opportunities to work with ORSANCO in responding to pollution incidents in the Ohio River and have great respect for the organization. However, I just learned that ORSANCO is considering elimination the water pollution standards that you have as guides for all the states to follow. Elimination of these standards will result in a race to the bottom; to the detriment of the river you are supposed to protect. Please do not eliminate these standards.

Thank you.
Robert Moran
1895 Junction Pike
Berry, KY 41003

From: [Richard Curby](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution control for Ohio river
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:52:34 PM
Attachments: [2018-Notice-of-Public-Hearing-Signed-1.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.txt](#)

What part of we need cleaner water doesn't the government understand? River is currently not safe to be in or eat fish from in Ky and In. Doesn't this say anything?

It is a sad time for our environment with the Trump admin. In office .

Richard G Curby,

7285 Rucker Rd , Henderson, Ky.

From: [Jeff Sole](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Objection to proposed changes to Pollution Control Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 12:54:08 PM

To: ORSANCO PCS Committee & Commissioner

Date: August 19, 2018

Re: Comments on PCS

I oppose and object to the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards (PCS) and ask that ORSANCO retain the language passed in 2015. I have lived most of my life in the Ohio River Basin and have spent considerable effort over the decades working to protect and improve the Ohio River. Without ORSANCO oversight I have little doubt individual states will vary hugely in their regulation of effluent entering the river. For such multi-jurisdictional resources as a major river system, having a system in place to insure equitable constraints across state boundaries is imperative.

Don't make a decision that will likely result in losses to the gains made to water quality of the Ohio River over the past few decades with ORSANCO overwrite.

Jeffery Sole

139 Stockton Rd

Frankfort, KY 40601



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Larry Holt](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution on the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:08:48 PM

I oppose the elimination of standards on pollution on Ohio River
Thank you for protecting us

Larry Holt
Ken Combs Running Store
4137 Shelbyville Road
Louisville, Ky 40207
F 801-730-9880
P 502-895-3410

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathie Dunal](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:09:14 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathie Dunal
494 Park Ave
Glencoe, IL 60022-1548
cathiedunal@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Hendricka Samytowski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:16:27 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Hendricka Samytowski
1 Walker St
New York, NY 10013-2422
nanasamoldbird@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [melody fiore](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:16:27 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

melody fiore
PO Box 66
Orangeburg, NY 10962-0066
timezone127@yahoo.com

From: [Meade WINTERS](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:25:15 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Meade WINTERS
268 CLAY STREET
CLARKSBURG, WV 26301
3044768444

From: aaronlouis@gmail.com on behalf of [Aaron L. Rosenblum](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment on ORSANCO regulatory authority
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:27:47 PM

To whom it may concern:

I am writing to oppose the dissolution or reduction of ORSANCO and its regulatory authority concerning Ohio River pollution, safety, and health. The kind of regional, interstate regulation and oversight represented by ORSANCO is a model for other rivers and regions to follow, and should not be discarded. All those who live along the Ohio, as we do here in Louisville, have a shared interest in the state of the river from its headwaters all the way to Cairo, Illinois where it meets the Mississippi.

While federal standards like the Clean Water Act should be observed and enforced, if our Ohio River community requires or prefers standards that go beyond the Clean Water Act, we need ORSANCO to retain its authority. Without ORSANCO, if the Clean Water Act should be nullified or modified to reduce safety standards, we can't depend on each state along the Ohio to work independently to ensure outcomes that work for the benefit of all others. To ensure equity and enforcement, we need ORSANCO.

Thank you,
Aaron Rosenblum
1611 S. Shelby St.
Louisville, KY 40217

From: [Zimmer, Christopher -FS](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Zimmer, Christopher -FS](#)
Subject: ATTN: PCS COMMENTS
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:28:13 PM

I write to encourage the members of the ORSANCO commission to not eliminate its pollution control standards. My understanding is that of existing standards for 122 pollutants, not all of ORSANCO's member states have set criteria of their own. The Ohio River remains in need of every possible protection feasible. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Chris Zimmer, Hoosier National Forest District Ranger, 248 15th St., Tell City, IN. 47586. (czimmer@fs.fed.us)

Sent from Email+ secured by MobileIron

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Julie Griffith](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:39:17 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Julie Griffith
1020 Kehoe Dr
Saint Charles, IL 60174-3739
jjgrif2@juno.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michael Pittelli](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:39:17 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michael Pittelli
3 Norma St
East Northport, NY 11731-5020
mncpittelli@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lindsay Pugh](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:39:23 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lindsay Pugh
18432 Oak Ridge Ln
Disputanta, VA 23842-6803
pugh.lindsay.a@gmail.com

From: l.hartman55@gmail.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Oppose Elimination of powers from ORSANCO
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:41:03 PM

I oppose the elimination of powers from ORSANCO to regulate pollution control standards along the Ohio River. I live in Louisville. Kentucky. We need these controls!

Thank you,
Lynn Hartman

Sent from my iPad

From: jmshep593@gmail.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Move To Repeal Ohio River Pollution Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:46:03 PM

To whom it may concern:

I agree with Ryan Van Velzer, now is not the time to decrease scrutiny of what is being dumped in the Ohio River, which happens to be the source of drinking water by repealing Ohio River pollution standards.

"ORSANCO's pollution control standards include regulating water quality criteria, designated uses and wastewater discharge requirements."

The reality is the EPA's Clean Water Act grows weaker every day by the current U.S. administration. Do we really intend to rely solely on that federal institutions to ensure Louisville's water quality is maintained. Look at the job they did in Michigan. Kentucky's current administration is not that much better. I think removing Orsanco's pollution control standards will be a huge mistake that will certainly lead to negative impacts down the road!

Joan Shepherd
605 W Ashland Ave
Louisville, KY 40215

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Josee Nadeau](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:46:30 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Josee Nadeau
11 Cr Horizon
St-Roch de l'Achigan, NY 12345
josie.nadeau@videotron.ca

From: [Wes Meares](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Protections
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 1:52:15 PM

With the present leadership at the EPA being misaligned with its primary purpose, looking out for citizen's health and safety is now more than ever a priority that states must ensure does not become second to greedy industrial interests.

Please let this serve as notice of my strong disapproval of the plan to decrease an important layer of protection for the water quality of the Ohio River. Thank You.

Wes Meares
831 E. Washington St.
Louisville, KY 40206

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joyce Carroll](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:09:41 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joyce Carroll
1565 Winnetka Rd
Glenview, IL 60025-1821
jallorrac@sbcglobal.net

From: carolynmu=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Carolyn Burley](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:16:19 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Carolyn Burley
4831 Dueber Ave. SW
Canton OH, 44706-4296

From: [Wayne Crabtree](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:21:21 PM

To whom it may concern,
Are you kidding me? The Ohio River is already polluted enough. We need stronger standards for pollution control. Doing away with standards for pollution control makes no sense. Do we not want to leave our children a world than what we have lived in. I would think so. We need increase stronger standards and not do away with them all together.

Hopefully good sense will prevail!!!

Sincerely,

J. Wayne Crabtree

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jen Scibetta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:39:19 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jen Scibetta
40 Ravenswood Ter
Cheektowaga, NY 14225-1145
bebebird6@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dick Brown](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:46:34 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

Think about the family in your own home: isn't it a given that you try to keep it clean--clean of human waste; clean of the other waste; recyclable or otherwise; and debris that would otherwise collect in your yard and adjacent area in the lot you own? Doesn't this only make sense? Then why should we do less with the rivers that are part of the ecosystem we inhabit!?!?

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dick Brown
3271 Woodcreek Dr
Charlottesville, VA 22911-6229
brownbear2u@embarqmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Muriel Heanue](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:46:34 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Muriel Heanue
PO Box 1512
Leesburg, VA 20177-1512
mheanue@yahoo.com

From: kkensler=msn.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kim Kensler-Prager](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:05:56 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Kim Kensler-Prager
2700 PELHAM RD, Apt 320
Toledo OH, 43606-3211

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Winifred Boyd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:09:24 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Winifred Boyd
2541 Aster Pl N
Westbury, NY 11590-5601
treeofavalon@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kira Konnova](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:09:24 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kira Konnova
310 85th St Apt C7
Brooklyn, NY 11209-4612
kira.konnova@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Grubb](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:09:25 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Grubb
1074 Dry Wells Rd
Quarryville, PA 17566-9178
robtrgrubb@epix.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [dave gliva](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:16:36 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

dave gliva
313 Emery St
Joliet, IL 60436-1216
davegliva@att.net

From: [Chuck Bauer](#)
To: pcs@Orsanco.org
Cc: [Chuck Bauer](#)
Subject: DO NOT ELIMINATE POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:24:34 PM

I am appalled that you are considering eliminating your efforts in pollution control. You should in fact make increasing the restrictions on pollutants in the Ohio. It is in the interests of all citizens in the Ohio River Watershed to have as many agencies as possible influencing water quality.

I live in Evansville IN. and use the river for recreation. I fish, duck hunt, Kayak, and knee board the river as well as simply sit on the bank and enjoy it. I have been in Evansville since 1974 and have seen citizens use of the river increase. It is important to downtown Cincinnati, Owensboro, Evansville, and My. Vernon.

Protect the water Quality

Charles Bauer
540 Oriole Dr.
Evansville IN 47715
812 454-4681

From: [Judy Mize](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: RE: Repeal of Ohio River Pollution Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:25:48 PM

I, as a resident of the Ohio River Area, and a consumer of the public water supply drawn from the Ohio river, am appalled that anyone would consider repealing the current standards regarding the pollutants that can be released into the Ohio river. If anything, the standards should be increased. With the current administration's moves to repeal or reduce federal standards, state and regional standards need to be upheld stringently. We need to lead the way in keeping our water resources as pollutant free as possible with strict regulations about the types and amount of pollutants allowed.

Judith Mize
Louisville, KY 40299

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karla Corzatt](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:39:28 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karla Corzatt
10782 State Route 73
New Vienna, OH 45159-9315
corzatt20@netzero.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robin Rupp](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:39:28 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robin Rupp
911 S Carleton Ct
Bloomington, IN 47401-8171
rrupp@indiana.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Susan Holland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:39:28 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Susan Holland
1014 Redtail Rd
Audubon, PA 19403-1841
sjhcpa@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [gary.parlette](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:39:33 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

gary parlette
1338Elmore Ave
Cols, OH 43224
parlettegary@yahoo.com

From: [John Halfacre](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Object to repeal of ORSANCO standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 3:52:04 PM

Greetings,

I am writing to object to the repeal of ORSANCO pollution standards. I believe, considering the Ohio River was considered the most polluted river in the country in 2015, that we should be strengthening pollution standards. The federal EPA is going through an unfortunate identity crisis, and leaving standards up to the states will create inconsistencies downstream. This uncertainty in government action leads me to believe that river oversight by ORSANCO is in the public's interest.

Thank you,
John Halfacre
New Albany, IN

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Esmeralda Sandoval](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:09:31 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Esmeralda Sandoval
22 Sycamore St
Central Islip, NY 11722-4328
shynicesad@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Christina Alger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:09:36 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Christina Alger
30 Chippewa Ln
Palmyra, VA 22963-3103
llupa0409@gmail.com

From: [Sharon](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Do not dissolve ORSANCO
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:11:32 PM

I am gravely concerned that ORSANCO is voting to dissolve its standards that protect our Ohio River. I believe this lack of oversight will greatly diminish our water quality—including drinkability, recreational use & pollution. I strongly urge you to please reconsider this choice. Thank you,
Sharon L Afams

KWA continues to be concerned that the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) will soon vote to dissolve its standards that protect the Ohio River. It is our belief that removing the standards will lead to increased water pollution and negatively affect our drinking water and recreational opportunities.

If you are concerned about the water quality of the Ohio River, we urge you to contact the commission to voice your opinion against dissolving its standards. The comment period has been extended until August 20 (it was originally August 10) so now is the time to act.

Comments can be submitted two ways: via traditional mail and via email.

Mailed comments should be addressed to: ORSANCO, 5735 Kellogg Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45230, Attn: PCS Comments.

Emailed comments should be sent to:

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jeanne Nightingale](mailto:Jeanne.Nightingale@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: NO to eliminating historic pollution control standards for the Ohio River.
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:15:12 PM

Dear ORSANCO commissioners:

Please do not abrogate your historic responsibility of maintaining the control standards for the Ohio River, the source of our drinking water!

We understand the pressure is on to delegate your authority to the EPA to monitor the waters of the Ohio River. Isn't that the proverbial fox in the hen house? I hear that big polluting industries moving into the Ohio River Valley are making it difficult for you to be our last remaining watch dog.

It is up to you, and to all of us to take on the corporations and the politicians who endanger people and the planet for profit. The dark money from Chinese investors must be hard to turn down, especially when it brings jobs and prosperity to our poor neighbors in rural West Virginia. But if Montana can wage that battle, surely Ohio can. ORSANCO stands in the vanguard of this effort. We count on you as we have done since you were founded back in 1949.

People who came to public hearings from many of the eight states that border the Ohio cried out to you with rage, terror, and tears. Please do not "water down" your water quality standards.

Thank you for listening and acting.

Jeanne Nightingale
Woman's City Club
League of Women Voters.
Resident of Price Hill

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marcy Moyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:16:44 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marcy Moyer
411 Hunter Ave
Bronx, NY 10464-1332
marcymoyer@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Tanke](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:39:36 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Tanke
6220 W 64th Pl Apt 5
Chicago, IL 60638-5065
josephtanke@aol.com

From: kwilhelmi@aol.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:44:42 PM

I am strongly in favor of the continuation of ORSANCO.

It is sad and illogical that this is even an issue.

Protecting water is protecting life! Simple as that!

Keith Wilhelmi
2913 Cambridge Rd.
Louisville, KY 40220
502-451-4907

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Beth Bollinger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:46:45 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Beth Bollinger
110 Topsider Dr
Bedford, PA 15522-2030
bblittlewave@comcast.net

From: [Nikki Orlemann](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: NO to eliminating pollution control standards for OHIO river!!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:55:23 PM
Attachments: [Screen Shot 2018-08-16 at 4.35.48 PM.png](#)

The Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission,

**PLEASE SAY NO to eliminating pollution control standards
for the OHIO River!!**

NO on Alternative 2

Sincerely,
Nikki G. Orlemann

Another way to keep our WATERS CLEAN... Australia knows how...



Bonnie Pence shared a post.

Yesterday at 8:05 AM · 🧑🏻



We should be so smart here.



Keith Anderson

August 8 at 9:59 AM

 Follow

How Australia prevents storm drain trash from reaching the rivers, lakes and ocean

From: [linda linker](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 4:59:42 PM

Please say NO to eliminating pollution standards for the Ohio River.

Thank you,
Linda Linker

From: 717tolstoy@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Stephen Gabor](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:09:36 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Stephen Gabor
10301 Lake Ave Apt 525
Cleveland OH, 44102-1277

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jim Buda](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:09:43 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jim Buda
5174 Mill Rd
Emmaus, PA 18049-5312
jimbobud74@gmail.com

From: lynneward30@gmail.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:10:20 PM

Please maintain ORSANCO and it's standards for cleaning the Ohio river. I frequent the river and have done my share to clean trash. I want my river clean for my drinking water. I want my river clean for recreation. I want my river clean for wildlife! Please don't lessen the standards or weaken enforcement! We need the Ohio river to be clean and safe!!!

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Henry Frankel](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Don't Quit
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:10:21 PM

With a science denying pro-pollution industry shill heading the EPA and calling environmentalist advocates “terrorists” and like minded deregulation advocates in Kentucky, an established independent agency is a vital safeguard against a disastrous disregard of standards that have worked for years. Now is not the time.

Henry Frankel

From: dwilder540@aol.com
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:10:46 PM

Why in the world would anyone consider dropping pollution standards from a body of water like the Ohio River that is needed and utilized by so many citizens? The EPA is being gutted by the current administration in Washington so they cannot be depended on to monitor and find solutions to pollution. Industry is necessary but companies have also proven time and time again they will NOT monitor themselves. This river needs all the help it can get to stay as clean as it is and possibly become even better.

Thank you, Susan Wilder
Evansville, IN

From: [Frank Copeland](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river water pollution
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:17:37 PM

The standards for clean water in the Ohio river are insufficient, Until a better plan unites a universal control incorporating both state and federal goals into one agency ORSANCO'S continuance is vital. Thank you. Frank & Linda Copeland 8440 Wolf Creek Drive Evansville In. 47712 franklin430@wowway.com

From: [terry poulson \(tpoulson@roadrunner.com\)](mailto:tpoulson@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:22:32 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

terry poulson
22120 SWITZER RD
Defiance, OH 43512
tpoulson@roadrunner.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Janet Gressel](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:39:52 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Janet Gressel
23 Whiting St
Bath, NY 14810-1137
volunteerlady55@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Heather Turbush](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:39:52 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Heather Turbush
10 Hickory Ct
Wading River, NY 11792-3108
hturbush@gmail.com

From: [Bonnie Bittner](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:45:11 PM

Orlando,
Please do not eliminate the pollution control standards they need to be a lot more stricter!
John and Bonnie Bittner

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ellen Madarasz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:46:52 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ellen Madarasz
1504 Windermere Rd
West Chester, PA 19380-3533
luvsophie@msn.com

From: [mhh40601](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please don't eliminate your OR oversight
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:55:22 PM

I strongly encourage you to reconsider this move at this time. Our waterway has come light years in cleanliness since the inception of this group. Communal resources like the Ohio River fare better with shares governance and oversight.

Thank you -
MHH

From: [Philip Bigge](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comments to orsanco decision
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:05:38 PM

Vote NO... We should only ever make dumping regulations more strict... we are not only poisoning ourselves but poisoning our children... how can we protect our selves and future generations when we cant even take our need for water seriously... people who are profiting from this lax in regulations do not live here and will not have to swim in the raw sewage and toxic wasteland... they will not have to drink the questionably safe water or eat the mutated and tainted fish...while other areas are banning straws and understanding that we need the environment to survive... we are about to force people to drink all consumable water out of plastic bottles because our water is so polluted... when will it end, when will we stop destroying the very cornerstones we need to survive and prospure

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Paul Dyer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:09:44 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Paul Dyer
46 Northridge Rd
Columbus, OH 43214-3324
pauldyer406@yahoo.com

From: slvanvliet1990@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Stephanie VanVliet](#)
To:
Subject: Keep our water safe.
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:10:41 PM

Dear ,

ORSANCO,

I am writing to let you know that many constituents, along with myself, strongly opposed your 2018 revision of the Pollution Control Standards. As a proud Ohioan, I'm appalled that the Ohio River is the most polluted river in the nation, and devastated that ORSANCO is considering removing regulation that has been improving the water upon whose quality we depend.

The parameters listed for deletion are not redundant to state EPA standards. There are 188 standards set by ORSANCO that the EPA does not regulate, and 252 that are stricter than the ones set by the EPA. Metals such as Hg will accumulate and concentrate up the food chain and are toxic to human health; parameters such as COD, BOD, PO4 and NH3 will lower water oxygen levels, result in algae blooms, and disrupt natural ecosystems.

ORSANCO's role in maintaining the Ohio River is more important than just data collection and distribution. Year in and year out, you have partnered with both state and national EPAs and, together, have helped us make strides in improving the Ohio River. Your role in regulating interstate industrial effluent is paramount to that goal.

I appreciate the quality of life that industry has given us. However, it must not be at the expense of the water we drink, fish, and play in. Please know that ORSANCO is an important part of the checks and balances of the Ohio River. Please continue to be responsible and conscientious by maintaining the current water standards.

Sincerely,
Stephanie

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Stephanie VanVliet
7124 Starkeys ct
Dublin OH, 43017-1014

From: slvanvliet1990@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Stephanie VanVliet](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:10:42 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Stephanie VanVliet
7124 Starkeys ct
Dublin OH, 43017-1014

From: [Mary Bigge](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Orsanco vote
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:24:22 PM

Vote no

We have the highest cancer rates and other health problems... people die so young here, including my husband who was only 65..many of these problems have direct links to pollution... what about the environment... our kids deserve better they deserve a cancer free future... we all live in the same USA stop poisoning yourself and others

Mary Bigge

Posey county Indiana

From: lucyandmike@sbcglobal.net
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:29:12 PM

Please do not relax the present restrictions on polluting the Ohio River. Or any possible runoff into the river. We drink Ohio River water in Cincinnati. I don't want China investing millions in WV and profiting by polluting our country. The Trump administration has proven that it is only concerned w adding to the pockets of the rich. I don't want any deal he strikes w China to change our land.

New jobs can come from clean energy projects which Trump is ignoring in his courtship w petrochemicals. I'm holding my breath until we can get a level headed leader back again.

Louise Steiner. 744 Old Ludlow Ave. Cincinnati, OH. 45220

Sent from my iPhone

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Suzanne Raffaelli](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:39:48 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Raffaelli
2621 Palisade Ave
Bronx, NY 10463-6106
threepeas1@mac.com

From: [Elaine Olund](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on proposed regulation changes
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:39:50 PM

To ORSANCO commissioners:

I am a citizen of Cincinnati, located along what is currently the most polluted waterway in our country. I was shocked to learn that you are seriously considering Option 2, which guts ORSANCO's oversight and leaves our already vulnerable water supply in deep jeopardy.

We depend on good drinking water—it is not a luxury, it is foundational. Your job is to protect our water. This should not be about making it easy for industry to pollute, but it seems to me that is exactly what it is about.

Commissioners with ties to industry—for instance, Commissioner Snavelly (retired from 8 Excel Mining), Commissioner Flannery of West Virginia (connected to the National Coal Council), Commissioner Potesta (has represented DuPont, one of the worst polluters of the Ohio)—should not be entrusted with making decisions about cutting regulations. Any commissioner that has such ties to industry with a vested interest in lowered standards should not be permitted to vote on relaxing standards.

It is shameful that you are moving to gut these standards, and that despite public outcry have not held more meetings for public comments. You work for the public, not for industry, and yet it appears you have forgotten your mission.

Please look hard at your consciences. Imagine the situation in Flint, only exponentially bigger, impacting up to 5 million people. There are some things more important than industry and profits. You have been entrusted to safeguard this essential resource, and pretending that you are eliminating "redundant" standards when it has been proven that there are at least 188 instances that ORSANCO's current standards are NOT in existence in the state standards you reference.

It has been said that those who have not learned from history are condemned to repeat it. Think Flint, Michigan. Think the burning rivers of the recent past. Think Love Canal. Please do not gut ORSANCO's regulatory powers. Instead, uphold your mission: To control and abate pollution in the Ohio River Basin.

You have done admirable work in the past. Please return to the mission and do the right thing: Reject Option 2, and keep the stricter standards.

Sincerely,
Elaine Olund
Cincinnati, OH 45223

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Danielle Augustino](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:39:53 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Danielle Augustino
9920 Hawthorn Hill Ct
Manassas, VA 20110-2704
nelldrzazga@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [James Scotto](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:46:52 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

James Scotto
166 Orchard St Apt 4b
New York, NY 10002-2298
jim_scotto@hotmail.com

From: [Angelo Taranto](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:47:40 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to urge you to resist industry pressure by keeping the critical Pollution Control Standards in place.

The magnificent Ohio River flows nearly 1000 miles through six states, providing drinking water for more than 5 million. Its watershed, the Ohio River Basin, spans over 200,000 square miles and covers parts of 15 states and more than 27 million people. The Water Authority that provides my drinking water, the West View Water Authority, draws its water from the Ohio River.

The Ohio River is also the most polluted body of water in the United States for seven years running, so this is the time to keep you Pollution Control Standards in place. Some of the reasons for keeping them are:

1. Several states rely on ORSANCO's standards and adopt them as their own. If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards, those states will have to expend the financial resources to develop the requisite standards.
2. ORSANCO should be setting uniform standards with the collective input of all basin states.
3. If all Ohio River states have to develop their own standards, then the situation can lead to interstate conflicts when an upriver state has weaker standards that lead to increased pollution treatment costs for a downriver state. This would, inevitably, lead to litigation among the states.

There are many people who are relying on you to protect this important waterway.

Sincerely,

Angelo Taranto
5000 Park Plaza Dr., Apt. 202
Ross Township, PA 15229

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Laura VanVliet](mailto:Laura.VanVliet@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: Craig.Butler@epa.ohio.gov; sarah.huffman@governor.ohio.gov
Subject: Proposed ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards Revision
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:50:48 PM

ORSANCO,

I am writing to let you know that many constituents, along with myself, strongly opposed your 2018 revision of the Pollution Control Standards. As a proud Ohioan, I'm appalled that the Ohio River is the most polluted river in the nation, and devastated that ORSANCO is considering removing regulation that has been improving the water upon whose quality we depend.

The parameters listed for deletion are not redundant to state EPA standards. There are 188 standards set by ORSANCO that the EPA does not regulate, and 252 that are stricter than the ones set by the EPA. Metals such as Hg will accumulate and concentrate up the food chain and are toxic to human health; parameters such as COD, BOD, PO4 and NH3 will lower water oxygen levels and/or result in toxic algae blooms.

ORSANCO's role in maintaining the Ohio River is more important than just data collection and distribution. Year in and year out, you have partnered with both state and national EPAs and, together, have helped us make strides in improving the Ohio River. Your role in regulating interstate industrial effluent is paramount to that goal.

I appreciate the quality of life that industry has given us. However, it must not be at the expense of the water we drink, fish, and play in. Please know that ORSANCO is an important part of the checks and balances of the Ohio River. Please continue to be responsible and conscientious by maintaining the current watershed standards.

Sincerely,
Laura VanVliet
7124 Starkeys Ct
Dublin OH 43017

From: [Gwenivere Girten](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:06:01 PM

Please keep the oversight board on the pollution level of the Ohio River. The condition may have improved over the last 30 years, but there are still concerns over the level of pollution. Many people depend on the river for their drinking water. We live towards the end of the river and bear the brunt of everything flowing down steam.

Thank you,
Gwen Girten

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cindy Nowak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:09:49 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cindy Nowak
5429 N Moody Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-1050
bananapeele@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [donna Logan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:09:49 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

donna Logan
2557 S Tracy Dr
Erie, PA 16505-4413
dsuperpta@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Pamela Maynard](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:09:49 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Pamela Maynard
102 Fox Run Ter
Middleburg, VA 20117-2688
pamelalmaynard@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robi Stupak](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:09:55 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robi Stupak
870 valley ave
Lansdale, PA 19446
rstupak@yahoo.com

From: [Dan Wice](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Opposition
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:21:43 PM

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to state my opposition to the elimination or weakening of the Orsanco rules & standards.

Sincerely,
Dan Wice

From: [Amanda Vaught](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution control standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:30:11 PM

o: Mr. Richard Harrison, Director and others as appropriate

As a resident of Evansville, IN, I would like to voice my disagreement with the commission's proposal to drop pollution control standards for the Ohio River. The Ohio River provides a source of recreation for residents. It also is a source of drinking water for many. As a resident of the area, I am concerned that the Ohio River is already one of the nation's most-polluted waterways. Dropping or lessening pollution control standards set by ORSANCO would not benefit the goal of keeping a clean, safe and usable waterway.

Leaving the states to solely enforce water standards places our waterways at increased risk of pollution. We need to prevent outcomes like those that have occurred in Flint, Michigan and other cities. There are many industries along the Ohio and the potential for increased pollution is high. Trusting the States and the industries to self-regulate is naive at best. Water contamination impacts the health and welfare of all of us in the region. I urge the commission to not approve this proposal and to be vigorous in creating, monitoring and enforcing standards that ensure our waterways remain safe.

Thank you,

Amanda Mattingly
Evansville, IN

From: [Garfield Nelson](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:30:44 PM

Please do not abolish this commission. We need environmental oversight now more than ever. The river provides drinking water to millions of people. The commission needs to stay in place to protect its future viability.

Thank You,
Garfield Nelson
Louisville, KY

From: [Lani Ethridge](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: proposal to eliminate ORSANCO pollution control standards ---public comment
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:35:34 PM

Keep ORSANCO and its pollution control standards! The states in the Ohio River watershed need the coordination that it provides, as well as the oversight. The oversight of the EPA, especially in the current administration is worse than useless. Indiana EPA is also lacking. Keep ORSANCO and keep an eye on the water quality, please.

Lani Ethridge
10615 Schaeffer Road
Evansville, IN 47720
entwissen@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kyle Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:39:49 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kyle Jones
160 Cornhill Pl
Rochester, NY 14608-2283
kylecjones@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Seth Tubman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:39:55 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Seth Tubman
51 Browns Ave
Scottsville, NY 14546-1349
stubman9@yahoo.com

From: [Laurie Lambert](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: OPTION 2 regarding the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 7:47:28 PM

To ORSANCO commissioners:

I am a citizen of the Cincinnati area, located along what is currently the most polluted waterway in our country. I was shocked to learn that you are seriously considering Option 2, which guts ORSANCO's oversight and leaves our already vulnerable water supply in deep jeopardy.

We depend on good drinking water—it is not a luxury, it is foundational. Your job is to protect our water. This should not be about making it easy for industry to pollute, but it seems to me that is exactly what it is about.

Commissioners with ties to industry—for instance, Commissioner Snavely (retired from 8 Excel Mining), Commissioner Flannery of West Virginia (connected to the National Coal Council), Commissioner Potesta (has represented DuPont, one of the worst polluters of the Ohio)—should not be entrusted with making decisions about cutting regulations. Any commissioner that has such ties to industry with a vested interest in lowered standards should not be permitted to vote on relaxing standards.

It is shameful that you are moving to gut these standards, and that despite public outcry have not held more meetings for public comments. You work for the public, not for industry, and yet it appears you have forgotten your mission.

Please look hard at your consciences. Imagine the situation in Flint, only exponentially bigger, impacting up to 5 million people. There are some things more important than industry and profits. You have been entrusted to safeguard this essential resource, and pretending that you are eliminating “redundant” standards when it has been proven that there are at least 188 instances that ORSANCO's current standards are NOT in existence in the state standards you reference.

It has been said that those who have not learned from history are condemned to repeat it. Think Flint, Michigan. Think the burning rivers of the recent past. Think Love Canal. Please do not gut ORSANCO's regulatory powers. Instead, uphold your mission: To control and abate pollution in the Ohio River Basin.

You have done admirable work in the past. Please return to the mission and do the right thing: Reject Option 2, and keep the stricter standards that protect lives and preserve the environment.

We're counting on you to protect this precious resource.

Laurie Lambert

From: [Anna Kirschner](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [John Kirschner](#); admin@banklick.org
Subject: Changes to ORSANCO's PCS
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:07:43 PM

We are concerned regarding the proposed changes to ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards. ORSANCO provides an invaluable resource that maintains a high standard that keeps the Ohio River healthy and safe for both the communities and wildlife touched by the river. The proposed changes to ORSANCO's pollution control standards would put those communities and the health of the river at risk.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anna Kirschner
GB Solutions, LLC

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Todd Cheek](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:09:52 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

Let's not repeat what's happening in Florida because the party in control refuses to accept the role they have played in decimating the water quality which so many in that state depend on for their livelihood. As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Todd Cheek
3228 Phoenix Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45211-3566
tcc313@outlook.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sue and Iris Greidinger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:09:57 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sue and Iris Greidinger
6115 97th St Apt 3a
Rego Park, NY 11374-1204
igreid@verizon.net

From: [Glen Steltenpohl](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Regulations
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:10:03 PM

I am no environmentalist, but some common sense needs to be used. Why would you even consider removing the regulations? The Ohio has been polluted for decades and it was starting to recover and now this. Left unchecked corporations and individuals will take advantage of situations and loopholes. Being retired Regular Army I have seen too many rivers polluted beyond use and it will make the Ohio River the same type of cesspool as The Tigris and Euphrates.

There is no reason why the Commission should not continue as both environmentally and economically it makes sense. When are the polluters' going to clean the river of the damage they have already done?

v/r
Glen Steltenpohl

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Bauer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:39:53 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Bauer
4247 Arthur Ave
Brookfield, IL 60513-1913
bocuse23@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Dudeck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:39:53 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Dudeck
531 Rostraver St Apt 2
Monessen, PA 15062-1525
radarracer2000@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melissa Suarez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:39:53 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melissa Suarez
11906 Lena Ave
Cleveland, OH 44135-3022
mpaulesc@yahoo.com

From: [Linda Garrett](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: A vote to keep ORSANCO
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:44:09 PM

I vote to keep ORSANCO the way that it is. We don't need to take the chance that our beautiful river reverts to its condition of the 50s and 60s. I remember when the Ohio River was a sewer and one couldn't swim or fish in it. Thankfully, the EPA changed all that! Linda Garrett, Evansville

From: [Eira Tansey](mailto:Eira.Tansey@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: City of Cincinnati resident comments opposing PCS proposal
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:49:06 PM

Dear commissioners:

As a resident of the city of Cincinnati, I'm writing in opposition to the proposal by the ORSANCO commissioners to eliminate its responsibility for developing regional pollution control standards. I note that in the previous public comment period, the Greater Cincinnati Water Works, from which I get my own drinking water, opposes this proposal as well.

Having reliable access to clean drinking water is a serious matter with which I have first-hand experience. After living in Ohio for all my life, I moved to New Orleans for some time. Several times a year, I would be advised to boil my water due to failures of the water system, and given the highly industrialized effluence and runoff into the water around the region, one could only imagine what contaminants were in the water (and how unlikely it was that boiling would do much of anything). After I returned to my home of Cincinnati, I recently thought how glad I was that I have now gone five years without having to boil my water or fear for my safety any time I turn on the taps. I fear that what I experienced in Louisiana will soon become a new reality here in Cincinnati should you fail to maintain your responsibility for pollution control standards.

As water pollution issues increase not only in Cincinnati but across the region, it is astounding that the commissioners would entertain such a preposterous idea as abandoning one of the important tools in the toolbox for ensuring uniform water cleanliness standards in a highly-industrialized region of 5 million people. The proposal to do away with regulating uniform pollution control standards is an abdication of your responsibility for the original intent of the compact, and it is clear that the EPA's administration of the federal Clean Water Act is insufficient on its own to ensure a clean river.

I call on the commission to drop its proposal to eliminate its pollution control standards, and I strongly encourage the commission to *expand* the standards currently in existence. As the River continues to rank as one of the dirtiest in the nation, it is clear *more* oversight is needed - not less.

Sincerely,

Eira Tansey
1522 Wittekind Terrace
Cincinnati, Ohio 45224

--

Eira Tansey, MLIS
eiratansey@gmail.com
<http://eiratansey.com/>

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Sidote](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:09:56 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Sidote
16 W Academy St
Wappingers Falls, NY 12590-2628
pillowpaws@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carolynn Huerta](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:17:06 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carolynn Huerta
5721 S Mason Ave
Chicago, IL 60638-3606
carebearqt00@yahoo.com

From: [Gerry Wolter](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: China Energy
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:31:29 PM

Hello ,

ORSANCO has been the Ohio River watch dog for years, successfully cleaning up the river as it has never been before. This was done for us and generations to come.

If this project is allowed to move forward for the sake of corporate profits, years of progress could be undone in the bat of an eye.

Obviously, we can no longer rely on the EPA and it's up to us and ORSANCO to protect OUR river and maintain safe drinking water. There will be an uprising if this project goes ahead and puts corporate profits before the health of over five million people.

Please do the right thing,

Gerry Wolter
President
PrecisionTemp, Inc.
800-934-9690 Ex. 104
513-641-4446 Ex. 104

Gerry Wolter
PrecisionTemp, Inc.
800-934-9690 Ex. 104
513-641-4446 Ex. 104

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Neal Hunter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:39:59 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Neal Hunter
1137 Ayershire Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230-3818
huntpaintco@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheila Cottrell](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:39:59 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheila Cottrell
23803 Bonny Bank Dr
Westlake, OH 44145-3535
sheco513@yahoo.com

From: ksbunyan@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Karen Bunyan](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:45:02 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists, and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Karen Bunyan
5410 Kenridge Dr
Blue Ash OH, 45242-4838

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Angela Elniski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:47:09 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Angela Elniski
3618 Fox Run Dr
Hamburg, NY 14075-2216
angchiappone@hotmail.com

From: [Margaret Dennis](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:47:46 PM

I am concerned about the proposal to eliminate some pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

I understand that each state would still set their own criteria but when it comes to air and water, there are no state boundaries. What happens in one state can affect others. I also understand the concern of some groups that it adds a layer of rules. But I firmly believe that in the case of protecting our natural resources, shared standards are best.

The Ohio River has improved vastly since the creation of ORSANCO but the work is not done. There are still problems with urban and agricultural runoff, industrial pollutants, and more.

I respectfully and passionately encourage you to maintain the pollution control standards.

Thank you.

Margaret Dennis
Newburgh, IN

From: jendreher669@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jennifer Dreher](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 9:52:03 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Jennifer Dreher
5603 Burns Rd
North Olmsted OH, 44070-4219

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joan Jennings](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:10:02 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joan Jennings
4 Robert Adams Dr
Courtice, NY 25335
neewells@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Esther Kowal-Bukata](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:10:02 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Esther Kowal-Bukata
144 Roycroft Blvd
Amherst, NY 14226-4558
esther1203@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [tera nethu](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:10:02 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

tera nethu
725 4th Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11232-1328
tara.tukey@nyc.rr.com

From: [Julie Martin](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:11:33 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Julie Martin
107 1st Ave N
Litchfield, IL 62056
7153274474

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jeanette Randolph](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:17:12 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Randolph
811 Belrock Ave Apt 5
Belpre, OH 45714-1341
susanrandolph56@yahoo.com

From: rhess3=rockets.utoledo.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Rachel Hess](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:27:35 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Rachel Hess
PO BOX 396
Luckey OH, 43443-0396

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joel Finley](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:40:05 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joel Finley
809b Ford St
Ogdensburg, NY 13669-1748
joel_finley2002@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Michelle Hoff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:40:05 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Michelle Hoff
2840 Dewalt St
Allentown, PA 18103-7704
hofmich22@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Scott White](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:40:05 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Scott White
1970 State Route 534
Southington, OH 44470-9514
splatterpig@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rachel Hess](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:40:06 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rachel Hess
PO Box 396
126 Main St.
Luckey, OH 43443-0396
rhess3@rockets.utoledo.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [karen intorcia](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:40:11 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

karen intorcia
PO Box 213
Cutchogue, NY 11935-0213
intorciake@aol.com

From: [Malcolm Gwinn](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Elimination of Pollution Control Standards
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:43:35 PM

The residents of Flint Michigan are the living testimony of leaving water quality in the hands of State Public Officials.

The current EPA has an operating agenda similar to the outfit that created the Flint Michigan water crisis.

Rivers have been the victims of abuse by a lack of regulation and a lack of enforcement of improper discharge of harmful chemicals.

People rely on the Ohio River for drinking water. People pay a lot of money to have safe drinking water.

Human lives rely on strict water quality standards and enforcement.

Public safety is an obligation not a left wing liberal agenda.

Thank you. Malcolm Gwinn Evansville, Indiana

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Clara Blank](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:47:15 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Clara Blank
P. Reforma 2325
México City, NY 11020
clarablank@yahoo.com.mx

From: [Patti Bell](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Comments
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:47:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO PCS Committee Members,

I am a citizen of Kentucky. I care deeply about the protection granted to and restoration required for our waterways and wetlands under the Clean Water Act of 1972.

Since 1948, ORSANCO has held the responsibility of ensuring the Clean Water Act is carried out for the Ohio River and its tributaries. As I understand it, ORSANCO is acting under its agreed-upon mission now, in seeking public comment on issues it intends to consider for the upcoming 2015 Triennial review of its Pollution Control Standards (PCS).

The Ohio River is a critical waterway, not only for myself, but for millions of other Americans that depend upon it for drinking water, recreation, fishing and commerce. Historically, and to the present day, it is also a recipient of significant amounts of waste from industry, whether it be the old steel industry, pig processing facilities, power plants, commercial fisheries, chemical processing facilities, or the fracking industry. It has become quite tiresome to see continued high levels of toxic pollution in the Ohio. It has also become quite disturbing in recent years to see ORSANCO seemingly backtracking on certain pollution standards.

Regarding the issues that ORSANCO is seeking comment on, I have the following comments:

Any changes to existing water quality criteria must be to increase human health and aquatic life protections. Changes should not be made that result in less stringent protections for the Ohio River. Positive revisions to the PCS would include a modification of the E.coli standard to meet EPA's adopted criteria; modification of the ammonia criteria to meet EPA's recently adopted criteria; and adoption of numeric nutrient criteria.

The Commission's consideration of the mercury standard, and likewise, the consideration of the ban on mixing zones for Bioaccumulative Chemicals of Concern (BCCs), are very hard to reconcile. In the past several years, the Commission has created a variance procedure for permittees allowing them to request to continue operations of mixing zones for BCCs, and has also failed to ensure that each state in the compact took the necessary steps to meet the October 2013 deadline for the ban on mixing zones for BCCs. I do not want my health, my children's health or the health of downstream communities to be put at risk for the benefit of multi-million (or billion) dollar companies. Please maintain the mercury criterion, and maintain the October 2015 date for a ban on use of mixing zones for BCCs.

The Commission should also ensure that any variation of criteria for Total Dissolved Solids is

able to protect aquatic life from the various constituents, like bromine and bicarbonate.

Hydraulic fracturing has become very widespread over the last five years in Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and small parts of Kentucky. This practice has a wastewater byproduct, in the billions of gallons, that contains significant quantities of salts, bromine/bromide, and radionuclides, all of which are frighteningly dangerous to aquatic life and human health. Fracking wastewater is being stored in locations immediately adjacent to the Ohio River, or is being treated at facilities with discharges into the Ohio River. The Coast Guard is currently considering a process to allow barging of this fracking wastewater on our waterways. The pollutants in fracking wastewater must be further restricted. Industry cannot be allowed to continue to willingly risk or willingly pollute the public's resources and public health. ORSANCO must take action, or bear the risk of being embarrassed for having done nothing to protect the health of citizens up and down the river from radioactive waste. For any facility or entity that wishes to store, process, or transport fracking wastewater by or on the River, ORSANCO should require a bond be posted to sufficiently compensate for the cleanup of any potential spills, for which taxpayers would otherwise be required to pay.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment early in the process.

Sincerely,

Patti Bell

From: [Linda Newman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO's (Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission) pollution control standards - proposed revisions
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 10:53:45 PM

I am writing to voice my opposition and dismay to the proposals on the table that would weaken standards, and especially to the proposal (proposal 2?) that would eliminate all standards.

ORSANCO has received numerous comments, and by far the majority of comments, in writing and at the one hearing in Northern Kentucky (which I attended), express sound, evidence-based arguments explaining why this proposal is nothing short of insanity.

It is clear to those of us that have Ohio River water coming out of our faucets, and anyone who studies the evidence, that the river remains polluted, and ORSANCO standards remain critical if anything will ever be done about it. It is also clear that removing these standards will throw state regulators into chaos, as where states have effective regulations, they are written to depend on ORSANCO's standards.

But rational arguments may not suffice here. The politics behind this proposal is very suspect and the ties of the commissioners to industry concerns must be questioned.

But beyond this is a basic question of legality. How can a commission chartered by law to set standards, vote out it's own standards? Could a School Board vote that it is no longer interested in having anything to do with schools? Could a taxation authority vote that it considers taxes unnecessary? I submit that you do not have the legal authority to decide via deregulation that your entire mission is no longer necessary - you are charged to carry out that mission, not to withdraw the mission itself. If you go forward with this extreme measure, it is my hope that local citizens and environmental groups will band together and take you to court to get an injunction against your actions. It is also my hope that the governors of every state served by ORSANCO will immediately replace any commissioner in favor of removing standards.

Sincerely,
Linda Newman
229 Ridge Hill Dr.
Highland Heights KY 41076

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Joseph Sanders](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:10:08 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Joseph Sanders
1185 Winton Rd S
Rochester, NY 14618-2240
jsanders@joesanders.com

From: [Lanita Koster](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:12:20 PM

Please do not eliminate the pollution control standards. We must protect the Ohio River. It is one of our greatest natural resources. It must be kept safe and clean for people and the local wildlife. I just relocated here after many years away. Seeing the River again was a thrill.

Lanita Koster

Sent from my iPad

From: [George Hardebeck](mailto:George.Hardebeck@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please Maintain and Enhance Health Standards for our Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:15:08 PM

Dear Friends at ORSANCO,

We so appreciate your monitoring and care of the Ohio River over these years, and so are alarmed to hear Big Organized Money - FDR's term for this group he deemed as worse than the mob - is twisting your arm to lesson standards for our Ohio, while we all need to be raising our standards. Thanks to the Isleta Pueblo and partners, several years back now, Albuquerque was required to recognize a standard for the Rio Grande leaving their city as drinkable waters. This is more the direction we all need to head, given the health of our waters pre-European settlement to now.

While many are beginning to recognize more place and community-based cooperative industries as the healthier model - ones like Mondragon of Spain's Basque region, who hold a cap of 6.5 times the lowest income in their cooperative corporation of industries - as we naturally seek to move into mutual economy, and so mutual society and ecology, we still see a greatly driven thrust of money, power and privilege addict led, pyramidally structured imperially economic institutions belligerently running all to ruin - our whole planet, in this greatest of all addictions, and most abusive toward all, greed. FDR in his day also began setting a cap on capitalism, for the sake of mutual well being.

Please instead move in the direction of the Community Rights / Rights of Nature movement, as others explore in Ohio, in a network with many townships to nations in Ohio, the USA, and globally, where constitutions and amendments are written locally, etc., to reposition Peoples, Community and Nature over Corporations, Property and Commerce, turning around our movement from monarchies, in our revolutions seeking mutuality, straight into a harmful spiral of neo-imperial plutocratic oligarchies, globally. Now that we have clear neurological visuals on how Power Causes Brain Damage, as the Atlantic article of this title shares, seeing how those with this addiction easily lose the part of the brain that allows empathy... we have no reason to allow those who hoard our symbolic means of exchange for status and control to continue to abuse all peoples and swiftly degrade all Life systems.

If we can recall how for our vast generations we shared Life carefully, caring for all, knowing that in crashing our regions, we crash ourselves, crashing our communities we crash ourselves, we may be able to see how this is the basis of any economy. The Indigenous still teach, trying to call us homeward from being lost and assimilated in false imperial economics, how in gratitude for Life's gifts we need to reciprocally restore in our giving back. We can see from Storm Cunningham's studies how Restoration Economy is moving ahead, the title of his book regarding, while those swindling communities of their true and natural wealth continue to push the spin that they are needed as 'they trickle down to us'. We pour the gold down their greedy ravenous gullets. Studies by Ruut Veenhoven and associates show how this grossly more than needed income does nothing for their true happiness, either, as we broadly see with addictions upping the ante of false needs.

In a time of mass extinction, when the end long near by the prophets, is now here and closing per science, for false profiteering, we cannot, and none can afford further backsliding into destruction and devastation by this great addiction that squanders Life's inheritance for all and

all generations ahead, by tricks of the imperial trade. We The People must turn all this around to our greatest ability, and depend on and demand the active wisdom also of our public servants, even if our commercial servants have lost their decency or reason... in this disease, and head us into tens of millions of years, likely, of restoring ourselves from a greatly contaminated bleakness if not worse, becoming a Mars or Venus... if we are more fortunate, for all generations to judge ours, as we need to judge our collective behavior in sick business as usual, and our choices for how we may move into solution, recalling to each other our much more deeply rooted, and compassionately related common sense for how mutual health and well being is our true wealth, and rich relatedness, long held by our most indigenous, still, holds the remaining richest places of our Earth.

As one who is born of and has long lived as of this watershed in Southwestern Ohio, I plead with you all, to please choose to stand now on the right and healthy side of history, seeking to align again with Life, meekly, culturally to biologically, inter-dependably on her terms.

Sincerely,
George Hardebeck

307 East Country Club Road
Urbana, IL 61801
geo8arche@gmail.com

330-354-1103

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Samantha Russo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:17:19 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Samantha Russo
2 Greenwood Ln
Woodbury, NY 11797-2630
russo.samantha7@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sam Hathorn](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:17:19 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sam Hathorn
626 John Tyler Cir Apt 304
Harrisonburg, VA 22801-2588
sam.hathorn@gmail.com

From: [Dario Sulzman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Strengthen and Maintain Your Regulatory Standards - Don't Weaken Them
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:33:43 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I'm writing because it has come to my attention that you are considering weakening your environmental regulatory standards for the Ohio River, and I wanted to express my fullest objections to this possibility.

Weakening regulatory standards increases the burden on individual states and municipalities to treat their watersheds. This makes no sense. Water is not a resource that conforms neatly to state or municipal lines. The Ohio River is a regional concern precisely because if one corporation or pollutant enters into the waterways it has the ability to negatively effect all who use them, not just those in the immediate vicinity of the specific polluter and/or pollutant.

That is why ORSANCO must *strengthen* it's regulatory standards, not weaken them. At a time when corporations have more power than ever, and exploit our most basic resources with increasing disregard, it is essential that ORSANCO demonstrate that it is on the side of *the people*, not big corporations.

Because why else would you even consider weakening your regulatory standards, given what we've seen occur in Flint, and Cleveland, and so many other Rust Belt cities? The answer is obvious: because weakening regulations would be more profitable for corporations who don't want to think about where they dump their toxins and chemicals and waste.

No regular citizen living along the Ohio River and its watersheds supports this kind of regulatory relaxation. Not the dozens of citizens who spoke at the last public meeting you held in Kentucky, or the hundreds of letters, like mine, that you've received which speak to this issue, and not the numerous friends and colleagues I've spoken to who express surprise and alarm when I explain them about what your regulatory body is considering doing.

However much you try to obfuscate and claim that public support is divided on this issue, *we know better*. I want to be very clear about this: you're not fooling anyone that ORSANCO relaxing its regulatory standards is for the public good. The public good is not synonymous with corporate profits, and ORSANCO's willingness to consider what is nothing less than the plain abdication of its duty shows us that, in fact, corporate profits and the public interest are quite often *opposed*. I am emailing to demand that you act to preserve and strengthen the existing regulatory system in recognition that corporations have too much power in this country, and that regulatory bodies like ORSANCO are one of the only ways we have of checking that power and making it accountable to the public good. Thank you.

Sincerely
Dario Sulzman

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karen Karr](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:40:14 PM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karen Karr
18 Pine Ln
Willow Street, PA 17584-9345
klk11@comcast.net

From: [Dave O'Connell](mailto:Dave.O'Connell@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment Re: ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards - David OConnell
Date: Sunday, August 19, 2018 11:40:55 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to express my deepest concern about the ORSANCO pollution control standards being rolled back in favor of relying solely on state-level pollution control standards. I have lived in Cincinnati near the Ohio River for 33 years, and am currently raising three young children in Cincinnati, and having access to clean, safe drinking water should be one of the most critical priorities of our local, state and national governing bodies not just for my family, but for all who live in the Ohio River valley and connected regions.

It is painfully obvious to say, but water access is so basic a need for ensuring public health across all groups of people in our country that it must be safeguarded in every way possible, and rolling back regulations and cancelling standards reviews serves absolutely no demonstrable benefit to the people who live near the Ohio River. The current proposal to roll back regulations and stop reviewing standards on a regular basis has the potential to create another disastrous water quality situation as we have so recently seen occur in Toledo, Ohio and Flint, Michigan due to lax standards and irresponsible industrial corporations. It also creates dramatic inefficiencies by breaking down a single party responsible for monitoring and setting standards into a multiple parties with lower current standards and no coordination. The responsibility to safeguard our previous water will then be something that each individual state must bear on its own without a body to coordinate between them. And with different states being located at different points along the river there are obvious reasons for having a single body and single comprehensive set of standards that are developed via input from all affected states.

These catastrophic potential risks can be avoided by maintaining, if not improving, current water quality standards so that our neighbors in the region, and generations to come who share our natural water resources, can benefit from our efforts today to safeguard such a critical resource. Please consider the benefit these standards have for real people living in the region, and do not give in to corporations and industrial polluters who simply want to increase their profits by spending less money controlling the pollutants that they pump into our shared water resource, all at the expense of the health of people in our region.

Sincerely,
David O'Connell

6159 Tulane Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45212
513-885-1579

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lisa West](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:10:16 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lisa West
7810 Four Leaf Dr
Greenville, IN 47124-9531
blerwest@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matthew Alschuler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:17:27 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matthew Alschuler
PO Box 325
Warren, IL 61087-0325
matthew@cottonexpressions.com

From: [Robin Elise Weiss](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:39:54 AM

It is incredibly important that we use the most stringent water regulations available. This water is our drinking and bathing water. My family cares what's in it. Hold companies accountable and stop waste from reaching my water.

Thanks,
Robin Weiss
40222

--

--

Robin Elise Weiss, Ph.D., MPH, CPH, LCCE
robin.e.weiss@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Myra Price](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:40:16 AM

Aug 19, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Myra Price
1301 S Scott St Apt 802
Arlington, VA 22204-6219
ma03price@hotmail.com

From: corinne.swanson1@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Corinne Swanson](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:55:18 AM

Dear ,

I am writing to let you know that many constituents, along with myself, strongly opposed your 2018 revision of the Pollution Control Standards. As a proud Ohioan, I'm appalled that the Ohio River is the most polluted river in the nation, and devastated that ORSANCO is considering removing regulation that has been improving the water upon whose quality we depend.

The parameters listed for deletion are not redundant to state EPA standards. There are 188 standards set by ORSANCO that the EPA does not regulate, and 252 that are stricter than the ones set by the EPA. Metals such as Hg will accumulate and concentrate up the food chain and are toxic to human health; parameters such as COD, BOD, PO4 and NH3 will lower water oxygen levels and/or result in toxic algae blooms.

ORSANCO's role in maintaining the Ohio River is more important than just data collection and distribution. Year in and year out, you have partnered with both state and national EPAs and, together, have helped us make strides in improving the Ohio River. Your role in regulating interstate industrial effluent is paramount to that goal.

I appreciate the quality of life that industry has given us. However, it must not be at the expense of the water we drink, fish, and play in. Please know that ORSANCO is an important part of the checks and balances of the Ohio River. Please continue to be responsible and conscientious by maintaining the current watershed standards.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Corinne Swanson
6775 Fallen Timbers Drive
Dublin OH, 43017-2853

From: [Stephy](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: VOTE TO IMPLEMENT HIGH STANDARDS FOR OUR DRINKING WATER
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:15:20 AM

We NEED the more rigorous standards for our drinking water. Please vote for them for the safety of our future generations.

From: [Chris Gutjahr](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO Needs to Continue Monitoring the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:19:01 AM

I believe that ORSANCO should continue to monitor the environmental safety of the Ohio River the way it has for the past 60 years. Ohio River water is the drinking water for the communities and cities in eight states along the river. The water standards need to be the same for each state because each state's pollutants affect all other states downstream. The federal government has forced reduction of EPA standards in favor of industry and money, increasing the possibility of environmental health issues like those in Flint MI. Reduction of standards to support big business is criminal especially when it negatively affects human lives. The current administration seems to have few checks and balances. ORSANCO has provided necessary checks and balances for 60 years and was doing this before the EPA even existed. With a weakened EPA, ORSANCO needs to uphold the high standards the EPA will not. If ORSANCO doesn't, what organization will protect the people from environmental harm. I have relatives in PA, WV, and OH who will all be affected by decreased standards. I live in Cincinnati, Oh.

Please consider maintaining high standards for the river you are supposed to be protecting for the good of all living things.

Thank you, Chris Gutjahr

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leigh Steele](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:45:42 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leigh Steele
3409 N County Road 475 E
Centerpoint, IN 47840-8100
fliegh@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Cathy Jackson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:45:50 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Cathy Jackson
701 E Main St
Madison, IN 47250-3650
catqltr@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elena Vineis](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:45:54 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elena Vineis
594 N Chodikee Lake Rd
Highland, NY 12528-2722
brucebrucefan@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mary Tarallo](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:45:54 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mary Tarallo
10331 N 1000 W
Demotte, IN 46310-9492
esfarms@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Victor Escobar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:50:54 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Victor Escobar
11747 N Briar Patch Dr
Midlothian, VA 23113-2366
sydbarrett74@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Constantina Hanse](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:16:07 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Constantina Hanse
101 Lloyd Ave Apt 1
Pittsburgh, PA 15218-1659
dinaki13@gmail.com

From: [Luan Greubel](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Attn: PCS Comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:26:07 AM

I am **AGAINST** ORSANCO dropping its pollution control standards.

I do NOT trust that advising committees that include representatives from utilities, chemical companies and other industries, that could prosper from lower standards, would look out for my best interests, and not their own.

I also do NOT trust that the EPA (under the present administration) will look out for us. All I see are weakening of standards that help big business, while lowering protection for those of us who drink the water. I think we need more oversight, not less.

Luan Greubel
2215 E. Blackford Ave.
Evansville, IN 47714

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Barrett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:46:09 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Barrett
250 Manor Dr
Buffalo Grove, IL 60089-2416
mjb146@aol.com

From: [Ellen Bierhorst](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep OHIO RIVER clean
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:07:23 AM

I strongly urge you to retain clean water standards for the Ohio River. We must resist pressures to degrade our natural environment for the sake of development and profit.

by Voice Dictation
Ellen Bierhorst, PhD
(513) 221-1289

3901 Clifton Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45220

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Melinda Morneweck](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:16:14 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Melinda Morneweck
11209 Saeger Ln
Meadville, PA 16335-3869
matscherz@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Marinilli](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:21:03 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Marinilli
2970 Emo Rd
Wayland, NY 14572-9419
jmarinilli@frontiernet.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Mariana Heymans](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:46:16 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Mariana Heymans
1 Saxenburg Crescent STRAND
Cape Town, NY 12345
wildlife005@gmail.com

From: [Debra harlan](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Absolutely Not!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:09:31 AM

We cannot go back to the 1970's and equate water standards as frivolous or unneeded. This country and this planet is in a crisis for its own salvation. I was born into a planet not yet tainted by climate change and human disregard for its beauty and sanctity.

The last thing we need in this era of mass Mind control is no oversight for the Most basic of earth's gifts-water.

Debra Richards Harlan
Louisville KY 40205

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jokes With Jo](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Polluted waterways.
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:21:52 AM

Please do not remove the regulations on dumping into the Ohio River. Or waterways are vital and must be protected. Please continue strict guidelines on dumping. Thank you so much!!
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Henry Joseph Parker](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: The Ohio River : Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:26:24 AM

To whom this may concern,

The Ohio River is our source of water. It is public knowledge that toxic waste, raw sewage, and other trash are in our water. That is why we have some of the cleanest drinking water in the country. We need MORE and EXCESSIVE regulation as to what can be dumped in the river. Whoever believes that less regulation would help may not have an understanding of what clean water means to us. As a Louisville native and resident, I strongly urge The Commission to uphold the most regulation of the Ohio River pollution standards. As a 24 year old, this River is my future water source. It is important that the leaders in power now acknowledge this. It is not just a dump for anybody. These regulations and standards should be upheld and maybe even INCREASED; but not decreased or removed. This is a dangerous thought and no dollar amount is worth polluting the already most heavily polluted river in the US even more. I will make myself available to further comment or speak before the Commission with other youth leaders to come to an agreement. We believe this is for our better future and the future of Kentucky youth, and the youth of the Ohio River Valley.

Respectfully,

Joseph Parker Henry

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Nancy Loftin](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:46:25 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Loftin
357 Boston Pl
Toledo, OH 43610-1435
tschannlee@msn.com

From: [heather.wallace](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:56:54 AM

Please do NOT disband or otherwise reduce the powers of ORSANCO. The EPA is in unsafe hands as they continue to strip protections across the nation. The Ohio is just starting to recover -- allowing further dumping would be a disastrous move for generations to come, not only impacting the flora and fauna and delicate ecosystem, but would effectively kill tourism in cities who rely upon the river as an attraction. Waterfront Wednesdays, Thunder Over Louisville, the Madison Regatta are but a couple that come to mind.

Our rivers are the lifeblood of the nation -- if anything they need MORE oversight, not less. Please continue to protect them against the recklessness of the current admission.

Thank you,
Heather L Harmon

From: [Emily Obringer \(emily.obringer@sierraclub.org\)](mailto:emily.obringer@sierraclub.org) Sent You a Personal Message
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:03:03 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Emily Obringer
4120 W. 157th St. UP
Cleveland, OH 44135
emily.obringer@sierraclub.org
(440) 935-5134

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:03:03 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:36 AM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mr. Ludwig Petkovsek
Email
bru3zzmary1207@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Please DO NOT reduce or eliminate current pollution controls for the Ohio River.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:03:29 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2018 8:29 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Allison Maher
Company / Organization (Optional)
1943
Email
allema43@yahoo.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
I am writing to ask ORSANCO to not abandon their mission to be the "voice of the Ohio River and those who depend upon it." This mission not only affects us today but will impact our legacy for future generations. It is imperative that ORSANCO retains its authority to protect the Ohio River and those it impacts. ORSANCO's oversight has been and hopefully will continue to be a vital part of the protection and health of our waters and lands.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email"

section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:03:53 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2018 6:04 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mrs. Nanette Tafel
Email
nantafel@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
<p>Subject: Ohio River monitoring</p> <p>I implore you not to abandon the multi-state oversight of the Ohio River. It is imperative, today more than ever, that this major waterway is monitored and kept as pollution free as possible. Look around - when our vast world waters are becoming ever more polluted (see plastic and chemical pollution in the world oceans and the recent horrific red tide in the Gulf of Mexico), we must do our part on a local, state and interstate level to see that these waters are kept as pure as possible.</p> <p>I have used the Ohio River for recreational activities for the past 49 years. I have used its magnificent scenery as my daily drive home for the past 35+ years. I have been</p>

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email"

section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:04:17 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2018 5:17 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Gloria Kemper-O'Neil
Email
myrecycledstuff@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
Please do not abandon the Ohio River. Please keep protecting and setting limits on pollutants that every state along our river must follow. This is vital to all of us. Thank You, Gloria Kemper-O'Neil 2120. Lancashire Ave. Louisville, Kentucky, 40205

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email"

section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:04:57 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:56 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mr. Richard Curtis
Email
lrcurtis@bellsouth.net
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
I'm interested to know why EPA is wanting to pollute the rivers more by lowering the standards that are in place now. Why can't we have clean water, clean river, clean air since we all live in this world together. I hope EPA will consider the future of our community

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:05:27 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:54 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Donna Schwartz
Email
donna.schwartz@hockey-puck.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
My husband and I receive our drinking water from the Ohio River. We want all cancer causing chemicals removed from it, which means testing it properly. ORSANCO was created because it was not practical for each state to do this individually. You are fully aware that your recommendation is based on the false assumption that the EPA does this same testing - it does not. Your testing mission is as important as it was when created in 1948! If you have children don't make them ashamed of you. Be on the right side of history. If you don't want to do this job you should resign and let others that are committed to the ORSANCO mission do the job. Please reconsider & support alternative #3 or #4.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:05:56 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:46 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mr. Robert & Judith Wessel
Email
rawessel@twc.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
With the Trump Administration attacking any government policy that protects Americans and "giving it all" to corporations the USEPA could negate the federal Clean Water Act any day, i.e. Pruitt"s actions. DO NOT ELIMINATE ANY POLLUTION STANDARDS in Orsanco! ! !

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Neil Bhaerman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment on ORSANCO pollution control standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:11:36 AM

To whom it may concern,

As a Pittsburgh resident, I'm writing to express my opinion that ORSANCO should not remove regional pollution control standards, because state-level pollution control standards are not adequate.

The Ohio River is a national and regional treasure -- for clean drinking water and for recreation. But the river is only as healthy, clean, and protected as its tributaries and headwaters from multiple states are. ORSANCO guidelines, along with federal and state regulations, have played an important role in improving water quality in the Ohio river watershed. We should not move backward by removing those pollution control standards.

Right now, federal water protections are under constant attack from polluting industries and from ideological politicians who are opposed to any regulations that put the public interest, and public health, above their profits. These attacks are also happening at the state level. In Pennsylvania, we have more than 200 full-time lobbyists for the oil and gas industry in Harrisburg lobbying our state government. We need ORSANCO's independent, science and health-based standards to protect our water from rapid deregulation at the state and federal levels.

Removing ORSANCO pollution control standards does not serve the public interest. The only beneficiaries would be the chemical industry and the fossil fuel industries. While they can see increased profits from this change, the rest of us would see nothing but dirtier water. And the communities most likely to suffer are low-income communities and communities of color. At a time when we should be advancing environmental justice, removing ORSANCO pollution control standards could instead exacerbate environmental inequality and put our most marginalized communities at risk.

ORSANCO should be setting uniform standards with the collective input of all basin states. Several states rely on ORSANCO's standards and adopt them as their own. If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards, those states will have to expend the financial resources to develop the requisite standards, and differing pollution control standards between Ohio River states could lead to interstate conflict and litigation.

Sincerely,
Neil Bhaerman
251 Service Way
Pittsburgh, PA 15201

From: [Alex Tabor](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:15:59 AM

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this email to express discontent with the proposed updated pollution control standards regarding the Ohio River. The Ohio River watershed extends across large swaths of the eastern, midwestern, and southern portions of the United States, and the proposed pollution control standards significantly reduce protections that ensure clean water safe for use by humans and for the existence aquatic life and dependent ecosystems. I sincerely hope ORSANCO will consider adding to protections for the Ohio River and set precedent in resisting current federal proclivities toward deregulation.

Alex Tabor, MA
233 Gilman Ave, #201
Cincinnati, OH 45219

From: [Carol Madding](mailto:Carol.Madding@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river, my drinking water source
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:17:17 AM

I am very concerned about the news that a large chemical plant is being built upriver from my drinking water source. Please DO NOT lower the water standards for the Ohio River or its tributaries.

Thank you,

Caroling Madding
3745 Ault Park Ave
Cincinnati! OH 45208

Sent from my iPad

From: [Deborah Henderson](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Additional oversight IS necessary
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:20:10 AM

Having lived in Louisville, dependent upon the Ohio and now in Indiana, again on the banks of the Ohio, I want my voice heard and considered during the vote.

Do NOT remove pollution control standards! This is certainly not the time to dismantle ORSANCO !

Deborah Henderson
Jeffersonville, IN

From: [Nathan Fleming](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Proposed changes
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:27:54 AM

Please do not cut all of that out. I seen everything in red, and am very worried in what the water quality would be, especially since my kids are very young. I'm also worried for the others that aren't educated on this matter, so I know I speak on their behalf. I hope we can keep the water as clean as possible

From: [David Hodge](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Increased Regulation
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:38:15 AM

Please increase your regulations to make it more difficult for various organizations to dump waste into the Ohio River. Certainly do not strip your own powers to regulate such activities. Thank you.

From: [Denise Furnish](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river water standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:45:22 AM

Please keep the standards high for our water. We need more oversight not less.
Sincerely
Denise Furnish
Louisville Ky 40205

Sent from my iPad

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Esposito](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:46:21 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Esposito
973 Murray Rd
Middle Grove, NY 12850-1141
kathyesposito@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Lemke](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:46:21 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Lemke
PO Box 125
Grahamsville, NY 12740-0125
sumiluvmy2lea@aol.com

From: [sarahhance](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO public opinion
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:02:38 AM

To whom it may concern,

As a member of the public in Louisville, my opinion concerning the ORSANCO decision can best be described in a quote by Louisville Metro Councilman Bill Hollander "I think this is the time when we should be increasing scrutiny of what's dumped in the Ohio River and certainly not decreasing, and I'm afraid that's what elimination of these standards would do."

Please keep the regulations and standards!

Thank you,
Sarah

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy Note5, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

From: [William Freeman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:05:00 AM

I live in Louisville, Kentucky and so that means the Ohio River is the chief source of my drinking water. We cannot rely on state standards to protect our drinking water. It would only take one bad actor to ruin our water for generations to come. We must have oversight. We need more protection, not less. When I think about what is happening to this country, like environmental protections being stripped, it makes me very sad. Do not be a part of the problem.

From: celia.regimbal@utoledo.edu on behalf of [Celia Regimbal](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:07:33 AM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife and remains a viable water resource forever.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Celia Regimbal
2108 Brookdale Rd.
Toledo OH, 43606-3322

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Russell Huffman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:16:25 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Russell Huffman
634 Mapledale Rd
White Sulphur Springs, WV 24986-9659
russhuff@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gary Cubler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:16:25 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gary Cubler
335 Franklin St
Oxford, PA 19363-1713
j10m13c3n14p16s19@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kimberly Seger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:16:25 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Seger
11373 US Route 422
Kittanning, PA 16201-4337
kimmilands@hotmail.com

From: [Dan Borsch](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Proposed changes to pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:17:35 AM

I endorse the comments and positions of Mr. Fitzgerald that are included below. Additionally, as a boater on the Ohio with two small children, I find it appalling that these actions are being considered. History will not judge kindly those who vote for this proposal which will, without a doubt, increase pollution levels in the Ohio.

Sincerely,

Daniel Borsch, BS Environmental Policy, University of Michigan School of Natural Resources and Environment.
JD, University of Indiana College of Law.

First of all, I would like to say that how much I appreciate the discussion that we have had as part of the Pollution Control Standards Committee on this issue. I appreciate all of the comments we have received both from the public and from the various advisory committees to the Commission. I would ask, and I did mention, that I would be opposing the motion, and I wanted to just very briefly reiterate my concerns. First, as one of the two Federal Commissioners, it has been an honor to represent one of the partners to a historic Compact among the States in the Ohio River Basin, which pre-dated by some sixteen years the adoption of the 1972 Water Pollution Control Act, and which I believe continues to have a vital role in setting standards for the control of discharges of pollutants into the Ohio River Basin.

I take my direction both from the Compact, which the Federal Government is a part of, and which has been endorsed by Congress, and I take it also from the goal of the Clean Water Act and its amendments, which was an aspirational goal of attempting to end water pollution by 1985. We have, and the States have, made great strides; however, as is reflected even using the weight of the evidence approach in the 305b report, we have a way to go yet in order to restore and maintain the health of this great River.

My concerns with going forward with alternative 2 for the second round of public comment are several. First, I believe that the proposal, which jettisons all of the numerical standards and a number of the other provisions in the current Pollution Control Standards, is inconsistent with the Compact and with our obligations as signatories to the Compact. Second, I believe that the evidence that has been developed regarding the relationship of the standards to individual state standards and of the necessity, or lack of necessity, for continuing the standards, is largely anecdotal. The only analysis that we have was prepared by staff, comparing the minimum water quality criteria of ORSANCO's 122 minimum criteria, and as you will recall, it reflects that there is not redundancy, which is the underlying premise that animated the development and the advancing of alternative 2. There are instances in which there are standards that ORSANCO has adopted that the States have not adopted, and there are instances where ORSANCO's standards are more rigorous than those that have been adopted by the individual states.

The PCS are not redundant. Understanding the very astute observation by one of my fellow Commissioners, that the presence or absence of the same standard does not necessarily correlate to better or worse protection of the aquatic resource and the functions and values of that resource, it was incumbent on us to take the next step and to measure the relative effectiveness of each state's implementation of the PCS.

We did not, as a Committee, and we have not, as a Commission, done the legwork that is necessary to determine whether, in the absence of those comparable standards, a given state's program is comparable in terms of the level of protection achieved. And until we get to that point of saying that despite the differences in the values of the standards or the number of the stringency of the standard, that the state is doing the equivalent of what would be required under the standards, we cannot, I think, in good conscience say that the standards no longer have currency and no longer give good value.

Another concern, (and I know that this has, at least on our conversations in committee, evoked some negative response), is that it is a fairly accurate to say that the current management at the Environmental Protection Agency, at the national level, has signaled that there will be delays and possibly repeals of the number of provisions that

directly affect discharges into this great River. Given the uncertainty that is occurring with respect to the national rules, I don't know that this is the time for us to signal a retreat from the historic function of the Commission of setting standards and encouraging the states to work cooperatively to assure that those standards are implemented.

I did note, and I appreciate the dry and important work of the Ad Hoc Committee on policies and procedures, that the alternative that I had offered as part of this process, which is to look at harmonizing the state implementation of standards to protect the various uses, in fact, was an existing policy dating back to 2002, which we just repealed a couple of minutes ago and are referring back to the Pollution Control Standards Committee. That is where I hope there is fertile ground for looking at and eliminating redundancy and looking at and harmonizing the way that standards are applied in permit processes in order to assure consistency, in order to prevent pollution, which of course is the premise behind the 1972 Clean Water Act, and to assure that we are continuing to make progress on restoring and maintaining the functions and values of this great River. So, with that, and because this has been such a divisive issue, not only in terms of the Commission, but in terms of the various committees, because it has justifiably called into question in some in the eyes of some, our commitment to maintaining and advancing the Compact, because there is no unanimity among the various states that are involved in the Compact, and because of great concern that moving forward at this point will disrupt efforts to improve water quality; for all of these reasons, I would ask that we vote against sending alternative 2 out for the second round of the triennial review, and that instead, we reconsider more nuanced efforts to maintain the currency of the Pollution Control Standards and to address those rare instances in which there is conflict among the states in implementation of the PCS.

Sent from my iPhone

From: ktannenbaum@willard.lib.in.us
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: clean water
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:25:12 AM

Dear all:

Please keep the Ohio River clean. It is horrible what is happening. I miss Mary Armacost as our Water Supervisor. What are you people doing?

Karen J. Tannenbaum
Evansville, IN

From: [Rachel F](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:26:23 AM

I can't believe this is even something that is up for debate. Do we seriously have to explain why it's a bad idea for companies to determine what they can dump into the river? Do we need to explain the benefits of clean water? Anybody ever heard of Flint Michigan? This has to be a joke.

Rachel Fautz
Louisville, KY 40217

From: [Nicole Clements](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO's PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:26:45 AM

I would like to express my opposition to the proposed changes to ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards (PCS).

The proposed changes (Alternative 2) would essentially abdicate ORSANCO's authority to develop and enforce Pollution Control Standards. It works against ORSANCO's mission to protect the Ohio River and the drinking water source for 5 million people.

As the sole entity overseeing the Ohio River Basin, ORSANCO provides consistency and uniformity between states. Doing away with the pollution control standards will open the door for discrepancies between states, passes regulatory costs back to the states, and expose the Ohio's water quality standards criteria to the political whims of each state. ORSANCO's own analysis shows there may be up to 188 instances where ORSANCO has criteria and the state or EPA does not, negating the argument that ORSANCO's PCSs are redundant.

Additionally, ORSANCO's research initiatives look to position ORSANCO as an advocate for achieving improvements across ORSANCO's multi-state jurisdictions. Pollution Control Standards are ORSANCO's main mechanism for instituting consistent changes across all compact states. This is especially important as we face the uncertain changes to the river as a result of climate change.

Please do not proceed with Alternative 2 in your consideration of possible revisions to ORSANCO's PCS programs. Thank you for your consideration.
Nicole Clements

From: [Mark Needham](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep ORSANCO standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:31:46 AM

At a time of increased pressure and uncertainty on our environment due to the current federal administration plus climate change, we need over-sight of the Ohio at the state level more than ever. The states most affected and with the most geographic control over river pollution must continue their vigilance over this essential and irreplaceable resource. Your fellow citizens are depending on you.

Nancy and Mark Needham
Louisville, Kentucky

From: [Fleming, Kristen \(gastonkm\)](mailto:Fleming_Kristen@gastonkm)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment, RE: ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:34:35 AM

"We know that we have different political areas- that the nation has its particular sphere of activities and the state its particular sphere. But, our problem could not be solved solely by state or by national actions because the geographical features involved have furnished us units which do not correspond with either state or national units. We call these units 'regions.' One thing before us, challenging our intelligence as a nation, is how to arrange, within the constitutional frame-work of that nation and of the state, for regional activities. " (Alfred Bettman, 1935)

To whom it may concern,

Growing up in the Ohio Valley and living here as an adult, I have grown to love our river. As someone who studies history, though, I have also come to realize we are in a unique moment of Ohio River history. After a significant movement away from the river during the past century, the region is finally going back to enjoy the waterway- building river front parks and continuing to enjoy fishing, boating, and at times swimming in the water way. The Cincinnati area has become well known for its Smale Riverfront Park, the Banks, Paddlefest, and BB Riverboats- as well as so many other businesses, gathering areas and events in which the Ohio River is front and center. We enjoy the beauty of a waterway that draws us together, as a region and individual communities. In addition, the Ohio finally abandoned its title as the "most industrially polluted river" in the United States just three years ago. The "at risk river" narrative has very much left the minds of residents, and it may appear as though the region finally has it together in protecting the Ohio River Basin. However, history has shown this is not a guarantee. It was a long road until the region, as a whole, gained teeth to have a say what can be done to and enter the Ohio that knows no political boundaries.

As you will recall, ironically just a week before the annual 2018 Ohio River cleanup across the Valley, the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) had a preliminary vote of 14 to 6 to stop creating its own pollution standards for the river. In the previous months, industry claimed the the commission should go back to it's "original" mission and focus on education and monitoring. However, these decisions and claims were incredibly frustrating for me as a historian as this quite a deviation from historical accounts of how and why the commission was created; education and monitoring came as the commission realized these were important components of growing widespread support for its regulations.

Many articles love to point out that the commission has been around since 1948, but it was well in the works beginning in the early 20th century. Since the 1900s, Ohio Valley residents have recognized the importance of having a regional commission. Key in the ORSANCO's compact signed on June 30, 1948, after decades of negotiations, is the regional approach to maintain a healthy, well-used, multipurpose Ohio River. This regional response was essential. Inherently, the river's flow from Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania to Cairo, Illinois places downstream communities at a greater risk for potential issues. In the early twentieth century, the lower basin states struggled to create legislation that addressed the heavily polluted waterway. States could legislate what happened within their own boundaries and tributaries, but they didn't necessarily have say over the Ohio River. Cincinnati and the state of Ohio, for instance, had very limited control over what industries in Pittsburgh and Eastern Kentucky dumped into the waterway. Phenols, a by-product of coal mining up stream that can cause severe skin damage to permanent organ damage, were an early target of the commission. Beyond pollution issues, other industries, such as the musseling and pearl button industries, struggled to maintain ensure longevity, as states' legislation varied greatly and, as the river was an open resource, it was in the interest of states' competitive economies to limit regulation. Responsible states that sought to protect the mussel population were at a competitive and economic disadvantage. Yet, the Ohio River, between pollution, re-engineering of the river, and unregulated harvesting of mussels, lost most of its population in the twentieth century. Our region knew it could do better and has done better in protecting our river resources. It is not enough to depend on states and the federal government to look out for our regional interests.

The lesson of ecology is that regional responses to the problems faced by rivers, and those that depend on the river, are necessary. Ohio Valley residents should not willingly give up their authority to regulate their own river and default to the state and federal Environmental Protection Agencies. In the past, the EPA's regulations were not enough, and we have come to enjoy a river that we can very much attribute to the work of the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission. ORSANCO's greatest strength is its ability to have a diverse board and workforce that puts industry, scientists, environmental groups and the general public in the same room; it's structure is unique and very different from that of the EPA or environmental movement interest groups. This is a commission I want to remain powerful and relevant in the present and in the future; defaulting to only a role of "monitoring" would weaken the commission and it's ability to truly work in the best interests of the region.

The benefits of a strongly regulated river even go well beyond a healthy riparian environment and improving water quality; businesses and cities have come to love and build around the river that has been beautified, a goal over a century in the making. Are we truly ready to give up the Ohio River we have come to benefit economically from, know, enjoy and love? I suppose the public will find out soon, but I implore ORSANCO to think beyond "development" and industrial benefits of potentially putting our river at risk and losing our ability to say, as a region, where we would like to see our protection efforts.

To add my voice on the history of ORSANCO and its role in the history of the Ohio Valley region, I am pasting a chapter in progress to this email that I worked on while obtaining my Master's degree in Environmental History (I am happy to forward a better copy with the footnotes in mind.) It will provide a historian's point of view on ORSANCO's very important status and, although this portion of the story ends in 1950, how it grew to become what it is today. Although almost 70 years divides this period from the present, the basic truth is the following: The environment needs conscious and constant protecting. Ohio Valley residents want healthy, beautiful communities, a safe environment, and a reliably healthy source of water. Both in the past and the present, we want a say in the choices our neighbors can and cannot make and not have to solely default to the federal government to decide and enforce what is right. In addition, we seek a strong commission that can look out for our regional interests juxtaposed with neighboring regions. ORSANCO has filled this void and represents residents and business alike in a powerful way, albeit not recognized appropriately for its value by all. It appears there will always be tension created by regulation, but that is not a good reason to abandon this portion of the commission's mission. ORSANCO has accomplished great things in the past and should continue holding the power it needs to continue protecting the interests of our region.

Best,

Kristen Fleming
Doctoral Candidate, University of Cincinnati
705 W North Bend Road, Cincinnati Ohio 45224

Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission: Cincinnati's Answer to Regional Pollution of the Ohio River

Kristen Fleming

From its founding through the nineteenth century, Cincinnati forged an intimate, complex, and weathered relationship with the Ohio River. Although the city grew from this river that connected its residents to the regional and national markets and aided in its role as a commercial center, its days as the commercial center of the Midwest had come and gone.^[2] No longer a direct source of wealth, the "Beautiful Ohio" continued to provide water for Cincinnati's municipal and industrial consumption but assumed a new role as a recreational and aesthetic asset as well. The relationship between Cincinnati and the Ohio River grew increasingly complex during the early to mid-twentieth century, as not every river community shared the same view and experience with one another.


At the turn of the twentieth century, beloved sources of entertainment along Cincinnati's portion of the Ohio River included recreational boating, fishing, and swimming.^[3] Directly across the river from the city, bathing beaches in Kentucky, such as Queen City Beach in Bellevue and the Princess, Manhattan, Berlin, Gem, and Tacoma beaches in Dayton, became popular destinations of amusement for individuals and families, especially during the summer months. On average, the beaches attracted as many as 7,000 to 8,000 bathers on the weekends. They were also important gathering places for celebration. Coney Island, a prominent resort on the Ohio side of the river, attracted about 300,000 visitors for its Fourth of July celebration alone.^[4] The shallow water and natural sandy riverbanks contributed to the creation of prosperous resorts and the Greater Cincinnati's nickname as the "Atlantic City of the West."^[5] At the Queen City Beach, the steamboat, Island Queen, was prominently staged for its visitors; thus, the river's role in the city's commercial past was not forgotten but played upon and proudly displayed as a piece of the Cincinnati experience.^[6] Newspapers, leaders, and citizens of the region painted a picture of Cincinnati as an interior paradise for residents and visitors alike, centering their prospect on the beautiful and affluent Ohio River.

In reality, Cincinnati's waters were far from this professed paradise. The Cincinnati and Ohio governments, as well as ordinary citizens, increasingly began to realize this discrepancy in the 1920s. There seemed to be no one quick and easy solution, however, to make reality fit the representation. Cincinnati shares the Ohio River with eight other states, as it flows from the meeting of the Alleghany and Monongahela rivers in Pittsburgh westward to where it ends its journey at the Mississippi river in Cairo, Illinois. Among these neighbors are the states of Pennsylvania and West Virginia, both of which are major players in the national coal industry. Historically along the river, same as most other major rivers in the world, cities and industries dumped untreated waste into the Ohio. As populations grew, though, this old method of dilution became outdated and insufficient to ensure the health of the public and environment. This standard practice was not only imprinted on the river's ecology and surrounding landscape but on public memory as well; decades later, in 1943, *The WPA Guide to Cincinnati* made a point to cite that in the past "the river spewed all kinds of human debris into the city."^[7] This waste accumulated in the river as its water travelled from one city to the next, increasingly creating environmental and human health problems for the cities downstream. Natural processes of decomposition simply could not keep up as communities increasingly concentrated in the Ohio River Valley. Each municipality blamed the one upstream for the polluted water, relinquishing responsibility for the contaminated resource. Cincinnati denounced the cities of Pittsburgh and Portsmouth, among many others, for failing to control its output of waste; meanwhile, Louisville, Evansville, and Cairo included Cincinnati in their lists of offenders in adhering to an unofficial good neighbor policy.

The general belief was prevalent during the early twentieth century's progressive era that improved environments, through the alleviation of pollution and other physical and health threats, would lead to better, more organized and controlled societies. This conviction encouraged improvements aimed at creating a comprehensive, public, citywide and permanent system to deliver sanitary services at the local level. Cities could either direct their waste to an undesirable parcel of land just outside of the city, as Cincinnati had done with Mill Creek valley,^[8] but directing it towards a river was ideal as it provided a natural flushing system. Sanitation reform through the addition of public sewage facilities in urban Western societies such as Germany, England, and the United States greatly improved sanitary conditions, but again, the prevailing thought was to prevent disease by flushing dirt, foul odors, and filth away from a specific urban population.^[9] Rather than ridding the sewage of its harmful qualities, municipalities directed the wastes to the river, with little or no thought about what this meant for communities downstream. These efforts did very little to address problems that were regional in nature, such as river and stream pollution experienced in the Ohio River Valley. Rather, these improvements only aggravated the situation in the region because greater amounts of domestic wastes were directed to the river as each city extended its sewerage systems.^[10] River cities invested large sums of money in sewage facilities in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Cincinnati alone invested over \$3,000,000 in sewer construction during the 1910s.^[11] The methods of waste disposal and lack of regional cooperation, though, stunted the Ohio Valley's ability to adequately address the surmounting pollution issue.

This mentality of little consideration for municipalities downstream led to formal responses that justified the lack of action, such as legislation known as the 1908 Bense Act in Ohio. This law stated downstream communities were not required to treat wastewater before dumping it into the water supply

unless every municipality upstream had done so. Kentucky and West Virginia technically owned the river, and Ohio felt without jurisdiction over the river, any attempts to require communities and industry to treat wastewater would be unjust and fruitless.^[12] By the 1920s, the cherished Cincinnati beaches began to close due to the ubiquitous presence of sewage in the waters, and fishermen experienced considerable difficulties.^[13] For Cincinnati, the only viable plan to address the pollution in the river was through direct cooperation with its neighbors.

The state of Ohio's lack in ability to address these public health problems was not the only issue the Greater Cincinnati area had to face to conserve its river. In efforts to conquer nature and engineer a more predictable flow, an entity of the federal government, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, drastically altered the Ohio River through canalization and various flood control measures between the 1880s and 1920s. The Corps modified and utilized a technology borrowed from the Seine River in France known as the wicket dam. These dams allowed for predictability for navigation by raising or lowering individual dam wickets depending on the high or low flow of the river to maintain a reliable channel depth of at least nine feet.^[14] While the developments greatly assisted commerce, the Corps decelerated the water's velocity and transformed the river into a series of slackwater pools. ^[15] This tended to localize pollution near its source.^[16] The federal entity, concentrating on the goal of easier and reliable navigation, inadvertently accentuated the Ohio River's pollution problem.

By the beginnings of the 1920s, communities along the Ohio River could not ignore the changes within the river. Businesses were forced to close, and recreational past times were hindered significantly. The Ohio even no longer resembled the river once known to the valley. Besides the increase of sewage in the water, the river varied less season to season, and the sands were quickly covered in mud. Nevertheless, the changes generally appeared, in theory, to benefit the communities they affected. It made little sense to oppose the improvements unless a general consensus, not only within a municipality but also within the entire region, arose that the pollution issue would need to be addressed. It would not be until 1934 that a movement emerged out of Cincinnati, spearheaded by businessmen, to tackle the larger pollution issue amongst the states in the Ohio River Valley on a remarkable and unprecedented scale.

Early Efforts Through the 1920s

As early as 1908, the same year as the passage of the Bense Act, representatives from Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia met to discuss the work each state had undertaken to protect local streams against pollution.^[17] Each state had its own stream commission but this was one of the first efforts for the neighbors to meet and bounce ideas off one another. At a meeting held in Wheeling, West Virginia on May 18, 1909, Judge H. E. Corn of Ironton, Ohio, recommended that all legislatures adopt a law "to prevent the introduction of any additional sewage into the Ohio River or into any of its tributaries which affect the public water supply of any municipality." This was key, as the prior year, the Ohio state legislature exempted every municipality along the Ohio River from installing sewage-treatment works until comparable facilities were provided by all municipalities upstream from it. Corn's suggestion meant that even Ohio would be held liable to treat its wastewaters, as much as Pennsylvania and every other upstream state. However, nothing came of his suggestion other than simply more recognition of the problems upstream communities caused for those downstream.

Other than general understandings and a few minimal scientific studies, the state-level leaders knew very little about the extent of the river's diminished health. A first step in addressing the larger problem would be to understand the severity the Ohio River pollution. The relatively informal group discussed a survey study of the river at the 1909 meeting in West Virginia as well; Pennsylvania's chief engineer, Mr. Herbert Snow, committed the health department to investigate the limited boundaries of his state, while Ohio agreed to survey the river up to Cincinnati. [18] The following year, the joint commission hired an engineer to create a preliminary report on the cost of sewage purification in Cincinnati. By 1911, the Ohio Board of Health began an education campaign in towns along in hopes "for the purpose of arousing public interest in the matter of the protection of the Ohio River against pollution." [19] The health departments understood the hazards of water pollution on public health and began tackling the issue to the best of their ability. Nevertheless, the Ohio Valley states did not pass extensive legislation and much of the action remained within the health departments. It created no permanent, strong structure, and national and local groups were rarely involved in the planning and implementation process.

In the early 1920s, drinking water taken from the Ohio River began to smell and taste strange to residents along the Ohio River. Several states' health departments responded by handing the issue over to the federal government. They informed the United States' Public Health Services of their concerns related to the disposal of a particular chemical resulting from coke processes and oil refining referred to as phenolic wastes. [20] Within the next two years, the U.S. Surgeon General called together representatives from the Ohio Valley for two national conferences in Washington D.C. to address their concerns and potentially create some form of organization to address the phenol problem between the states. [21] An organization against phenol wastes was created with the Ohio Department of Health's director of health, Dr. John Monger, as its chairman. Demonstrating that the phenol organization was mostly a national government endeavor, the group's secretary wrote to every state's department of health asking for statements of attitudes about participation in and cooperation with this organization. [22] It was uncertain that any of the states would participate in addressing, or were even concerned with, the river's pollution issue.

Three months later, at a meeting on April 14, 1924 in Pittsburgh, only three states' chief engineers (Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia) attended and participated in drafting an interstate agreement, the "Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement," that was officially signed in November of that very year. However, rather than the agreement being among the states or cities themselves, which did not equally have legal status in these matters, it was an agreement among the health departments, without the backing of any state legislation. While the original intent of the agreement was to correct the phenolic waste troubles, it created a uniform policy for dealing with all *industrial* byproducts. Within the next two years, supplement agreements were added that extended the arrangements to apply to Kentucky, New York, Maryland, Illinois, Indiana, and Tennessee as well. By 1928, the Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement consisted of eleven states. [23]

The Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement created a "Board of Public Health Engineers of the Ohio River Basin" that would meet at least once a year to specifically discuss phenols. The signatory states were required to "promptly institute action to require... elimination or removal [of phenol and other tarry acids] by suitable treatment prior to discharge" and to notify states downstream of any unusual events along the river, such as spills and disease outbreaks. The members of the Board also exchanged research on policy and discharge of wastes, for the benefit of all involved states. Inspections of one another's water works, polluted streams and tributaries, proposed remedies for pollution, and reports were arranged as well. [24] However, the agreement and board to address phenols had several potential problems to truly abate pollution and clear up the Ohio River. First, group did not clearly define and describe the sewage treatment requirements for municipalities; rather limits were only placed on industry. [25] More importantly, though, the efforts failed to create an equal and permanent structure amongst the states to discuss pollution in general and adequately pull powers together to enforce the necessary regulation to protect and conserve the Ohio River's health and enforce the necessary regulation to protect and conserve the Ohio River's health and ecology. Nevertheless, phenol levels decreased for the time being, as between 1924 and 1927 the joint efforts reduced the number of plants that discharged phenol wastes into the river from seventeen to only four. [26] Fears largely subsided until another threat would arise and spawn a sense of urgency.

The Early 1930s

The 1920s were relatively calm in terms of disrupting natural phenomena. It appeared that the Army Corps of Engineers did indeed successfully tame the wild river through canalization. However, a drought in 1930 and another that followed in 1934 once again raised the issue of cooperative pollution abatement among the Ohio River Valley states. [27] By 1930, many cities along the Ohio River had not developed sewage treatment facilities, as they were not required, and the low flow periods during drought resulted in problems for municipalities, such as Cincinnati, who relied on the river for palatable and safe drinking water. [28] Drought conditions resulted in the stagnation of water behind the Army Corps of Engineers' dams for at least a period of ten months. [29] These pools virtually became open cesspools. [30] River conditions forced the U.S. Congress to distribute about \$2,000,000 to state and local health departments in emergency relief health work in 1931, as epidemics of gastroenteritis spread along the Ohio River valley. [31] The first rise of the river aggravated the water purification issues by overwhelming the few existing treatment facilities; often, raw, untreated sewage managed to completely bypass the system. [32]

In the case of Cincinnati, the city spent several millions of dollars on improving its sewage system in the previous two decades. Per the Ohio State Board of Health's 1913 sewage plan, Cincinnati built several interceptors and planned for treatment plants. However, when the droughts hit, the construction of the treatment plants for the Duck Creek, Mill Creek, and the Ohio River areas were still not completed. [33] By 1934, the bacterial count in the Ohio River skyrocketed as more

upstream municipalities directed their wastewaters directly to the Ohio instead of just outside city limits. Cincinnati had to moderate the problem with no permanent treatment facilities to adequately sanitize its water. [34]

According to Chief Engineer F. H. Waring, on the other side of the river, Kentucky was actually in better shape than Cincinnati, despite having no plants for the development of intercepting sewers. This is because Cincinnati's plans were based on the river's height and flow in 1913, but by 1932, the Army Corps of Engineers considered reconstructing "Dam No. 37." [35] Their plans would raise the water elevation by 15 feet, destroying most of progress on the city's sewage system projects. [36] This reveals a lack of communication between the Army Corps of Engineers and local planning that had the potential to ruin a multi-million dollar investment by Cincinnati. In addition, though, the federal dam and navigation projects already built actually aggravated the pollution problems of the Ohio Valley region. The dams caused unnatural pools of water, which provoked unpredictable complications for sewage treatment facilities. Cincinnati experienced a situation in which local planning, without extensive communication with the federal government, practically negated over two decades of work and investment. The city was left unprotected and vulnerable.

In November of 1931, a waste spill occurred at a coke plant in Youngstown, Pennsylvania. As required by 1928 Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement, the district notified all municipalities located downstream. Notification, though, could not protect residents from the impact of contaminated water. Within fifteen days, Cincinnati experienced phenolic tastes in its water supply, which continued for about two weeks. On top of this issue, the river water tested to be the hardest since filtration began in 1907. [37] When called in to respond to the situation, Chief Engineer Waring concluded the low flow caused extremely nominal dilution, emphasizing bad tastes present in the water. The cold, winter weather accentuated the "phenolic," "saline," and "river" tastes the community complained about as well. For Waring, the answer for the city and others was to adopt additional new treatment facilities, as planned, to relieve such problems. [38] Nevertheless, it became more apparent to some states that the federal government needed to step in with legislation to attack pollution and "ultimately take a hand in interstate streams." [39] Others, however, rejected this idea and sought for continued cooperation between industries and all the states in the Ohio River Valley. [40]

During the early 1930s, the beginning of the New Deal era, centralization through the federal government seemed to be the answer for many complex issues in the United States. It is logical that the federal government's hand in finding a solution to Ohio River pollution appeared to be a feasible and effective answer. However, Ohio's past experience revealed that the federal government alone could not get states involved in pollution abatement. A successful resolution would have to mediate between both proposals.

Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce's Regional Interstate Solution

In 1934, the Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce gathered to discuss its annual local "Clean-Up and Beautify Week." Traditionally, the committee brainstormed projects to "beautify" the city, such as picking up trash, creating gardens, and painting homes in strategic areas. However, when the chairman wrapped up the meeting, he asked if there was anything left to be addressed in the city, one man responded with a suggestion of much greater scope and gravity than those typically raised at such routine meetings. As it was one of the city's greatest assets, this man, Hudson Biery, recommended that the chamber address the increasingly polluted Ohio River. Biery had no prior professional experience with water pollution and was simply the director of public relations for the Cincinnati Street Railway Company and concerned resident of Terrace Park. An outsider to the public health and engineering groups that typically handled the water supply and disposal of wastes along the river, Biery still observed that the river was becoming increasingly polluted and, despite the city dumping millions of [Photograph of Hudson Biery](#)^[41]

dollars into the situation, public health was at stake. Biery wanted to start a campaign for regional co-operation in pollution control that would alleviate some of the problems faced by Cincinnati, since "citizens of Cincinnati [didn't] want to be reminded every time asparagus is served for supper in Pittsburgh or some other upstream community."^[42] He believed, "the time is at hand for someone to provide a rallying point for control of water pollution in our valley."^[43] What better way to "clean up and beautify" the city than to begin addressing the problems of its water?

His suggestion was timely, even beyond the impeding local conditions in Cincinnati that contributed to a sense of urgency. Historian Martin Melosi claims, "from the fiscal perspective, the economic disorder of the late 1920s and 1930s changed the nature of city-federal relations and transformed what had been local service delivery into systems increasingly influenced by regional and national interests."^[44] By 1930, mostly due to the Rivers and Harbors Acts in 1925 and 1927, water programs changed from single-project approaches to multiple-purpose projects focused on optimum use of the *entire* river basins.^[45] In 1933, President Roosevelt created the National Planning Board (later, National Resources Board), as a piece of his New Deal program, which encouraged state-established regional agencies to assume leadership in addressing local, state and federal welfare. ^[46] The federal government became increasingly vested in public health and pollution abatement; by 1936, 8,000 communities in the United States repaired their sewage and waste systems with Works Project Administration assistance, amounting to nearly \$1.2 billion in aid.^[47] However, several water pollution control bills failed in Congress during this period as well. The most significant failure was the "Barkley bill" by Senator Alben Barkley of Kentucky, which would provide a framework for future legislation.^[48] This appeared to be a significant turning point in strategic method of dealing with problems of water pollution that affected entire regions.

In 1934, the Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce established a subcommittee, the Stream Pollution Committee, under the leadership of Hudson Biery.^[49] Biery had a new vision for pollution abatement in the Ohio Valley region and sought to create a forum for regional co-operation in pollution control to alleviate the problems faced by Cincinnati and other cities along the river.^[50] Rather than emphasizing the abilities of the federal government to subsidize pollution, Biery's proposed organization's members would work together in creating fair and uniform standards, designing and supporting the passage of legislation, and combining their policing powers of the states to address the problems.

The Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce's Stream Pollution Committee brought together forty civic and technical leaders from a variety of backgrounds: sanitary engineers, industrial leaders, civic association representatives, Department of Health officials, construction engineers, bank officials, President of Real Estate Board, and life insurance executives.^[51] The subcommittee created three main project groups under the assignments of legislation, research and planning, and federal emergency and relief activities to address different aspects of the Ohio River pollution problem.^[52] Nevertheless, all were united under the belief that "the streams of the Ohio Valley were not intended to serve as sewers and that they should be restored to the proper use in the fields of Public Health, Conservation, Commerce and Recreation."^[53]

In the *Engineering News-Record* in 1935, Mr. Streeter asserted, “the principal obstacles now standing in the way of an intelligent and comprehensive program of river sanitation in this drainage area [referring to the Ohio River Basin] appears to be legal and administrative, as the necessary engineering data are fairly complete and well established.”^[54] Cincinnati ran into problems because no enforcement by the federal government existed for municipalities and industries to update their treatment systems. Being towards the middle of the river’s flow, Cincinnati dealt with not only its waste but that of West Virginia and Pennsylvania as well. If industries and cities spent the considerable amount of money required to create and update treatment facilities, in a highly competitive environment, they feared that it would put them behind others, who did not feel it was their obligation to treat waste before sending it down the river. Without equal pressure on all industries and municipalities to keep high standards, there was little motivation for cities to spend funds on treatment when it could be used for more popular endeavors. As an article in the Cincinnati Post asked, “if one city did it, what good would it do if the town upstream was using the river to get rid of its sewer?”^[55]

By June 1935, the Chamber of Commerce president and editor of the *Cincinnati Enquirer* who was interested in the pollution of streams, William F. Wiley, successfully convinced leaders in legal, governmental, sanitation, and industrial affairs to join the Stream Pollution Committee. The Committee consisted of big names such as Robert A. Taft (a future senator), Myers Y. Cooper (former governor), Walter Schmidt (president of National Association of Real Estate Boards) and Alexander Thomson (president of the Ohio Chamber of Commerce and chairman on the board of the Champion Paper and Fiber Company). Unlike the other groups in the Ohio Valley concerned with pollution control,^[56] whose approaches were usually too localized or parochial, Biery’s committee sought to attack the wider issue on a regional scale, as done for phenol wastes, with a three part program of action on local, state and national levels.^[57]

On the local level, the Stream Pollution Committee encouraged public support for city bonds and municipalities to seek federal aid for the construction and maintenance of sewage treatment facilities. At this time, grant-in-aids were offered for public works projects, and this was a popular avenue to secure such funds. For Cincinnati, this meant arousing action from the city and its twenty-four adjacent communities in Hamilton County by publishing reports, such as that of the Cincinnati Association, which documented the poor conditions and the potential risks of stream pollution to public health, and articles in newspapers. A variety of activities were important as well, and the committee encouraged boating clubs to provide “sewer tours” that took the public to sites that spewed untreated sewage directly into the river. At the state level, the committee’s mission was to campaign for an interstate compact among the states in the Ohio River Basin, which granted the power to punish violators. On the federal front, the group sought to introduce legislation that would facilitate this basin-based stream pollution control, such as the Barkley- Vinson bill that was introduced in 1937 to the Rivers and Harbors Committee of Congress.^[58] All three fronts were viewed as equally critical to gain widespread support for drastic pollution relief programs and legislation.

Creation of the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact and Commission

Creating a compact and forming an organization that would appeal to and satisfy all states within the Ohio River Valley would prove to be a complicated and difficult task for the Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce. How would a single agreement and commission be effective and assure all states and interested parties, from industry to specialized recreational groups, receive fair representation?^[59] Also, to what extent should or could the federal government be involved? How would the commission implement its decisions? While these were the big questions for Biery and the Chamber’s Stream Pollution Committee (generally referring to itself as the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission by this point), other questions would arise over the details, from the language of the compact to what standards, if any, should be specified within the agreement.

Local interest groups, individual municipalities, states, and industries had very different positions regarding how the pollution in the Ohio River could and should be curtailed. In general, while seeking the backing of the federal government, the states wanted to remain sovereign in pollution control measures. Industry largely agreed with states, but usually rejected the idea of an interstate agency, based on the belief that their individual state would have industry’s best interests in mind. Conservation groups like the Izaak Walton League, on the other hand, believed that federal control was the only solution to truly tackle the problem of pollution in and along the Ohio River.^[60] All of these various points of view contributed it to over a decade of efforts before eight states in the valley signed a finalized compact, the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact, in 1948. Hudson Biery managed to successfully refuel a fire against water pollution in the Ohio River Basin and across the nation that was initiated as early as 1908, but the Cincinnati movement had a very different vision and method than those that proceeded.

Biery managed to obtain the best available legal talent in the city to aid in the drafting of an interstate agreement and complementing legislation. The legislation subcommittee, headed by lawyer Mr. Robert A. Taft, quickly got to work in 1935, following the advise of the Roosevelt’s new National Resources Board (NRB). Director of the NRB, Charles W. Eliot, encouraged the Chamber of Commerce committee to go ahead and draft an interstate compact, possibly informed by the one underway by New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut for pollution control in New York Harbor. Informed by their exhaustive study of existing laws and interstate agreements, the Chamber of Commerce drafted multiple bills with the purpose of curbing pollution of navigable waters. The Chamber decided to these drafts with various governmental departments, such as health departments, engineers, and planning groups, and requested their feedback.^[61] By 1936, the U.S. Congress gave the states permission to enter into such a compact proposed by the Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce. Within a few months, Ohio’s Governor, Martin L. Davey, began to request governors of other states in the region to get involved and join the committee to finalize an interstate compact.^[62]

The Ohio River sanitation group, centered in Cincinnati, envisioned an interstate agreement based on widespread *regional* concerns on an unprecedented scale. No prior interstate compact in the United States aspired to bring eight or more states together for unified self-regulation. Nevertheless, the Chamber of

Commerce could not ignore similar successful interstate agreements involving the shared resource of waterways. The Chamber analyzed a multitude of interstate agreements in the creation of what would be known as the "Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact," such as the proposed agreement between New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania over the Delaware River.^[63] However, the final draft would resemble the 1935 agreement between New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut concerning the lower portion of the Hudson River more than any other; it liberally employed phrases throughout the written agreement.^[64]

Both Hudson River and Ohio Valley agreements focused on the "increasingly serious pollution" that constituted a "grave menace to the health, welfare, and recreational facilities of the people living in such basin [or area, in the case of the Hudson River agreement] and [was] occasioning great economic loss." In addition, each attributed the pollution issue to the rapid increase in population, yet only the Ohio River agreement mentioned the growth of industrial activity as a source. The Ohio Valley and Hudson River agreements also required signatory states to pledge "faithful cooperation in the control of future pollution" and to "enact adequate legislation." Bathing and recreation were important concerns in each agreement. However, the Ohio Valley treaty significantly differed in that it was more open ended and vague concerning the specific aspects of the environment it attempted to protect and minimum standards.

The most significant difference between the treaties existed in the particulars regarding the general environment. The Hudson River treaty was more detailed than the proposed Ohio Valley Sanitation Compact, mentioning the "major fish life, shell fish, and marine life" and the prevention of "oil, grease or solids from being carried on the surface of the water."^[65] This difference caused much debate among the committee members.^[66] However, drafters believed it was more important to get the compact in place, as more ambiguous requirements may create more support in upstream states like Pennsylvania, and worry about these details at a later date.^[67] In addition, this broad agreement provided flexibility, which would possibly avert the problems of deeply rooted and outdated minimum standards in the future.

With a fairly development agreement draft, Hudson Biery reached out to find allies in his crusade against stream pollution. He joined his efforts with those of the Ohio Valley district chairman of the National Water Resources' Committee's, a subcommittee of the NRB. In 1935, the chairman, Alfred Bettman, brought together representatives from the district, which included Ohio, West Virginia, Kentucky, Indiana, and Pennsylvania, who showed interest in the water pollution issue to discuss the potential creation of a Regional Planning Authority that would address problems regional in nature. In his opening statement, Bettman explained why he asked this group to meet:

River Pollution is the most pressing problem of the Ohio Valley. Much has been done on it. It furnished the motive power out of which this meeting has grown, but that is not to be construed as the ultimate objective... We know that we have different political areas- that the nation has its particular sphere of activities and the state its particular sphere. But, our problem could not be solved solely by state or by national actions because the geographical features involved have furnished us units which do not correspond with either state or national units. We call these units 'regions.' One thing before us, challenging our intelligence as a nation, is how to arrange, within the constitutional frame-work of that nation and of the state, for regional activities. I hope we may create out of the activity resulting from this meeting one possible answer to that.

While the Tennessee Valley Authority offered one answer to regional planning, that of handing all power and authority over to the Federal government, Bettman believed states could tackle issues together, without relinquishing power the federal government.^[68] His view of wider comprehensive planning fell right in line with Biery's mission. Biery used Bettman's group, and its subcommittee on stream pollution, as a means to disseminate knowledge concerning the Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce's accomplishments and plans, in hopes of also additional gaining support. Although it seemed that great interest was surmounting in the interstate agreement, it would not be an easy journey towards the signing and implementation of the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact.

Although Congress gave states permission to enter into an interstate compact in 1936 and approved the resulting document in 1940, it took until 1948 for a finalized compact to be signed by eight states.^[69] The states downstream, Ohio, Kentucky, and Indiana, had little to lose and generally endorsed the compact by 1940. States upstream, specifically Pennsylvania, had a more difficult time approving the interstate compact. Even the Pennsylvania State Department of Public Health rejected the compact, claiming, "there is no doubt that a burden will fall upon Pennsylvania and the Pittsburgh area for the benefit of the downstream cities."^[70] This resulted in Ohio and West Virginia establishing the condition that neither state would allow the agreement to go into effect in their states until Pennsylvania accepted it, "inasmuch as little can be done effectively by the two states without Pennsylvania's cooperation."^[71] Later, West Virginia placed another provision on the compact, requiring that Virginia would also enter the agreement. It would not be until 1940 that the stage would be set in Virginia to introduce the compact to its legislature.^[72]

Another obstacle was citizens' and recreational groups; the Izaak Walton League and other sportsmen did not endorse the interstate agreement proposed by Biery and the Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce, asserting that the agreement was biased towards business.^[73] Understanding the ability of the Izaak Walton League to sway public opinion, Mr. Waring of the Ohio Health Department and Biery attempted to ensure they did not provide the organization with ammunition to attack Cincinnati, the Barkley bill developments, and the interstate company.^[74]

Cincinnati did not let these obstacles regarding the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact delay waste disposal reform on the local front. Rather, the city spearheading the Ohio River pollution crusade believed it needed to serve as a model neighbor river city. The Stream Pollution Committee took on a timely campaign one year after the city was reminded of the power of the river with the massive and unparalleled 1937 flood.^[75] In 1938, a one million dollar bond issue for sewage treatment was introduced for voting in November. The Committee campaigned for the bond under the slogan, "Let's take the dead hoses out of the water," based on the calculation that the amount of sewage from Cincinnati was equal to one dead hose floating past the city every two minutes.^[76] While it was understood these new treatment facilities would only take care of a small portion of the river pollution problem, the committee believed local action would stimulate

regional co-operation. The day following the passage of the bond, November 9, 1938, an article in the *Cincinnati Times and Star* stated, "Cincinnati now can show the other states that this city means business and calls upon them to do their part."^[77]

In 1940, consistent with his other public education attempts, Hudson Biery continued to recognize the power of additional citizen support. Although newspaper writers were invited to every meeting to increase public support for the commission and the sewage treatment bond was successful, Biery stepped up his program of public education by participating in an interview with WLW Radio.^[78] In the interview, he left out none of the gruesome details concerning the Ohio River:

Into this pool the sewer of Cincinnati and other cities on the Ohio side of the river dump about 100,000,000 gallons of raw sewage every twenty-four hours... Much of this sewage is liquid but engineers estimate it includes 450 tons of solid material, principally human excrement, and all the other forms of waste that people dump into sewers. Someone has suggested that this 450 tons of solid material would be equal to dropping a dead horse into the Ohio River every two minutes all day and all night year in, year out.^[79]

When asked how much longer this water can be this severely polluted and continued to be used for consumption, Biery informed the interviewer that "engineers do not know how much longer it will be possible to keep the water safe... In the last two years we have had periods of a month at a time when the water contained more than five times the safe limit." Although Biery made this effort to get the word out about what was being done to combat stream pollution and encourage individuals to support the compact and the Barkley bill going through Congress, this interview did not have the effect he wanted it to; Biery's interview was "deleted considerably by the censor of WLW, who fear[ed] a wave of public hysteria if all the harrowing details were broadcast."^[80]

In the 1940s, action on the regional front concerning the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact stagnated. In the years of 1939 and 1940, the states of Indiana, West Virginia, Ohio, New York, Illinois, and Kentucky adopted the compact.^[81] However, without Pennsylvania's signature, the states were not able to fully implement the compact, due to provisions put into place by the Ohio and West Virginia legislatures.^[82] The Second World War can be greatly blamed for the delay in the early years of the decade, but the postwar public works construction programs renewed interested in Pennsylvania's legislature to join the interstate compact.^[83]

In 1948, the same year as the passage of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act that would backup the Ohio River agreement, Pennsylvania's legislature finally agreed to join the compact. On Wednesday, June 22, 1938, the governors of Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky, West Virginia, Virginia, New York, Illinois, and Pennsylvania gathered in Cincinnati for a ceremonial signing of the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission.^[84] The Commission was praised as a model by many for several decades, even the American Society of Civil Engineers referred to the agreement in 1963 as "the most effective large-scale water pollution program ever undertaken in the Western Hemisphere."^[85] Even those who had not fully supported the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission from its inception agreed it was a great starting point for addressing the problems the Ohio Valley experienced for at least half of a century prior. A 1949 article in *Sports Afield*, a magazine for fishing enthusiasts, stated, "it is a surprisingly strong compact, and amounts to pooling the police powers of the eight states to enforce the rules of the group. It isn't ideal, but it's more than the Ohio basin ever had before. It's like the new National Pollution Act, an opening wedge against pollution, not a final solution... The Ohio River compact is realistic, making the most of what gain it has secured."^[86]

Conclusion

By the beginning of the twentieth century, the Ohio River began to lose its prominent role in Cincinnati. However, the city still valued the river and attempted to hold on to its profound relationship. By the 1920s, the Ohio River the city once knew was completely transformed due to an increasing focus on predictable river navigation and the booming use of the waterway as the primary industrial and municipal waste disposal for at least eight states. Due to its location downstream, Cincinnati was at a disadvantage. However, no single city of state could effectively curb pollution or clean up the river on its own. Therefore, solutions to the problem tended to look toward the federal government for support and guidance. These efforts, though, typically only address single sources of pollution, rather than the problem in general, and were chiefly unproductive since states were commonly unequally invested in the problem solving process.

It was not until 1934 that a successful and thorough resolution arose out of an organization formed by a group of elite businessmen in Cincinnati. The Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce successfully demonstrated to the city's neighbors that its plan for an interstate compact would be the final answer to the beginning of finally tackling the entire Ohio Valley region's water pollution problems. In June of 1948, eight states were the first to sign the official Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact, which combined the states' policing powers and financial resources to deal with the river's pollution amongst the states. The majority of power resided within the states, and the federal government was not heavily involved beyond some technical and financial assistance.

While the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission has undergone a significant development since its official implementation in 1948, it remained to be an important entity in the remainder of the twentieth century and still exists today. Currently, the commission is responsible for setting wastewater disposal standards, monitoring the river through its world-renowned monitoring system, and conducting research and surveys along the river.^[87] If or when there is a spill in the river, the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission is one of the first governmental agencies to respond. It is remarkable that a citizen group of elite businessmen in the mid-twentieth century managed to devise a governmental organization with lasting impacts and the ability to stand the test of time for at least seven decades.

^[1] "Ohio River Basin," Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission, accessed February 15, 2014, <http://www.orsanco.org/ohio-river-basin>.

- [2] David Stradling, *Cincinnati: River City to Highway Metropolis* (Charleston, South Carolina: Arcadia Publishing, 2003).
- [3] "Ohio is 'River Beautiful!': Unsurpassed in World, Says Explorer and Traveler on Boat Trip to Record Scenic Wonders," *Cincinnati Enquirer*, May 15, 1925, 11.
- [4] "Coney Island's Big Crowd: Three Hundred Thousand at the Popular Resort," *New York Times*, July 5, 1894, 9.
- [5] William Croyle, "100 Years Ago, River Was Clear, Sand White," *Cincinnati Enquirer*, July 29, 2003, E12.
- [6] "Bellevue Beaches," Northern Kentucky Views, accessed January 23, 2014, www.nkyviews.com/campbell/bellevue_beaches.htm.
- [7] The Cincinnati Historical Society, *The WPA Guide to Cincinnati* (Cincinnati: The Cincinnati Historical Society, 1943), XXXIX.
- [8] Stanley Hedeon, *The Mill Creek: An Unnatural History of an Urban Stream* (Cincinnati: Blue Heron Press, 1994).
- [9] Michael Neuman and Sheri Smith, "City Planning and Infrastructure: Once and Future Partners," *Journal of Planning History* 9 (2010): 24.
- [10] M. V. Veldee, "An Epidemiological Study of Typhoid Fever in Six Ohio River Cities," *Public Health Reports* 46, no. 25 (1931): 1463.
- [11] Hedeon, 104.
- [12] "Minutes of Meeting Held at Neil House, Columbus, November 22, 1937, 2:00 PM," Presiding: Mr. W. F. Wiley, Chairman, Department of Health Central Files 1936- 1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434, Box 53526, Folder: 1936 Stream Pollution Commission, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus Ohio.
- [13] "Sewers Imperil River Bathers," *Cincinnati Enquirer*, August 17, 1917, 14.
- [14] Rhodes, *The Ohio River*, 45.
- [15] "Ohio River Main," Cincinnati Views, accessed February 13, 2014, <http://www.cincinnativiews.net/ohio%20river.htm>.
- [16] Cleary, 17.
- [17] Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia collectively referred to this group as the "Ohio River Sanitary Commission."
- [18] Mr. Snow was the Chief Engineer of the Pennsylvania State Department of Health; Ohio Department of Health, "May 18, 1909, Minutes of the Joint Meeting of the Ohio River Sanitary Commission with Representatives from the States of Pennsylvania and West Virginia," Memoranda- Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement, Department of Health Central Files 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434 Box 53526, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio.
- [19] *Ibid.*, "October 18, 1910, Meeting Minutes of the Ohio River Sanitary Commission. Memoranda- Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement"
- [20] *Ibid.*, "The Water Supply of Cincinnati"
- [21] In 1923, the U.S. Surgeon General called together representatives from these states for a conference in Washington D.C. to discuss these concerns. Eleven states attended, among these were Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia. Later the same year, Ohio's Department of Health gathered ten industries, which produced phenolic wastes in Cleveland, Ohio, and concluded that the waste problem could only be handled "by concerted action on the part of the several states having the same problem." Afterwards, Director of Health, Dr. John Monger requested that the Surgeon General once again call a national conference to create some form of organization to address this problem between the states. A total of ten states, including the Ohio Valley states of Pennsylvania, Ohio, West Virginia, and Kentucky, attended the conference on January 19, 1924.
- [22] Ohio Department of Health, "Historical Resumé of Actions Leading to the Adoption of the Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement and Organization of the Ohio River Board of Engineers," November 23, 1932, Department of Health Central Files 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434 Box 53526, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio
- [23] *Ibid.*
- [24] Tributaries are streams that flow into the main stem. In this case, the main stem would be the Ohio River itself.
- [25] Ohio Department of Health, "Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement of November 27, 1924, and Its Three Supplements," Department of Health Central Files 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434 Box 53526, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio
- [26] Authur Miller and H. W. Streeter, "Chloro-Phenol Tastes and Odors in Water Supplies of Ohio River Cities, *American Journal of Public Health*, 19, no. 8 (1929): 930
- [27] Most states and industrial sites did not need to be "compelled" to create phenol treatment works. ("The Water Supply of Cincinnati"); "Two Droughts Forced Water Compact," *Cincinnati Post*, June 28, 1948, 17.
- [28] According to Chief Engineer Waring, this was due to poor financing, as cities had difficulty finding funds to create and maintain such works. ("The Water Supply of Cincinnati"); Around 1907, the water intake for Cincinnati was relocated to a point on the Ohio River 8 miles above the city and about .75 miles above where the Ohio River meets the Little Miami River. This water was pumped into two large reservoirs that held about 340 million gallons, or six days' worth of water. It was assumed because of the size of the reservoirs that natural processes would separate out most of the silt and bacteria from the water; therefore, the reservoirs would only need to be cleaned every four to five years. ("The Water Supply of Cincinnati")
- [29] "Minutes of Special Meeting of Health Commissioners Signatory to the Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement," Department of Health Central Files 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434 Box 53526, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio
- [30] Cleary, 23.
- [31] Ohio Department of Health, "Memorandum Re Meeting With Surgeon General and Public Health Officials of Twenty- One States in Drought Area," February 10, 1931, Memphis, Tennessee, Department of Health Central Files 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434 Box 53526, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio; Such cases of gastroenteritis appeared in Cincinnati in January, 1931 (p.23, The ORSANCO Story)
- [32] Ohio Department of Health, "Minutes of Special Meeting of Health Commissioners Signatory to the Ohio River Interstate Stream Conservation Agreement," Department of Health Central Files 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434 Box 53526, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio
- [33] The 1910 study of Cincinnati resulted in the adoption of a new general sewage system plan by the State Board of Health in 1913. The health board divided the metropolitan area into three concentrated sewage treatment and disposal flows. These three were the Duck Creek area (drained a portion of Cincinnati and Norwood that laid north and east of the tributary to the Little Miami River), Mill Creek District (drained the western and northern portions of Cincinnati, as well as several surrounding suburban communities), and the area around the Ohio River (constituted most of the downtown Cincinnati), which directly drained into the main stem of the river itself.[33] By 1932, the Duck Creek interceptor and most of the Mill Creek interceptor were completed and in service. [33] However, no work began on the Ohio River interceptor and all three lacked *permanent* sewage treatment facilities; Plans for temporary sewage treatment plants were in the works in the Little Miami Valley to address the Duck Creek area and near the mouth of Mill Creek for partial treatment of the Mill Creek wastes. A couple pump stations were planned for the Ohio River District downtown also, which moved the flow to the temporary Mill Creek treatment works. A discussion even took place regarding moving the Ohio River interceptor downstream to the Great Miami River. This would take the pressure off of the downtown area and, instead, move the wastes generated outside of the most important and productive portion of the city.
- [34] Cleary, 24.
- [35] Located in Fernbank, 13 miles below the center of Cincinnati.
- [36] "Memorandum on Plan of Sewerage and Sewage Disposal at Cincinnati, April 18, 1932"
- [37] This simply refers to the amount of minerals in the water.
- [38] Ohio Department of Health, "Memorandum on Occurrence of Phenolic Tastes in Water Works Intakes of Ohio River Basin During November, December, and January" January 13, 1931, Ohio River Board of Engineers Correspondence, Department of Health Central Files 1936-1965 (Water

Resources), Series 1434 Box 53526, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio

[39] The states of Pennsylvania, Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, and South Carolina, for example; Iowa is the state quoted. (Ohio Department of Health, "An Analysis of Replies from State Sanitary Engineers on Oil Pollution" American Engineering Council, February 6, 1931, Ohio River Board of Engineers Correspondence, Department of Health Central Files 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434 Box 53526, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio Reports, Etc. 1929- 1931, Dept. of Health Central Files, 1936- 1965 (Water Resources))

[40] A few of these states are Kentucky, Indiana, Wisconsin and Texas; Ohio Department of Health, "An Analysis of Replies from State Sanitary Engineers on Oil Pollution" American Engineering Council, February 6, 1931, Ohio River Board of Engineers Correspondence, Department of Health Central Files 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434 Box 53526, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio Reports, Etc. 1929- 1931, Dept. of Health Central Files, 1936- 1965 (Water Resources)

[41] "Ohio Department of Health, Water Pollution Control Board Photograph Collection," Series: 2896, Box 1, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio Reports, Etc. 1929- 1931, Dept. of Health Central Files, 1936- 1965 (Water Resources)

[42] As quoted by Edward Cleary; Cleary, 28.

[43] *Ibid.*

[44] Martin Melosi, *The Sanitary City: Environmental Services in Urban America from Colonial Times to the Present, Abridged*. (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2008), 6.

[45] Ellis Armstrong, Michael C. Robinson, and Suellen M. Hoy, eds., *History of Public Works in the United States, 1776-1976* (Kansas City: American Public Works Association, 1976), 32.

[46] This interstate compact was a mechanism provided for by Article I, Section 10, Clause 3. It was effectively employed by American colonial governments, mostly for boundary adjustments but was slowly used less and less over the years. (Cleary, 4); Cleary, 6.

[47] Armstrong, 418.

[48] While the creation of the bill took several years, it was not finalized and introduced submitted to Congress until 1936.

[49] Michael Neuman and Sheri Smith, "City Planning and Infrastructure: Once and Future Partners," *Journal of Planning History* 9 (2010):

28; Pollution of Navigable Waters: Hearings Before the Committee on Rivers and Harbors, House of Representatives, Seventy-Ninth Congress, First Session on H.R. 519, H. R. 587, and H.R. 4070, Bills for the Control of Water Pollution, November 13-14, 1945 (1945) (statement by Hudson Biery).

[50] Cleary, 28.

[51] "Proceedings of a Meeting: December 7th, 1935 in Cincinnati, Ohio," meeting called by Mr. Alfred Bettman, District Chairman of the National Resources Committee, Folder S. 1.14.22 "1936 Stream Pollution Commission" folder, Alfred Bettman Papers, U.C. Archives and Rare Books, Cincinnati, Ohio.

[52] *Ibid.*; Cleary, 28-31.

[53] *Ibid.*; Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce Committee on Stream Pollution, "Suggestion #5 Resolution" proposed by Col. Waite, September 10, 1935, Folder S. 1.14.22 "Committee on Stream Pollution, Alfred Bettman Papers, University of Cincinnati Archives and Rare Books Library (UCARB), Cincinnati, Ohio.

[54] H.W. Streeter, "The Ohio River: Its Future as a Water Supply Source," *Engineering News-Record*, 114 (1935), 612.

[55] "Two Droughts Forced Water Compact," *Cincinnati Post*, June 28, 1948, 17.

[56] Health authorities, sanitary engineers, conservation organizations such as the Izaak Walton League, and boating enthusiasts.

[57] Cleary, 29-31.

[58] Cleary, 28-31.

[59] Cleary, vi.

[60] Cleary, 31.

[61] "Proceedings of a Meeting: December 7th, 1935 in Cincinnati, Ohio," meeting called by Mr. Alfred Bettman, District Chairman of the National Resources Committee, "1936 Stream Pollution Commission" folder, Alfred Bettman Papers, U.C. Archives and Rare Books, Cincinnati, Ohio.

[62] "Two Droughts Forced Water Compact," *Cincinnati Post*, June 28, 1948, 17.

[63] "Confidential Memorandum Re: First Formal Meeting of the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission, January 20, 1938," from F.H. Waring to Governor Martin L. Davey, Department of Health Central Files 1936- 1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434, Box 53526, Folder: 1936 Stream Pollution Commission, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus Ohio.

[64] "Minutes of Meeting Held at Neil House, Columbus, November 22, 1937, 2:00 PM" Presiding: Mr. W. F. Wiley, Chairman, Department of Health Central Files 1936- 1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434, Box 53526, Folder: 1936 Stream Pollution Commission, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus Ohio.

[65] Committee on Stream Pollution, Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce, Document No. 15, Draft No. 3, "Ohio Valley Water Treaty," February 28, 1936, Department of Health Central Files 1936- 1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434, Box 53526, Folder: 1936 Stream Pollution Commission, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus Ohio.

[66] Letter from C.A. Holmquist, Director of the Division of Sanitation, to Mr. F. H. Waring, Chief Engineer, Division of Sanitary Engineering of Ohio Dept. of Health, November 30, 1936, Department of Health Central Files, 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434, Box 53526, Folder: Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact Correspondence- 1936, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus Ohio.

[67] Letters from W. L. Stevenson, Chief Engineer of Pennsylvania's Dept. of Health, to Mr. Waring, December 2, 1936 and December 14, 1936, Department of Central Health Files, 1936-1965 (Water Resources), Series 1434, Box 53526, Folder: Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact Correspondence- 1936, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus Ohio..

[68] Proceedings of a Meeting: December 7th, 1935 in Cincinnati, Ohio," meeting called by Mr. Alfred Bettman, District Chairman of the National Resources Committee, "1936 Stream Pollution Commission" folder, Alfred Bettman Papers, U.C. Archives and Rare Books, Cincinnati, Ohio.

[69] Progress in Water Quality: An Evaluation of the National Investment in Municipal Wastewater Treatment," "1949 Jan-June Correspondence" folder, Department of Health Central Files, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, OH.

[70] "Report of the Interstate Conference on the Ohio River Sanitation Compact," Called by the Pennsylvania Commission on Interstate Cooperation, The Council of State Governments, Held at Hotel William Penn, Pittsburgh, PA, October 17, 1939, "1936 Stream Pollution Commission" folder, Alfred Bettman Papers, U.C. Archives and Rare Books, Cincinnati, Ohio.

[71] *Ibid.*

[72] Letter from F. W. Waring to Mr. Klassen, Technical Secretary of Illinois State Sanitary Water Board, January 12, 1940, Folder: Ohio River Valley Sanitation Compact, Correspondence 1940, Box: Department of Health, Central Files 1936-1965, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio.

[73] Bill Wolf, "Running Sores on Our Land," *Sports Afield*, January 1949, 76.

[74] Letter from F. H. Waring to Mr. Hudson Biery, January 12, 1940, "Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact, Correspondence 1940" Folder, Box: Department of Health, Central Files 1936- 1965, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio.

[75] David Welky, *The Thousand-Year Flood: The Ohio-Mississippi Disaster of 1937* (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 2011).

[76] "River Pollution Set Forth In Terms of Dead Horses," *The Cincinnati Enquirer*, October 21, 1938, 8.

[77] Cleary, 37.

- [78] "Confident Memorandum Re Interstate Treaty On Stream Pollution Prevention, Columbus, Ohio, November 23, 1936," Letter from F. H. Waring to Governor L. Davey, "1936 Stream Pollution Commission" folder, Alfred Bettman Papers, U.C. Archives and Rare Books, Cincinnati, Ohio; "WLW Radio Interview on Stream Pollution, 10:30 AM February 30, 1940, Ohio Federated Garden Club Program, Cincinnati, Ohio," Folder S.1.14.29 "Committee on Stream Pollution: Report of Subcommittee Meeting, Sept 26, 1938; WLW Interview," Alfred Bettman Papers, U.C. Archives and Rare Books, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- [79] "WLW Radio Interview on Stream Pollution, 10:30 AM February 30, 1940, Ohio Federated Garden Club Program, Cincinnati, Ohio," Folder S.1.14.29 "Committee on Stream Pollution: Report of Subcommittee Meeting, Sept 26, 1938; WLW Interview," Alfred Bettman Papers, U.C. Archives and Rare Books, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- [80] "WLW Radio Interview on Stream Pollution, 10:30 AM February 30, 1940, Ohio Federated Garden Club Program, Cincinnati, Ohio," Folder S.1.14.29 "Committee on Stream Pollution: Report of Subcommittee Meeting, Sept 26, 1938; WLW Interview," Alfred Bettman Papers, U.C. Archives and Rare Books, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- [81] F. H. Waring, "Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact," *Civil Engineering* 15, No. 5 (1946), 195-6.
- [82] "Letter from F. W. Waring to Mr. William A. Mills, Director of Organization Relations, Ohio Chamber of Commerce," Folder: "Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact, Correspondence, 1944, Box: Department of Health Central Files 1936- 1965, The Ohio Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio.
- [83] F. H. Waring, "Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact," *Civil Engineering* 15, No. 5 (1946), 195-6.
- [84] "Two Droughts Forced Water Compact," *Cincinnati Post*, June 28, 1948, 17.
- [85] Cleary, 283.
- [86] Bill Wolf, "Running Sores on Our Land," *Sports Afield*, January 1949, 76.
- [87] "About Us," Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission, accessed February 12, 2014, <http://www.orsanco.org/about>.
-

From: [Gail Michael](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:35:00 AM

I think we as a nation are forgetting what the environment, in terms of pollution, was like before environmental laws were enacted and enforced. At the same time challenges to the environment persist as the population increases and residential, industrial and agricultural activities impact the environment. Advances that have been made will not continue without continued enforcement.

ORSANCO has not made its case that standards in every state at least meet its standards. Since the water and the impacts on it are shared between states it makes sense to me that a regional group oversees it, and not just to do testing and monitoring.

I hope ORSANCO continues to help us have clean Ohio River water for drinking, wildlife, and recreation.

Gail Michael

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [nicolas estevez](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:46:29 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

nicolas estevez
756 Beck St
Bronx, NY 10455-1902
indioclaro@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Bonnie Hume](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:46:29 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Hume
19713 Skelontown Rd
Cambridge Springs, PA 16403-2157
bonhume@yahoo.com

From: [Pat Gailey](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:55:23 AM

Water is the crux of life, and our clean water supply is challenged at every point along the way, from the coal mines in the mountains to the delta basin. The Ohio river has many industries along its banks, and many people along the way are dependent on Ohio river waters. Not caring about the cleanliness of our water supply is stupid and inexcusable. Are we more interested in limiting expenses for those that pollute or are we more interested in keeping a population healthy? The fact that this issue has been raised suggests that some are more interested in corporate well-being than they are in their own well-being.

Please make decisions that will support our people. It is they, after all, who keep those industries running.

I can't believe we even have to discuss this. It should be standard policy to protect our water.

Patricia Gailey
305 Davis Hollow Rd
Berea, KY 40403
jpp@jppgailey.net

From: [A.C. Nellis](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please don't eliminate ORSANCO pollution control standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:58:21 AM

To whom it may concern:

Unpolluted drinking water is essential to the health and very existence of everyone who lives, including those of us who rely on the Ohio River for our water.

I have lived in Evansville for most of my 68 years, and I can tell you the water quality here has improved greatly from what it was like in the '70s. That is almost solely the result of increased regulations and more oversight by a variety of agencies, including yours. If you think we can trust the EPA and state agencies alone to do the job of protecting our drinking waters, think "Flint, Michigan."

Please don't abandon us, the millions of folks who live along the Ohio River. Please continue to protect us.

Thank you for all you've done -- and all that you will do -- for us.

Gratefully,
A. C. Nellis
920 Meyer Avenue
Evansville, IN 47710
812-424-6832

From: [Connie Vaughn](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:01:21 AM

As a citizen of Indiana and the USA, I strongly urge ORSANCO continue to maintain its pollution control of the Ohio River standards. I love that it's standards are higher than the EPA, especially since so many of past policies are being reduced or eliminated. Please do not give up control and oversight.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Jim Eickhoff](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Roll back of Ohio River Quality Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:15:00 AM

I am very against moving forward with taking away oversight of standards on river quality and allowing state governments do as they wish.

I am very much for continuing were we are with quality of the river water and habitat and to keep raising the bar of what the standards should be.

Ever increasing the quality of the Ohio River should be the goal, (making standards tougher for better water quality should be direction along with better oversight and enforcement without increasing personnel count and costs) and by getting rid of the quality standards that tie all states/cities/counties responsible I believe will cause the river to revert back to 1948 levels

I do not believe without “oversight” from one organization with common goal and set of standards that “all” parties will perform required duties per set standards, (this is played out over and over in state and local governments as well as national government, need to have a balance and check in place)

This feels like a organization that wants to shed its responsibility to the people due to tightening control on spending

Jim Eickhoff

From: [Terry, Will](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:17:01 AM

We need to maintain oversight of the river and make sure our waterways are as clean as possible. Eliminating ORSANCO's oversight of the Ohio does not preserve our waterways.

Please keep it actively managing the Ohio.

Will Terry
Hughes Supply
Louisville, KY
Office: 502-964-4646
Cell: 469-733-5813

Confidentiality Notice: Information in this message, including any attachments, is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) above named. The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential, may constitute a trade secret, may be subject to the attorney-client privilege and may otherwise be protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or an agent responsible for delivering it to an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this message in error, and that any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete this message and destroy any hard copy print-outs. We have taken precautions to minimize the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry your own virus checks on any attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

From: [J Beasley](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Do Not Remove Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:17:13 AM

To the folks at ORSANCO,

My understanding is that the goal of your commission is to reduce pollution in the Ohio River, my family's primary source of drinking water. I am certain that you take your responsibilities very seriously, and I am grateful for your hard work on behalf of all Kentuckians.

I disagree with your recent decision to stop regulating pollution control in the Ohio River. If anything, we need more rigorous control and less pollution in the river. As it is, raw sewage goes into our source for drinking water and in my opinion that is simply unconscionable. We clearly need more rigorous standards than those imposed by the Clean Water Act if this act deems it acceptable for sewage to go into drinking water.

If you have questions, I can be reached by phone at 502.939.0390 or via mail at 207 North Birchwood Avenue, Louisville, KY 40206.

Sincere thanks,
Jennifer Beasley

Sent from my iPhone

From: dennis.bellucci
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution control standards along the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:18:32 AM

Hello Orsanco,

PLEASE keep your own powers to regulate pollution control standards along the Ohio River! With new restrictions on The Clean Water Act proposed by the present federal administration you are needed more now than ever!

Sincerely,
Dennis Bellucci
Louisville, KY.

From: [Bryan Georgilis](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Preserve and augment Ohio River pollution control standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:24:24 AM

Dear ORSANCO,

My name is Bryan Georgilis. I live 5518 Davies Place in Cincinnati, Ohio. My zip code is 45227. My drinking water comes from the river and I depend on you for the safety and cleanliness of that water. I'm alarmed to learn about your proposal number two according to which you may abdicate your responsibility to set adequate standards for pollution controls and water treatment along the Ohio river. I implore you not to abdicate your moral and institutional duty to maintain such standards.

Your failure to continue setting pollution control standards will be unacceptable because state-level standards are inadequate. The several states who have authorized you to do this work rely on you to assume and the financial burden that it entails. They rely on you for uniformity of action and language so that standards along the Ohio are meaningful and effective. They rely on you to prevent interstate conflicts regarding water rights and pollution controls. Downriver states and cities like my own rely on you to protect our water from upriver pollution.

Removing ORSANCO pollution control standards is clearly in conflict with the public interest. It will be a gross failure of your moral duty and of your institutional purpose. Giving preference to polluting industries over the human people who depend on you and on the Ohio for their well being may lead to higher profits for a few, but at a great cost to many. Its costs will be greater than its savings and those costs will be mine to bear. The profiteers whom your abdication will serve do not deserve their surpluses at my great expense.

Sincerely,

Bryan Georgilis

From: [Andrew Henckel](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please keep regulating the Ohio River.
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:25:48 AM

Please keep the powers of Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). The Ohio River is one of the great emarrassments of Kentucky and needs to be held to higher standards. Especially with the nit wit we have in charge of the EPA.

Andrew Henckel

From: [Don Waters](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: KEEP THE PRESENT ORSANCO STANDARDS!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:31:39 AM

In no uncertain terms I strongly urge that the existing ORSANCO water standards NOT be abandoned; that the line be drawn here in the sands of the Ohio River!

Don't allow Washington DC (Trump's EPA), our states (see Michigan), or companies (\$\$\$) who don't want to pay for their pollution roll back these standards.

The Fed and states can oversee, but let us also continue to stand watch here on the banks of the Ohio. We want a less polluted Ohio River for our descendants.

KEEP THE STANDARDS! Donald Edward Waters, 406 Cannons Lane, Louisville, KY, 502-893-3351

Sent from my iPad

From: [Elin Jones](mailto:Elin.Jones@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:32:23 AM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

Since 1948 ORSANCO has set pollution control standards for the Ohio River. These standards have helped improve the water quality of the river and provide critical guidance to the eight states within the basin. Please don't walk away from your responsibility to set uniform pollution control standards for the Ohio River.

Please consider the following comments as you determine ORSANCO's future role.

1. There will be protection gaps and inconsistencies. A 2015 survey conducted by ORSANCO comparing state water quality standards to ORSANCO's pollution control standards found a total of 440 pollutants where there are no state water quality standards or ORSANCO's standards are more protective than the states.
2. States will have added burden. States depend on ORSANCO to establish numeric criteria for toxins like copper, cyanide, fluoride, methylmercury, and nitrites. Without ORSANCO's pollution control standards, states would be burdened with the financial responsibility of researching, developing, and establishing numeric criteria for gaps in state standards.
3. Downstream states stand to pay the price. A state-by-state approach to setting water quality standards raises concerns related to interstate conflict. Without uniform standards for the Ohio River, an upriver state could develop weak water quality standards that would lead to pollution related issues for downriver states.
4. It's a step backward in cleaning up the Ohio River. ORSANCO's pollution control standards are set to manage the Ohio River basin as a whole, rather than disjointed river segments. States should be able to count on ORSANCO to set uniform pollution control standards that bring the entire Ohio River closer to meeting the Clean Water Act's key tenants of fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Elin Jones
326 Muskingum Drive
Marietta, OH 45750
740-706-6739

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Barbara Huwar](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:46:39 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Huwar
184 S 7th Ave
Clarion, PA 16214-2034
blhummingbird@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Olga Rose-Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:46:39 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Olga Rose-Jones
9107 Avenue K
Brooklyn, NY 11236-4303
fortyleg@optonline.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Franklin Matias](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:46:39 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Franklin Matias
325 Kent Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11249-5268
fmatias07@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dave Cunniff](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:51:26 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dave Cunniff
1500 Chicago Ave Apt 324
Evanston, IL 60201-4429
davidcunniff@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [allison alberts](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:16:42 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

allison alberts
129 milan way
green lane, PA 18054-2265
aaalberts@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Doreen Faldzinski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:16:42 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Doreen Faldzinski
11295 East Dr
Demotte, IN 46310-8201
dore12689@yahoo.com

From: [Ken Lefebvre](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment on ORSANCO Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:19:54 AM

To the Board of Commissioners-

As an employee of a state government, that of Massachusetts, I work daily with other offices to ensure that often redundant functions are carried out in tandem with Federal agencies. I have found, particularly within the context of the last couple years, that even when it has been tasked with the most stringent functions and standards, the Federal government have been mired funding shortfalls which prevent offices from effectively carrying out their respective mandates. This is where keeping similar standards and functions at a state or regional level can benefit its residents.

For example, for the past 6 months I have worked for a small state agency known as the Donahue Institute for Governmental Services, to coordinate with municipal and state government to identify new developments for the 2020 Census. The people we work with at the Census are competent professionals, however they have been given significantly fewer resources in the last 10 years due to austerity policies. Because of this, in contrast with the ingenuity of the [tabulating machine](#), an early computer developed this task in the 1890 census, for Census 2020 we have been given software (GUPS) that regularly does not work for basic address updates. Because of this, our state has needed to create our own duplicate software procedures for 2020 geographic updates-- and without our redundant mission, there are tens of thousands of new addresses which would likely go uncounted. I understand that the Census has nothing to do with environmental protection, however I am familiar with the clean water protections from my degree in environmental science, and I feel strongly the aforementioned anecdote demonstrates how state and regional partnerships serve as fail-safes for identical Federal government missions.

Given the recent history of this administration's EPA, you would open your people and your economies to greater risk if such standards were left entirely to the Federal government alone. There always remains the unfortunate reality that agencies like the EPA are simply not allocated resources to enforce their own mandates. I believe this is a concern that will extend beyond the duration of this administration, given the priorities of discretionary spending in the last 15 years. If you would like me to clarify these comments further, you may reach me at this email address.

Respectfully yours,
Ken Lefebvre

Ken Lefebvre, M.P.P.A.
Researcher and Proprietor, Isotyp.es
Research Analyst, UM Maurice A. Donahue Institute

From: [Robbie Williams](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Clean Water Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:20:33 AM

ORSANCO,

I read an article in the local newspaper regarding ORSANCO's proposal to drop clean water regulations.

This causes great concern.

Living in Henderson, Kentucky, I often can smell and taste pollutants in our drinking water.

Millions of people drink from the Ohio River, essentially an industrial and sanitary sewer. We drop out the visible sediment, and add some Chlorine in a treatment plant, then "call it good".

The Ohio Valley has the highest Cancer rate in the country and I believe that our drinking water is a key component.

The ORSANCO proposal places complex chemical science and public safety in the hands of politicians and lobbyists. This is dangerous and will no doubt lead to paid lobbyists seeking soft spots in state legislatures and in individual state regulations.

The Ohio River is shared by Millions of people in multiple states and those downstream must drink whatever is flushed, dumped and poured down the drain upstream.

We definitely need ORSANCO to monitor and regulate the entire watershed.

Please add my comments to this debate.

Robbie Williams
Henderson County Farmer

From: [Bobbi Andriakos](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORANSCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:20:52 AM

Do not strip or lessen ORANSCO's powers! Please care about our environment!
Sent from my iPad

From: bruddben59@gmail.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:23:44 AM

As a Kentucky citizen and a recreational user of the Ohio River near my home, I am writing to express my opposition to ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate numeric pollution standards (option 2). ORSANCO's PCS are not redundant because they are often more protective and clearly, the Compact has not yet achieved its ultimate mission, to restore and maintain the health of the Ohio River. My recommendation is to shelve the current proposal and maintain the status quo.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment,

Betsy Bennett

1 Wolf Pen Lane

Prospect, Ky. 40059

bruddben59@gmail.com

Sent from my iPad

From: [bleininger14](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: No Repeal
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:29:35 AM

Please keep your children's future generations in mind. So many amazing events and activities happen on and around the river. We need to keep our effort strong and make more regulations on what can and cannot be put into the river and what amounts. We need your help to do the right thing and protect this water way.

Thank you,

Ben Leininger

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:41:07 AM

Email was sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:15 AM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Rev. George Hoy
Company / Organization (Optional)
Retired Ordained UCC Minister
Email
revgph@aol.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
My wife, Sandra, and I oppose reducing the regulations on keeping the Ohio River clear and clean from pollution. Please don't change the regulations and keep them as strong as possible. Clean water is an enormous health and environmental issue.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Abigail Branstein](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Stand up for Pollution Control
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:44:17 AM

I love Louisville tap. I've become a water snob. Everywhere I travel water just doesn't taste as good. I love that I live in a place where I know my water is safe to drink. And I know it depends on the good work that the ORSANCO does. As the President continues to undermine decades of work to protect the environment, now more than ever we need organizations to regulate pollution control. Please consider the important role your organization plays in protecting the Ohio River.

Sincerely,
Abigail Branstein
13008 Sunny Slope Way
Prospect, KY 40059

--

Abigail Branstein
502-435-3516
abigail.branstein@gmail.com

From: [Patricia](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:46:28 AM

Please do NOT reduce the pollution standards for the Ohio River!!! Animals and wildlife depend on it. People fish and boat in the water for recreation. Please DO NOT do anything to reduce the environmental standards we need to hold ourselves to. In the state of the environment today, we need stricter standards, not more lax ones.

Thank you,

Patricia Connally
40205

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rita Gagliani](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:46:44 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rita Gagliani
7500 Braewood Dr
Independence, OH 44131-5553
rita.gagliani@mail.mcgill.ca

From: [Betty Jarboe](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Kentucky Should Remain
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:51:08 AM

I firmly believe Kentucky will benefit from remaining in ORSANCO. We must not go back to the bad old days of using the Ohio for dumping. I live near the river and have seen the improvement over the years.

BETTY TERRY JARBOE
7306 Rutledge Road
Louisville, KY. 40258

502-937-0920

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marianne White](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:51:36 AM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marianne White
2012 S Cameron Ln
Bartonville, IL 61607-9335
mariannewhite@ymail.com

From: [Amanda Fuller](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Do not repeal water quality standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:59:40 AM

I am in favor of retaining the ORSANCO water quality standards and I am also in favor of states adopting more stringent standards if they so choose.

The purpose of ORSANCO is to coordinate and cooperate among the states so it seems absolutely necessary for ORSANCO to maintain a baseline recommended standard that ensures clean water for biological health and recreational uses of the river.

There are instances where ORSANCO's standards are more rigorous than those that have been adopted by the individual states, and so it seems obvious that the ORSANCO standards are serving an important purpose and should not be repealed!

--

Amanda Fuller
(502) 742-9824

Este mundo es una bola, y nosotros un bolón.

From: [MICHAEL HAGERTY](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO continue regulating the Ohio river pollution
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:00:10 PM

ORSANCO,

As a resident of Louisville, Kentucky, I request that ORSANCO vote for continuing to regulate the pollutants that are allowed to enter the Ohio River. I believe that having a united governing body overseeing pollution controls is essential for us to maintain the health of the Ohio River. This is the only way to ensure that all of the states are following the same guidelines, especially when more and more state and federal guidelines are getting weakened or stripped altogether. Please vote to keep regulating the Ohio so that our families can have safe drinking water for generations to come.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lisa Hagerty

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Donna Edgar](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Change in oversight of clean water standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:04:44 PM

Do not change the mission of your organization until you have researched all states' regulations and compliance. The Clean Water Act Or portions thereof, may well go the way of other regulations removed by the federal government in the past couple of years. As others have learned, with tragic effects, no one can have too much oversight on the essential commodity that is water.
Sent from my iPad

From: [Alan & Shelley Davis](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Davis Shelley](#)
Subject: ORSANCO Standards - Keep Them!!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:08:04 PM

We find ourselves now in a time when standards at the federal and state levels are being repealed and reversed almost daily. It is important that the source of the drinking water for many is kept safe and acceptable, and the ORSANCO standards have done an excellent job of that. IF, and that is a big if, the federal/state standards are/or remain higher than the ORSANCO standards, then ORSANCO is simply an extra safety monitor of the water quality and the prevention of dumping into the source. IF, and that is a very likely if, the federal Clean Water Act standards set by EPA or state standards are lowered, as other standards have been recently, then the ORSANCO becomes the higher level standards to enforce keeping our drinking water safe from the dumping of pollutants and other things that would reduce the safety of our drinking water and make it more difficult to make that water safe by removal processes.

Our water comes from Mt. Washington, which comes from Louisville, which comes from the Ohio River. Please do NOT give away the authority to step in and protect our water if the federal/EPA or the state(s) abrogate their responsibilities. Keep ORSANCO intact for our future!

Alan C. Davis/Shelley S. Davis
166 St. Andrews Way
Shepherdsville, KY 40165

--

Alan & Shelley Davis
rakenjake2@ez2.net
Phone - 502-921-2169
Cell - 303-521-3127

From: [Haylee Ralston](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO should retain its regulatory authority
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:14:46 PM

We need to preserve local regulatory authority for our natural resources. The Ohio River basin is no exception.

Please do not strip ORSANCO's powers. I want to feel safe knowing what is in my drinking-water (more or less) and would like to be able to use the Ohio recreationally - I fear that decreasing ORSANCO's powers would hinder both of those purposes.

When it comes to local resources, it is not acceptable just to leave issues to the federal government; the feds should pass minimum standards and local authorities should be free to increase regulations as they see fit to protect their constituents and the resources they oversee.

Thank you,
Haylee

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [LESLIE GUZIK](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:16:53 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

LESLIE GUZIK
398 Delaware Dr
Brunswick, OH 44212-1720
jokerles@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [VICKI MALICK](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:21:39 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

VICKI MALICK
1392 Queen St
Pottstown, PA 19464-5042
vmalick@madigiacom.com

From: [Aleece Smith](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment concerning repeal of Ohio River pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:28:18 PM

It seems as though there is a lack of agreement on whether member states have comparable water quality standards in place regarding the Ohio River. This uncertainty should be resolved before any other steps are taken to roll back ORSANCO's regulatory authority.

Sincerely,
Aleece Smith

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa_Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:32:48 PM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:07 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Dr. Paul Breidenbach
Email
pbreidenbach@cincinnatiafcio.org
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
I was distressed to hear that ORSANCO is considering no longer setting water quality standards for the Ohio River. EPA standards, I have read, are less stringent in many cases than those set by the commission. There is never a good time to allow more pollution. Shareholder value of polluting corporations should not trump the public interest. This administration has quite decidedly signaled that it plans to weaken environmental regulations across the board. Do not participate in that project.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jason Caramico](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:46:54 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jason Caramico
40 Baylawn Ave
Copiague, NY 11726-5000
alwayzontop50@msn.com

From: [Jean Cox](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Water pollution
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:46:56 PM

This is to request you do not decrease any local oversight of water pollution standards. The federal government cannot be trusted at this time.

One of the great things about Louisville is the drinking water we have always relied upon.

Thanks for your consideration,
Jean Cox

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [April Oros](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:47:02 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

April Oros
8817 S Roberts Rd Apt 3
Hickory Hills, IL 60457-1565
apriloros@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Carol Wiedemer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:51:43 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Carol Wiedemer
7519 Ashers Run Dr
Crestwood, KY 40014-8433
carol.widemer@deltadentalky.com

From: [Shari Bennett](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: River quality
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:52:21 PM

Please support any and all efforts to keep our rivers and streams healthy and clean so that the drinking water supply is the best it can be and so that all nature dependent on the water can thrive. Unless we take care of our planet, humans and all of nature will be adversely affected. Thank you.

Shari Bennett
Floyds Knobs, IN

Sent from my iPad

From: [Christian Bradley](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: DO NOT REPEAL POLLUTION STANDARDS!!!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:58:15 PM

I cannot imagine the reasons one would have for even thinking about repealing pollution standards for the Ohio River, but it's not difficult to imagine that the wealthy corporations that are dumping chemical waste into our river have thrown some \$\$\$ towards those involved... The Ohio River is already one of the most polluted bodies of water in the United States. We should be working to clean it up, not allow further pollution.

Should this pass, you can be sure your names will go down in local history as the ones responsible for poisoning our children and our environment.

I urge you, DO NOT REPEAL pollution standards for the Ohio River.

Thank you,
Christian Bradley
Clifton Heights, Louisville KY

From: [Lynda Lewis](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Do NOT repeal Ohio R. pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 12:58:21 PM

It's our drinking water! Everyone deserves safe water. All states should be held to the same high standards. Everyone downstream from a state with more lax standards is affected no matter what their own state standards are. The Ohio has historically been a dumping ground. We must do everything we can to eliminate pollution. Please do not enact this repeal.

Lynda Lewis
Louisville, KY

From: [Rose Curtin](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: continuing ORSANCO water standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:00:21 PM

Dear commissioners,

I live with the three daughters I adopted from foster care in Newport, Kentucky, just blocks from the banks of the Ohio and Licking rivers. Living on a border means I know the importance of consistency across jurisdictions and living with children with special needs means I understand the need to do what we can to protect and improve the future. By maintaining ORSANCO's standards and structure, we have the opportunity to do both. I live in one of the poorer states along the river and I don't want my elected officials to use that as an excuse to not focus on river safety if pollution control reverts to them. I want the people downstream to get the same quality of life and river safety that I do, and in fact I want it to be better for all of us. I want my children to be able to keep growing up like they have been, able to walk to the floodwall for a picnic or cross the bridge to Ohio for a birthday party at a riverside park like we did for my 12-year-old this summer. I want our drinking water clean and safe. I believe the only way to maintain and improve on what we have now is to keep ORSANCO strong and functioning. We all deserve it.

Thank you.

Rose Curtin
728 Columbia St.
Newport, KY 41071

From: [Aloma Dew](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution standard comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:06:27 PM

I have lived within a few blocks of the Ohio River most of my life. The Ohio River is a national lifeline for drinking water. It is also a major recreation use area, a source of beauty and comfort. I am opposed to the proposal to eliminate numerical pollution standards(option two). ORSANCO's mission is to LIMIT pollution of the Ohio,already America's dirtiest river, This proposed action would violate the Commission's bylaws and endanger the health of millions of vulnerable Americans. Sincerely, Aloma Williams Dew 2015 Griffith Place East, Owensboro, KY. 42301, 270-685-2034

From: [S Hulett](#)
To: PCS@Orsanco.org
Subject: COMMENT on proposal to eliminate ORSANCO water quality standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:09:17 PM

NO.

A Republican-controlled US Environmental Protection Agency CANNOT BE TRUSTED to maintain adequate water quality standards or to require the Ohio River Watershed States (several of which are also controlled by Republicans) to adopt and implement them in the tributary streams to the Ohio River. The Trump EPA in particular has already proposed repealing Final Regulations on toxic discharges, eliminating protection of headwater streams and wetlands under the Clean Water Act, and letting State and "local" agencies LIKE the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) take precedence in setting Water Quality Standards!

ORSANCO's mission is not limited to the whims of current (Republican-appointed?) directors, it is PERMANENT until the Ohio River waters are uniformly and safely swimmable, fishable and drinkable, and it predates the creation of EPA by decades. There are several TMDLs already developed by ORSANCO that have yet to be implemented by the States, and many more are still needed to meet the water quality standards for the Ohio River. ORSANCO's work is not yet done, and it won't ever get done if the current Directors abrogate that responsibility in order to allow a Republican-controlled EPA to remove the protections that were adopted nationally.

See Jon Devine's column for links to the actions of the Republican Administration EPA:
<https://www.nrdc.org/experts/jon-devine/clean-water-act-45-despite-success-its-under-attack>.

Adamantly,
Sue H Green
Kepley Rd
Georgetown, Indiana, 47122

From: [Betsy Bennett](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:10:06 PM

As a Kentucky citizen and a recreational user of the Ohio River near my home, I am writing to express my opposition to ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate numeric pollution standards (option 2). ORSANCO's PCS are not redundant because they are often more protective and clearly, the Compact has not yet achieved its ultimate mission, to restore and maintain the health of the Ohio River. My recommendation is to shelve the current proposal and maintain the status quo.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment,

Betsy Bennett
1 Wolf Pen Lane
Prospect, Ky. 40059
bruddben59@gmail.com

Sent from my iPad

From: [Carolyn Matheny](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [***Harvey E. ***Matheny](#)
Subject: Please do not go away!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:16:13 PM

It seems that right now would be the worst time to have these standards go away. The EPA is being led by a person who has steadily removed any standards he can that protect the environment.

If all were moving along with attentive and determined enforcement of environmental standards we could see removing this “fail-safe” organization. But such enforcement is not a certainty right now, and if Federal rules go by the wayside, some states might decide to lower theirs.

Please keep ORSANCO!

Harvey and Carolyn Matheny

Louisville

From: [Alex Ochsner](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:16:34 PM

Hello,

I urge whoever has the power to NOT repeal the pollution standards in place for the Ohio River. This river is already polluted, and we should be looking at ways to clean up this vital waterway and not loosen restrictions so dumping can increase. At what point does the preservation of our environment for future generations outweigh the motivations of corporate greed? It seems to occur less and less with the current administration, to nobody's surprise I'm sure. Please think about the environmental and ecological implications of repealing these standards. I hope you will decide to do the right thing for our community and future.

Thank you,
Alex Ochsner
A Concerned Citizen of Louisville

Sent from my iPhone

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Robert Hammond](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:17:00 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Robert Hammond
311 Harper Rd
Lewisburg, WV 24901-5556
bob_hammond@suddenlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Charles Seymour](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:17:09 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Charles Seymour
2837 Brookdale Ave
Louisville, KY 40220-1118
charlie32ford@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jonathan Wilhelms](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:17:09 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Wilhelms
28522 Lincoln Rd
Bay Village, OH 44140-2171
jonathan.88.wilhelms@gmail.com

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:21:53 PM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:09 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name

Mr. Gerald & Judith Arnold

Email

ovenbirds@att.net

Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?

None/Anyone

Message/Comments

Please do not abandon your mission, which is to protect the Ohio River, which is bounded by many states. We cannot afford to leave that protection to each state which borders the river.

We remember the days when the Ohio was seriously polluted and we do not want to ever see those days again, for the health of all the millions of people who depend on the river. Our children and grandchildren live in Louisville, and our water in Shelby Country is piped here from Louisville.

We ask that ORSANCO continue to provide the oversight needed to provide the overall view and the comparative analyses needed for coordination, consistency and equity.

Surely that is what you would want for your family!

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks (emails targeting you)!!

From: [Pamela Garver](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Re: Ohio River pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:24:38 PM

To Whom it May Concern:

Please do not abolish or even weaken the pollution standards. I know it is currently politically in vogue to rape and pillage our water supply, the natural resources and kill the wildlife in the name of greed. When all those things are gone what will you eat or drink? Pollution standards are our only protection against corporate over reach and exploitation.

Pam Garver

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [Debbie Hardesty](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:25:03 PM

To: Whom it may Concern on the ORSANCO

Please do not eliminate any pollution control standards. I am a lifelong resident of Evansville (63 years). I have retired from teaching in the EVSC after 34 years of service. Even though I love the city of Evansville I am concerned about higher incidences of cancer in our area. Even though I mainly suspect air quality I do not want to relax our water standards at ALL. I also hope that we can utilize our river location in many more exciting ways!

Thanks for your vigilance on this matter!

Debbie Hardesty

From: [Mary Groeschen](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:31:08 PM

As a citizen of Louisville KY, who drinks city water, I am firmly against removing ORSANCO's ability to regulate pollutants and who can dump in the Ohio River, especially when the EPA is working to restrict regulations on its end.

Mary Groeschen
Louisville, KY 40291

From: [Mary Barkalow](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio river pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:31:50 PM

I am urging that pollution standards NOT be lowered or eliminated on the Ohio River. Clean water is too important to all the residents who depend on the river for drinking water and recreation.

Sincerely,
Mary Barkalow
Louisville, KY 40202

Sent from my iPad

From: [Betsy Bennett](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:34:45 PM

As a Kentucky citizen and a recreational user of the Ohio River near my home, I am writing to express my opposition to ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate numeric pollution standards (option 2). ORSANCO's PCS are not redundant because they are often more protective and clearly, the Compact has not yet achieved its ultimate mission, to restore and maintain the health of the Ohio River. My recommendation is to shelve the current proposal and maintain the status quo.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment,

Betsy Bennett

1 Wolf Pen Lane

Prospect, Ky. 40059

bruddben59@gmail.com

Sent from my iPad

From: [Mary Anne Arnold](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO comment opposing repeal
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:35:10 PM

Our family has lived on the banks of the Ohio River in Oldham County, KY for decades, so we have a very strong interest in this process. We can remember when the river was not as clean as it is today due to discharges upstream. Now the recreational value is much stronger for boaters and fishermen.

The Ohio River is a federal waterway and therefore should continue to be regulated under ORSANCO for consistency in appropriate standards among all affected states. Furthermore, the structure would allow a prompt and cohesive response should an Ohio River emergency arise.

Do NOT repeal this commission.

Thank you,
Mary Anne and Bill Arnold
Prospect, KY 40059

From: [Linda Vanderver](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:41:06 PM

As a resident of Evansville, Indiana, I strongly oppose the elimination of pollution control standards by ORANSCO. It is important to have this commission to back up and enhance the regulations provided by the EPA and individual states. Under the current administration, it is likely that the EPA will reduce standards significantly in favor of utilities and big business, and to the detriment of people, plants and wildlife relying on the river for water, food and recreation.

Please keep doing what you have been doing to improve the water quality of the Ohio. Extra monitoring alone will not do the job. It will only let us know when the lifting of standards has done harm.

Linda Vanderver

From: [Christian Juckett](mailto:Christian.Juckett@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: I oppose the repeal of Ohio River Pollution Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:46:10 PM

To Whom It May Concern,

This is to advise you that I oppose the repeal of pollution control standards by The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission.

I believe that Kentucky's water quality standards are likely to be relaxed and do not have confidence that Kentucky will enforce its water quality standards as the currently exist.

ORSANCO should maintain its regulatory authority to standardize water quality standards for the Ohio and to maintain or increase water quality protections.

Regards,

-Christian

Christian Juckett
2921 Riedling Drive
Louisville, Kentucky 40206

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Dwight Hughes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:47:01 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Dwight Hughes
657 Alameda Ave
Sheffield Lake, OH 44054-1310
dhughes1027@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Collett McFarland](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:47:01 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Collett McFarland
2030 County Route 49
Winthrop, NY 13697-3205
collettmcfarland@gmail.com

From: [Catherine Knott](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Thoughts from Hanover, IN regarding environmental regulation standards of the Ohio
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:50:21 PM

To whom it may concern,

Three years ago, I had the privilege of moving to the beautiful landscape of rural southern Indiana, and am currently serving on staff at Hanover College, which overlooks the mighty Ohio.

In my time here, the Ohio has already been the home of toxic algae blooms, which has rendered the water dangerous for even a leisurely sporting activity. I applaud you for your efforts of regulation thus far, though implore that your powers of regulation remain in tact, as we have already suffered the consequences of pollution in the river.

I thank you for your consideration.

Regards,

Rev. Dr. Catherine Knott, Ph.D.
Campus Chaplain
Hanover College
Hanover, IN

From: [Gina Yohe \(gmy76@aol.com\)](mailto:gmy76@aol.com) [Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect the Ohio River, Too!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:52:04 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained, drinking water authorities and utilities are on my side. They also understand the value of ORSANCO setting uniform standards to protect the drinking water of 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the US Environmental Protection Agency lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

In 2014, methylcyclohexane methanol, a coal washing chemical leaked into the Elk River and traveled into the Ohio River.

In 2015, an overabundance of phosphorus runoff from agricultural processes, municipal sewage, and septic tanks in the watershed caused a 700-mile-long algae bloom on the river.

At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place. Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they should consider reforming the tri-annual review process into a five-year review process in order to reduce cost, not eliminate the standards altogether.

Sincerely,

Gina Yohe
2142 Hiram Ln
Hudson, OH 44236
gmy76@aol.com
(440) 683-1552

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

From: [Claudia Dawson](mailto:Claudia.Dawson@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: [Claudia Dawson](mailto:Claudia.Dawson@orsanco.org)
Subject: Fwd: Ohio River pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:56:44 PM

From: Claudia Dawson <searaygirl1@sbcglobal.net>
Date: August 19, 2018 at 5:38:19 PM EDT
To: Claudia Dawson <searaygirl1@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Ohio River pollution standards

I live in Switzerland County, Indiana, between Cincinnati and Louisville. The Ohio River is my back yard. We moved here for this river's resources, beauty, fishing, boating, wildlife, and peacefulness. I am very sorry to hear that Orsanco is wanting to eliminate its pollution control standards. please do NOT do this.

We cannot tolerate the degradation of the Ohio River, nor should it be considered. This river valley is a very important resource, and should be preserved, at all costs!

We see Eagles with increasing frequency the last few years. It is amazing that they are making a comeback. We saw them catching fish and eating. We see all kinds of migrating wildlife. If the river is degraded, we may lose all gains that have been made.

Ohio River provides drinking water to 3 million people, and 10 percent of US population live in the Ohio River Basin. That is 25 million people! There is a higher incidence of cancer, and illness along the River, from water pollutants, and industry that are burning coal and making steel.

During rainstorms, raw sewage is dumped at 1350 points along the River, not to mention urban run off, and agriculture. Personally, if I have so much as a scratch, I get infection from being in this River. I used to swim in it all summer!

Acid mine drainage in the upper basin, release sulfur and metals, and the River does not currently meet standards for bacteria, pathogens, PCB's lead, mercury. There are severe restrictions on consumption of fish, and no eating of catfish, or carp. We have prehistoric paddlefish, which are dwindling.

Camping and boating increases our County's summer population about 30 percent. Those visitors come to camp because the River. If they stopped coming, our town would lose a lot of "tourists" that are supporting our town's businesses.

Please do not reduce the restrictions! Our lives depend on the health of this river basin. The children that follow us should have this resource.

Do we really want to destroy our planet, piece by piece?

Claudia dawson

10 Packet Boulevard

Vevay, In. 47043

317-372-4925

E-mail-searaygirl1@sbcglobal.net

From: bruddben59@gmail.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:58:17 PM

As a Kentucky citizen and a recreational user of the Ohio River near my home, I am writing to express my opposition to ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate numeric pollution standards (option 2). ORSANCO's PCS are not redundant because they are often more protective and clearly, the Compact has not yet achieved its ultimate mission, to restore and maintain the health of the Ohio River. My recommendation is to shelve the current proposal and maintain the status quo.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment,

Betsy Bennett

1 Wolf Pen Lane

Prospect, Ky 40059

bruddben59@gmail.com

Sent from my iPad

From: [Stephen Vanderver](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:59:06 PM

Why is this happening? Why are regulators now bowing down to the coal, chemical and other industrial polluters?

Apparently, no one really cares about the progress that has been made to make our waters and surrounding environment safer.

Without enforced regulations, the companies who pollute win. No one to regulate what goes into our water sources and into the air we try to breathe.

Look back to the sixties. Anyone remember Love Canal in western New York state? Without strict regulations, the area became a toxic wasteland. It took millions of dollars to clean up and in the end took many lives and livelihoods due to the carcinogens polluting the area.

Do we want the "Beautiful Ohio" to become another Love Canal?

Let's do the right thing and keep the regulations in tact despite the efforts of big money and the EPA to eliminate any sort of regulation.

Stephen H Vanderver
Concerned Citizen,
Evansville, IN (on the Ohio River)

 Think Green. Please do not print this e-mail unless necessary

From: [Kevin Hengehold](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards Comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:05:51 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

My name is Kevin Hengehold. I live in Newport, Kentucky, zip code 41071.

I'm writing to ask that you retain and expand the Pollution Control Standards and not to enact Option 2.

The Ohio River provides drinking water for over 5 million people. Weakening the Pollution Control Standards would mean that all of the pollution regulations from ORSANCO would essentially become null and void, and the Clean Water Act would be the law of the land. The Clean Water Act has typically been a floor for regulations, with ORSANCO going above and beyond the federal law to better protect the region. To wit, as of 2015, there were 188 instances where ORSANCO set a standard for that neither a member state nor EPA had a standard for. And there were 252 instances in which ORSANCO standards were at least 10 percent more stringent than those of the member states or the EPA, including for arsenic, which can be found in waste from the burning of coal and is

known to cause cancer.^[1] Option 2 would be an unequivocal rollback of regulations, making the Ohio River dirtier and less safe for the people who live near it, play in it, and drink from it. The River's not in great shape; in 2015 it led the nation in pollution dumped into it by industry, and it received over 24 million pounds of discharge from industry in 2013.^[2] If ORSANCO were to abdicate its current responsibilities, each state would be left to decide how clean the river should be, with no regional response if the EPA were to loosen its provisions.^[3]

Moreover, weakening the standards means that coal-burning power plants will be allowed to continue operation longer than they otherwise should. There is a coal plant located every 38 miles along the Ohio River.^[4] Coal plants are the largest contributors of toxic pollution to U.S. waters, dumping metals such as mercury, arsenic and lead. Long-term exposure to these metals can cause neurological and developmental damage, harm internal organs, and cause cancer. The EPA has found that that power plants dump more pollutants, such as mercury, arsenic and lead, into our waters than the next nine industries combined. The heavy metals in the waste discharges can cause neurological and developmental damage, cause harm in utero, damage internal organs and cause cancer.^[5]

I won't mince words: coal is the enemy of the human race. It kills 15,000 people per year in the USA,^[6] and has a death rate per kilowatt-hour (kWh) 25 times that of natural gas and over 600 times that of wind energy.^[7] People of color are more likely to live next to a coal plant than white people, so these health effects are disproportionately affecting black, brown, and indigenous people.^[8] If all of the externalized costs of coal were internalized, it would cost roughly 27 cents per kWh, rather than the approximately 3 cents per kWh cost now.^[9] And this fails to account for the greatest cost of all, that of climate change. Coal has the greatest carbon footprint of any energy source currently used. According to the Stern Report, if the worst impacts of climate change are realized, we could lose 20% of our GDP per year, every year.^[10] Accompanying this GDP loss is increased human suffering, forced migration, lives ruined, and lives lost through heat waves, the intensification of storms through sea level rise, and the migration of bugs carrying lethal diseases. All of this human misery, suffering, and death is being suborned solely by the desire of a few rich individuals at the top of the coal companies to expand their wealth accumulation, no matter the

cost. It's morally repugnant, and you can help bring it to an end by voting to uphold and expand the Pollution Control Standards and to reject Option 2.

[1] <https://insideclimatenews.org/news/30052018/ohio-river-clean-water-standards-coal-power-plants-industry-toxic-pollution-orsanco>

[2] <https://www.courier-journal.com/story/tech/science/environment/2015/03/11/ohio-river-panel-ease-mercury-requirements/70153174/>

[3] <https://insideclimatenews.org/news/07062018/ohio-river-pollution-control-standards-orsanco-vote-clean-water-act-coal-fired-power-plants-epa>

[4] <https://insideclimatenews.org/news/30052018/ohio-river-clean-water-standards-coal-power-plants-industry-toxic-pollution-orsanco>

[5] <https://earthjustice.org/cases/2014/cleaning-up-power-plant-water-pollution>

[6] <https://www.forbes.com/sites/jamesconca/2017/11/07/pollution-kills-more-people-than-anything-else/#62edd221a356>

[7] <https://www.forbes.com/sites/jamesconca/2012/06/10/energys-deathprint-a-price-always-paid/#379080b6709b>

[8]

https://e360.yale.edu/features/naacp_jacqueline_patterson_coal_pollution_and_fight_for_environmental_justice

[9] <https://nyaspubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05890.x>

[10] http://mudancasclimaticas.cptec.inpe.br/~rmclima/pdfs/destaques/sternreview_report_complete.pdf

From: [Evan Parlier](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Fewer regulations?! Are you joking?
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:10:48 PM

I had to look twice to make sure I wasn't hallucinating when I saw that there was talk on putting FEWER regulations on the amount of pollution being dumped into the Ohio. How can this even be a topic that's up for discussion in this day and age? If anything, we should have more/stricter regulations on what's allowed to be dumped into the source of our drinking water.

The fact that it is our source drinking water isn't even one of the main reasons I feel this way. I have confidence that the Water Company will make our water safe to drink. However, the fact that we have such a beautiful natural resource in our backyard and we're basically voting on whether we should destroy it even faster is just beyond belief, especially in this day and age. I plead that our law-makers and citizens will see what an absurdly bad idea this is and vote accordingly. We need to be doing more to protect our river, not less. Thank you for your time.

From: [James Bennett](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Re: Proposed removal of pollution control standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:16:29 PM

Dear ORSANCO commissioners,

I am opposed to removing ORSANCO's pollution control standards for the Ohio River basin. It is not logical that eight separate states can protect our great river and its tributaries as effectively as one basin-wide oversight organization. I urge the commission to retain the standards and continue to serve the people and waterways of our valley.

James Bennett
235 Haldeman Avenue
Louisville, KY 40206

From: davis2kh@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kathryn Davis](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:16:31 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Kathryn Davis
1064 E Rich Street
Columbus OH, 43205-1857

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sister Barbara Juskiewicz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:17:03 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

We need pollution controls that protects our natural environment, Th is what makes America great.

Sincerely,

Sister Barbara Juskiewicz
600 Doat St
Buffalo, NY 14211-2602
sbmj@feliciansisters.org

From: jason.mcgraw
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Attn: PCS Comments (Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission)
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:24:07 PM

ORSANCO
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Attn: PCS Comments

Dear ORSANCO Commission and Staff:

I am writing as part of the comment period for the Pollution Control Standards. I believe that the ORSANCO must continued to exercise its regulatory powers over pollution standards on the Ohio River and in the Ohio River Valley.

Regards,

Jason McGraw
1225 S. Collinswood Drive
Bloomington, IN 47401

From: [Wendy Fierberg](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Standards Comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:29:46 PM

Hello,

I am a U.S. citizen and resident of Louisville, KY.

I'm writing to express my strong disapproval regarding the proposal of reducing ORSANCO's regulatory power as related to managing the water quality of the Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Wendy Fierberg

From: [Meier, Dustin J.](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:30:20 PM

Hello,

I am writing to voice my opinion regarding the pollution control standards proposed by ORSANCO.

I hope that the compact takes into consideration the impact of pollution and other environmental issues on either the perpetuation or amelioration of social inequalities. Pollution in the river restricts certain populations' access to food, water, recreation, and other vital components of modern life. As an interstate compact, ORSANCO has the ability to provide justice to the people of the Ohio River Valley. Moreover, I hope that the compact will avoid the myopic thinking of our current political moment and do what is best for the region in the future.

Thank you,

Dustin Meier
828 Dennison Ave.
Columbus, OH 43215



Dustin Meier
Graduate Assistant
The Ohio State University Department of History
009 Dulles Hall, 230 Annie and John Glenn Ave., Columbus, OH 43210
614-397-0304 Mobile
meier.87@buckeyemail.osu.edu

From: Ffrederff
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:36:53 PM

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing this letter to provide comment in response to the ORSANCO proposed revisions to its Pollution Control Standards for Discharges to the Ohio River - 2015 Revision.

I understand that ORSANCO sets Pollution Control Standards for industrial and municipal waste water discharges to the Ohio River, and tracks certain dischargers whose effluent can seriously impact water quality. The standards designate specific uses for the Ohio River, and establish guidelines to ensure that the river is capable of supporting these uses. Although river quality has improved greatly, the river remains threatened by raw sewage discharges from combined sewer overflows during wet weather, urban and agricultural runoff and toxic chemicals and pollutants from companies with water pollution permits.

Presently, about two-thirds of the river, including Indiana and Kentucky, are not safe for swimming and recreational uses. The Ohio River has been the most polluted rivers in the U.S. for 9 years in a row, according to the U.S. EPA. The amount of pollution discharge into the Ohio River is more than double what industries pour into the Mississippi River, which ranked second. Mercury pollution in the Ohio River increased by more than 500% between 2007 and 2013, according to EPA data. Mercury is a potent neurotoxin that impairs fetal brain development and is dangerous to all of us. People who live along the Ohio River and rely on it for a water source are concerned about the hazardous waste that has made its way and continues to make its way into the river.

I believe that weakening or doing away with ORSANCO's standards would be a setback for river quality and by extension for the people and businesses that enjoy and rely on the river. It would lead to a patchwork of different standards for especially dangerous types of pollutants up and down the Ohio River. It is important to keep watch over this most valuable resource that is the Ohio River. We all must work together in a collaborative manner to ensure that our water quality programs are appropriated and meet the needs of the folks who use and depend on the river. I do not believe that this can be done without a coordinated effort and enforcement of the ORSANCO's standards.

Thank you,

Fred Frederick
5033 Kenosha Drive
Newburgh, IN 47630

From: [Doug Yeager](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Changes to pollution control in the Ohio river watershed
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:37:38 PM

What I want to know is "how can anybody think that removing these controls is a good idea?"

I just returned from El Estor Guatemala, on the shores of Lake Isabel. They are in crisis because mining interests (in their case nickel) are polluting the lake. Without compelling rules a private enterprise will "dump" by products of their production into landfills. The argument could be made that without these rules it would irresponsible (to share holders) for a company to spend money mitigating the environmental consequences of such dumping. It is the role of government to lay down the rules.

Doug Yeager
206 Cornell pm
Louisville, KY 40207

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alison Sky](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:47:08 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alison Sky
60 Greene St
New York, NY 10012-5139
alisonsky@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Miller](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:47:08 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Miller
1 S York Rd
Dillsburg, PA 17019-9535
treichm@comcast.net

From: [Lindascowanky](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Proposed changes
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:50:02 PM

I oppose any change in ORSANCO's pivotal role in overseeing and regulating discharges into the Ohio River. It is critical that we continue to clean the source of our drinking water and assure a safe environment in which to fish, swim and boat. Continued cooperation between the states bordering the Ohio is unique and important. Regulation by those states with the greatest interest in water safety issues assures action to protect citizens who rely on this great waterway.

Linda S. Cowan
Louisville, KY

Sent from my iPad

From: [Marcia and Tom Ballard](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on Orsanco proposal
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:05:46 PM

To whom it may concern:

According to the Evansville Courier and Press, “Ron Riecken is owner of Inland Marina near Evansville. He has served on ORSANCO's public information advisory committee for 20 years but said he will resign if commissioners approve the change.” I agree with Riecken in his belief that “doing away with ORSANCO's standards would be a setback for river quality and by extension the people and businesses who enjoy and rely on the river”.....including the people who live downstream of us. Relying on EPA to create and enforce water quality regulation for the Ohio River is particularly dangerous at this point in time. It seems to me that the current director of the EPA has a history of undermining the very agency he heads. I think ORSANCO is in a much better position to moderate and protect the Ohio River.

I strongly disagree with the proposal to reduce ORSANCO’s regulations. Oversight of the water quality of the Ohio River should not be given to the EPA.

Thank You,
Marcia Ballard
5733 Fiesta Drive
Newburgh, Indiana 47630
812-205-5879

From: [Angela Stiefbold](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comments on proposed pollution control standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:06:00 PM

I am writing as former resident of Ohio, and frequent visitor to the Ohio Valley. I believe that ORSANCO should retain existing, strict pollution control standards. Clean water along the Ohio for tourism and recreation supports an important sector of the economy. Protecting local drinking water supplies from contaminants is also important. The more stringent standards of ORSANCO give the Ohio Valley a relative advantage to other regions of the country for tourism and development.

I hope that ORSANCO makes the right decision and maintains current, more stringent standards for water quality.

Sincerely,

Angela Stiefbold
112 Village Lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Melissa Alexander](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:07:45 PM

Hello,

My name is Melissa Alexander and I live at 2388 Quail Run Farm Ln. in Cincinnati, OH, 45233, a part of the Ohio river watershed. I highly encourage you to protect our natural waterways from pollution so that our country can be as life-giving and sustainable today as it will be for my children and my children's children. Thank you for your consideration.

Cheers,

Melissa Alexander

--

Melissa Mary Alexander, MA
alexandermelissamary@gmail.com
513-608-0112

From: [Karen Cairns](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: A clean Ohio River!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:09:10 PM

Please: we need stricter standards, more oversight, and better enforcement, not less! Please do everything you can to work for a cleaner Ohio River. This beautiful and life-giving river needs all of our help.

Dr. Karen Cairns, EdD, MPH

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Carl Wilhoyte](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: NO on weakening/removing ORSANCO pollution control standard
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:16:19 PM

Hello,

My name is Carl Wilhoyte and I live in South Euclid, OH on the east side of Cleveland. Removing or weakening pollution controls for public water sources would be a disaster for an area afflicted by tragic lead levels in our drinking water. Pollution controls do not need to be relaxed, but rather tightened and the cost to fix the issue placed on the polluters themselves. This is their problem, not the people's, and they must bear the cost of fixing it. If not, they should be made a public entity.

A powerful regional standard with enforcement must be upheld and expanded, as local Republican-led state governments will undoubtedly wish to weaken their state or local standards, threatening everyone in that area. Water knows no boundary, and pollution will travel regardless of the line drawn on the map.

This is a water-rich area, and it is your duty and obligation to protect the people that live here. File this letter under absolute opposition to removing any regional water pollution standards.

My full name/address:

Carl Wilhoyte
1236 Plainfield Rd
South Euclid, OH 44121

Thank you for your time. Do the right thing!

Sincerely,
Carl Wilhoyte, an Ohioan.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Thomas Kowatz](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:17:18 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Thomas Kowatz
2212 Delaware Dr
Cleveland Heights, OH 44106-3115
txk246@case.edu

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Hayes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:17:18 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Hayes
158 E 7th St Apt D3
New York, NY 10009-6282
fieldproducer@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Conchita Braun](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:17:19 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Conchita Braun
1220 Parkside Dr S
Reading, PA 19611-1440
conchitabraun@yahoo.com

From: [Jessica Kane](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Water pollution control standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:18:38 PM

I am writing today to tell you that I value the water pollution control standards set by the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). If ORSANCO no longer sets water quality standards, then each state would be left to decide how clean the river should be. Please do not allow ORSANCO to abdicate its responsibility to protect the drinking water, recreational opportunities, and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. ORSANCO has a unique and settled opportunity to protect and improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by setting these standards for the maximum levels of various pollutants in the river. The standards are not an unnecessary regulatory burden, but rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River while providing protection of designated uses. According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the EPA lack. At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place.

I and other Kentucky citizens are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Steve Wanninger](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:21:59 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Steve Wanninger
1714 Melrose St
Rockford, IL 61103-4748
smwanninger@comcast.net

From: [Tina Jones](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Control Comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:23:31 PM

To Whom It May Concern --

I am writing to express my concern that you, the commissioners of ORSANCO, might eliminate the pollution control standards for the Ohio River that are in effect to protect the water quality of the Ohio River for millions citizens of several states. I understand that you believe that the pollution control standards are a duplication of state standards, but since the Ohio River is a boundary river and runs through several states, I think it is necessary for an interstate agency to oversee the standards among all of the states. This agency can coordinate the standards and ensure that states are consistent in their enforcement of pollution control.

I understand that many of the staff members at ORSANCO oppose this move, and I wonder what is motivating you to consider eliminating something that is considered vital by those working to maintain clean Ohio River water every day. The standards are working successfully and have greatly improved the water quality of the Ohio River over the past fifty years. These standards have ensured that controls on pollutants that enter the river are at a level where water quality is safe for businesses using the water for production, for municipalities that use the water for drinking, and for all of the citizens who use the river for recreation.

I urge you to NOT eliminate the ORSANCO pollution control standards.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Christina Jones
560 N. Hereford Lane
Madison, IN

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: [Scott Thile](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Repeal of Ohio River Pollution Standards Comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:26:56 PM

Hello,

I am writing in opposition to Repealing the Ohio River Pollution Standards. My understanding is proponents of repeal feel the Ohio River Standards are redundant with the EPA standards. While that may be true in part, the current administration cannot be counted on to maintain those standards, and may not feel as invested in protecting our natural resources as we should be ourselves.

I am one of the many Kentuckians that greatly enjoy the river system, and feel that its stewardship, and all of our natural resources should be a top priority for all of us. Industry and Industrial Agriculture, and others using our Rivers in Kentucky and other Ohio River states have a very poor record when it comes to the environment, especially with regards to discharge into our rivers. This must be carefully regulated and those that violate the standards should be held accountable for any breeches. It makes sense that those with the most at stake are the ones that should control these standards.

Please do not repeal or weaken the Ohio River Pollution Standards. Our rivers are one of our greatest natural resources. We must ensure that they are maintained and improved for all of us now, and for future generations.

Very Sincerely,
Scott E. Thile
Murray, KY 42071

--

Scott E. Thile
S.E.THILE Handmade Pipes
<http://sethilepipes.com>
Sysop for Pipedia, the wiki for pipes
<http://pipedia.org>

"A man who works with his hands is a laborer; a man who works with his hands and his brain is a craftsman; but a man who works with his hands and his brain and his heart is an artist."
---Louis Nizer, *Between You and Me*, Beechurst Press, 1948.

From: [Margaret Royar](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: KEEP pollution control standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:27:35 PM

I'm a resident of Jefferson County Kentucky. The Ohio River is already nasty, smells, has tons of sewage being pumped in, and has garbage floating through. WHY would we want to dereg and INCREASE that? Have the lawmakers lost their cotton pickin minds?? Or did they sell their offices/votes to the highest bidder? Both?

KEEP pollution control standards!!

Sent from my iPhone

Margaret E. Royar, Esq.
C: (502) 718-7922

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lorraine Shertzer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: DO NOT ELIMINATE Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:47:15 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Shertzer
3762 Susan Ln
Brookhaven, PA 19015-2223
lshertzer@comcast.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrea Halfhill](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:47:15 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

I urge you not to eliminate the pollution control standards. This is an important river for wildlife and the public! Please take steps to protect this waterway for current and future generations!

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrea Halfhill
248 Beechwood Rd
Greensburg, PA 15601-8782
ang_004@hotmail.com

From: [rodney.nelson](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:47:46 PM

Please do not eliminate pollution control standards. If anything, you should be strengthening and building on them. What is wrong with you people? How can this even be a possibility?

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Barrett](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:52:01 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Barrett
9582 International Dr
Indianapolis, IN 46268-3267
linda.barrett85@yahoo.com

From: [Dave Cassel](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Elimination of Multistate Pollution Control Rules for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 3:56:23 PM

To Whom in May Concern,

I am writing to express my profound displeasure at the proposed elimination of ORSANCO pollution control standards that apply to all of the states bordering the Ohio River. I am afraid that by eliminating universal pollution control standards that apply to all of the states bordering the Ohio River and allowing each state to institute its own rules will lead to vastly different standards and the impossibility of controlling the overall quality of the river. Since each state shares the Ohio River with a state on the other side of the river, analysis of who is responsible for the testing, analysis, clean-up, and regulation will become increasingly difficult. Who is to say who is responsible for the degradation of the river when it flows through many states if each state has different standards and a different system of monitoring and enforcing the rules.

Please reconsider your proposed changes. I urge you, instead to maintain ORSANCO's present standards and to do the utmost in enforcing these standards.

Thank you for your consideration.

J. David Cassel

J. David Cassel

Professor Emeritus of Theological Studies
560 N. Hereford Lane
Madison, IN 47250
812-866-5988

From: [sarah reeves](mailto:sarah.reeves@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: I value the water pollution control standards set by ORSANCO.
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:00:31 PM

I grew up in Louisville, I used to argue with people about how great our drinking water was. It is not lost on me how much the ORSANCO commission has done to improve and protect the water quality of the Ohio River. I am sure the job is not an easy one. Please do not stop setting pollution Control standards!

I think of my two young kids and the mess of environmental problem we are handling them. Unless you can say for certain that your decision leaves water quality in a better place than it was prior to any potential changes - than you can't in good conscious make decision. Please protect the body of water and thus the citizens who need you. Please do not abdicate your power and influence.

If ORSANCO no longer sets water quality standards, then each state would be left to decide how clean the river should be. Please do not allow ORSANCO to abdicate its responsibility to protect the drinking water, recreational opportunities, and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state.

ORSANCO has a unique and settled opportunity to protect and improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by setting these standards for the maximum levels of various pollutants in the river. The standards are not an unnecessary regulatory burden, but rather provide certainty for regulated entities along the length of the Ohio River while providing protection of designated uses. According to ORSANCO's minority report, there are 188 parameter criteria which the six signatory states and the EPA lack. At a time when the federal government is eliminating or weakening environmental and public health safeguards, it is even more urgent that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place.

I and other Kentucky citizens are grateful for ORSANCO's role in protecting the Ohio River. Stripping ORSANCO of its authority would weaken public confidence in this important Commission. And I am not alone asking for the current pollution control standards be maintained; drinking water authorities and utilities agree. They also understand the need for ORSANCO to set the standard for the entire Ohio River to protect the drinking water of 5 million people. Please do not take away ORSANCO's unique authority to protect the Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sarah Reeves

682 Springhurst Dr.

Lexington, Ky 40503

From: [Rosalind Streeter](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Control Standards of the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:04:19 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners;

I strongly support REJECTING the proposed changes to the ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards. After reviewing the proposed changes, I am shocked to learn that ORSANCO no longer wishes to protect the Ohio River, the millions of citizens that live, work and recreate along and in it, and the thousands of species that inhabit it.

I am concerned that ORSANCO Commissioners are playing politics with the health and livelihoods of over 25 million people. While I appreciate that ORSANCO's Executive Director is clear on the compact guiding ORSANCO. I think that his view that "ORSANCO would continue to work with its member states to make sure the designated uses of the Ohio River are met." is naively optimistic. Any of the extensive proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards will have a deleterious effect on health, welfare, and recreation for the citizens living in the Ohio River Valley Basin.

I urge the Commissioners to REJECT the proposed changes.

Sincerely,

Rosalind Streeter
Westport, KY resident
Boater & Swimmer in the Ohio River

From: [Ann DeVlish](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment on Environmental Regulation of the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:11:09 PM

Scrutiny and regulation of pollution is crucial, and I believe ORSANCO should continue to set high standards and regulate (and reduce if possible) the pollution allowed to seep into the Ohio River, to help ensure the future health of my Louisville community and all communities impacted by the Ohio River valley ecosystem. Additional scrutiny and independent regulatory bodies are crucial in protecting our environment, and I strongly believe ORSANCO needs to continue to scrutinize and regulate pollution in the Ohio River.

Ann DeVilbiss
registered voter
Louisville, KY 40217

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [tyler harrington](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:17:18 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

tyler harrington
275 Ore Bed Rd
Schuyler Falls, NY 12985-2324
tyler.harrington@ymail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Karin Guzman](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:17:18 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Karin Guzman
25 Earle Pl
New Rochelle, NY 10801-2016
mel5low@hotmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [John Wierzbicki](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:17:18 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

John Wierzbicki
1707 S Orianna St
Philadelphia, PA 19148-1805
jtwcustomline@yahoo.com

From: [Judy](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: I want a cleaner Ohio River, not a dirtier one!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:18:14 PM

Please do not roll back river pollution standards!

Judy Steer
60 Winifrede Lane
Louisville, KY 40206

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Gerry OConnor](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:22:04 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Gerry OConnor
597 Stowe Ave
North Baldwin, NY 11510-1701
goconnor65@hotmail.com

From: [Mary Jo Bennett](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: quality of Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:23:28 PM

To whom it may concern:

Many people, animals and flora depend on the quality of the river. Lessening standards which protect the Ohio River is NOT a good idea.

I strongly disagree with the proposal to reduce ORSANCO's regulations. Oversight of the water quality of the Ohio River should not be given to the EPA.

Thank You,

Mary Jo Bennett

345 Plaza Dr.

Evansville, IN 47715

812-473-2722

From: [Christine Uebel-Niemeier](mailto:Christine.Uebel-Niemeier@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO Standard Public Comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:25:33 PM

I would like to state my strong disapproval of the updated ORSANCO standard. I grew up in New Richmond, OH and spent much of my childhood around the Ohio River, so I am deeply concerned about the proposed changes to the standard as it will result in significantly reduced water quality. Many states rely on the ORSANCO standard and adopt the values as their own. Without this, the affected states will have to expend more resources to develop the requisite standards. Also, if an upstream state sets poorer standards, all of the downstream areas and other states will be adversely impacted. Removing these standards serves absolutely no public interest. These changes are clearly for the purpose of helping industry take advantage of the water and increase profits while leaving it dirtier for everyone else. I think it is also important to note that our drinking water comes from the river and the Greater Cincinnati Water Works opposes these changes as well.

Christine Uebel
964 Ludlow Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45220

From: [Vicki Knoer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comments on Repealing Water Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:37:04 PM

Hello,

As someone who grew up in the 90's playing in the Ohio River in the summer, It's extremely important to me and to all who live here that we have high standards for our water quality. ORSANCO's mission is an important one and a safeguard against those who would abuse our public resource. Even though the Clean Water Act requires may overlap with many ORSANCO standards, we still need to be vigilant in case federal/state laws are changed. As a resident of Kentucky, I am well familiar with industrial companies receiving priority over residents' health and I confidence in our government to take residents' health seriously. ORSANCO stands as a safeguard against the day that state or federal regulations begin to loosen or slip and suddenly industry is allowed to completely overrun any thoughts for public health.

Please do not repeal these river protections that are so likely to be fickle if only dependent on state/federal government oversight.

Victoria Knoer
6205 Perma Dr
Louisville KY, 40218

From: [Veronika G](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Fwd: Ohio River Regulations
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:46:25 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Veronika G** <vlgol11@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 20, 2018 at 4:42 PM
Subject: Ohio River Regulations
To: pcs@orsanco.com <pcs@orsanco.com>

Hello,

I am opposed to ORSANCO stripping itself of its regulation powers. With the president not allowing the EPA to have the much needed powers of regulation for the national, now is not the time to be lax on environmental regulations in general. Are they just feeling lazy over there and don't want to take the time to enforce the laws?!

Best Regards,

Veronika Golod

--

Veronika Golod

--

Veronika Golod

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Sledd](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:47:20 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Sledd
1823 W 105th St
Chicago, IL 60643-2705
asledd@sbcglobal.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Linda Pachter](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:47:20 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Linda Pachter
1197 E Broadway Apt K12
Hewlett, NY 11557-2448
lindapac@optonline.net

From: [Jane Vanderburgh](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: comments on ORSANCO Regulations
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:51:20 PM

Eliminating the powers to regulate pollution control standards along the Ohio River is lunacy. Clean water is not only necessary to the health of Kentucky's current citizens, without clean water we will will never be able to bring business to this area other than enterprise that benefits from polluting our water. You MUST regulate and review the Clean Water Act. Other than possible lining of your own pockets, there is no reason for this. Your "position has been that we will let the public comment period reach an end, and don't intend to comment until a decision is made" is a total assault to your supposed roll of overseers to the energy needs of our citizens. I am appalled.

Jane Vanderburgh
242 Pennsylvania Ave.
Louisville, KY 40206
green1212@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marianne Nolan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:52:09 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marianne Nolan
8312 Vera Dr
Broadview Hts, OH 44147-2203
m.nolan@csuohio.edu

From: daczarn=sssnet.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [David Czarnecki](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:56:15 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

David Czarnecki
6745 Towpath Ave NW
Canal Fulton OH, 44614-9447

From: Gill.Holland
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:56:26 PM

I like local control of many things. We can attempt and sometimes succeed in being local in everything we do as a consumer (as long as you don't count our cel phones or TVs or anything else made globally!) and as a community. i love Louisville more than anywhere for example, and i love the USA too - they are two loves that can be held together in my mind. It is not "either-or", it is "both-and". So we can continue to be local by being loyal to our local communities and states and our own river-banks and river sections, but we need to also be loyal to the entire Ohio River. I love the little Harrods Creek by my house which flows into the Ohio and i also love the whole Ohio. But we are missing huge economic activity from water sports from it being too polluted to really enjoy to its fullest because there has historically been no real accountability for the polluters. I have no problem with any company polluting (i pollute every day), just they should pay for their garbage and emissions in a true cost accounting that takes into account all the negatives and clean-up costs. Too many states are not on the same page, despite the Federal regulations and many have different standards of holding folks accountable.

Global problems need global groups solving them, and the Ohio River needs to continue having its group of stake-holders - ORSANCO overseeing and collaborating on its health for the benefit of all our citizens from all the states. All one has to do is read up on ancient history of various tribes not working together on other major rivers and the terrible floods and everything else that happened, and once they got together and worked together and built dams, etc, they boomed with huge economic activity cos of the increased collaboration on things like building dams that no individual tribe could have controlled or gotten done on its own. We should not let the states go back to being tribes in this instance.

thank you
gill holland

www.wearepii.com

Portland Investment Initiative
The Group Entertainment, LLC
|www.thegroupentertainment.com|\nSonaBLAST! Records, LLC |www.sonablast.com|\nThe Green Building |www.thegreenbuilding.com|

Office:
The Anchor Building
2509 Portland Ave
Louisville, KY 40212
tel: 502-561-1162
fax: 502-561-1478

gillholland@gmail.com

From: [Holly Sova McCue](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please maintain regulation over Ohio River, states are not enough
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:57:57 PM

Given outsized plutocratic influences (eg gerrymandering and Citizens United) it is obvious to me that state legislatures shall not be sufficient to protect our treasured PUBLIC resource the Ohio River from tragedy of the commons. Please the river is already not sufficiently safe for people and pets, let alone wildlife to enjoy. Please please do not give up regulatory authority – instead, work harder than ever to combat pollution and degradation of community resources.

Thank you

Holly McCue
1339 S Brook St
Louisville KY 40208
502 471 9843

From: [Ferrell,Dustin](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect our waterways
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:03:39 PM

Please continue to protect our waterways. Do not remove the oversight ORSANCO has over water quality and pollution standards. Our water supply is already under attack from a non environmentally friendly EPA, we need all the protections we can get. Please continue to monitor and protect our waterways!!!

Thanks,
Dustin Ferrell

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Claire Williams](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:07:47 PM

To ORSANCO -

I have tried multiple times to send an email and it keeps bouncing back.

I would just like to say that I oppose ORSANCO stripping itself of the power to set standards for pollution in the Ohio River. The Ohio River touches many states and all of those states should be held to a high standard of pollution control regarding the river which provides, among many other things, our drinking water.

Thank you.

Claire Williams
Louisville, KY
40214

Sent from my iPhone

From: mwelker=ctcn.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Marilyn Welker](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:18:46 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Marilyn Welker
3538 W US Highway 36
Urbana OH, 43078-9608

From: [Anna Murray](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:18:48 PM

I strongly oppose ending local standards for pollution into the Ohio River. This extremely dirty resource needs more protection, not less. We cannot rely on the federal government to hold polluters accountable at this time and must continue to act to conserve our natural resources.

Sincerely,

Anna Murray
Jeffersonville, IN

From: [Christina Brown](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS Comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:27:56 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

There are many functions of our great nation that can most effectively – and efficiently – be handled by state or local governments. For the health of our people, however, the quality of our air, our soil and our water cannot.

In the case of water pollution, this is especially true. We live in the great Ohio River Basin, which bisects the Eastern half of America and is the source of tremendous wealth through agricultural and industrial might. Sadly, that mighty river is considered the nation's most polluted, and its degradation knows no boundaries along state lines. It is one long channel, and the pollutants that are injected in Huntington, W. Va., or Portsmouth, Ohio, or Evansville, Ind. -- as well as the banks in between -- affect all of its people, not residents of a single state.

Congress realized this in 1948, when it created the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO). Increasingly over the last six decades that organization, imperfect though it may be, has educated our citizens about the importance of clean water and through its monitoring stations has provided with scientific facts evidence of pollution. It has been a vital tool in the enforcement of the federal Clean Water Act, as amended in 1972.

James Bruggers of Inside Climate News has reported that a proposal to discontinue that multistate monitoring program is under consideration by ORSANCO, a step that not surprisingly is being greeted by industries and lamented by those responsible for the safety of water we all drink. It is a misguided step. Although not dictated by the administrations in either Washington or Frankfort, it reflects the current wisdom that federal regulatory efforts should be relinquished to the states. In our experience, state regulation of our waterways has fallen short.

A final decision will be made by ORSANCO very soon, and Monday, August 20, is the last day for consideration of public comment. We urge those who care about our health, not to mention that of our children and grandchildren, to speak out against this change. ORSANCO should be increasing its monitoring efforts, not abandoning them.

Cordially,

Christina Lee Brown, Dr. Aruni Bhatnagar, Dr. Ted Smith
40222 Louisville, Kentucky

From: [John Young](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: On Cincinnati Water Safety
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:30:34 PM

For public comment period:

A couple of big concerns for drinking water: We need to start making an effort to remove traces of drugs from drinking water. Humans pass about 40% to as much as 65% of drugs into our waste. This is not treated in wastewater treatment (another problem), but since we pull drinking water from the Ohio River (over 600 drinking water intakes line the nearly-1,000 miles of the river.

These drugs collect and build up in bodies, which is a big problem for small bodies—children. These pharmaceuticals can also interrupt endocrine systems and neurological systems. Big problems.

I've seen PhD studies that show concentrations of child cancers along the Ohio and Mississippi Rivers. This gets to my second point, we need to remove more known carcinogens from drinking water.

I know it's hard and expensive, but the EPA regulations allow some of these materials into the water because of cost. In the case of pharmaceuticals, we don't really know the cost because it has not been studied.

Please lead the country in adopting strict standards.

Regards, John Young

YoungThinking

youngthinking.com

180 Linden Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45215

Phone: 513-607-9639

From: [Anne Davis Blayney](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:30:47 PM

I oppose the elimination of pollution standards for the Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Anne Davis-Blayney

From: [Debra Smith](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution Standards for the Ohio
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:36:06 PM

Sent from my iPhone

My name is Debra Smith. I am responding to an article in the Courier and Press in regard to eliminating pollution control standards for the Ohio river. We most definitely need to keep pollution standards intact! It is heartbreaking to think that the only thing of value to mankind is money. My guess is the reason you are wanting to eliminate the protection of our water is all about the money. I have no doubt that "big business" will be more than happy to throw waste in our Ohio. Every state should be accountable for the pollutants that they "allow" - which is pretty outlandish. How in the world do companies get permits to pollute our water? That is absolutely insane! Once again, I am sure it has to do with the dollar bill. If the business pays enough money they can probably pollute the water as much as they are willing to "pay" for. What about our future generations? What about our drinking water? We are a people who pretend to be intelligent, but yet willingly allow people to destroy our water, if the price is right. How intelligent is that?

I seriously doubt if I can make a difference, because words are cheap, but I could not live with myself if I at least did not state my truth. The leaders who allow this kind of things to take place need to be held personally accountable when later, we have poison water! I am grateful for the age that I am, but what about our children and grandchildren? It is their future life that is at stake.

Sincerely,
Debra Smith

From: [Chase .](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: I like my river clean, thank you. Don't be silly
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:37:47 PM

Unfortunately, we live in a world where we have to ask our reps to not allow more filth in our already polluted river. Please don't vote to depower yourselves, we need as much oversight as possible.

Thank you.

Chase "Seriously?" Robinson

From: [Carol Kaufmann](mailto:Carol.Kaufmann)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment on ORSANCO proposal
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:40:42 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Carol Kaufmann** <kaufmann.carol@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 20, 2018 at 5:34 PM
Subject: Public Comment on ORSANCO proposal
To: PSC@orsanco.org

To Whom It May Concern;

I am opposed to the eliminator of pollution standards for the Ohio River. Quite the opposite. The Ohio designation is already No Fishing No Swimming. I would rather see a RiverKeeper or several, standing watch or even with some enforcement powers, just like major river systems along the Hudson River Valley, Potomac River and Jacksonville Florida estuary.
Respectfully Submitted,

Carol Kaufmann
3025 Carson Way
Louisville, KY 40205
502-396-8672 (cell)
kaufmann.carol@gmail.com

--

Carol
Carol Kaufmann
3025 Carson Way
Louisville, KY 40205
502-459-9299
502-396-8672 (cell)
kaufmann.carol@gmail.com

From: [Holden Family Farm](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please do not repeal standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:43:02 PM

I'm writing with urgency that you *please* not repeal the standards for monitoring the Ohio River. These standards don't just monitor the pollution going into the water, they also keep history records for us- which is crucial.

I would love to know what else I could do to help convince you to keep the standards into place.

All the best,
Sylvia Holden
502.432.0141

From: [Celeste Pagano](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Please keep watch over the river
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:51:27 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to retain your watchdog role over the cleanliness of the Ohio River. Simply put, this is not the time to trust the EPA to enforce its own standards.

Thank you for your consideration.

-Celeste Pagano Boles
Indiana

From: christopher.boone
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Cc: [Ward Wilson](#); [Marcia Boone](#)
Subject: Upcoming vote
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 5:58:45 PM

Dear ORSANCO,

As an avid sailor along the Ohio River in Jefferson and Oldham Counties and a member of the Kentucky Waterways Alliance, I strongly support the current standards that attempt to prevent further pollution of the Ohio River. I request the Commission keep these standards in place and not reduce or alter them in any way to lower or eliminate them. We need stronger regulations and enforcement, not less.

Sincerely,
Christopher Boone
12001 Log Cabin Lane
Anchorage, KY 40223
502.314.1046
chris@boone.ws

From: [Leslie McBride](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:02:12 PM

Do NOT reduce pollution protections for the Ohio River. It is already polluted enough. For God's sake, learn a lesson from what's happening in Florida and protect this precious body of water.

Leslie McBride
243 Peytona Beach Rd.
Waddy, KY 40076

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mike Lodato](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:02:57 PM

I am writing in support of a continuation of the enforcement of the Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River by the members of the eight state compact as has been historically practiced. ORSANCO has, since its inception, done an outstanding job in maintaining safe and sanitary conditions in the river. I disagree that the current standards and their enforcement by members of the Compact are redundant vis-a-vis the federal enforcement agencies, namely, the Environmental Protection Agency. ORSANCO has been reliable consistent enforcement body. Regrettably, the EPA has become politicized and it no longer be relied upon to provide the kind of standards and enforcement that is needed in the long term to protect the Ohio river resource.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Lodato

Michael J Lodato
8438 Pelican Pointe Drive
Evansville IN 47725
812-477-3488
mikelodato@wowway.com

From: [Courtney Coriell](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Oppose Repealing Pollution Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:05:29 PM

The Ohio River is already polluted enough as it is. It would be a huge mistake to repeal any standards attempting to make it cleaner. At this point I don't have much trust that the federal government via the EPA, will oversee any of the typical safe water standards, thus making it even more important for local entities to take up the charge. I hope that you will make the right choice here.

Thank you,

Courtney Coriell Williams

From: tess.sabo@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Theresa Sabo](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:05:51 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Theresa Sabo
5459 Darcy Rd
Columbus OH, 43229-4126

From: [brandon.cox](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep the commission!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:10:02 PM

With pollution control being rolled back by the federal government, this is no time to remove standards. Keep the commission.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Ryan Bowron](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Maintain the Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:14:36 PM

Hello,

My name is Ryan Bowron, and I am a resident of Ohio living at:

4915 Stewart Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45227

I do not think ORSANCO and any of its member States should lessen any of the regulations and processes in effect that maintain the quality of the river. The Ohio River has a long history of being polluted by industrial enterprises and thus has some of the poorest water quality in the nation. The Pollution Control Standards should continued to be upheld as they are there to protect citizens and the common good, most especially their source of drinking water. Industry has thrived long enough by polluting our public waterways for its very private benefit. If they cannot profitably operate in accordance within these protective measures, then they should not operate at all, and cleaner alternatives should be used to provide their product or service.

Sincerely,
Ryan Bowron

From: [Ann Cook](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Continue to set pollutions standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:16:53 PM

ORSANCO has done a very good job. Do not turn this responsibility over to the states who are not equipped, funded, and able to do as good a job. It is CRUCIAL that the Ohio River been keep up to high standards.

In the first place, it is drinking water for more than 5 million people. That is reason enough to keep the standards high! Look what happened in Flint when the standards were ignored for money reasons.

In the second place, oil and gas infrastructure is coming to the Ohio Valley. We need the best possible support for clean water.

Thirdly, there are close to 200 criteria that some states do not test for. Those tests are crucial!!

I strongly recommend you hold more hearings so people from all parts of the Ohio Valley can be heard: PEOPLE WHO LIVE THERE, NOT INDUSTRIES THAT ARE CONCERNED FIRST AND FOREMOST ABOUT THEIR PROFIT MARGIN!

Thank you.

Ann Cook-Frantz
Alan Frantz

Oberlin Ohio

From: [LAWRENCE HESS](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: my opinion
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:20:42 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I have read about the ORSANCO commission's proposal to eliminate its pollution control standards saying they appear to be redundant. My husband and I feel that doing away with ORSANCO'S standards would be a set-back for river quality. We live in Santa Claus, IN and our town receives much of its drinking water from aquifers from the Ohio River. We remember when the Ohio River was so dirty and deemed dangerous to drink or swim in. ORSANCO standards must have been a good thing for the Ohio River is so much more improved since the 50s and 60s. It seems that the Republican administration wants to take us back to the early 20th and late 19th century when there were no environmental standards set. Every day it seems that the EPA and IDEM want to put citizens into arms way by increasing the amount of toxins we can be exposed to whether by air or by water. Yes, in this day and age, we need numerous commissions and high standards to protect us! Let's not mess with what has been working well protecting American citizens.

Sincerely,

Larry and Charlene Hess
429 W. Donder Lane
Santa Claus, IN 47579

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Jarred Mechanick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:22:20 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Jarred Mechanick
4318 Manayunk Ave Apt 1
Philadelphia, PA 19128-4931
jm262626@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Rupert Oliver IV](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:22:20 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Rupert Oliver IV
3423 Morning Dove Rd
Roanoke, VA 24018-5057
shinigamiryuk.apples@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Donna Bucher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:47:28 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Donna Bucher
5211 W Warwick Ave
Chicago, IL 60641-3348
mkjams@aol.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lorraine Kogler](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:52:22 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Kogler
3077 Willowbrook Way
Beavercreek, OH 45431-7702
walrus207@yahoo.com

From: [Brycc House](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment Regarding Continuing High Standards of Pollution Control
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 6:53:23 PM

Please maintain your excellent standards of monitoring pollutants and continue to help our community to reduce and prevent dangerous exposures to pollution and water abuses in the Ohio River!!!! We are all so very grateful and willing to work with you to stand fast on this issue if only you will continue to lead the way and provide a network of leadership for those of us in other cities.

ORSANCO has been a lifeline for those of us here in Louisville KY so many times that it is hard to recall the number of times we have benefited from your advance notice with regards to dangerous effluent and algae blooms amongst other bacterial and pollution issues.

If we can join together to provide more support for River Sweep or as River Watcher Training Staff through our not-for-profit here in Louisville then please call on us and our partners at KY Waterways Alliance. We would like to make a film to support the work you do and expand that work to reduce and address the polluters and pollutants adequately as if we are all one big family helping an older family member who can no longer care for themselves.... The Ohio River is a living entity who may be wild and difficult but deserves our love as a key source of life for our region.

Please call upon us in any way if you should need partners to continue the **ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY WORK** of maintaining high standards of monitoring and preventing dangerous exposures to toxins in our water!!!! Please take into consideration that many of those who depend upon the river and its health have no voices or ability to tell us how important the river is to their survival and about the dangers of pollution to their every day existence.

Thank You Kindly,

Kristin Shelor
Director and Program Facilitator

--

BryccHouse Community
743 Broadway #101
Louisville, KY 40202

502.712-0667

From: [Ed Blayney](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:16:18 PM

I oppose the elimination of pollution standards for the Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ed Blayney

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sheri Spain](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:17:29 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sheri Spain
2034 N Evandale Dr
Decatur, IL 62526-3433
shericcd@yahoo.com

From: [Nancy Averett](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: public comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:28:10 PM

To Whom it May Concern:

I disagree with the following: Any lowering or revision of water quality standards in the Ohio River, the limited time the public 24 has to comment on this proposed action, the reduced number of public hearings for such an important public health position, and the sense of urgency that has been falsely used to fast track this position. In an era when more is known daily about the deleterious and unhealthy impact of certain chemicals present in water quality, this is not the time to lower standards. We believe this position does not align with the original intent of the charter that established ORSANCO and for which public dollars are invested annually.

Thank you,
Nancy Averett
Cincinnati resident

Nancy Averett
Freelance Writer
(513) 255-6187
www.nancyaverett.com
@nancyaverett



Powered by
[cloudHQ Email Tracker](#)

From: [Rodney Harper](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:36:11 PM

To whom it may concern,

My family and I would like for you to keep the pollution control standards of ORSANCO in effect. We believe that the tougher standards set by ORSANCO are needed to keep the river's water quality headed in the right direction from years past. The current pollution levels are still too high.

Thanks,
Rodney Harper and family

From: smuncy16@gmail.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Revisiting ORSANCO standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:44:41 PM

Name: Sarah Muncy
Address: 310 Oak St. Cincinnati, OH 45219

The ORSANCO needs to remember it's original intention—to oversee and govern environmental standards. This cannot be regulated to the EPA. Our current administration has no desire to help the environment and is so in flux, there is little reason the EPA will do anything in the form of "protecting the environment." The role of ORSANCO is to pay closer attention to regional environmental factors, things overlooked by federal and state agencies. Ohio has a lot of work to do, especially in the cleanliness of the Ohio River. Please don't let cutting corners get in the way of ORSANCO intended mission, Ohio and it's people suffer when money comes first.

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Matt Chesney](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:47:33 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Matt Chesney
809 Wauconda Rd
Wauconda, IL 60084-1541
mchesney26@hotmail.com

From: [Beth G](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Against Removing Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:54:11 PM

Please DO NOT remove any additional pollution control standards. Our environment needs more help, not less. We smell first-hand what the overflowing storm water is like in Louisville and have seen the effect on animals when things get off balance. The river is already in pretty rough shape. Do not give any corporation an opportunity to take advantage of loosening regulations.

Thank you
Beth Gallagher

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Aislynn Willis](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: OSANCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:54:50 PM

Hello, my name is Aislynn Willis.

I do not think that OSANCO should be repealed. The pollution of our Ohio river should continue to be regulated under the laws set by OSANCO in all states where applicable. It is not the time to lower any standards for river water quality in our area. The pollution in our Ohio river continues to get increasingly worse periodically. Any and all laws regulating the further pollution allowed should be kept in place to prevent/safeguard this from happening at unnecessary and extensive levels.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to my opinion on the matter.

From: [Mary Engelland](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 7:54:57 PM

Please keep pollution control standards intact and strict. What goes in our rivers goes into the ocean. We need to start reversing the damage we've done.

Thank you.

Mary Engelland

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Beverly Cote](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Do Not Repeal Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:00:19 PM

Sent from my iPhone

From: thekubiaks1@gmail.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River protection
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:03:55 PM

Dear Sirs and Madams,

I am writing to advocate for clean water in the Ohio River. As the primary water source for the city of Louisville, the health of our citizens is directly impacted by the regulation of what is dumped into that body of water. I am adamantly in favor of regulating what goes into the Ohio River, and NOT decreasing the oversight that protects our citizens. While I am not in favor of unnecessary regulations, I feel that the regulations supporting the clean water initiative are too important to roll back. Please maintain the regulations that limit what can be dumped into the Ohio River, and implement strict penalties for those individuals or businesses that harm the health of our citizens.

Thanks,

Nancy Kubiak

From: [Laura Parker](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Cc: robert.parker@oldham.kyschools.us
Subject: Keep High Water Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:05:50 PM

Our water quality is excellent and it is vital to maintain it with high standards. I remember before high standards were implemented and it is awful. Let's not let KY go the way of Flint and so many other places that do not have good water.

Thank you!
Laura Parker

From: [Tracey Henderson](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: water quality of Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:08:12 PM

Hello to whomever it may concern,

I am writing to offer my opinion that I believe regulating the water quality of the Ohio River and/or other bodies of water is a good thing, because the quality of our river means a lot to me, my family and friends and acquaintances. What one agency or organization might miss another may find, so trying to work together for a common goal can only be beneficial. We have been boaters on the river for 13 years and have been drinking water from this river our whole lives (50+years) so this is very important to us. With only one treatment plant to clean our water, it is of the utmost importance to do everything possible for the cleanest water supply and also for recreational use because there are a few spots actually safe enough to take a dip in on these hot summer days in Southwestern Indiana. I prefer to be able to boat safely practically in our own backyard than traveling to a lake well over 60 miles away. So I believe any additional regulation can only be a good thing and not a waste of time.

Thank you for allowing me to voice my concerns and opinions,

Tracey Henderson
Evansville, Indiana



Virus-free. www.avg.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andres Granobles](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:17:36 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andres Granobles
58 Touchstone Way
Millwood, NY 10546-1116
granobles26@hotmail.com

From: [Mary](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep the Ohio River clean!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:19:20 PM

As a resident of the Ohio River Valley I am NOT in favor of any lessening or weakening of environmental restrictions to our Ohio River – the Ohio River is a source of recreation and beauty to the Greater Cincinnati area and should be kept pollution free – even the transport barges have a unique beauty – we all enjoy the Ohio River and want it to not only look clean, but BE clean – I live at 5393 West Dalton Drive, Fairfield, OH – I often take visitors to the riverfront as it remains a focal point of interest for the area –

Please keep the waters of the Ohio River unpolluted.

Mary Sandomenico

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Larry Farmer](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:22:35 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Larry Farmer
3000 Birchwood Dr Apt 219
Lynchburg, VA 24501-1452
larryfarmer34@yahoo.com

From: [Gerri Walston](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: (ORSANCO)
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:24:36 PM

Keep **ORSANCO**, better safe than sorry!

From: [Vanessa Kutter](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ohio river
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:35:16 PM

To whom it may concern:

Please do NOT repeal pollution control standards. More reasons than I can list in this email. Thank you, Vanessa Baird

From: [Tanya Cornely](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:37:13 PM

To Whom it May Concern:

As a resident of Louisville with four small children I am writing to strongly urge you to keep and increase pollution standards for the Ohio River. Corporate interests are not better than the future, our children. Let us provide them with safe waters to swim, boat, fish and drink. Do not repeal the current standards and work to go above and beyond what they already are.

Regards,

Tanya Cornely
3006 Murray Hill Pike 40241

--

Tanya Cornely
808-754-2236

From: [Kathy Bradley](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: DO NOT STRIP ORSANCO OF ITS POWER TO REGULATE POLLUTION
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:38:35 PM

For the last 70 years, standards for who can dump what and how much into the Ohio River have been regulated by The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO), and I would like to make sure that these regulations stay in place.

I am against any vote that would strip ORSANCO of its powers to regulate pollution control standards along the Ohio River.

As a Louisville homeowner, I am concerned about any changes that would negatively impact the quality of our drinking water.

Sincerely,

Kathy Bradley

From: [Sarah Black](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: SAVE the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:40:23 PM

Stop the greed; stop the stupidity.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Linda Mattingly](#)
To: psc@orsanco.org
Subject: Fwd: Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:40:47 PM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Linda Mattingly <lindamat47@gmail.com>
Date: August 19, 2018 at 1:42:36 PM EDT
To: psc@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River

Please don't abandon your mission of protecting the Ohio River. Millions depend on you to set consistent limits on pollutants that every state along the river must follow. We cannot trust the individual states to do it, not my state of Kentucky or any other state. It especially critical now with an anti-environment administration.
Linda Mattingly
Sent from my iPhone

From: [April Sedoris](#)
To: Pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River regulations
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:42:05 PM

Please do not strip any regulations being held by ORSANCO or their ability to monitor and restrict dumping and overflow. This is OUR water source and a couple more regulations to ensure public safety and health is necessary.

From: [Miller, Elizabeth \(BHE\)](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Pollution control standards under ORSANCO
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:43:49 PM

Please do not limit the reach of ORSANCO pollution regulation but please extend pollution standards on the Ohio River further. I have lived in Louisville my whole life and spent every summer enjoying the river by canoe and houseboat. The river is already far more polluted than what I recall in my youth. Now that I have 2 young children, I hope as adults they can also safely enjoy the beautiful Ohio. The river is a vital source for residents' drinking water, provides tourism, beauty, and recreation to our region. Under the Trump presidency with its blatant disregard for the environment, we must not depend solely on the Clean Water Act to protect the mighty Ohio.

Thank you,
Elizabeth Miller

Sent from my iPhone

This email, facsimile, or letter and any files or attachments transmitted with it contains information that is confidential and proprietary. This information is intended only for the use of the individual(s) and entity(ies) to whom it is addressed. If you are the intended recipient, further disclosures are prohibited without proper authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, printing, or use of this information is strictly prohibited and possibly a violation of federal or state law. If you have received this information in error, please notify Baptist Health immediately at 502-896-3020 or via email to the sender.

From: [Logan Simmering](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org; [Eira Tansey](#)
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:46:27 PM

Dear Commissioners,

Please do not rollback regulation of the Ohio river basin. Living in Cincinnati, I depend on it for my drinking supply, and have to pay the costs to make it potable, costs which will increase if pollution is allowed to increase even more. There is no reason to expect companies to effectively self-regulate their discharge into the river, as it is there have been thousands of violations of the clean water act in the region in the last decade.

Instead of undertaking a dangerous scheme of deregulation, do the right thing, and not only protect the river and the many people who depend on it from the ravages of industry, but also create a plan for intensive remediation, to undue the damage that has been done, and give us the environment we deserve.

Sincerely,

Logan Simmering

3966 Abington Ave, Cincinnati, OH 45229

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth K Williams](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:47:41 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth K Williams
PO Box 215
Batesville, VA 22924-0215
ekw777@gmail.com

From: [Ginny Delaney](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:49:09 PM

PLEASE DO NOT strip your ability to set standards for water quality on the Ohio River. State standards can change any time. We CANNOT and SHOULD NOT rely on every state along the river to do the right thing and keep our drinking water as clean as possible. Oversight and regulations when it comes to public health are never a bad idea in my book. If all states comply and have standards as good as or better than those set by Orsanco, then great, that's less work for you to do. But let's not give them a green light to do whatever they want just because they can.

Thank you,
Virginia Delaney

From: [Damien Baird](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: OHIO RIVER POLLUTION
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:01:52 PM

To whom it may concern:

Please do NOT repeal pollution control standards. The wild life will heavily suffer and more reasons than I can list in this email.

Thank you,

Damien Baird

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: [ryan Funk](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:09:52 PM

Please make the Ohio river cleaner don't take away the standards make them much stricter my grandpa told me of how he used to swim across the Ohio river twice a week when he was a kid and my mom will not let me because she says it is unsanitary compared to what it was when my grandpa was a teen. I'd love to be able to swim across the Ohio River like my grandpa did when he was a teen.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:10:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am writing regarding the 2018 Pollution Control Standards review. I greatly appreciate the hard work and clean water programs ORSANCO staff has implemented over the last 70 years to guarantee a fishable, swimmable, and drinkable Ohio River. That is why I believe it is inappropriate for ORSANCO to remove the numeric pollution limits and public review process from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

There are 440 pollutants for which the ORSANCO numeric pollution limit is either more protective or no state water quality standard has ever been adopted to protect human health and the environment. ORSANCO's numeric pollution limits and public review process guarantees all of the pollutants that could impair the Ohio River are adopted in the standards and the states and public have a robust forum to raise and resolve Ohio River water quality concerns.

Please protect the Ohio River by voting NO on the proposal to eliminate numeric pollution limits and public review from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

Sincerely,
Scott Limeberry
3615 Eagles Trace
Floyds Knobs, IN 47119

From: [Julie Spencer](mailto:Julie.Spencer@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: NO Removal of River Pollution Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:10:17 PM

To whom it may concern:

Removing pollution control standards along the Ohio River could be DISASTROUS for humans and wildlife in this huge area. At a time when such standards are especially crucial for maintaining the health of the area at all levels, it is imperative that these laws be kept in place.

**Thank you,
Julie Spencer
Louisville, KY 40206**

--

*Julie Spencer, Owner
Bradford T. Newhall Construction Co.
Office 502.897.5333
Cell 502.758.2072*

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Brenda Frey](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:17:43 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

I want you to please use your position to make sure that the Ohio River is protected for humans and wildlife. We cannot allow this administration and special interests to win.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter.

Sincerely,

Brenda

Sincerely,

Brenda Frey
4536 Seneca St
West Seneca, NY 14224-3139
brenda.frey@rocketmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Terrie Balko](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:17:43 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Terrie Balko
152 Fire Hall Ave
West Newton, PA 15089-3081
terriebalko@gmail.com

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:18:01 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am writing in regard to the 2018 Pollution Control Standards review. It is sad to know that people don't care about the water quality of the river that they live on. I on the other hand do care. It is quite embarrassing and scary to live on one of the already most polluted water sources in the US. Please don't agree to make things worse. Please try to keep the water as safe as possible for the environment and the people in this area by voting NO on the proposal to eliminate numeric pollution limits on the Ohio River.

Allison York
5404 Winding Way
Evansville, IN 47711

From: [Evan Cooper](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Re: Proposed Revisions to Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:19:04 PM

Hello ORSANCO,

My name is Evan Cooper, 6253 Chappellfield Dr, West Chester, Ohio 45069.

As a resident of the region you are supposed to be protecting (I live in the Mill Creek area), I am concerned about this proposal. Though I am upstream from the Ohio, I am concerned that these changes will weaken water pollution standards across the region, and disproportionately impact communities along the Ohio River, like the City of Cincinnati proper, having an adverse effect on inequality in access to clean water.

The Ohio River stretches across a broad region, as I'm sure you are all aware. For this reason, state level controls are inadequate. If a state upriver decides to just stick with baseline clean water act requirements, then every state down river is out of luck. The Ohio is already one of the most polluted bodies of water in the United States as it is. Removing ORSANCO controls does nothing to serve public interest, and if anything, sells our communities out to oil and chemical companies who only seek profit. More money for them, dirtier water for us. Hardly seems fair to me.

I hope you take my comment into consideration,
Thanks,
Evan Cooper

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:20:02 PM

For the sake of our future generations do not lower the Standards of pollution in the Ohio River. If you do, shame on you

Joy Marie
1002 Oakland Drive
New Albany, IN 47150

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:22:54 PM

On 8/2/18, 9:59 AM, "Patty Freeman" <flapsgirl@yahoo.com> wrote:

What good reason is there to drop water standards for the Ohio River?
Please reconsider this before putting it into effect. We have been boating on the river since the early '90's and it is so much cleaner than it was in the '60's and '70's. Your decision to lower the standards will surely revert the river to those old days. Thanks fir your consideration,

P Freeman

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Chancy Ellenbrand](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep it clean
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:23:18 PM

I have always enjoyed going fishing down at the river with my grandpa. He fished there when he was little, he took my mom when she was young, and then me when I was growing up. Now he takes my son with him. Down on the bank of the river has not been the cleanest place but we cleaned up when we were down there. I cannot imagine what it will look like with no regulations. Also what about the wildlife and fish? Please consider all of the memories of the past and the future of the river when making this decision.

From: [Parker, Robert NBCT](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: High Water standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:24:21 PM

Keep high water standards for the Ohio river and Kentucky. Dirty water, dirty people. I mean politicians. Keep the river clean.

Bob Parker
South Oldham Middle School

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:24:28 PM

On 8/2/18, 10:08 AM, "Harley Schultz" <harbarisdaman@aol.com> wrote:

The river needs more regulations, stiffer penalties for pollution and more conservation efforts for our wildlife. I am actually considering leaving the Ohio valley due to the pipeline pollution and the potential lessening of regulation for our waterways only makes my family more likely to leave the area! This needs addressed pipeline money is not going to cure the disease the pollution can and will cause!

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:26:09 PM

Please don't drop your pollution standards! People like to boat, swim, and fish in the Ohio River.
We need it to be clean! -Amanda Cutone

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:27:11 PM

To whom it may concern:

I'm deeply appalled by the recent decision by the Ohio EPA to allow mine waste from Rosebud Mining to be deposited in Tappan Lake and the Ohio River. These waterbodies, not only provide recreation to thousands in the tristate area, but they also provide a source of freshwater for the village of Cadiz and communities along the Ohio River. Please do not sellout the citizens of Harrison County and the communities along the Ohio River and its tributaries.

A concerned citizen,

Paul R. Starcher

Sent from iCloud

From: [Ellen Hegeman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River Pollution Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:28:25 PM

I am against eliminating or loosening any protections of our waters, including the Ohio River, against pollutants and those who pollute. We need to protect our environment from particles, chemicals, organisms etc. that can cause illnesses.

Ellen C. Hegeman

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:30:09 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,
I recently learned that the Commission is considering removing the numeric pollution limits and public review from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

These standards over the years have protected the Ohio River from over 440 pollutants and in turn, protected human health and the environment. I am urging all the commissioners to vote NO on the proposal to eliminate the numeric pollution limits and public review from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

It is unwise to think that the states are in a position to protect the Ohio River, the people who depend on it, and the surrounding environment. The resources and the political will to do so at this time is scarce.

Please vote NO.

Joanne Evers
2971 Soldiers Home Road
West Lafayette, IN 47906

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:32:38 PM

Please STOP further pollution of the Ohio River. It has consequences for my city that are awful.

Marilyn Nathan
4502 N. Wheeling Ave. 9A/6
Muncie IN

From: [Kerry Skiff](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment on repealing Ohio River pollution standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:33:16 PM

Hello,

I have been following ORSANCO's work for about a year, and I am concerned to hear that you are considering hitting your authority to regulate pollution control standards. As a conservationist, I am greatly concerned about the member states' commitments to clean water and environmental regulation. Kentucky made major changes to its Natural Heritage Fund this past fiscal year, cutting funding in half from its nature preserves, state parks, forest service and Wild Rivers programs. And many of these other states do not show signs of having a strong commitment to high environmental standards.

Please do not back out of regulating pollution in the Ohio River. You are almost definitely the best chance we have at clean water.

Sincerely,

Kerry Skiff

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:37:44 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am writing regarding the 2018 Pollution Control Standards review. I greatly appreciate the hard work and clean water programs ORSANCO staff has implemented over the last 70 years to guarantee a fishable, swimmable, and drinkable Ohio River. That is why I believe it is inappropriate for ORSANCO to remove the numeric pollution limits and public review process from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

There are 440 pollutants for which the ORSANCO numeric pollution limit is either more protective or no state water quality standard has ever been adopted to protect human health and the environment. ORSANCO's numeric pollution limits and public review process guarantees all of the pollutants that could impair the Ohio River are adopted in the standards and the states and public have a robust forum to raise and resolve Ohio River water quality concerns.

Please protect the Ohio River by voting NO on the proposal to eliminate numeric pollution limits and public review from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

Sincerely,
Claudia Downey
1407 Euclid Ave
Bowling Green, KY 42103

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:39:38 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am writing regarding the 2018 Pollution Control Standards review. I greatly appreciate the hard work and clean water programs ORSANCO staff has implemented over the last 70 years to guarantee a fishable, swimmable, and drinkable Ohio River. That is why I believe it is inappropriate for ORSANCO to remove the numeric pollution limits and public review process from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

There are 440 pollutants for which the ORSANCO numeric pollution limit is either more protective or no state water quality standard has ever been adopted to protect human health and the environment. ORSANCO's numeric pollution limits and public review process guarantees all of the pollutants that could impair the Ohio River are adopted in the standards and the states and public have a robust forum to raise and resolve Ohio River water quality concerns.

My family and I drink water that is pulled from the Ohio River. Our family, neighborhood, and region depend on safe and acceptable drinking water for our daily lives. Dropping the numeric standards, which are still needed simply puts the quality of the water in jeopardy. Not having standards will result in more pollutants in the water and become a major health risk.

Please protect the Ohio River by voting NO on the proposal to eliminate numeric pollution limits and public review from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Shawn Dikes
128 North Birchwood Avenue
Louisville, KY 40206

From: [Jennifer Schmitz](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: public comments
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:40:51 PM

To whom it may concern,

Any changes to water standards for the Ohio River and pollution should not involve weakening or lessening the standards or allow companies or municipalities to dump more pollution into the river. As we fight additional natural pollution or disasters and the current level of pollution, we already see threats to our waterways. Lowering the standards or allowing additional pollution would stress our waterway even further.

Please do NOT allow additional pollution. At some point we need to be honest about the future of our waterways. Rolling standards back or lowering them is not the right answer.

Thank you,

Jennifer Schmitz
3807 Drake Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45209

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:42:08 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am writing regarding the 2018 Pollution Control Standards review. I greatly appreciate the hard work and clean water programs ORSANCO staff has implemented over the last 70 years to guarantee a fishable, swimmable, and drinkable Ohio River. That is why I believe it is inappropriate for ORSANCO to remove the numeric pollution limits and public review process from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

There are 440 pollutants for which the ORSANCO numeric pollution limit is either more protective or no state water quality standard has ever been adopted to protect human health and the environment. ORSANCO's numeric pollution limits and public review process guarantees all of the pollutants that could impair the Ohio River are adopted in the standards and the states and public have a robust forum to raise and resolve Ohio River water quality concerns.

Please protect the Ohio River by voting NO on the proposal to eliminate numeric pollution limits and public review from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

Tom Hougham
4001 W Hougham Rd
Trafalgar, IN 46181

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:44:55 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am writing regarding the 2018 Pollution Control Standards review. I greatly appreciate the hard work and clean water programs ORSANCO staff has implemented over the last 70 years to guarantee a fishable, swimmable, and drinkable Ohio River. That is why I believe it is inappropriate for ORSANCO to remove the numeric pollution limits and public review process from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

There are 440 pollutants for which the ORSANCO numeric pollution limit is either more protective or no state water quality standard has ever been adopted to protect human health and the environment. ORSANCO's numeric pollution limits and public review process guarantees all of the pollutants that could impair the Ohio River are adopted in the standards and the states and public have a robust forum to raise and resolve Ohio River water quality concerns.

Please protect the Ohio River by voting NO on the proposal to eliminate numeric pollution limits and public review from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

Jon B. Pedigo
6612 E Jackson St
Muncie, IN 47303

From: [Jason Flickner](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: PCS
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:47:00 PM

Dear ORSANCO Commissioners,

I am writing regarding the 2018 Pollution Control Standards review. I greatly appreciate the hard work and clean water programs ORSANCO staff has implemented over the last 70 years to guarantee a fishable, swimmable, and drinkable Ohio River. That is why I believe it is inappropriate for ORSANCO to remove the numeric pollution limits and public review process from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

I am a trained Hoosier Riverwatch (IDEM) Indiana stream monitor and I can tell you that our streams are less than pristine. I wish I could say that Indiana streams that drain into the Ohio River were cleaner but this isn't the case. That is exactly why ORSANCO's numeric pollution limits and public review process is necessary. Please keep these protections in place.

Please protect the Ohio River by voting NO on the proposal to eliminate numeric pollution limits and public review from the Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River.

Thank you,
Julie Lowe
3615 Saddle Dr.
Columbus, IN 47203

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrew Fisher](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:47:53 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrew Fisher
769 Feters Mill Rd
Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006-5105
fanof2012@gmail.com

From: [Peter Naake](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Do not repeal ORSANCO standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:50:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to repealing pollution standards that currently protect the Ohio river Valley. It has taken years to bring the Ohio river close to being clean again, and removing these standards is a step in the wrong direction. Thank you, Peter Naake Louisville Kentucky 40206

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Elena Albarran](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:52:26 PM

Dear all involved,

I urgently request that the restrictions to pollution control in the Ohio River not be loosened, for the health of our waterways, ecosystems, children, grandchildren, and our selves. There is no economic benefit, short or long term, that is worth squandering this finite source and all of the life that it sustains.

Please, be the good guys in history.

Sincerely,

Elena Jackson Albarran
Resident of Oxford, Ohio

From: megan.resch
To: Pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Against repeal of Ohio River Pollution Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:56:41 PM

It would be against all citizens interests to repeal Ohio River Pollution Standards. Why would you want to make polluting our river, our drinking water, our lands source of nutrients easier?! Especially, at a time when the EPA is under constant attack from the administration.

Please vote against repealing it & instead look towards making it even stricter.

Thank you,
Megan Resch

--

Megan Resch

From: [Anne Brack](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:57:49 PM

Dear ORSANCO leaders,

I disagree with the following:

Any lowering or revision of water quality standards in the Ohio River,
The limited time the public 24 has to comment on this proposed action,
The reduced number of public hearings for such an important public health position,
The sense of crisis that has been falsely used to fast track this position.

In an era when more is known daily about the dangerous and unhealthy impact of certain chemicals present in water quality to people and animals, this is not the time to lower standards. There is not peer reviewed data to support this. This proposed position does not align with the original intent of the charter that established ORSANCO and for which public dollars are invested annually. Let's keep our leadership legacy of clean water and challenge ourselves to rise to the challenge instead of raising pollution levels on bad science.

Thanks,
Anne Brack, Cincinnati, OH 45215

From: [Dana Fuchs](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Comment on Pollution Control Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:58:55 PM

Continue to regulate pollution control standards via ORSANCO on the Ohio River. Now is the time to INCREASE regulation on what goes into our water supply, not decrease it.

**Sincerely,
Dana Fuchs**

From: [Lilias Pettit-Scott](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO Regulations of Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 9:59:23 PM

Hi,

I am writing to strongly urge the commission to not strip the ORSANCO regulations for pollution on the Ohio River. It is my understanding there are regulations that are not covered by federal and state regulations included in the ORSANCO regulations. We need more regulation of pollutants in the Ohio, not less!

Cheers,
Lilias Pettit-Scott
Louisville, KY resident

--

"As you move through this life and this world you change things slightly, you leave marks behind, however small. And in return, life — and travel — leaves marks on you...."

— *Anthony Bourdain*

From: [Connie Szabo Schmucker](mailto:Connie.Szabo.Schmucker@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep ORSANCO standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:02:19 PM

Ohio River is still one of the most polluted in the country.

The federal government is currently attempting to weaken the Clean Water Act. Having the same standards for all 8 states that the Ohio river touches is prudent in the face of differing state standards and potentially weakening federal standards.

In the absence of ORSANCO, state pollution levels will vary and affect overall water quality in the Ohio River.

We need cleaner water and stricter standards, not potential for worsening the already poor water quality of the Ohio River. Dropping ORSANCO standards not only threatens drinking water, but also recreation on the river and the resulting tourist revenue.

Please vote to retain ORSANCO standards and continue to strive to make sure the states are abiding by them.

Connie Szabo Schmucker
6040 N. Emerson Ave., Indianapolis, IN 46220
bikevisionary@comcast.net

From: peterson.jstephen@gmail.com
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ORSANCO PCS - Public Comment 2018-08-20
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:03:59 PM

Dear, ORSANCO

As a lifelong resident of Louisville, 35 years, I strongly urge that you continue uninterrupted regulation of the Ohio River watershed.

Were ORSANCO to cease its regulatory oversight, I fear, that, my health and the health of the community in which I live and work would be unjustly jeopardized.

Reliance on superior oversight is a flawed justification for ceasing the watershed regulations delivered by ORSANCO.

Acting locally and thinking globally should be one of your guiding tenets and not vice versa.

Best regards,

Stephen Peterson, CPHQ
Louisville, KY 40203

From: [Amy Jewell](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:05:58 PM

I would like to see ORSANCO continue regulating pollution control standards along the Ohio River.

Regulating at a more localized level enhances programs such as the Clean Water Act and can help keep things in check in tributaries and downstream. We need to be good stewards of our local resources as well as good neighbors. What happens in the Ohio affects people and resources beyond our state.

Thank you for your consideration,

Amy Jewell
Kentucky Resident

From: [Marty Harrington](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please keep our waters clean!!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:11:58 PM

Please do not lower standards for chemicals and other pollution flowing into our Ohio River.
We live here!!!

Thank you,
Martha Harrington
2527 Ritchie Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45208

From: newfield6@fuse.net
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Please!!!!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:14:30 PM

Do the right thing!!! Protect people, drinking water and the environment. Don't sacrifice them for the good of all and future generations. Creation and people are what God cares about.

Thank you,

Respectfully yours,
The Earth Ministry Team
The Lutheran Church of the Resurrection
Anderson Township
Cincinnati, 45255

From: [Jodi Bauman](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Clean up the river!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:33:06 PM

We should be cleaning up the filthy Ohio river, not polluting it more! It's common sense. Pollution is bad for health AND bad for reputations and therefore business.

Jodi Bauman

Sent from my iPad

From: [Barbara Brown](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: No change to standards!!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:34:59 PM

Dear Commissioners,

Please do not reduce or eliminate your pollution control standards for the Ohio River! The standards in the surrounding states (including my home state of Indiana) are insufficient for maintaining water quality for people and wildlife. We know that the consequences of poorly regulated water can be devastating (e.g., lead poisoning in Flint, Michigan) and since your goal is to protect this great Ohio River waterway, a decision to not enforce scientifically sound regulations and protections could be tragic with regard to the health of citizens who live near the river or drink it's water. I and many others will be following your decision with great interest, and I request that you respond to my email in a timely fashion. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Barbara Brown
871 Shawnee Ave.
Lafayette, IN 47905

From: eskline=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Sue Kline](#)
To:
Subject: Keep ORSANCO's Pollution Standards!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:35:16 PM

Dear ,

I urge you to keep the standards that the Ohio River Valley Water and Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) has in place because I value these water pollution control standards that protect the drinking water of over 5 million people.

According to ORSANCO's own report, there are 188 parameter criteria that the signatory states and the EPA lack. This is a clear indication that ORSANCO's standards must remain in place to protect our drinking water, recreational opportunity and tourist revenue that the Ohio River brings to our great state. As with the Great Lakes, the Ohio River is a resource that should be valued and maintained.

Considering the importance of ORSANCO's standards to public health and the environment, they need to remain in place to make sure that the Ohio River remains appealing to residents, tourists and wildlife.

We demand that ORSANCO's pollution control standards remain in place!

Sincerely,

Sue Kline
71 Whitehall Dr
Tallmadge OH, 44278-2220

From: [Elizabeth Cross](mailto:Elizabeth.Cross@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Retain numeric pollution limits for Ohio River Basin
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:35:52 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

Since 1948 the Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) had worked with member states to improve water quality in the Ohio River Basin, in part by to setting pollution limits. This work has been critical in making sure that pollutant discharges into the Ohio River in one state don't have a negative effect on the downstream waters of another state. I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed discontinuation of the important practice of ORSANCO setting numeric pollution limits for the Ohio River Basin.

If ORSANCO's commissioners abandon the critical work of overseeing the pollution limits as they have these past decades, we will not be able to say how much pollution is too much, and the quality of citizens' drinking water may be jeopardized. In addition to this public health concern, in the absence of meaningful pollution limits, the potential for a polluted Ohio River could damage states' economies through reduced recreational opportunity.

I urge ORSANCO to continue its current practice of setting pollution limits for the Ohio River Basin.

Thank you.
Sincerely,

Elizabeth F. Cross
Elizabethtown, KY

From: [Maureen](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: Ohio River regulations
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:39:36 PM

Please maintain the federal regulations providing oversight guidance on Ohio River environmental quality. Yes the river is cleaner than it was but there are still spills and untreated easy issues. Do not reduce focus on this important waterway

Maureen Shepherd
Evansville Indiana

Sent from my iPhone

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Lapinski](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:47:51 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Lapinski
2233 N Harrison St
Arlington, VA 22205-3147
tokathyl@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Regina B](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:47:58 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Regina B
111 15th St
Garden City, NY 11530-1510
regsbr@earthlink.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Selena Harrison](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:47:58 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Selena Harrison
2300 Keenan Ave Lot 75
Dayton, OH 45414-4652
tobeth65@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Ian Sheridan](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 10:47:59 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Ian Sheridan
115 Mahopac Ave
Granite Springs, NY 10527-1127
sheridani@hotmail.com

From: [Trevor Rice](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: clean water for Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:01:37 PM

Please do not get rid of pollution regulations. Oversight and regrouping personnel should be increasingly easier through having both layers of protections. They should be able to work together and help with checks and balances. Efficiency in the organizations that protect the environment and our natural resources should not include stripping regulations.

With the increase in global warming and the growing water scarcities we can not take anything for granted. Review policy with the people before getting rid of policy.

It does not feel like the right time to be relying on the Environmental Protection Agency. State's responsibility, not just EPA.

From: [Barbara Schrader](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public comment
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:04:05 PM

To Whom it May Concern:

The Ohio river provides drinking water for thousands of people who live along the river including Cincinnati. Research has shown what is needed for safe drinking water free of chemicals and at what levels can cause illness. It would be immoral to knowingly contaminate these waters at any cost. This smacks of corporate corruption.

I disagree with the following: Any lowering or revision of water quality standards in the Ohio River, the limited time the public has to comment on this proposed action, the reduced number of public hearings for such an important public health position, and the sense of urgency that has been falsely used to fast track this position. In an era when more is known daily about the deleterious and unhealthy impact of certain chemicals present in water quality, this is not the time to lower standards. We believe this position does not align with the original intent of the charter that established ORSANCO and for which public dollars are invested annually.

Bschrader
Cincinnati, Ohio

Sent from my iPad

From: [Tiffany O'Dell](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: ohio river
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:06:07 PM

It does not feel like the right time to be relying on the Environmental Protection Agency. State's responsibility, not just EPA.

With the increase in global warming and the growing water scarcities we can not take anything for granted. Review policy with the people before getting rid of policy.

Please do not get rid of pollution regulations. Oversight and regrouping personnel should be increasingly easier through having both layers of protections. They should be able to work together and help with checks and balances. Efficiency in the organizations that protect the environment and our natural resources should not include stripping regulations.

I'm from Northeast Ohio but I enjoy going to ohio river for boating. These policy decisions have not been on our local news. Perhaps there should be more coverage if you are indeed looking for comments to help sway decision making.

From: [Ellen Austin-Li](mailto:Ellen.Austin-Li@orsanco.org)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Protect our clean water : REJECT OPTION 2!
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:07:41 PM

To ORSANCO commissioners:

I am a citizen of Cincinnati, located along what is currently the most polluted waterway in our country. I was shocked to learn that you are seriously considering Option 2, which guts ORSANCO's oversight and leaves our already vulnerable water supply in deep jeopardy.

We depend on good drinking water—this is a basic right. Your job is to protect our water. This should not be about making it easy for industry to pollute —public health is more important than corporate profits!

Commissioners with ties to industry—for instance, Commissioner Snavely (retired from 8 Excel Mining), Commissioner Flannery of West Virginia (connected to the National Coal Council), Commissioner Potesta (has represented DuPont, one of the worst polluters of the Ohio)—should not be entrusted with making decisions about cutting regulations. Any commissioner that has such ties to industry with a vested interest in lowered standards should not be permitted to vote on relaxing standards.

It is shameful that you are moving to gut these standards, and that despite public outcry have not held more meetings for public comments. You work for the public, not for industry, and yet it appears you have forgotten your mission.

Please look hard at your consciences. Imagine the situation in Flint, only exponentially bigger, impacting up to 5 million people. There are some things more important than industry and profits. You have been entrusted to safeguard this essential resource, and pretending that you are eliminating “redundant” standards when it has been proven that there are at least 188 instances that ORSANCO's current standards are NOT in existence in the state standards you reference.

It has been said that those who have not learned from history are condemned to repeat it. Think Flint, Michigan. Think the burning rivers of the recent past. Think Love Canal. Please do not gut ORSANCO's regulatory powers. Instead, uphold your mission: To control and abate pollution in the Ohio River Basin.

Please return to your public mission and do the right thing: Reject Option 2! Maintain the stricter standards that protect lives and preserve the environment.

Sincerely,
Ellen Austin-Li
3900 Clifton Ave.
Cincinnati, OH
45220

Sent from my iPad

From: [Faith Logsdon](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Keep our river clean as possible !
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:13:57 PM

We need ORSANCO !!! This is my vote to not end the program.
Faith Logsdon

Sent from my iPhone

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Diana Johnson](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:17:55 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Diana Johnson
3209 Tyre Neck Rd
Chesapeake, VA 23321-5315
djmashpotota@verizon.net

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Alice Keyes](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:17:55 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Alice Keyes
443Oak St
Cresco, PA 18326
alicekeyes00@gmail.com

From: [Sarah Lynn Cunningham](mailto:Sarah.Lynn.Cunningham@orsanco.org)
To: psc@orsanco.org
Subject: Please Do Not Abandon ORSANCO's Public-Health Protections
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:20:52 PM

From: Sarah Lynn Cunningham <greenslc@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 20, 2018 at 22:55
Subject: Please Do Not Abandon ORSANCO's Public-Health Protections
To: psc@orsanco.org <psc@orsanco.org>

To whom it may concern:

Throughout US history, every environmental regulation has been met with resistance and push-back from industries seeking to continue to use everyone's environment as their free dump. Yet rarely do any of their threats of dire consequences of being made to clean up their discharges result in their meaningful losses. Instead, they use their feedstocks more efficiently and cost effectively.

Regardless, polluters have no moral authority to impose threats to the public health without everyone's permission. I don't offer my permission to pollute my water source. I hope ORSANCO also won't offer its permission to pollute my water source. We have sufficient technology to prevent nearly all water pollution. What society sometimes lack is the political will to use that technology. Some states would like to give into industry pressures to be allowed to cut corners. Everyone in the Ohio River Valley needs ORSANCO to ensure they don't give in to those pressures.

Thanks in advance for your consideration,
Sarah Lynn Cunningham, PE
1711 Edgeland Avenue
Louisville, KY 40204

--

Thanks in advance,
Sarah Lynn Cunningham
502-238-3210

Help Thwart Hackers and Identity Thieves! Please list me in the BLIND COPY box of your group e-mail.

From: [Sherron Halter](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:23:24 PM

PLEASE do not lower pollution standards for the Ohio River! We do not an awful mess like that in Cleveland, where the water was on fire. We do not want to go back to the days when it dangerous to be in the river.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sherron Halter
611 College Dr
Batavia, OH 4510WP W

From: [Lauren R](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: please do not repeal pollution controls
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:32:25 PM

Hi,

I am a concerned citizen of Louisville, KY. I urge you to not repeal your ability to set pollution standards for the Ohio River. I want to see the river become a beautiful and healthy place again. Any way to maintain or increase restrictions on pollution could only be beneficial to the river, the wildlife, and the residents surrounding it. Please continue to better our river by setting pollution and quality controls.

Thank you,
Lauren Russell Bushong

From: [John Shellhamer](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Repeal?
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:33:32 PM

I read there will be more pollution in the river, realistically speaking, if this passes. True?

From: [Matthew Bunn](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Standards
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:38:59 PM

Our water standards need to be raised not lowered for the good of us and the people living downstream. Constant pressure from farmers and other polluters to lower standards needs to be resisted and reversed. We need to do what is right not what is easy.

Thanks

Matt Bunn
Oxford OH

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Lauren Wallen](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:47:57 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Lauren Wallen
18110 W County Line Rd
Midlothian, VA 23112-6014
lauren.k.wall@me.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Andrea Jones](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:52:53 PM

Aug 20, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Andrea Jones
2265 Coach and Surrey Ln
Aurora, IL 60506-4409
sjonestv@ameritech.net

From: [Samuel Bedree](#)
To: PCS@orsanco.org
Subject: Public Hearing and Comment submission
Date: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:59:02 PM

As a millennial, during school, I had teachers who would say things like, "We didn't worry about pollution in the past because we couldn't measure it." Why worry about something that couldn't be understood, was the point, or perhaps the idea was to focus on what you could control. We now have instruments that allow the quality of the environment to be measured. The measurements only have value if they can be compared to standards that indicate whether we should worry or not. How the standards come to be is a separate question, but the standards themselves are what puts the water quality monitoring into perspective. I could understand before there was technology to assess water quality and had pollution control standards to know the safety of the water why you would not bother worrying, but removing pollution control standards now would remove the ability to understand the water quality condition. In the 2008 Strategic Plan it states:

"Article VIII of the Compact directs the Commission to study the pollution problems of the District and make recommendations for their abatement and prevention.

Vision

The Commission attains an understanding of all water pollution problems in the District and, in cooperation with the member states, works towards their solution.

Strategic Objectives *f*

The Commission will assess the results of its monitoring programs to gain an understanding of water quality conditions in the Ohio River and its tributaries, and to identify actions needed to achieve and maintain water quality objectives."

(<http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/StratPlan2008-2.pdf>).

My question is, without pollution control standards, how can the Commission attain "an understanding of all water pollution problems" and "assess the results of its monitoring programs" with just water quality monitoring alone. I get that the water quality can be monitored, but other than presenting the information, how can a determination be made that the quality is polluted without quantification of pollution controls to assess them against? It seems to me the needs to be an established set of controls that can be referenced.

Also, the Statement of Public Accountability states :

"Statement of Public Accountability

The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission has been established by an instrument of the laws of the member states and by the United States of America. The Commission is supported by public funds provided by the member states and the federal government. As such, the Commission recognizes its obligation to the public it serves: to make its information and findings available to the public, to educate the public regarding water quality in the Ohio River and its tributaries, and to consult with the public in its decision making about the restoration and protection of the waters of the district."

How can the Commission fulfill its obligation to "educate the public regarding water quality" without pollution control standards to understand "its information and findings available to the public".

<http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/StratPlan2008-2.pdf>

Finally under ORSANCO Bylaws, it states:

"7. Pollution Control Standards Committee. The Pollution Control Standards Committee shall be comprised of six or more members with at least one Commissioner from each of the six states bordering the Ohio River, with the Chairman of the Technical Committee, the Executive Director and Legal Counsel as ex officio non-voting members. It shall review the Commission's Pollution Control Standards on a triennial basis and may review specific standards as needed. It shall report its findings to the Commission and recommend actions pursuant to Article VI of the Compact. Further, the committee shall meet as necessary to review instances of significant non-compliance with the Compact and the Commission's Water Pollution Control Standards and provide reports and recommendations to the Commission as appropriate. At minimum, prior to the regular October Commission meeting each year, the Pollution Control Standards Committee will review an annual Summary report of the previous (fiscal) year's activities of non-compliance wastewater dischargers and provide recommendations to the Commission for appropriate action."

<http://www.orsanco.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Bylaws-Adopted-February-2017.pdf>

How can the Pollution Control Standards Committee "review...and provide recommendations to the Commission for appropriate action" if there are not pollution control standards set for everything that is reported in the water quality monitoring, like mercury for instance?

From,
Sam Bedree

From: [Lisa Cochran](#)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 7:50:12 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 4:18 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mr. Brent Molnar
Email
brmolnar@indiana.edu
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
<p>Just responding to the call for public comment.</p> <p>Please continue to protect our waterways. Indiana hasn't proven to be a responsible state when it comes to protecting our ground water. (Coal sludge, leaking gas tanks, etc.) There's no telling what they will release into the Ohio River if they think the problem will just wash away.</p> <p>I wish I could say that Indiana regulators and legislators had our public health (starting with clean water) at the top of their list, but they have pushed for more and more lax regulation in the name of economic growth in recent years.</p> <p>Thank you for giving the public an opportunity to weigh in .</p>

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply

to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks (emails targeting you)!!

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 7:50:43 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 2:06 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Ms. Judith Ludwig
Email
JFayeludwig@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
PCs comments. Reducing pollution standers fo the Ohio River is a bad idea. We need to enhance the standards. Most people go to Kentucky to use their lakes and rivers as they are not as dirty. EPA has been gutted under the admin we have now. There is not an EPA officer in Evansville now. So they cannot help monitor. If you don't protect our resource then WHO? It would be nice to eat fish out of our Ohio again since we are told not to consume it now. Please do not reduce the standards.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [Lisa Cochran](mailto:Lisa.Cochran@orsanco.org)
To: pcs@orsanco.org
Subject: FW: New Message From ORSANCO Website
Date: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 7:51:22 AM

Email sent to info@orsanco.org

Lisa Cochran
ORSANCO
Communications Coordinator
5735 Kellogg Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45230
513-231-7719, ext. 102
orsanco.org

From: noreply@orsanco.org [mailto:noreply@orsanco.org]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 11:01 PM
To: info@orsanco.org
Subject: New Message From ORSANCO Website

Your Name
Mrs. Linda Boardman
Email
Lindasboardman@gmail.com
Are you looking for a certain person at ORSANCO?
None/Anyone
Message/Comments
I disagree with the following: Any lowering or revision of water quality standards in the Ohio River, the limited time the public 24 has to comment on this proposed action, the reduced number of public hearings for such an important public health position, and the sense of urgency that has been falsely used to fast track this position. In an era when more is known daily about the deleterious and unhealthy impact of certain chemicals present in water quality, this is not the time to lower standards. We believe this position does not align with the original intent of the charter that established ORSANCO and for which public dollars are invested annually.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL! Reply to the address listed above in the "Email" section.

**Beware of Spam and Spear Phishing attacks
(emails targeting you)!!**

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Leah Rampolla](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 12:47:42 PM

Aug 21, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Leah Rampolla
634 Reading Ave
West Reading, PA 19611-1050
leahrampolla@yahoo.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Sandy Michael](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 12:49:32 PM

Aug 21, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River. These controls are a vital part of continuing to clean up the Ohio!

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Sandy Michael
2901 Wehnwood Rd
Altoona, PA 16601-3753
mrladybug4@gmail.com

From: [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#) on behalf of [Marianne Dick](#)
To: [ORSANCO via Email](#)
Subject: Stop Proposed Elimination of Pollution Control Standards for the Ohio River
Date: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 3:22:13 PM

Aug 21, 2018

ORSANCO ORSANCO via Email

Dear ORSANCO via Email,

As a constituent, I'm writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the Pollution Control Standards for discharges into the Ohio River.

ORSANCO's proposal to eliminate pollution control standards and withdraw from the process of maintaining and updating the standards moving forward is not acceptable. This approach jeopardizes the ongoing clean up and restoration of the Ohio River and is counter to the original 1948 compact that outlined the importance of watershed-wide cooperation through a joint or common agency.

The Ohio River is at a critical juncture, again topping the list of most polluted rivers in the country. In the case of the Ohio River Basin it is beneficial-- and increasingly critical-- to advance a shared conservation agenda. Because strategies and decisions must be made across numerous states and across multiple levels of government, restoration and protection of the health and living resources of the Ohio River Basin clearly begs a collaborative approach.

The Commission should continue to uphold its responsibility to protect our waterways, communities, and wildlife and to ensure all states work towards common goals and a cleaner Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Marianne Dick
1709 Fallhaven Dr
Columbus, OH 43235-5912
marianne.r.dick@gmail.com

3010 Boones Ln.

Law., Ky, 40220

July 30, 2016

ORSANCO Commission

5735 Kellogg Ave.

Cincinnati, Ohio 45230

Please reconsider the removal of the proposed changes to strip the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards + safe water for the Ohio River. This truly is a life + death issue. Since we the people have such a hard time agreeing on even basic standards, we need the wisdom + involvement of the people on the ORSANCO Commission to see that Pollution control standards are adhered to. The lives of our children + grand-children are at stake.

Sincerely, Mary P. Holt

3010 Boones Ln.

Law., Ky, 40220

July 30, 2016

ORSANCO Commission

5735 Kellogg Ave.

Cincinnati, Ohio 45230

Please reconsider the removal of the proposed changes to strip the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission of the power to maintain sanitary standards + safe water for the Ohio River. This truly is a life + death issue. Since we the people have such a hard time agreeing on even basic standards, we need the wisdom + involvement of the people on the ORSANCO Commission to see that Pollution control standards are adhered to. The lives of our children + grand-children are at stake.

Sincerely, Mary P. Holt

JAMES ARCHAMBEAULT'S
KENTUCKY

FOUR ARCHED BRIDGE, NEAR WILMORE,
JESSAMINE COUNTY

7-30-18

PLEASE MAINTAIN THE
OCTOBER 8, 2015 POLLUTION
CONTROL STANDARDS FOR
DISCHARGES INTO THE
OHIO RIVER

Sincerely,

Ronald E. Henry, DMD

502-458-1251
1169 EASTERN PKWY
LOUISVILLE KY 40217
KY 2000 • BANK OF AMERICA • PHOTOGRAPHY BY JAMES ARCHAMBEAULT ©



ORSANCO
ATTN: PLS COMMENTS
5735 KELLOGG AVE.
CINCINNATI, OHIO
45230

August 1, 2015

Dear ORSANCO,

I am a citizen of Louisville, Ky. I am concerned about the safety and health of the water of the Ohio River.

Please do not reverse the progress that has been made since Oct 2015!

Rivers give life and they deserve our protection.

Sincerely,

Ann Walsh

620 Park Ave

Lou Ky 40208

August 1, 2018

ORSANCO Commission,

Please maintain the October 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River. The standards are necessary to maintain and improve the sanitary standards and safe drinking water for millions of people.

Water is the single most important commodity in our lives.

Please reject the proposed changes as this could do irreparable damage to the people along the 900 miles this river travels along the eight states, Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.

The number of benefits the people, environment and future generation would receive by maintaining the October 8, 2015 Control Standards for Discharges far outweigh the benefits the few would receive by rolling back these standards.

“ American marine biologist Sylvia Earl offers this perspective: “No water, no life. No blue, no green.”

The CDC tracking network of Community Water Contaminants has stated that over 900, 000 people a year become ill due to contaminated water. Removing the restrictions will only increase the probability that more people will become ill.

Again, Please maintain the October 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey and Mary Arth


2118 Outer Circle Drive

Crestwood, KY. 40014

August 2, 2018

Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission

5735 Kellogg Avenue


Cincinnati, OH 45230

Dear Commission Members:

As a Louisville resident the condition of the Ohio River is of vital importance to me. We have made great progress in pollution control and I am fearful that proposed changes in standards will have multiple adverse effects. I urge you to maintain the October 8, 2015 Pollution Control Standards for Discharges into the Ohio River. The conditions in our river affect drinking water and recreation over 900 miles in eight states so control over sanitary conditions has wide impact on the health and safety of many, many people.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,



Marcia Texler Segal, PhD

2637 Whittier Avenue

Louisville, KY 40205



Mrs. Sarah Brown
122 Countryside Ln
Louisville, KY 40243-1263

August 3, 2018

Dear Responsible Parties:

You have indeed an awesome responsibility: ~~the~~ ^{to} allow the pollution of the Ohio River - or - to continue to maintain sanitary standards and safe drinking water for thousands of people. The choice seems very clear: Please protect us.

Sincerely,

Sarah Enos Brown, Ph.D.

August 3, 2018

Mary Howell
10814 Beatrice Way
Louisville KY 40272

ORSANCO
Attn: PCS Comments
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati OH 45230

Dear Sir or Madame:

I read an article in THE RECORD regarding proposed changes to the Pollution Standards Control of October 8, 2015. I am deeply concerned about the environment without these controls and especially about the safety of our drinking water.

Increased dumping of toxic waste by industry into the Ohio River would lead to contamination. Not just for Kentucky but eight states along the Ohio River.

The article reminded me of a college paper I wrote in the late 1990's. I wrote about pollution and a paper mill across the Ohio River from my hometown. The whole area was filled with a nauseating smell and soupy fog. The fog and smell are gone now.

At the time I wrote the paper I was worried about the future of my grandchildren. Now I have great grandchildren and great-great grandchildren. What kind of future will they have?

As citizens of the United States we depend on Industries to do everything possible to protect our clean air, water and earth. So your family, my family and all families, "And Future Generations," have food to eat, clean air to breathe and most important clean water to drink.

Without clean water there will be no future generations on this earth.

I can't express the importance of keeping the Pollution Standards Control of October 8, 2015 intact.

Sincerely

Mary Howell

DONALD R. SCHLADER
12412 Crosswinds Drive
Louisville, KY 40243-2116
Phone - (502) 245-8002
Email – drschlader@gmail.com

August 3, 2018

ORSANCO
Attn: PSC Comments
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Re: Proposal to Eliminate Pollution Standards/Ohio River


Dear Sir/Madam:

This letter to urge you to **reject** the industry-backed proposal to eliminate pollution standards for industrial and municipal wastewater discharges in the Ohio River. I understand this proposal would affect the safe drinking water and sanitary standards in over 900 miles of river along eight states, including Kentucky where I and my family live.

Thank you to your attention to this matter.


Donald R. Schlader

Cc: Claudette Schlader



A Special Note...

To Whom It May Concern:

Please do not take away the protection(s) we have for clean water.

With all of the changes in weather, politics, personal safety the value of clean water should be a given. Do not change our rivers into dumps for industrial pollutants and chemical run off.

For the future generation, please, please keep our standards strong. We have excelled at ruining the planet for ones for our phones, the expansion of industrial business and chemicals for farming and pest control. It

cannot be to much to ask to follow the rules in place to make our water safer as long as possible.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Bonnie L. Waldenard
4104 Gingerwood Dr.
Louisville, KY 40220

To Whom This Concerns

Under no circumstances
lessen the pollution controls
in place to protect our
environment. Don't regress
but progress to clean
our earth. It is all we
have. Jean L. May

Box 23403
Louisville KY
40223
Aug. 4, 2018

Dear Orsanes,
It's hard to express how strongly we feel that Orsanes should continue to work to tighten pollution regulations and encourage regional cooperation, rather than allowing the states with potentially shifting allegiances to invent their own science. Hold fast! For the grandkids!

Sincerely,
Liane Shott

M William Montgomery
PO Box 157172
Cincinnati, OH 45215

ORSANCO Commissioners
181 Renslar Ave.
Cinci., OH 45228

Gentlemen;

From the Trump Administration, we have a proposal to lower the standards on pollutants allowable in the Ohio River.

I understand that the original petitioners for this are 25 plus coal-fired power plants lining the Ohio River. And, while the current EPA Administrator does not have the disgraced reputation of Scottt Pruitt, he was previously a paid lobbyist for those interests, and would want to realize those pollution objectives.

If the discharge of power plant wastewater is approved into the same waters as where we get our drinking water, this would pry open the door to further adulteration of these waters-- to industrial and mining discharges, more sewage and bodily eliminations of pharmaceuticals, and agricultural runoff, for example.

Since this pertains to the Ohio River and its tributaries, these water sources are also home to Federally-endangered Species. Some of the latter are more pollution-tolerant than others; so we would see deaths.

The Trump Administration and EPA administrators have sought to eliminate the "Waters of the United States" rule, along with other safeguards of the environment. These are not honorable men, who are alert in the public interest.

Wm Montgomery

Orsanco

5735 Kellogg Ave

Cincinnati, OH 45230

To whom is responsible for protecting our river


I want to take this moment to say how concerned I am with the possibility of removing restrictions that limit the dumping of wastes like mercury into the Ohio River.

I grew up in Cincinnati and spent most of my childhood having been told to stay away from the river because it was polluted and unhealthy. In 2000, I moved away from Cincinnati to the beautiful state of Maine, where I could enjoy the many natural wonders that area had to provide. A gorgeous coastline, clean rivers and streams that I could visit for kayaking and swimming, majestic mountains for hiking and camping, and clean air.

In 2016, I had to return to NKY/Cincinnati to help an ailing parent and was pleasantly surprised to see the revitalization of the riverfront on both sides of the river. This resurgence of the river was made possible by the many efforts to clean up the river and the efforts have clearly made a difference in the economies of bordering cities.

Much work has been done and many advances in cleaning the river have been achieved, and so I ask that you do not slip backward and instead, maintain the restrictions and enforce these regulations in order to continue the progress made.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph Schilling". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end.

Joseph Schilling

3836 Spencer Ave

Cincinnati, OH 45212

TO: ORSANCO Administraton

FROM: Concerned Citizen

RE: Pollution Control Standards-OHIO RIVER MOST POLLUTED BODY OF WATER!!!!

513-231-7761

It is inconceivable to me that ORSANCO would even consider eliminating pollution control standards for our source of drinking water, as well as the others who also depend upon our river to be safe. I don't understand why ANY ENTITY would compromise such a life-dependable resource. We are only as healthy as our environment because everything depends upon water. You may not drink the water, but you still bath (REMEMBER YOUR SKIN IS AN ORGAN), wash your clothes, your dishes, and water your vegetable gardens. Please stand up to political pressure and the pressure of big business and not only continue the current pollution control standards, but instead, strengthen them for all of us.

To Orsanco

8/5/17

Please, for God's sake &
our children's, keep the
10/8/15 pollution control (Ohio -
River)
standards in place. Reject
the proposed changes.
We are killing the earth &
ourselves!

Linda Ansel
Michael Ansel
4206 Davco Way
Louisville, Ky 40241
502-552-9411

8-6-18

To The ORSANCO Commission

I am not an environmental scientist but I am a concerned citizen of the Louisville, Ky area. I ask you to please maintain or even up the Ohio River pollution control standards. There are plenty of diseases over which we have no control but ~~for~~ those which we can have an impact on we should work toward & maintain a quality water supply ^{for} present & future generations. Thank you for your time.

Concerned Grandmother
Judith Hill
8711 Timberline Dr
Louisville Ky 40291

308 Mill Stream Place

Louisville, KY 40222

August 6, 2008

To members of ORSANCO,

I ask that you reject the proposed changes that would strip the commission of the power to maintain safe and sanitary standards on our Ohio River. As a teacher I have tried to teach my students the value of our precious natural resources, including clean water. Please don't allow the progress that we have made in continuous improvement of our water supply to be rolled back. We must maintain the October 8, 2015 standards to keep our water safe for ourselves and for future generations.

A concerned citizen,

Vickie Peterworth

P. O. Box 1050
Jeffersonville IN 47131

ORSANCO
Attn: Public Comments
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati OH 45230

Dear Commission Members:

I sincerely hope that you make no effort to eliminate the pollution control standards set by your commission. The agreement among the eight states helps to ensure the quality of our watersheds, and is of vital importance to all our citizens. The river water does not stop at the state borders, and we are all interdependent of each other.

On a related topic, Indiana State Representative (District 71) and several other members of the state house have worked tirelessly to ensure the bordering states cooperate to protect our river, forming the Ohio River Caucus. He spoke recently about his apprehension regarding such a move by ORSANCO. I trust that his judgment is sound.

Please do not lose this important protection. Leaving it to the individual states invites loosing of standards and loss of cooperation.

Sincerely,

Rita A. Fleming M.D.
Candidate, State Representative
District 71, Indiana

ORSANCO
Attn: PCS Comments
5735 Kellogg Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio
45230

August 13, 2018

ORSANCO:


Please do not abandon your role in setting pollution control standards for the Ohio River. Your organization has been vital in ensuring the health of all people, businesses and other entities who depend upon the river for clean drinking water, bathing water - and water used for production purposes.

What has possibly changed enough to allow this commission even to think about abandoning the role you have played for six decades in ensuring water quality in the Ohio River basin?

There is no other entity - including the EPA - which can or will provide the care, knowledge and expertise needed for setting proper standards for the unique water system which is the Ohio River.

People are tremendously aware of ORSANCO and this pending decision. Be assured that citizens do not want any lower water quality standards and they do not want ORSANCO to abandon the mission it was given in 1948.

Cathleen Arnold
6546 SilverFox Drive
Cincinnati, Ohio 45230

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Cathleen Arnold".